

Received: 23 June 2015 Accepted: 07 July 2016 Published: 28 July 2016

OPEN Polymorphisms in *TCF7L2* gene are associated with gestational diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han population

Dan Ye^{1,*}, Yang Fei^{2,*}, Qi Ling³, Weiwei Xu¹, Zhe Zhang¹, Jing Shu⁴, Chengjiang Li¹ & Fenggin Dong¹

This study aimed to investigate the possible association between diabetes susceptibility gene transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) in a Chinese Han population. A total of 556 GDM patients and 500 Non-GDM were included. Eighteen single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were evaluated. Fifteen tag SNPs were selected from HapMap CHB database with a minor allele frequency of >0.2 and r^2 of >0.8. Three additional SNPs were also chosen because these SNPs are associated with type 2 diabetes in East Asians. TCF7L2 rs290487, rs6585194, and rs7094463 polymorphisms were found to be significantly associated with GDM. In multivariate analysis, rs290487 genetic variation (OR = 2.686 per each C allele, P = 0.002), pre-BMI > 24 kg/m² (OR = 1.592, P = 0.018), age > 25 years (OR = 1.780, P = 0.012) and LDL-C > 3.6 mmol/L (OR = 2.034, P = 0.009) were identified as independent risk factors of GDM, rs7094463 genetic variation (OR = 0.429 per each G allele, P = 0.005) was identified as independent protect factor of GDM. This finding suggests that TCF7L2 rs290487, and rs7094463 were a potential clinical value for the prediction of GDM.

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is described as glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy¹. Based on previous evaluation criteria, GDM affects approximately 5% to 10% of Asian women, with an increasing trend observed in developing countries, including China2. GDM can adversely affect maternal and neonatal outcomes. Therefore, health concerns related to GDM have been extensively considered. However, GDM pathogenesis remains unclear. Considering that women with a family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) may be predisposed to an increased risk of GDM³ and women with a history of GDM are at an increased risk of developing T2DM later in their lives4, we assumed that GDM may share the same risk factors and genetic susceptibilities with T2DM.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified more than 90 loci associated with T2DM risk⁵. In a systematic review, GDM risk is significantly associated with nine polymorphisms in seven genes⁶. Several studies have demonstrated the importance of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) in regulating glucose metabolism⁶. The T allele of rs7903146 is considered as a risk allele associated with increased TCF7L2 protein expression and decreased insulin content and secretion^{7,8}. TCF7L2, also known as TCF4 or the effector of the Wnt signaling pathway, is considered as a master regulator of glucose homeostasis by regulating proinsulin production and processing^{7,9}. Decreased TCF7L2 protein levels in T2DM are also correlated with downregulated Gastric Inhibitory Polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptors and impaired beta-cell function 10. In addition, TCF7L2 affects hepatic glucose metabolism possibly by suppressing gluconeogenesis^{11–14}.

TCF7L2 are associated with GDM risk in women of different races and ethnicities, however, the relationship between the genetic variants of TCF7L2 and GDM has not been completely evaluated. This study aimed to

¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, the First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Zhejiang, China. ²Department of Metabolism and Endocrinology, People's Hospital of Fuyang City, Zhejiang Province, China. ³Key Lab of Combined Multi-Organ Transplantation, Ministry of Public Health, Division of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Department of Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Zhejiang, China. ⁴Department of Reproductive Endocrinology, People's Hospital, Zhejiang Province, China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.L. (email: lingqi@zju.edu.cn) or F.D. (email: dfq918@163.com)

