



# Article Mendelian Randomization Analysis of the Association of SOCS3 Methylation with Abdominal Obesity

Yuqian Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaotian Liu<sup>3</sup>, Runqi Tu<sup>3</sup>, Jian Hou<sup>3</sup> and Guihua Zhuang<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Departmentof Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Centre, Xi'an 710061, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Pharmacology, School of Pharmaceutical Science, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, China
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, China
- \* Correspondence: zhuanggh@mail.xjtu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-29-826-551-03

Abstract: This study was conducted to evaluate the potential causality association of SOCS3 methylation with abdominal obesity using Mendelian randomization. A case-control study, including 1064 participants, was carried out on Chinese subjects aged 18 to 79. MethylTargetTM was used to detect the methylation level for each CpG site of SOCS3, and SNPscan® was applied to measure the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping. The logistic regression was used to assess the relationship of SOCS3 methylation level and SNP genotyping with abdominal obesity. Three types of Mendelian randomization methods were implemented to examine the potential causality between SOCS3 methylation and obesity based on the SNP of SOCS3 as instrumental variables. SOCS3 methylation levels were inversely associated with abdominal obesity in five CpG sites (effect estimates ranged from 0.786 (Chr17:76356054) to 0.851 (Chr17:76356084)), and demonstrated positively association in 18 CpG sites (effect estimates ranged from 1.243 (Chr17:76354990) to 1.325 (Chr17:76355061)). The causal relationship between SOCS3 methylation and abdominal obesity was found using the maximum-likelihood method and Mendelian randomization method of penalized inverse variance weighted (MR-IVW), and the  $\beta$  values (95% CI) were 5.342 (0.215, 10.469) and 4.911 (0.259, 9.564), respectively. The causality was found between the SOCS3 methylation level and abdominal obesity in the Chinese population.

**Keywords:** abdominal obesity; SOCS3; methylation; single-nucleotide polymorphism; Mendelian randomization

# 1. Introduction

Obesity, a chronic metabolic disease, has attracted increasing attention worldwide [1]. Especially abdominal obesity, characterized by abnormal accumulation of fat in the abdomen, is associated with increased prevalence of numerous chronic non-communicable diseases, such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [2]. Epidemiological evidence indicated that the global prevalence of obesity has increased rapidly, from 3% in 1975 to 11% in 2016 among men, and correspondingly from 6% to 15% among women [3]. Moreover, previous research showed that the abdominal obesity prevalence has exceeded 30% in the Spanish population (31.2% in the subjects aged 3 to 24 years and 33.4% in the adults aged 25 to 64 years, respectively) [4,5]. In addition, a cross-sectional study, including 441,306 participants, showed that the abdominal obesity prevalence was 29.1% (277.8 million) among Chinese adults [6]. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the mechanisms of the genes and epigenetics in abdominal obesity development to prevent and control abdominal obesity and its complications, as well as reduce the disease burden.

The development of abdominal obesity is closely related to acquiring behavioral factors. However, genetic and epigenetic factors are the prerequisite for the occurrence of



Citation: Li, Y.; Liu, X.; Tu, R.; Hou, J.; Zhuang, G. Mendelian Randomization Analysis of the Association of SOCS3 Methylation with Abdominal Obesity. *Nutrients* 2022, 14, 3824. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nu14183824

Academic Editors: Fermin I. Milagro, Omar Ramos-Lopez and Daniel-Antonio de Luis Roman

Received: 29 July 2022 Accepted: 13 September 2022 Published: 16 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). obesity status, which determines whether an individual is at high risk of obesity. Previous evidence suggested that the suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3) played an essential role in the regulation of energy metabolism homeostasis, which inhibited the activity of insulin and leptin (characteristic feature in human obesity) [7,8]. One study in the Northern European population pointed out that the association of SOCS3 methylation level with obesity was significantly inverse [9]. A study conducted in a community population in New Haven revealed that subjects with obesity had lower methylation levels of SOCS3 [10]. In addition, an epigenome-wide study in 1066 women from the Sister Study cohort revealed that the SOCS3 methylation level decreased by 0.05% with each one-unit increase in body mass index (BMI) [11]. The above research highlighted the necessity to explore the substantial role of SOCS3 methylation under abnormal metabolic conditions, such as abdominal obesity.

Waist circumference (WC) is a crucial driver of risk for cardiovascular disease [12], and evidence from Chinese adults found that the association of type 2 diabetes risk with changes in WC was more robust than that with changes in BMI [13]. In addition, a previous study showed that obesity-related health risk was explained by WC and not by BMI in 14,924 adult participants who took part in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [14], and the association of WC with T2DM was independent of BMI [13]. To date, studies involved in the association of SOCS3 methylation with abdominal obesity have been limited, especially those conducted to infer the etiology of this association in an observational study. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the association between SOCS3 methylation and abdominal obesity. Furthermore, we conducted Mendelian randomization studies to explore whether there was causality between SOCS3 methylation levels and abdominal obesity by using the SNP of SOCS3 as instrumental variables.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Population

The participants came from the Henan Rural Cohort Study, which was conducted from July 2015 to September 2017 in five countries of Henan province in central China, using a multi-stage cluster sampling method. The respondents in this cohort were local residents aged from 18 to 79 years old and had no serious psychosomatic diseases. Detailed information for the cohort study has been elaborated on elsewhere [15]. A total of 1067 participants were chosen from the baseline survey, and 1064 participants were included in final analyses, after excluding 3 subjects who had no methylation information. The current study was approved by the Life Science Ethics Committee of Zhengzhou University, and informed consent was signed by every subject before the survey began.

