Molecular evolution and multilocus sequence typing of 145 strains of SARS-CoV

Zhi-Gang Wang^{a,*}, Zhi-Hua Zheng^a, Lei Shang^b, Lan-Juan Li^a, Li-Ming Cong^a, Ming-Guang Feng^b, Yun Luo^a, Su-Yun Cheng^a, Yan-Jun Zhang^a, Miao-Gui Ru^a, Zan-Xin Wang^a, Qi-Yu Bao^{b,c,*}

^a Zhejiang Provincial Center for Disease Prevention and Control, Hangzhou 310009, China
 ^b James D. Watson Institute of Genome Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310008, China
 ^c Institute of Biomedical Informatics, Wenzhou Medical College, Wenzhou 325000, China

Received 31 March 2005; accepted 15 July 2005

Available online 9 August 2005

Edited by Hans-Dieter Klenk

Abstract In this study, we have identified 876 polymorphism sites in 145 complete or partial genomes of SARS-CoV available in the NCBI GenBank. One hundred and seventyfour of these sites existed in two or more SARS-CoV genome sequences. According to the sequence polymorphism, all SARS-CoVs can be divided into three groups: (I) group 1, animal-origin viruses (such as SARS-CoV SZ1, SZ3, SZ13 and SZ16); (II) group 2, all viruses with clinical origin during first epidemic; and (III) group 3, SARS-CoV GD03T0013. According to 10 special loci, group 2 again can be divided into genotypes C and T, which can be further divided into subgenotypes C1-C4 and T1-T4. Positive Darwinian selections were identified between any pair of these three groups. Genotype C gives neutral selection. Genotype T, however, shows negative selection. By comparing the death rates of SARS patients in the different regions, it was found that the death rate caused by the viruses of the genotype C was lower than that of the genotype T. SARS-CoVs might originate from an unknown ancestor.

© 2005 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Severe acute respiratory syndrome; SARS-CoV; Genotype; Phylogeny; Most recent common ancestor

1. Introduction

In 2002, a new infectious disease was found in the southern part of China which had high mortality and morbidity and was termed "severe acute respiratory syndrome" (SARS). It affected 8096 people and led 774 patients to death around the world, within a very short span of time [1]. Several studies have shown that SARS is caused by a new member of the coronavirus family. The SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) was supposed to be transmitted from wild animals [2–4]. This hypothesis was then supported by the identification of a SARS-CoV-like virus in civet cats,

E-mail addresses: wzg188@sina.com.cn (Z.-G. Wang), baoqy@genomics.org.cn (Q.-Y. Bao).

sold in markets in south China. This isolate had more than 99% sequence identity with SARS-CoV, indicating that the virus was recently transferred from animals to human beings [5]. However, according to a recent report there is no direct evidence that the civet cat virus is the origin of the SARS-CoV [6]. Although unlikely, the possibility that SARS-CoV positive animals were infected from humans cannot be formally excluded, and it was indeed reported that SARS-CoV was transmitted from man to pig [7]. The mechanism how the pathogen broke the barrier between its natural reservoir and man is still unclear [8].

After the first SARS epidemic, there were three incidences of laboratory infections in Singapore, Taiwan and Beijing [9–11]. Furthermore, in Guangdong, China, SARS patients were observed to suffer from "mild clinical symptoms" [12]. It is therefore important to further explore the characteristics of the SARS-CoV genome and trace the source of the epidemic.

There were several studies on SARS-CoV phylogeny and genotyping published immediately after SARS was identified. According to 4 polymorphism sites, Ruan et al. [13] first genotyped 14 previously published SARS-CoV genomes at the beginning of May, 2003. Subsequently, Li et al. [14] proposed genotypes of C and T in 17 SARS-CoV genomes based on 5 polymorphism sites. Regional and international transmission types were also proposed [15,16]. SARS-CoV Su-10 and CUHK-W1 were genotyped into two different groups even though they were all isolated in Hongkong [17]. Based on the 7 loci of 44 SARS-CoV genomes, C and T genotypes were further modified and named as the Yexin and Xiaohong genotypes [18]. According to 5 polymorphism sites, 63 SARS-CoV genomes were divided into early, middle and late phase genotype groups [19]. The polymorphism sites analyzed in these papers were almost the same.

Three successful examples have been reported where infection chains have been traced by comparing variations in SARS-CoV genomes. The first SARS-CoV laboratory infection incidence was confirmed by identifying 13 variant loci in the SARS-CoV genomes [9]. Secondly, it was found that the SARS-CoV isolated from the patient with "mild clinical symptoms" and the SARS-CoV (or SARS-CoV-like virus) isolated from animals resembled each other in the sequence encoding the spike protein [19]. This study indicates that virus-carrying

^{*}Corresponding authors. Fax: +86 571 87235111 (Z.-G. Wang), +86 577 88892766 (Q.-Y. Bao).

animals may be a risk for the investigator. Thirdly, sequence analysis confirmed the laboratory infection of a technician who was working with SARS-CoV [20].

Up to date, there are already 295 complete or partial SARS-CoV genome sequences available in GenBank. A new method of genotyping is proposed in this study. The results show that all SARS-CoV genomes are clustered into three groups and that SARS-CoV genomes in the second group belong to genotypes C and T. These two genotypes can be further divided into 8 sub-genotypes. In this study we also analyzed the SARS death rate, SARS-CoV origin, and population genetics.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. SARS-CoV genome data

SARS-CoV ZJ01 (Accession No. AY297028) was isolated in Zhejiang province, China [14]. Other SARS-CoV genome sequences were downloaded from NCBI GenBank database. Two hundred and ninety-five complete or partial SARS-CoV genomes were searched. After removing shorter and repeated records, 101 complete genomes and 44 partial genome sequences were collected for final analysis (by September 20, 2004). The detailed information of sequences of the earliest 20 records in GenBank is shown in Table 1 and the other sequences are listed in Table S1 (Supplementary materials). The newest information about domestic and international SARS fatality rates were downloaded from Health Ministry of China (http:// 168.160.224.167/) and WHO websites (http://www.who.int/csr/sars/ country/Table2004_04_21/en/).

