

Sanne van der Zwaan  
 Roos J. Blankespoor  
 Anton M. H. Wolters  
 Caroline Creten  
 Piet L. J. M. Leroy  
 Jan N. M. Schieveld

**Additional use  
 of methotrimeprazine  
 for treating refractory agitation  
 in pediatric patients**

Accepted: 20 October 2011  
 Published online: 23 November 2011  
 © The Author(s) 2011. This article is  
 published with open access at  
 Springerlink.com

S. van der Zwaan and R. J. Blankespoor  
 contributed equally to this paper.

Dear Editor,  
 Refractory agitation (RA) is a fre-  
 quent and challenging problem in  
 patients in the pediatric intensive care  
 unit (PICU). After exclusion of  
 imminent hypoxia, the differential  
 diagnosis of RA includes: (i) delirium

due to the underlying critical illness,  
 and/or (ii) withdrawal, and/or (iii)  
 disinhibition/paradoxical arousal due  
 to benzodiazepines [1, 2]. In most  
 cases, haloperidol gives satisfactory  
 results [3], although RA may persist  
 despite this treatment. Also benzodi-  
 azepines are often given, but may be  
 ineffective or even contraindicated to  
 restore patient comfort. After all,  
 many patients in a PICU already  
 receive or have received benzodiazep-  
 ines and may have developed a  
 certain level of tolerance. In others,  
 benzodiazepines should be avoided  
 because of associated disinhibition,  
 which has particularly been observed  
 in critically and/or terminally ill  
 children [2]. Therefore, a rescue  
 strategy may be needed [1].

A possible solution for the treat-  
 ment of RA is the addition of  
 methotrimeprazine (MTZ, also  
 known as levomepromazine or Noz-  
 inan), an aliphatic phenothiazine  
 neuroleptic drug with a weak anti-  
 psychotic, but strong sedative effect.  
 This classic neuroleptic is commonly  
 used as co-medication in emergency  
 psychiatry in adults to treat acute  
 psychosis or mania by reducing levels

of agitation, anger, and aggressive-  
 ness [4], thereby improving comfort  
 for all—the patient, family, and  
 members of the multidisciplinary  
 team. There is no literature to allow  
 for advisory dosages of MTZ in  
 children with RA; however, in palli-  
 ative care 0.1 mg/kg IV/SC/8 h is  
 suggested [1]. In adults, maximum  
 dosages of t.i.d. 25–50 mg/orally/  
 24 h MTZ are common. Potential, but  
 rare side effects of MTZ include tar-  
 tive dyskinesia, akathisia, neuroleptic  
 malignant syndrome, and extrapyra-  
 midal and cholinergically mediated  
 side effects; a contraindication is  
 bone marrow depression [4].

We recently used MTZ in four  
 critically ill children presenting with  
 RA (patient characteristics are sum-  
 marized in Table 1). All patients  
 initially received standard analgose-  
 dative treatment according to  
 consensus guidelines [5]. Two  
 patients developed delirium for which  
 an individually titrated dosage of  
 haloperidol remained insufficient or  
 ineffective. A third patient suffered  
 from therapy-resistant agitation dur-  
 ing slowly weaning from sedation, for  
 which several analgosedatives were

**Table 1** Patient characteristics

Patient no.	Gender	Age (years)	Weight (kg)	Mortality	Medical condition	PIM score	PRISM score	Initial therapy for RA	Maximum rescue dosage methotrimeprazine
1	Male	13	62	No	Reduced consciousness caused by increased intracranial pressure, due to pilocytic astrocytoma in the third ventricle	1.91	1.73	Haloperidol	b.i.d. 6.25 mg orally
2	Male	5	19	No	Postoperative closing tracheostomy	1.12	1.12	Haloperidol	t.i.d. 1 mg intravenously
3	Male	15	20 <sup>a</sup>	Yes	Respiratory insufficiency due to a swollen tongue	17.14	0.42	Haloperidol Ketamine Benzodiazepines Alimemazine Apomorphine Chloral hydrate	b.i.d. 10 mg enterally
4	Female	0.7	6.8	Yes	Respiratory insufficiency due to pulmonary hypertension	3.97	6.08	Haloperidol Ketamine Benzodiazepines Alimemazine	q.i.d. 1 mg intravenously

<sup>a</sup> Low body weight due to severe mental retardation and bedridden state based on pontocerebellar hypoplasia type 2

unsatisfactorily given. The last patient experienced repeated periods of agitation due to progressive pulmonary hypertension, for which amongst others haloperidol seemed ineffective. In all cases, additional intravenous or enteral administered MTZ successfully reduced RA and restored the comfort of the child and everyone involved. No side effects were observed. Long-term outcome of our four patients varied: patients 3 and 4 were treated with MTZ in the terminal phase of palliative care and died peacefully, patient 2 did not experience further agitation after treatment, and patient 1 still receives MTZ successfully.

We conclude that once RA still continues after adequate 24-h dosages of D2-blocking agents, the addition of MTZ by trial and error titration might be a useful option [4]. We would like to reintroduce and underscore, on the basis of empirical evidence and by analogy to what is known in adults [1, 4], the use and effectiveness of MTZ in the treatment of RA in critically ill children in the PICU.

**Acknowledgments** We gratefully acknowledge Dr. O. Bekers, MSc, PhD, clinical chemist and R.W.M.A van der Zanden, PharmD, hospital pharmacist for their expertise and help regarding our patients.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

1. Goldman A, Hain R, Liben S (2006) Oxford textbook of palliative care for children. Oxford University Press, Oxford
2. Sadock BJ, Va S (2009) Kaplan and Sadock's comprehensive textbook of psychiatry, 9th edn. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia
3. Schieveld JN, Staal M, Voogd L, Fincken J, Vos G, van Os J (2010) Refractory agitation as a marker for pediatric delirium in very young infants at a pediatric intensive care unit. *Intensive Care Med* 36:1982–1983
4. Green B, Pettit T, Faith L, Seaton K (2004) Focus on levomepromazine. *Curr Med Res Opin* 20:1877–1881
5. Playfor S, Jenkins I, Boyles C, Choonara I, Davies G, Haywood T, Hinson G, Mayer A, Morton N, Ralph T, Wolf A, United Kingdom Paediatric Intensive Care Society Sedation, Analgesia and Neuromuscular Blockade Working Group (2006) Consensus guidelines on sedation and analgesia in critically ill children. *Intensive Care Med* 32:1125–1136

S. van der Zwaan · R. J. Blankespoor · A. M. H. Wolters · C. Creten · J. N. M. Schieveld (✉)  
Department of Psychiatry,  
Maastricht University Medical Centre  
(Maastricht UMC+), P.O. Box 5800,  
6202 AZ Maastricht, The Netherlands  
e-mail: jan.schieveld@mumc.nl  
Tel.: +31-43-3877499  
Fax: +31-43-3875444

P. L. J. M. Leroy  
Division of Pediatric Intensive Care,  
Department of Pediatrics,  
Maastricht University Medical Centre  
(Maastricht UMC+), Maastricht,  
The Netherlands