RESEARCH

Open Access



Ribosomal protein and biogenesis factors affect multiple steps during movement of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Ty1 retrotransposon

Susmitha Suresh^{1,3}, Hyo Won Ahn², Kartikeya Joshi¹, Arun Dakshinamurthy^{1,4}, Arun Kananganat¹, David J. Garfinkel² and Philip J. Farabaugh^{1*}

Abstract

Background: A large number of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cellular factors modulate the movement of the retroviruslike transposon Ty1. Surprisingly, a significant number of chromosomal genes required for Ty1 transposition encode components of the translational machinery, including ribosomal proteins, ribosomal biogenesis factors, protein trafficking proteins and protein or RNA modification enzymes.

Results: To assess the mechanistic connection between Ty1 mobility and the translation machinery, we have determined the effect of these mutations on ribosome biogenesis and Ty1 transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation. Lack of genes encoding ribosomal proteins or ribosome assembly factors causes reduced accumulation of the ribosomal subunit with which they are associated. In addition, these mutations cause decreased Ty1 + 1 programmed translational frameshifting, and reduced Gag protein accumulation despite at least normal levels of Ty1 mRNA. Several ribosome subunit mutations increase the level of both an internally initiated Ty1 transcript and its encoded truncated Gag-p22 protein, which inhibits transposition.

Conclusions: Together, our results suggest that this large class of cellular genes modulate Ty1 transposition through multiple pathways. The effects are largely post-transcriptional acting at a variety of levels that may include translation initiation, protein stability and subcellular protein localization.

Keywords: Retrotransposition, Host factors, Programmed frameshifting, Ribosomal protein insufficiency, Ribosome biogenesis

Background

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Ty (Transposons of yeast) retrotransposons are members of the LTR (long terminal repeat) group and are similar to retroviruses both structurally and functionally [1, 2]. Like retroviruses, Ty elements undergo reverse transcription that occurs within virus-like particles (VLPs) formed from structural and enzymatic proteins encoded by two genes, *GAG* and *POL*. Ty elements are valuable as models for human retroviruses; several groups have exploited yeast genetic tools to

identify genes encoding Ty host factors that modulate transposition. Knowing how these factors affect Ty retrotransposition can provide clues as to what host processes affect retrovirus or retrotransposon replication and pathogenicity. Genome-wide forward genetic screens identified host factors that are required for (cofactor genes) or prevent (restriction genes) retrotransposition by Ty1 [3–7]. The most salient feature of the genes identified in these screens is the diversity of function of their encoded products, including roles in transcription, chromatin structure and modification, intracellular signaling, cytoplasmic protein synthesis, DNA repair, RNA processing and cell cycle regulation among others. Among the most statistically overrepresented host cofactor genes are those encoding



© 2015 Suresh et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: farabaug@umbc.edu

¹Department of Biological Sciences and Program in Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

cytoplasmic ribosomal proteins [7] suggesting that Ty transposition might depend on efficient biogenesis of ribosomes. Host factors for other plus stranded viruses in yeast have not been found to be as diverse. Prominent among these is the endogenous L-A virus of S. cerevisiae. It supports the replication of satellite dsRNA molecules, one of which encodes a peptide toxin lethal to uninfected cells [8]. Maintenance of L-A and the satellites depends on availability of the large (60S) ribosomal subunit [9], implying a more global role of protein synthesis for positive stranded viruses. Because, unlike Ty1, L-A has no integrated DNA form, it does not share a dependence on genes such as those involved in transcription, chromatin recombination and DNA repair. Its dependence on 60S abundance may relate to the L-A mRNA not being polyadenylated since polyA tails facilitate 60S joining during translation initiation [10]. Thus, reduced 60S availability could reduce L-A mRNA translation relative to bulk poly(A)⁺ mRNA (reviewed in [11]). Ty1 expresses an abundant, poly(A)⁺ mRNA and depends on both 40S and 60S availability so its dependence on the translation machinery may have a different origin. Also, only three Ty1 cofactor genes were also identified as L-A host factors-SKI1/ KEM1/XRNI, SKI2 and SKI8-and their Ty1 phenotype is opposite to their effect of L-A virus; these factors are required for Ty1 mobility but restrict L-A propagation. Therefore, Ty1 and L-A occupy distinct genetic niches with respect to their dependence on host proteins.

Ty elements, as well as many viruses and virus-like elements including L-A, employ an unusual translational control mechanism-programmed translational frameshifting [12]. The Ty and L-A frameshift mechanisms are distinct. Ty elements employ +1 frameshifting, in which translation shifts one base in the downstream or 3' direction, while L-A uses -1 frameshifting, shifting one base in the opposite direction. The Ty1-encoded enzymatic (Pol) protein is encoded as a fusion to the upstream-encoded Gag structural protein by +1 frameshifting at a 7 nt RNA signal [13]. A similar or identical signal is used in all but the Ty5 element. The frequency of Ty1 frameshifting is approximately 40 % measured in a reporter gene construct containing only the frameshift signal [13]. In the intact Ty1 element the Gag-Pol protein is expressed at 3 % the amount of the Gag protein, suggesting a further ~10-fold reduction in expression of Gag-Pol, which may result from either a translational effect during elongation through the POL gene or reduced stability of Gag-Pol relative to Gag protein; changes to this ratio blocked retrotransposition [14]. Altered Gag to Gag-Pol stoichiometry also reduces transposition of many other viruses [15-20]. Because retrotransposition frequency requires a specific level of programmed frameshifting, that process could explain the dependence of retrotransposition on efficient ribosome biogenesis.

In addition to cellular cofactor and restriction genes that affect Ty1 transposition, a protein expressed from subgenomic internally initiated Ty1i transcripts (Gag-p22) containing the C-terminal half of Gag is a self-encoded restriction factorthat inhibits transposition and controls Ty1 copy number [21]. Gag-p22 antagonizes VLP function by interfering with assembly of VLPs and assembly foci [22], called T-bodies [23] or retrosomes [24]. Well-known Ty1 cofactors such as SPT3 and XRN1, which are implicated in full-length transcription [25], and RNA turnover and VLP function [26-28], respectively, influence the level of Ty1i RNA [21]. However, additional cellular genes that modulate Ty1i/Gag-p22 expression remain to be discovered, and in fact, may be present in Ty1 cofactor or restriction gene collections. A clue to what types of factors might influence this effect is the fact that formation of retrosomes requires co-translational insertion of the Ty1 Gag protein into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [22]. Interfering with ER insertion blocks formation of retrosomes and the Gag protein produced is more rapidly degraded. This suggests that some Ty1 cofactor genes might encode factors required for Gag ER insertion.

To gain a more thorough understanding of the relationship between ribosome biogenesis and Ty1 transposition, we analyzed the effect on Ty1 transposition of chromosomal deletions that remove structural proteins of the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits as well as proteins involved in ribosomal processing or protein synthesis. We show that translation-associated cofactor deletion mutants affect Ty1 transposition through a combination of mechanisms. Most of the mutants tested show reduced accumulation of the corresponding ribosomal subunit, significantly decreased +1 programmed translational frameshifting at the Ty1 site, and reduced expression of Gag protein despite expressing at least normal amounts of Ty1 mRNA. Interestingly, several ribosome subunit mutants also express more Ty1i RNA relative to Ty1 mRNA and significant amounts of Gag-p22 and its C-terminally processed product, Gag-p18, consistent with the idea that producing more of the transpositional inhibitor Gag-p22 contributes to the Ty1 defects in these mutants [21]. Together, our results suggest that multiple post-transcriptional processes are required for optimal Ty1 transposition.

