



Linking Ethanol-Addictive Behaviors With Brain Catecholamines: Release Pattern Matters

Vladimir P. Grinevich¹, Evgeny M. Krupitsky^{2,3}, Raul R. Gainetdinov^{1,4} and Evgeny A. Budygin^{1*}

¹ Department of Neurobiology, Sirius University of Science and Technology, Sochi, Russia, ² V.M. Bekhterev National Medical Research Center for Psychiatry and Neurology, St. Petersburg, Russia, ³ Laboratory of Clinical Psychopharmacology of Addictions, St.-Petersburg First Pavlov State Medical University, St. Petersburg, Russia, ⁴ Institute of Translational Biomedicine and St. Petersburg State University Hospital, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

Using a variety of animal models that simulate key features of the alcohol use disorder (AUD), remarkable progress has been made in identifying neurochemical targets that may contribute to the development of alcohol addiction. In this search, the dopamine (DA) and norepinephrine (NE) systems have been long thought to play a leading role in comparison with other brain systems. However, just recent development and application of optogenetic approaches into the alcohol research field provided opportunity to identify neuronal circuits and specific patterns of neurotransmission that govern the key components of ethanol-addictive behaviors. This critical review summarizes earlier findings, which initially disclosed catecholamine substrates of ethanol actions in the brain and shows how the latest methodologies help us to reveal the significance of DA and NE release changes. Specifically, we focused on recent optogenetic investigations aimed to reveal cause-effect relationships between ethanol-drinking (seeking and taking) behaviors and catecholamine dynamics in distinct brain pathways. These studies gain the knowledge that is needed for the better understanding addiction mechanisms and, therefore, for development of more effective AUD treatments. Based on the reviewed findings, new messages for researches were indicated, which may have broad applications beyond the field of alcohol addiction.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Tatyana Strekalova, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russia

Reviewed by:

Anna Gorlova, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russia João Pedro Costa-Nunes, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

> ***Correspondence:** Evgeny A. Budygin budygin.ea@talantiuspeh.ru

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Emotion Regulation and Processing, a section of the journal Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

> Received: 14 October 2021 Accepted: 26 November 2021 Published: 16 December 2021

Citation:

Grinevich VP, Krupitsky EM, Gainetdinov RR and Budygin EA (2021) Linking Ethanol-Addictive Behaviors With Brain Catecholamines: Release Pattern Matters. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 15:795030. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2021.795030 Keywords: addiction, motivation, dopamine, norepinephrine, phasic and tonic release, optogenetics

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol use disorder (AUD) is a problematic pattern of alcohol use, which is widely spread among adult population in the world and for the most part accompanied by co-occurring psychiatric disorders, e.g., drug use disorders, major depressive disorders, specific phobias, and antisocial and borderline personality disorders (Kranzler and Soyka, 2018), and results in serious health and socio-economic problems in our society (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (Samhsa), 2019; Tucker et al., 2020). AUD exerts multiple features which include compulsive alcohol seeking and aberrant control over drinking resulting in excessive ethanol consumption, craving, withdrawal syndrome, commonly occurring relapse and is stated a chronic disease (Nieto et al., 2021). Clarification of neurobiological substrates of ethanol and their modification induced

by chronic ethanol ingestion is critical in understanding the clinical manifestation of AUD and to the development of effective treatments (Kranzler and Soyka, 2018). Unfortunately, our knowledge of the precise neurochemical mechanisms responsible for the development and progression of AUD is still incomplete. The functioning of dopamine (DA) and norepinephrine (NE) systems seems to be altered by ethanol more than others and, therefore, they were the main targets in the field of alcohol research.

The effect of ethanol to increase the concentration of DA in the mesolimbic system has been known since the late 1980s (Imperato and Di Chiara, 1986). To date, the studies using animal models have provided advanced characterization of the DA implication in neurobiological effects of ethanol, while clinical studies have concurred with a clarification of the involvement of DA in AUD (Charlet et al., 2013; Söderpalm and Ericson, 2013; Chen et al., 2018; Salinas et al., 2021). On the other hand, both animal and human studies have also demonstrated that dysregulation of the NE system, which is tightly linked with arousal and stress response, can also be a key component of the AUD pathophysiology (Haass-Koffler et al., 2018). NE neuron cell bodies are clustered in discrete nuclei in the brainstem and pons, whose axons project widely throughout the brain including numerous regions involved in stress, reward and ethanol-related behaviors. The largest and best characterized of these nuclei is the locus coeruleus (LC), which projects to the amygdala and cortex to promote cognitive and sensory processing, and to pave the way for anxiety and stress responses (Sara, 2009; Szabadi, 2013). Noradrenergic afferents from the LC to the ventral tegmental area (VTA) have been shown to modulate DA neuron firing and extracellular DA concentrations in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), caudate, and prefrontal cortex (Mejias-Aponte, 2016), likely via activation of *α*1-adrenoreceptors (Rommelfanger et al., 2009). In fact, it has been observed in both preclinical and clinical studies that selective antagonists of *α*1-adrenoreceptors and agonists α2-adrenoreceptors alter ethanol- seeking and consumption (Haass-Koffler et al., 2018). In general, drugs designed to reduce central NE activity appear to decrease motivation to ethanol and drinking behaviors in rodents, particularly ethanol-preferring animals (Haass-Koffler et al., 2018).

Furthermore, many mood and stress-associated disorders are comorbid with alcohol- addictive behaviors (Grant, 2004; West et al., 2016; Gilpin and Weiner, 2017). In fact, individuals identified with post-traumatic stress disorder are more likely to develop an AUD (Kessler et al., 1997). It appears that ethanol is a common tool to deal with stress and anxietyassociated conditions due to its anxiolytic effect. However, withdrawal from chronic drinking can increase anxiety and other stress-related symptoms. Consequently, although stress events promote excessive ethanol intake, excessive drinking may deteriorate symptoms of stress disorders (Gilpin and Weiner, 2017). It is why, the successful development of more effective treatments of such pathological conditions will require not only a better understanding of the specific mechanisms responsible for ethanol's positive reinforcing actions but also the mechanisms linking negative reinforcement and AUD. In fact, the activation of brain stress systems is hypothesized to be a key element of

the negative emotional state of alcohol dependence (or any other addictive substance) when ethanol seeking and taking are driven by negative reinforcement mechanisms.

Over the past several decades the development and use of translational animal models has resulted in notable progress in our understanding of ethanol and stress induced effects on synaptic transmission, especially on DA and NE systems. Perhaps, more importantly, these findings provided critical insights into some of the neural circuits that are involved in ethanol addiction-related behaviors, which include the VTA-NAc and LC-prefrontal cortex and basolateral amygdala pathways (Vena et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2020). However, it is required to not only describe the changes that are apparent following ethanol exposure with or without stress, but also to determine if these alterations play a causal role in the triggering of addictive behaviors. These experiments necessitate the ability to manipulate activity of discrete populations of neurons with precise temporal (ms) and spatial (µm) resolutions during addictive behaviors. Therefore, the use of techniques, which allow us to perform such manipulations as well as to confirm expected neurotransmitter dynamics in vivo is essential.

Recent studies clearly demonstrated that the combination of ethanol self-administration paradigms with optogenetics and real-time electrochemical recordings are extremely helpful in this regard. Though alcohol researchers are only in the beginning stages of using these approaches, latest findings have already provided new insights into the determinant role of specific neural circuits in ethanol-seeking and ethanol-drinking behaviors.

This review aimed to highlight how latest methodological developments help us to understand the significance of differences in catecholamine release patterns within distinct pathways for the triggering and suppressing of ethanoladdictive behaviors.

ACUTE ETHANOL EXPOSURE AND BRAIN CATECHOLAMINE CHANGES

Researchers have long pursued investigation of the mechanisms of ethanol action in the brain using preferentially rodent models. It was found that ethanol influences CNS functioning by modifying an activity of many neurotransmitter systems, including γ -aminobutyric acid (Koob, 2004; Siggins et al., 2005), glutamate (Woodward, 2000), serotonin (Grant, 1995), NE (Weinshenker et al., 2000), neuropeptide Y (Thiele et al., 1998), vasopressin (Edwards et al., 2012), adenosine (Nam et al., 2013) and DA (Weiss and Porrino, 2002; Gonzales et al., 2004; Koob, 2013). However, the mesolimbic DA appears to be modified in its functioning more than others in all addictions and appears to fluctuate differently and predictably, regardless of abused substance (Melis et al., 2005). This circuit is comprised of the dopaminergic neurons in the VTA and their projections to the NAc (and several other brain regions) and closely associated with activation of the reward system. Therefore, DA transmission received prominent attention in the alcohol research field.

The studies on rodents clearly demonstrated that acutely administered ethanol enhances the firing rate of VTA

DA neurons (Brodie, 2002), increasing DA release from dopaminergic terminals (Imperato and Di Chiara, 1986; Tang et al., 2003) similarly to other abused drugs. At the same time, DA uptake parameters measured by voltammetry in vivo and in vitro remain unaffected (Budygin et al., 2001a,b, 2005; Jones et al., 2006). It has been appreciated that ethanol actions on DA cells in the VTA might be mediated by its first metabolite acetaldehyde (AcH), which directly increases the activity of these cells (Foddai et al., 2004). Moreover, AcH in the brain can enzymatically interact with DA to synthesize tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloid salsolinol (SAL), which level was shown to increase significantly in terminals of dopaminergic cells in the striatum and hypothalamus following ethanol exposure (Matsubara et al., 1987; Naoi et al., 2002; Mravec, 2006; Chen et al., 2018). It has also been indicated that ethanol administration enhances the reaction of DA with dopaldehyde (the monoamino oxidase product of DA) to form tetrahydropapaveroline (THP), a biological precursor of morphine (Sango et al., 2000; McCoy et al., 2003). SAL and THP have been reported to mediate certain effects of ethanol (Myers, 1996; McCoy et al., 2003; Mravec, 2006; Deehan et al., 2013; Bassareo et al., 2021). In relation to the reinforcing properties of ethanol, the most intriguing findings have been that SAL and THP infused into the cerebral ventricles of rats or monkeys trigger abnormal consumption of ethanol (Melchior and Myers, 1977; Myers and Oblinger, 1977; West et al., 1998) and that SAL shows off high affinity to D_2/D_3 receptors (Patsenko et al., 1987; Rodd et al., 2003). Furthermore, microinjections of THP into the NAc cause an intense preference for ethanol in rats (Duncan and Fernando, 1991). It was also shown that SAL infused locally into different areas of the rat brain profoundly increased the extracellular DA in these regions. Remarkably, the potency of SAL to increase the output of DA in the striatum was significantly stronger compared to that of methamphetamine (Nakahara et al., 1994).

