ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Influenza-associated mortality in South Africa, 2009-2013: The importance of choices related to influenza infection proxies

Desmond Gul¹ | Cheryl Cohen² | Stefano Tempia^{2,3,4} | Anthony T. Newall¹ | David J. Muscatello¹

¹School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

²Center for Respiratory Diseases and Meningitis, National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa

³Influenza Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

⁴Influenza Program, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Pretoria, South Africa

Correspondence

Desmond Gul, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia. Email: desmond.gul@monash.edu

Funding information

APC for this article is funded by World Health Organization (WHO).

[Correction added on 25 January 2018, after first online publication: Funding information has been added.] **Background**: Regression modeling methods are commonly used to estimate influenzaassociated mortality using covariates such as laboratory-confirmed influenza activity in the population as a proxy of influenza incidence.

Objective: We examined the choices of influenza proxies that can be used from influenza laboratory surveillance data and their impact on influenza-associated mortality estimates. **Method**: Semiparametric generalized additive models with a smoothing spline were applied on national mortality data from South Africa and influenza surveillance data as covariates to obtain influenza-associated mortality estimates from respiratory causes from 2009 to 2013. Proxies examined included alternative ways of expressing influenza laboratory surveillance data such as weekly or yearly proportion or rate of positive samples, using influenza subtypes, or total influenza data and expressing the data as influenza season-specific or across all seasons.

Result: Based on model fit, weekly proportion and influenza subtype-specific proxy formulation provided the best fit. The choice of proxies used gave large differences to mortality estimates, but the 95% confidence interval of these estimates overlaps. **Conclusion**: Regardless of proxy chosen, mortality estimates produced may be broadly consistent and not statistically significant for public health practice.

KEYWORDS

influenza, mortality, proxy, South Africa, time-series study

1 | INTRODUCTION

Estimation of the mortality burden of seasonal and pandemic influenza is important in public health as it can be used to inform the impact of influenza control policies and programs; however, such estimates are not easy to ascertain. Using influenza-coded deaths usually grossly underestimate the burden of influenza-associated deaths,¹ as these deaths are more often complicated by secondary bacterial co-infections or exacerbation of underlying chronic conditions² or even cardiac complications.³ These deaths are usually recorded with an

underlying cause of death other than influenza. In South Africa, the mortality risk is further compounded by high HIV prevalence, which puts HIV patients at a much higher risk of influenza-related mortality and other opportunistic infections.⁴ This adds another level of uncertainty in the recorded underlying cause of death.

To overcome underestimation of influenza-related deaths, ecological time-series modeling is used to estimate influenza's association with a broader range of coded causes of death. Many of these methods originate from the original Serfling regression approach,⁵ with numerous adaptations.^{6,7} These approaches are based on the

The copyright line for this article was changed on 25 January 2018 after original online publication

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2017} The Authors. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

long-recognized elevation in weekly or monthly time series of deaths that occurs when influenza is circulating in populations. The population rate of influenza-associated deaths is obtained by estimating excess mortality by subtracting a seasonally varying background rate of non-influenza deaths from observed death rates.⁵ A more convincing modeling approach includes independent covariates representing trends in incidence of influenza infection in the model. Influenza-associated excess mortality can then be estimated using the parameter estimates of the influenza variables of the model, which represent the relationship between observed trends in influenza and the modeled mortality outcomes. Indicators or proxies for the incidence of influenza infections in influenza-like illness (ILI) surveillance from sentinel health facilities.⁸⁻¹⁰

Laboratory surveillance time series can be expressed in various ways. Firstly, proxies could be expressed as weekly or monthly counts of total influenza-positive samples or proportion positive of samples tested. Using proportions standardizes the data, automatically adjusting for changes in testing frequency and laboratory procedures over time.^{11,12} On the other hand, the proportion may be influenced by factors other than influenza—the denominator may vary due to the incidence of non-influenza causes of acute respiratory infection.

Proxies can be further disaggregated by influenza virus types (A and B) and subtypes of influenza A, such as A(H3N2) and A(H1N1) pdm09, if laboratory surveillance data are sufficiently refined. While several influenza types and subtypes (henceforth denoted as (sub) types) cocirculate, relative timing and incidence can vary.⁸ In addition, virus virulence can vary from season to season, even within the

same type or subtype.¹³ To account for varying virulence, a separate influenza-incidence proxy can be used for each season.¹⁴

It is unknown which proxy provides the best estimates in ecological time-series studies. Several studies estimating influenzaassociated mortality in South Africa used monthly proportions of total influenza-positive viral samples, with the denominator being the total annual number of samples tested.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The aim of this study is to look at how estimated influenza-associated mortality rates are affected by the choice of influenza proxies used.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study period and setting

The study period included 260 weeks (Monday–Sunday) from 5 January 2009 to 29 December 2013 inclusive. The setting was the nation of South Africa with a population of about 53 million in 2013.

2.2 | Mortality data and population denominators

Non-identified data on underlying causes of death from 2009 to 2013 for the South Africa population are publicly available.¹⁸ Causes of death are coded using the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10). Weekly time series of mortality counts for respiratory death (ICD-10: J00-J99) were prepared for persons of all ages, aged <65 and ≥65 years. Records with missing age (0.27% of total deaths) were excluded.

