

MECHANISM RESPONSIBLE FOR THE INDUCTION OF I-J RESTRICTIONS ON T_{S3} SUPPRESSOR CELLS*

BY MUTSUHIKO MINAMI, NAOKI HONJI, AND MARTIN E. DORF

From the Harvard Medical School, Department of Pathology, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

The finding that the interactions of T lymphocytes are genetically restricted by genes within the major histocompatibility complex has been firmly established in many systems (1-5). In mice, helper, proliferating, and delayed-type hypersensitivity T cells are restricted by genes in the I-A, and for selected antigens the I-E, subregion of the H-2 complex (1, 6-11). In contrast, cytolytic T lymphocytes and contact sensitivity effector T cells are generally restricted by genes in the K or D regions of the major histocompatibility complex (3-5, 10, 12). In many suppressor T cell systems, the T cell interactions are controlled by still another series of genes within the H-2 complex, i.e., the I-J or I-C subregions (13-18). Thus, it appears that most products of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)¹ can serve as restricting elements for immune responses. The commitment of T cells to various MHC products is most frequently attributed to mechanisms involving associative recognition (19, 20). This theory of genetic restriction assumes that antigen is presented to T cells in the context of specific MHC gene products.

To date, most of the data on the induction of MHC restrictions are derived from studies of helper, proliferating, and cytolytic T cells. In this report, we investigate the mechanisms responsible for the induction of I-J restrictions in a particular subset of suppressor T cells. Three distinct suppressor T cell subpopulations have been identified in the 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl (NP) system (21-23). These were termed T_{S1}, T_{S2} and T_{S3} cells, respectively. T_{S1} cells or their soluble factors do not display any H-2 restriction (14, 24, 25). In contrast, T_{S2} and T_{S3} cells and their factors are genetically restricted by genes in the I-J subregion (14, 16, 17, 22). This report focuses on the induction of T_{S3} cells. T_{S3} cells are present in conventionally primed mice, but they only exert their suppressive activity after activation by T_{S2} cells or factors derived from T_{S2} cells (17, 21, 23). In this report, we evaluate methods of generating NP-specific T_{S3} cells. The data suggest that I-J determinants may serve as the antigen-presenting structures for the induction of T_{S3} cells in a manner analogous to that proposed for I-A molecules in the induction of helper T cells. Furthermore, we demonstrate that two distinct populations of T_{S3} cells restricted by either parental H-2 haplotype can be generated in H-2 heterozygous F₁ mice. These combined obser-

* Supported by grant CA14723 and a grant from the Cancer Research Institute.

¹ *Abbreviations used in this paper:* B6, C57BL/6 mice; CY, cyclophosphamide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; DNFB, 2,4-dinitro fluorobenzene; DTH, delayed-type hypersensitivity; EDTA, ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid; HBSS, Hanks' balanced salt solution; MEM, minimal essential media; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; NP, 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl; NP-O-Su, NP-O-succinimide; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; T_h, helper T cells; T_{S2}, T_{S3}, second- or third-order suppressor T cells; T_{S2}F₂, T_{S3}F₃, T_{S2}- or T_{S3}-derived suppressor factors.

vations resemble data previously obtained with helper T cells and imply a general scheme for the induction of antigen-specific T cell populations.

Materials and Methods

Mice. All mice were either purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, or were bred in the animal facilities at Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA. Mice were used at 3–12 mo of age and were maintained on laboratory chow and acidified, chlorinated water ad lib.

Animals used in this study were maintained in accordance with the guidelines of the Committee on Animals of the Harvard Medical School and those prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (DHEW publication [NIH] 78-23, revised 1978).

Antigens. NP-O-Succinimide (NP-O-Su) was purchased from Biosearch Co., San Rafael, CA. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, PA. 2,4-dinitro-1-fluorobenzene (DNFB) was obtained from Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY.

Cell Preparation. Spleen cell suspensions were made in Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS), and the erythrocytes were lysed with Tris ammonium chloride. The spleen cells were washed and then used for further separation or for NP-conjugation.

Macrophage-enriched glass-adherent spleen cells were purified by a 4-h adherence to glass petri dishes, followed by removal with EDTA, as previously described (26). Macrophage-depleted T and B spleen lymphocytes were prepared by passing $1-2 \times 10^8$ splenic leukocytes over a 25-ml column of Sephadex G-10 in a 35-ml syringe barrel and collecting the first 15 ml of eluate, as previously described (26). Cells prepared by glass adherence and by filtration through Sephadex G-10 have been extensively characterized in previous reports (26, 27). Briefly, 4-h glass-adherent cells contained 40–70% phagocytic cells; the nonphagocytic cells were comprised of equal numbers of Thy-1⁺, sIg⁺, and Thy-1⁻, sIg⁻ cells. Unfractionated spleen cells were 4–8% phagocytic, and G-10-passed cells were 0.1–0.8% phagocytic, while retaining the same T cell to B cell ratios as the input cells. Phagocytosis was assessed by latex ingestion, as previously described (27).

Haptenated Cell Preparation. NP-coupled spleen cells were made as described previously (28). Briefly, $3-5 \times 10^8$ spleen cells or $2-6 \times 10^6$ spleen glass-adherent cells were resuspended in 4 ml phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS), pH 7.7. 120 μ l of 2.4% NP-O-Su in DMSO was reacted with the cells for 2.5 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with Eagle's minimum essential medium (MEM) containing 1.2 mg/ml glycylglycine. After extensive washing in MEM, the NP-coupled cells were used for priming.

Assay for DTH Induction and Ts₃ Induction after Immunization with NP-coupled Cells. To induce DTH and Ts₃ cells, animals were primed subcutaneously with NP-coupled cells. 5 and 6 d after priming, each mouse received 0.5 ml HBSS containing 5 μ l of BW5147, B6-Ts₂-28, or CKB-Ts₂-59 derived ascitic fluids. These monoclonal TsF have been previously characterized (29). On day 6, mice were challenged in the left footpad with 0.025 ml PBS containing 30 μ g NP-O-Su. Footpad swelling was measured 24 h after challenge. Swelling was determined as the difference, in units of 10^{-3} cm, between the left and right footpad thickness.