2.2. Analysis platform tools and methods

The analysis platform was PC server based on P4 ultra thread technological CPU and the operating system was Windows XP. ClustalW version 1.83 was used for multiple sequence alignments. Tree-View (Win32) version 1.6.6 and MEGA 2.0 were used to draw phylogenetic tree. DNASP 4.0 was used to analyze single nucleoside

 Table 1

 The earliest 20 SARS-CoV genomes available in GenBank

polymorphism. The SARS-CoV sequences were arrayed with ClustalW program, and alignment was further manually examined and adjusted. Only those variant sequence loci that were present in at least two independent sequences were selected for further analyses [13,18,19]. Deletion and insertion in SARS-CoV genomes and the neutral mutation in the spike protein gene, etc., were also estimated. The latest version (NC_004718) of the first submitted SARS-CoV genome sequence (SARS-CoV TOR2, AY274119) was used as the control reference.

3. Results

3.1. The distribution of single nucleotide polymorphism loci of SARS-CoV genome

Eight hundred and seventy-six mutation loci were identified among the 145 complete or partial SARS-CoV genomes (the over all mutation rate was 2.94%, 876/29751), of which 174 loci were identified in more than two genome sequences. To avoid errors that might be introduced by sequencing and cell culture passages, only the latter group of 174 loci was analyzed. Different characteristics of the polymorphism sites were found. The loci related to T mutation accounted for 60.9% (106/174), and C, A and G mutation loci accounted for 39.6% (69/174), 39.0% (68/174) and 27.0% (47/174), respectively. It was obvious that T mutation had the priority. Most of the loci, except for 7 sites, were limited to one pair of nucleotide variations. One hundred and twenty loci were transition mutations (78 CT, 42 AG), and 48 loci were transversion mutations. The ratio of transition mutations to transversion mutations was 2.5 (120/48), and 6 loci were of deletion mutation.

3.2. The distribution of deleted regions in SARS-CoV genome

The sequence deletion in SARS-CoV genome mainly occurred in the regions between sars7a to N protein by losing

Isolate	Accession No.	Length of sequence (bp)	Date of submission	Date of first release	Institute of submission
Tor2	AY274119.3	29751	13-4-2003	14-4-2003	BCCA Genome Sciences Centre, Canada
BJ01	AY278488.2	29725	17-4-2003	21-4-2003 ^a	Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China
BJ02	AY278487.3	29745	17-4-2003	21-4-2003 ^a	Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China
BJ03	AY278490.3	29 740	17-4-2003	21-4-2003 ^a	Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China
Urbani	AY278741.1	29727	17-4-2003	21-4-2003	CDC, Atlanta, USA
HKU-398849	AY278491.2	29742	17-4-2003	18-4-2003	University of Hong Kong
CUHK-W1	AY278554.2	29736	17-4-2003	18-4-2003	Chinese University of Hong Kong
GD01	AY278489.2	29757	17-4-2003	21-4-2003 ^a	Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China
BJ04	AY279354.2	29732	19-4-2003	23-4-2003 ^a	Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China
CUHK-Su10	AY282752.1	29736	24-4-2003	7-5-2003	Chinese University of Hong Kong
Sin2500	AY283794.1	29711	27-4-2003	9-5-2003	Genome Institute of Singapore
Sin2677	AY283795.1	29705	27-4-2003	9-5-2003	Genome Institute of Singapore
Sin2679	AY283796.1	29711	27-4-2003	9-5-2003	Genome Institute of Singapore
Sin2748	AY283797.1	29 706	27-4-2003	9-5-2003	Genome Institute of Singapore
Sin2774	AY283798.1	29711	27-4-2003	9-5-2003	Genome Institute of Singapore
TW1	AY291451.1	29729	6-5-2003	14-5-2003	Taiwan University
Frankfurt1	AY291315.1	29727	6-5-2003	16-3-2004 ^b	University of Wuerzburg, Germany
ZJ01	AY297028.1	29715	12-5-2003	19-5-2003	Zhejiang CDC, China
TWC	AY321118.1	29725	11-6-2003	26-6-2003	Taiwan CDC, China
HSR 1	AY323977.2	29751	16-6-2003	24-6-2003	Scientific Research Institute, Italy

^aPartial sequence at the date of first release. BJ01 genome became complete in May, 2003. BJ02, BJ03, BJ04 and GZ01 became complete in June, 2003.

^bDate of first release not available.

Table 2

12, 29, 30, 31, 32, 39, 49, 57, 82, 137 or 415 nt, mostly losing a 29 nt fragment in sars8b (Table 2).