	non-GDM (n=500)	GDM (n = 556)	p value
Age (years)	28.9 ± 5.8	29.2 ± 4.5	0.334
Pre-BMI (kg/m²)	21.4±2.1	22.4 ± 2.6	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m²)	26.7 ± 2.7	27.8 ± 2.3	< 0.001
Weeks of gestation	26.3 ± 1.0	26.5 ± 1.8	0.620
SBP (mmHg)	110.0 ± 5.5	115.4 ± 6.7	< 0.001
DBP (mmHg)	68.5 ± 3.4	70.8 ± 3.6	< 0.001
FPG (mmol/l)	4.5 ± 1.6	4.7 ± 2.0	< 0.001
1-h 75 g glucose (mmol/l)	7.5 ± 1.8	12.8 ± 3.3	< 0.001
2-h 75 g glucose (mmol/L)	6.4±1.9	8.9 ± 2.1	< 0.001
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.1 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 1.3	< 0.001
TC (mmol/l)	6.1 ± 1.0	6.2 ± 1.0	< 0.001
HDL-C (mmol/l)*	2.2 ± 0.6 (1.8, 4.5)	2.1 ± 0.6 (1.5, 3.8)	< 0.001
LDL-C (mmol/l)*	3.1 ± 0.7 (2.4, 5.6)	3.3 ± 0.8 (2.9, 6.8)	< 0.001
TG (mmol/l)*	$2.1 \pm 0.8 (1.1, 4.8)$ $2.5 \pm 0.90 (1.6, 3.6)$		< 0.001
FIns (mu/l)	6.0 ± 1.7	7.4 ± 1.7	< 0.001
Ins 3-h AUC (mu·l ⁻¹ ·h)	146.7 ± 21.4	191.2 ± 26.1	< 0.001
HOMA-IR	1.3 ± 0.2 1.5 ± 0.2		< 0.001
HOMA-B	119.1 ± 14.1	113.0 ± 12.3	< 0.001

Table 1. Anthropometric and laboratory data of the studied groups. Non-GDM, non gestational diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; Pre-BMI, body mass index of mothers before pregnancy; BMI, body mass index of measurement during the test; SBP, systemic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; 1-h 75 g glucose, one-hour post- challenge plasma glucose; 2-h 75 g glucose, two-hour post-challenge plasma glucose; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, serum triacylglycerol; Fins, fasting plasma insulin; Ins 3-h AUC, total of plasma insulin area under the curve in three hours; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-B, homeostasis model assessment of beta-cell function. *M-W test.

evaluate the association between *TCF7L2* and GDM in a Chinese Han population, especially because there had some unique characteristics in Chinese Han diabetic population¹⁵: Rapid growth of incidence of diabetes mellitus, more higher in post plasma glucose compared to increased fast plasma glucose, rapidly progressive failure of pancreatic islet beta cell in Han population compared to Western populations, growing number of elderly pregnant women, lower body mass index with mild insulin resistance. Our research aims to provide further insights into the mechanisms of genetic variants associated with the risk of GDM.

Results

Clinical and biochemical data. The clinical and biochemical parameters of the control and GDM groups are presented in Table 1. The mean age and weeks of gestation of the GDM group were not significantly higher than the Non-GDM group (P > 0.05). Pre-BMI, BMI, SBP, DBP, FPG, 1h-PPG, 2h-PPG, Fins, HbA_{1c}, TG, TCH, and LDL-C, HOMA-IR and 3h-AUC of insulin were significantly higher in the GDM group than in the Non-GDM group (P < 0.001); by contrast, HDL-C, HOMA-B was significantly lower in the GDM group than in the Non-GDM group (P < 0.001).

Genotype distribution and association with GDM. All of the tag SNP MAFs were >20%, MAFs of rs7903146, rs11196205, and rs12255372 was 0.02, 0.03, and 0.01, respectively. All of the 18 SNP frequencies were consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05, Table 2). Relative strong linkage disequilibrium was found between TCF7L2 rs12255372 and rs11196205 (D' = 0.675, $r^2 = 0.557$), but not others (D' < 0.6, $r^2 < 0.3$) since these SNPs are in high LD with each other that they are not independent of one another.

Among these 18 SNPs, TCF7L2 rs290487, rs6585194, and rs7094463 were differentially distributed between the GDM and Non-GDM groups (Table 3). In univariate logistic regression analysis, three SNPs were significantly associated with GDM, homozygotes harboring the risk alleles of rs290487 CC genotype yielded 1.661-fold (95% CI = 1.384–1.994, P=5.87E-6) increased risk of GDM, respectively. rs6585194 GG genotype and rs7094463 GG genotype are protecting alleles with 0.683-fold (95% CI = 0.565–0.825, P=5.28E-4) and 0.635-fold (95% CI = 0.472–0.673, P=3.54E-9) decreased risk of GDM, respectively. Therefore, the rs290487 major C-allele, rs6585194 minor C-allele, and rs7094463 minor A-allele were more frequent in the GDM group than in the Non-GDM group. The relation among other SNPs and GDM was not observed (Table 3).

