# DNA barcode reveals candidate species of Scinax and Ololygon (Anura: Hylidae) in Atlantic Forest 
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#### Abstract

Molecular species delimitation methods are efficient tools to identify species, including the discovery of new taxa and cryptic organisms, thus being useful to biodiversity studies. In the present work, 16 S mitochondrial sequences and cytochrome oxidase I (COI) were used to evaluate the richness of species in the genus Scinax and Ololygon from a biodiversity hotspot in Atlantic Forest. A total of 109 specimens formally belonging to eight species of Scinax and three species of Ololygon were collected in 13 localities along the state of Bahia (northeastern Brazil) and one site in Espírito Santo (southeastern Brazil). Of the Scinax species collected in this study, three were morphologically differentiated from other described species and identified as putative new species (Scinax sp.1, Scinax sp. 2 and Scinax sp.3). The species delimitations were inferred using three different methods: ABGD, PTP and mPTP which allowed recognizing 11 Scinax species and five Ololygon species. Scinax sp. 1, Scinax sp. 2 and Scinax sp. 3, have been confirmed as new putative species and Ololygon argyreornata possibly contains cryptic species. We suggest additional studies, including morphological and bioacoustic data to validate these new putative species.
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## Introduction

Recent taxonomic revisions divided the species of the genus Scinax into three genera: Ololygon ( 50 spp.), including the taxa formerly recognized within the Scinax catharinae clade, Scinax (72 spp.) composed of species from Scinax ruber clade, and Julianus, placed as a sister group of Scinax in which $J$. uruguayanus and $J$. pinima would be synonyms of S. uruguayanus and S. pinima, respectively (Duellman et al., 2016; Frost, 2021).

The genus Ololygon is widespread along the Atlantic forest from eastern Brazil southwards to northeastern Argentina and westwards into open forests of the Brazilian Cerrado (Duellman et al., 2016; Nogueira et al., 2016). The species in this genus are characterized by the lack of anterior process in suprascapula, $m$. depressor mandibulae without an origin at the dorsal fascia of the $m$. dorsalis scapulae, distal division of the middle branch of the $m$. extensor digitorum comunis longus, and insertion of this muscle at the medial side on the tendon of the $m$. extensor brevis medius digiti IV (Faivovich, 2002). The vocalization of frogs from this group is composed of pulsed notes (Hepp et al., 2017). Moreover, the karyotypes of Ololygon species are identified by the presence of two large
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submetacentric pairs while the nucleolar organizer regions (NORS) are usually located on the sixth chromosomal pair (Cardozo et al., 2011).

The genus Scinax comprises small to medium-sized frogs with slightly truncate discs on fingers and toes (Duellman et al., 2016), widespread from eastern and southern Mexico to Argentina and Uruguay, Trinidad and Tobago, and St. Lucia (Frost, 2021). From a cytotaxonomic point of view, most Scinax species are characterized by the presence of two large metacentric pairs, and NORs on the $11^{\text {th }}$ pair (Cardozo et al., 2011; Nogueira et al., 2015).

According to the IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) (2021) Scinax species are not threatened with extinction, but many taxa lack information about distribution, population effective size and ecology. In addition, species regarded as "least concern" might encompass cryptic and range-restricted forms, as proposed for $S$. alter (Nunes et al., 2012) and S. ruber (Fouquet et al., 2007b). On the other hand, six species of Ololygon (O. alcatraz, O. belloni, O. faivovichi, O. muriciensis, O. peixotoi and O. skuki) are classified as "endangered" or "critically endangered" since they are found only on islands and/or severely deforested habitats (IUCN, 2021). Therefore, the potential presence of cryptic species related to the controversial taxonomy of both frog groups, as well as the poor information about the actual range of several taxa, indicate that alternative methods should be used to assist in their proper identification.

The rainforests of the Neotropical region harbor the largest number of undescribed amphibians, estimated in nearly 3050 species to be identified according to mathematic models (Giam et al., 2011). The incorporation of molecular tools such as DNA barcode might accelerate the recognition of new species from this region. For instance, in the Bolivian Chaco, integrative analysis revealed the presence of 69 anuran species instead of 59 as indicated in previous reports (Jansen et al., 2011). Similarly, 129 species were listed in the AmazonGuianas using DNA barcode (Fouquet et al., 2007a), while 465 species were described in Madagascar (Vieites et al., 2009).