3.3. SARS-CoV genotype and sub-genotype

Key mutation loci are shown in Table 3 (identified by using nucleotide-nucleotide BLAST program at NEBI and Clustalw 1.83), and the phylogenetic tree of 174 loci (Fig. 1) showed that, according to the polymorphism sites of C9404T, C9479T, G17564T, G19838A, A21721G, C22222T, G22517A, G23823T, T27243C and C27827T, the SARS-CoV genomes of the first epidemic can be divided into two genotypes, genotype C and T. The characteristic of the genotype C was that the genomes had at least one position with the same nucleotide as 10 loci listed above (C:C:G:G:A:C:G:G:T:C). These genomes included GD01, ZS-C, CUHK-W1, BJ01, BJ302-1 and GZ-C, etc. The characteristic of the genotype T was that the genomes must have all the 10 nucleotides of T:T:T:A:G:T:A:T:C:T. This genotype included GZ-B, ZJ01, Sin2679, Urbani, TC1, CUHK-AG01, Sino1-11, TOR2 and AS, etc. These polymorphism sites were located in 5 different regions of the replicase 1A, 1B, spike protein gene, sars6 and sars8a. Except the 4th and the 7th loci that were synonymous mutations, the others were non-synonymous mutations with amino acid changes of A/V, A/V, E/D, G/D, T/I, D/Y, P/L and R/C, respectively. It revealed the strongly biased characteristic of changes in genotypes associated with changes in phenotypes. Genotype C can be further divided into 4 sub-genotypes. Sub-genotype C1 had, besides the 10 sites mentioned above (Table 3), C3626T, C8559T, T22207C and G22522A loci that would distinguish them from the others. In the same way, sub-genotype C2 had G3962A, G9448T and T19882C and sub-genotype C4 had T9854C site. As shown in Fig. 1, the sub-genotype C3 is a transitional one. Genotype T could also be divided into 4 subgenotypes, sub-genotype T1, T2, T3 and T4. Besides the 10 common polymorphism sites shown above, they had 3, 4, 4 and 3 variant loci, respectively (Table 3). ZJ01, HSR1 and Sin2679, belonging to genotype T and with more polymorphism sites identified in their genomes, located in the midst of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1) and were not sub-genotyped in this paper (Table 3). Only some genomes in both sub-types C1 and C2 had the 29 nt fragments. SARS-CoV genomes in sub-type T3 lacked a region of 415 nt (Fig. 1, Table 2).

3.4. Spike protein gene and complete genome phylogeny analysis To verify the genotyping results of the 174 loci shown above, we reconstructed the phylogenetic trees of 122 spike protein genes and 101 complete genomes (Fig. 2A and B). As can be seen in Fig. 2A, most SARS-CoV were clustered in accordance with their genotypes. Some partial genome sequences were situated in this tree, such as CUHK-L2, SZ1, GZ43, HSZ-A, GD03T0013, BJ302-1, HKU-65806 and LY, could not be properly located in the phylogenetic tree based on 174 loci. The genomes of GZ-C and QXC1 showed intercrossing features between genotype C and T. Some sub-genotypes, such as C1, C2, T2 and T3, were easily recognized in the spike protein gene tree, but some subgenotypes were intercrossed. In the phylogenetic tree of complete genomes, the clusters of genotype C and T and 8

The de	letion and inse.	ertion 1	ocation	ns of	SARS	-CoV	genome																					
No. of strain	Name	Lo	cation	of ge	nome																							
111110		17					. 2037	71 2519	7 25285	26156	27066	27166	27660	27702 2	27744 2	1754 27	781 2778	82 2780	5 27808	27841	27865 27	866 2780	57 28145	•		•		29727
1	GD69	t	а	с С	•		+	1	0													Ι	2	. 6		t g	а	0
-	SinP2	t	a	с С	•			I	9					•								I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
4	SinP5	t	а	c c	•				I	7											•	I	7	. 6		t B	a	0
-	Sinol-11	t	a	с с	•					I	ŝ			•								I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
-	PUMC03	÷	а	с с	•		•			I	б			•								I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
-	TW11	t	а	c c	•						I	7									•	I	7	. 6		t g	a	0
-	TWJ	t	a	с с	•							I	. 2	•								I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
-	Sin846	t	а	c c	•								1	1 3	5 7						•	I	7	. 6		t B	a	0
4	LC2	t	a	с с	•									- 4	+	5								•		t g	а	0
-	Sin849	÷	а	с с	•		•								- 4	6						I	7	. 6	I			
1	GZ-B	I					•								ſ		6				•	T	7	. 6		t g	а	0
-	GZ-C	I												•	1	- 	6				 ~	7	I	1 2		t g	а	0
-	Sin852	Ι					•									Ι	5	7			•	I	0	. 6		t g	а	0
-	Sin2677	t	a	с с	•									•			I	9				I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
7	WHU	÷	а	с с	•		•											I	7			I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
1	Sin2748	t	а	с С	•		•												I	5	•	I	7	. 6		t g	а	0
4	ZS-A	t	а	с С	•									•						I	8			•		t g	a	0
-	GZ60	I				•	•							•	•						- 3	0		•		t g	a	0
0	PUMC02	т	a	с С	•		•							•	•	•					۱	ŝ	-	•		t g	в	0
83	Tor2	t	а	с С	•		•							•		•					•	I	7	6		t ß	а	0
12	GD01	t	а	с С	•		•							•	•						•	+	7			t 8	а	9
l				1	1	1						I	1					1										1

Table 3 The distribution characteristics of the key mutation loci of SARS-CoV genomes