Adoptive Transfer System for Assaying Ts₃ Activity. Donor mice were immunized subcutaneously with 1×10^7 NP-coupled cells. 6 d after priming, the mice were killed, and inguinal and axillar lymph nodes were removed, teased into a single cell suspension, and used as a source of Ts₃ donor cells.

To prepare Ts₃-depleted recipient mice, animals were primed with 2 mg of NP-O-Su. 24 h later, they were treated with an intraperitoneal injection of 20 mg/kg cyclophosphamide (CY) in saline. On day 5, each recipient received 1×10^7 NP-primed Ts₃ donor cells intravenously. Immediately after transfer and on day 6, 0.5 ml HBSS containing 5 μ l control BW5147 tumor-derived or B6-Ts₂-28-derived or CKB-Ts₂-59-derived ascitic fluid was injected intravenously. On day 6, mice were challenged with NP-O-Su, and CS responses were measured 24 h later.

DNFB Contact Sensitivity Responses. Contact sensitivity was induced by two daily paintings on the shaved abdomen with 25 μ l of 0.5% DNFB solution (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) in acetone:olive oil (4:1). 6 d after the last painting, 20 μ l of 0.2% DNFB in the same vehicle was applied to the left ear, and the ear swelling was measured as the difference between the left and right ear thicknesses.

Percent Suppression. The percent suppression in the present study was calculated by the following formula: percent suppression = $100 \times ([\text{swelling of BW tumor supernatant-injected group} - \text{swelling of TsF-injected group}] / [\text{swelling of BW tumor supernatant-injected group} - \text{swelling of unprimed group}])$.

Data Analysis. Statistical analysis of the experimental data with respect to controls was calculated using the two-tailed Student's *t* test.

Results

Priming for DTH Responses with NP-coupled Cells. In an initial series of experiments, NP-coupled syngeneic spleen cells were used for antigen priming. Optimal priming was achieved by subcutaneously injecting 1×10^7 NP-coupled cells. After 7 d, the mice were challenged in the left footpad with NP-O-Su, as previously described. The swelling responses were measured after 24 h. The magnitude of swelling varied among experiments but was generally in the range of $25\text{--}45 \times 10^{-3}$ cm. To establish that these swelling responses were a measure of a cell-mediated DTH response, 4×10^7 lymph node cells from B10.MBR mice primed with NP-coupled syngeneic cells were adoptively transferred to H-2I-compatible but H-2K- and H-2D-incompatible B10.BR recipients. Lymphocytes from the primed B10.MBR donors could transfer significant levels of immunity to H-2I-compatible recipients (data not shown). In addition, the delayed (18–36 h) kinetics of the swelling response (data not shown) support the contention that these responses are a measure of DTH reactivity.

Suppression of DTH Responses. To determine whether these DTH responses could be suppressed by Ts₂- and Ts₃-derived suppressor factors, (TsF₂ and TsF₃), animals were primed with NP-coupled syngeneic cells. After 5 to 6 d, the mice were given 0.5 ml i.v. of media containing hybridoma-derived TsF. The data in Table I demonstrate that the DTH responses were suppressed after administration of monoclonal TsF₂ or TsF₃ factors. The fact that these mice could be suppressed with TsF₂ factor suggests

TABLE I
*Suppression of DTH Responses with Monoclonal Suppressor Factors**

Strain	Priming with NP-coupled spleen cells	TsF source	Footpad swelling \pm SE \ddagger
C57BL/6	NP-B6	BW5147	42.2 \pm 1.6
		B6-Ts ₂ -28	15.5 \pm 1.2§
		B6-Ts ₃ -2	16.0 \pm 1.1§
	None	None	7.8 \pm 1.6
CKB	NP-CKB	BW5147	43.3 \pm 1.4
		CKB-Ts ₂ -59	20.0 \pm 0.6§
		CKB-Ts ₃ -3	18.5 \pm 3.5§
	None	None	7.3 \pm 1.0

* Groups consisting of four mice were primed with 1×10^7 NP-coupled syngeneic spleen cells. 5 and 6 d after priming, animals were injected intravenously with either monoclonal TsF₂ or TsF₃ suppressor factors that were derived from fusions of BW5147 tumor cells with C57BL/6 or CKB suppressor T cells (22, 29). The mice were challenged on day 6 with NP-O-Su, and the footpad swelling was measured 24 h later.

\ddagger The data are expressed as the increment of specific footpad swelling \pm SE in units of 10^{-3} cm.

§ Significant suppression; $P < 0.01$.

that T_{S_3} cells, which are the target of T_{S_2} , were present and were generated by priming with hapten-coupled cells.

We next performed a series of experiments to determine whether T_{S_3} cells could be generated by priming with allogeneic hapten-coupled cells. Animals were immunized with 1×10^7 NP-coupled allogeneic spleen cells and 5 to 6 d later were given i.v. injections of T_{S_2} . Initially, the allogeneic combination used for these experiments differed at the entire H-2 complex. However, the swelling responses observed after such allogeneic immunizations were either absent or minimal (data not shown). Consequently, we used strain combinations that differed only at the I-J subregion, eg., 3R and 5R (Table II). In such combinations, it was consistently possible to observe strong DTH responses. However, T_{S_2} was not able to suppress DTH responses induced by administration of I-J-incompatible NP-coupled cells. Thus, when 3R mice are primed with NP-coupled 5R cells, strong DTH responses are noted, and these responses can not be suppressed by administration of T_{S_2} . In contrast, when the same NP-coupled 3R cells were used to prime 3R animals, the responses could be suppressed by administration of C57BL/6-derived T_{S_2} factor (Table II). In reciprocal

TABLE II
The I-J Genotype of the Cells Used for Antigen Priming Controls T_{S_3} Expression

