

# Screening of Toxin Genes in Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Clinical Isolates from a Hospital Setting in a Tertiary Hospital in Northern Cyprus

TCHAMOU M.F. POTINDJI<sup>1</sup>, OSAID A.A. MOMANI<sup>1</sup>, BAKARE B. OMOWUMI<sup>1</sup> and BUKET BADDAL<sup>1, 2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus

<sup>2</sup> Microbial Pathogenesis Research Group, DESAM Institute, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus

Submitted 23 June 2022, accepted 29 August 2022, published online 12 November 2022

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a significant opportunistic pathogen with a wide repertoire of virulence characteristics. Data regarding the molecular profile of MRSA in Northern Cyprus is limited. The current study aimed to examine the virulence profiles of MRSA with a focus on toxin-associated factors. Ninetyone S. aureus isolates collected at a university hospital were included in the study. Identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing were performed with BD Phoenix<sup>™</sup> automated system. Methicillin resistance was evaluated by the disc diffusion assay and mecA detection. The presence of nuc was confirmed by conventional PCR. Confirmed MRSA isolates were assessed for the presence of virulence genes hla, eta, etb, etd and tst using molecular methods. Among 91 S. aureus isolates identified as MRSA using the BD Phoenix<sup>™</sup> platform, 80.85% (n = 76/91) were confirmed as MRSA using phenotypic and genotypic methods. All confirmed MRSA isolates (n=76, 100%) were positive for the nuc. MRSA rates were statistically higher in elderly inpatients. The prevalence of toxin-encoding genes was 97.3% (n = 74/76) for *hla*, 2.63% (n = 2/76) for *eta*, 1.3% (n = 1/76) for *etb*,





Keywords: methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, toxins, virulence, Northern Cyprus

# Introduction

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) represents one of the most concerning pathogens worldwide, responsible for community-acquired and hospital-acquired infections (Kourtis et al. 2019; Turner et al. 2019). According to the Centers for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC), antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* causes over 2 million cases of disease and 23,000 deaths each year in the United States alone (Okwu et al. 2019). In addition to their intrinsic resistance to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, hospital-associated MRSA strains often exhibit a variable yet alarming level of

multi-drug resistance which narrows treatment alternatives to the limited remaining efficient drugs (Bispo et al. 2020; Jernigan et al. 2020).

The molecular characteristics of *S. aureus* can change over time, and the population structure varies regionally, according to epidemiological studies of *S. aureus* (Barcudi et al. 2020; Junnila et al. 2020; Lu et al. 2021). Over 94% of *S. aureus* strains are reported to be resistant to penicillin and its derivatives due to the release of the penicillinase enzyme, beta-lactamase, which inhibits penicillin by hydrolyzing the beta-lactam ring (Algammal et al. 2020). MRSA is characterized predominantly by the presence of either the *mecA* gene or

© 2022 Tchamou M.F. Potindji et al.

#### Abstract

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: B. Baddal, Department of Medical Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus; Microbial Pathogenesis Research Group, DESAM Institute, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus; email: buket.baddal@neu.edu.tr

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

its homologs *mecB*, *mecC* and *mecD*, that are located on the staphylococcal chromosomal cassette *mec* (*SCCmec* type I–XIV) and code for the penicillin-binding protein 2a (PBP2-a) that has a reduced affinity for beta-lactam antibiotics (Urushibara et al. 2020; Uehara 2022).

From a clinical perspective, the increasing use of molecular and other bioinformatics tools has facilitated the mapping of the *S. aureus* virulome and clarified its epidemiological and clinical significance. *S. aureus* generates an array of virulence factors that allow the bacteria to survive extreme conditions within the human host and damages biological membranes, resulting in cell death (Shettigar and Murali 2020; Nisar et al. 2021). *S. aureus* maintains fine control of the expression of virulence factors which include hemolysins, leukocidins, proteases, exfoliative toxins, enterotoxins, and immunemodulatory factors.

The development of clinical management and infection control policies presents a significant challenge as there is still insufficient data on the infection transmission rate and clone characteristics. It is, therefore, of paramount importance to investigate the epidemiology and the molecular profile of *S. aureus*. The current study aims to characterize toxin-associated virulence determinants in a wide range of clinical isolates in a previously understudied region of Northern Cyprus.