# 2.2. Data Collection and Laboratory Measurements

The basic information of the subjects was collected via in-person interviews, and the physical examination was conducted in strict accordance with the operating manual by trained investigators. Demographic characteristics included age, gender, education level (elementary school or below, junior high school or above), marital status (married/cohabiting, widowed/single/divorced/separation), and average monthly family income (CNY < 500, CNY 500~, and CNY  $\geq$  1000). Behavioral risk factors included smoking status (never, ever, and current smoking), drinking status (never, ever, and current drinking), and physical activity (divided into low, medium, and high groups according to the International Physical Activity Questionnaire); dietary habits included high-fat diet (livestock and poultry meat  $\geq$  75 g/day) and more vegetables and fruits intake ( $\geq$ 500 g/day). In addition, family history of diabetes was defined as having at least one immediate family member who ever had or was currently suffering from diabetes.

After fasting for over 8 h, 5.0 mL of non-anticoagulant venous blood and 10.0 mL of anticoagulant venous blood (EDTA- $K_2$ ) were collected from the subjects. The whole blood was isolated from anticoagulant blood and was used to extract DNA.

# 2.3. Abdominal Obesity Assessment

Individuals with a WC  $\geq$  90 cm in men or  $\geq$ 80 cm in women were defined as those with abdominal obesity, excluding pregnant women [16]. The non-abdominal obese group was defined as a WC < 90 cm in men and <80 cm in women. When measuring the WC, the subjects were asked to stand upright, with their abdomen relaxed, and normal breathing. The measuring tape was close to the skin at 1.0 cm above their navel while wearing light clothes. The reading was accurate to 0.1 cm. The WC was measured twice, and the mean was taken as the final measurement value.

#### 2.4. DNA Methylation and Genotyping of SOCS3

DNA of the participant was isolated by using the Whole Blood Genomic DNA Medium extraction kit III (Bioteke Corporation, Beijing, China). Detection of the DNA methylation level of SOCS3 was accomplished by MethylTarget<sup>TM</sup> (Genesky Corporation, Shanghai, China). According to the previous study [17], the CpG site of the SOCS3 gene was chosen according to the following standards: (1) observed/expected ratio > 0.60; (2) percent C and G > 50.00%; (3) length > 200 bp. In this study, the methylation levels of four CpG regions (Chr17:76355136–76355350, Chr17:76356054-76356232, Chr17:76354927-76355115, and Chr17:76354582–76354763, which included 93 CpG sites) were detected. The methylation level of each CpG site was defined as the proportion of the methylated cytosines that accounted for the total tested cytosines [18]. Each tested CpG site was named as its genomic position. Genomic position can be described as the chromosomal location of each CpG site according to the assembly GRCh37/hg19.

The genotypes of SOCS3 were detected by the SNPscan<sup>TM</sup> Kit (Genesky Corporation, Shanghai, China). A total of six SNPs in SOCS3 were included in the study based on domestic and international references, combined with bioinformatics databases and HapMap databases (according to the criteria of  $R^2 > 0.8$  in linkage disequilibrium analyses and minimum allele frequency > 0.05 of SNP).

# 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), while the SOCS3 methylation level was expressed as medium  $\pm$  interquartile range (IQR), and the differences between the abdominal obese group and the non-abdominal obese group were compared by Student's t-test. Categorical variables were represented by frequency (percentage), and the differences between the two groups were compared using Pearson's chi-square test. The Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test was conducted for each SNP using a chi-square test among the controls. The logistic regression model was fitted to assess the effect estimates (odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI)) per IQR increase in SOCS3 methylation level on abdominal obesity. The adjusted potential confounding factors included age, gender, education levels, marital status, average monthly family income, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity, high-fat diet, and more vegetable and fruit intake. Moreover, the restricted cubic spline analysis was used to inquire into the non-linear dose-response relationship between SOCS3 methylation levels and abdominal obesity (knots located at the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of the SOCS3 methylation levels). In addition, the chi-square test was used to test the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in the control group at each SNP to detect whether the population was representative [19]. The associations of SNP with abdominal obesity were evaluated by logistic regression models. Associations between SNP and methylation levels of SOCS3 were examined by univariate regression analyses. Furthermore, three Mendelian randomization methods, including maximum-likelihood method, Mendelian randomization method of penalized weighted median (MR-median) and Mendelian randomization method of penalized inverse variance weighted (MR-IVW), were used to explore the causal association between SOCS3 methylation levels and abdominal obesity. There were three assumptions of Mendelian randomization analysis, which were as follows: 1. the instrumental variable should be robustly associated with the exposure; 2. the instrumental variable should not be associated

4 of 10

with confounding factors of the exposure-outcome association; 3. the instrumental variable should affect outcome merely through exposure, not via alternative pathways [20]. The latter two assumptions were called no horizontal pleiotropy of the instrumental variable, which could be detected by the Mendelian randomization of the Egger (MR-Egger) regression intercept [21]. Moreover, the Wald ratio was used to estimate the causal effect of DNA methylation (exposure, x) at SNP-related CpG sites on abdominal obesity (outcome, y) and the change in abdominal obesity per standard deviation (SD) increase in methylation, using the formula  $\beta Y | G^{2}/\beta X | G^{2}$  for each instrumental SNP (G), where  $\beta Y | G^{2}$  is the SD change in abdominal obesity per copy of the effect allele of each SNP and where  $\beta X | G^{2}$  is the SD increase in methylation per copy of the effect allele of each SNP [22,23].

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS 9.1 software package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and R software (version 3.6.1, R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria), and p < 0.05 at two tails was considered statistically.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characteristics of Participants

Table 1 shows the basic characteristics of all subjects (471 subjects with and 593 subjects without abdominal obesity). The mean age (mean  $\pm$  SD) was 58.70  $\pm$  8.46 years and 60.17  $\pm$  8.78 years in participants with abdominal obesity and without abdominal obesity, respectively (p < 0.05). In the abdominal obese population, women (70.7%) and those with moderate physical activity (48.0) accounted for a higher proportion. In addition, individuals with abdominal obesity tended to be non-smokers (80.5%). There were no statistical differences in the distribution of other variables between the abdominal obese group and the non-abdominal obese group.