Methods

Media and yeast strains

Yeast genetic techniques and media were used as described previously [29, 30]. Strains from the haploid $MAT\alpha$ deletion collection [31] were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). The mutant strains, constructed in BY4742 ($MAT\alpha$ his3- $\Delta 1$ leu2- $\Delta 0$ lys2- $\Delta 0$ ura3- $\Delta 0$) [32] were transformed with pJC573, a URA3-based integrating plasmid carrying an active Ty1 element tagged

with a modified indicator gene *his3-AI*, which cannot recombine with the *his3-* $\Delta 1$ allele present in BY4742 to generate a functional *HIS3* gene [5]. The centromerebased Ty1 overexpression plasmid pGTy1*his3-AI* [21] was also introduced into BY4742 and an isogenic *rp11B* Δ mutant.

Frequency of Ty1his3-AI mobility

Mobility of Ty elements in each mutant strain was determined essentially as described [5, 33]. Strains were streaked for single colonies on SC –Ura plates at 20 °C and a single colony suspended in SC –Ura liquid and ~ 10^3 cells inoculated into each of six tubes and incubated at 20 °C to saturation. Aliquots were plated on SC –Ura and SC –His –Ura and incubated at 30 °C. The frequency of Ty1*his3-AI* was calculated by dividing the average number of His⁺ Ura⁺ cells per milliliter. Mobility of cells expressing a *GAL1*-promoted Ty1*his3-AI* plasmid (pGTy1*his3-AI*) was determined as described by Saha et al. [21].

Ty1 frameshifting efficiency

Ty1 programmed +1 frameshifting efficiency was measured as described [13]. Briefly, the assay employs two reporter plasmids that include a translational fusion of the first 30 codons of the yeast *HIS4* gene to the *Escherichia coli lacZ* gene, which encodes β -galactosidase. In the plasmid pMB38-9merWT, a short linker connecting the two genes includes the Ty1 heptameric frameshifting site fused to *lacZ* in the +1 reading frame. In a second plasmid, pMB38-9merFF, a single nucleotide deletion in the heptamer places the *lacZ* gene in the 0 reading frame so its expression does not require frameshifting. The two plasmids are transformed separately into the recipient strain. Frameshifting efficiency is calculated as the ratio of expression from pMB38-9merWT to that of pMB38-9merFF.

Polysome analysis

Sucrose gradient analysis of yeast ribosomes was performed essentially as described [34]. Briefly, 200 ml of each strain were grown in YPED medium to midexponential phase and harvested after addition of 10 mg cycloheximide. After washing, cells were lysed with glass beads and 40 A_{260} units of supernatant was layered on a 10 to 50 % sucrose gradient and centrifuged in an SW40 rotor for 4 h at 41,000 rpm. Fractions were collected and continuously analyzed for absorption at 260 nm using an ISCO Foxy Jr fraction collector.

Northern analysis

The steady-state level of Ty1 mRNA was determined essentially as described [35]. Total cell RNA was isolated

by the acid-phenol method [36] and 5 μ g was separated by electrophoresis in 1 % agarose-glyoxal-DMSO gels and blotted to Brightstar-Plus positively charged nylon membranes (Life Sciences). For poly(A)⁺ RNA purification, total RNA was prepared using the MasturePure yeast RNA purification kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, WI). Poly(A)⁺ RNA was isolated from 250 µg total RNA using the NucleoTrap mRNA purification kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). A DNA probe obtained as a 1.6 kb PvuII-ClaI fragment of the Ty1 POL gene and as a 1.4 kb EcoRI-XbaI fragment of the PYK1 gene were labeled by random priming using α -[³²P]dATP using the Deca Prime II kit (Life Sciences). In vitro transcription of Ty1 GAG (nt 1266-1601) was performed using a MAXIscript kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and α -[³²P]UTP (3,000 Ci/mmol; Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Hybridization was visualized by autoradiography or by image analysis using a STORM 840 phosphor imager (GE Healthcare). The experimental results shown in the figure are representative of three experiments performed.

Western blot analyses

Three-milliliter SC-Ura liquid cultures were grown at 20 °C until saturated, which occurred between 24 and 48 h for different mutants. Strains were grown under similar conditions but split into different groups according to growth rate, and each group contained a wild type control. Total cell protein was prepared as previously described [37]. Protein isolated by trichloroacetic acid (TCA) extraction [38] from wild type and the $rpl1b\Delta$ mutant either expressing pGTy1 or not was also subjected to immunoblotting. Galactose-induction of cells containing pGTy1 was performed as previously described [6]. Protein concentration was determined using Coomassie Plus (Bradford) Assay Reagent (Thermo scientific, Waltham, MA). Protein samples were separated on a 10 % SDS-PAGE gel, and then transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5 % powdered milk-Tris buffered saline (100 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl pH 7.5) with 0.1 % Tween 20 (TBST) and then incubated with primary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Rabbit antisera directed against Ty1 VLPs (used to detect Gag; gift of Alan J. Kingsman), recombinant p18 (used to detect Gag and p22/p18) [21], and the control protein Hts1p (Gift of Thomas L. Mason) were used at 1:7,000, 1:5000 and 1:40,000 dilutions, respectively. Blots were washed three times for 10 min each in TBST. Primary antibody was detected with ECL anti-rabbit IgG, Horseradish peroxide linked whole antibody from donkey (GE healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) at a 1:4,000 dilution in TBST for 1 h. Blots were washed three times for 10 min each in TBST, visualized by ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE

healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) and exposed to X-ray film. The experimental results shown are representative of two or three experiments performed.

Results

Identifying Ty1 host cofactor and restriction genes involved in protein synthesis

We have previously described screens to identify Ty1 cellular cofactor [6] and restriction genes [5] using a Ty1 mobility assay. The assay employs a plasmid (pJC573) bearing a modified Ty1 element, Ty1his3-AI [33]. HIS3 is inserted downstream of the POL gene opposite to the direction of Tv1 transcription and is transcribed from its own promoter; the gene is interrupted by the artificial intron (AI), which is oriented in the direction of Tv1 transcription. The Ty1 RNA expressed from this construct is spliced before undergoing reverse transcription, removing the disruption of the HIS3 gene and resulting after its reintegration into the genome in complementation of the chromosomal his3 deletion (His⁺). Most His⁺ cells result from transposition of the element. A minority of retromobility events can occur by homologous recombination with an endogenous Ty1 transposon [39].

Among 457 Ty1 cofactor genes isolated in various systematic screens of the viable deletion mutants [3-7], 71 encode ribosomal proteins, ribosome biogenesis factors and translation factors including 33 ribosomal proteins genes: RPL1B, RPL4A, RPL6A, RPL7A, RPL14A, RPL15B, RPL16B, RPL18A, RPL19A, RPL19B, RPL20B, RPL21A, RPL21B, RPL27A, RPL31A, RPL33B, RPL34A, RPL37A, RPL39, RPL40A, RPL41B, RPL43A, RPP1A, RPP2B, RPS0B, RPS9B, RPS10A, RPS11A, RPS19A, RPS19B, RPS25A, RPS27B and RPS30A. On the other hand, of the 91 identified Ty1 restriction genes only three are translation related [3, 5]. ASC1 is an integral ribosomal protein of the 40S ribosomal subunit and is the yeast homolog of the mammalian Receptor of Activated C Kinase 1 (RACK1) protein [40]. ARC1 is a cofactor for aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases [41] and TRM7 encodes a tRNA 2'-O-ribose methyltransferase [42].