# Experimental

# Materials and Methods

Clinical isolates. In total, 91 clinical non-repetitive *S. aureus* strains isolated between January 2012 and November 2020, identified initially to be MRSA by BD Phoenix<sup>™</sup> 100 automated identification and antibiotic susceptibility system, were collected and investigated in this study. Isolates were cultured from wound/abscess, blood, bronchoalveolar lavage, nasal swab, tracheal aspiration, and sputum samples from different departments at the Near East University Hospital in Northern Cyprus.

Identification and phenotypic detection of methicillin resistance. All isolates were cultured on sheep blood agar. Agar plates were incubated at 35°C for 24–48 h in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The isolates were subsequently confirmed as *S. aureus* based on colony morphology and ability to coagulate human plasma. Methicillin resistance was assessed using the disc diffusion method with cefoxitin (30  $\mu$ g) (Bioanalyse, Turkey) on Mueller-Hinton agar (Difco, Becton Dickinson, USA) plates. Antibiotic susceptibility was assessed with the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing guidelines (EUCAST 2020).

**DNA extraction.** Rapid extraction of genomic DNA was performed with the boiling method described by

Barbosa et al. (2016). Briefly, a few colonies cultured on blood agar were suspended in nuclease-free water in a microcentrifuge tube. The cell suspension was then incubated at 100°C for 15 min and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min to sediment the debris. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and utilized as a DNA template in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions.

Molecular identification of the isolates. The preliminary identification procedures were followed by the PCR analysis using S. aureus species-specific thermonuclease (nuc) primers, as previously shown (Amin et al. 2020). The nuc gene was amplified in a 25-µl reaction which contained: PCR Master Mix 2× (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), Taq DNA polymerase (0.05 U/µl), 0.4 mM of each dNTP, 4 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 4 µl of template DNA, and 10 pmol of forward and reverse primer nuc-F 5'-GCGATTGATGGTGATACGGTT-3', nuc-R 5'-AGCCAAGCCTTGACGAACTAAAGC-3'. DNA amplification was performed involving denaturation at 94°C for 10 min, 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR detection of mecA confirmed the methicillin resistance. Amplification was achieved as described before (Rahman et al. 2018) except for a denaturation step at 94°C for 10 min. The strain of S. aureus SCCmec type IV (mecA+, pvl-, nuc+) was used as a positive control. Distilled water was used as a negative control. Verification of PCR products was obtained using 1.5% agarose gel. Ethidium bromide was used for staining the gels, and amplicons were observed with MiniBIS Pro Gel Documentation Platform (DNR, Israel).

Screening for virulence genes in MRSA. The occurrence of virulence-associated genes was investigated by PCR detection of *hla*, *eta*, *etb*, *etd* and *tst* in all confirmed MRSA isolates. Single PCR reactions were performed as described above. PCR amplification for each primer set was performed using Bio-Rad MyCycler<sup>™</sup> Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Israel) according to the cycling parameters summarized in Table I. PCR products were analyzed with gel electrophoresis through 2% agarose gel and visualized using a transilluminator. The positive controls included the genomic DNA from isolates in which the presence of the genes mentioned above was formerly found in the genome.

Statistical analysis. Statistical data analysis was performed using SPSS Version 25.0 (SPSS, Inc., USA). Comparison of variables was achieved using independent t-tests and Chi-square test of association. A *p*-Value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

**Ethics approval.** The Institutional Review Board approved this study at Near East University with a waiver of patient consent (YDU/2020/80-1115, YDU/ 2021/90-1331).