Table 1. Distributions of characteristics of the participant by with and without abdominal obesity.

| Variables                               | Total<br>(n = 1064) | Abdominal<br>Obesity (n = 471) | Non-Abdominal<br>Obesity (n = 593) | <i>p</i> -Value |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years, mean $\pm$ SD)              | $59.52\pm8.67$      | $58.70 \pm 8.46$               | $60.17\pm8.78$                     | 0.006           |
| WC (cm, mean $\pm$ SD)                  | $83.23 \pm 10.78$   | $91.82\pm7.92$                 | $76.40\pm7.32$                     | < 0.001         |
| Gender, n (%)                           |                     |                                |                                    | < 0.001         |
| Men                                     | 459 (43.14)         | 138 (29.30)                    | 321 (54.13)                        |                 |
| Women                                   | 605(56.86)          | 333 (70.70)                    | 272 (45.87)                        |                 |
| Education levels, n (%)                 |                     |                                |                                    | 0.843           |
| Elementary school or below              | 586 (55.08)         | 261 (55.41)                    | 325 (54.81)                        |                 |
| Junior high school or above             | 478 (44.92)         | 210 (44.59)                    | 268 (45.19)                        |                 |
| Marital status, n (%)                   |                     |                                |                                    | 0.819           |
| Married/cohabiting                      | 953 (89.57)         | 423 (89.81)                    | 530 (89.38)                        |                 |
| Widowed/single/divorced/separation      | 111 (10.43)         | 48 (10.19)                     | 63 (10.62)                         |                 |
| Average monthly income of family, n (%) |                     |                                |                                    | 0.510           |
| CNY < 500                               | 426 (40.04)         | 194 (41.19)                    | 232 (39.12)                        |                 |
| CNY 500 ~                               | 336 (31.58)         | 140 (29.72)                    | 196 (33.05)                        |                 |
| $CNY \ge 1000$                          | 302 (28.38)         | 137 (29.09)                    | 165 (27.83)                        |                 |
| High-fat diet, n (%)                    |                     |                                |                                    | 0.055           |
| Yes                                     | 205 (19.27)         | 103 (21.87)                    | 102 (17.20)                        |                 |
| No                                      | 859 (80.73)         | 368 (78.13)                    | 491 (82.80)                        |                 |
| More vegetable and fruit intake, n (%)  |                     |                                |                                    | 0.482           |
| Yes                                     | 690 (64.85)         | 300 (63.69)                    | 390 (65.77)                        |                 |
| No                                      | 374 (35.15)         | 171 (36.31)                    | 203 (34.23)                        |                 |
| Smoking status, n (%)                   |                     |                                |                                    | < 0.001         |
| Never                                   | 762 (71.62)         | 379 (80.47)                    | 383 (64.59)                        |                 |
| Ever                                    | 88 (8.27)           | 39 (8.28)                      | 49 (8.26)                          |                 |
| Current                                 | 214 (20.11)         | 53 (11.25)                     | 161 (27.15)                        |                 |

| Variables                     | Total<br>(n = 1064) | Abdominal<br>Obesity (n = 471) | Non-Abdominal<br>Obesity (n = 593) | <i>p</i> -Value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Drinking status, n (%)        |                     |                                |                                    | 0.063           |
| Never                         | 841 (79.04)         | 386 (81.95)                    | 455 (76.73)                        |                 |
| Ever                          | 62 (5.83)           | 20 (4.25)                      | 42 (7.08)                          |                 |
| Current                       | 161 (15.13)         | 65 (13.80)                     | 96 (16.19)                         |                 |
| Physical activity (n, %)      |                     |                                |                                    | 0.001           |
| Low                           | 282 (26.50)         | 137 (29.09)                    | 145 (24.45)                        |                 |
| Moderate                      | 478 (44.92)         | 226 (47.98)                    | 252 (42.50)                        |                 |
| High                          | 304 (28.57)         | 108 (22.93)                    | 196 (33.05)                        |                 |
| Family history of T2DM (n, %) |                     |                                |                                    | 0.395           |
| Yes                           | 30 (2.82)           | 11 (2.34)                      | 19 (3.20)                          |                 |
| No                            | 1034 (97.18)        | 460 (97.66)                    | 574 (96.80)                        |                 |
|                               |                     |                                |                                    |                 |

Table 1. Cont.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation; WC, waist circumference; T2DM, type 2 diabetes.

# 3.2. Association of SOCS3 Methylation Levels with Abdominal Obesity

Methylation levels of 93 CpG sites of the SOCS3 gene were measured and evaluated. Table 2 represents the significant association between the methylation levels of 23 CpG sites and abdominal obesity (all p < 0.05), and Table S1 describes no statistical association of the other 70 CpG sites with abdominal obesity in the adjusted models. Of the 23 statistically significant methylation sites, the methylation levels of 5 CpG sites were inversely associated with abdominal obesity (Chr17:76356054, Chr17:76356084, Chr17:76356099, Chr17:76356178 and Chr17:76356190), and effect estimates (*OR* (95% *CI*)) were 0.786 (0.672, 0.919), 0.851 (0.732, 0.988), 0.838 (0.719, 0.976), 0.795 (0.679, 0.930) and 0.841 (0.721, 0.983), respectively. The methylation levels of the remaining 18 CpG sites in one CpG regions (Chr17:76354927-76355115) were positively associated with abdominal obesity, and effect estimates ranged from 1.243 (Chr17:76354990) to 1.325 (Chr17:76355061).