According to PET studies, ethanol triggers DA release in the NAc of the human brain (Boileau et al., 2003). It is important to highlight that this neurotransmitter can be released in the terminal field in two distinctive firing patterns: tonic, that is a single spike activity at a frequency of \sim 5 Hz, and phasic, that appears as synchronized bursts of action potentials at higher frequencies (≥20 Hz) (Grace and Bunney, 1983b; Grace, 1991; Schultz, 1998; Wightman and Robinson, 2002; Anstrom et al., 2009). These patterns produce discrete modes of DA release, resulting in DA concentration changes lasting from seconds to minutes (Wightman and Robinson, 2002). Specifically, tonic firing results in low steady-state DA extracellular concentrations that are usually lower than 50 nM (Parsons and Justice, 1992), while phasic firing produces relatively large, transient increases in DA levels, which may significantly exceed 50 nM in the extra neuronal space (Grace and Bunney, 1983a,b,c, 1984a,b; Freeman et al., 1985; Wightman and Zimmerman, 1990; Hyland et al., 2002; Wightman and Robinson, 2002). Importantly, these two modes of DA transmission appear to operate different functions that may result in dissimilar behavioral consequences, though some overlap is also possible. Ethanol alters both tonic and phasic DA fluctuations in the NAc measured by microdialysis and fastscan voltammetry, respectively (Robinson et al., 2009). However, the role of tonic and phasic DA release in ethanol-seeking and -drinking behaviors remained unknown until recently.

Significantly less attention has been focused on the involvement of noradrenergic transmission at acute ethanol action in the brain. Borg et al. (1981) demonstrated that the NE turnover was increased in alcoholics and healthy controls. Increased turnover of the brain NE following an acute dose of ethanol was also reported in rats (Corrodi et al., 1966; Hunt and Majchrowicz, 1974; Smith et al., 1985).

More accurate assessment of acute effects of ethanol on central NE transmission was made by employing microdialysis technique in freely moving rats. It was found that at a low dose (0.2 mg/kg, i.p.) ethanol increased, while at higher dose (2.0 mg/kg, i.p.) decreased extracellular NE concentration in rat frontal cortex (Murphy et al., 1983; Rossetti et al., 1992). It was speculated that the decrease in NE efflux may be associated with the sedativehypnotic properties of ethanol at high doses, whereas the elevated NE may represent a neurochemical correlate of the arousal and increased alertness elicited by low doses of ethanol. It was also shown that NE levels were elevated in the NAc (Karkhanis et al., 2014) and basolateral amygdala (Karkhanis et al., 2015) of socially isolated but not in naïve rats following the 2 g/kg dose. It was suggested that these changes might promote the escalated ethanol-drinking behavior observed in rats subjected to chronic adolescent stress (Karkhanis et al., 2015). The study focused on NE transmission in the bed nucleus of stria terminalis indicated that ethanol (0.5, 1.0 g/kg, i.p.) dose-dependently increased NE levels in dialysates (Jadzic et al., 2021).

In contrast to the effect on DA cell bodies, ethanol administration (1-2 g/kg) directly onto a single neuron in the rat LC produced a suppression in the firing rate (Strahlendorf and Strahlendorf, 1983). In line with this observation, recordings in brain slices revealed the decreased spontaneous firing rate of LC cells, suggesting that acute ethanol exposure might suppress NE release (Verbanck et al., 1990). This effect could explain the decreases in NE concentrations measured by microdialysis in terminal fields following high doses of ethanol (see above). Collectively, these results indicate that acute ethanol has a powerful action on catecholamine dynamics that suggests profound adaptations in both DA and NE brain systems following its chronic exposure.

CHRONIC ETHANOL EXPOSURE AND BRAIN CATECHOLAMINE CHANGES

The acute effects of abused substances often specify knowledge of their initial target in the brain but don't give relevant information on alteration related to the phenomenon of the development of addictive behaviors. In fact, the outcomes of chronic ethanol exposure on catecholamine dynamics are more multifaceted. Overall, in contrast to acute action, chronic ethanol exposure and withdrawal result in hypodopaminergic state (Weiss and Porrino, 2002; Koob, 2003; Melis et al., 2005). This condition is considered as one of the important causes that triggers a seeking and taking compounds of abuse including ethanol after the prolonged exposure to them (Koob, 2003; Melis et al., 2005; Diana, 2011). The low levels of DA were found in the caudate nucleus of alcoholic brains (Noble, 1996). In animal models, a profound reduction of spontaneous firing rate as well as a burst firing of the identified NAc-projecting VTA DA neurons in rats (Diana et al., 1993b) and mice were revealed (Bailey et al., 2001). These alterations induced by chronic ethanol exposure can explain substantial decreases in extracellular DA levels in the rat NAc measured by microdialysis (Rossetti et al., 1992; Diana et al., 1993a; Barak et al., 2011). Importantly, original accumbal DA concentrations were found to be recovered when ethanol was self (Weiss et al., 1996) and passively administered (Diana et al., 1993a, 1996). Furthermore, intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) studies demonstrated that ethanol-withdrawn rats can maintain the ICSS behavior with the increased current intensity (Schulteis et al., 1995). Therefore, it could be speculated that the neural substrate responsible for maintaining the ICSS behavior is hyperpolarized or more refractory in the ethanol-dependent subject as compared with naïve control (Diana, 2011). However, an electrical stimulation is not specific for DA cells only, therefore, the involvement of other neurotransmitter systems cannot be completely ruled out under this circumstance.

Furthermore, the acceleration in striatal DA uptake was detected by voltammetry *in vitro* in ethanol drinking monkeys and rats (Budygin et al., 2003; Deal et al., 2018), and in rats (Budygin et al., 2007) and mice (Karkhanis et al., 2015) chronically exposed to ethanol vapor. In agreement with voltammetry studies, an enhanced DA transporter (DAT) protein levels have been found following chronic ethanol treatment (Rothblat et al., 2001). Therefore, an altered reuptake of the neurotransmitter may also contribute to the decrease in extracellular DA concentrations observed under the condition of chronic ethanol exposure.

There are some lines of evidence that chronic drinking may increase the sensitivity of the posterior VTA to the reinforcing effects of ethanol (Rodd et al., 2005a,b). The increased excitation of DA neurons following chronic ethanol exposure (Brodie, 2002) can explain the greater accumbal DA release in response to acutely administered ethanol (Ding et al., 2009). The latest study revealed that phasic but not tonic stimulation induced a higher DA efflux in the NAc core of high drinking mice when compared to ethanol naïve controls (Liu et al., 2020).

Presynaptic dopamine D2 receptors (D2R), which are primarily involved in the control of DA release, can be altered following chronic ethanol exposure as well (Hietala et al., 1994; Volkow et al., 1996, 2006, 2010; Tupala et al., 2001). Using positron emission tomography in the brain of individuals with addictions to diverse substances (ethanol, opioids, cocaine, and amphetamine) revealed that they have a reduced D2R availability in reward regions (Volkow et al., 2010). Conversely, transfection of RNA encoding the D2R into the brain of rats, significantly increasing its expression, is associated with a significant decrease in ethanol consumption in alcohol-dependent rats (Thanos et al., 2001). These findings support the hypothesis of the significant role DA neurotransmission might play in the mechanism underlying alcohol dependence, and may be the first steps toward an eventual genetic treatment for AUD.

Scrupulous consideration should be given to DA dynamics, which are coincidental with the initiation and with carrying out of alcohol self-administration. Several studies have explored how DA changes correlate with appetitive and consummatory phases of ethanol intake. A well-designed microdialysis experiment has found a significant rise in DA concentration in the NAc of rats during their placement into the operant chamber and in the first several minutes of ethanol consumption (Doyon et al., 2003). However, the lever pressing that reflects a motivation for ethanol solution did not alter DA levels. It should be clarified that a microdialysis is the best suitable approach to evaluate changes in tonic DA release, because this technique has a relatively slow temporal resolution. Therefore, undeviating DA measures obtained with microdialysis disguise alterations in DA release, which can take place on subsecond time scale. Indeed, the latest fiber photometry studies revealed fast DA increases in rat NAc across different stages of ethanol selfadministration. Remarkably, these DA transients were subregionspecific (Liu et al., 2020).

A long-term ethanol consumption finally leads to terminal stages of AUD when negative emotional stage is predominant, especially during alcohol withdrawal. This condition appears at least in part due to hypodopaminergic, whereas hyperactivation of NE system triggered by different stress factors may play a supplementary role. Indeed, increased central NE signaling and increased sympathetic activity have been well documented in alcoholics, particularly during onset of withdrawal when elevated NE levels in cerebrospinal fluid (Hawley et al., 1981) and in plasma (Patkar et al., 2003) were observed (Fitzgerald, 2013; Haass-Koffler et al., 2018).