Experiment number	Immunized strain	Cells used for priming	T_{S_2} source	Footpad swelling \pm SE
1	3R	NP-3R	BW5147	36.3 \pm 1.5
		NP-3R	B6- T_{S_2} -28	20.3 \pm 2.4*
		NP-3R	B6- T_{S_3} -2	16.8 \pm 1.1*
		NP-5R	BW5147	26.3 \pm 3.0
		NP-5R	B6- T_{S_2} -28	28.0 \pm 2.7
		NP-5R	B6- T_{S_3} -2	9.0 \pm 1.5*
		None	None	8.4 \pm 1.6
	5R	NP-3R	BW5147	37.7 \pm 1.7
		NP-3R	CKB- T_{S_2} -59	34.0 \pm 2.5
		NP-3R	CKB- T_{S_3} -3	26.5 \pm 1.3*
		NP-5R	BW5147	44.8 \pm 1.3
		NP-5R	CKB- T_{S_2} -59	24.8 \pm 1.9*
		NP-5R	CKB- T_{S_3} -3	20.3 \pm 2.2*
		None	None	7.5 \pm 0.6
2	3R	NP-3R	BW5147	43.0 \pm 3.2
		NP-3R	B6- T_{S_2} -28	19.5 \pm 1.9*
		NP-5R	BW5147	40.3 \pm 3.5
		NP-5R	B6- T_{S_2} -28	42.0 \pm 3.0
		NP-5R	CKB- T_{S_2} -59	40.8 \pm 3.0
		None	None	9.0 \pm 1.0
		5R	NP-3R	BW5147
	NP-3R		B6- T_{S_2} -28	37.0 \pm 1.9
	NP-3R		CKB- T_{S_2} -59	38.0 \pm 3.0
	NP-5R		BW5147	42.0 \pm 3.2
	NP-5R		CKB- T_{S_2} -59	14.0 \pm 1.7*
	None		None	9.3 \pm 0.3

* Refer to protocol for Table I. 3R or 5R recipients were primed with either syngeneic or I-J-incompatible NP-coupled 5R or 3R spleen cells.

experiments, we demonstrated that NP-coupled 5R cells primed syngeneic recipients and that the ensuing responses could be suppressed by CKB-derived TsF₂. However, when the same NP-coupled 5R cells were used to prime I-J-disparate 3R recipients, TsF₂ could no longer suppress the response. The inability of TsF₂ to suppress DTH responses induced by priming with I-J-mismatched cells is not due to restrictions on Ts₃ activation because neither C57BL/6 (I-J^b) nor CKB (I-J^k) TsF₂ produced suppression (Table II, experiment 2). The controls for these experiments included groups that were injected with TsF₃ (Table II, experiment 1). The ability of TsF₃ to cause suppression in mice primed with either syngeneic or allogeneic cells demonstrates (a) that mice primed with I-J-incompatible cells were not totally refractory to suppression and (b) that the specific defect in the suppressor pathway lies in the steps between TsF₂ and TsF₃, i.e., in the Ts₃ population.

Requirement for I-J Homology for Ts₃ Cell Induction. A series of adoptive transfer experiments were performed to determine whether priming with I-J-mismatched cells resulted in a failure to induce the Ts₃ cells or in the inability of Ts₃ cells to express their functional activity. We previously characterized an adoptive transfer system in which recipients are primed, treated with cyclophosphamide (CY) to prevent the generation of Ts₃ cells, and are then given Ts₂ cells or TsF₂ along with a transfer containing the potential Ts₃ cell source (17, 21). To generate Ts₃ cells, C57BL/6 or B10.BR mice were primed with NP-coupled syngeneic or allogeneic cells that differed at various regions of the major histocompatibility complex. Lymph node cells from these potential Ts₃ donors were then adoptively transferred into NP-O-Su-primed CY-treated syngeneic recipients along with control BW or monoclonal TsF₂ factors. As shown in Table III, C57BL/6 lymph node cells obtained from Ts₃ donors primed with syngeneic NP-coupled cells transferred suppression to syngeneic recipients that also received C57BL/6-derived TsF₂. In reciprocal experiments, injections of H-2^k-derived CKB-Ts₂-59 factor and B10.BR Ts₃ cells generated NP-specific suppression when given to B10.BR recipients. However, the CKB-Ts₂-59 (I-J^k) factor would not activate C57BL/6 Ts₃ cells, and the B6-Ts₂-28 factor did not activate I-J-mismatched

TABLE III
*Adoptive Transfer of Ts₃ Cells Induced by Priming with NP-coupled Syngeneic Cells**

Strain of CY-treated recipients	NP-O-Su and DNFB priming	Spleen cells for priming of Ts ₃ donor	Source of TsF	Antigen challenge‡	
				NP-O-Su	DNFB
C57BL/6	+	Normal B6	BW5147	30.4 ± 2.0 (7)	11.3 ± 0.8 (7)
	+	Normal B6	B6-Ts ₂ -28	30.3 ± 1.4 (8)	12.3 ± 1.7 (8)
	+	NP-B6	BW5147	32.5 ± 2.3 (8)	11.5 ± 1.0 (8)
	+	NP-B6	B6-Ts ₂ -28	12.9 ± 1.5§ (8)	11.9 ± 0.5 (8)
	+	NP-B6	CKB-Ts ₂ -59	31.0 ± 1.8 (8)	12.3 ± 1.1 (8)
	—	—	—	7.0 ± 2.4 (6)	1.7 ± 0.8 (6)

* C57BL/6 mice were doubly primed with NP-O-Su and DNFB, and 24 h later were given CY. After 5 d, they received adoptive transfers of 1×10^7 Ts₃ cells and TsF₂ or BW5147 control factors. The Ts₃ cells were generated in syngeneic C57BL/6 mice that were primed with NP-coupled C57BL/6 spleen cells; controls received normal syngeneic cells. After transfer of Ts₃ cells, the mice were challenged with NP-O-Su (in the footpad) and DNFB (on the ear).

‡ The swelling responses were measured 24 h after challenge. The results of two experiments were pooled, and the number of mice tested is indicated in parentheses.