TABLE 1 Definitions of influenza proxies compared in models to estimate influenza-associated respiratory deaths

	Definition of i	nfluenza-incidence pr	оху				
Model	All-season ^a	Season-specific ^b	All-influenza ^c	Influenza (sub) types ^d	Weekly proportion ^e	Yearly proportion ^f	Rate ^g
1	1		1		✓		
2	1		1			1	
3	\checkmark		\checkmark				1
4	1			1	1		
5	\checkmark			1		1	
6	1			1			1
7		1	\checkmark		1		
8		1	1			\checkmark	
9		1	\checkmark				1
10		1		1	1		
11		1		✓		\checkmark	
12		1		1			1

^aAll-season: influenza proxy for whole study period is analyzed as a whole.

^bSeason-specific: influenza proxy for each season analyzed as individual covariates.

^cAll-influenza: influenza proxy of weekly positive samples is not subtyped but considered as a whole.

^dInfluenza (sub)types: proxy consisting of influenza types and subtypes analyzed as individuals covariates—A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2), and B.

eWeekly proportion: proxy calculated as total weekly samples testing positive for influenza viruses divided by total weekly samples tested.

^fYearly proportion: proxy calculated as total weekly samples testing positive for influenza viruses divided by total yearly samples tested.

⁸Rate: proxy calculated as total weekly samples testing positive for influenza viruses divided by weekly population in South Africa.

Population denominators for rates were obtained from mid-year population estimates from Statistics South Africa¹⁹ and were linearly interpolated to provide denominators for weekly death rates.

2.3 | Influenza surveillance data and proxies

Information on circulating influenza viruses was obtained from the South African Severe Acute Respiratory Illness (SARI) surveillance program, which is a prospective, hospital-based sentinel surveillance program that covers 4 of 9 provinces in South Africa.²⁰ Respiratory samples were tested by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction, and influenza viruses identified were typed as influenza A or B. Influenza A viruses were subtyped into influenza A(H1N1) pdm09 or influenza A(H3N2).

The 3 influenza-incidence proxy variables we evaluated are summarized in Table 1. First, weekly population rates of influenza-positive specimens were used to account for changing population size. The other 2 methods were calculated as proportions of positive specimens. The "weekly proportion" was simply the weekly number of specimens testing positive for each influenza (sub)type by the total number of specimens tested for that week. The "annual proportion" was calculated by dividing the weekly number of specimens testing positive for each (sub)type by the total number of specimens tested in the year of analysis.

We also looked at allowing the relationship between viral proxies and influenza-associated mortality to vary by year, to accommodate annual changes in virus virulence or changes in the surveillance program. Each influenza (sub)type in a particular season therefore is represented by an individual covariate, which is set to 0 in all other seasons.¹⁴ Proxy variables for these were termed "season-specific" as opposed to "all-season," which considered a single viral proxy variable for each virus category across all seasons.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the generalized additive model (GAM) procedure in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Weekly rates of influenza-associated deaths from respiratory causes were estimated using a semiparametric GAM. Independent variables of influenza-incidence proxies were parametrically and linearly related to respiratory mortality incidence. We used a natural cubic smoothing spline of weeks to account for the time trend in non-influenza-associated (background) mortality. In an Australian study, the GAM model formulation provided an improved model fit compared with the more conventional trigonometric (sinusoidal) background mortality estimation approach.²¹

The final model equations for each of the proxy definitions above are as follows:

1. Influenza (sub)type, all-season formulation:

 $E(mortality rate) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2(Influenza A(H1N1))$

 $+\beta_3$ (Influenza A(H3N2)) $+\beta_4$ (Influenza B) + spline(t)

2. All-influenza, all-season formulation:

E(mortality rate) = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2$ (all-influenza) + spline(t)

3. Influenza (sub)type, season-specific formulation:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(\text{mortality rate}) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \left[\sum_{(y=2009)}^{2013} \beta_{2,y}(\text{Influenza A(H1N1)}) \right] \\ &+ \left[\sum_{(y=2009)}^{2013} \beta_{3,y}(\text{Influenza A(H3N2)}) \right] \\ &+ \left[\sum_{(y=2009)}^{2013} \beta_{4,y}(\text{Influenza B}) \right] + \text{spline}(t) \end{split}$$

4. All-influenza, all-season formulation:

 $\mathsf{E}(\text{mortality rate}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \left[\sum_{(y=2009)}^{2013} \beta_{2,y}(\text{all-influenza}) \right] + \mathsf{spline}(t),$

where *E*(mortality rate) was the expected respiratory mortality rate, *t* was the sequential week number of the weekly time series, Influenza A(H1N1) was the proxy for influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, Influenza A(H3N2) was the proxy for influenza A(H3N2), Influenza B was the proxy for influenza B, all-influenza was the aggregated proxy for all 3 influenza viruses, and spline was the spline curve for *t* and was specified with 31 degrees of freedom which achieved a degree of control for autocorrelation (*r* < .2). One degree of freedom is allocated to the parametric linear time variable ($\beta_1 t$), and the remaining degrees of freedom are distributed at 6 per year for the spline.²¹

Estimated background mortality was calculated from the model by setting all the influenza variables to zero and introducing them into the fitted model formula. The estimated influenza-associated excess death rate in each week was determined by multiplying the influenza parameter estimate (β) by its respective influenza surveillance proxy value. Negative influenza parameter estimates led to negative mortality estimates, which are biologically meaningless,¹⁴ and were regarded as zero when aggregating estimates for (sub)type-specific influenza. The 95% confidence interval (CI) of the estimated mortality rate was obtained by multiplying the influenza surveillance proxy value using each of the upper and lower 95% CI as shown below:

$\beta \pm (1.96 \times SE),$

where SE is the standard error of the parameter estimate, β .