There is also emerging evidence that targeting the NE system may be beneficial in the treatment of AUD, with prazosin showing the most promise. The α 1 receptor antagonist, prazosin, appeared efficacious in decreasing stress- and cue-induced alcohol craving and in normalizing the stress dysregulation associated with early withdrawal in alcohol dependent individuals (Simpson et al., 2009; Fox et al., 2012; Kenna et al., 2016). Another α1-blocker doxazosin was also introduced for AUD due to demonstrating more favorable pharmacokinetic profile than prazosin, and being selectively effective in Alzheimer's disease patients with high family history density of alcoholism. There were also evidences that α 2-agonists such as lofexidine, clonidine or a newer α 2-agonist dexmedetomidine, may have an adjunctive role in reducing sympathetic overdrive for alcohol withdrawal management (Walinder et al., 1981; Cushman et al., 1985; Darrouj et al., 2008).

As of investigation of rats chronically exposed to high-dose ethanol, administration of α_1 -adrenergic receptor blockade prazosin (Walker et al., 2008) or the β -adrenergic receptor blocker propranolol (Gilpin and Koob, 2010) abolished accumulation of ethanol intake during withdrawal.

During withdrawal from chronic binge-like ethanol exposure, elevated sympathetic activity contributes to aversive somatic symptoms, thus promoting relapse in ethanol drinking behavior (Heilig et al., 2010). In rat studies, blockade of α 1- or β -adrenergic receptors (Trzaskowska et al., 1986; Riihioja et al., 1997) or activation of α 2-adrenergic autoreceptors (Riihioja et al., 1997) reduced alcohol withdrawal symptoms such as convulsions, tremors, and locomotor hyperactivity. It has also been shown in rats, that blockade of NE signaling during alcohol withdrawal attenuated ethanol drinking (Walker et al., 2008).

Therefore, DA and NE transmission can be profoundly influenced by chronic ethanol exposure. These changes indicate a disbalance between DA and NE systems that is characterized by the DA hypofunction and hyperfunctoning of the NE system. Moreover, some correlative data suggest an importance of released catecholamines in certain brain regions under the condition of prolonged ethanol drinking. However, the precise role of DA and NE release changes in addictive behaviors was unclear until the use of optogenetic tools combined with satisfied ethanol self-administrative paradigms. The next section summarized the latest findings, which revealed how ethanol-seeking and -drinking behaviors are causally shaped by these catecholamines.

FROM BRAIN CONCENTRATION LEVELS TO RELEASE PATTERNS

Revealing alterations in neurotransmitter concentrations, especially coupled with the initiation and maintenance of ethanol consumption, is an important first step toward understanding the role of certain brain systems in the development of addictive behaviors. However, the challenge is to determine whether observed changes perform a causal job in ethanol seeking (appetitive behavior) and intake. Fortunately, recent technological advances such as optogenetics now provide the opportunity to answer these critical questions (Tsai et al., 2009; Adamantidis et al., 2011; Witten et al., 2011; Bass et al., 2013). Indeed, this approach allowed us to precisely mimic certain patterns of neurotransmission in distinct circuitries in behaving animals (Bass et al., 2013; Mikhailova et al., 2016; Budygin et al., 2020; Deal et al., 2020). Therefore, a far more complete exploration of the role of brain neurotransmitters in ethanol-addictive behaviors has become possible.

Ethanol-drinking behavior can be broken down into two discrete components. Appetitive (motivational) component is involved into reward seeking behaviors directly, while consummatory component is involved into behaviors associated with the concrete action of drinking. These components can be differentially regulated. and dysregulation of both these behaviors may contribute to the development and further escalation of AUD. To explore the role tonic and phasic patterns of DA release play in consummatory behavior, optogenetics has been initially used to selectively shape DA dynamics in the NAc of rats during voluntary ethanol drinking in intermittent two-bottle choice procedure (Bass et al., 2013). In this well established procedure, rats had access to 20% ethanol and water 3 times per week (Wise, 1975; Simms et al., 2008; Moorman and Aston-Jones, 2009). Subjects, which demonstrated high stable levels of ethanol intake during first 7 weeks, were injected into the VTA with the viral construct with the tyrosine hydroxylase promoter that restricted expression of channel rhodopsin 2 (ChR2) to DA cells. Therefore, the applied design allowed to explore the effects

of optogenetic activation of the DA neurons in the VTA on the voluntary intake of ethanol in pharmacologically meaningful doses. The experiments have indicated that ethanol consumption can be differently affected by tonic and phasic patterns of VTA DA cell activation without a significant effect on water intake. Thus, a substantial delay to the first lick and a reduction in the total amount of consumed ethanol were found when VTA DA cells were optogenetically activated using a low frequency (5 Hz), which triggers tonic release pattern (Bass et al., 2013). Importantly, the same protocol of stimulation had no effect when applied in home cage for a 10 min before the drinking session. It has been suggested that increased tonic DA activity was through a dopamine D2 autoreceptor-mediated feedback mechanism in order to suppress phasic DA release (Grace, 2000; Phillips et al., 2003; Budygin et al., 2020). It has been known that phasic DA release is necessary for the capability of reward predictive cues to trigger behaviors directed toward obtaining the reward (Steinberg et al., 2013). Remarkably, the drinking paradigm employed in that study promoted binge-like ethanol drinking during the first 5-10 min of each session was coincident with the placement of rats in the chambers along with the presentation of ethanol-associated cues. Therefore, optogenetically pushing DA into a tonic pattern of transmission may preclude phasic signaling naturally triggered by the contextual cues coupled with the drinking environment. Outstandingly, ethanol solution remained available for the last 20 min of each session, therefore rats had the opportunity for a compensation of unconsumed ethanol solution during the stimulation. Nevertheless, rats did not compensate for their loss when optical stimulation was terminated. One possible explanation for this finding could be that optogenetically-induced tonic DA increase can imitate the pharmacological effect of ethanol on DA neurotransmission. Under this circumstance, subjects would need a lower dose to obtain the expected intoxicating effects. However, the same stimulation applied in the home cage had no effect on ethanol intake. Consequently, the suppression of cue-induced phasic DA release associated with the onset of a drinking session may affect ethanol drinking even after the optostimulation was terminated.

No significant changes in drinking behaviors, including the latency to the first lick and total amount of consumed ethanol, were observed in the study following phasic stimulation. It was possible that rats were already habituated to the procedure and consumed quite high levels of ethanol during drinking sessions. Thus, the incapability of the stimulation to escalate ethanoldrinking behaviors might be due to ceiling effect. Likely, because subjects were already greatly motivated to obtain and consume ethanol, further optogenetic enhancement of phasic DA signaling was not effective. It should be noticed that ethanol seeking and consummatory measures are not interconnected (Samson and Czachowski, 2003; Siggins et al., 2005; Budygin et al., 2020). In fact, a meta-analysis of the drinking behavior of 234 rats revealed no correlation between prior day ethanol intake and extinction probe trial responding (Samson and Czachowski, 2003). Furthermore, infusion of raclopride, a D2R antagonist, into rat NAc selectively inhibited lever-press responding for ethanol without effect on its consumption (Samson and Chappell, 2004). Consequently, shifting DA transmission in the NAc into a phasic mode may primarily promote ethanol-seeking (motivational) behavior with no changes in the intake. In fact, employing an operant drinking behavioral test is required to make conclusions regarding motivational changes.

It should be mentioned that another optogenetic study reported decreased ethanol-drinking behaviors in high drinking mice following the enhancing of the VTA DA neuron burst activity, while the tonic protocol stimulation was ineffective (Juarez et al., 2017). However, the stimulation parameters were distinct from those, which were used in the first study, while the generated DA release patterns were not validated by the measurements of DA release. Furthermore, optoactivations of DA cells in the VTA and NAc were not performed during drinking sessions. This fact may raise a question about a direct cause-effect relationship between neurochemical and behavioral consequences. On the other hand, the results obtained in the study on mice may reveal DA plasticity changes, opening a new avenue for exploration of long term effects of optogenetic interventions.

Notably, these first studies that sought to establish a causal role of mesolimbic DA signaling in ethanol drinking (Supplementary Table 1) employed a method that did not permit for the discrete evaluation of the seeking (or motivational) component of the ethanol-taking behavior (Bass et al., 2013; Juarez et al., 2017). Therefore, the next step was to address this gap by integrating an optogenetic approach and an operant ethanol self-administration regimen that could separate motivational (appetitive) and consummatory measures in order to reveal how accumbal DA release dynamics influence the motivation to obtain ethanol (Figure 1). It should be pointed out that the chosen parameters of optostimulations to mimic tonic and phasic increases in DA transmission in behaving animals were used previously by our (Bass et al., 2013; Mikhailova et al., 2016) and other groups (Tsai et al., 2009; Adamantidis et al., 2011). The release patterns were confirmed by the use of real-time electrochemical measurements of DA release. Importantly, these stimulation protocols did not result in any behavioral or neurochemical indications of non-physiological conditions. To completely isolate a measure of ethanol seeking from any consummatory behaviors, extinction probe trials were targeted with optogenetic stimulation (Budygin et al., 2020). Subjects were placed into the operant box with all the ethanol-related cues, where the lever could be pressed without limit, but ethanol solution was not provided. These experiments revealed that phasic and tonic VTA-NAc DA release patterns bidirectionally modulate ethanol-seeking behavior, measured as the number of lever presses. Specifically, we found that a high-frequency stimulation pattern that evoked DA transients with temporal and concentration features similar to real-time DA fluctuations, which were observed during drug-seeking behaviors (Phillips et al., 2003; Owesson-White et al., 2009), resulted in an escalation of ethanol seeking (Budygin et al., 2020). In sharp contrast, applying low-frequency stimulation, and therefore shifting DA release into the tonic mode, where cells simultaneously fire at their basal frequency, suppressed this behavior (Budygin et al., 2020).