§ Significant levels of suppression; $P < 0.001$.

B10.BR T_{S3} cells. This transfer protocol provides direct evidence that T_{S3} cells were generated by priming with hapten-coupled syngeneic cells and verifies the H-2 restrictions on T_{S3} cell activation (17).

In an additional series of experiments, NP-coupled 3R, 4R, and 5R allogeneic cells were also used to induce T_{S3} in either C57BL/6 or B10.BR hosts. When C57BL/6 recipients were given T_{S2} and lymph node cells from C57BL/6 mice that had been previously primed with NP-coupled 3R or 4R cells, significant levels of suppression were observed (Table IV). In contrast, after priming of B10.BR mice with the same population of NP-coupled 3R or 4R cells, there was no generation of detectable T_{S3} activity (Table IV). However, priming of B10.BR hosts with NP-coupled 5R cells could generate functional T_{S3} cells, whereas priming of C57BL/6 mice with these NP-5R cells failed to induce a functional T_{S3} population. Analysis of the genetic disparities in these various combinations points out the critical role of the I-J region in controlling the ability to induce T_{S3} cells. Thus, T_{S3} cells were only generated in combinations in which the NP-coupled cells used for antigen priming carried an I-J allele in common with the host. This is most directly observed by comparing the results of priming with NP-coupled 3R and 5R cells. The 3R (I-J^b) and 5R (I-J^k) strains can be considered I-J congenic because they have different alleles at the I-J subregion but there are no known differences throughout the remainder of their genomes. NP-coupled 3R but

TABLE IV
*Inability to Transfer T_{S3} Activity from Mice Primed with I-J Mismatched NP-coupled Cells**

CY-treated recipients	Transferred T_{S3} cells		Source of T_{S2} F	Footpad swelling \pm SE \ddagger
	T_{S3} donor	Priming of T_{S3} donor		
B6	B6	NP-B6	BW5147	36 \pm 1
		NP-B6	B6- T_{S2} -28	18 \pm 3§
		NP-3R (K, IA, IB, IJ)	BW5147	36 \pm 2
		NP-3R	B6- T_{S2} -28	15 \pm 2§
		NP-4R (IB, IJ, IC, IE, S, D)	BW5147	35 \pm 1
		NP-4R	B6- T_{S2} -28	22 \pm 2§
		NP-5R (K, IA, IB)	BW5147	34 \pm 2
		NP-5R	B6- T_{S2} -28	32 \pm 1
		None	None	6 \pm 3
		B10.BR	B10.BR	NP-B10.BR
NP-B10.BR	CKB- T_{S2} -59			22 \pm 1§
NP-3R (IE)	BW5147			40 \pm 1
NP-3R	CKB- T_{S2} -59			43 \pm 4
NP-4R (K, IA)	BW5147			40 \pm 3
NP-4R	CKB- T_{S2} -59			40 \pm 4
NP-5R (IJ, IE)	BW5147			41 \pm 2
NP-5R	CKB- T_{S2} -59			29 \pm 1§
None	None			12 \pm 2

* C57BL/6 or B10.BR mice were used as donors for T_{S3} cells. The T_{S3} cells were induced by priming with NP-coupled syngeneic or allogeneic cells. The regions of H-2 homology are indicated in parentheses. The T_{S3} cells were transferred along with control (BW5147) or T_{S2} -derived suppressor factor to NP-O-Su-primed, CY-treated recipients.

\ddagger Refer to legend of Table I.

§ Significant suppression; $P < 0.01$.

|| Background swelling responses in nonimmune mice.

not 5R cells induce T_{S3} in I-J compatible C57BL/6 (I-J^b) mice, whereas NP-coupled 5R cells showed a reciprocal pattern inducing T_{S3} in I-J-compatible B10.BR (I-J^k) but not in I-J-mismatched C57BL/6 mice. The ability of NP-5R cells to generate a T_{S3} population in B10.BR hosts is notable because these two strains differ at the K, I-A, I-B, I-C, S and D regions and only share alleles at the I-J and I-E subregions of the major histocompatibility complex. Thus, homology at I-J (and I-E) appears to be sufficient for T_{S3} induction, at least under these experimental conditions.

When priming with allogeneic cells, one must always consider the potential complications caused by allogeneic effects. To exclude these potential artifacts we have (a) demonstrated that the suppression generated by these T_{S3} cells is antigen specific (data not shown); (b) used a syngeneic adoptive transfer system to assay T_{S3} activity so that no allogeneic cells were actually present in the recipient mice; (c) suppression was not observed with control BW5147 supernatants, but only when a soluble mediator (T_{S2}) was added; and (d) various strain combinations displaying a variety of H-2 disparities were used.

T_{S3} Generation in F_1 Mice. The next series of experiments were aimed at evaluating the specificity of T_{S3} cells derived from I-J heterozygous F_1 mice. B6AF₁ (I-J^b/I-J^k) mice were primed with NP-coupled C57BL/6 (I-J^b) or B10.BR (I-J^k) cells. After 5 d, lymph node cells from the F_1 donors were adoptively transferred to CY-treated C57BL/6 or B10.BR recipients along with B6- or CKB-derived T_{S2} . Significant levels of suppression were consistently noted in the combinations in which these elements all shared gene products of the I-J subregion: i.e., (a) the cells used for T_{S3} priming, (b) the T_{S2} , and (c) the recipients. Thus, the NP response was suppressed in C57BL/6 (I-J^b) mice after injection of both C57BL/6-derived T_{S2} (B6- T_{S2} -28) and T_{S3} cells from B6AF₁ mice that were primed with NP-B6 cells (Table V). If CKB (I-J^k)-derived T_{S2} (CKB- T_{S2} -59) was injected along with the same source of T_{S3} cells, significant levels of suppression were no longer observed. In a reciprocal experiment, T_{S3} cells derived from F_1 donors primed with NP-coupled B10.BR (I-J^k) cells suppressed B10.BR recipients when injected along with CKB (I-J^k)-derived T_{S2} (Table V). However, the same population of F_1 T_{S3} cells failed to induce significant levels of suppression when transferred to C57BL/6 recipients and reciprocally T_{S3} induced with NP-B6 cells could not cause significant suppression when transferred to B10.BR recipients. The simplest interpretation of the above data is that I-J heterozygous animals can generate two distinct populations of T_{S3} cells, depending on the manner of antigen priming. Thus, in I-J^b/I-J^k heterozygous mice, priming with antigen in the context of I-J^b generates a population of T_{S3} cells that are genetically restricted to I-J^b for both activation and interaction, whereas priming with antigen in the context of I-J^k generates I-J^k-restricted T_{S3} cells (17).