Target	Sequence (from 5' to 3')	Product size (bp)	Annealing temp. (°C)	Reference		
mecA						
Forward	AAAATCGATGGTAAAGGTTGGC	522	55	Kot et al. 2020		
Reverse	AGTTCTGCAGTACCGGATTTGC					
пис						
Forward	GCGATTGATGGTGATACGGTT	279	55	Amin et al. 2020		
Reverse	AGCCAAGCCTTGACGAACTAAAGC					
hla						
Forward	CTGATTACTATCCAAGAAATTCGATTG	209	57	Rasheed and Hussein 2020		
Reverse	CTTTCCAGCCTACTTTTTTATCAGT	209				
eta						
Forward	GCAGGTGTTGATTTAGCATT	93	58	Rasheed and Hussein 2020		
Reverse	AGATGTCCCTATTTTTGCTG	,,,	50			
etb						
Forward	ACAAGCAAAAGAATACAGCG	226	50	Rasheed and Hussein 2020		
Reverse	GTTTTTGGCTGCTTCTCTTG	220				
etd						
Forward	AACTATCATGTATCAAGG	376	47	Liu et al. 2018		
Reverse	CAGAATTTCCCGACTCAG	570				
tst						
Forward	ACCCCTGTTCCCTTATCATC	326	57	Rasheed and Hussein 2020		
Reverse	TTTTCAGTATTTGTAACGCC					

Table I Oligonucleotides used in this study.

#### Results

**Patients features.** A total of 91 non-duplicate samples were initially screened. Of these, 80.85% (76/91) strains were identified as MRSA using phenotypic and genotypic methods, among which 57.9% (44/76) were recovered from male patients. The distribution of isolates according to patient admission status indicated that 75% (57/76) of the isolates were obtained from inpatients. Despite the predominance of the male gen-

Table II Distribution of MRSA isolates according to age, gender, and admission status.

Demographic data	n (%)	<i>p</i> -value			
Age groups					
Under 15	2 (2.6)	<0.005			
15-44	16 (21.1)				
45-64	22 (28.9)				
65 and above	36 (47.4)				
Gender					
Male	44 (57.9)	0.107			
Female	32 (42.1)				
Admissions					
Inpatients	57 (75)	< 0.001			
Outpatients	19 (25)				

der, no statistically significant difference was observed in gender distribution across inpatient and outpatient groups (p = 0.107) (Table II). Patient age at admission ranged from 1 to 99 years (mean: 60.16, median: 63.00, standard deviation: 22.21), and the majority of patients with MRSA infection were over the age of 45 (p < 0.005) (Table II) with the inpatients group being significantly older (p < 0.001). No significant association was observed between patient age and gender (p = 0.901).

Majority of the isolates obtained in this study originated from patients admitted to cardiology (n = 14; 18.4%), pulmonary infections (n = 10; 13.2%), infectious diseases (n = 10; 13.2%), anesthesiology (n = 7; 9.2%), orthopedics and traumatology (n = 6; 7.9%), cardiovascular surgery (n = 5; 6.6%), general surgery (n = 5; 6.6%), neurosurgery (n = 4; 5.3%), dermatology (n = 4; 5.3%), brain surgery (n = 2; 2.6%), gastroenterology (n = 2; 2.6%), intensive care unit (n = 2; 2.6%), and the remaining departments; dialysis, neurology, plastic surgery, urology, and pediatrics (n = 5; 6.6%). The distribution of isolates by the hospital department is shown in Table III.

Majority of the samples from which MRSA were cultured were isolated from abscess-wound (n = 19; 25.0%), blood (n = 17; 22.4%), nasal swabs (n = 13; 17.1%), and tracheal aspirates (n = 13; 17.1%). The distribution of the isolates according to the sample type is given in Table IV.

Table III Distribution of MRSA isolates according to the hospital department.

Department	n (%)
Cardiology	14 (18.4)
Pulmonary infections	10 (13.2)
Infectious diseases	10 (13.2)
Anesthesiology	7 (9.2)
Orthopedics and traumatology	6 (7.9)
Cardiovascular surgery	5 (6.6)
General surgery	5 (6.6)
Dermatology	4 (5.3)
Neurosurgery	4 (5.3)
Brain surgery	2 (2.6)
Gastroenterology	2 (2.6)
Intensive care unit	2 (2.6)
Dialysis	1 (1.3)
Neurology	1 (1.3)
Pediatrics	1 (1.3)
Plastic surgery	1 (1.3)
Urology	1 (1.3)
Total	76 (100)

**Confirmation of MRSA isolates.** Seventy-six isolates that were found to be non-susceptible to cefoxitin in the disc diffusion assay were verified to be MRSA with the amplification of the *mecA* gene via single-target PCR. The presence of the *mecA* gene is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

**Detection of virulence determinants.** Among the investigated virulence genes, the α-toxin encoding gene

 Table IV

 Distribution of MRSA isolates according to the sample source.