What is a neurochemical basis for opposing behavioral responses induced by tonic and phasic increases in DA release? Again, one possible hypothesis is that shifting DA in the NAc into a tonic mode could through activation of D2Rs prevent phasic DA efflux that triggers motivational action. For many years, this assumption was based predominantly on pharmacological results (Grace, 2000; Phillips et al., 2003; Oleson et al., 2009). Remarkably, a series of pharmacological studies have found that monoamine stabilizer (-)-OSU6162, a D2R antagonist, which increased tonic DA release in the NAc (Feltmann et al., 2016), significantly reduced ethanolseeking behaviors in rats and suppressed craving measures in alcoholics (Steensland et al., 2012; Khemiri et al., 2015). Recently, the interaction between tonic and phasic patterns of DA release was explored in rat NAc integrating optogenetic activation of the VTA DA cells with fast-scan cyclic voltammetry recordings in vivo (Budygin et al., 2020). Both tonic and phasic VTA activation enhanced DA transmission, with the amplitude of DA release being considerably larger with phasic pattern. Nevertheless, simultaneous stimulation with both tonic and phasic modes resulted in a significant reduction of the DA response measured with phasic activation alone (Budygin et al., 2020). Consequently, continuous rise of tonic DA decreased a lever press responding for ethanol by precluding DA axons from triggering phasic signal that should promote the seeking behavior directed to obtain the abused substance. These studies pointed to a novel pharmacological strategy that involved targeting dopamine D2 autoreceptor function involved in the regulation of tonic DA release. Among such molecular targets certain interest represent trace amineassociated receptor 1 (TAAR1) that is known to alter DA neuronal firing rate and accumbal DA release via modulation of dopamine D2 autoreceptor function (Bradaia et al., 2009; Leo et al., 2014; Berry et al., 2017). In fact, it has been observed that mice lacking TAAR1 displayed significantly greater preference for and consumption of ethanol (Lynch et al., 2013). At the same time, TAAR1 agonist RO5263397 suppressed the expression and development of ethanol-elicited behavioral sensitization in normal but not mice lacking TAAR1 (Wu et al., 2020).

Another study using optogenetic inhibition of VTA DA neurons demonstrated a causal role of DA transmission in relapse (Liu et al., 2020). The key findings of this exploration were that there were distinct DA signatures for different forms of relapse. Specifically, relapse provoked by circumstantial signs was distinctly represented by DA system, while relapse evoked by contingent reacquisition was similar to the activity signature of late ethanol self-administration.

Although the recent findings have brought a wealth of knowledge regarding the DA pathway that underlies ethanol positive reinforcing effects, the neural substrates causally connecting negative reinforcement and AUD remained poorly understood. This gap in our knowledge persists despite a growing body of human and animal research highlighting that stress plays a crucial role in the development, progression and relapse to AUD. One critical conclusion from the studies on the role of DA in ethanol-addictive behaviors was that



panel shows how optogenetic shifting of the VTA-nucleus accumbens DA release into the tonic and phasic patterns affects the motivation to obtain ethanol during extinction trials (for details, see Budygin et al., 2020). The lower panel indicates motivational changes resulting from the patterns of the LC-NE release (for details, see Deal et al., 2020).

research should focus not only on changes in neurotransmitter concentration or on the strength of neuronal signal but also on the patterns of neurotransmitter release. It should be mentioned that NE transmission has features, which are similar to DA neurotransmission. Indeed, the LC neurons fired with two different patterns: tonic that was irregular but continuous baseline activity and phasic, when cells fired short bursts at higher frequencies (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981; Clayton et al., 2004; Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005).

Following verification that ChR2 expression levels in the LC of drinking rats were high enough to optogenetically evoke NE release in terminal fields, the LC-NE activity was manipulate to reveal how phasic and tonic increases shape ethanol drinking (Deal et al., 2020). Our results demonstrated opposing consequences of these two modes of NE transmission on behaviors. In fact, tonic stimulation of the LC resulted in increased ethanol consumption, whereas phasic stimulation reduced it in regular drinking sessions. Remarkably, electrophysiological studies revealed pattern-specific activity of the LC under stress conditions (Valentino and Van Bockstaele, 2008; Curtis et al., 2012; McCall et al., 2015; Borodovitsyna et al., 2018). Moreover, tonic, but not phasic activation of LC-NE projections increased anxiety-like behaviors (McCall et al., 2017). Therefore, the increase in tonic NE release, that was capable of inducing a stress-associated condition, could promote ethanol-drinking behavior. That finding was in sharp contrast with our results on consequences of tonic stimulation of the VTA-DA on ethanol drinking (Bass et al., 2013). Taken together, these data indicated that two pathways (LC-NE and VTA-DA) played opposite roles in ethanol consumption, although their neurotransmitters released with identical patterns.

The interpretation of the decreased drinking following phasic LC activation is more complicated in comparison with the consequence of tonic increase in NE transmission. Phasic LC stimulation did not induce anxiety (McCall et al., 2015), therefore, an increase in drinking behavior should not be expected. However, phasic activity of the LC-NE was linked with the encoding of salient stimuli and consequent behaviors (Vankov et al., 1995; Sara and Bouret, 2012). Thus, phasic stimulation of rat LC elicited event-associated potentials in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and could enhance PFC coding of salient stimuli (Vazey et al., 2018). Therefore, we can speculate that optogenetically-increased cortical activity could interfere with attentional processes, which were required for ethanol-seeking behaviors in the drinking chamber environment and subsequently decreased the consumption.

To find how tonic and phasic NE releases influence seeking (motivated) behavior, non-reinforced extinction probe trials were performed (Figure 1). Ethanol-seeking behavior was not changed by tonic stimulation in this experiment. Notably, rats which were exposed to adolescent social isolation that resulted in anxiety and escalated drinking, also did not show significant alteration in this measure (McCool and Chappell, 2009). This finding demonstrated that replicating a stress condition via optogenetic intervention, which induced tonic NE increase, affected ethanol consumption without alteration of motivation. However, seeking behavior was disturbed when phasic patterns of NE release were induced. This suggests that seeking and drinking elements of ethanol-addictive behaviors could be uniformly sensitive to the distractive action of high frequency LC activation. Remarkably, phasic activation of the VTA-NAc pathway escalated ethanol-seeking behavior (Budygin et al., 2020). Consequently, an increase in phasic release within the LC-NE and VTA-DA circuitries caused opposite consequences in regard motivational behavior, as found with ethanol consumption under the influence of stimulation that induced tonic rise (Budygin et al., 2020; Deal et al., 2020).

CONCLUSION

Therefore, optogenetic investigations can provide strong leads into the causal mechanisms and neuronal circuitries of recreational and abusive alcohol-drinking behaviors. One important awareness from these studies is that modern exploration should focus on the pattern of neuronal activity, instead of traditional "increase" or "decrease" in neurotransmitter signaling. As discussed above, the increase in neurotransmission can result in opposite behavioral consequences, such as an escalation in ethanol drinking or its suppression, if the release pattern is different. Importantly, to leverage this advantage, optogenetics should be combined with other techniques, such as real-time fast-scan cyclic voltammetry, photometry, and multiunit recordings to reliably monitor neuronal firing rate and neurotransmitter release patterns following optogenetic interventions. Finally, behavioral procedures, which are integrated with optogenetic explorations of ethanol addiction, should distinguish between appetitive (motivational or seeking) and consummatory (taking) components, since these behaviors are shaped by distinct but overlapping neurotransmitter dynamics. Undoubtedly, incorporating optogenetic tools into alcohol research field should reveal neurochemical mechanisms, which are responsible for the development and escalation of pathological alcohol drinking. This knowledge can be critical for the invention of more effective pharmacological and non-pharmacological (for example, transcranial magnetic stimulation) treatments for AUD.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

VG and EB performed the literature research. VG, RG, and EB designed the manuscript and wrote the first draft. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The work was supported by the Sirius University of Science and Technology (project title: Neurochemical mechanisms of pathological motivations under neuropsychiatric disorders and their pharmacological correction).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2021. 795030/full#supplementary-material **Supplementary Table 1** | The findings from studies exploring consequences of optogenetic interventions on ethanol consumption in two different behavioral paradigms. Blue light stimulations with distinct patterns (tonic and phasic) were conducted through chronic implantable optic fibers, which were connected to a

