


LETTER

Open Access



# Optimizing ceftolozane-tazobactam dosage during continuous renal replacement therapy: some nuances

Gerardo Aguilar<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> , Rafael Ferriols<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Sara Martínez-Castro<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Ezquer<sup>3,4</sup>, Ernesto Pastor<sup>1</sup>, Jose A. Carbonell<sup>1</sup>, Manuel Alós<sup>2,3,4</sup> and David Navarro<sup>2,3,5</sup>

We have read the recent letter by Honore et al. [1] about our findings published in this journal regarding the influence of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) on the pharmacokinetics of ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) [2]. In our report, we decided to administer a 3 g/iv dose every 8 h taking into account two previous studies referenced in our paper [2] and another one which showed CRRT to be an independent predictor of clinical failure (OR 4.5, 95% CI 1.18–17.39,  $p = 0.02$ ) when C/T is administered at 1.5 g every 8 h [3].

As Honore et al. explain in their paper, the C/T elimination was assumed by hemodiafiltration and the adsorption was not assessed [1]. However, there is a misunderstanding in this letter [1], because we used a polysulphone membrane (Fresenius, Germany) instead of an acrylonitrile 69 Multiflow (AN-69-M). In contrast to highly adsorptive membranes (HAM; e.g., AN69 surface-treated, AN69-ST), the antibiotic adsorption with polysulphone ones is negligible, which facilitates antibiotic adaptation during CRRT [4].

Our data should not be extrapolated to other clinical scenarios, as noted by Honore et al. [1]. In our report, ceftolozane and tazobactam plasma concentrations remained above the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC), for MICs of up to 8 µg/mL, but we estimated that the administration of standard doses of 1 g/0.5 g, even with polysulphone membranes, could compromise the effectiveness of C/T for not reaching adequate tazobactam concentrations. Thus, the use of HAM would represent a real risk factor of clinical failure when a C/T dose of 1.5 g every 8 h is administered, especially in

multidrug-resistant infections [3]. Therefore, we agree with Honore et al. [1] that therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) is critical when using C/T for patients receiving CRRT, especially when MICs of bacteria like multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are considered very high. However, the recommendation of continuous (over 24 h) vs extended (over 2 to 4 h) or intermittent (over 30 to 60 min) infusion of beta-lactams is still under debate [5].

#### Abbreviations

AN-69-M: Acrylonitrile 69 Multiflow; AN-69-ST: AN69-surface treated; C/T: Ceftolozane-tazobactam; CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy; HAM: Highly adsorptive membranes; MDR: Multidrug-resistant; MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration

#### Acknowledgements

None

#### Authors' contributions

GA, RF, and DN designed the paper. All authors participated in drafting and reviewing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Funding

None.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Critical Care Unit, Anesthesiology and Critical Care Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain. <sup>2</sup>INCLIVA Health Research Institute, Avenida de Menéndez y Pelayo, 4, 46010 Valencia, Spain. <sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Avenida Blasco Ibáñez, 15, 46010 Valencia, Spain. <sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Avenida Blasco Ibáñez, 17, 46010 Valencia, Spain. <sup>5</sup>Department of

\* Correspondence: [gerardo.aguilar@uv.es](mailto:gerardo.aguilar@uv.es)

This reply refers to the comment available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2692-2>.

<sup>1</sup>Critical Care Unit, Anesthesiology and Critical Care Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

<sup>2</sup>INCLIVA Health Research Institute, Avenida de Menéndez y Pelayo, 4, 46010 Valencia, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Microbiology, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Avenida Blasco Ibáñez, 17, 46010 Valencia, Spain.

Received: 22 December 2019 Accepted: 27 December 2019

Published online: 10 January 2020

#### References

1. Honore PM, Mugisha A, Gutierrez LB, Redant S, Kaefer K, Gallerani A, De Bels D. Optimizing ceftolozane-tazobactam dosage during continuous renal replacement therapy: additional insights. *Crit Care*. 2019;23(1):406.
2. Aguilar G, Ferriols R, Martínez-Castro S, Ezquer C, Pastor E, Carbonell JA, Alós M, Navarro D. Optimizing ceftolozane-tazobactam dosage in critically ill patients during continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration. *Crit Care*. 2019; 23(1):145.
3. Bassetti M, Castaldo N, Cattelan A, Mussini C, Righi E, Tascini C, et al. Ceftolozane/tazobactam for the treatment of serious *P. aeruginosa* infections: a multicenter nationwide clinical experience. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2019 Apr;53(4):408–15.
4. Honore PM, Spapen HD. What a clinician should know about a renal replacement membrane? *J Transl Intern Med*. 2018;6:62–5.
5. Lee YR, Miller PD, Alzghari SK, Blanco DD, Hager JD, Kuntz KS. Continuous infusion versus intermittent bolus of beta-lactams in critically ill patients with respiratory infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. 2018;43(2):155–70.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.