Sample source	n (%)
Abscess-wound	19 (25.0)
Blood	17 (22.4)
Nasal swab	13 (17.1)
Tracheal aspirate	13 (17.1)
Sputum	5 (6.6)
Urine	4 (5.3)
Catheter tip	3 (3.9)
Bronchioalveolar lavage	1 (1.3)
Urethral swab	1 (1.3)
Total	76 (100)

(*hla*) was found in 97.3% (n = 74/76) of the isolates and it was the most frequently virulence gene detected. Among the MRSA isolates, the frequencies of exfoliative toxin A, B, D and TSST-1 encoding genes (*eta*, *etb*, *etd*, *tst*) were 2.63% (n = 2/76), 1.3%, (n = 1/76), 0%, and 2.63% (n = 2/76), respectively.

# Discussion

MRSA represents a significant public health threat, particularly in developing countries owing to its ability to lead to life-threatening infections (Li et al. 2021; Pannewick et al. 2021). Regarding MRSA-induced infections and their burden in healthcare, studies focusing on regional epidemiology have demonstrated significant differences among regions (Gagliotti et al. 2021; Tsuzuki et al. 2021). For the first time, our present study pro-



Fig. 1. Molecular detection of the nuc, mecA, exfA, exfB, tst and hla genes by single target PCR.

PC – positive control, NC – negative control, M – 100 bp DNA ladder (Hibrigen) for *mecA* (533 bp) and *tst* (326 bp), 50 bp DNA ladder (Hibrigen) for *nuc* (270 bp), *exfA* (93 bp), *exfB* 226 bp) and *hla* (209 bp), bp – base pairs

vides insights into multiple virulence characteristics of *S. aureus* from clinical specimens in Northern Cyprus.

In the current study, at a trend analysis level, although the gender of the patients had no statistical association with the detection of MRSA, the isolation rate was markedly higher in males. MRSA infections occur less frequently in patients below 45 years of age. Both age and gender-related trends observed in this study were similar to those previously investigated by others (Pomorska-Wesołowska et al. 2017; Thorlacius-Ussing et al. 2019). The isolation frequency of MRSA was highest in wounds and abscesses (25%; n = 19/76) and blood samples (22%; n = 17/76). These findings reinforce the association of skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) as a predisposing factor to *S. aureus* bacteremia (Jorgensen et al. 2019; Horino and Hori 2020).

The effects of S. aureus virulome on the progression of infections have been broadly investigated (Lebughe et al. 2017; Park et al. 2019). While virulence genes can play an important role in the pathogenicity of S. aureus, the circulation of these genes may vary among strains. Therefore, defining the distribution of virulence-associated genes is invaluable for the epidemiological control of S. aureus. The hla gene was detected in 97% of MRSA strains and had the highest frequency of all genes among the virulence factors investigated. This finding is comparable to another study in China in which authors reported that 98.7% (n=224/227) of the S. aureus isolates were hla-positive (Li et al. 2019). In a separate investigation conducted in Iraq in 2020, S. aureus strains isolated from Syrian and Iraqi refugees were screened, and the *hla* gene was found in 93.4% (n = 117/125) of the Iraqi community. In contrast, the frequency of hla positivity was 71.4% (n=89/125) in the Syrian refugee group (Rasheed and Hussein 2020). Alpha-hemolysin is by far the most well studied among the S. aureus cytotoxins, as it is produced by many strains and is toxic to a broad spectrum of mammalian cells.

Exfoliative toxins secreted by *S. aureus* are essential virulence factors of the bacterium. In our study *eta* was detected in 3% (n = 2) and *etb* in 1.5% (n = 1) of the isolates, whereas *etd* was not detected among the isolates tested. Our study results differed from those obtained by Mohseni et al. in 2018, in which a high frequency of *eta* (76.7%), *etb* (16.7%), and *etd* (54%) in *S. aureus* clinical isolates was observed. These findings contrast with a previous study in Korea on staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome patient-derived strains, which reported 53.8% of MRSA isolates to be *etb*-positive (Choi et al. 2021). According to the literature, *eta* is more common in Europe, Africa, and North America, contributing to over 80% of exfoliative toxin-producing strains, whereas *etb* is more common in Japan (Mariutti et al. 2017).