In addition to identifying new species, molecular data can reveal cryptic forms, defined as genetically different but morphologically indistinguishable species (Bickford et al. 2007). Cryptic taxa can lead to biased estimates of regional richness and hinder conservation management policies, since putatively widespread species might actually encompass several cryptic species of restricted distribution (Funk et al. 2011). Amphibians usually present conserved morphological traits and, therefore, the identification of closely related species based on morphology might be difficult, while cryptic species remain overlooked (Stuart et al. 2006).

In the Atlantic forest from Bahia, northeastern Brazil, 12 species of Scinax (S. alter, S. auratus, S. camposseabrai, S. cretatus, S. cuspidatus, S. eurydice, S. fuscomarginatus, $S$. juncae, S. montivagus, S. nebulosus, S. pachycrus, and $S$. $x$-signatus) and four of Ololygon (O. agilis, O. argyreornata, $O$. catharinae, and $O$. strigilata) have been reported (Frost, 2021). In the present study, we carried out a DNA barcode analysis based on 16 S and COI genes of 11 Scinax species and three Ololygon representatives, paying particular attention to the discovery of cryptic species and identification of candidate species.

## Material and Methods

## Collection and identification of samples

A total of 109 specimens formally belonging to eight species of Scinax and three species of Ololygon were collected in Atlantic forest from 13 localities in the state of Bahia (northeastern Brazil) and one site in Espírito Santo (southeastern Brazil) (Figure 1). Most taxa were sampled in their type locality or close to it (Table S1). All specimens were identified by Dr. Mirco Solé and deposited in the Herpetological Collection of Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz (Bahia - Brazil). Due to the absence of a taxonomic key, we identified the species seeking their description in taxonomic articles (Cruz and Peixoto 1983; Duellman and Wiens, 1992; Pombal et al., 1995; Caramaschi and Cardoso, 2006; Nunes and Pombal, 2010; Nunes et al., 2012; Araujo-Vieira et al., 2020). Three species of Scinax were morphologically identified as putative new species, being named as Scinax sp.1, Scinax sp.2, and Scinax sp. 3 (these species were differentiated morphologically from all other species described for that region). Thus, 11 species of Scinax were sampled, including eight valid species and three putative new taxa (Scinax sp.1, Scinax sp.2, and Scinax sp.3).

For molecular analyses, about 25 mg of muscle tissue were removed from the inner side of thighs and stored in $95 \%$ ethanol at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## DNA extraction and sequencing

Total DNA was extracted using the Wizard $®$ Genomic Purification kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Two mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) regions were amplified ( 16 S and COI) by PCR (polymerase chain reaction) using the following primers: 16S L1 (Palumbi, 1996) +16 S H1 (Varela et al., 2007) and COI (Folmer, 1994). Cycle-sequencing was performed on both strands using BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit on ABI 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The chromatograms were checked using the software Finch TV Version (Geopiza, Seattle, WA), and deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank under accession numbers (Table S1).

## Data analysis

The sequences were aligned using the Clustal W tool in the software BioEdit v. 5.09 (Hall, 1999). The software GBlocks 0.91 (Castresana, 2000) was employed to remove hypervariable segments within the 16 S gene, according to the following parameters: minimum number of sequences for a flank position to 71 , minimum number of sequences for a flanking position to 119 , maximum number of contiguous non-conserved positions to 10 , minimum length of a block to 5 , and half gap positions allowed. The most suitable mutation model was estimated for both COI and 16 S based on Akaike Information Criteria (AIC Akaike 1974) available in the software jModel Test 0.1 (Posada, 2008).

To increase the density of taxa, 33 sequences from GenBank were added, totaling 144 samples. These additional 16 S and COI sequences were chosen based on fragment size and species identification, avoiding the utilization of undescribed or uncertain species. The species Boana faber was selected as outgroup. The COI sequences were translated into aminoacids and pseudogenes were absent. The data from both mitochondrial genes were concatenated in the phylogenetic analysis.