Quantitative assays of Ty1 mobility were performed as described [5] to assess the severity of the transposition defects caused by deleting 16 identified ribosome-associated Ty1 cofactor genes, eight encoding large subunit (60S) subunit proteins, five encoding small subunit (40S) proteins, two encoding biogenesis proteins of the 60S (*rrp6* [43]) or 40S (*rrp8* [44, 45]) subunit and a karyopherin gene (*kap123* [46]) functioning in nuclear export of 60S subunits. These 19 strains were chosen for study based on their showing a strong mobility defect in the initial screen. Two control genes that reduce transposition by a mechanism not known to be associated with translation were also tested: *BEM4*, involved in budding, cell polarity and in maintenance of telomere length [47], and *SPE3*, encoding

spermidine synthase [48]. The assay employs a Ty1-his3AI transposon integrated upstream of the HIS4 gene. As shown in Table 1, the frequency of transposition was significantly reduced for all of the twenty deletion mutant strains. For 16 ribosome-associated cofactor mutant strains the frequency of transposition averaged 4.0×10^{-7} or 10-fold lower than the wild type frequency of 4.0×10^{-6} . The frequencies varied from a minimum of 1.9×10^{-8} (for *rpl39* Δ) to a maximum of 8.1×10^{-7} (for *rpl41B* Δ). The mobility of the two control strains was also much less than wild type. For two mutant strains, $kap123\Delta$ and $bem4\Delta$, we were unable to observe any mobility events and so can only estimate a upper bound for the mobility frequency that is at least 73 and 340-fold below wild type, respectively. This secondary screen validates the identification of these genes as Ty1 cofactor genes.

Most translation associated Ty1 cofactor mutants impair ribosome biogenesis or function

Deficits in ribosomal proteins generally results in impaired ribosome biogenesis (reviewed in [49]). These defects include blocks to ribosome biogenesis events

Table 1 Quantitative Ty1 mobility is reduced in ribosoma	I
protein gene Ty1 cofactor mutants	

Strain	Function ^a	Ty1 mobility ± SEM (× 10 ⁻⁷) ^b	Fold reduced from WT
WT	_	40 ± 2.0	-
rpl1B Δ	LSU protein	4.7 ± 1.1	8.5
rpl4A Δ	Ш	1.9 ± 0.56	21
rpl15B Δ	Ш	2.6 ± 0.78	16
rpl21A Δ	Ш	4.9 ± 1.3	8.1
rpl27A Δ	Ш	6.5 ± 1.6	6.2
rpl39 Δ	Ш	0.19 ± 0.14	210
rpl41B Δ	Ш	8.1 ± 1.9	4.9
rpp2B Δ	Ш	3.0 ± 1.0	13
rps0B Δ	SSU protein	5.6 ± 0.81	7.2
rps9B Δ	Ш	4.7 ± 0.79	8.5
rps10A Δ	Ш	4.8 ± 0.66	8.3
rps19B Δ	Ш	1.4 ± 0.27	29
rps25A Δ	Ш	7.8 ± 1.4	5.1
rrp6 Δ	LSU processing	2.8 ± 0.56	14
rrp8 Δ	SSU processing	4.0 ± 0.79	10
kap123 Δ	60S nuclear export	< 0.54 ^c	>74
bem4 Δ	Budding	<0.11 ^c	>340
spe3 Δ	Polyamine synthesis	0.67 ± 0.67	59

^aLSU = large (60S) ribosomal subunit; SSU = small (40S) ribosomal subunit ^bMobility was calculated from a minimum of five repeated experiments as number of His⁺ cells per number of total viable cells

^cNo observed mobility; the maximum mobility is less than assuming mobility calculated if one event had occurred in the number of assays performed

including rRNA processing, binding of other ribosomal proteins to the pre-ribosome and transport to the cytoplasm. We therefore expected that the translation-associated Ty1 cofactor mutants would show effects on ribosome biogenesis. Many of the mutants showed slowed growth rates, consistent with reduced ribosome availability, however since most ribosomal protein gene deletion mutants grow at a normal rate [50] this is a poor test of their effect on biogenesis. Therefore, we directly assessed the effect of a subset of the cofactor mutants by analyzing polysomes from the wild type control and 11 of the translation-associated cofactor gene deletions using sucrose density centrifugation [51].

Most of the mutants tested had obvious defects in subunit abundance. Mutants of 40S ribosomal proteins genes (*RPS0B* or *RPS10A*) or a 40S subunit processing factor gene (*RRP8*) were severely impaired in 40S assembly (Fig. 1). Each had 40S/60S ratios less than one-tenth of the wild type reflecting the near absence of free 40S subunits and increased amounts of 60S. The lack of Rrp8 was previously shown to cause reduced accumulation of mature 18S rRNA of the 40S subunit [44].

Similarly, lack of most large subunit protein genes tested showed evidence of reduced 60S accumulation or activity. Deletions of 60S ribosomal protein genes (*RPL1B* and *RPL27A*) or a 60S subunit assembly factor gene involved in 3'-end processing of 5.8S rRNA (*RRP6*) all resulted in reduced amounts of 60S subunits and all three showed evidence of "halfmers", which are secondary peaks indicating complexes with masses slightly greater than a 70S or polysome peak. Halfmers are caused by the presence of mRNA-bound 43S pre-initiation complexes to which 60S subunits have failed to assemble in addition to one or more 80S ribosomes on an mRNA [52]. These peaks are direct evidence of slowed 60S subunit recruitment.

Four mutants displayed profiles resembling the wild type; the deletion of these genes does not appear to grossly alter the rate of assembly of either subunit. These genes encode a 40S ribosomal protein (*RPS25A*) two 60S proteins (*RPL15B*, *RPL41B*) and a ribosome nuclear export factor (*KAP123*). Previous work showed that lack of the 60S Ty1 cofactor gene *RPP2B* also does not alter subunit abundance [53]. Of the five encoded proteins, only Rpl15 is essential for viability; the proteins encoded by the other four can be eliminated without affecting viability although only a strain lacking Rpl41 grows at a normal rate [50]. These five proteins must affect Ty1 mobility without altering ribosome biogenesis.

Most ribosomal protein gene deletions cause a significant reduction in Ty1 frameshift activity

An obvious reason for the connection between translation and Ty1 retrotransposition could be the Ty1 + 1 programmed frameshifting event responsible for expression of the Gag-Pol fusion protein. The stoichiometry of Gag to Gag-Pol sensitively controls transposition efficiency and even slight changes in the ratio of Gag to Gag-Pol proteins can block retrotransposition [12]. For Ty1, increasing frameshifting blocks transposition by causing incomplete proteolytic processing of the Gag-Pol polyprotein leading to formation of defective VLPs [14]. Reducing Ty1 frameshifting also blocks transposition [17] although the mechanism of this blockage is not known.

Frameshift activity in mutant strains was determined using a well characterized β -galactosidase reporter construct [13]. The construct has the first 33 codons of the *HIS4* gene fused to the β -galactosidase gene through a minimal Ty1 frameshift site with expression of the enzyme requiring frameshifting. The percent frameshift activity is expressed as the ratio of the frameshift activity to that of a frame fusion control in which the genes are in one open reading frame so expression does not require frameshifting. The use of the frame fusion control eliminates other transcriptional, post-transcriptional and translational effects on the activity of the enzyme.