The standard error for the 95% CI for aggregate (sub)type-specific estimates was calculated as:

$$SE = \sqrt{SE_1^2 + \dots + SE_n^2}$$

where $SE_1...SE_n$ are standard errors of aggregated proxies used.

An equivalent procedure was used to estimate the 95% CI for the annual mean influenza-attributable mortality estimates.

Model fit was assessed by the square root of the mean-squared error (RMSE) of the model over the entire time series. A lower value indicates improved fit.²²

2.5 | Ethics

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of New South Wales, Australia. The SARI protocol was approved by the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) and the University of KwaZulu-Natal Human Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (BREC) protocol numbers M081042 and BF157/08, respectively. This surveillance was deemed non-research by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Influenza surveillance

A mean of 4330 respiratory specimens were tested annually for influenza viruses. The mean annual number of specimens that tested positive for one or more influenza viruses was 332 (7.7%). Over the study period, different influenza (sub)types circulated at varying times throughout the year and their relative annual proportions are shown in Figure 1. Time series of influenza surveillance as weekly proportion, yearly proportion, and population rate is shown in Figure S1. In 2009, influenza virus activity occurred in 2 peaks; influenza A(H3N2) dominated from May to July, and a second peak occurred from August to September with the introduction of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09.



FIGURE 1 Influenza surveillance time series of count of influenzapositive samples tested in South Africa from 2009 to 2013. A, Allinfluenza-positive samples and total samples tested; B, individual influenza type- or subtype-positive samples. Numbers above peaks in (B) represent percentage of dominant influenza type or subtype in circulation for that year. The *x*-axis depicts the week during the study period, indicated firstly by year followed by the week number, W1-W52, in that year

3.2 | Observed mortality rate

During the study period, 302 112 respiratory deaths were recorded. The mean respiratory mortality weekly rate over the study period was 2.29 per 100 000 population for all ages, 1.69 per 100 000 population for persons aged <65 years, and 13.49 per 100 000 population for persons aged \geq 65 years. A marked downward trend in mortality rate can be seen in the all age and <65 years age groups (Figure S2).

3.3 | Goodness-of-fit model

The best model fit as assessed by the lowest RMSE value in both age groups and all ages combined was obtained by using weekly proportion of influenza (sub)types as proxies (Table 2). Best fit was not confined to all-season or season-specific proxies. In the all-age group, the use of season-specific proxy variables gave better fit than all-season proxy variables. In the 2 age-stratified groups, all-season proxy variables improved fit.

3.4 | Influenza-associated deaths

Models that use either yearly proportion or rate of season-specific influenza (sub)types and all-influenza proxies gave consistently similar estimates in all 3 age groups. The remaining model formulations, however, gave different mortality estimates. While point estimates were different across the models, the 95% confidence intervals overlapped (Tables 3-5).

In the all-age analysis, the annual mean mortality estimates ranged from 2.58 to 4.66 per 100 000 population. Confidence intervals of the annual mean mortality estimates overlapped with the lowest and highest limit at 1.21-7.30 per 100 000 population, respectively (Table 3). Our best-fit model gave the highest annual mean mortality estimate of 4.66 per 100 000 population (95% CI: 2.03, 7.30).

In the 2 age-stratified groups, the range of annual mean estimates was wider, with the \geq 65 years age group experiencing much higher mortality rates. Confidence intervals of annual mean mortality for these 2 age groups also overlapped and included 0. For the <65 years age group, annual mean mortality estimates ranged from 0.75 to 3.39 per 100 000 population and the lowest and highest confidence limits were -0.50 and 3.92, respectively (Table 4). For the \geq 65 years age group, the annual mean mortality estimates ranged from 5.84 to 20.88 per 100 000 population and the lowest and highest confidence limits were -5.29 and 25.78, respectively (Table 5). Our best-fit model gave the highest annual mean mortality estimate of 2.84 per 100 000 population (95% CI: 2.30, 3.37) and 17.03 per 100 000 population (95% CI: 11.96, 22.11) for the <65 and \geq 65 years age groups, respectively.

Excess deaths by (sub)type are shown in Figure S2. A direct relationship between dominant influenza (sub)type in circulation with excess mortality in the year was observed with the exception in 2012 where excess deaths were observed to be due to influenza A(H3N2) even though dominant strain in circulation that year was influenza B.