Bayesian analyses were carried out using MrBayes v.3.1.3 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003), after concatenating 16 S and COI data. The best mutation model was estimated according to Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) in the software jModel Test 0.1 (Posada, 2008). Two runs (four chains each) with 20 million generations were performed with trees being sampled at every 1000 generations. Adequate burn-in was determined by examining likelihood scores of the heated chains for convergence on stationarity (established in 25\%), as well as the effective sample size values ( $>200$ ) using Tracer v. 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2007). Strongly supported relationships were considered when posterior probabilities values were equal or higher than 0.95 . Additionally, a maximum likelihood (ML) tree was built using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010). For ML analyses, the best mutation model was estimated according to Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) in the software jModel Test 0.1 (Posada, 2008). Non-parametric bootstrapping with heuristic searches of 1000 replicates was used to estimate de confidence values of branches in ML tree.

The nucleotide divergence for both mitochondrial genes were calculated based on Kimura-2-parameter (K2P) substitution model (Kimura, 1980), available in MEGA v. 5.0


Figure 1 - Map of collection sites of Ololygon and Scinax species: (1) Amargosa - BA, (2) Camacan - BA, (3) Caravelas - BA, (4) Guanambi - BA, (5) Igrapíuna - BA, (6) Ilhéus - BA, (7) Itaúnas - ES, (8) Jequié - BA, (9) Maracás - BA, (10) Nazaré - BA, (11) Porto Seguro - BA, (12) Prado - BA, (13) Serrinha - BA and (14) Vitória da Conquista - BA. The areas in light green indicate the putative refugia in Atlantic Forest.
(Tamura et al., 2011). The pairwise distances, as well as analysis of barcode gap (Meyer and Paulay, 2005) and estimation of candidate species in the dataset, were performed using the online version of Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) (Puillandre et al., 2012). In the ABGD, analyses were performed on the website http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/ using the K2P distance model. The threshold for intraspecific diversity was defined as a minimum of 0.001 and a maximum of 0.1 . The default value of the barcoding gap was $\mathrm{X} 1 / 41.5$.

For species delimitation via PTP and mPTP, we used a phylogenetic tree of maximum likelihood built in the RaxML v. 8.1 (Stamatakis, 2014), using 1 oo bootstrap replications. The analyses were conducted in the PTP and mPTP web servers (http://species.h-its.org/ and http://mptp.h-its.org/\#/tree).

## Results

The final dataset included 990 base pairs of mitochondrial genes ( 629 pb COI and 384 pb 16 S ) for 144 specimens, morphologically associated with 16 species of Scinax and four species of Ololygon.

Monophyletic groups were recovered in phylogenetic inferences with strong statistical support ( $>0.98 / 94$ ), most of them equivalent to the morphologically identified species (O. agilis, O. strigilata, Scinax alter, Scinax auratus, Scinax camposseabrai, Scinax eurydice, Scinax fuscomarginatus, Scinax juncae, Scinax pachycrus, Scinax sp.1, Scinax sp.2, Scinax sp.3, and Scinax $x$-signatus) (Figure 2).

The samples of Scinax $x$-signatus were reliably clustered in a single clade, being closely related to S. fuscovarius (Figure 2).

The nucleotide divergence between both sister taxa was equal to $8 \%$ for the 16 S and $17 \%$ for COI (Table 1).

Based on morphological traits, three putative new species were suggested in the present study, being named as Scinax sp.1, Scinax sp. 2, and Scinax sp. 3. The phylogenetic inferences, ABGD and PTP confirmed this suggestion, discriminating these groups into three distinct clusters with high statistical support (Figure 2). The minimum and maximum values of nucleotide divergence for these three taxa in relation to other Scinax species were: Scinax sp. 1: $5 \%-15 \%$ and $17 \%-27 \%$; Scinax sp. 2: $4.5 \%-13 \%$ and 17 $-28 \%$; and Scinax sp. 3: $7.5 \%-14 \%$ and $20 \%-26 \%$ for the 16 S and COI genes, respectively (Table 1). The mPTP method recognized only Scinax sp.3, while Scinax sp. 1 and Scinax sp. 2 were grouped with related species (Scinax sp.1-S. nasicus and S. pachycrus and Scinax sp. 2 - S. alter) (Figure 2).