Toxic shock syndrome (TSS) manifests as either nonmenstrual or menstrual-associated infection. However, cases of menstrual TSS are rarely seen (0.03-0.5/100.000), although the strains producing the toxin are often reported (Tong et al. 2015; Berger et al. 2019). It is suggests that the production of the toxin is under tight control (Tuffs et al. 2019). Only 3% (n = 2) of the isolates investigated in this study harbored the *tst* gene. In other studies, the prevalence of the toxin among the strains was found to be between 14% and 36.8% (Papadimitriou-Olivgeris et al. 2017; Shahini Shams-Abadi et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2019; Abbasi Montazeri et al. 2021).

In this study, we gained insights into the prevalence of toxin genes among S. aureus clinical isolates. We also identified that the elderly and inpatient population were at high risk of developing an MRSA infection. These findings are invaluable for the genetic characterization of bacterial isolates circulating in Northern Cyprus and call our attention to the need for regular surveillance of MRSA epidemiology. In-depth studies covering the clonal diversity of MRSA strains and the correlation of antimicrobial resistance and toxin gene profiles with specific clones have highlighted these features as variables driving the complex epidemiology of this pathogen (Peterson et al. 2019; Maalej et al. 2019). The recent development of rapid diagnostic technologies contributes to the fast and reliable identification of infectious pathogens. For example, integrated sensing platforms using microfluidics technology and mass spectrometry techniques such as MALDI-TOF have significantly increased the rate of detection of MRSA in clinical samples (Schulz et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2021). Concurrently, novel therapeutic approaches such as antivirulence drugs and phage therapy are being developed and hold promise for tackling antimicrobial resistance (He et al. 2021; Chang et al. 2022; Naorem et al. 2022).

# Conclusion

The data presented indicate that while most strains carry the alpha-toxin gene, the frequency of *tst*, *eta*, *etb*, and *etd* genes were considerably low in the strains circulating at the main hospital in this region. Our results provide new epidemiological data of *S. aureus* strains in this region.

# Limitations

This work represents a preliminary study with a limited sample size from a single center; therefore the data is not representative of isolates in all hospitals across Cyprus. Additional analyses with a higher number of isolates are required to identify the overall frequency of virulence determinants. Another limitation of the study was the absence of measurements of expression levels of the virulence factors at gene and protein levels.

#### D ORCID

Buket Baddal https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3319-2179

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the Near East University Hospital Microbiology Laboratory members for their assistance with the collection of specimens.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors do not report any financial or personal connections with other persons or organizations, which might negatively affect the contents of this publication and/or claim authorship rights to this publication.

#### Literature

Abbasi Montazeri E, Khosravi AD, Khazaei S, Sabbagh A. Prevalence of methicillin resistance and superantigenic toxins in Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from patients with cancer. BMC Microbiol. 2021 Dec;21(1):262.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-021-02319-7

Algammal AM, Hetta HF, Elkelish A, Alkhalifah DHH, Hozzein WN, Batiha GES, El Nahhas N, Mabrok MA. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): One health perspective approach to the bacterium epidemiology, virulence factors, antibiotic-resistance, and zoonotic impact. Infect Drug Resist. 2020 Sep; 13:3255-3265. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S272733

Amin DHM, Guler E, Baddal B. Prevalence of Panton-Valentine leukocidin in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolates at a university hospital in Northern Cyprus: A pilot study. BMC Res Notes. 2020 Oct 20;13(1):490.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-020-05339-0

Barbosa C, Nogueira S, Gadanho M, Chaves S. Chapter 7 - DNA extraction: finding the most suitable method. In: Cook N, D'Agostino M, Thompson KC, editors. Molecular microbial diagnostic methods. San Diego (USA): Academic Press; 2016. p. 135-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416999-9.00007-1

Barcudi D, Sosa EJ, Lamberghini R, Garnero A, Tosoroni D, Decca L, Gonzalez L, Kuyuk MA, Lopez T, Herrero I, et al.; Study Group of S. aureus in Córdoba, Argentina. MRSA dynamic circulation between the community and the hospital setting: new insights from a cohort study. J Infect. 2020 Jan;80(1):24-37.