Figure 2 shows the frameshift efficiency of the wild type (white column) and the same 18 Ty1 cofactor deletion strains tested in Table 1. We found that 12 of the mutant strains showed significantly lower frameshifting efficiency than the wild type (P < 0.05 or 0.005). These included five small subunit genes, six large subunit genes and two ribosome biogenesis genes. These deletions each had decreased frameshift efficiencies that averaged 2.2-fold lower than wild type and varied from 1.5 to 4.5-fold. The deletion mutants not known to be involved in ribosome function or assembly were included as controls: SPE3 and BEM4 had no significant effect on frameshift efficiency. Four translation-associated cofactor deletion mutants ($rps25A\Delta$, $rpl15B\Delta$, $rpl41B\Delta$ and $kap123\Delta$) also had frameshift efficiencies that were not significantly different from wild type; these mutants were the four that also showed near normal polysome profiles. The complete correspondence between these two phenotypes suggests a mechanistic connection between reduced ribosome biogenesis and reduced programmed frameshifting. The reduction in frameshift efficiency in about half of the tested mutants is 2-fold or more. Similar changes in stoichiometry blocked replication in the L-A virus [18] suggesting that this change in stoichiometry could significantly reduce transposition. Several of the mutants showed a less than 2-fold decrease (*rpl4A* Δ , $rpl21A\Delta$ and $rrp6\Delta$) and the four mentioned above showed no decrease at all. We conclude that reduced frameshift efficiency probably contributes to the Ty1 mobility defect in most of the mutants but the full decrease in mobility may result from other abnormalities.



Comparison of the frameshift and transposition phenotypes of each of the mutants showed no significant correlation (Pearson's correlation coefficient, r = 0.07). If we exclude the mutants that had no effect on frameshifting or the polysome profile the correlation is better (r = 0.27) but still weak. This statistical analysis suggests that the magnitude of the effect on transposition does not correlate well with the magnitude of the reduction of frameshifting, suggesting that effects beyond frameshift efficiency explain the reduction in Ty1 mobility. Clearly, for translation-associated cofactor mutants that do not alter either frameshifting or the polysome profile the effect on transposition must be from another cause, possibly extraribosomal [54].

Post-transcriptional regulation reduces Ty1 Gag protein accumulation in most Ty1 translation-associated cofactor mutants

Because most translation-associated Ty1 cofactor genes reduce 80S availability and programmed translational frameshifting, we suspected that they might also affect translation efficiency, in particular of the Ty1 Gag protein. The steady state level of Ty1 Gag, therefore, was determined for the wild type and 15 of the mutants tested in Table 1 by Western blotting using an anti-VLP antibody that strongly reacts with Gag [55]. Based on expression studies with cells expressing a Ty1 on a GAL1promoted pGTy1 plasmid, we expected to see both the primary translational product, Gag-p49 and the mature, C-terminally processed form, Gag-p45 [56]. However, recent work suggests that alternate forms of endogenous Gag in addition to p45 are detected in normal cells [22]. As shown in Fig. 3, the wild type consistently showed approximately a 2-fold excess of endogenous p45 over the slower migrating bands that contain altered forms of Gag and perhaps p49 (denoted as Gag[†]). Surprisingly, we were unable to detect either endogenous Gag protein in the $rpl1B\Delta$ and $rpl39\Delta$ cofactor mutants. The lack of Gag might predict an extremely severe Ty1 mobility deficit and the rpl39\u00e1 mutant does have the lowest frequency of mobility of the deletion mutants tested, 210-fold lower than wild type and 25-fold lower than the average of the other mutants (Table 1). By contrast, the mobility frequency of the $rpl1B\Delta$ mutant was near the average of all mutants tested. To determine if Gag might be insoluble in the $rpl1B\Delta$ mutant, total protein from wild type and the mutant was prepared by TCA extraction and immunoblotted. Even under these harsher extraction conditions, which were developed to monitor transport of proteins into mitochondria [57], endogenous Gag was not detected



in *rpl1B* Δ (Additional file 1: Figure S1). However, Gag was detected if Ty1 was overexpressed from pGTy1 and, even though Gag was mostly present in the altered form in the mutant, Ty1 mobility was restored to almost wild type levels. Similar results were also obtained with an antipeptide Gag antibody (data not shown). It is unclear why *rpl1B* Δ does not show a more severe phenotype given the severity of the putative Gag accumulation deficit. Perhaps *rpl1B* Δ Gag is present in a modified form that fails to enter the gel, is poorly electroblotted, or no longer reacts with Gag antisera.

Previously, we reported that the 40S assembly factor mutant $bud22\Delta$ accumulated lower amounts of Gag and higher amounts of Gag-p49 or Gag⁺ compared to Gag-p45 [6]. We confirmed the $bud22\Delta$ phenotype (Fig. 3) and found that three of the mutants tested here showed a similar phenotype ($rps10A\Delta$, $rps19B\Delta$ and rps25A). Three other mutants, $rps0B\Delta$, $rps9B\Delta$ and rrp6,

accumulated similarly reduced amounts of Gag but do not show reduced processing of Gag-p49 to Gag-p45. All of these five genes encode 40S ribosomal proteins or 40S biogenesis proteins implying a link between 40S availability and the Bud22 phenotype and suggesting that the phenotype results specifically from a reduction in 40S availability.

The phenotypes of the remaining 60S ribosomal protein mutants are quite different than those of the 40S mutants. First, none of the 60S ribosomal protein mutants show an obvious deficit in p45 processing; the amount of the processed Gag-p45 is consistently greater than that of unprocessed Gag-p49/Gag[†]. Second, the 60S mutants, other than *rpl1BA* and *rpl39*, clearly accumulate higher amounts of Gag than the 40S mutants although most express less than wild type (>50 % of wild type level). The co-occurrence of these two effects suggests that Gag processing or other posttranslational



modifications [22] may explicitly depend on a sufficient supply of Gag protein. Reduced numbers of Gag proteins relative to Ty1 genomic RNA in the 40S mutants could result in increased production of defective VLPs. Combined with a reduction in the frequency of programmed translational frameshifting in these mutants, which reduces the ratio of Gag to Gag-Pol to far less than 50:1, many of these VLPs might then actually lack the protease activity of Ty1 Pol protein, blocking processing of the Gag and presumably Pol proteins as well. For the 60S mutants, the increased amounts of Gag and Gag-Pol per genomic RNA might ensure the presence of protease in more of the VLPs.

The steady state level of full-length Ty1 mRNA cannot explain observed differences in Gag accumulation

The Ty1 promoter is complex and extends over the first approximately 1000 bp of the element, including sites

upstream of the start site of transcription and downstream within the GAG gene [58]. The region includes binding sites for six transcription factors: Gcn4, Gcr1, Mot3, Ste12/Tec1, Mcm1, Tea1 and Rap1. Since Gcn4 and Rap1/Gcr1 regulate ribosomal protein genes [59, 60], it was reasonable to suspect that deficits in ribosomal protein accumulation might indirectly affect transcriptional regulation of chromosomal Ty1 elements. To test this idea, total RNA was isolated from ribosomal protein deletion strains grown at 20°C, a temperature conducive to Tv1 transposition [61] and was hybridized with radiolabeled probes specific to Ty1 (RT domain) and PYK1, which served as a normalization control (Fig. 4). The Spt3 protein, a component of SAGA complex [25], is required for chromosomal Ty1 element transcription [62]. Although these strains contained a plasmid-borne copy of Ty1his3-AI integrated near HIS4 [5], the level of Ty1his3-AI RNA was not easily detected due to the much higher



amount of total Ty1 RNA, which is similar to earlier results obtained with chromosomal Ty1his3-AI elements [33]. As expected, endogenous Ty1 transcript production is severely reduced in an *spt* 3Δ strain, providing the negative control in this experiment. The steady state amount of Tv1 transcript in the 60S subunit mutants was about 2fold greater than wild type. An exception is $rpl39\Delta$, which accumulates about 75 % of wild type. Mutants affecting 40S subunit proteins, by contrast, accumulated slightly reduced amounts of Ty1 mRNA corresponding to 75-100 % of wild type. In general, the Ty1 mRNA levels of these mutants correlated poorly with the amount of Ty1 Gag produced from each. As shown in Fig. 3, none of the 60S subunit protein mutants expressed increased Gag (especially true for the two mutants with severely reduced Gag accumulation, $rpl1B\Delta$ and $rpl39\Delta$) and the 40S mutants accumulated far less than predicted by mRNA accumulation. The lack of correlation indicates that the reduction in Gag accumulation is post-transcriptional, however, the mechanism of this effect remains to be determined. The simplest model is that the proportion of Ty1 mRNA in the translated pool may be reduced in the mutants, possibly because of reduced availability of 40S or 60S subunits.