Genome loci	223174 223174 223174 223174 223174 223174 223174 223272 222570 223752 223752 222570 223752 222570 223752 222570 222572 22572 225	25114 21572
AY525636 GD03T0013	N N C A N N G G N C N N N N N C A C N N N N N N N N N N	CN
AY304486 SZ3	<mark>; с с а с с д д</mark> а с <mark>с с а с т д с с а с а д а с т т д с т т а а с а д с с т д т д а т д а т с <mark>д</mark> с д с т с д с д с д а а т д а т с д т с с т с д с т с д с т с д с т с д с т с д с т с с т т т с с т</mark>	ТС
AY304488 SZ16	3 C C A C C G G A C C C A C T G C C A C A G A C T T G C T T A A C A G C C T G T G A A T G A C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C G C T C	TC
AY394981 HGZ8L1-A	3 C C A C C G G A C C C A C T G C C A C A G A C T T G C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G T G T C G C G A G A A A T G A C T C G T C A C T C C G T A T C C T C C C T T T C C T	TC
AV394997 75-A		тс
AY394996 ZS-B	3 C C A C C G G A C C C A C T G C A C A G A T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G T G G T T A T A T A C A C T A G A C T C G T C T C A C T C C G T A T C C T C T T C T C	TC
AY390556 GZ02	Э С С А С С G G А С <mark>С С А С Т G С С А С А G А С Т</mark> G А Т С С С Т Т С Т С А С А Т Т С Т G С С Т С G С Т С G С Б А С Т А Т G Т А Т А С Т С Т G С Т С С G Т А А Т С С Т С С Т Т С С Т	тс
AY278489 GD01	<u>; </u>	ТС
AY394986 HSZ-Cb	3 C C A C C G A A C T T G T C A T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C C T C G T G T C C T C C T T C C T C C T T C C T	ТС
AY394985 HSZ-Bb	J C C C C C C C C C T C T C T C T C C C C T T C T C C C T T C G C C C C	TC
AY394988 JMD AY394977 G7-A		TC
AY278554 CUHK-W1	C C A C C T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C G A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T T C T C C T T C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C C T C	TC
AY304495 GZ50	3 C C A T T G A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T T G T C T C A C T C C G T A A T C C T C C T T C C T	ТС
AY394993 HGZ8L2	<mark>3 </mark>	тс
AY394983 HSZ2-A	<mark>3 </mark>	ТС
AY394990 HZS2-E	3 C C A T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C C C T C C C T T C T C C T T C C T C C T C A C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C C C T C G C T C G C T C G C G	ТС
AY394989 HZS2-D	3 C C A T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T T C A C A T T C G C C G C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C A C T C C G T A A T C C T C C T T T C A C T T C A C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C A C T C C G T A A T C C T C C T T T C C T	TC
AY394982 HGZ8L1-B		TC
AY394987 HZS2-Fb	C C G T T A A C T G T C A T T G T C T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T C G C C T C G C T C G C G A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T T C T C C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C T C G C T C G C T C G C T C G C T C C C T C C T C C C T C C C C T C C C C T C	TC
AY278487 BJ02	3 C C A C T T G G T T T G T C A T T G T C T G G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C G A A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T C T G T A A T C C T C C T T C C T	TC
AY278488 BJ01	<mark>э с с а с</mark> т т а <mark>д т</mark> т т д т с а т т д т с т с т д с а т т с т с а с а т т с т д с с т с д с д с д с д а д а а т д а с т с д т с т с а с т с <mark>т</mark> д т а а т с с т с с т т с т т с а с	ТС
AY278490 BJ03	<mark>3 </mark>	ТС
AY279354 BJ04	3 C C G T T T A <mark>G T</mark> T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T C <mark>T T A</mark> A A T C C T C C T T T C T C C T	ТС
AY508724 NS-1	J C C G T T T A G T T T G T C A T T G T C G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C C G C G A G A A T G A C T C C T C A C T C C T T C C T T C A C C C C	TC
AY463059 QXC1	$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}$	TC
AY429079 BI302c8	N	TC
AY429076 BJ302c5	N N <mark>C</mark> G N N T A N C N N N N C A T N N N N N N N N N N N C C T T C T T C A C A N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	TC
AY394978 GZ-B	「 T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C C C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C A C T C C G T A A T C C T C C T T C C T	ТС
AY274119 TOR2	f T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T C C G T A A T C C T C C T T T C C T	ТС
AY278741 Urbani	ſ T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C C C T A A T C C T C C T T T C C T	ТС
AY297028 ZJ01	TTGTTTAACTTGTCATTGTCTGTGGGATCCCCTTCTTCACATTCTGCCTCGCCGCGGGAGAATGACTCGTCTCACTCCGTAATCCTCCTTTCCT	TC
AV291315 Frankfurt1	TT GTTT AACTT GTC ATT GTC TG GAT CC C C TT CTT CACATT CT GC CT CGC TC GC GAGAATGACT CT CTC ACTT CC GTAAATT TC CTT TC CT	TC
AY461660 SoD	I T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T C C G T A A T T T C C T T C C T	TC
AY348314 TC3	I T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C A C T C C G T A A T C C C T T G T T C T C	TC
AY502932 TW9	ſ T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G G A T C C C C T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C C C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C A C T C C G T A A T C C <mark>C T T G</mark> T T C C T	ТС
AP006560 TWS	ſ T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G G A T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C C C T C G T G T T C T C	TC
AY559084 Sin3765V	f T T G T T T A A C T T G T C A T T G T C T G T G G A T C C C C T T C T T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C T C C C T C C T C C T C C T T T	TC
A Y 559093 Sin845	, I I G I I I A A C I I G I C A I I G I C I G I G G A T C C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C C T C G C G A G A A T G A C T C G T C A T C C C C C C T T T T T G T T A A C I I G I C A T G T C T C T C C C C T T C T C A C A T T C T G C T C G C T C G C A G A A T G A C T C G T C A T C T C C T C T T T T C T C A C A	TC
AY395002 1 C5	-1 of the activity of the following the following activity of the f	GT
AY395000 LC3	- TGTTTAACTTGTCATTGTCTGTGGATCCCCTTCTCACATTCTGCCTCGCGAGAATGACTCGTCT - ACTCCGTATCCTCCTTTCCC	GT
1000	\$\$\$\$4475786667778787677777777777777777777777	A A
AA change		+ V

N, not detected locus; -, deletion locus; red broken line, C/T genotype separate line; orange broken line, sub-genotype separate line; genome loci, SARS-CoV model virus NC_004718 as reference.