Table 2. Associations of SOCS3 methylation level with abdominal obesity.

| CpG Sites      | Location | Distance2TSS | Abdominal Obesity<br>Median (IQR) | Non-Abdominal<br>Obesity<br>Median (IQR) | OR (95% CI)          | <i>p</i> -Value |
|----------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|
| Chr17:76356178 | Promoter | -18          | 0.005 (0.003, 0.007)              | 0.005 (0.004, 0.007)                     | 0.795 (0.679, 0.930) | 0.004           |
| Chr17:76356190 | Promoter | -30          | 0.008 (0.006, 0.012)              | 0.009 (0.006, 0.014)                     | 0.841 (0.721, 0.983) | 0.029           |
| Chr17:76356054 | Exon     | 106          | 0.012 (0.009, 0.014)              | 0.012 (0.010, 0.015)                     | 0.786 (0.672, 0.919) | 0.003           |
| Chr17:76356084 | Exon     | 76           | 0.025 (0.020, 0.030)              | 0.026 (0.021, 0.031)                     | 0.851 (0.732, 0.988) | 0.034           |
| Chr17:76356099 | Exon     | 61           | 0.007 (0.005, 0.009)              | 0.007 (0.005, 0.010)                     | 0.838 (0.719, 0.976) | 0.023           |
| Chr17:76354927 | Exon     | 1233         | 0.338 (0.282, 0.405)              | 0.331 (0.269, 0.388)                     | 1.280 (1.064, 1.539) | 0.009           |
| Chr17:76354934 | Exon     | 1226         | 0.540 (0.476, 0.610)              | 0.531 (0.459, 0.592)                     | 1.284 (1.067, 1.546) | 0.008           |
| Chr17:76354947 | Exon     | 1213         | 0.454 (0.388, 0.535)              | 0.445 (0.373, 0.514)                     | 1.272 (1.050, 1.541) | 0.014           |
| Chr17:76354955 | Exon     | 1205         | 0.422 (0.354, 0.500)              | 0.410 (0.340, 0.483)                     | 1.286 (1.064, 1.554) | 0.009           |
| Chr17:76354963 | Exon     | 1197         | 0.283 (0.224, 0.345)              | 0.273 (0.217, 0.334)                     | 1.277 (1.059, 1.539) | 0.010           |
| Chr17:76354965 | Exon     | 1195         | 0.344 (0.286, 0.409)              | 0.332 (0.272, 0.395)                     | 1.272 (1.056, 1.533) | 0.011           |
| Chr17:76354984 | Exon     | 1176         | 0.287 (0.232, 0.361)              | 0.277 (0.223, 0.344)                     | 1.266 (1.053, 1.522) | 0.012           |
| Chr17:76354990 | Exon     | 1170         | 0.119 (0.088, 0.156)              | 0.113 (0.084, 0.147)                     | 1.243 (1.044, 1.480) | 0.015           |
| Chr17:76355009 | Exon     | 1151         | 0.287 (0.225, 0.354)              | 0.271 (0.214, 0.345)                     | 1.282 (1.065, 1.543) | 0.009           |
| Chr17:76355014 | Exon     | 1146         | 0.351 (0.284, 0.437)              | 0.341 (0.271, 0.412)                     | 1.310 (1.084, 1.584) | 0.005           |
| Chr17:76355017 | Exon     | 1143         | 0.277 (0.226, 0.341)              | 0.270 (0.214, 0.328)                     | 1.277 (1.061, 1.537) | 0.010           |
| Chr17:76355020 | Exon     | 1140         | 0.271 (0.216, 0.333)              | 0.260 (0.207, 0.321)                     | 1.324 (1.102, 1.591) | 0.003           |
| Chr17:76355029 | Exon     | 1131         | 0.274 (0.216, 0.334)              | 0.263 (0.207, 0.322)                     | 1.266 (1.051, 1.525) | 0.013           |
| Chr17:76355044 | Exon     | 1116         | 0.327 (0.258, 0.404)              | 0.316 (0.249, 0.388)                     | 1.297 (1.073, 1.569) | 0.007           |
| Chr17:76355061 | Exon     | 1099         | 0.410 (0.334, 0.493)              | 0.397 (0.323, 0.479)                     | 1.325 (1.092, 1.608) | 0.004           |
| Chr17:76355068 | Exon     | 1092         | 0.279 (0.213, 0.360)              | 0.268 (0.201, 0.342)                     | 1.276 (1.056, 1.542) | 0.012           |
| Chr17:76355089 | Exon     | 1071         | 0.293 (0.225, 0.375)              | 0.280 (0.210, 0.360)                     | 1.285 (1.060, 1.557) | 0.011           |
| Chr17:76355115 | Exon     | 1045         | 0.277 (0.210, 0.359)              | 0.269 (0.200, 0.344)                     | 1.242 (1.026, 1.504) | 0.026           |

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range; *OR*, odds ratio; *CI*, confidence interval. Adjusted for age, gender, education levels, marital status, average monthly income of family, high-fat diet, more vegetable and fruit intake, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity and family history of type 2 diabetes.

Table S2 and Figure S1 indicate the results of restrictive cubic spline analyses between 23 CpG sites and abdominal obesity. Except for the Chr17:76356054 site (p for the non-linear association test was 0.025), the dose–response relationships were linear between SOCS3 methylation levels (the remaining22 CpG sites) and abdominal obesity in the adjusted models (all p for the non-linear association tests were >0.05).

# 3.3. Effect Estimates between SNP of SOCS3 and Abdominal Obesity

Table 3 summarizes the associations between SNP of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity. The six SNPs were in accordance with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (all p > 0.05). Rs9914220 was associated with abdominal obesity with each mutant allele increase, and the *OR* (95% *CI*) was 0.823 (0.686, 0.988). No significant associations were found between the other five SNPs of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity (all p > 0.05).