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2019.10.001

Berger S, Kunerl A, Wasmuth S, Tierno P, Wagner K, Brügger J. Menstrual toxic shock syndrome: Case report and systematic review of the literature. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019 Sep;19(9):e313-e321. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30041-6

Bispo PJM, Ung L, Chodosh J, Gilmore MS. Hospital-associated multidrug-resistant MRSA lineages are trophic to the ocular surface and cause severe microbial keratitis. Front Public Health. 2020 Jun 3;8:204. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00204

Chang RYK, Nang SC, Chan HK, Li J. Novel antimicrobial agents for combating antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2022 Aug;187:114378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2022.114378 Choi JH, Lee H, Choi EH. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular analysis of Staphylococcus aureus in staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome among children in Korea. J Korean Med Sci. 2021;36(3):e22. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e22

EUCAST. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing EUCAST disk diffusion method. Version 8.0 January 2020. Basel (Switzerland): The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 2020 [cited 2022 Apr 8]. Available from https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\_files/Disk\_test\_documents/2020\_ manuals/Manual\_v\_8.0\_EUCAST\_Disk\_Test\_2020.pdf

Gagliotti C, Högberg LD, Billström H, Eckmanns T, Giske CG, Heuer OE, Jarlier V, Kahlmeter G, Lo Fo Wong D, Monen J, et al.; EARS-Net study group participants. Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections: diverging trends of meticillin-resistant and meticillin-susceptible isolates, EU/EEA, 2005 to 2018. Euro Surveill. 2021 Nov 18;26(46):2002094.

#### https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.46.2002094

He S, Deng Q, Liang B, Yu F, Yu X, Guo D, Liu X, Dong H. Suppressing alpha-hemolysin as potential target to screen of flavonoids to combat bacterial coinfection. Molecules. 2021 Dec 14;26(24):7577. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26247577

Horino T, Hori S. Metastatic infection during Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. J Infect Chemother. 2020 Feb;26(2):162-169.

# https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2019.10.003

Jernigan JA, Hatfield KM, Wolford H, Nelson RE, Olubajo B, Reddy SC, McCarthy N, Paul P, McDonald LC, Kallen A, et al. Multidrug-resistant bacterial infections in U.S. hospitalized patients, 2012-2017. N Engl J Med. 2020 Apr 02;382(14):1309-1319.

# https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1914433

Jorgensen SCJ, Lagnf AM, Bhatia S, Singh NB, Shammout LK, Davis SL, Rybak MJ. Diagnostic stewardship: A clinical decision rule for blood cultures in community-onset methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) skin and soft tissue infections. Infect Dis Ther. 2019 Jun;8(2):229-242.

#### https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-019-0238-1

Junnila J, Hirvioja T, Rintala E, Auranen K, Rantakokko-Jalava K, Silvola J, Lindholm L, Gröndahl-Yli-Hannuksela K, Marttila H, Vuopio J. Changing epidemiology of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a low endemicity area - new challenges for MRSA control. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2020 Dec;39(12):2299-2307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-03824-9

Kot B, Wierzchowska K, Piechota M, Gruzewska A. Antimicrobial resistance patterns in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from patients hospitalized during 2015-2017 in hospitals in Poland. Med Princ Pract. 2020;29(1):61-68.

### https://doi.org/10.1159/000501788

Kourtis AP, Hatfield K, Baggs J, Mu Y, See I, Epson E, Nadle J, Kainer MA, Dumyati G, Petit S, et al.; Emerging Infections Program MRSA author group. Vital Signs: Epidemiology and recent trends in methicillin-resistant and in methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections - United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019 Mar 08;68(9):214-219.

https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6809e1

Lebughe M, Phaku P, Niemann S, Mumba D, Peters G, Muyembe-Tamfum JJ, Mellmann A, Strauß L, Schaumburg F. The impact of the Staphylococcus aureus virulome on infection in a developing country: A cohort study. Front Microbiol. 2017 Aug 29;8(AUG):1662. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01662

Li X, Huang T, Xu K, Li C, Li Y. Molecular characteristics and virulence gene profiles of Staphylococcus aureus isolates in Hainan, China. BMC Infect Dis. 2019 Dec;19(1):873.