REFERENCES

- Adamantidis, A. R., Tsai, H. C., Boutrel, B., Zhang, F., Stuber, G. D., Budygin, E. A., et al. (2011). Optogenetic interrogation of dopaminergic modulation of the multiple phases of reward-seeking behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 10829–10835. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.2246-11.2011
- Anstrom, K. K., Miczek, K. A., and Budygin, E. A. (2009). Increased phasic dopamine signaling in the mesolimbic pathway during social defeat in rats. *Neuroscience* 161, 3–12. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.03.023
- Aston-Jones, G., and Bloom, F. E. (1981). Activity of norepinephrine-containing locus coeruleus neurons in behaving rats anticipates fluctuations in the sleepwaking cycle. *J. Neurosci.* 1, 876–886. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.01-08-00876. 1981
- Aston-Jones, G., and Cohen, J. D. (2005). An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 28, 403–450. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604. 135709
- Bailey, C. P., O'callaghan, M. J., Croft, A. P., Manley, S. J., and Little, H. J. (2001). Alterations in mesolimbic dopamine function during the abstinence period following chronic ethanol consumption. *Neuropharmacology* 41, 989–999. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3908(01)00146-0
- Barak, S., Carnicella, S., Yowell, Q. V., and Ron, D. (2011). Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor reverses alcohol-induced allostasis of the mesolimbic dopaminergic system: implications for alcohol reward and seeking. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 9885–9894. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.1750-11.2011
- Bass, C. E., Grinevich, V. P., Gioia, D., Day-Brown, J. D., Bonin, K. D., Stuber, G. D., et al. (2013). Optogenetic stimulation of VTA dopamine neurons reveals that tonic but not phasic patterns of dopamine transmission reduce ethanol self-administration. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 7:173. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2013. 00173
- Bassareo, V., Frau, R., Maccioni, R., Caboni, P., Manis, C., Peana, A. T., et al. (2021). Ethanol-Dependent Synthesis of Salsolinol in the Posterior Ventral Tegmental Area as Key Mechanism of Ethanol's Action on Mesolimbic Dopamine. *Front. Neurosci.* 15:675061. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.675061
- Berry, M. D., Gainetdinov, R. R., Hoener, M. C., and Shahid, M. (2017). Pharmacology of human trace amine-associated receptors: Therapeutic opportunities and challenges. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 180, 161–180. doi: 10.1016/j. pharmthera.2017.07.002
- Boileau, I., Assaad, J. M., Pihl, R. O., Benkelfat, C., Leyton, M., Diksic, M., et al. (2003). Alcohol promotes dopamine release in the human nucleus accumbens. *Synapse* 49, 226–231. doi: 10.1002/syn.10226
- Borg, S., Kvande, H., and Sedvall, G. (1981). Central norepinephrine metabolism during alcohol intoxication in addicts and healthy volunteers. *Science* 213, 1135–1137. doi: 10.1126/science.7268421
- Borodovitsyna, O., Joshi, N., and Chandler, D. (2018). Persistent Stress-Induced Neuroplastic Changes in the Locus Coeruleus/Norepinephrine System. *Neural Plast.* 2018:1892570. doi: 10.1155/2018/1892570
- Bradaia, A., Trube, G., Stalder, H., Norcross, R. D., Ozmen, L., Wettstein, J. G., et al. (2009). The selective antagonist EPPTB reveals TAAR1-mediated regulatory mechanisms in dopaminergic neurons of the mesolimbic system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 106, 20081–20086. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906522106
- Brodie, M. S. (2002). Increased ethanol excitation of dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area after chronic ethanol treatment. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 26, 1024–1030. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000021336.33310.6b
- Budygin, E. A., Bass, C. E., Grinevich, V. P., Deal, A. L., Bonin, K. D., and Weiner, J. L. (2020). Opposite Consequences of Tonic and Phasic Increases in Accumbal Dopamine on Alcohol-Seeking Behavior. *iScience* 23:100877. doi: 10.1016/j.isci. 2020.100877
- Budygin, E. A., John, C. E., Mateo, Y., Daunais, J. B., Friedman, D. P., Grant, K. A., et al. (2003). Chronic ethanol exposure alters presynaptic dopamine function in the striatum of monkeys: a preliminary study. *Synapse* 50, 266–268. doi: 10.1002/syn.10269

laser of 473 nm with a 100-mW power output. The star (*) indicates that intake measures were performed 3 h following optical stimulations conducted in in high ethanol drinking mice in their home cages. In all other experiments, the stimulations were applied within drinking sessions.

- Budygin, E. A., Mathews, T. A., Lapa, G. B., and Jones, S. R. (2005). Local effects of acute ethanol on dopamine neurotransmission in the ventral striatum in C57BL/6 mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 523, 40–45. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2005.09.006
- Budygin, E. A., Oleson, E. B., Mathews, T. A., Läck, A. K., Diaz, M. R., Mccool, B. A., et al. (2007). Effects of chronic alcohol exposure on dopamine uptake in rat nucleus accumbens and caudate putamen. *Psychopharmacology* 193, 495–501. doi: 10.1007/s00213-007-0812-1
- Budygin, E. A., Phillips, P. E., Robinson, D. L., Kennedy, A. P., Gainetdinov, R. R., and Wightman, R. M. (2001a). Effect of acute ethanol on striatal dopamine neurotransmission in ambulatory rats. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 297, 27–34.
- Budygin, E. A., Phillips, P. E., Wightman, R. M., and Jones, S. R. (2001b). Terminal effects of ethanol on dopamine dynamics in rat nucleus accumbens: an in vitro voltammetric study. *Synapse* 42, 77–79. doi: 10.1002/syn.1101
- Charlet, K., Beck, A., and Heinz, A. (2013). The dopamine system in mediating alcohol effects in humans. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 13, 461–488. doi: 10. 1007/7854_2011_130
- Chen, X., Zheng, X., Ali, S., Guo, M., Zhong, R., Chen, Z., et al. (2018). Isolation and Sequencing of Salsolinol Synthase, an Enzyme Catalyzing Salsolinol Biosynthesis. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 9, 1388–1398. doi: 10.1021/acschemneuro. 8b00023
- Clayton, E. C., Rajkowski, J., Cohen, J. D., and Aston-Jones, G. (2004). Phasic activation of monkey locus ceruleus neurons by simple decisions in a forcedchoice task. J. Neurosci. 24, 9914–9920. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.2446-04.2004
- Corrodi, H., Fuxe, K., and Hökfelt, T. (1966). The effect of ethanol on the activity of central catecholamine neurones in rat brain. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 18, 821–823. doi: 10.1111/j.2042-7158.1966.tb07817.x
- Curtis, A. L., Leiser, S. C., Snyder, K., and Valentino, R. J. (2012). Predator stress engages corticotropin-releasing factor and opioid systems to alter the operating mode of locus coeruleus norepinephrine neurons. *Neuropharmacology* 62, 1737–1745. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.11.020
- Cushman, P. Jr., Forbes, R., Lerner, W., and Stewart, M. (1985). Alcohol withdrawal syndromes: clinical management with lofexidine. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 9, 103–108. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.1985.tb05527.x
- Darrouj, J., Puri, N., Prince, E., Lomonaco, A., Spevetz, A., and Gerber, D. R. (2008). Dexmedetomidine infusion as adjunctive therapy to benzodiazepines for acute alcohol withdrawal. *Ann. Pharmacother.* 42, 1703–1705. doi: 10.1345/ aph.1K678
- Deal, A. L., Bass, C. E., Grinevich, V. P., Delbono, O., Bonin, K. D., Weiner, J. L., et al. (2020). Bidirectional Control of Alcohol-drinking Behaviors Through Locus Coeruleus Optoactivation. *Neuroscience* 443, 84–92. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroscience.2020.07.024
- Deal, A. L., Konstantopoulos, J. K., Weiner, J. L., and Budygin, E. A. (2018). Exploring the consequences of social defeat stress and intermittent ethanol drinking on dopamine dynamics in the rat nucleus accumbens. *Sci. Rep.* 8:332. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18706-y
- Deehan, G. A. Jr., Brodie, M. S., and Rodd, Z. A. (2013). What is in that drink: the biological actions of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and salsolinol. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 13, 163–184. doi: 10.1007/7854_2011_198
- Diana, M. (2011). The dopamine hypothesis of drug addiction and its potential therapeutic value. *Front. Psychiatry* 2:64. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00064
- Diana, M., Rossetti, Z. L., and Gessa, G. (1993b). Rewarding and aversive effects of ethanol: interplay of GABA, glutamate and dopamine. *Alcohol Alcohol. Suppl.* 2, 315–319.
- Diana, M., Pistis, M., Carboni, S., Gessa, G. L., and Rossetti, Z. L. (1993a). Profound decrement of mesolimbic dopaminergic neuronal activity during ethanol withdrawal syndrome in rats: electrophysiological and biochemical evidence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 90, 7966–7969. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.17. 7966
- Diana, M., Pistis, M., Muntoni, A., and Gessa, G. (1996). Mesolimbic dopaminergic reduction outlasts ethanol withdrawal syndrome: evidence of protracted abstinence. *Neuroscience* 71, 411–415. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(95)00 482-3