#### Table 3. Associations between SNP of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity.

| SNP             | Total       | Abdominal<br>Obesity | Non-Abdominal<br>Obesity | <i>p</i> -Value | OR (95% CI)          | <i>HWE p</i> -Value |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| rs12953258      |             |                      |                          | 0.540           |                      | 0.235               |
| GG              | 473 (44.79) | 205 (43.80)          | 268 (45.58)              |                 | 1.00                 |                     |
| GT              | 486 (46.02) | 215 (45.94)          | 271 (46.09)              |                 | 0.985 (0.750, 1.295) |                     |
| TT              | 97 (9.19)   | 48 (10.26)           | 49 (8.33)                |                 | 1.111 (0.700, 1.764) |                     |
| Each T increase | . ,         |                      |                          |                 | 1.027 (0.841, 1.255) |                     |
| rs2280148       |             |                      |                          | 0.392           |                      | 0.967               |
| GG              | 661 (62.59) | 303 (64.88)          | 358 (60.78)              |                 | 1.00                 |                     |
| GT              | 344 (32.58) | 143 (30.62)          | 201 (34.13)              |                 | 0.869 (0.656, 1.151) |                     |
| TT              | 51 (4.83)   | 21 (4.50)            | 30 (5.09)                |                 | 0.851 (0.461, 1.571) |                     |
| Each T increase | . ,         | . ,                  |                          |                 | 0.892 (0.714, 1.115) |                     |
| rs4969168       |             |                      |                          | 0.208           |                      | 0.584               |
| GG              | 209 (19.75) | 85 (18.12)           | 124 (21.05)              |                 | 1.00                 |                     |
| GA              | 542 (51.23) | 236 (50.32)          | 306 (51.95)              |                 | 0.938 (0.663, 1.328) |                     |
| AA              | 307 (29.02) | 148 (31.56)          | 159 (27.00)              |                 | 1.145 (0.783, 1.675) |                     |
| Each A increase |             |                      |                          |                 | 1.087 (0.900, 1.311) |                     |
| rs4969170       |             |                      |                          | 0.931           |                      | 0.999               |
| GG              | 850 (80.26) | 374 (79.74)          | 476 (80.68)              |                 | 1.00                 |                     |
| GA              | 198 (18.70) | 90 (19.19)           | 108 (18.30)              |                 | 1.059 (0.759, 1.476) |                     |
| AA              | 11 (1.04)   | 5 (1.07)             | 6 (1.02)                 |                 | 1.374 (0.385, 4.908) |                     |
| Each A increase |             |                      |                          |                 | 1.080 (0.799, 1.461) |                     |
| rs9892622       |             |                      |                          | 0.258           |                      | 0.828               |
| GG              | 215 (20.30) | 91 (19.40)           | 124 (21.02)              |                 | 1.00                 |                     |
| GA              | 526 (49.67) | 225 (47.97)          | 301 (51.02)              |                 | 0.950 (0.674, 1.338) |                     |
| AA              | 318 (30.03) | 153 (32.63)          | 165 (27.96)              |                 | 1.203 (0.829, 1.746) |                     |
| Each A increase |             |                      |                          |                 | 1.113 (0.926, 1.339) |                     |
| rs9914220       |             |                      |                          | 0.016           |                      | 0.567               |
| CC              | 390 (36.83) | 194 (41.36)          | 196 (33.22)              |                 | 1.00                 |                     |
| СТ              | 479 (45.23) | 202 (43.07)          | 277 (46.95)              |                 | 0.753 (0.565, 1.003) |                     |
| TT              | 190 (17.94) | 73 (15.57)           | 117 (19.83)              |                 | 0.705 (0.484, 1.027) |                     |
| Each T increase |             |                      |                          |                 | 0.823 (0.686, 0.988) |                     |

Abbreviation: *OR*, odds ratio; *CI*, confidence interval. Adjusted for age, gender, education levels, marital status, average monthly income of family, high-fat diet, more vegetable and fruit intake, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity and family history of type 2 diabetes. HWE: Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

## 3.4. Causal Estimates of SOCS3 Methylation Level on Abdominal Obesity

The associations between the six SNPs and methylation levels of twenty-three CpG sites of SOCS3 were explored. Significant associations were only found between five SNPs (rs12953258, rs4969168, rs2280148, rs4969170, rs9914220) and methylation levels of twenty CpG sites of SOCS3 (Table S3). Combined with the results of Table 3, only four SNPs (rs12953258, rs4969168, rs2280148, rs4969170) and associated seventeen CpG sites (Chr17:76356084, Chr17:76356099, Chr17:76354963, Chr17:76354984, Chr17:76354990, Chr17:76355064, Chr17:76355061, Chr17:76355068, Chr17:76355089, Chr17:76355014, Chr17:76354955, Chr17:76354965, Chr17:76355009, Chr17:76355014,

Chr17:76355017 and Chr17:76355029) were included into the Mendelian randomization analyses. The MR-Egger intercept test indicated no horizontal pleiotropy (p = 0.726).

Table 4 indicates the results of the Mendelian randomization analyses by three methods for the causal estimates of SOCS3 methylation level on abdominal obesity. The effect estimates ( $\beta$  (95% *CI*)) on abdominal obesity were 5.342 (0.215, 10.469) and 4.911 (0.259, 9.564) using the maximum-likelihood method and MR-IVW method, respectively, while the corresponding effect was 5.117 (-1.356, 11.590) using the MR-median method. In addition, the Wald ratio of each CpG site is shown in the Table S5.

 
 Table 4. Mendelian randomization causal-effect estimates for SOCS3 methylation level with abdominal obesity.

| Method                    | β (95%CI)              | <i>p</i> -Value |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Maximum-likelihood method | 5.342 (0.215, 10.469)  | 0.041           |  |
| MR-IVW                    | 4.911 (0.259, 9.564)   | 0.039           |  |
| MR-median                 | 5.117 (-1.356, 11.590) | 0.121           |  |

Abbreviation:  $\beta$ , correlation coefficient; *CI*, confidence interval; MR-IVW, Mendelian randomization method of penalized inverse variance weighted; MR-median, Mendelian randomization method of median.