#### https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4547-5

Li Z, Zhuang H, Wang G, Wang H, Dong Y. Prevalence, predictors, and mortality of bloodstream infections due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in patients with malignancy: Systemic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Dec;21(1):74. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-05763-y

Liu B, Sun H, Pan Y, Zhai Y, Cai T, Yuan X, Gao Y, He D, Liu J, Yuan L, et al. Prevalence, resistance pattern, and molecular characterization of Staphylococcus aureus isolates from healthy animals and sick populations in Henan Province, China. Gut Pathog. 2018 Jul 17;10(1):31.

### https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-018-0254-9

Lu H, Zhao L, Si Y, Jian Y, Wang Y, Li T, Dai Y, Huang Q, Ma X, He L, et al. The surge of hypervirulent ST398 MRSA lineage with higher biofilm-forming ability is a critical threat to clinics. Front Microbiol. 2021 Mar 4;12(March):636788.

#### https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.636788

Maalej SM, Trabelsi JJ, Claude-alexandre G, Boutiba I, Mastouri M, Besbes S, Barguellil F, Laurent F, Hammami A. Antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tunisia: Results of a multicenter study. J Infect Dis Epidemiol. 2019 Mar 11;5(2):071.

#### https://doi.org/10.23937/2474-3658/1510071

Mariutti RB, Tartaglia NR, Seyffert N, de Paula Castro T, Arni RK, Azevedo VA, Le Loir Y, Nishifuji K. Exfoliative toxins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. In: Enany S, Alexander LEC, editors. The rise of virulence and antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. London (UK): IntechOpen; 2017.

#### https://doi.org/10.5772/66528

**Mohseni M, Rafiei F, Ghaemi EA.** High frequency of exfoliative toxin genes among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical specimens in the north of Iran: Alarm for the health of individuals under risk. Iran J Microbiol. 2018 Jun;10(3):158–165.

Naorem RS, Pangabam BD, Bora SS, Goswami G, Barooah M, Hazarika DJ, Fekete C. Identification of putative vaccine and drug targets against the methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by reverse vaccinology and subtractive genomics approaches. Molecules. 2022 Mar 24;27(7):2083.

# https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27072083

**Nisar S, Kirkpatrick LD, Shupp JW.** Bacterial virulence factors and their contribution to pathophysiology after thermal injury. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2021 Feb;22(1):69–76.

### https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2020.188

**Okwu MU, Olley M, Akpoka AO, Izevbuwa OE.** Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and anti-MRSA activities of extracts of some medicinal plants: A brief review. AIMS Microbiol. 2019 Apr 15;5(2):117–137.

#### https://doi.org/10.3934/microbiol.2019.2.117

**Pannewick B, Baier C, Schwab F, Vonberg RP.** Infection control measures in nosocomial MRSA outbreaks – Results of a systematic analysis. PLoS One. 2021 Apr 7;16(4):e0249837.

# https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249837

Papadimitriou-Olivgeris M, Drougka E, Fligou F, Dodou V, Kolonitsiou F, Filos KS, Anastassiou ED, Petinaki E, Marangos M, Spiliopoulou I. Spread of *Tst*-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains belonging to ST30 clone among patients and healthcare workers in two intensive care units. Toxins (Basel). 2017 Sep 4;9(9):270. https://doi.org/10.3390/TOXINS9090270

Park KH, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Cunningham SA, Rajagopalan G, Chia N, Jeraldo PR, Mandrekar J, Patel R. Lack of correlation of virulence gene profiles of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia isolates with mortality. Microb Pathog. 2019 Aug;133:103543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103543

Peterson JC, Durkee H, Miller D, Maestre-Mesa J, Arboleda A, Aguilar MC, Relhan N, Flynn HW Jr, Amescua G, Parel JM, et al. Molecular epidemiology and resistance profiles among healthcareand community-associated *Staphylococcus aureus* keratitis isolates. Infect Drug Resist. 2019 Apr;12:831–843.