When examining mortality estimates contributed by each influenza (sub)type proxy across all models and age groups, point estimates differed across models, but their 95% confidence intervals generally

		Model proxy characteris	tics	
Age group	RMSE ^a	Proxy calculation	Subtype or all-influenza	Season-specific or all-season
All ages	.1121	Weekly proportion	Subtype	Season-specific
	.1143	Yearly proportion	Subtype	Season-specific
	.1143	Rate	Subtype	Season-specific
	.1166	Weekly proportion	Subtype	All-season
	.1181	Weekly proportion	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.1188	Yearly proportion	Subtype	All-season
	.1189	Rate	Subtype	All-season
	.1190	Weekly proportion	All-influenza	All-season
	.1194	Yearly proportion	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.1194	Rate	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.1212	Yearly proportion	All-influenza	All-season
	.1218	Rate	All-influenza	All-season
<65 years	.0943	Weekly proportion	Subtype	All-season
	.0962	Yearly proportion	Subtype	All-season
	.0963	Rate	Subtype	All-season
	.0966	Weekly proportion	All-influenza	All-season
	.0986	Rate	All-influenza	All-season
	.0991	Yearly proportion	All-influenza	All-season
	.0999	Weekly proportion	Subtype	Season-specific
	.1002	Yearly proportion	Subtype	Season-specific
	.1002	Rate	Subtype	Season-specific
	.1013	Weekly proportion	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.1013	Yearly proportion	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.1013	Rate	All-influenza	Season-specific
≥65 years	.8891	Weekly proportion	Subtype	All-season
	.8902	Rate	Subtype	All-season
	.8906	Yearly proportion	Subtype	All-season
	.8953	Weekly proportion	All-influenza	All-season
	.8979	Yearly proportion	All-influenza	All-season
	.8987	Rate	All-influenza	All-season
	.9005	Weekly proportion	Subtype	Season-specific
	.9015	Yearly proportion	Subtype	Season-specific
	.9015	Rate	Subtype	Season-specific
	.9055	Yearly proportion	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.9055	Rate	All-influenza	Season-specific
	.9057	Weekly proportion	All-influenza	Season-specific

^aRMSE values are ordered from top to bottom in each age group, with smallest value (best fit) at the top.

overlapped. Influenza B gave more negative point estimates compared with influenza A subtypes (Tables S1-S6).

4 | DISCUSSION

Using South African SARI surveillance data, our study explored alternative influenza-incidence proxies and their effect on model fit and respiratory mortality estimates. We tested model formulations considering 3 types of variation in proxy definition: weekly or yearly proportions and population rates; (sub)type-specific and all-influenza; and seasonspecific or all-season definitions. Based on model fit, we found that weekly proportion of positive influenza results provided a better fit compared with yearly proportions or rates. Across all age-group categories, (sub)type-specific model formulations produced better model fit than all-influenza proxies. In terms of using season-specific or allseason proxies, we got inconsistent results. Season-specific proxies provided the best model fit for the all-age model while all-season proxies

GUL ET AL.

	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		Annual n	nean ^a
	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI
All-season proxy ^b												
Subtypes ^c												
Weekly ^d	5.85	4.98, 6.72	2.36	1.68, 3.05	4.28	3.52, 5.03	1.58	1.11, 2.06	3.71	3.16, 4.26	3.56	2.89, 4.22
Yearly ^e	5.00	4.06, 5.93	1.42	0.74, 2.09	2.84	2.08, 3.60	1.12	0.65, 1.60	2.51	1.99, 3.03	2.58	1.90, 3.25
Rate ^f	4.73	3.90, 5.55	1.61	0.89, 2.34	3.77	2.84, 4.70	1.37	0.81, 1.94	1.92	1.56, 2.28	2.68	2.00, 3.36
All-influenza ^g												
Weekly	5.94	5.03, 6.84	4.20	3.56, 4.84	4.81	4.08, 5.55	3.01	2.55, 3.47	3.44	2.91, 3.96	4.28	3.63, 4.93
Yearly	4.58	3.66, 5.49	2.94	2.35, 3.53	3.42	2.73, 4.10	2.17	1.74, 2.61	2.32	1.85, 2.78	3.09	2.47, 3.70
Rate	3.94	3.14, 4.75	3.08	2.45, 3.71	4.08	3.24, 4.91	2.51	2.00, 3.02	1.58	1.26, 1.91	3.04	2.42, 3.66
Season-specific prov	۲h											
Subtypes												
Weekly	6.77	0.96, 12.58	3.21	0.83 5.59	4.51	1.84, 7.18	5.34	4.42, 6.27	3.47	2.24, 4.71	4.66	2.03, 7.30
Yearly	5.96	0.83, 11.09	1.79	-0.64, 4.22	4.35	1.71, 6.99	4.51	3.56, 5.46	3.25	1.93, 4.57	3.97	1.54, 6.41
Rate	5.96	0.82, 11.10	1.79	-0.64, 4.23	4.35	1.71, 6.99	4.50	3.55, 5.45	3.25	1.93, 4.57	3.97	1.35, 6.59
All-influenza												
Weekly	7.04	5.73, 8.35	1.79	0.62, 2.96	5.22	3.84, 6.60	2.84	1.61, 4.07	3.59	2.01, 5.17	4.10	2.63, 5.56
Yearly	5.29	4.06, 6.51	-0.54	-1.73, 0.64	4.01	2.68, 5.34	1.95	0.73, 3.17	3.75	2.20, 5.29	2.89	1.59, 4.36
Rate	5.29	4.07, 6.52	-0.54	-1.72, 0.64	4.03	2.70, 5.36	1.96	0.74, 3.18	3.74	2.20, 5.28	2.90	1.21, 4.58
st, point estimate; Cl Annual mean mortalii Influenza proxy for st Annual mortality rate	, confidence ty rate is cal udy period obtained b	e interval. Iculated by averaging i is analyzed as a whole iy aggregating mortalit	aggregated e. ty rate cont	annual total mortal tributed by individu	lity rates ov al influenza	er 5 y. types and subtyp	es, except v	vhen mortality esti	imate is neg	ative in which case.	, it is consic	lered as zero for the
ear in the calculation	as negative	e estimates are biologi	cally non-m	neaningful.								