The DNA sequences of $S$. fuscomarginatus from the present study (samples collected in Caravelas, southern Bahia, northeastern Brazil) were compared to those available in GenBank and they grouped with specimens from distinct regions in central, southeastern and northeastern Brazil. Indeed, several clusters were observed within S. fuscomarginatus, with divergence values higher than $4.0 \%$ and $7.0 \%$ for 16 S and COI fragments, respectively (Table 1 ). The species delimitation methods used in this study confirm the separation of the species $S$. fucomarginatus in at least six species groups (Figure 2). On the other hand, S. madeirae and S. villasboasi were closely related to S. fuscomarginatus.


Figure 2 - Bayesian inference (BI) topology with posterior probability values ( $\mathrm{pp}>95 \%$ ) and maximum likelihood (ML) bootstrap support ( $>70 \%$ ) based on concatenated analysis of 16 S and COI genes ( 990 bp ) in Scinax and Ololygon species. Vertical bars correspond to each lineage considered as a potential species. The delimitation species were inferred according to ABGD, PTP and mPTP methods.
Table 1 - Interspecific nucleotide divergence in COI (above diagonal) and 16S (below diagonal) within Scinax based on K2P model

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| 2 | 0.26 |  | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 |
| 3 | 0.25 | 0.23 |  | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| 4 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.18 |  | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.17 |
| 5 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.22 |  | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| 6 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.20 |  | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.18 |
| 7 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.22 |  | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.15 |
| 8 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 |  | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| 9 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.19 |  | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.15 |
| 10 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.28 |  | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
| 11 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.25 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| 12 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 |  | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| 13 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.13 |  | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| 14 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.16 |
| 15 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.15 |  | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.15 |
| 16 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 |  | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.14 |
| 17 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.07 |  | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.15 |
| 18 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |  | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| 19 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.15 |
| 20 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.25 |  | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.19 |
| 21 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.23 |  | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| 22 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.27 |  | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.15 |
| 23 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.26 |  | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| 24 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.22 |  | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| 25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.23 |  | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| 26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.24 |  | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.11 |
| 27 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.23 |  | 0.12 | 0.15 |
| 28 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.27 |  | 0.16 |
| 29 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  |

The numbers indicate the following species: (1) O. agilis, (2) O. argyreornata, (3) O. argyreornata, (4) O. argyreornata, (5) O. berthae, (6) O. strigilata, (7) S. acuminatus, (8) S. alter, (9) S. auratus, (10) S. camposseabrai,
(11) S. eurydice, (12) S. fuscomarginatus, (13) S. fuscomarginatus, (14) S. fuscomarginatus, (15) S. fuscomarginatus, (16) S. fuscomarginatus, (17) S. fuscomarginatus, (18) S. fuscovarius, (19) S. juncae, (20) S. madeirae, (21) S. nascicus, (22) S. nebulosus, (23) S. pachycrus, (24) Scinax sp.1, (25) Scinax sp.2, (26) Scinax sp.3, (27) S. squalitoralis, (28) S. villasboasi, (29) S. $x$-signatus.

The cladogram revealed a phylogenetic proximity between S. alter, S. auratus, S. juncae, and Scinax sp. 2, with divergence values ranging from 3.5 to $9 \%(16 \mathrm{~S})$ and 10 to 19\% (COI) (Figure 2, Table 1).

Surprisingly, the three specimens identified as $O$. argyreornata, collected in Porto Seguro (2) and Ilhéus (1), about 300 km apart in the southern coast of Bahia, presented high nucleotide divergence among each other and in relation to all other Scinax species (mean value of $8.7 \pm 2.5 \%$ for the 16 S , and $23.0 \pm 5 \%$ for the COI) (Table 1). Therefore, the genetic differentiation within $O$. argyreornata suggests that these specimens should represent distinct taxonomic units.

The species delimitation methods, ABGD and PPT were coincident in the recognition of the species of Scinax and Ololygon, resulting in 23 and 7 evolutionary units, respectively. The mPTP was unable to distinguish some pairs of sister species that are easily recognizable based on morphology ( $S$. pachycrus x S. nasicus x Scinax sp. 1 / S. alter x Scinax sp. 2 and S. auratus x S.juncae). For the genus Ololygon, the mPTP method failed in distinguishing different species (Figure 2).