Ty1i RNA increases relative to Ty1 mRNA in several ribosomal subunit mutants

A subgenomic RNA (Fig. 4, denoted by the asterisk) was detected in several mutants, including $rpl27A\Delta$, $rpl21A\Delta$, *rps0B* Δ , and *rpl39* Δ , that may correspond to the newly discovered Ty1i transcript involved in controlling Ty1 copy number [21]. Therefore, we repeated the Northern analyses (Fig. 5) for wild type, $spt3\Delta$, and selected ribosomal subunit mutants using total (Fig. 5a) and $poly(A)^+$ (Fig. 5b) RNA and a ³²P-labeled riboprobe derived from Ty1 GAG (nt 1266-1601). As reported previously [21], wild type cells contain a low level of the 4.9-kb Ty1i transcript, which increases in abundance in an *spt3* Δ mutant. Interestingly, the level of Ty1i RNA increased in the four ribosomal subunit mutants tested. Hybridization signals were more evident in $poly(A)^+$ RNA when compared with total RNA, perhaps because the relative amounts of polyadenylated Ty1 mRNA and Ty1i RNA differs [23]. Since recent results suggest that the relative levels of Ty1i and Tv1 mRNA are effective readouts for inhibition of Tv1 transposition by the Ty1i encoded product p22 [21], we compared the relative levels of Ty1i RNA and Ty1 mRNA. The *spt*3∆ mutation greatly increased the Ty1i/Ty1 RNA ratio since Spt3p is required for Ty1 mRNA but not Ty1i RNA transcription. The Ty1i/Ty1 transcript ratio also increased to a lesser extent in the ribosomal subunit mutants.

Ty1i mRNA is translated to produce an N-terminally truncated Gag-p22, which is likely the primary



translational product, and a C-terminally processed Gagp18 protein; the presence of these proteins leads to defective VLP assembly and function, and reduced Ty1 mobility [21]. We performed immunoblotting with an antibody raised against recombinant p18 on the same set of wild type and mutant strains and found evidence for p22/p18 in all of the mutants (Fig. 5c). As expected, the *spt3* Δ mutant accumulated large amounts of p22; because little Ty1-encoded proteins are expressed in this strain, no p18 was detected. In the four Ty1 ribosomeassociated mutants there was evidence of p22 and/or p18. The amount of p18 was correlated with the amount of full-length Gag protein, which indicated the extent of translation of full-length Ty1 proteins. The *rpl27A* Δ mutant had both the highest amount of both Gag-p49/ p45/Gag[†] and Gag-p22. The increasingly lower amounts of Gag-p49/45 in the *rpl21A* Δ , *rps0B* Δ and *rps39* Δ mutants correlated with increasingly lower amounts of Gag-p18 and an increased ratio of Gag-p22 to Gagp18. These results confirm that the mutants tested express substantial amounts of Ty1i mRNA and the inhibitory Gag-p22/p18 proteins and suggest that the reduced Ty1 mobility in these strains in part results from this inhibitory mechanism.

Discussion

The number and diversity of genes identified as host factors for Ty1 retrotransposition reflects the complexity of the Ty1 lifecycle [2]. Many host restriction and cofactor genes encode proteins involved in basic processes of cellular information transfer with those involved in protein synthesis being significantly overrepresented among the Ty1 cofactor genes, which are required for optimal level of transposition [7]. Similarly, mutations targeting 60S ribosomal proteins are also required for propagation of the yeast L-A double-stranded RNA virus [9]; L-A shares several features with retroviruses and retrotransposons (reviewed in [11]) so this shared mode of control may reflect similar mechanisms of propagation. Quantitative assays of Ty1 mobility (Table 1) validate the requirement for 13 ribosomal proteins genes and three ribosome biogenesis genes (RRP6, RRP8 and KAP123). As expected, most but not all mutants lacking 40S or 60S structural proteins or biogenesis factors are deficient in the corresponding subunit. It has long been recognized that biogenesis of the two subunits diverges early in biogenesis with a 90S pre-ribosome containing immature forms of both subunits dividing into a pre-40S and pre-60S complexes (reviewed in [63]). No comprehensive test of the effect of ribosomal protein depletion on ribosome biogenesis has been performed but most of the proteins have been tested and in all cases the lack of a ribosomal protein blocks maturation and accumulation of the corresponding subunit [49, 64].

The role of individual ribosomal proteins in ribosome biogenesis appears to be regional with proteins that bind in similar locations on the ribosome having roles in early, middle or late subunit biogenesis [49, 64]. Paradoxically, only a subset of ribosomal protein genes has been identified as Ty1 cofactors, totaling 33 of the 138 ribosomal protein genes. If this subset were a discrete group based on their function in ribosome function or biogenesis their protein products would be expected to cluster in a similar fashion in the ribosome. We have tested the Ty1 mobility phenotype of other ribosomal protein genes not previously characterized as Ty1 cofactor genes, 19 using the qualitative test and 12 of those using the quantitative test and found that each had reduced Ty1 mobility (Additional file 2: Table S1), expanding the number of ribosomal protein cofactor genes to 52 and suggesting strongly that most ribosomal proteins may in fact be encoded by cofactor genes that may have escaped detection because of differences in mutant strain backgrounds, transposition assays, or strength of the Ty1 mobility phenotype. These 52 genes encode 37 proteins, representing 47 % of the 80S proteins, are distributed throughout the structure of the 80S ribosome with no obvious evidence of clustering (Fig. 6a-d). This distribution strongly implies that their function in Ty1 mobility has little or nothing to do with their location on the ribosome, or any specific role in biogenesis or during translation. The Ty1 cofactor phenotype may be a generic effect of mutations that cause a significant reduction in subunit availability. A comprehensive analysis of the effect of ribosomal protein depletion on Ty1 mobility would confirm this conjecture but is outside the scope of this study.

Based on Gag protein expression, we can divide these genes into three groups: the 40S ribosomal protein genes (strongly reduced Gag accumulation and processing), the majority of the 60S genes (slightly reduced Gag accumulation but normal processing) and $rpl1B\Delta$ and rpl39(complete loss of detectable Gag; see Table 2 for a summary of all phenotypes). The reduction in accumulation of Gag is likely not transcriptional because of the lack of correlation between the accumulation of Ty1 mRNA and Gag protein. The effect could result from decreased protein stability or aberrant ER translocation [22] as we suspect in one case noted below, but given the primary defect is in availability of ribosome subunits, the most likely model is that translational insufficiency reduces Gag accumulation. The distinct effect on accumulation in the 40S and most 60S mutants could reflect a difference in the way that mRNAs compete for the two subunits. Binding of 40S subunits to individual mRNAs can differ widely among cellular transcripts based on sequence and structure with some mRNAs competing much more efficiently than others (reviewed in [65]). Recruitment of the 60S subunit should be less context dependent since the 60S mainly recognizes the 40S subunit once the initiation factors making up the 43S preinitiation complex have dissociated; there is no reason to suppose that some mRNAs compete more effectively at that stage of initiation. The greater reduction caused by reduced availability of 40S subunits, then, suggests that the Ty1 mRNA competes much less effectively for 43S preinitiation complex than does the average yeast mRNA. One reason for this could be that the Ty1 mRNA has an unusual structure and recent work has demonstrated that the 5' end of the Ty1 mRNA forms a phylogenetically conserved RNA pseudoknot [66]. Mutational destabilization of the pseudoknot causes a modest increase in Gag accumulation, suggesting



that the pseudoknot may inhibit Ty1 mRNA translation [66]. The same destabilizing mutations have the opposite effect—strongly decreasing Ty1 transposition—implying that the 5' pseudoknot may play a structural role during the retrotransposition process [66]. These observations, however, do not contradict our finding that reducing 40S availability strongly reduces Gag accumulation. The highly structured nature of the 5' end of the mRNA should reduce the efficiency of 43S complex binding, thus reducing the amount of Gag available to form virus-like particles. We do not imagine any direct effect of 40S availability on the role played by the 5' pseudo-knot during retrotransposition.