TABLE 3 Summary of predicted respiratory mortality rates per 100 000 population for all ages, for South Africa, by year and proxy definition for models, 2009-2013

^dWeekly proportion = number of positive specimens in week/total number of specimens tested in week.

^eYearly proportion = number of positive specimens in week/total number of specimens tested in year.

^fRate = number of positive specimens in week/population in week.

⁸Proxy consisting of influenza types and subtypes analyzed as individual covariates—A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2), and B. ^hInfluenza proxy for each season analyzed as individual covariates.

TABLE 4 Sumn	nary of pred.	icted respiratory ı	mortality rat	es per 100 000 p.	opulation fo	r <65 y, for South	Africa, by ye	ear and proxy defii	nition for me	odels, 2009-2013		
	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		Annual m	ean ^a
	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI
All-season proxy ^b												
Subtypes ^c												
Weekly ^d	4.46	3.76, 5.17	1.94	1.38, 2.49	3.53	2.92, 4.14	1.19	0.81, 1.57	3.06	2.62, 3.50	2.84	2.30, 3.37
Yearly ^e	3.71	2.95, 4.47	1.01	0.46, 1.56	2.23	1.61, 2.84	0.68	0.30, 1.07	2.04	1.62, 2.46	1.93	1.39, 2.48
Rate ^f	3.50	2.83, 4.17	1.15	0.56, 1.74	2.95	2.19, 3.70	0.83	0.37, 1.29	1.55	1.26, 1.85	2.00	1.44, 2.55
All-influenza ^g												
Weekly	4.71	3.97, 5.44	3.33	2.81, 3.85	3.81	3.22, 4.41	2.39	2.02, 2.76	2.72	2.30, 3.15	3.39	2.86, 3.92
Yearly	3.63	2.89, 4.37	2.33	1.85, 2.81	2.71	2.15, 3.27	1.72	1.37, 2.07	1.84	1.46, 2.22	2.45	1.95, 2.95
Rate	3.09	2.43, 3.74	2.41	1.90, 2.93	3.19	2.51, 3.87	1.96	1.55, 2.38	1.24	0.97, 1.50	2.38	1.87, 2.88
Season-specific pr	oxy ^h											
Subtypes												
Weekly	2.14	1.33, 2.94	1.97	-0.03, 3.98	1.00	-1.25, 3.25	1.32	0.55, 2.10	0.74	-0.27, 1.76	1.44	-0.03, 2.91
Yearly	1.88	1.15, 2.61	1.72	-0.29, 3.73	1.02	-1.16, 3.21	1.23	0.45, 2.01	0.76	-0.31, 1.83	1.32	-0.17, 2.82
Rate	1.88	1.15, 2.62	1.72	-0.29, 3.73	1.02	-1.17, 3.21	1.23	0.44, 2.01	0.76	-0.31, 1.83	1.32	-0.19, 2.83
All-influenza												
Weekly	2.19	1.20, 3.18	0.44	-0.49, 1.36	1.06	-0.03, 2.14	0.28	-0.67, 1.23	0.59	-0.55, 1.73	0.91	-0.20, 2.02
Yearly	2.04	1.11, 2.97	-0.17	-1.09, 0.75	0.98	-0.06, 2.02	0.20	-0.73, 1.14	0.68	-0.43, 1.79	0.75	-0.36, 1.86
Rate	2.04	1.11, 2.97	-0.17	-1.09, 0.75	0.98	-0.06, 2.02	0.21	-0.73, 1.14	0.68	-0.43, 1.79	0.75	-0.50, 1.99
Est, point estimate; ^a Annual mean mort; ^b Influenza proxy for ^c Annual mortality ra year in the calculati ^d Weekly proportion = ^e vearly proportion =	Cl, confidenc ality rate is ca study perioc ite obtained l in as negativ = number of r	e interval. alculated by averag alculated by averag by aggregating mou e estimates are bio positive speciment	ing aggregati hole. rtality rate cc logically non s in week/tot	ed annual total moi ontributed by indivi i-meaningful. tal number of speci	rtality rates o idual influenz imens tested in	ver 5 y. a types and subtyr in week.	oes, except w	hen mortality estin	late is negati	ve in which case, it	is considere	d as zero for that
						1						

⁶⁰ WILEY

GUL ET AL.

^gProxy consisting of influenza types and subtypes analyzed as individual covariates—A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2), and B.

^fRate = number of positive specimens in week/population in week. ^hInfluenza proxy for each season analyzed as individual covariates.