T_{S3} Induction Requires Antigen-presenting Adherent Cells. The next series of experiments were aimed at identifying the nature of the cell population in the spleen that was responsible for the induction of T_{S3} cells. Mice were primed with graded doses of NP-coupled adherent, nonadherent, or unfractionated syngeneic spleen cells. After 6 d, 1×10^7 lymph node cells were adoptively transferred to syngeneic recipients, and the appropriate groups were also injected with T_{S2} . The mice were challenged with NP-O-Su, and the CS responses were measured 24 h thereafter. Among the four experiments, the minimum number of NP-coupled cells required to induce the T_{S3} varied by ~10-fold, but the overall patterns were consistent. The data from all four

TABLE V
*T_{s3} Generation in F₁ Mice**

CY-treated recipients	T _{s3} donor	Priming T _{s3} donor	Source of TsF ₂	Percent suppression ± SE‡
C57BL/6	B6AF ₁	NP-C57BL/6	B6-T _{s2} -28	67 ± 6§
			CKB-T _{s2} -59	16 ± 16
		NP-B10.BR	B6-T _{s2} -28	7 ± 7
			CKB-T _{s2} -59	29 ± 14
B10.BR	B6AF ₁	NP-C57BL/6	B6-T _{s2} -28	18 ± 5
			CKB-T _{s2} -59	4 ± 1
		NP-B10.BR	B6-T _{s2} -28	2 ± 5
			CKB-T _{s2} -59	42 ± 5§

* T_{s3} cells were generated in B6AF₁ mice by priming with NP-coupled C57BL/6 or B10.BR spleen cells. After 5 d, the B6AF₁ lymph node T_{s3} cells were transferred to either C57BL/6 or B10.BR CY-treated recipients along with either BW5147-, B6-T_{s2}-28-, or CKB-T_{s2}-59-derived factors. The recipients were challenged after administration of these factors, and footpad swelling was measured 24 h thereafter.

‡ The results of three independent experiments were normalized and pooled using the response with BW5147 supernatants as the positive control and the nonimmune background response as the negative control.

§ Significant levels of suppression, $P < 0.01$.

experiments were normalized and pooled; the results are summarized in Table VI. NP-coupled adherent cells were most efficient at inducing T_{s3} cells. Thus, priming with 10³ hapten-coupled adherent cells generated sufficient T_{s3} to induce significant levels of suppression. Approximately 10⁶ NP-coupled unfractionated spleen cells were required to induce comparable levels of T_{s3} activity. In contrast, priming with up to 10⁷ nonadherent cells failed to produce significant levels of T_{s3} activity.

Discussion

The purpose of these experiments was to analyze the mechanisms responsible for the induction of major histocompatibility complex restrictions on Ts cell interactions. In several independent systems, I-J (or I-C) restrictions have been observed on the interactions of suppressor T cells (13–18). In most systems, the Ts cells are stimulated by antigen priming. In the NP system, the ability of antigen-primed T_{s3} cells or their factors to suppress contact sensitivity responses is also I-J restricted (17, 22). Furthermore, in H-2 heterozygous F₁ mice, at least two distinct populations of T_{s3} cells can be generated (Table V). The activity of each F₁-derived T_{s3} population is genetically restricted to a parental I-J haplotype (17). Based on the above information, we hypothesized that the mechanism responsible for the induction of MHC restrictions in antigen-primed T_{s3} cells may mirror those previously described for the induction of MHC restrictions in populations of helper or proliferating T cells (17). To test this hypothesis, we first modified our method of inducing NP-specific T_{s3} cells to permit priming with antigen-modified cells. The data demonstrate that this method of antigen priming induces hapten-specific T_{s3} and DTH cells. The DTH effector cells have a characteristic delayed onset and can be adoptively transferred into H-2I region-compatible recipients. The DTH responses induced by priming with syngeneic

TABLE VI
*Antigen-coupled Splenic Adherent Cells Are Required for Induction of Ts₃ Cells**

Cells used for Ts ₃ priming	Ts ₂	Percent suppression ± SE‡
—	+	-3 ± 7
10 ⁷ NP-spleen	-	-4 ± 8
10 ⁷ NP-spleen	+	48 ± 5§
10 ⁶ NP-spleen	+	32 ± 11§
10 ⁵ NP-spleen	+	15 ± 7
10 ⁵ NP-adherent	-	-2 ± 10
10 ⁵ NP-adherent	+	46 ± 9§
10 ⁴ NP-adherent	+	47 ± 9§
10 ³ NP-adherent	+	28 ± 10§
10 ² NP-adherent	+	18 ± 20
10 ⁷ NP-nonadherent, G-10	-	17 ± 11
10 ⁷ NP-nonadherent, G-10	+	9 ± 4
10 ⁶ NP-nonadherent, G-10	+	13 ± 9
10 ⁵ NP-nonadherent, G-10	+	4 ± 5

* Ts₃ cells were generated by priming with either hapten-coupled syngeneic unfractionated spleen cells or NP-coupled nonadherent or adherent splenic cells. After 5 d, the lymph nodes containing the Ts₃ population were adoptively transferred to syngeneic recipients along with either TsF₂ or splenic Ts₂ cells (prepared by injecting mice 6 d previously with NP-coupled spleen cells intravenously).

‡ The results of four experiments were normalized and pooled. The results were compared with groups that received neither Ts₃ nor Ts₂ cells (refer to legend of Table V).