Fig. 1. Neighbor-joining tree for the 174 mutation sites of SARS-CoV genomes. The tree was reconstructed based on the 174 variant sites among the genomes. Bootstrap = 1000. The length indicated number of variant sites. \bigcirc : genomes with 29 nt insert. \triangle : genomes with 415 nt deletion. C: genotype C; C1–C4: sub-genotype C1–C4; T: genotype T; T1–T4: sub-genotype T1–T4.

sub-types are obvious (Fig. 2B). One of the reasons for this might be that the spike protein genes were less informative than the complete genomes.

3.5. The evolutionary relationship among GD03T0013, the animal source viruses and the first epidemic SARS-CoVs

Fig. 3 shows that the genetic distance of recent SARS-CoV genome of GD03T0013 was closer to the first epidemic SARS-CoV GZ02 than to the animal-origin SARS-CoV SZ3.

3.6. Polymorphism and diversity analysis of the spike gene among the genotypes and the groups

The genetic characteristics of the genotypes were further analyzed based on the sequence polymorphism of the spike protein genes (Table 4). The P_a/P_s value was 1.047 for the polymorphism sites among the genomes of genotype C that showed neutrality or fine selection. In genotype T, nonsynonymous mutation loci decreased, and the P_a/P_s value was 0.230 (P < 0.05, with significant differences between the genomes), which showed negative selection in this genotype. The $P_{\rm s}$ % value of synonymous mutation, which was less influenced by the general environment selection, had no significance between the two genotypes. The $P_{\rm s}$ % value was one of the characteristic indices identifying the genetic relationship of the populations, and the P_{s}^{0} value of group 2 (C + T) was approximately half of that of the group 1 (animal source viruses, Table 4). The animal source viruses (SARS-CoV SZ1, SZ3, SZ13 and SZ16) also showed negative selection among themselves.

According to the phylogenetic trees based on the different data sets described above, the genetic diversities between any pair of 3 groups (the animal source viruses, the first epidemic viruses including C and T genotypes, and GD03T0013) were evaluated. The K_a/K_s values of each two groups were all greater than 1, showing positive Darwinian selections between these groups. On the other hand, the K_a/K_s values between genotype C and ZS-B (one of the first epidemic viruses and the closest to the animal origin viruses) or between genotype T and ZS-B were all less than 1 (Figs. 1 and 2A, Table 4).

All analyses described above indicated that the animal source virus and GD03T0013 virus could be classified as two relatively independent populations. 145 SARS-CoV genome sequences could be classified into three groups, and the group 2 could further be divided into two genotypes and eight sub-genotypes (Fig. 4).

3.7. Estimated timing of SARS-CoV origin

Based on the new concept of dividing all the 145 SARS-CoV genome sequences into three groups, the origin of group 2 and group 3 (assuming group 1 as outer source group) was estimated. Eight candidates including four animal origin genomes of group 1 identified in April to May, 2003, three early genomes of HGZ8L1-A, ZS-B and GZ02 in sub-genotype C1 of group 2 in January to February, 2003, and the genome of GD03T0013 of group 3 in December, 2003, were selected to analyze the date when their most recent common ancestor was existed. Based on analysis of the diversities of spike protein genes, the synonymous mutation rate K_s between group 1 and 2 was 0.00321 ± 0.00135 , and the synonymous mutation rate K_s between group 1 and 3 was 0.00509 ± 0.00199 . Assuming that the synonymous mutation rate was constant, a linear regression analysis was evaluated. The linear equation of K_s and occurrence time T is $K_s = 0.000171T +$ 0.00321 (from January, 2003, with one month intervals), and the date for the most recent common ancestor was esti-



Fig. 2. Neighbor-joining trees of spike gene and complete SARS-CoV genomes. The trees were constructed using the Kimura 2-parameter. Bootstrap = 1000. \bigcirc : with 29 nt segments. \blacklozenge : T genotype. (A) The tree of 122 spike genes of SARS-CoV. The length indicated number of nucleotide difference per site of spike gene. (B) The topological tree of 101 complete genomes of SARS-CoV.



Fig. 3. Neighbor-joining trees of newly occurred, animal-origin and first epidemic SARS-CoVs. (A) The tree was constructed using the Kimura 2-parameter of nucleotide difference. Bootstrap = 1000. The length indicated number of nucleotide difference per site of the spike gene. The number of cluster point indicated bootstrap replication percent. The genetic distance of the newly occurred GD03T0013 was closer to the first epidemic GZ02 than the animal-origin SZ3. (B) The tree was constructed using the *p*-distance of nucleotide difference. Bootstrap = 1000. The number of cluster point indicated bootstrap replication percent. The spike gene point indicated bootstrap replication percent. The number of cluster point indicated bootstrap replication percent. The FIPV-X06170 (feline infectious peritonitis virus) was used as an outgroup within the spike gene data set. A bootscan recombination analysis of the spike gene revealed high nucleotide identity between the SARS virus and a feline infectious peritonitis virus throughout the gene [21].

mated to lie in May 2002 (range between early March, 2002 and late July, 2002).

3.8. Relation between genotypes and SARS fatality rate

Information about SARS fatality rate and genotypes from all regions are shown in Table 5. Comparing SARS fatality rates of different regions, it was found that SARS-CoV genomes of genotype T had the highest case fatality rate.