#### 4. Discussion

The current research demonstrated that 5 CpG sites methylation levels of SOCS3 were inversely associated with abdominal obesity, and methylation levels of 18 CpG sites were positively associated with abdominal obesity. The dose–response relationships between SOCS3 methylation levels and abdominal obesity were linear. Furthermore, the Mendelian-randomization analyses indicated a causal association between SOCS3 methylation levels and abdominal obesity.

The evidence emphasized that DNA methylation within intergenic regions plays a potential role in inhibiting the genetic expression, and those occurring in CpG islands might stably silence gene expression [24]. An accumulating body of research has shown that DNA methylation was closely related to aberrant glucose metabolism and dysregulation lipid metabolism, which represents a central role in metabolic and cardiovascular disease [25–27]. In addition, previous studies have indicated that the SOCS3 methylation level was negatively linked to type 2 diabetes [17,28,29]. A case–control study in young women summarized that the methylation levels of cg18181703 in SOCS3 (chr17: 76354621) significantly differed between obesity and lean individuals (-0.245 (-0.332, -0.142), p < 0.05) [30]. Another study conducted on community volunteers (aged 18–50) also found that the methylation level of cg18181703 of SOCS3 was lower in the obese subjects (3.08 (2.04, 4.71)) [10]. Moreover, an epigenome-wide association study in an Arab population revealed that the methylation level of cg18181703 of SOCS3 was negatively associated with BMI [31].

Previous studies have focused on the relationship between the methylation level of cg18181703 SOCS3 and obesity. However, the reports on exploring the associations between SOCS3 methylation levels and abdominal obesity (defined by WC) are limited. The current study indicated significant differences in SOCS3 methylation levels between abdominal obese and non-abdominal obese subjects, and the associations of SOCS3 methylation levels with abdominal obesity were both positive and negative, which may be caused by the different status of DNA methylation at different sites in gene expression regulation. In general, the hyper-methylation level of CpG island cytosine in the gene promoter and its nearby region would silence gene expression, while the hypormethylation level would reactivate the expression of silenced genes [32,33]. Meanwhile, hyper-methylation in the exon and intron regions (the gene body) may elevate gene expression [34–36].

The potential mechanisms responsible for the association of SOCS3 methylation levels with abdominal obesity may be elucidated by the following information. SOCS3, a key protein in many pathological events, such as diabetes and immune disorders, has emerged as the most essential regulator in the inhibition of the Janus kinase (JAK)-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathway among the SOCS family [37–39]. Moreover, when the body is suffering from metabolic abnormalities, such as obesity, SOCS3 is involved in the inhibition of inflammatory cytokines and crucial hormones linked to energy metabolism, such as insulin signaling and leptin [40]. However, SOCS3 methylation results in the lower expression level of SOCS3 [38]. Therefore, the hyper-methylation of SOCS3 in the gene promoter and its nearby region silences the inhibitory effect on leptin and insulin of SOCS3, whereas hyper-methylation in the gene body facilitates it to inhibit the activity of insulin and leptin, resulting in a high risk of obesity. In addition, evidence has suggested that the increased SOCS3 expression regulates insulin signaling by inhibiting phosphorylation of the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) [41]. More epidemiological studies are needed to further investigate the mechanisms of different methylation sites with metabolic diseases (abdominal obesity, etc.) to provide more relevant evidence in the future.

Several studies have estimated the relationship between SOCS3 methylation and obesity; however, considering the cross-sectional nature of those traditional observational studies, they could not determine the causal effect between risk factors and disease. However, in the current study, based on the four SNPs (rs12953258, rs2280148, rs4969168 and rs4969170) of SOCS3 as instrumental variables, the Mendelian randomization analyses found a causal association between methylation levels of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity, which suggested that the SOCS3 gene might play a role in the pathogenesis of abdominal obesity and could be potentially used as a marker for attenuated or aggressive disease in the Asian population. Mendelian randomization is an approach to exploring the observational causal association of modifiable risk factors with health outcomes by using genetic variants [42], in which reverse causality might be avoided due to the characteristics of randomly distributed genetic variants at conception [43]. In this study, the causal association between the methylation levels of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity was established and some novelty sites for the association were found. However, more studies are needed to interpret the causal association, due to the limitation of instrumental variables in determining causal estimates.

Several limitations in the study should be noted. The temporality between DNA methylation levels and abdominal obesity could not be ascertained due to the case–control nature of the current study. In addition, the association between SOCS3 methylation level and abdominal obesity was found only in the Chinese rural population, so caution should be taken when generalizing the findings to other populations. It is necessary to explore the relationship between DNA methylation of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity in multicenter studies.

# 5. Conclusions

The current study provided evidence in support of the causal associations of the SOCS3 methylation level with abdominal obesity in the Chinese rural population, which suggested that SOCS3 methylation might be potentially used as a marker for development of abdominal obesity.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu14183824/s1, Table S1: Relationship between SOCS3 methylation level of and abdominal obesity; Table S2: *p* values for the overall and the non-linear association test for SOCS3 methylation level with abdominal obesity in the restricted cubic spline; Table S3: Relationship between SNP and methylation level of SOCS3; Table S4: Relationship between SNP of SOCS3 and abdominal obesity; Table S5: Effects of each CpG site at each SNP of SOCS3 on abdominal obesity using the Wald ratio method; Figure S1: The dose–response relationship between methylation levels of SOCS3 with obesity.

**Author Contributions:** G.Z. and Y.L. designed and integrated the research; Y.L. and X.L. conducted research; Y.L., R.T. and J.H. collected and analyzed the data; Y.L. and X.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Foundation of National Key Program of Research and Development of China (grant no.: 2016YFC0900803), Science and Technology Innovation Team Support Plan of Colleges and Universities in Henan Province (grant no.: 21IRTSTHN029), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.: 81602925, 82003543) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhengzhou University (grant no.: JC22852046). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Zhengzhou University Life Science Ethics Committee [(2015) MEC (S128), 6 May 2015].