§ Significant levels of suppression; $P < 0.05$.

NP-coupled cells can be suppressed by a variety of monoclonal TsF (Table I). In contrast, the DTH responses generated after priming with NP-coupled I-J-mismatched cells cannot be suppressed by TsF₂ but remain sensitive to suppression by TsF₃ (Table II). These results imply that priming with I-J-matched cells is required for the induction of Ts₃ cells.

To verify that the inability of TsF₂ to suppress mice primed with NP-coupled I-J-mismatched cells was due to a functional absence of Ts₃ cells, a series of transfer experiments was performed. To directly assay Ts₃ activity, we injected TsF₂ and lymph node cells derived from mice that were primed with either NP-coupled syngeneic or allogeneic cells into Ts₃-depleted recipients (i.e., mice that were previously primed with NP-O-Su and then treated with CY to prevent the generation of Ts₃ cells). This transfer protocol permits analysis of Ts₃ generation independent of the generation of DTH effector cells. The data demonstrate that priming with NP-coupled I-J-compatible cells is required and sufficient to generate antigen-specific Ts₃ cells (Table III). Furthermore, the data verify our previous observations (17) that the interactions of Ts₃ populations are genetically restricted by genes in the MHC (Table IV). These points are supported by additional data derived from experiments in which H-2 heterozygous mice were primed with antigen-coupled parental cells (Table V). The data demonstrate that priming of B6AF₁ mice with NP-coupled C57BL/6 (I-J^b) cells induced a population of Ts₃ cells that were only activated with C57BL/6-

derived TsF₂ and not by CKB (I-J^k)-derived TsF₂. Further, these Ts₃ cells only caused suppression when transferred to H-2-matched C57BL/6 recipients. These results emphasized the requirement for H-2 restriction in the activation and interaction of Ts₃ cells. Reciprocal experiments demonstrated that a second Ts₃ population was generated by priming F₁ mice with NP-coupled B10.BR (I-J^k) cells. In the latter situation, priming F₁ mice with NP-B10.BR cells generated Ts₃ cells that required I-J^k-derived TsF₂ for activation, and these F₁ Ts₃ cells function most effectively in B10.BR recipients. Thus, antigen appears to functionally associate with I-J determinants on the immunizing cells. This complex then controls the specificity of the developing Ts₃ population restricting both Ts₃ activation and subsequent interaction.

The next issue of concern was the nature of the antigen-presenting cell required for the generation of Ts₃ cells. Again, the adoptive transfer protocol was used to assess Ts₃ generation. The data in Table VI indicate that 10⁷ NP-coupled nonadherent spleen cells could not induce Ts₃ cells. In contrast, as few as 10³ NP-coupled splenic adherent cells could induce Ts₃ activity. These data emphasize the role of a specialized adherent cell population in Ts₃ generation. The conditions used to couple NP onto large numbers of unfractionated or nonadherent spleen cells were the same as those used to couple 1/10 to 1/20 the number of splenic adherent cells. Thus, the apparent enhanced efficiency of Ts₃ induction with NP-coupled splenic adherent cells (Table VI) may be attributable to higher hapten densities on the adherent population under these experimental conditions. Nonetheless, the inability of NP-coupled splenic nonadherent cells to generate Ts₃ demonstrates the vital role of a specialized adherent population in the generation of Ts₃ cells.

Taken together, the present data have numerous features in common with the situation noted in the generation of MHC-restricted helper (T_h) or proliferating T cells. (a) For both T_h and Ts₃ generation, antigen must be presented in the context of MHC determinants on an adherent antigen-presenting cell (6-8, 30). (b) In H-2 heterozygous F₁ mice, conventional antigen priming generates two distinct populations of helper or suppressor cells, each specific for antigen in the context of one of the parental H-2 haplotypes (31, 32). (c) Priming F₁ animals with antigen in the context of only one set of parental H-2 determinants results in the generation of only one population of helper or suppressor cells (7, 8). (d) The activation of T_h and Ts₃ cells is genetically restricted by the H-2 haplotype of the parental cells used for priming (7, 8). (e) The subsequent interactions of activated T_h and Ts₃ cells with their target populations may also involve genetic restrictions identical to those required for activation (33).

One of the major differences noted between the helper and suppressor compartments are the MHC genes participating in the induction of these immune processes. Thus, genes in the I-A and I-E subregions control helper T cell induction, whereas genes in the I-J subregion control Ts₃ induction. The functional role of I-A or I-E gene products in the presentation of antigen by macrophages or dendritic cells has been well documented (34, 36). We hypothesize that I-J-encoded structures on antigen-presenting cells can serve a similar presentation function. Receptors on functional Ts₃ precursor cells must recognize antigen in the context of the appropriate I-J structure. Once the precursor population matures or differentiates, the terminal activation and interaction of Ts₃ cells presumably requires triggering via anti-I-J and anti-idiotypic receptors(s).

Additional data supporting this hypothesis are provided in our previous report (17) and the accompanying report (37), which further documents the critical role of I-J gene products in the induction and activation of azobenzenearsonate-induced T_{S_3} cells. However, there are several issues concerning this hypothesis that remain unresolved. Among these is the comparison of the antigen-presenting cells required for helper and suppressor induction. Do these cells represent different cellular subsets? Because suppressor cells have been identified in some nonresponder strains (38-41), do antigen associations with I-A or I-J molecules direct these H-2-controlled responses?

We should caution that, although we assume that the cells that present antigen to T_{S_3} precursors express cell surface I-J determinants, we have been unable to directly document this point. Technical obstacles are probably responsible for this failure. Nonetheless, other investigators have described I-J-bearing antigen-presenting cells (42). Interestingly, Niederhuber et al. (43) reported that this I-J-bearing antigen-presenting population also expressed I-A markers. Further experiments to characterize the antigen-presenting cells involved in T_h and T_{S_3} induction are required.