Characteristics of different SNPs associated with GDM. The C allele of rs290487 showed an association with increased FPG, 2h-PPG, Fins, HbA_{1c} (P < 0.05). In rs6585194 and rs7094463, GG genotype carriers showed a lower level of 2h-PPG, Fins and HbA_{1c} than carriers of other genotypes (P < 0.001), while the significant difference in FPG was not observed (Table 4).

	Genotype(%)		НарМар		This study			
SNP			Major/minor Allele	MAF	Minor Allele	MAF	HWEP	
rs10749127	CC (0.374)	CT (0.475)	TT (0.151)	C/T	0.389	Т	0.30	0.62
rs10787475	TT (0.588)	TC (0.357)	CC (0.054)	T/C	0.233	С	0.27	0.61
rs11196224	CC (0.347)	CT (0.484)	TT (0.168)	C/T	0.411	T	0.31	0.70
rs12775879	TT (0.623)	TG (0.333)	GG (0.045)	T/G	0.211	G	0.25	0.68
rs17130188	TT (0.460)	TC (0.437)	CC (0.104)	T/C	0.322	С	0.43	0.20
rs290481	AA (0.430)	AG (0.451)	GG (0.118)	A/G	0.344	G	0.35	0.94
rs290487	TT (0.412)	TC (0.456)	CC (0.124)	T/C	0.352	С	0.28	0.85
rs290489	GG (0.412)	GA (0.456)	AA (0.124)	G/A	0.352	A	0.34	0.94
rs3750804	CC (0.387)	CT (0.470)	TT (0.143)	C/T	0.378	T	0.23	0.79
rs4918792	GG (0.347)	GA (0.484)	AA (0.169)	G/A	0.411	A	0.48	0.90
rs6585194	CC (0.334)	CG (0.488)	GG (0.178)	C/G	0.422	G	0.33	0.74
rs7085532	AA (0.334)	AG (0.488)	GG (0.178)	A/G	0.422	A	0.40	0.58
rs7094463	AA (0.262)	AG (0.500)	GG (0.238)	A/G	0.488	G	0.44	0.83
rs7919409	TT (0.554)	TC (0.380)	CC (0.066)	T/C	0.256	С	0.35	0.10
rs966227	CC (0.250)	CT (0.500)	TT (0.250)	C/T	0.500	T	0.34	0.80
rs7903146	CC (0.956)	CT (0.043)	TT (0.001)	C/T	0.022	T	0.02	0.93
rs11196205	GG (0.935)	GC (0.064)	CC (0.001)	G/C	0.033	С	0.03	0.95
rs12255372	GG (0.978)	GT (0.022)	TT (0.000)	G/T	0.011	T	0.01	0.89

Table 2. Allele frequency and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of SNPs in *TCF7L2.* MAF, Minor allele frequency; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

logistic regression, n (%)								
	Genotype	GDM	non- GDM	OR	95% CI	p	p-adjusted	
rs290487	TT	223 (40)	240 (48)	1				
(T/C)	TC	223 (40)	235 (47)	1.021	(0.789, 1.323)	0.873		
	CC	110 (20)	25 (5)	4.735	(2.957, 7.584)	8.35E-10		
	T allele	669 (60)	715 (72)	1				
	C allele	443 (40)	285 (28)	1.661	(1.384, 1.994)	5.87E-6	5.28E-5	
rs6585194	CC	334 (60)	222 (44)	1				
(C/G)	CG	165 (30)	227 (47)	0.483	(0.372, 0.628)	2.75E-7		
	GG	57 (10)	51 (9)	0.743	(0.491, 1.124)	0.159		
	C allele	833 (75)	671 (67)	1				
	G allele	279 (25)	329 (33)	0.683	(0.565, 0.825)	5.28E-4	3.15E-3	
rs7094463	AA	267 (48)	152(30)	1				
(A/G)	AG	232 (42)	251 (50)	0.526	(0.403, 0.688)	1.74E-6		
	GG	57 (10)	97 (20)	0.335	(0.228, 0.491)	4.12E-8		
	A allele	766 (69)	555 (56)	1				
	G allele	346 (31)	445 (44)	0.635	(0.472, 0.673)	3.54E-9	6.32E-8	

Table 3. Genotype, allele frequencies and logistic regression analysis of polymorphisms with significant association with GDM. GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; Non-GDM, non gestational diabetes mellitus; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence intervals. p-adjusted value was calculated using B-H method³⁶.