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to all participants and research staff of the current study and to the administrators for their support during the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators; Afshin, A.; Forouzanfar, M.H.; Reitsma, M.B.; Sur, P.; Estep, K.; Lee, A.; Marczak, L.; Mokdad, A.H.; Moradi-Lakeh, M.; et al. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2017, 377, 13–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fang, H.; Berg, E.; Cheng, X.; Shen, W. How to best assess abdominal obesity. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 2018, 21, 360–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jaacks, L.M.; Vandevijvere, S.; Pan, A.; McGowan, C.J.; Wallace, C.; Imamura, F.; Mozaffarian, D.; Swinburn, B.; Ezzati, M. The obesity transition: Stages of the global epidemic. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2019, 7, 231–240. [CrossRef]
- Aranceta-Bartrina, J.; Pérez-Rodrigo, C.; Alberdi-Aresti, G.; Ramos-Carrera, N.; Lázaro-Masedo, S. Prevalence of General Obesity and Abdominal Obesity in the Spanish Adult Population (Aged 25–64 Years) 2014–2015: The ENPE Study. *Rev. Esp. Cardiol.* 2016, 69, 579–587. [CrossRef]
- 5. Aranceta-Bartrina, J.; Gianzo-Citores, M.; Pérez-Rodrigo, C. Prevalence of overweight, obesity and abdominal obesity in the Spanish population aged 3 to 24 years. The ENPE study. *Rev. Esp. Cardiol.* **2020**, *73*, 290–299. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, L.; Wang, Z.; Wang, X.; Chen, Z.; Shao, L.; Tian, Y.; Dong, Y.; Zheng, C.; Li, S.; Zhu, M.; et al. China Hypertension Survey investigators. Prevalence of Abdominal Obesity in China: Results from a Cross-Sectional Study of Nearly Half a Million Participants. *Obesity* 2019, 27, 1898–1905. [CrossRef]
- Bjørbæk, C.; El-Haschimi, K.; Frantz, J.D.; Flier, J.S. The role of SOCS-3 in leptin signaling and leptin resistance. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 30059–30065. [CrossRef]
- Howard, J.K.; Flier, J.S. Attenuation of leptin and insulin signaling by SOCS proteins. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 2006, 17, 365–371.
   [CrossRef]
- 9. Ali, O.; Cerjak, D.; Kent, J.W., Jr.; James, R.; Blangero, J.; Carless, M.A.; Zhang, Y. Methylation of SOCS3 is inversely associated with metabolic syndrome in an epigenome-wide association study of obesity. *Epigenetics* **2016**, *11*, 699–707. [CrossRef]
- 10. Xu, K.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Z.; Hu, Y.; Sinha, R. Epigenome-wide association analysis revealed that SOCS3 methylation influences the effect of cumulative stress on obesity. *Biol. Psychol.* **2018**, *131*, 63–71. [CrossRef]
- 11. Wilson, L.E.; Harlid, S.; Xu, Z.; Sandler, D.P.; Taylor, J.A. An epigenome-wide study of body mass index and DNA methylation in blood using participants from the Sister Study cohort. *Int. J. Obes.* **2017**, *41*, 194–199. [CrossRef]
- Ross, R.; Neeland, I.J.; Yamashita, S.; Shai, I.; Seidell, J.; Magni, P.; Santos, R.D.; Arsenault, B.; Cuevas, A.; Hu, F.B.; et al. Waist circumference as a vital sign in clinical practice: A Consensus Statement from the IAS and ICCR Working Group on Visceral Obesity. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2020, 16, 177–189. [CrossRef]
- 13. Fan, Y.; Wang, R.; Ding, L.; Meng, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Shen, Y.; Hu, G.; Liu, M. Waist Circumference and its Changes Are More Strongly Associated with the Risk of Type 2 Diabetes than Body Mass Index and Changes in Body Weight in Chinese Adults. *J. Nutr.* **2020**, 150, 1259–1265. [CrossRef]
- 14. Janssen, I.; Katzmarzyk, P.T.; Ross, R. Waist circumference and not body mass index explains obesity-related health risk. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2004**, *79*, 379–384. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liu, X.; Mao, Z.; Li, Y.; Wu, W.; Zhang, X.; Huo, W.; Yu, S.; Shen, L.; Li, L.; Tu, R.; et al. Cohort Profile: The Henan Rural Cohort: A prospective study of chronic non-communicable diseases. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2019**, *48*, 1756–1756j. [CrossRef]
- 16. International Diabetes Federation. The IDF Consensus Worldwide Definition of the Metabolic Syndrome. Available online: https://www.idf.org/our-activities/advocacy-awareness/resources-and-tools/60:idfconsensus-worldwide-definitionofthe-metabolic-syndrome.html (accessed on 15 September 2022).