Although we do not wish to minimize the importance of the disparities in the induction of T helper and T suppressor cells, the majority of the data argue in favor of a common underlying mechanism for the induction of I-A and I-J genetic restrictions in their respective T cell populations.

Summary

The mechanisms responsible for the induction of I-J restrictions on third-order suppressor T cells (T_{S_3}) were analyzed. The I-J phenotype of the antigen-coupled cells used for priming restricted the specificity of the T_{S_3} population. Thus, T_{S_3} cells were only generated after priming with antigen-coupled I-J homologous cells. Identity at the I-J (and I-E) subregions was sufficient for T_{S_3} induction. Furthermore, priming of H-2 heterozygous mice with antigen-coupled parental cells generated T_{S_3} that were restricted to the parental haplotype used for priming. The splenic cell population responsible for antigen presentation and induction of T_{S_3} cells was fractionated. The cells involved in antigen presentation were found in the splenic adherent population and were absent in the fraction containing splenic nonadherent T and B cells. The subsequent activation and interaction of T_{S_3} cells is also restricted by genes in the H-2 complex. The results are discussed in terms of a general mechanism responsible for the induction of restrictions in T helper and T_{S_3} cells.

The authors thank Ann Fay for excellent technical assistance and Nancy Axelrod and Mary Jane Tawa for expert secretarial assistance.

Received for publication 24 June 1982.

References

1. Katz, D. H., T. Hamaoka, M. E. Dorf, and B. Benacerraf. 1973. Cell interactions between histoincompatible T and B lymphocytes. The H-2 gene complex determines successful physiologic lymphocyte interactions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **70**:2624.
2. Shevach, E. M., and A. S. Rosenthal. 1973. Function of macrophages in antigen recognition by guinea pig T-lymphocytes. II. Role of the macrophage in the regulation of genetic control of the immune response. *J. Exp. Med.* **138**:1213.
3. Zinkernagel, R. M., and P. C. Doherty. 1975. H-2 compatibility requirement for T-cell-

- mediated lysis of target cells infected with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. *J. Exp. Med.* **141**:1427.
4. Shearer, G. M., T. G. Rehn, and C. A. Garbarino. 1975. Cell mediated lympholysis of trinitrophenyl-modified autologous lymphocytes. Effector cell specificity to modified cell surface components by the H-2K and H-2D serological regions of the murine major histocompatibility complex. *J. Exp. Med.* **141**:1348.
 5. Bevan, M. J. 1975. The major histocompatibility complex determines susceptibility to cytotoxic T cells directed against minor histocompatibility antigens. *J. Exp. Med.* **142**:1349.
 6. Sprent, J., and H. VonBoehmer. 1976. Helper function of T cell depleted of alloantigen-reactive lymphocytes by filtration through irradiated F₁ hybrid recipients. I. Failure to collaborate with allogeneic B cells in a secondary response to sheep erythrocytes measured in vivo. *J. Exp. Med.* **144**:617.
 7. Kappler, J. W., and P. C. Marrack. 1978. The role of H-2-linked genes in helper T-cell function. IV. Importance of T-cell genotype and host environment in I-region and Ir gene expression. *J. Exp. Med.* **148**:1510.
 8. Singer, A., K. S. Hathcock, and R. J. Hodes. 1979. Cellular and genetic control of antibody responses. V. Helper T-cell recognition of H-2 determinants on accessory cells but not B cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **149**:1208.
 9. Sredni, B., and R. H. Schwartz. 1981. Antigen-specific, proliferating T lymphocyte clones. Methodology, specificity, MHC restriction and alloreactivity. *Immun. Rev.* **54**:187.
 10. Miller, J. F. A. P., M. A. Vadas, A. Whitelaw, and J. Gamble. 1975. H-2 gene complex restricts transfer of delayed-type hypersensitivity in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **72**:5095.
 11. Weinberger, J. Z., M. I. Greene, B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1979. Hapten-specific T cell responses to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl (NP). I. Genetic control of delayed-type hypersensitivity by V_H and I-A region genes. *J. Exp. Med.* **149**:1336.
 12. Sunday, M. E., and M. E. Dorf. 1981. Hapten-specific T cell response to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl X. Characterization of distinct T cell subsets mediating cutaneous sensitivity responses. *J. Immunol.* **127**:766.
 13. Tada, T., M. Taniguchi, and C. S. David. 1976. Suppressive and enhancing T-cell factors as I-region gene products: properties and the subregion assignment. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **41**:119.
 14. Okuda, K., M. Minami, D. H. Sherr, and M. E. Dorf. 1981. Hapten-specific T cell responses to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl. XI. Pseudogenetic restrictions of hybridoma suppressor factors. *J. Exp. Med.* **154**:468.
 15. Green, W. F., and D. G. Colley. 1981. Modulation of *Schistosoma mansoni* egg-induced granuloma formation: I-J restriction of T cell-mediated suppression in a chronic parasitic infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **78**:1152.
 16. Kapp, J. A., and B. A. Araneo. 1982. Antigen-specific suppressor T cell interactions. I. Induction of an MHC-restricted suppressor factor specific for L-glutamic acid⁵⁰-L-tyrosine⁵⁰. *J. Immunol.* **128**:2447.
 17. Minami, M., S. Furusawa, and M. E. Dorf. 1982. I-J restrictions on the activation and interaction of parental and F₁-derived T_{S3} suppressor cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **156**:465.
 18. Rich, S. S., and R. R. Rich. 1976. Regulatory mechanisms in cell-mediated immune responses III. I-region control of suppressor cell interaction with responder cells in mixed lymphocyte reactions. *J. Exp. Med.* **143**:672.
 19. Benacerraf, B. 1978. A hypothesis to relate the specificity of T lymphocytes and the activity of I region-specific Ir genes in macrophages and B lymphocytes. *J. Immunol.* **120**:1809.
 20. Rosenthal, A. S. 1978. Determinant Selection and Macrophage Function in Genetic Control of the Immune Response. *Immun. Rev.* **40**:135.
 21. Sunday, M. E., B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1981. Hapten-specific T cell responses to 4-

- hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl. VIII. Suppressor cell pathways in cutaneous sensitivity responses. *J. Exp. Med.* **153**:811.
22. Okuda, K., M. Minami, S. Furusawa, and M. E. Dorf. 1981. Analysis of T cell hybridomas. II. Comparisons among three distinct types of monoclonal suppressor factors. *J. Exp. Med.* **154**:1838.
 23. Sherr, D. H., and M. E. Dorf. 1982. Hapten specific T cell responses to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl. XIII. Characterization of a third-order T cell (T_{s3}) involved in suppression of in vitro PFC responses. *J. Immunol.* **128**:1261.
 24. Kapp, J. A. 1978. Immunosuppressive factor(s) extracted from lymphoid cells of nonresponder mice primed with L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT). IV. Lack of strain restriction among allogeneic, nonresponder donors and recipients. *J. Exp. Med.* **147**:997.
 25. Sy, M.-S., M. H. Dietz, A. Nisonoff, R. N. Germain, B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1980. Antigen- and receptor-driven regulatory mechanisms. V. The failure of idiotype coupled spleen cells to induce unresponsiveness in animals lacking the appropriate V_H gene is due to the lack of idiotype-matched targets. *J. Exp. Med.* **152**:1226.
 26. Minami, M., D. C. Shreffler, and C. Cowing. 1980. Characterization of the stimulator cells in the murine primary mixed leukocyte response. *J. Immunol.* **124**:1314.
 27. Cowing, C., B. D. Schwartz, and H. B. Dickler. 1978. Macrophage Ia antigens. I. Macrophage populations differ in their expression of Ia antigens. *J. Immunol.* **120**:378.
 28. Weinberger, J. Z., R. N. Germain, S.-T. Ju, M. I. Greene, B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1979. Hapten-specific T cell responses to 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl acetyl. II. Demonstration of idiotypic determinants on suppressor T cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **150**:761.
 29. Minami, M., K. Okuda, S. Furusawa, B. Benacerraf, and M. E. Dorf. 1981. Analysis of T cell hybridomas. I. Characterization of H-2 and Igh restricted monoclonal suppressor factors. *J. Exp. Med.* **154**:1390.
 30. Heber-Katz, E., R. H. Schwartz, L. A. Matis, C. Hannum, T. Fairwell, E. Appella, and D. Hansburg. 1982. Contribution of antigen-presenting cell major histocompatibility complex gene products to the specificity of antigen-induced T-cell activation. *J. Exp. Med.* **155**:1086.
 31. Rosenthal, A. S., M. A. Barcinski, and J. T. Blake. 1977. Determinant selection: A macrophage dependent immune response gene function. *Nature (Lond.)* **267**:156.
 32. Paul, W. E., E. M. Shevach, S. Pickeral, D. W. Thomas, and A. S. Rosenthal. 1977. Independent populations of primed F1 guinea pig T lymphocytes respond to antigen-pulsed parental peritoneal exudate cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **145**:618.
 33. Benacerraf, B., and R. N. Germain. 1978. The immune response genes of the major histocompatibility complex. *Immun. Rev.* **38**:70.
 34. Schwartz, R. H., C. S. David, M. E. Dorf, B. Benacerraf, and W. E. Paul. 1978. Inhibition of the dual Ir gene-controlled T-lymphocyte proliferative response to poly (Glu⁵⁶Lys³⁵Phe⁹) with anti-Ia antisera directed against either the I-A or I-C subregion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **75**:2387.
 35. Lerner, E. A., L. A. Matis, C. A. Janeway, P. P. Jones, R. H. Schwartz, and D. B. Murphy. 1980. Monoclonal antibody against an Ir gene product? *J. Exp. Med.* **152**:1085.
 36. Germain, R. N., A. Bhattacharya, M. E. Dorf, and T. A. Springer. 1982. A single monoclonal anti-Ia antibody inhibits antigen-specific T cell proliferation controlled by distinct Ir genes mapping in different H-2 I subregions. *J. Immunol.* **128**:1409.
 37. Takaoki, M., M.-S. Sy, A. Tominaga, A. Lowy, M. Tsurufuji, R. Finberg, B. Benacerraf, and M. I. Greene. 1982. I-J-restricted interactions in the generation of azobenzenearsonate specific suppressor T cells. *J. Exp. Med.* In press.
 38. Kapp, J. A., C. W. Pierce, S. Schlossman, and B. Benacerraf. 1974. Genetic control of immune response *in vitro*. V. Stimulation of suppressor T cells in nonresponder mice by the terpolymer L-glutamic acid⁶⁰-L-alanine³⁰-L-tyrosine¹⁰ (GAT). *J. Exp. Med.* **140**:648.

39. Debre, P., J. A. Kapp, M. E. Dorf, and B. Benacerraf. 1975. Genetic control of specific immune suppression. II. H-2-linked dominant genetic control of immune suppression by the random copolymer L-glutamic acid⁵⁰-L-tyrosine⁵⁰ (GT). *J. Exp. Med.* **142**:1447.
40. Araneo, B. A., R. L. Gowell, and E. E. Sercarz. 1979. Ir gene defect may reflect a regulatory imbalance. I. Helper T cell activity revealed in a strain whose lack of response is controlled by suppression. *J. Immunol.* **123**:961.
41. Adorini, L., A. Miller, and E. E. Sercarz. 1979. The fine specificity of regulatory T cells. I. Hen egg-white lysozyme-induced suppressor T cells in a genetically nonresponder mouse strain do not recognize a closely related immunogenic lysozyme. *J. Immunol.* **122**:871.
42. Niederhuber, J. E., and P. Allen. 1980. Role of I-region gene products in macrophage induction of an antibody response. II. Restriction at the level of T cell in recognition of I-J-subregion macrophage determinants. *J. Exp. Med.* **151**:1103.
43. Niederhuber, J. E., P. Allen, and L. Mayo. 1979. The expression of Ia antigenic determinants on macrophages required for the in vitro antibody response. *J. Immunol.* **122**:1342.