- Ding, Z. M., Rodd, Z. A., Engleman, E. A., and Mcbride, W. J. (2009). Sensitization of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons to the stimulating effects of ethanol. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 33, 1571–1581. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009. 00985.x
- Doyon, W. M., York, J. L., Diaz, L. M., Samson, H. H., Czachowski, C. L., and Gonzales, R. A. (2003). Dopamine activity in the nucleus accumbens during consummatory phases of oral ethanol self-administration. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 27, 1573–1582. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000089959.66222.b8
- Duncan, C. C., and Fernando, P. W. (1991). Effects of tetrahydropapaveroline in the nucleus accumbens and the ventral tegmental area on ethanol preference in the rat. *Alcohol* 8, 87–90. doi: 10.1016/0741-8329(91)91 314-r
- Edwards, S., Guerrero, M., Ghoneim, O. M., Roberts, E., and Koob, G. F. (2012). Evidence that vasopressin V1b receptors mediate the transition to excessive drinking in ethanol-dependent rats. *Addict. Biol.* 17, 76–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1369-1600.2010.00291.x
- Feltmann, K., Fredriksson, I., Wirf, M., Schilström, B., and Steensland, P. (2016). The monoamine stabilizer (-)-OSU6162 counteracts downregulated dopamine output in the nucleus accumbens of long-term drinking Wistar rats. *Addict. Biol.* 21, 438–449. doi: 10.1111/adb.12304
- Fitzgerald, P. J. (2013). Elevated Norepinephrine may be a Unifying Etiological Factor in the Abuse of a Broad Range of Substances: Alcohol, Nicotine, Marijuana, Heroin, Cocaine, and Caffeine. *Subst. Abuse* 7, 171–183. doi: 10. 4137/sart.s13019
- Foddai, M., Dosia, G., Spiga, S., and Diana, M. (2004). Acetaldehyde increases dopaminergic neuronal activity in the VTA. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29, 530–536. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1300326
- Fox, H. C., Anderson, G. M., Tuit, K., Hansen, J., Kimmerling, A., Siedlarz, K. M., et al. (2012). Prazosin effects on stress- and cue-induced craving and stress response in alcohol-dependent individuals: preliminary findings. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 36, 351–360. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01628.x
- Freeman, A. S., Meltzer, L. T., and Bunney, B. S. (1985). Firing properties of substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons in freely moving rats. *Life Sci.* 36, 1983–1994. doi: 10.1016/0024-3205(85)90448-5
- Gilpin, N. W., and Koob, G. F. (2010). Effects of β -adrenoceptor antagonists on alcohol drinking by alcohol-dependent rats. *Psychopharmacology* 212, 431–439. doi: 10.1007/s00213-010-1967-8
- Gilpin, N. W., and Weiner, J. L. (2017). Neurobiology of comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder and alcohol-use disorder. *Genes Brain Behav.* 16, 15–43. doi: 10.1111/gbb.12349
- Gonzales, R. A., Job, M. O., and Doyon, W. M. (2004). The role of mesolimbic dopamine in the development and maintenance of ethanol reinforcement. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 103, 121–146. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2004.06. 002
- Grace, A. A. (1991). Phasic versus tonic dopamine release and the modulation of dopamine system responsivity: a hypothesis for the etiology of schizophrenia. *Neuroscience* 41, 1–24. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(91)90196-u
- Grace, A. A. (2000). The tonic/phasic model of dopamine system regulation and its implications for understanding alcohol and psychostimulant craving. *Addiction* 95(Suppl. 2), S119–S128. doi: 10.1080/09652140050111690
- Grace, A. A., and Bunney, B. S. (1983b). Intracellular and extracellular electrophysiology of nigral dopaminergic neurons–2. Action potential generating mechanisms and morphological correlates. *Neuroscience* 10, 317–331. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(83)90136-7
- Grace, A. A., and Bunney, B. S. (1983c). Intracellular and extracellular electrophysiology of nigral dopaminergic neurons–3. Evidence for electrotonic coupling. *Neuroscience* 10, 333–348. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(83) 90137-9
- Grace, A. A., and Bunney, B. S. (1983a). Intracellular and extracellular electrophysiology of nigral dopaminergic neurons–1. Identification and characterization. *Neuroscience* 10, 301–315. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(83)90 135-5
- Grace, A. A., and Bunney, B. S. (1984a). The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: burst firing. J. Neurosci. 4, 2877–2890. doi: 10.1523/ jneurosci.04-11-02877.1984
- Grace, A. A., and Bunney, B. S. (1984b). The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: single spike firing. J. Neurosci. 4, 2866–2876. doi: 10.1523/ jneurosci.04-11-02866.1984

- Grant, K. A. (1995). The role of 5-HT3 receptors in drug dependence. *Drug Alcohol. Depend.* 38, 155–171. doi: 10.1016/0376-8716(95)01120-n
- Grant, S. (2004). Let's not be impulsive: comments on Lubman et al. (2004). *Addiction* 99, 1504–1505. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00895.x
- Haass-Koffler, C. L., Swift, R. M., and Leggio, L. (2018). Noradrenergic targets for the treatment of alcohol use disorder. *Psychopharmacology* 235, 1625–1634. doi: 10.1007/s00213-018-4843-6
- Hawley, R. J., Major, L. F., Schulman, E. A., and Lake, C. R. (1981). CSF levels of norepinephrine during alcohol withdrawal. Arch. Neurol. 38, 289–292. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1981.00510050055008
- Heilig, M., Egli, M., Crabbe, J. C., and Becker, H. C. (2010). Acute withdrawal, protracted abstinence and negative affect in alcoholism: are they linked? *Addict. Biol.* 15, 169–184. doi: 10.1111/j.1369-1600.2009.00194.x
- Hietala, J., West, C., Syvälahti, E., Någren, K., Lehikoinen, P., Sonninen, P., et al. (1994). Striatal D2 dopamine receptor binding characteristics in vivo in patients with alcohol dependence. *Psychopharmacology* 116, 285–290. doi: 10.1007/ bf02245330
- Hunt, W. A., and Majchrowicz, E. (1974). Alterations in the turnover of brain norepinephrine and dopamine in alcohol-dependent rats. J. Neurochem. 23, 549–552. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1974.tb06058.x
- Hyland, B. I., Reynolds, J. N., Hay, J., Perk, C. G., and Miller, R. (2002). Firing modes of midbrain dopamine cells in the freely moving rat. *Neuroscience* 114, 475–492. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(02)00267-1
- Imperato, A., and Di Chiara, G. (1986). Preferential stimulation of dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens of freely moving rats by ethanol. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 239, 219–228.
- Jadzic, D., Bassareo, V., Carta, A. R., and Carboni, E. (2021). Nicotine, cocaine, amphetamine, morphine, and ethanol increase norepinephrine output in the bed nucleus of stria terminalis of freely moving rats. *Addict. Biol.* 26:e12864. doi: 10.1111/adb.12864
- Jones, S. R., Mathews, T. A., and Budygin, E. A. (2006). Effect of moderate ethanol dose on dopamine uptake in rat nucleus accumbens in vivo. Synapse 60, 251–255. doi: 10.1002/syn.20294
- Juarez, B., Morel, C., Ku, S. M., Liu, Y., Zhang, H., Montgomery, S., et al. (2017). Midbrain circuit regulation of individual alcohol drinking behaviors in mice. *Nat. Commun.* 8:2220. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02365-8
- Karkhanis, A. N., Alexander, N. J., Mccool, B. A., Weiner, J. L., and Jones, S. R. (2015). Chronic social isolation during adolescence augments catecholamine response to acute ethanol in the basolateral amygdala. *Synapse* 69, 385–395. doi: 10.1002/syn.21826
- Karkhanis, A. N., Locke, J. L., Mccool, B. A., Weiner, J. L., and Jones, S. R. (2014). Social isolation rearing increases nucleus accumbens dopamine and norepinephrine responses to acute ethanol in adulthood. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 38, 2770–2779. doi: 10.1111/acer.12555
- Kenna, G. A., Haass-Koffler, C. L., Zywiak, W. H., Edwards, S. M., Brickley, M. B., Swift, R. M., et al. (2016). Role of the α1 blocker doxazosin in alcoholism: a proof-of-concept randomized controlled trial. *Addict. Biol.* 21, 904–914. doi: 10.1111/adb.12275
- Kessler, R. C., Crum, R. M., Warner, L. A., Nelson, C. B., Schulenberg, J., and Anthony, J. C. (1997). Lifetime co-occurrence of DSM-III-R alcohol abuse and dependence with other psychiatric disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 54, 313–321. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc. 1997.01830160031005
- Khemiri, L., Steensland, P., Guterstam, J., Beck, O., Carlsson, A., Franck, J., et al. (2015). The effects of the monoamine stabilizer (-)-OSU6162 on craving in alcohol dependent individuals: A human laboratory study. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 25, 2240–2251. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.09.018
- Koob, G. F. (2003). Alcoholism: allostasis and beyond. Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. 27, 232–243. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000057122.36127.c2
- Koob, G. F. (2004). Allostatic view of motivation: implications for psychopathology. *Nebr. Symp. Motiv.* 50, 1–18.
- Koob, G. F. (2013). Theoretical frameworks and mechanistic aspects of alcohol addiction: alcohol addiction as a reward deficit disorder. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 13, 3–30. doi: 10.1007/7854_2011_129
- Kranzler, H. R., and Soyka, M. (2018). Diagnosis and Pharmacotherapy of Alcohol Use Disorder: A Review. JAMA 320, 815–824. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.11406
- Leo, D., Mus, L., Espinoza, S., Hoener, M. C., Sotnikova, T. D., and Gainetdinov, R. R. (2014). Taar1-mediated modulation of presynaptic dopaminergic

neurotransmission: role of D2 dopamine autoreceptors. *Neuropharmacology* 81, 283–291. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.02.007