4. Discussion

Several studies on SARS-CoV genome genotyping and grouping have been published [13-19]. Some presented the regional and international transmission types according to the epidemic regions and some divided the viruses into early, middle, and late phase groups according to the time of the SARS epidemic in a certain region (Guangdong of China). Based on genome characteristics of 145 SARS-CoVs, a new concept of three groups, two genotypes and eight subtypes was established. The genotype C of group 2 in this work was almost compatible with the regional transmission type and the early, middle phase groups, while genotype T of group 2 was compatible with the international transmission type and the late phase group as well [16,19]. One of the advantages of the new classification is that it fits global and regional epidemic feature analysis, such as for SARS-CoVs in Taiwan and Singapore. Up to date, all the genomes from clinical samples in the early, middle, and late phase of the SARS epidemic in these regions belonged to genotype T. The concept of dividing all SARS-CoVs into three groups

Genotype	No. of	Polymorphism in genotype or §	group					Diversi	ity							
or group	genomes							Anima	l source		GD03	T0013		ZS-B ^a		
		No. of genomes with non-synonymous substitution	$P_{ m a}\%$	No. of genomes with synonymous substitution	$P_{ m s}\%$	$P_{ m a}/P_{ m s}$	<i>P</i> -value	$K_{ m a}\%$	$K_{ m s}\%$	$K_{ m a}/K_{ m s}$	$K_{ m a}\%$	$K_{ m s}\%$	$K_{\rm a}/K_{\rm s}$	$K_{ m a}\%$	$K_{ m s}\%$	$K_{ m a}/K_{ m s}$
C	43	33	0.177	7	0.169	1.047	0.455	0.602	0.467	1.289	0.615	0.456	1.349	0.206	0.234	0.880
Г	73	15	0.028	12	0.122	0.230	0.019	0.645	0.525	1.229	0.645	0.524	1.231	0.260	0.297	0.875
C + T	116	46	0.110	19	0.151	0.728	0.301	0.629	0.503	1.250	0.634	0.499	1.271	0.240	0.274	0.876
Animal	4	2	0.035	9	0.340	0.103	0.003	I	I	I	0.700	0.513	1.365	0.525	0.285	1.842
source																

Table 4

SZ16. GD03T0013 was obtained from the patient in Dec 2003 after the first epidemic. ^aZS-B was excluded from genotype C. Diversity between genotypes C and T: $K_a^{4/6} = 0.160$, $K_s^{4/6} = 0.169$, and $K_a/K_s = 0.947$. Diversity between GD03T0013 and ZS-B: $K_a^{4/6} = 0.595$, $K_s^{4/6} = 0.228$, and $K_{\rm a}/K_{\rm s} = 2.609$ was mainly based on the phylogenetic analysis and the positive Darwinian selection. Because of the limited virus num-

confirmed. The source of SARS-CoVs was traced. It was clear that genotype T was derived from genotype C [14,18,19]. The origins of each sub-genotype were also elucidated [22–24] and are consistent with our results. But the origin of the SARS-CoV remains a question and needs further investigation. Zhao et al. [25] analyzed 16 SARS-CoV genomes and proposed that the closest common ancestor might have existed during the spring of 2002 which is consistent with the date, May 2002, estimated by the spike gene synonymous mutation rate of the three groups in this work. It is very probable that the three groups originated from an unknown common ancestor.

ber in group 1 and 3, this grouping has to be further

The genotype classification of SARS-CoV plays an important role in tracing and controlling SARS prevalence. According to genome sequence variation analysis, genotype shifts of SARS-CoVs in the main epidemic regions during the first epidemic were obvious. The sub-genotypes C1 and C2, that have a closer relationship to the virus of animal origin, first appeared in Guangdong, and then appeared the sub-genotype C3. The dominant viruses in HongKong were of genotype T, but genotype C also appeared there. Similarly, although sub-genotype C4 dominated in Beijing, genotype T also existed there. In Singapore, they had all the genomes of genotypes T, mostly of sub-genotypes T1 and T2. The viruses in Taiwan were all of genotype T, mostly of sub-genotype T4. With more SARS-CoV genome sequences and more complete epidemiological data released, the characteristics of the SARS-CoV's variations in the genotypes, as well as the features about the epidemic phases, the epidemic regions, the clinical symptoms, and the viruses' adaptation to the human host, will become clearer and clearer.

The genotype was apparently correlated with virulence of the SARS-CoV. SARS-CoV GD03T0013 was from the patient with "mild clinical symptoms", and its genome sequence was similar to that of the sub-genotype C1. Experiments have also demonstrated that SARS-CoVs of the genotype T had a stronger ability to cause cyto-pathogenic effects (CPE) than those of the genotype C. The CPE of the genotype T remained stable during passages in cell cultures [26]. In the first epidemic, SARS patients in Guangzhou seldom suffered from diarrhea, and the SARS-CoV genomes were mainly of the genotype C [27]. The majority of SARS patients in Hongkong, however, suffered from diarrhea and it turned out that SARS-CoVs of the genotype T dominated [28]. SARS-CoVs of different genotypes might have different virulence. Moreover, the serum from mice immunized with inactivated SARS-CoV BJ01 of genotype C is able to neutralize the invasiveness of SARS-CoV BJ01 itself into Vero cells [29]. Further investigations are required to demonstrate whether this serum could prevent the cells from the invasiveness of the SARS-CoV PUMC01 or others of the genotype T.