The rs290487 CC (1.7 vs. 1.2, P < 0.001) and TC (1.5 vs. 1.2, P < 0.001) genotypes showed significantly higher HOMA-IR indexes than the TT genotype. The CC genotype also presented a higher HOMA-IR than the TC genotype (1.7 vs.1.5, P < 0.05). By contrast, HOMA-B level was lower in CC (110.4 vs. 119.2, P < 0.01) and TC (117.0 vs. 119.2) than in the TT genotype. Ins 3h-AUC level was also lower in showed in CC and TC than in the TT genotype.

The rs6585194 CG (1.3 vs. 1.5, P < 0.05) and GG (1.2 vs. 1.5, P < 0.001) genotypes showed significantly lower HOMA-IR indexes than CC genotype; conversely, GG (178.6 vs. 143.4, P < 0.001) and GC (146.5 vs. 143.4, P < 0.001) genotypes yielded higher Ins 3h-AUC than CC genotype, HOMA-B was also higher in showed in CC and CG than in the GG genotype (P = 0.028).

The rs7094463 AG (1.3 vs. 1.5, P < 0.05) and GG (1.2 vs. 1.5, P < 0.001) genotypes showed significantly lower HOMA-IR indexes than AA genotype; by contrast, GG (181.7 vs. 141.1, P < 0.001) and AG (154.3 vs. 141.1,

	TT	TC	СС	p value TT vs. CC	
N	223	223	110		
rs290487					
FPG (mmol/l)	4.5 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 1.6	4.8 ± 1.7	0.022	
2hPPG (mmol/l)	7.1 ± 2.0	8.0 ± 1.9	8.6 ± 1.9	7.13E-15	
FIns (mu/l)	6.1 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 1.6	7.2 ± 1.7	8.33E-13	
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.2 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 1.2	4.06E-06	
HOMA-IR	1.2 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.2	1.99E-104	
HOMA-B	119.2 ± 14.1	117.0 ± 13.4	110.4 ± 13.0	4.26E-11	
Ins 3-h AUC (mu·l⁻¹·h)	190.1 ± 23.5	163.2 ± 26.3	155.6 ± 21.4	6.28E-57	
N	CC	CG	GG	to make a CC may CC	
N	334	165	57	p value CC vs. GG	
rs6585194					
FPG (mmol/l)	4.7 ± 1.7	4.6 ± 1.7	4.5 ± 1.9	0.125	
2hPPG (mmol/l)	8.4 ± 1.9	7.0 ± 1.7	6.5 ± 1.8	3.28E-21	
FIns (mu/l)	7.3 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 1.7	5.9 ± 1.7	2.27E-14	
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.5 ± 1.0	5.2 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 1.1	9.17E-05	
HOMA-IR	1.5 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	8.72E-56	
HOMA-B	121.0 ± 13.9	119.5 ± 14.2	118.2 ± 13.8	0.028	
Ins 3-h AUC (mu·l ⁻¹ ·h)	143.4 ± 19.2	146.5 ± 21.9	178.6 ± 22.0	1.62E-65	
N	AA	AG	GG		
N	267	232	57	p value AA vs. GG	
rs7094463					
FPG (mmol/l)	4.8 ± 1.8	4.6 ± 1.7	4.6 ± 1.8	0.223	
2hPPG (mmol/l)	8.4 ± 1.8	7.5 ± 1.8	6.5 ± 1.8	2.33E-13	
FIns (mu/l)	7.3 ± 1.7	6.4±1.8	6.0 ± 1.7	3.99E-29	
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.7 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 1.0	5.1 ± 1.1	2.93E-08	
HOMA-IR	1.5 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	6.81E-53	
HOMA-B	109.6 ± 12.9	114.7 ± 13.2	116.2 ± 14.0	3.67E-07	
Ins 3-h AUC (mu·l ⁻¹ ·h)	141.1 ± 18.7	154.3 ± 20.8	181.7 ± 21.5	1.48E-112	

Table 4. Patient characteristics of different SNPs associated with GDM. Pre-BMI, body mass index of mothers before pregnancy; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; 2-h 75 g glucose, two -hour post-challenge plasma glucose; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Fins, fasting plasma insulin; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-B, Homeostasis model assessment of beta-cell function; Ins 3-h AUC, total of plasma insulin area under the curve in three hours.