## Discussion

In the present study, species delimitation was inferred based on distinct approaches, distance matrix and ABGD, PTP and mPTP algorithms. These tools complemented the morphological identification and indicated populations that need further revisions from an integrative perspective, particularly in relation to $O$. argyneornatus.

Specimens of this nominal taxon from two localities ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~km}$ apart) in southern Bahia were divided into three distinct lineages. This pattern could be related to biogeographic events in Atlantic forest, particularly related to the formation of refugia in Pleistocene. During this period, climatic changes, such as glaciation reduced large forest areas into patched fragments (forest refugia), thus, isolating individuals into small populations. Putatively, after warming, the habitats expanded once again leading to a secondary contact of populations (Carnaval et al., 2009). According to this model, the interruption of gene flow during isolation in refugia would determine genetic diversification of lineages and eventually reproductive isolation.

The genetic analyses also recognized S.fuscomarginatus as a species complex in spite of the lack of significant differences in morphometric and advertisement call data (Brusqueti et al., 2014). Therefore, the nominal species $S$. fuscomarginatus actually encompasses cryptic species, i.e. genetically and reproductively isolated species that lack morphological differentiation (Bickford et al., 2007).

Cryptic species are particularly common in anurans once their mating success relies more on acoustic patterns and pheromones than on visual signs (Bickford et al., 2007). Therefore, pre-zygotic barriers rather evolve from changes in other traits but morphological traits. Cytogenetic data support the discrimination between $S$. trilineatus and $S$. fuscomarginatus (Nogueira et al., 2015), in spite of their high similarity in morphological and vocalization traits (Brusquetti et al., 2014). Studies focusing on gene flow are highly encouraged in these Scinax groups to confirm the present inferences, like those performed by Gehara et al. (2014).

The present genetic data also confirmed the taxonomic status of S. camposseabrai. This species was formerly regarded as a subspecies of $S$. $x$-signatus, until it was revalidated by Caramaschi and Cardoso (2006). This taxon is found in decidual and semidecidual areas of Atlantic forest in Bahia (northeastern Brazil) and Minas Gerais (southeastern Brazil), being characterized by explosive spawning during short periods of rainfall (Cândido et al., 2012).

On the other hand, the samples of $S$. $x$-signatus from several localities formed a single cluster (Figure 2). It should be pointed out that specimens from this clade present a remarkable variation in coloration, texture and pattern of patches in skin, particularly noticeable in populations from the state of Bahia. Once this represents a monophyletic group, the external morphological differences are likely to represent local adaptive traits related to environmental differences throughout their range.

Differently from $S$. $x$-signatus, the species $S$. auratus has a more restricted distribution on rocky formation in inner portions or open areas in fragment borders of Atlantic forest along northeastern Brazil (Alves et al., 2004). This species, based on biological and morphological traits, is closely related to Scinax alter, S. cretatus, S. crospedospilus, S. cuspidatus, S. imbegue, S. juncae and S. tymbamirim (Pombal et al., 1995; Alves et al., 2004; Nunes and Pombal, 2010; Nunes, 2011, 2012; Mercês and Juncá, 2012). The molecular identification supported this inference, showing that S. auratus is genetically related to S. juncae, S. alter, as well as to Scinax sp. 2 (Figure 2).

Furthermore, all new Scinax taxa indicated in the present study were collected in putative biodiversity refugia in Atlantic forest (Figure 1). Based on the nomenclature proposed by Padial et al. (2010), Scinax sp.1, Scinax sp. 2, Scinax sp. 3, O. argyreornata $1, O$. argyreornata 2 , and $O$. argyreornata 3 should be categorized as unconfirmed candidate species (UCS) that should be further analyzed to reach a valid taxonomic status.

Our study emphasizes the importance of incorporating distinct methods to species identification, as recommended in integrative taxonomy (Dayrat, 2005; Padial et al., 2010). Accordingly, the molecular data identified 11 lineages of Scinax and 5 of Ololygon, including five new candidate species, thus contributing to the knowledge about the richness of anurans in Atlantic forest and definition of priority areas for biodiversity conservation.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Amanda Santiago and Euvaldo Marciano Júnior, for the assistance in collecting specimens, and to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES, finance code 001) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico ( CNPq ) for the financial support. The license for sample collection was provided by Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio) on behalf of Lídia Nogueira (authorization number 28684-1).