- 17. Liu, X.; Qian, X.; Tu, R.; Mao, Z.; Huo, W.; Zhang, H.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, X.; Tian, Z.; Li, Y.; et al. SOCS3 methylation mediated the effect of sedentary time on type 2 diabetes mellitus: The Henan Rural Cohort study. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2020**, *30*, 634–643. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zhou, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Cai, B.; Liu, K.; Zhang, H.; Dai, M.; Sun, L.; Xu, X.; et al. CDKN2B methylation is associated with carotid artery calcification in ischemic stroke patients. *J. Transl. Med.* **2016**, *14*, 333. [CrossRef]
- 19. Ledwina, T.; Gnot, S. Testing for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Biometrics 1980, 36, 161–165. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liu, X.T.; Tu, R.Q.; He, Y.L.; Dong, X.K.; Li, R.Y.; Hou, J.; Li, Y.Q.; Wang, C.J. Mendelian randomization analysis: The causal relationship between the DNA methylation levels of JAK2 and obesity. *Chin. J. Epidemiol.* **2022**, *43*, 1315–1320. [CrossRef]
- Burgess, S.; Thompson, S.G. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 2017, 32, 377–389. [CrossRef]
- 22. Thomas, D.C.; Lawlor, D.A.; Thompson, J.R. Re: Estimation of bias in nongenetic observational studies using "Mendelian triangulation" by Bautista et al. *Ann. Epidemiol.* **2007**, *17*, 511–513. [CrossRef]
- Jamieson, E.; Korologou-Linden, R.; Wootton, R.E.; Guyatt, A.L.; Battram, T.; Burrows, K.; Gaunt, T.R.; Tobin, M.D.; Munafò, M.; Smith, G.D.; et al. Smoking, DNA Methylation, and Lung Function: A Mendelian Randomization Analysis to Investigate Causal Pathways. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2020, 106, 315–326. [CrossRef]
- 24. Moore, L.D.; Le, T.; Fan, G. DNA methylation and its basic function. Neuropsychopharmacology 2013, 38, 23–38. [CrossRef]
- 25. Samblas, M.; Milagro, F.I.; Martínez, A. DNA methylation markers in obesity, metabolic syndrome, and weight loss. *Epigenetics* **2019**, *14*, 421–444. [CrossRef]
- Nikpay, M.; Ravati, S.; Dent, R.; McPherson, R. Epigenome-Wide Study Identified Methylation Sites Associated with the Risk of Obesity. *Nutrients* 2021, 13, 1984. [CrossRef]
- 27. Westerman, K.; Sebastiani, P.; Jacques, P.; Liu, S.; DeMeo, D.; Ordovás, J.M. DNA methylation modules associate with incident cardiovascular disease and cumulative risk factor exposure. *Clin. Epigenet.* **2019**, *11*, 142. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wang, L.; Liu, X.; Wei, D.; Kang, N.; Nie, L.; Liu, P.; Fan, K.; Zhang, L.; Hou, J.; Li, X.; et al. The mediation role of SOCS3 methylation in the effect of serum testosterone on type 2 diabetes. *J. Diabetes.* **2021**, *13*, 701–712. [CrossRef]
- Chambers, J.C.; Loh, M.; Lehne, B.; Drong, A.; Kriebel, J.; Motta, V.; Wahl, S.; Elliott, H.R.; Rota, F.; Scott, W.R.; et al. Epigenomewide association of DNA methylation markers in peripheral blood from Indian Asians and Europeans with incident type 2 diabetes: A nested case-control study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2015, *3*, 526–534. [CrossRef]
- Kvaløy, K.; Page, C.M.; Holmen, T.L. Holmen, Epigenome-wide methylation differences in a group of lean and obese women—A HUNT Study. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 16330. [CrossRef]
- 31. Al Muftah, W.A.; Al-Shafai, M.; Zaghlool, S.B.; Visconti, A.; Tsai, P.C.; Kumar, P.; Spector, T.; Bell, J.; Falchi, M.; Suhre, K. Epigenetic associations of type 2 diabetes and BMI in an Arab population. *Clin. Epigenet.* **2016**, *8*, 13. [CrossRef]
- 32. Jurkowska, R.Z.; Jurkowski, T.P.; Jeltsch, A. Jeltsch, Structure and function of mammalian DNA methyltransferases. *Chembiochem* **2011**, *12*, 206–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Xue, A.I.; Zhang, Y.F.; Liu, J.J.; Zhang, L.R. Analysis of DNA Methylation in Gene Functional Regions. J. Inn. Mong. Univ. 2016, 47, 290–298.
- Shayevitch, R.; Askayo, D.; Keydar, I.; Ast, G. The importance of DNA methylation of exons on alternative splicing. RNA 2018, 24, 1351–1362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Ball, M.P.; Li, J.B.; Gao, Y.; Lee, J.H.; LeProust, E.M.; Park, I.H.; Xie, B.; Daley, G.Q.; Church, G.M. Targeted and genome-scale strategies reveal gene-body methylation signatures in human cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *27*, 361–368. [CrossRef]
- Jones, P.A. Functions of DNA methylation: Islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2012, 13, 484–492.
   [CrossRef]
- Mahony, R.; Ahmed, S.; Diskin, C.; Stevenson, N.J. SOCS3 revisited: A broad regulator of disease, now ready for therapeutic use? *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2016, 73, 3323–3336. [CrossRef]
- 38. Mandò, C.; Abati, S.; Anelli, G.M.; Favero, C.; Serati, A.; Dioni, L.; Zambon, M.; Albetti, B.; Bollati, V.; Cetin, I. Epigenetic Profiling in the Saliva of Obese Pregnant Women. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 2122. [CrossRef]
- 39. Gurzov, E.N.; Stanley, W.J.; Pappas, E.G.; Thomas, H.E.; Gough, D.J. The JAK/STAT pathway in obesity and diabetes. *FEBS J.* **2016**, *283*, 3002–3015. [CrossRef]
- 40. Pedroso, J.A.B.; Ramos-Lobo, A.M.; Donato, J., Jr. SOCS3 as a future target to treat metabolic disorders. *Hormones* **2019**, *18*, 127–136. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ueki, K.; Kondo, T.; Kahn, C.R. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS-1) and SOCS-3 cause insulin resistance through inhibition of tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate proteins by discrete mechanisms. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2004**, *24*, 5434–5446. [CrossRef]
- 42. Smith, G.D.; Ebrahim, S. 'Mendelian randomization': Can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2003**, *32*, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- 43. Yuan, S.; Yao, H.; Larsson, S.C. Associations of cigarette smoking with psychiatric disorders: Evidence from a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 13807. [CrossRef]