P < 0.001) genotypes showed higher AUC than rs7094463 AA genotype, HOMA-B level was higher in GG (116.2 vs. 109.6, P < 0.001) and AG (114.7 vs. 199.6) than in the GG genotype. (Table 4).

Risk factors of GDM: univariate logistic regression analysis and multivariate logistic regression analysis. Clinical parameters that were differentially distributed in different allele of three TCF7L2 SNPs and rs290487, rs6585194, rs7094463 polymorphisms were considered to be potential influencing factors. The population attributable risk of TCF7L2 rs290487, rs6585194, rs7094463 were 33.66%, -21.08%, -34.17% respectively. In univariate logistic regression analysis, Pre-BMI, age, systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, LDL-C, TCF7L2 rs290487, rs6585194 and rs7094463 were showed significant value for GDM and were entered into the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Appropriate cut-off levels were selected for their clinical significance. In Multivariate logistic regression, TCF7L2 rs290487 genetic variation (OR = 2.686 per each C allele, P = 0.002), pre-BMI > 24 kg/m² (OR = 1.592, P = 0.018), age > 25 years (OR = 1.780, P = 0.012) and LDL-C > 3.6 mmol/L (OR = 2.034, P = 0.009) were identified as independent risk factors of GDM, rs7094463 genetic variation (OR = 0.429 per each G allele, P = 0.005) was identified as independent protect factor of GDM, while rs7094463 polymorphisms were not been found as independent protect factor of GDM (Table 5).

Discussion

GDM prevalence increases fast in China, we screened 3,210 Chinese pregnant women and 556 of those participants were diagnosed with GDM, GDM incidences has increased by 17.3% compared with previously reported data of epidemiological surveys (5% to 10%). This increase can be attributed to dependence on novel diagnostic criteria¹⁶, which recommend lower diagnostic cut-point than previous criteria. Thus far, GDM pathogenesis has not been completely elucidated.

In addition to clinical implications: Higher BP, higher level of TG, LDL-C, Ins 3-hAUC, HOMA-IR and lower HOMA-B in GDM group, genetic factors are likely involved in GDM development. The *TCF7L2* gene has been regarded as the most common susceptible gene for T2DM among various ethnic groups in the world. Genome-wide association studies have identified several potent diabetes susceptibility loc^{5,17,18}, which have been

	Univariate		Multivariate		
Factor	p value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	
Age $>$ 25 years (0 = no, 1 = yes)	0.049	1.289 (1.006, 1.652)	0.012	1.780 (1.391, 2.277)	
Pre-BMI > 24 kg/m ² (0 = no, 1 = yes)	0.003	1.456 (1.138, 1.865)	0.018	1.592 (1.248, 2.531)	
LDL-C level > 3.6 mmol/l (0 = no, 1 = yes)	< 0.001	1.780 (1.391, 2.277)	0.009	2.034 (1.561, 3.239)	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{SBP} > 140 \text{mmHg} (0 = \text{no}, \\ 1 = \text{yes}) \end{array}$	0.074	1.884 (0.980, 3.469)			
DBP > 90 mmHg (0 = no, 1 = yes)	0.162	1.527 (0.840, 2.775)			
rs290487 genotype (0 = TT, 1 = TC, 2 = CC)	0.001	4.735(2.957, 7.584)		2.686 (1.979, 4.372)	
rs6585194 genotype (0 = CC, 1 = CC, 2 = GG)	0.027	0.628(0.4141, 0.951)			
rs7094463 genotype (0 = AA, 1 = GA, 2 = GG)	< 0.001	0.335(0.228, 0.491)	0.005	0.429 (0.329, 0.559)	