IABLE 5 SUI	nmary of pre						,		0			
	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		Annual m	ean ^a
	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI	Est	95% CI
All-season prox	qv											
Subtypes ^c												
Weekly ^d	31.64	25.01, 38.27	10.41	5.19, 15.63	18.26	12.49, 24.03	9.02	5.42, 12.61	15.84	11.67, 20.02	17.03	11.96, 22.11
Yearly ^e	29.01	22.00, 36.02	8.98	3.93, 14.03	14.30	8.59, 20.00	9.33	5.75, 12.90	11.31	7.42, 15.20	14.59	9.54, 19.63
Rate ^f	27.52	21.33, 33.72	10.29	4.85, 15.72	19.00	12.02, 25.98	11.59	7.35, 15.83	8.69	5.97, 11.42	15.42	10.30, 20.54
All-influenza ^g												
Weekly	28.97	22.17, 35.77	20.50	15.69, 25.31	23.48	17.97, 28.99	14.70	11.25, 18.15	16.76	12.83, 20.70	20.88	15.98, 25.78
Yearly	22.32	15.54, 29.10	14.35	9.99, 18.70	16.67	11.61, 21.73	10.59	7.37, 13.80	11.30	7.87, 14.74	15.05	10.48, 19.61
Rate	20.13	14.18, 26.08	15.74	11.09, 20.39	20.81	14.66, 26.96	12.81	9.03, 16.60	8.08	5.69, 10.46	15.51	10.93, 20.10
Season-specific	proxy ^h											
Subtypes												
Weekly	11.58	4.33, 18.84	5.01	-2.32, 12.33	9.38	-4.85, 23.61	17.05	10.05, 24.05	7.42	-1.70, 16.54	10.09	0.50, 19.67
Yearly	11.81	5.21, 18.41	4.76	-3.25, 12.77	10.14	-3.81, 24.10	15.43	8.39, 22.48	6.10	-3.50, 15.70	9.65	-0.28, 19.58
Rate	11.81	5.21, 18.41	4.76	-3.26, 12.76	10.13	-3.83, 24.10	15.39	8.36, 22.43	6.08	-3.53, 15.69	9.63	-1.22, 20.49
All-flu												
Weekly	9.63	0.80, 18.47	1.13	-7.13, 9.39	8.07	-1.60, 17.74	6.96	-1.55, 15.46	7.20	-2.99, 17.38	6.60	-3.33, 16.52
Yearly	7.89	-0.40, 16.18	0.13	-8.13, 8.39	8.20	-1.09, 17.48	6.26	-2.10, 14.63	6.74	-3.18, 16.66	5.84	-4.08, 15.77
Rate	7.90	-0.40, 16.20	0.13	-8.12, 8.39	8.22	-1.07, 17.50	6.28	-2.09, 14.65	6.74	-3.18, 16.66	5.85	-5.29, 17.00
Est, point estimat ^a Annual mean mc ^b Influenza proxy 1	e; Cl, confide rtality rate is or study peri	ence interval. calculated by avera£ od is analyzed as a w	ging aggregat vhole.	ted annual total mo	rtality rates o	wer 5 y.						
^c Annual mortality year in the calcul ⁱ ^d Weekly proporti	rate obtaine ation as negat on = number	d by aggregating mo tive estimates are bid of positive specimer	ortality rate c ologically non s in week/to	ontributed by indiv n-meaningful. otal number of spec	idual influen: imens testec	za types and subty; in week.	oes, except v	when mortality estin	nate is negat	ive in which case, ii	t is consider	ed as zero for that

GUL ET AL.

WILEY 61

^BProxy consisting of influenza types and subtypes analyzed as individual covariates—A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2), and B. ^hInfluenza proxy for each season analyzed as individual covariates.

^eYearly proportion = number of positive specimens in week/total number of specimens tested in year.

^fRate = number of positive specimens in week/population in week.

⁶² WILEY

provided the best model fit for the age-specific models. Among all the best-fitting model formulations, the weekly proportion proxy combined with (sub)type-specific and either season-specific and all-season proxies produced reasonably higher model fit. This would suggest that the more important choice may be whether to use type or season-specific proxies rather than whether to use a proportion or a rate. Regardless of proxy formulation, none of the point estimates were statistically significantly different from each other for a given age category.

We did not evaluate a proxy of absolute counts of influenzapositive samples. Assuming testing practices were constant over time, counts should be a reasonable proxy. The population rates we used should be almost equivalent to counts except they adjusted for changes in total underlying population at risk. However, this did not account for changes in surveillance practice or geographic coverage, which were known to occur during the study period. Surprisingly, though, estimates from the population rate proxy did not differ substantially from the yearly proportion proxy. If the number of specimens tested was available, a preferred method may then be to use the proportion positive as a weekly or yearly proportion, which inherently would adjust for the impact of testing and health-seeking behavior¹² and would be independent of population size. On the other hand, proportions are sensitive to the size of the denominator which for influenza tests may vary according to the incidence of other pathogens circulating that cause influenza-like illness. Small numbers of tests can also create problems. For example, sentinel surveillance systems with small numbers of participating sites could have smaller denominators. One positive specimen of 2 specimens tested at the start, or outside,

of the influenza season produces a proportion of 50%, even though there is low influenza activity. In previous South African studies, laboratory surveillance data were provided monthly and proxies were calculated as yearly proportions to adjust for possible bias such as different laboratory methods and different specimen sampling over time due to sampling behavior as well as varying changes in total annual number of samples tested.^{11,15,16} In our study, the surveillance data had adequate numbers and it was done on a weekly basis.

We tried season- and (sub)type-specific viral proxies to adjust for any potential year-to-year differences between the level of influenza activity and the resulting disease burden.^{14,23} Such differences could be due to variation in virulence arising from antigenic drift of influenza strains,²⁴ but also differences in population susceptibility or vaccination coverage and effectiveness over the years. Much wider confidence intervals resulted from season-specific proxy compared with an all-season proxy. This could be attributable to reduced statistical power arising from 52 nonzero observations for each season-specific proxy compared with 260 observations for the all-season proxy.