#### https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S190245

Pomorska-Wesołowska M, Różańska A, Natkaniec J, Gryglewska B, Szczypta A, Dzikowska M, Chmielarczyk A, Wójkowska-Mach J. Longevity and gender as the risk factors of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in southern Poland. BMC Geriatr. 2017 Dec;17(1):51.

#### https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-017-0442-3

Rahman MM, Amin KB, Rahman SMM, Khair A, Rahman M, Hossain A, Rahman AKMA, Parvez MS, Miura N, Alam MM. Investigation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among clinical isolates from humans and animals by culture methods and multiplex PCR. BMC Vet Res. 2018 Oct 3;14(1):300.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-018-1611-0

**Rasheed NA, Hussein NR.** Characterization of different virulent factors in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates recovered from Iraqis and Syrian refugees in Duhok city, Iraq. PLoS One. 2020 Aug 17;15(8):e0237714.

#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237714

Schulz M, Calabrese S, Hausladen F, Wurm H, Drossart D, Stock K, Sobieraj AM, Eichenseher F, Loessner MJ, Schmelcher M, et al. Point-of-care testing system for digital single cell detection of MRSA directly from nasal swabs. Lab Chip. 2020 Jul 14;20(14):2549–2561. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0LC00294A

Shahini Shams-Abadi M, Halaji M, Hoseini-Alfatemi SM, Gholipour A, Mojtahedi A, Sedigh Ebrahim-Saraie H. Epidemiology of toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 harboring *Staphylococcus aureus* obtained from clinical samples in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Ig. 2018 Sep–Oct;30(5):391–400.

### https://doi.org/10.7416/ai.2018.2239

**Shettigar K, Murali TS.** Virulence factors and clonal diversity of *Staphylococcus aureus* in colonization and wound infection with emphasis on diabetic foot infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2020 Dec;39(12):2235–2246.

#### https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-03984-8

Thorlacius-Ussing L, Sandholdt H, Larsen AR, Petersen A, Benfield T. Age-dependent increase in incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia, Denmark, 2008–2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019 May;25(5):875–882. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2505.181733

Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG Jr. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015 Jul;28(3):603–661. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14

Tsuzuki S, Matsunaga N, Yahara K, Shibayama K, Sugai M, Ohmagari N. Disease burden of bloodstream infections caused by antimicrobial-resistant bacteria: A population-level study, Japan, 2015–2018. Int J Infect Dis. 2021 Jul;108:119–124.

# https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.05.018

Tuffs SW, Herfst CA, Baroja ML, Podskalniy VA, DeJong EN, Coleman CEM, McCormick JK. Regulation of toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 by the accessory gene regulator in *Staphylococcus aureus* is mediated by the repressor of toxins. Mol Microbiol. 2019 Oct;112(4):1163–1177. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14353

Turner NA, Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Maskarinec SA, Eichenberger EM, Shah PP, Carugati M, Holland TL, Fowler VG Jr. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: An overview of basic and clinical research. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019 Apr;17(4):203–218. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0147-4

**Uehara Y.** Current status of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*). Antibiotics (Basel). 2022 Jan 11;11(1):86. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11010086

**Urushibara N, Aung MS, Kawaguchiya M, Kobayashi N.** Novel staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) type XIV (5A) and a truncated SCC*mec* element in SCC composite islands carrying *speG* in ST5 MRSA in Japan. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020 Jan 1;75(1):46–50. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz406

Zhao H, Xu S, Yang H, He C, Xu X, Hu F, Shu W, Gong F, Zhang C, Liu Q. Molecular typing and variations in amount of *tst* gene expression of TSST-1-producing clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. Front Microbiol. 2019 Jun 19;10(JUN):1388.

# https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01388

Zhou W, Wu R, Duraiswamy S, Wang W, Zhu L, Wang Z. Development of microfluidic cartridge for culture-free detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* in blood. J Micromech Microeng. 2021 May 01; 31(5):055012. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6439/abf32f