The phenotype of the $rpl1B\Delta$ and $rpl39\Delta$ mutants is quite distinctive. The lack of accumulation of Gag in these mutants despite the presence of Ty1 mRNA strongly suggests a post-transcriptional block. The striking inability to detect Gag protein in the $rpl39\Delta$ mutant is consistent with it having the lowest Ty1 mobility frequency of any of the mutants, 210-fold less than wild type. The $rpl1B\Delta$ mutant with a similar Gag accumulation phenotype, however, supports Ty1 mobility slightly more than the average of the mutants tested. Because the $rpl1B\Delta$ mutation causes a relatively small decrease in mobility we suspected that the low level of Gag detected in the $rpl1B\Delta$ mutant results from its being sequestered and not easily extracted. A harsher method of extraction detected no more Gag protein but overexpressing the Ty1 mRNA in this mutant background using a Gal-driven element resulted in significantly more Gag detected but also restored near normal Ty1 mobility. We cannot exclude that Gag is sequestered in the $rpl1B\Delta$ mutant and we are unable to explain why, despite their similar Gag phenotype, the $rpl1B\Delta$ and rpl39mobility phenotypes are so different. The location of the

Gene	Function ^a	Ty1 moblility relative to WT	Frameshifting relative to WT	Polysome effects	Gag relative to WT	Ty1 mRNA relative to WT
RPL1B	LSU protein	0.12	0.23	↓60S/halfmers	0.001	2.0
RPL4A	Ш	0.48	0.70	↓60S/halfmers ^b	0.60	1.6
RPL15B	Ш	0.07	1.0	~WT	0.80	n.d.
RPL21A	Ш	0.12	0.67	n.d.	0.46	1.9
RPL27A	Ш	0.16	0.39	↓60S/halfmers	0.70	2.0
RPL39	Ш	0.005	0.44	↓60S ^c	0.002	0.75
RPL41B	Ш	0.20	1.1	~WT	0.86	1.4
RPP2B	Ш	0.08	0.50	WT^d	0.65	2.0
RPSOB	SSU protein	0.14	0.40	↓40S	0.30	0.71
RPS9B	Ш	0.12	0.57	n.d.	0.37	1.2
RPS10A	Ш	0.12	0.43	↓40S	0.20	1.0
RPS19B	Ш	0.04	0.50	↓40S	0.35	0.12
RPS25A	Ш	0.20	1.0	~WT ^e	0.14	n.d.
RRP6	LSU processing	0.07	0.69	↓60S/halfmers	0.45	n.d.
RRP8	SSU processing	0.10	0.50	↓40S	0.76	0.88
KAP123	60S nuclear export	<0.01	0.99	~WT	0.44	1.8

 Table 2 Summary of experimental results

^aLSU = large (60S) ribosomal subunit; SSU = small (40S) ribosomal subunit

^bOhtake et al. [72] ^cSachs & Davis [73] ^dCardenas et al. [53] ^eLéger-Silvestre et al. [74]

Rpl39 protein in the ribosomal subunit provides a clue to the origin of the difference. RPL39 being a single copy gene, the deletion mutant accumulates 60S subunits lacking the protein. Rpl39 is located at the opening of the peptide exit tunnel (see Fig. 6e) and interacts with the hydrophobic signal anchor sequence of a nascent protein during co-translational insertion into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [67]; this interaction appears to be important for targeting proteins to the ER [68]. Doh et al. [22] demonstrated that VLP assembly sites are nucleated by targeting of ribosomes translating Ty1 mRNAs to the ER by contranslational insertion of Ty1 proteins into the ER. The formation of cytoplasmic foci [22], called T-bodies [23] or retrosomes [24] may be necessary for efficient formation of VLPs and therefore for maximal Ty1 mobility. Ty1 Gag is synthesized but is less stable when targeting to the ER is blocked. This suggests that a block to ER targeting caused by the absence of Rpl39 inhibits VLP assembly and Gag accumulation, resulting in a severe transposition defect.

Conclusions

The overall conclusion of this work is that failure in ribosome biogenesis results in reduced Ty1 mobility with distinct phenotypes for mutants deficient in 40S and 60S subunit proteins. The effect shows no clear connection to a particular step in biogenesis or the position of the protein within either subunit. The effect is largely translational involving both decreased programmed translational frameshifting, reduced efficiency of translation and possibly increased instability of newly synthesized Ty1 Gag protein. A connection has been made to co-translational insertion of Ty1 Gag protein into the endoplasmic reticulum both by the severe phenotype of a mutant lacking the Rpl39 protein, which plays a role in targeting cotranslational ER insertion and our demonstration of the accumulation of the Ty1i protein and Gag-p22/p18 in several of the translation-associated Ty1 cofactor mutant strains. Experiments are continuing to determine whether the connection between ribosome subunit sufficiency and Ty1 mobility is through the disruption of this newly discovered step in the Ty1 transposition process. Future studies will address how the individual pathways identified here modulate Ty1 gene expression and function, and whether similar processes also affect other retroelements.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Ty1 Gag level and Ty1*his3-AI* mobility in an *rpl1B* Δ mutant. Total cell protein was prepared by trichloroacetic acid extraction form wild type and *rpl1B* Δ mutant cells that were induced for expression of pGTy1*his3-AI* or not. Ty1 Gag-p45 and slower migrating forms of Gag (†) were detected by immunoblotting with VLP antiserum. Histidyl tRNA synthetase (Hts1) served as a loading control. Relative Ty1*his3-AI* mobility form galactose-induced cells was determined by dividing the frequency of Ty1*his3-AI* mobility obtained in the *rpl1B* Δ mutant [6.4 × 10⁻⁴ (0.6)] by the wild type [9 × 10⁻⁴ (0.9)] as described previously [21]. (TIFF 813 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S1. Identification of novel Ty1 mobility genes. Given the over representation of ribosomal protein gene deletions

among the identified Ty1 mobility genes, we extended screen to include ribosomal protein genes that had not previously been identified in any large scale screen. Each of 19 additional genes were screened first qualitatively with all showing defects in transposition as shown. The mobility phenotypes of most of these mutants were then quantitated as shown. (DOC 3310 kb)

Abbreviations

ER: endoplasmic reticulum; Gag: group specific antigen; L-A: a Large doublestranded RNA virus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; LSU: large ribosomal subunit; LTR: long terminal repeat; POL: POLymerase; the retroviral polyprotein processed to produce the protease, reverse transcriptase, RNase H and integrase enzymes; RT: reverse transcriptase; SSU: small ribosomal subunit; Ty: transposon of yeast; VLP: virus-like particle.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SS carried out the experiments on ribosome biogenesis, Ty1 RNA blotting and, with KJ, the translational frameshifting. AK performed the quantitative mobility experiments. HA performed the Western analyses and Ty1i RNA blotting. DJG and PJF designed and supervised the study, with input from AD. PJF wrote the manuscript with input on revision from SS, AH and DJG. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Stephen Hajduk for sharing equipment, and Jessica M. Tucker, Agniva Saha and Yuri Nishida for helpful discussions. Thanks to Thomas L. Mason for the gift of anti-Hts1 antibody. This work was supported by NIH grants GM095622 (DJ.G) and GM029480 (P.J.F.).