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicial to the impartiality of the reported research.

## Author Contributions

LN conceived and the study, LN, MS and SS conducted the experiments, LN, LFSRF, PRAMA and IS analyzed the data, LN, LFSRF, PRAMA and IS wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

## References

Alves A, Gomes M and Silva S (2004) Description of the tadpole of Scinax auratus (Wied-Neuwied) (Anura, Hylidae). Rev Bras Zool 21:315-317.
Araujo-Vieira K, Pombal JJP, Caramaschi U, Novaes-e-Fagundes G, Orrico VG and Faivovich J (2020) A neotype for Hyla $x$-signata Spix, 1824 (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae). Pap Avulsos Zool 60:e20206056.
Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Navjot SS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK and Das I (2007) Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 22:148-155.
Brusquetti F, Jansen M, Barrio-Amorós C, Segalla M and Haddad CFB (2014) Taxonomic review of Scinax fuscomarginatus (Lutz, 1925) and related species (Anura: Hylidae). Zool J Linn Soc - Lond 171:783-821.
Cândido CER, Brandão RA, Freitas MA, Coelho WA and Felberg EL (2012) Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae, Scinax camposseabrai (Bokermann, 1968): Geographic distribution and map. Check List 8:272-273.
Caramaschi U and Cardoso M (2006) Taxonomic status of Hyla camposseabrai Bokermann, 1968 (Anura: Hylidae). J Herpetol 40:549-552.
Cardozo DE, Leme DM, Bortoleto JF, Catroli GF, Baldo DF, Faivovich J, Kolenc F, Silva APZ, Borteiro C, Haddad CFB et al. (2011) Karyotypic data on 28 species of Scinax (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae): Diversity and informative variation. Copeia 2:251-263.
Carnaval AC, Hickerson MJ and Haddad CFB (2009) Stability predicts genetic diversity in the Brazilian Atlantic forest hotspot. Science 323:785-789.
Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol 17:540-552.
Cruz CAG and Peixoto O (1983) Uma nova espécie de Hyla do Estado do Espírito Santo, Brasil (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae). Rev Bras Biol 42:721-724.
Dayrat B (2005) Integrative taxonomy. Zool J Linn Soc - Lond 85:407-415.
Duellman WE and Wiens JJ (1992) The status of the hylid frog genus Ololygon and the recognition of Scinax Wagler, 1830. Mus Nat Hist 151:1-23.
Duellman WE, Marion AB and Hedges SB (2016) Phylogenetics, classification, and biogeography of the treefrogs (Amphibia: Anura: Arboranae). Zootaxa 4104:1-109.
Faivovich J (2002) A cladistic analysis of Scinax (Anura:Hylidae). Cladistics 18:367-393.
Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R and Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol 3:294-299.
Fouquet A, Gilles A, Vences M and Marty C (2007a) Underestimation of species richness in Neotropical frogs revealed by mtDNA analyses. PLoS One 2:e1109.
Fouquet A, Vences M, Salducci MD, Meyer A, Marty C, Blanc M and Gilles A (2007b) Revealing cryptic diversity using molecular phylogenetics and phylogeography in frogs of the Scinax ruber and Rhinella margaritifera species groups. Mol Phylogenet Evol 43:567-582.