- Liu, Y., Jean-Richard-Dit-Bressel, P., Yau, J. O., Willing, A., Prasad, A. A., Power, J. M., et al. (2020). The Mesolimbic Dopamine Activity Signatures of Relapse to Alcohol-Seeking. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 6409–6427. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.0724-20. 2020
- Lynch, L. J., Sullivan, K. A., Vallender, E. J., Rowlett, J. K., Platt, D. M., and Miller, G. M. (2013). Trace amine associated receptor 1 modulates behavioral effects of ethanol. Subst. Abuse 7, 117–126. doi: 10.4137/sart.s12110
- Matsubara, K., Fukushima, S., and Fukui, Y. (1987). A systematic regional study of brain salsolinol levels during and immediately following chronic ethanol ingestion in rats. *Brain Res.* 413, 336–343. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(87)91 025-0
- McCall, J. G., Al-Hasani, R., Siuda, E. R., Hong, D. Y., Norris, A. J., Ford, C. P., et al. (2015). CRH Engagement of the Locus Coeruleus Noradrenergic System Mediates Stress-Induced Anxiety. *Neuron* 87, 605–620. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron. 2015.07.002
- McCall, J. G., Siuda, E. R., Bhatti, D. L., Lawson, L. A., Mcelligott, Z. A., Stuber, G. D., et al. (2017). Locus coeruleus to basolateral amygdala noradrenergic projections promote anxiety-like behavior. *Elife* 6:18247. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 18247
- McCool, B. A., and Chappell, A. M. (2009). Early social isolation in male Long-Evans rats alters both appetitive and consummatory behaviors expressed during operant ethanol self-administration. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 33, 273–282. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00830.x
- McCoy, J. G., Strawbridge, C., Mcmurtrey, K. D., Kane, V. B., and Ward, C. P. (2003). A re-evaluation of the role of tetrahydropapaveroline in ethanol consumption in rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* 60, 59–65. doi: 10.1016/s0361-9230(03) 00018-2
- Mejias-Aponte, C. A. (2016). Specificity and impact of adrenergic projections to the midbrain dopamine system. *Brain Res.* 1641, 258–273. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres. 2016.01.036
- Melchior, C. L., and Myers, R. D. (1977). Preference for alcohol evoked by tetrahydropapaveroline (THP) chronically infused in the cerebral ventricle of the rat. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 7, 19–35. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(77) 90006-5
- Melis, M., Spiga, S., and Diana, M. (2005). The dopamine hypothesis of drug addiction: hypodopaminergic state. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 63, 101–154. doi: 10. 1016/s0074-7742(05)63005-x
- Mikhailova, M. A., Bass, C. E., Grinevich, V. P., Chappell, A. M., Deal, A. L., Bonin, K. D., et al. (2016). Optogenetically-induced tonic dopamine release from VTA-nucleus accumbens projections inhibits reward consummatory behaviors. *Neuroscience* 333, 54–64. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016. 07.006
- Moorman, D. E., and Aston-Jones, G. (2009). Neuroscience: Optical control of reward. *Nature* 458, 980–981. doi: 10.1038/458980a
- Mravec, B. (2006). Salsolinol, a derivate of dopamine, is a possible modulator of catecholaminergic transmission: a review of recent developments. *Physiol. Res.* 55, 353–364.
- Murphy, J. M., Mcbride, W. J., Lumeng, L., and Li, T. K. (1983). Monoamine and metabolite levels in CNS regions of the P line of alcohol-preferring rats after acute and chronic ethanol treatment. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 19, 849–856. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(83)90092-8
- Myers, R. D. (1996). Tetrahydroisoquinolines and alcoholism: where are we today? *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 20, 498–500. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.1996.tb01 081.x
- Myers, R. D., and Oblinger, M. M. (1977). Alcohol drinking in the rat induced by acute intra-cerebral infusion of two tetrahydroisoquinolines and a betacarboline. *Drug Alcohol. Depend.* 2, 469–483. doi: 10.1016/0376-8716(77) 90047-3
- Nakahara, D., Maruyama, W., Hashiguti, H., and Naoi, M. (1994). Characterization of the in vivo action of (R)-salsolinol, an endogenous metabolite of alcohol, on serotonin and dopamine metabolism: a microdialysis study. *Brain Res.* 644, 226–232. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(94)91684-5
- Nam, H. W., Bruner, R. C., and Choi, D. S. (2013). Adenosine signaling in striatal circuits and alcohol use disorders. *Mol. Cells* 36, 195–202. doi: 10.1007/s10059-013-0192-9

- Naoi, M., Maruyama, W., Akao, Y., and Yi, H. (2002). Dopamine-derived endogenous N-methyl-(R)-salsolinol: its role in Parkinson's disease. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 24, 579–591. doi: 10.1016/s0892-0362(02)00 211-8
- Nieto, S. J., Grodin, E. N., Aguirre, C. G., Izquierdo, A., and Ray, L. A. (2021). Translational opportunities in animal and human models to study alcohol use disorder. *Transl. Psychiatry* 11:496. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01615-0
- Noble, E. P. (1996). Alcoholism and the dopaminergic system: a review. *Addict. Biol.* 1, 333–348. doi: 10.1080/1355621961000124956
- Oleson, E. B., Talluri, S., Childers, S. R., Smith, J. E., Roberts, D. C., Bonin, K. D., et al. (2009). Dopamine uptake changes associated with cocaine selfadministration. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 1174–1184. doi: 10.1038/npp. 2008.186
- Owesson-White, C. A., Ariansen, J., Stuber, G. D., Cleaveland, N. A., Cheer, J. F., Wightman, R. M., et al. (2009). Neural encoding of cocaine-seeking behavior is coincident with phasic dopamine release in the accumbens core and shell. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 30, 1117–1127. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06916.x
- Parsons, L. H., and Justice, J. B. Jr. (1992). Extracellular concentration and in vivo recovery of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens using microdialysis. J. Neurochem. 58, 212–218. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1992.tb0 9298.x
- Patkar, A. A., Gopalakrishnan, R., Naik, P. C., Murray, H. W., Vergare, M. J., and Marsden, C. A. (2003). Changes in plasma noradrenaline and serotonin levels and craving during alcohol withdrawal. *Alcohol. Alcohol.* 38, 224–231. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agg055
- Patsenko, A. A., Grinevich, V. P., and Ostrovskii Iu, M. (1987). [Interaction of simple tetrahydroisoquinolines with opiate and high-affinity dopamine (D3) receptors in the rat corpus striatum]. *Farmakol. Toksikol.* 50, 33–35.
- Phillips, P. E., Stuber, G. D., Heien, M. L., Wightman, R. M., and Carelli, R. M. (2003). Subsecond dopamine release promotes cocaine seeking. *Nature* 422, 614–618. doi: 10.1038/nature01476
- Riihioja, P., Jaatinen, P., Oksanen, H., Haapalinna, A., Heinonen, E., and Hervonen, A. (1997). Dexmedetomidine, diazepam, and propranolol in the treatment of ethanol withdrawal symptoms in the rat. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 21, 804–808.
- Robinson, D. L., Howard, E. C., Mcconnell, S., Gonzales, R. A., and Wightman, R. M. (2009). Disparity between tonic and phasic ethanol-induced dopamine increases in the nucleus accumbens of rats. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 33, 1187– 1196. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009.00942.x
- Rodd, Z. A., Bell, R. L., Mcqueen, V. K., Davids, M. R., Hsu, C. C., Murphy, J. M., et al. (2005a). Chronic ethanol drinking by alcohol-preferring rats increases the sensitivity of the posterior ventral tegmental area to the reinforcing effects of ethanol. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 29, 358–366. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000156127. 30983.9d
- Rodd, Z. A., Bell, R. L., Mcqueen, V. K., Davids, M. R., Hsu, C. C., Murphy, J. M., et al. (2005b). Prolonged increase in the sensitivity of the posterior ventral tegmental area to the reinforcing effects of ethanol following repeated exposure to cycles of ethanol access and deprivation. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 315, 648–657. doi: 10.1124/jpet.105.084350
- Rodd, Z. A., Bell, R. L., Zhang, Y., Goldstein, A., Zaffaroni, A., Mcbride, W. J., et al. (2003). Salsolinol produces reinforcing effects in the nucleus accumbens shell of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 27, 440–449. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000056612.89957.b4
- Rommelfanger, K. S., Mitrano, D. A., Smith, Y., and Weinshenker, D. (2009). Light and electron microscopic localization of alpha-1 adrenergic receptor immunoreactivity in the rat striatum and ventral midbrain. *Neuroscience* 158, 1530–1540. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.11.019
- Rossetti, Z. L., Longu, G., Mercuro, G., Hmaidan, Y., and Gessa, G. L. (1992). Biphasic effect of ethanol on noradrenaline release in the frontal cortex of awake rats. *Alcohol. Alcohol.* 27, 477–480.
- Rothblat, D. S., Rubin, E., and Schneider, J. S. (2001). Effects of chronic alcohol ingestion on the mesostriatal dopamine system in the rat. *Neurosci. Lett.* 300, 63–66. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3940(01)01548-8
- Salinas, A. G., Mateo, Y., Carlson, V. C. C., Stinnett, G. S., Luo, G., Seasholtz, A. F., et al. (2021). Long-term alcohol consumption alters dorsal striatal dopamine release and regulation by D2 dopamine receptors in rhesus macaques. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 46, 1432–1441. doi: 10.1038/s41386-020-00938-8