Author details

¹Department of Biological Sciences and Program in Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA. ²Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA. ³Present address: Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA. ⁴Present address: Department of Nanosciences and Technology, Karunya University, Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore 641 114, Tamil Nadu, India.

Received: 21 September 2015 Accepted: 30 November 2015 Published online: 08 December 2015

References

- 1. Voytas DF, Boeke JD. Yeast retrotransposon revealed. Nature. 1992;358:717.
- 2. Curcio MJ, Lutz S, Lesage P. The Ty1 LTR-retrotransposon of budding yeast,
- Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Spectr. 2015;3:1–35.
 Scholes DT, Banerjee M, Bowen B, Curcio MJ. Multiple regulators of Ty1 transposition in Saccharomyces cerevisiae have conserved roles in genome maintenance. Genetics. 2001;159:1449–65.
- Griffith JL, Coleman LE, Raymond AS, Goodson SG, Pittard WS, Tsui C, et al. Functional genomics reveals relationships between the retrovirus-like Ty1 element and its host Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2003;164:867–79.
- Nyswaner KM, Checkley MA, Yi M, Stephens RM, Garfinkel DJ. Chromatinassociated genes protect the yeast genome from Ty1 insertional mutagenesis. Genetics. 2008;178:197–214.
- Dakshinamurthy A, Nyswaner KM, Farabaugh PJ, Garfinkel DJ. BUD22 affects Ty1 retrotransposition and ribosome biogenesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2010;185:1193–205.
- Risler JK, Kenny AE, Palumbo RJ, Gamache ER, Curcio MJ. Host co-factors of the retrovirus-like transposon Ty1. Mob DNA. 2012;3:12.
- Wickner RB. Double-stranded RNA viruses of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Rev. 1996;60:250–65.
- Ohtake Y, Wickner RB. Yeast virus propagation depends critically on free 60S ribosomal subunit concentration. Mol Cell Biol. 1995;15:2772–81.
- Searfoss A, Dever TE, Wickner R. Linking the 3' poly(A) tail to the subunit joining step of translation initiation: relations of Pab1p, eukaryotic

- 2001;21:4900–8. 11. Wickner RB, Fujimura T, Esteban R. Viruses and prions of Saccharomyces
- cerevisiae. Adv Virus Res. 2013;86:1–36. 12. Farabaugh P. Post-transcriptional regulation of transposition by Ty
- retrotransposons of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem. 1995;270:10361–4. 13. Belcourt MF, Farabaugh PJ. Ribosomal frameshifting in the yeast
- retrotransposon Ty: tRNAs induce slippage on a 7 nucleotide minimal site. Cell. 1990;62:339–52.
- Kawakami K, Pande S, Faiola B, Moore D, Boeke J, Farabaugh P, et al. A rare tRNA-Arg(CCU) that regulates Ty1 element ribosomal frameshifting is essential for Ty1 retrotransposition in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 1993;135:309–20.
- Felsenstein K, Goff S. Expression of the *gag–pol* fusion protein of Moloney murine leukemia virus without *gag* protein does not induce virion formation or proteolytic processing. J Virol. 1988;62:2179–82.
- Hung M, Patel P, Davis S, Green SR. Importance of ribosomal frameshifting for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 particle assembly and replication. J Virol. 1998;72:4819–24.
- Xu H, Boeke JD. Host genes that influence transposition in yeast: the abundance of a rare tRNA regulates Ty1 transposition frequency. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87:8360–4.
- Dinman JD, Wickner RB. Ribosomal frameshifting efficiency and gag/gag–pol ratio are critical for yeast M₁ double–stranded RNA virus propagation. J Virol. 1992;66:3669–76.
- Park J, Morrow CD. Overexpression of the *gag–pol* precursor from human immunodeficiency virus type 1 proviral genomes results in efficient poteolytic processing in the absence of virion production. J Virol. 1992;65:5111–7.
- Karacostas V, Wolffe EJ, Nagashima K, Gonda MA, Moss B. Overexpression of the HIV-1 gag-pol polyprotein results in intracellular activation of HIV-1 protease and inhibition of assembly and budding of virus-like particles. Virology. 1993;193:661–71.
- Saha A, Mitchell JA, Nishida Y, Hildreth JE, Ariberre JA, Gilbert WV, et al. A trans-dominant form of Gag restricts Ty1 retrotransposition and mediates copy number control. J Virol. 2015;89:3922–38.
- Doh JH, Lutz S, Curcio MJ. Co-translational localization of an LTRretrotransposon RNA to the endoplasmic reticulum nucleates virus-like particle assembly sites. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004219.
- 23. Malagon F, Jensen TH. The T body, a new cytoplasmic RNA granule in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28:6022–32.
- 24. Sandmeyer SB, Clemens KA. Function of a retrotransposon nucleocapsid protein. RNA Biol. 2010;7:642–54.
- Grant PA, Duggan L, Cote J, Roberts SM, Brownell JE, Candau R, et al. Yeast Gcn5 functions in two multisubunit complexes to acetylate nucleosomal histones: characterization of an Ada complex and the SAGA (Spt/Ada) complex. Genes Dev. 1997;11:1640–50.
- 26. Berretta J, Pinskaya M, Morillon A. A cryptic unstable transcript mediates transcriptional trans-silencing of the Ty1 retrotransposon in S. cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 2008;22:615–26.
- Checkley MA, Nagashima K, Lockett SJ, Nyswaner KM, Garfinkel DJ. P-body components are required for Ty1 retrotransposition during assembly of retrotransposition-competent virus-like particles. Mol Cell Biol. 2010;30:382–98.
- Dutko JA, Kenny AE, Gamache ER, Curcio MJ. 5' to 3' mRNA decay factors colocalize with Ty1 gag and human APOBEC3G and promote Ty1 retrotransposition. J Virol. 2010;84:5052–66.
- 29. Sherman F, Fink GR, Hicks JB. Methods in yeast genetics. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratoy; 1986.
- Guthrie C, Fink GR. Guide to yeast genetics and molecular biology. In: Abelson JN, Simon MI, editors. Methods in Enzymology. San Diego, California: Academic; 1991.
- 31. Giaever G, Chu AM, Ni L, Connelly C, Riles L, Veronneau S, et al. Functional profiling of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. Nature. 2002;418:387–91.
- Brachmann CB, Davies A, Cost GJ, Caputo E, Li J, Hieter P, et al. Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast. 1998;14:115–32.
- Curcio MJ, Garfinkel DJ. Single-step selection for Ty1 element retrotransposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:936–40.
- Deshmukh M, Tsay YF, Paulovich AG, Woolford Jr JL. Yeast ribosomal protein L1 is required for the stability of newly synthesized 5S rRNA and the assembly of 60S ribosomal subunits. Mol Cell Biol. 1993;13:2835–45.