If, in the future, a SARS-CoV epidemic appears again, after rounds of random mutations, it might cause slight clinical symptoms at the initial stage of the infection. Through positive Darwinian selection, a virus with greater virulence might cause more severe clinical symptoms. A period of neu-



Fig. 4. Distribution of SARS-CoVs in three groups, two genotypes and eight sub-genotypes.

Table 5 Comparison of the case fatality rates and genotypes of SARS-CoV in different regions

Region	Cumulative number of case	Number of death	Case fatality ratio (%)	C genotype	T genotype
Mainland China	5327	349	7	42	11
Beijing	2521	193	8	14	5
Guangdong	1512	58	4	26	3
Shanghai	8	2	25	2	1
Zhejiang	4	1	25	0	1
Hubei	7	1	14	0	1
Hong Kong	1755	299	17	3	12
Singapore	238	33	14	0	24
Taiwan	346	37	11	0	22
Canada	251	43	17	0	1
Germany	9	0	0	0	2
Italy	4	0	0	0	2
Russian	1	0	0	0	1
Thailand	9	2	22	0	1
Other	156	11	7	0	0
Total	8096	774	10	45	76

trality selection and negative selection might follow, and different symptoms and case fatality rates might appear. On the other hand, different epidemic features might occur if there are stable intermediate hosts for SARS-CoVs of the group 2 (such as SARS-CoV of genotype T) after the first epidemic, and these viruses might cause epidemics in man again.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely thank Charles Bernstein PhD, at Research in Medicine, University of Manitoba, Canada, for English assistance. We are grateful for the critical technical assistances supplied by Niu Yu-Xin PhD, at James D. Watson Institute of Genome Sciences, Zhejiang University, China, and Zuyuan Xu PhD, at Research in Medicine, University of Manitoba, Canada.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.febslet. 2005.07.075.

References

- Groneberg, D.A., Zhang, L., Welte, T., Zabel, P. and Chung, K.F. (2003) Severe acute respiratory syndrome: global initiatives for disease diagnosis. QJM 96, 845–852.
- [2] Peiris, J.S., Lai, S.T., Poon, L.L., Guan, Y., Yam, L.Y., Lim, W., Nicholls, J., Yee, W.K., Yan, W.W., Cheung, M.T., Cheng, V.C., Chan, K.H., Tsang, D.N., Yung, R.W., Ng, T.K. and Yuen, K.Y. (2003) Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 361, 1319–1325.
- [3] Drosten, C., Gunther, S., Preiser, W., van der Werf, S., Brodt, H.R., Becker, S., Rabenau, H., Panning, M., Kolesnikova, L., Fouchier, R.A., Berger, A., Burguiere, A.M., Cinatl, J., Eickmann, M., Escriou, N., Grywna, K., Kramme, S., Manuguerra, J.C., Muller, S., Rickerts, V., Sturmer, M., Vieth, S., Klenk, H.D., Osterhaus, A.D., Schmitz, H. and Doerr, H.W. (2003) Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 348, 1967–1976.
- [4] Ksiazek, T.G., Erdman, D., Goldsmith, C.S., Zaki, S.R., Peret, T., Emery, S., Tong, S., Urbani, C., Comer, J.A., Lim, W., Rollin, P.E., Dowell, S.F., Ling, A.E., Humphrey, C.D., Shieh, W.J., Guarner, J., Paddock, C.D., Rota, P., Fields, B., DeRisi, J., Yang, J.Y., Cox, N., Hughes, J.M., LeDuc, J.W., Bellini, W.J. and Anderson, L.J. (2003) A novel coronavirus associated

with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 348, 1953-1966.

- [5] Guan, Y., Zheng, B.J., He, Y.Q., Liu, X.L., Zhuang, Z.X., Cheung, C.L., Luo, S.W., Li, P.H., Zhang, L.J., Guan, Y.J., Butt, K.M., Wong, K.L., Chan, K.W., Lim, W., Shortridge, K.F., Yuen, K.Y., Peiris, J.S. and Poon, L.L. (2003) Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China. Science 302, 276–278.
- [6] Stadler, K., Masignani, V., Eickmann, M., Becker, S., Abrignani, S., Klenk, H.D. and Rappuoli, R. (2003) SARS-beginning to understand a new virus. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 1, 209–218.
- [7] Chen, W., Yan, M., Yang, L., Ding, B., He, B., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Liu, C., Zhu, H., You, B., Huang, S., Zhang, J., Mu, F., Xiang, Z., Feng, X., Wen, J., Fang, J., Yu, J., Yang, H. and Wang, J. (2005) SARS-associated coronavirus transmitted from human to pig. Emerg. Infect .Dis. 11, 446–448.
- [8] Antia, R., Regoes, R.R., Koella, J.C. and Bergstrom, C.T. (2003) The role of evolution in the emergence of infectious diseases. Nature 426, 658–661.
- [9] Lim, P.L., Kurup, A., Gopalakrishna, G., Chan, K.P., Wong, C.W., Ng, L.C., Se-Thoe, S.Y., Oon, L., Bai, X., Stanton, L.W., Ruan, Y., Miller, L.D., Vega, V.B., James, L., Ooi, P.L., Kai, C.S., Olsen, S.J., Ang, B. and Leo, Y.S. (2004) Laboratoryacquired severe acute respiratory syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 350, 1740–1745.
- [10] WHO. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) in Taiwan, China. 17 December 2003. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/don/2003_12_17/en/>.
- WHO. SARS: one suspected case reported in China. 22 April 2004. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/don/2004_04_22/ en/>.
- [12] WHO. Review of probable and laboratory-confirmed SARS cases in southern China. 27 January 2004. Available from: http:// www.who.int/csr/don/2004_01_27/en/>.
- [13] Ruan, Y.J., Wei, C.L., Ee, A.L., Vega, V.B., Thoreau, H., Su, S.T., Chia, J.M., Ng, P., Chiu, K.P., Lim, L., Zhang, T., Peng, C.K., Lin, E.O., Lee, N.M., Yee, S.L., Ng, L.F., Chee, R.E., Stanton, L.W., Long, P.M. and Liu, E.T. (2003) Comparative full-length genome sequence analysis of 14 SARS coronavirus isolates and common mutations associated with putative origins of infection. Lancet 361, 1779–1785.
- [14] Li, L., Wang, Z., Lu, Y., Bao, Q., Chen, S., Wu, N., Cheng, S., Weng, J., Zhang, Y., Yan, J., Mei, L., Wang, X., Zhu, H., Yu, Y., Zhang, M., Li, M., Yao, J., Lu, Q., Yao, P., Bo, X., Wo, J., Wang, S. and Hu, S. (2003) Severe acute respiratory syndromeassociated coronavirus genotype and its characterization. Chin. Med. J. 116, 1288–1292.
- [15] Gao, L., Qi, J., Wei, H.B., Sun, Y.G. and Hao, B.L. (2003) Molecular phylogeny of coronaviruses including human SARS-CoV. Chin. Sci. Bull. 48, 1170–1174.
- [16] Qi, Z., Hu, Y., Li, W., Chen, Y.J., Zhang, Z.H., Sun, S.w., Lu, H.C., Zhang, J.F., Bu, D.B., Ling, L.J. and Chen, R.S. (2003) Phylogeny of SARS-CoV as inferred from complete genome comparison. Chin. Sci. Bull. 48, 1175–1178.