- Samson, H. H., and Chappell, A. M. (2004). Effects of raclopride in the core of the nucleus accumbens on ethanol seeking and consumption: the use of extinction trials to measure seeking. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 28, 544–549. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000121649.81642.3f
- Samson, H. H., and Czachowski, C. L. (2003). Behavioral measures of alcohol self-administration and intake control: rodent models. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 54, 107–143. doi: 10.1016/s0074-7742(03)54004-1
- Sango, K., Maruyama, W., Matsubara, K., Dostert, P., Minami, C., Kawai, M., et al. (2000). Enantio-selective occurrence of (S)-tetrahydropapaveroline in human brain. *Neurosci. Lett.* 283, 224–226. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3940(00)00 963-0
- Sara, S. J. (2009). The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 211–223. doi: 10.1038/nrn2573
- Sara, S. J., and Bouret, S. (2012). Orienting and reorienting: the locus coeruleus mediates cognition through arousal. *Neuron* 76, 130–141. doi: 10.1016/j. neuron.2012.09.011
- Schulteis, G., Markou, A., Cole, M., and Koob, G. F. (1995). Decreased brain reward produced by ethanol withdrawal. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 92, 5880–5884. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.13.5880
- Schultz, W. (1998). Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 80, 1–27. doi: 10.1152/jn.1998.80.1.1
- Siggins, G. R., Roberto, M., and Nie, Z. (2005). The tipsy terminal: presynaptic effects of ethanol. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 107, 80–98. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2005. 01.006
- Simms, J. A., Steensland, P., Medina, B., Abernathy, K. E., Chandler, L. J., Wise, R., et al. (2008). Intermittent access to 20% ethanol induces high ethanol consumption in Long-Evans and Wistar rats. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 32, 1816–1823. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00753.x
- Simpson, T. L., Saxon, A. J., Meredith, C. W., Malte, C. A., Mcbride, B., Ferguson, L. C., et al. (2009). A pilot trial of the alpha-1 adrenergic antagonist, prazosin, for alcohol dependence. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 33, 255–263. doi: 10.1111/j. 1530-0277.2008.00807.x
- Smith, P. K., Krohn, R. I., Hermanson, G. T., Mallia, A. K., Gartner, F. H., Provenzano, M. D., et al. (1985). Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. Anal. Biochem. 150, 76–85. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(85)90 442-7
- Söderpalm, B., and Ericson, M. (2013). Neurocircuitry involved in the development of alcohol addiction: the dopamine system and its access points. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 13, 127–161. doi: 10.1007/7854_2011_170
- Steensland, P., Fredriksson, I., Holst, S., Feltmann, K., Franck, J., Schilström, B., et al. (2012). The monoamine stabilizer (-)-OSU6162 attenuates voluntary ethanol intake and ethanol-induced dopamine output in nucleus accumbens. *Biol. Psychiatry* 72, 823–831. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.06.018
- Steinberg, E. E., Keiflin, R., Boivin, J. R., Witten, I. B., Deisseroth, K., and Janak, P. H. (2013). A causal link between prediction errors, dopamine neurons and learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 966–973. doi: 10.1038/nn.3413
- Strahlendorf, H. K., and Strahlendorf, J. C. (1983). Ethanol suppression of locus coeruleus neurons: relevancy to the fetal alcohol syndrome. *Neurobehav. Toxicol. Teratol.* 5, 221–224.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (Samhsa) (2019). 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Table 5.4A—Alcohol Use Disorder in Past Year Among Persons Aged 12 or Older, by Age Group and Demographic Characteristics: Numbers in Thousands, 2018 and 2019. Available online at: https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt29394/ NSDUHDetailedTabs2019/NSDUHDetTabsSect5pe2019.htm?s=5.4&#tab5-4a (accessed November 8, 2021)
- Szabadi, E. (2013). Functional neuroanatomy of the central noradrenergic system. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 27, 659–693. doi: 10.1177/0269881113490326
- Tang, A., George, M. A., Randall, J. A., and Gonzales, R. A. (2003). Ethanol increases extracellular dopamine concentration in the ventral striatum in C57BL/6 mice. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 27, 1083–1089. doi: 10.1097/01.alc. 0000075825.14331.65
- Thanos, P. K., Volkow, N. D., Freimuth, P., Umegaki, H., Ikari, H., Roth, G., et al. (2001). Overexpression of dopamine D2 receptors reduces alcohol selfadministration. J. Neurochem. 78, 1094–1103. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2001. 00492.x

- Thiele, T. E., Marsh, D. J., Ste Marie, L., Bernstein, I. L., and Palmiter, R. D. (1998). Ethanol consumption and resistance are inversely related to neuropeptide Y levels. *Nature* 396, 366–369. doi: 10.1038/24614
- Trzaskowska, E., Puciłowski, O., Dyr, W., Kostowski, W., and Hauptmann, M. (1986). Suppression of ethanol tolerance and dependence in rats treated with DSP-4, a noradrenergic neurotoxin. *Drug Alcohol. Depend.* 18, 349–353. doi: 10.1016/0376-8716(86)90098-0
- Tsai, H. C., Zhang, F., Adamantidis, A., Stuber, G. D., Bonci, A., De Lecea, L., et al. (2009). Phasic firing in dopaminergic neurons is sufficient for behavioral conditioning. *Science* 324, 1080–1084. doi: 10.1126/science.1168878
- Tucker, J. A., Chandler, S. D., and Witkiewitz, K. (2020). Epidemiology of Recovery From Alcohol Use Disorder. Alcohol. Res. 40:02. doi: 10.35946/arcr.v40.3.02
- Tupala, E., Hall, H., Bergström, K., Särkioja, T., Räsänen, P., Mantere, T., et al. (2001). Dopamine D(2)/D(3)-receptor and transporter densities in nucleus accumbens and amygdala of type 1 and 2 alcoholics. *Mol. Psychiatry* 6, 261–267. doi: 10.1038/sj.mp.4000859
- Valentino, R. J., and Van Bockstaele, E. (2008). Convergent regulation of locus coeruleus activity as an adaptive response to stress. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 583, 194–203. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.11.062
- Vankov, A., Hervé-Minvielle, A., and Sara, S. J. (1995). Response to novelty and its rapid habituation in locus coeruleus neurons of the freely exploring rat. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 7, 1180–1187. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.1995.tb01108.x
- Vazey, E. M., Moorman, D. E., and Aston-Jones, G. (2018). Phasic locus coeruleus activity regulates cortical encoding of salience information. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 115, E9439–E9448. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1803716115
- Vena, A. A., Zandy, S. L., Cofresí, R. U., and Gonzales, R. A. (2020). Behavioral, neurobiological, and neurochemical mechanisms of ethanol selfadministration: A translational review. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 212:107573. doi: 10. 1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107573
- Verbanck, P., Seutin, V., Dresse, A., Scuvée, J., Massotte, L., Giesbers, I., et al. (1990). Electrophysiological effects of ethanol on monoaminergic neurons: an in vivo and in vitro study. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 14, 728–735. doi: 10.1111/j. 1530-0277.1990.tb01235.x
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Begleiter, H., Porjesz, B., Fowler, J. S., Telang, F., et al. (2006). High levels of dopamine D2 receptors in unaffected members of alcoholic families: possible protective factors. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 63, 999–1008. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.9.999
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Fowler, J. S., Logan, J., Hitzemann, R., Ding, Y. S., et al. (1996). Decreases in dopamine receptors but not in dopamine transporters in alcoholics. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 20, 1594–1598. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277. 1996.tb05936.x
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Fowler, J. S., Tomasi, D., Telang, F., and Baler, R. (2010). Addiction: decreased reward sensitivity and increased expectation sensitivity conspire to overwhelm the brain's control circuit. *Bioessays* 32, 748–755. doi: 10.1002/bies.201000042
- Walinder, J., Balldin, J., Bokstrom, K., Karlsson, I., Lundstrom, B., and Svensson, T. H. (1981). Clonidine suppression of the alcohol withdrawal syndrome. *Drug Alcohol. Depend.* 8, 345–348. doi: 10.1016/0376-8716(81)90043-0
- Walker, B. M., Rasmussen, D. D., Raskind, M. A., and Koob, G. F. (2008). alpha1noradrenergic receptor antagonism blocks dependence-induced increases in responding for ethanol. *Alcohol* 42, 91–97. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2007. 12.002
- Weinshenker, D., Rust, N. C., Miller, N. S., and Palmiter, R. D. (2000). Ethanolassociated behaviors of mice lacking norepinephrine. J. Neurosci. 20, 3157– 3164. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.20-09-03157.2000
- Weiss, F., and Porrino, L. J. (2002). Behavioral neurobiology of alcohol addiction: recent advances and challenges. J. Neurosci. 22, 3332–3337. doi: 10.1523/ jneurosci.22-09-03332.2002
- Weiss, F., Parsons, L. H., Schulteis, G., Hyytiä, P., Lorang, M. T., Bloom, F. E., et al. (1996). Ethanol self-administration restores withdrawal-associated deficiencies in accumbal dopamine and 5-hydroxytryptamine release in dependent rats. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 3474–3485. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.16-10-03474.1996
- West, C. H., Boss-Williams, K. A., Ritchie, J. C., and Weiss, J. M. (2016). Reprint of: Locus coeruleus neuronal activity determines proclivity to consume alcohol in a selectively-bred line of rats that readily consumes alcohol. *Alcohol* 50, 91–105. doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2016.01.001

- West, M. W., Biggs, T. A., Tavares, E., Lankford, M. F., and Myers, R. D. (1998). Drinking patterns in genetic low-alcohol-drinking (LAD) rats after systemic cyanamide and cerebral injections of THP or 6-OHDA. *Alcohol* 15, 239–247. doi: 10.1016/s0741-8329(97)00126-2
- Wightman, R. M., and Robinson, D. L. (2002). Transient changes in mesolimbic dopamine and their association with 'reward'. J. Neurochem. 82, 721–735. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2002.01005.x
- Wightman, R. M., and Zimmerman, J. B. (1990). Control of dopamine extracellular concentration in rat striatum by impulse flow and uptake. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 15, 135–144. doi: 10.1016/0165-0173(90)90015-g
- Wise, R. A. (1975). Maximization of ethanol intake in the rat. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 59, 279–294. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-0632-1_19
- Witten, I. B., Steinberg, E. E., Lee, S. Y., Davidson, T. J., Zalocusky, K. A., Brodsky, M., et al. (2011). Recombinase-driver rat lines: tools, techniques, and optogenetic application to dopamine-mediated reinforcement. *Neuron* 72, 721–733. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.028
- Woodward, J. J. (2000). Ethanol and NMDA receptor signaling. Crit. Rev. Neurobiol. 14, 69–89. doi: 10.1080/08913810008443548
- Wu, R., Liu, J., Wang, K., Huang, Y., Zhang, Y., and Li, J. X. (2020). Effects of a trace amine-associated receptor 1 agonist RO 5263397 on ethanol-induced behavioral sensitization. *Behav. Brain Res.* 390:112641. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020. 112641

Ye, L., Orynbayev, M., Zhu, X., Lim, E. Y., Dereddi, R. R., Agarwal, A., et al. (2020). Ethanol abolishes vigilance-dependent astroglia network activation in mice by inhibiting norepinephrine release. *Nat. Commun.* 11:6157. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19475-5

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Grinevich, Krupitsky, Gainetdinov and Budygin. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.