- Lee BS, Lichtenstein CP, Faiola B, Rinckel LA, Wysock W, Curcio MJ, et al. Posttranslational inhibition of Ty1 retrotransposition by nucleotide excision repair/transcription factor TFIIH subunits Ssl2p and Rad3p. Genetics. 1998;148:1743–61.
- Schmitt ME, Brown TA, Trumpower BL. A rapid and simple method for preparation of RNA from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 1990;18:3091–2.
- Braiterman LT, Monokian GM, Eichinger DJ, Merbs SL, Gabriel A, Boeke JD. In-frame linker insertion mutagenesis of yeast transposon Ty1: phenotypic analysis. Gene. 1994;139:19–26.
- Lawler Jr JF, Merkulov GV, Boeke JD. A nucleocapsid functionality contained within the amino terminus of the Ty1 protease that is distinct and separable from proteolytic activity. J Virol. 2002;76:346–54.
- Sharon G, Burkett TJ, Garfinkel DJ. Efficient homologous recombination of Ty1 element cDNA when integration is blocked. Mol Cell Biol. 1994;14:6540–51.
- Gerbasi VR, Weaver CM, Hill S, Friedman DB, Link AJ. Yeast Asc1p and mammalian RACK1 are functionally orthologous core 40S ribosomal proteins that repress gene expression. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24:8276–87.
- Simos G, Segref A, Fasiolo F, Hellmuth K, Shevchenko A, Mann M, et al. The yeast protein Arc1p binds to tRNA and functions as a cofactor for the methionyl- and glutamyl-tRNA synthetases. EMBO J. 1996;15:5437–48.
- Pintard L, Lecointe F, Bujnicki JM, Bonnerot C, Grosjean H, Lapeyre B. Trm7p catalyses the formation of two 2'-O-methylriboses in yeast tRNA anticodon loop. EMBO J. 2002;21:1811–20.
- Briggs MW, Burkard KT, Butler JS. Rrp6p, the yeast homologue of the human PM-Scl 100-kDa autoantigen, is essential for efficient 5.8 S rRNA 3' end formation. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:13255–63.
- Bousquet-Antonelli C, Vanrobays E, Gelugne JP, Caizergues-Ferrer M, Henry Y. Rrp8p is a yeast nucleolar protein functionally linked to Gar1p and involved in pre-rRNA cleavage at site A2. RNA. 2000;6:826–43.
- Peifer C, Sharma S, Watzinger P, Lamberth S, Kotter P, Entian KD. Yeast Rrp8p, a novel methyltransferase responsible for m1A 645 base modification of 25S rRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:1151–63.
- Sydorskyy Y, Dilworth DJ, Yi EC, Goodlett DR, Wozniak RW, Aitchison JD. Intersection of the Kap123p-mediated nuclear import and ribosome export pathways. Mol Cell Biol. 2003;23:2042–54.
- Askree SH, Yehuda T, Smolikov S, Gurevich R, Hawk J, Coker C, et al. A genome-wide screen for Saccharomyces cerevisiae deletion mutants that affect telomere length. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:8658–63.
- Hamasaki-Katagiri N, Tabor CW, Tabor H. Spermidine biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisae: polyamine requirement of a null mutant of the SPE3 gene (spermidine synthase). Gene. 1997;187:35–43.
- de la Cruz J, Karbstein K, Woolford Jr JL. Functions of ribosomal proteins in assembly of eukaryotic ribosomes in vivo. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015;84:93–129.
- Steffen KK, McCormick MA, Pham KM, MacKay VL, Delaney JR, Murakami CJ, et al. Ribosome deficiency protects against ER stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2012;191:107–18.
- Sagliocco FA, Moore PA, Brown AJ. Polysome analysis. Methods Mol Biol. 1996;53:297–311.
- Helser TL, Baan RA, Dahlberg AE. Characterization of a 40S ribosomal subunit complex in polyribosomes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae treated with cycloheximide. Mol Cell Biol. 1981;1:51–7.
- Cardenas D, Revuelta-Cervantes J, Jimenez-Diaz A, Camargo H, Remacha M, Ballesta JP. P1 and P2 protein heterodimer binding to the P0 protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is relatively non-specific and a source of ribosomal heterogeneity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:4520–9.
- 54. Warner JR, McIntosh KB. How common are extraribosomal functions of ribosomal proteins? Mol Cell. 2009;34:3–11.
- Adams SE, Mellor J, Gull K, Sim RB, Tuite MF, Kingsman SM, et al. The functions and relationships of TyVLP proteins in yeast reflect those of mammalian retroviral proteins. Cell. 1987;49:111–9.
- Merkulov GV, Swiderek KM, Brachmann CB, Boeke JD. A critical proteolytic cleavage site near the C terminus of the yeast retrotransposon Ty1 Gag protein. J Virol. 1996;70:5548–56.
- Ohashi A, Gibson J, Gregor I, Schatz G. Import of proteins into mitochondria. The precursor of cytochrome c1 is processed in two steps, one of them heme-dependent. J Biol Chem. 1982;257:13042–7.

- Servant G, Pennetier C, Lesage P. Remodeling yeast gene transcription by activating the Ty1 long terminal repeat retrotransposon under severe adenine deficiency. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28:5543–54.
- Joo YJ, Kim JH, Kang UB, Yu MH, Kim J. Gcn4p-mediated transcriptional repression of ribosomal protein genes under amino-acid starvation. EMBO J. 2011;30:859–72.
- Deminoff SJ, Santangelo GM. Rap1p requires Gcr1p and Gcr2p homodimers to activate ribosomal protein and glycolytic genes, respectively. Genetics. 2001;158:133–43.
- 61. Paquin CE, Williamson VM. Temperature effects on the rate of ty transposition. Science. 1984;226:53–5.
- Winston F, Durbin KJ, Fink GR. The SPT3 gene is required for normal transcription of Ty elements in S. cerevisiae. Cell. 1984;39:675–82.
- Tschochner H, Hurt E. Pre-ribosomes on the road from the nucleolus to the cytoplasm. Trends Cell Biol. 2003;13:255–63.
- Woolford Jr JL, Baserga SJ. Ribosome biogenesis in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2013;195:643–81.
- Gale Jr M, Tan SL, Katze MG. Translational control of viral gene expression in eukaryotes. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2000;64:239–80.
- Huang Q, Purzycka KJ, Lusvarghi S, Li D, Legrice SF, Boeke JD. Retrotransposon Ty1 RNA contains a 5^r-terminal long-range pseudoknot required for efficient reverse transcription. RNA. 2013;19:320–32.
- 67. Zhang Y, Wolfle T, Rospert S. Interaction of nascent chains with the ribosomal tunnel proteins Rpl4, Rpl17, and Rpl39 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem. 2013;288:33697–707.
- Lin PJ, Jongsma CG, Liao S, Johnson AE. Transmembrane segments of nascent polytopic membrane proteins control cytosol/ER targeting during membrane integration. J Cell Biol. 2011;195:41–54.
- Svidritskiy E, Brilot AF, Koh CS, Grigorieff N, Korostelev AA. Structures of yeast 80S ribosome-tRNA complexes in the rotated and nonrotated conformations. Structure. 2014;22:1210–8.
- Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, et al. The protein data bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28:235–42.
- 71. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph. 1996;14:33–8.
- Ohtake Y, Wickner RB. KRB1, a suppressor of mak7-1 (a mutant RPL4A), is RPL4B, a second ribosomal protein L4 gene, on a fragment of Saccharomyces chromosome XII. Genetics. 1995;140:129–37.
- Sachs AB, Davis RW. The poly(A)–binding protein is required for poly(A) shortening and 60S ribosomal subunit dependent translation intiation. Cell. 1989;58:857–67.
- Leger-Silvestre I, Caffrey JM, Dawaliby R, Alvarez-Arias DA, Gas N, Bertolone SJ, et al. Specific role for yeast homologs of the Diamond Blackfan Anemia-associated Rps19 Protein in Ribosome Synthesis. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:38177–85.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