Funk WC, Carminer M and Ron SR (2011) High levels of cryptic species diversity uncovered in Amazonian frogs. Proc R Soc B - Biol Sci 279:1806-1814.
Gehara M, Crawford AJ, Orrico VGD, Rodríguez A, Lötters S, Fouquet A, Barrientos LS, Brusquetti F, De la Riva I, Ernst R et al. (2014) High levels of diversity uncovered in a widespread nominal taxon: Continental phylogeography of the Neotropical tree frog Dendropsophus minutus. PloS One 9:e103958.
Giam X, Scheffers BR, Sodhi NS, Wilcove DS, Ceballos G and Ehrlich PR (2011) Reservoirs of richness: Least disturbed tropical forest are centres of undescribed species diversity. Proc Biol Sci 279:67-76.
Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W and Gascuel O (2010) PhyML: A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst Biol 52:696-704.
Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows $95 / 98 /$ NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95-98.
Hepp F, Calijorne ACL and Pombal JJP (2017) Bioacoustics of four Scinax species and a review of acoustic traits in the Scinax catharinae species group (Amphibia: Anura: Hylidae). Salamandra 53:212-230.
Jansen M, Bloch R, Schulze A and Pfenninger M (2011) Integrative inventory of Bolivia's lowland anurans reveals hidden diversity. Zool Scr 40:567-583.
Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111-120.
Mercês EA and Juncá FA (2012) The tadpole of Scinax juncae Nunes e Pombal, 2010 (Anura, Hylidae). Zootaxa 3416:41-43
Meyer CP and Paulay G (2005) DNA barcoding: Error rates based on comprehensive sampling. PloS Biol 3:e422.
Nogueira L, Zanoni JB, Solé M, Affonso PRAM, Siqueira S and Sampaio I (2015) Cytogenetic studies in six species of Scinax (Anura, Hylidae) clade Scinax ruber from northern and northeastern Brazil. Genet Mol Biol 38:156-161.
Nogueira L, Solé M, Siqueira S, Affonso PRAM, Strüssmann C and Sampaio I (2016) Genetic analysis reveals candidate species in the Scinax catharinae clade (Amphibia: Anura) from Central Brazil. Genet Mol Biol 39:49-53.
Nunes I and Pombal JJ (2010) A new Scinax Wagler (Amphibia , Anura, Hylidae) from the Atlantic Rain Forest remains of southern State of Bahia, North-eastern Brazil. AmphibiaReptilia 31:347-353.
Nunes I (2011) A New snouted treefrog of the speciose genus Scinax Wagler (Anura, Hylidae) from nordheastern Brazil. Herpetologica 67:80-88.
Nunes I, Kwet A and Pombal JJ (2012) Taxonomic revision of the Scinax alter species complex (Anura: Hylidae). Copeia 3:554-569.
Padial JM, Miralles AD, De la Riva I and Vences M (2010) The integrative future of taxonomy. Front Zool 7:16.
Palumbi SR (1996) Nucleic acids II: The polymerase chain reaction. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C and Mable BK (eds) Molecular systematics. Sinauer Associates, Inc, Sunderland, MA, pp 205-247.
Pombal JJ, Haddad CFB and Kasahara S (1995) A new species of Scinax (Anura: Hylidae) from southeastern Brazil, with comments on the genus. J Herpetol 29:1-6.
Posada D (2008) jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Mol Biol Evol 25:1253-1256.
Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S and Achaz G (2012) ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. Mol Ecol 21:1864-1877.

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G and Suchard MA (2018) Posterior summarisation in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst Biol 67:901-904.
Ronquist F and Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572-1574.
Stamatakis A (2014) RAxMLversion8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312-1313.
Stuart BL, Inger RF and Voris HK (2006) High level of cryptic species diversity revealed by sympatric lineages of Southeast Asian forest frogs. Biol Lett 2:470-474.
Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M and Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28:2731-2739.
Varela ES, Beasley CR, Schneider H, Sampaio I, Marques-Silva NS and Tagliaro CH (2007) Molecular phylogeny of mangrove oysters (Crassostrea) from Brazil. J Molluscan Stud 73:229-234.
Vieites DR, Wollenberg KC, Andreone F, Köhler J, Glaw F and Vences M (2009) Vast underestimation of Madagascar's biodiversity
evidenced by an integrative amphibian inventory. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:8267-8272.

## Internet Resources

Frost DR (2021) Amphibian Species of the World: An Online Reference.Version 6.1. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA, https://amphibiansoftheworld.amnh.org/ index.php (accessed 2 July 2021).
IUCN (2021) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2021-1, https://www.iucnredlist.org (accessed 14 May 2021).

## Supplementary material

The following online material is available for this article:
Table S1 - Description of the samples represented in this study.

Associate Editor: Louis Bernard Klaczko

License information:This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (type CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited.