It is difficult to compare our estimates with other studies in South Africa as they cover different years (mostly earlier years up to 2009), different modeling methods, different datasets, age groups, and mortality outcomes. Moreover, our study period examined the effects of the 2009 pandemic A(H1N1) strain as the new seasonal H1 strain, which differs from pre-2009 years, and therefore, mortality effects can be different.²⁵ However, in an individual-level cohort study that looked at influenza-associated respiratory deaths in the Soweto area in South Africa, their mean estimate of 4.7 (95% CI: 4.1, 5.3) and 8.2 (95% CI: 5.2,

 TABLE 6
 Summary of advantages and disadvantages of different influenza proxies used in models

Proxy	Advantages	Disadvantages
Weekly proportion	Adjusts for week-to-week changes in testing behavior or surveillance coverage.	Can be unstable if denominator is a small count. Does not vary if infection incidence increases but proportion positive is the same. Only possible if number of tests is available.
Yearly proportion	Adjusts for year-to-year changes in surveillance practice or coverage. Less subject to small denominator problems than weekly proportion.	Does not adjust for within-year changes in testing behavior. Does not vary if infection incidence increases for all weeks but proportion positive is the same. Requires whole years of surveillance data. Only possible if number of tests is available.
Weekly rate	Adjusts for population changes. Reflects absolute incidence of infection, if testing behavior or surveillance coverage unchanged.	Does not adjust for testing behavior or surveillance coverage over time
Influenza (sub) types	Higher resolution according to differing epidemiology and virulence of various (sub)types. May provide information for assessing vaccine effectiveness by component strains.	Reduced model parsimony. Reduced statistical power per (sub)type compared with combined influenza series. Proportion of specimens typed or subtyped can vary over time.
All-influenza	Summary impact of overall influenza. Does not require (sub)type information. Larger counts may lead to greater statistical power to detect an association with mortality.	Does not provide vaccine strain component information.
Season-specific	Accounts for year-to-year changes in virus virulence, surveillance system coverage, and testing behavior.	Reduced statistical power compared with an all-season proxy.
All-season	Higher statistical power to detect an association between influenza and mortality compared with season-specific variables.	Does not account for year-to-year changes in virus virulence, surveillance system coverage, and testing.

12.5) per 100 000 population for all ages and \geq 65 years, respectively,²⁶ compares well with our estimate of 4.66 (95% CI: 2.20, 7.12) and 10.09 (95% CI: -2.58, 22.75) per 100 000 population from our model using weekly proportion of season-specific influenza subtype proxies, assuming that estimates from this site are representative of the country.

So what proxies should be used in practice? We only evaluated proxies with 1 data source in 1 setting. Nevertheless, our findings can be used to provide guidance in other scenarios or to analyze other influenza disease burden outcomes such as hospitalization and intensive care admissions. In this case, our study could inform how influenza proxies should be formulated. We also stress that there is a need to understand how disease burden outcomes are identified and recorded by surveillance systems in order to appropriately adjust for any biases. We have summarized the advantages and disadvantages of using the various influenza proxy formulations in Table 6.

This study had several limitations. Our study period since the introduction of the pandemic strain only consisted of 5 years, which was relatively short. A longer period would be more ideal to fully encapsulate and understand how influenza-associated mortality would change over the years. The data quality of the SARI surveillance data was fairly robust but 1 criticism of the program was its representativeness.²⁰ The SARI surveillance program only covered 4 out of 9 provinces in the country in 6 sentinel sites, and therefore, it was questionable if the data could nationally represent the viral circulation activity in the population. Also, the number of surveillance sites and output from some of the sites changed over the study period. In addition, there may be a sampling bias toward critically ill patients who required hospitalization and it was not known what proportion of the population this group of patients constituted. Its representation of viral circulation in the population could be overestimated. Other proxies such as ILI for nonsevere cases in the population could be incorporated into the model to balance out the data bias for influenza viral activity. In addition, there have been other studies that explored the interaction of ILI and laboratory surveillance data as a more representative proxy for influenza incidence in the population.¹⁰ Besides influenza, there was evidence that other viruses such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), parainfluenza, and noroviruses may cocirculate with influenza and contributed to excess winter mortality in the elderly,²⁷ although previous studies had shown that RSV and influenza did not cocirculate in South Africa at the same time.^{15,16} Nevertheless, our influenza-associated mortality estimates could have been influenced by other confounding pathogens.

In conclusion, our study provides guidance on choosing appropriate influenza-incidence proxies for use in estimating the mortality burden of influenza. Improved model fit through use of (sub)type-specific proxies suggests that the different mortality risk associated with each of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2), and B appears to be an important factor in estimating mortality. However, varying mortality risk from season to season among influenza strains does not appear to be a clear factor from our study. Regardless of proxy chosen, estimates produced may be broadly consistent and not statistically significantly different. Thus, the large mortality health burden attributable to influenza will still be evident.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for their comments. The opinions expressed by the authors contributing to this work do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the CDC or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Cheryl Cohen reports grants from Sanofi outside the submitted work, and reimbursement for expenses for travel to attend meetings from Parexel and Sanofi.