- [17] Tsui, S.K., Chim, S.S. and Lo, Y.M. (2003) Coronavirus genomicsequence variations and the epidemiology of the severe acute respiratory syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 349, 187–188.
- [18] Wang, Z.G., Li, L.J., Luo, Y., Zhang, J.Y., Wang, M.Y., Cheng, S.Y., Zhang, Y.J., Wang, X.M., Lu, Y.Y., Wu, N.P., Mei, L.L. and Wang, Z.X. (2004) Molecular biological analysis of genotyping and phylogeny of severe acute respiratory syndrome associated coronavirus. Chin. Med. J. 117, 42–48.
- [19] Chinese SARS Molecular Epidemiology Consortium (2004) Molecular evolution of the coronavirus during the course of the SARS epidemic in China. Science 303, 1666–1669.
- [20] Ministry of Public Health. Ministry of public health announces the investigation result of epidemic of SARS happens in Beijing, Anhui. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.cn/dsyyw/xwfb/1200407010015.htm>.
- [21] Stavrinides, J. and Guttman, D.S. (2004) Mosaic evolution of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J. Virol. 78, 76–82.
- [22] Chim, S.S., Tsui, S.K., Chan, K.C., Au, T.C., Hung, E.C., Tong, Y.K., Chiu, R.W., Ng, E.K., Chan, P.K., Chu, C.M., Sung, J.J., Tam, J.S., Fung, K.P., Waye, M.M., Lee, C.Y., Yuen, K.Y. and Lo, Y.M. (2003) Genomic characterisation of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus of Amoy Gardens outbreak in Hong Kong. Lancet 362, 1807–1808.
- [23] Guan, Y., Peiris, J.S., Zheng, B., Poon, L.L., Chan, K.H., Zeng, F.Y., Chan, C.W., Chan, M.N., Chen, J.D., Chow, K.Y., Hon, C.C., Hui, K.H., Li, J., Li, V.Y., Wang, Y., Leung, S.W., Yuen, K.Y. and Leung, F.C. (2004) Molecular epidemiology of the novel coronavirus that causes severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 363, 98–104.
- [24] Yeh, S.H., Wang, H.Y., Tsai, C.Y., Kao, C.L., Yang, J.Y., Liu, H.W., Su, I.J., Tsai, S.F., Chen, D.S. and Chen, P.J. (2004) Characterization of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus genomes in Taiwan: molecular epidemiology and genome evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 2542–2546.
- [25] Zhao, Z., Li, H., Wu, X., Zhong, Y., Zhang, K., Zhang, Y.P., Boerwinkle, E. and Fu, Y.X. (2004) Moderate mutation rate in the SARS coronavirus genome and its implications. BMC Evol. Biol. 4, 1–9.
- [26] Zhang, X., Li, H. and Zheng, K. (2003) Isolation, identification and the variance of a coronavirus from an imputting SARS case. Chin. J. Microbiol. Immunol. 23, 409–413.
- [27] Zheng, K., Zhang, X. and Zheng, H.Y. (2003) Sequence analysis of the complete S gene of SARS-CoV isolated in Guangdong province. South China J. Prec. Med. 29, 5–8.
- [28] Leung, W.K., To, K.F., Chan, P.K., Chan, H.L., Wu, A.K., Lee, N., Yuen, K.Y. and Sung, J.J. (2003) Enteric involvement of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus infection. Gastroenterology 1254, 1011–1017.
- [29] Tang, L., Zhu, Q., Qin, E., Yu, M., Ding, Z., Shi, H., Cheng, X., Wang, C., Chang, G., Zhu, Q., Fang, F., Chang, H., Li, S., Zhang, X., Chen, X., Yu, J., Wang, J. and Chen, Z. (2004) Inactivated SARS-CoV vaccine prepared from whole virus induces a high level of neutralizing antibodies in BALB/c mice. DNA Cell Biol. 23, 391–394.