ORCID

Desmond Gul D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1905-2260 Stefano Tempia D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-347X

REFERENCES

- Muscatello DJ, Amin J, MacIntyre CR, et al. Inaccurate ascertainment of morbidity and mortality due to influenza in administrative databases: a population-based record linkage study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e98446.
- Rothberg MB, Haessler SD, Brown RB. Complications of viral influenza. Am J Med. 2008;121:258-264.
- Warren-Gash C, Smeeth L, Hayward AC. Influenza as a trigger for acute myocardial infarction or death from cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2009;9:601-610.
- Cohen C, Moyes J, Tempia S, et al. Severe influenza-associated respiratory infection in high HIV prevalence setting, South Africa, 2009-2011. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19:1766-1774.
- 5. Serfling RE. Methods for current statistical analysis of excess pneumonia-influenza deaths. *Public Health Rep.* 1963;78:494-506.
- Newall AT, Viboud C, Wood JG. Influenza-attributable mortality in Australians aged more than 50 years: a comparison of different modelling approaches. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2010;138:836-842.
- Thompson WW, Weintraub E, Dhankhar P, et al. Estimates of US influenza-associated deaths made using four different methods. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2009;3:37-49.
- Goldstein E, Cobey S, Takahashi S, Miller JC, Lipsitch M. Predicting the epidemic sizes of influenza A/H1N1, A/H3N2, and B: a statistical method. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8:e1001051.
- Lemaitre M, Carrat F, Rey G, et al. Mortality burden of the 2009 A/ H1N1 influenza pandemic in France: comparison to seasonal influenza and the A/H3N2 pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e45051.
- Goldstein E, Viboud C, Charu V, Lipsitch M. Improving the estimation of influenza-related mortality over a seasonal baseline. *Epidemiology*. 2012;23:829-838.
- Kyeyagalire R, Tempia S, Cohen AL, et al. Hospitalizations associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus among patients attending a network of private hospitals in South Africa, 2007-2012. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:694.
- 12. Lambert SB, Faux CE, Grant KA, et al. Influenza surveillance in Australia: we need to do more than count. *Med J Aust*. 2010;193:43-45.
- 13. Boni MF. Vaccination and antigenic drift in influenza. Vaccine. 2008;26(Suppl 3):C8-C14.
- Simonsen L, Spreeuwenberg P, Lustig R, et al. Global mortality estimates for the 2009 Influenza Pandemic from the GLaMOR project: a modeling study. *PLoS Med.* 2013;10:e1001558.

⁶⁴ WILEY

- 15. Tempia S, Walaza S, Viboud C, et al. Mortality associated with seasonal and pandemic influenza and respiratory syncytial virus among children <5 years of age in a high HIV prevalence setting-South Africa, 1998-2009. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;58:1241-1249.
- Tempia S, Walaza S, Viboud C, et al. Deaths associated with respiratory syncytial and influenza viruses among persons >5 years of age in HIV-prevalent area, South Africa, 1998-2009. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;21:600-608.
- Walaza S, Cohen C, Nanoo A, et al. Excess mortality associated with influenza among tuberculosis deaths in South Africa, 1999-2009. *PLoS One.* 2015;10:e0129173.
- Statistics South Africa Datasets Causes of death. http://interactive. statssa.gov.za:8282/webview/. Accessed April 1, 2016.
- Statistics South Africa Mid-year population estimates (P0302). http:// www.statssa.gov.za/?page_id=1866&PPN=P0302&SCH=6688. Accessed April 1, 2016.
- Budgell E, Cohen AL, McAnerney J, et al. Evaluation of two influenza surveillance systems in South Africa. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0120226.
- 21. Muscatello DJ, Newall AT, Dwyer DE, Macintyre CR. Mortality attributable to seasonal and pandemic influenza, Australia, 2003 to 2009, using a novel time series smoothing approach. *PLoS One.* 2014;8:e64734.
- 22. Wang X-L, Yang L, Chan K-P, et al. Model selection in time series studies of influenza-associated mortality. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e39423.
- 23. Schanzer DL, Sevenhuysen C, Winchester B, Mersereau T. Estimating influenza deaths in Canada, 1992-2009. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e80481.
- Fleming DM, Elliot AJ, Cross KW. Morbidity profiles of patients consulting during influenza and respiratory syncytial virus active periods. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2007;135:1099-1108.

- 25. Blyth CC, Kelso A, McPhie KA, et al. The impact of the pandemic influenza A(H1N1) 2009 virus on seasonal influenza A virus in the southern hemisphere, 2009. *Euro Surveill*. 2010;15:pii:19631.
- Cohen C, Moyes J, Tempia S, et al. Mortality amongst patients with influenza-associated severe acute respiratory illness, South Africa, 2009-2013. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0118884.
- 27. van Asten L, van den Wijngaard C, van Pelt W, et al. Mortality attributable to 9 common infections: significant effect of influenza A, respiratory syncytial virus, influenza B, norovirus and parainfluenza in elderly persons. *J Infect Dis.* 2012;206:628-639.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Gul D, Cohen C, Tempia S, Newall AT, Muscatello DJ. Influenza-associated mortality in South Africa, 2009-2013: The importance of choices related to influenza infection proxies. *Influenza Other Respi Viruses*. 2018;12:54-64. https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12498