Table 5. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors associated with GDM. Pre-BMI, body mass index of mothers before pregnancy; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systemic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

further identified among various populations, including Chinese Han population $^{19-21}$. However, genetic backgrounds, including risk allele frequency and linkage disequilibrium distribution of SNPs, differ between East Asians and Caucasians 22,23 . Our study found that TCF7L2 rs290487, rs6585194, and rs7094463 polymorphisms were significantly associated with GDM. The rs290487 major C-allele, rs6585194 minor C-allele, and rs7094463 minor A-allele showed increased FPG, 2h-PPG, Fins, HbA $_{1c}$. TCF7L2 rs7903146 risk variants are associated with T2DM in European-derived populations; however, this relationship has not been found in our study, same to several East Asians study $^{18,21,23-26}$. These inconsistent findings may be attributed to the low risk allele frequency of rs7903146, which is approximately 0.02 in East Asians 20,22 . Therefore, SNPs with high MAF (>0.20) were selected to further elucidate the correlation between diabetes susceptibility genes and GDM. TCF7L2 rs290487 contains a more common genetic variant (MAF: 0.35 vs. 0.02) and exhibits greater significance than rs7903146 in Chinese Han population 20,22 . The results were further duplicated by other Chinese studies 27,28 .

Our results demonstrated that *TCF7L2* rs290487, rs6585194 and rs7094463 polymorphisms were correlated with insulin resistance and insulin secretion of patients with GDM. Patients with rs290487 major C-allele, rs6585194 minor C-allele, and rs7094463 minor A-allele were showed a significantly higher HOMA-IR, lower HOMA-B and Ins 3h-AUC. This result was consistent with a previous study, which revealed that rs290487 C allele is significantly associated with increased insulin resistance among Taiwanese and Caucasians²⁶. HOMA-B and Ins 3-hAUC were lower in rs290487 CC homozygote than in other genotypes. Although genetic variants in *TCF7L2* gene likely increase the risk of diabetes, the variant allele of *TCF7L2* rs6585194 and rs7094463 elicited protective effects against diabetes. The G-allele of both SNPs significantly decreased insulin resistance and increased insulin secretion. Therefore, the variant allele of *TCF7L2* rs6585194 and rs7094463 decreased GDM probability. This result indicated that *TCF7L2* rs290487 rs6585194 and rs7094463 polymorphisms were also correlated with insulin secretion among patients with GDM. The association of *TCF7L2* genetic variant with increased insulin resistance and decreased insulin secretion may help understand GDM pathogenesis. *TCF7L2* gene polymorphisms are also associated with increased hepatic glucose production and reduced hepatic insulin sensitivity and regulated the hepatic glucose metabolism via the gluconeogenesis pathway in humans.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that *TCF7L2* rs290487 CC genotype, Pre-BMI, LDL-C level and age were independent risk factors of GDM which were confirmed in several studies^{29,30}. *TCF7L2* rs7094463 is independent protective factor of GDM, while *TCF7L2* rs6585194 GG genotype were associated with GDM but were not independent risk factors. *TCF7L2* also is an important transcription factor for the execution of downstream signals of the Wnt/b-catenin/*TCF* pathway, which has been shown to regulate hepatic glucose metabolism through the modulation of multiple pathways, such as the insulin signal transduction pathway (insulin receptor substrate-1/Phosphatidyl Inositol 3-kinase: IRS-1/PI3K).

Several limitations of this study were found. Although this study included 556 women with GDM and 500 control subjects, the statistical power of the sample was not sufficiently large to detect a weak effect size (OR < 1.2). As a result, other associations may have been overlooked. Furthermore, this study failed to determine whether all of the subjects in the control group experienced pregnancy without GDM.

In summary, the data suggested that the genetic variation rs290487 and rs7094463 in the *TCF7L2* gene were independent influencing factors of GDM in the Chinese Han population, *TCF7L2* gene might to be one of the candidate genes for confering susceptibility to gestational diabetes in Chinese Han people.

Materials and Methods

Patient characteristics. Pregnant Chinese Han women with or without GDM at our institution were evaluated. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject who participated in the study. This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University. The methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

A total of 3,210 Chinese pregnant women were screened for GDM in three local hospitals in Hangzhou from January 2014 to December 2014. Pregnant women without a previous diagnosis of glucose intolerance or diabetic family history were routinely screened for GDM between 24 and 28 weeks of gestation. These pregnant women were subjected to a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). GDM diagnosis was based on the criteria set by the American Diabetes Association¹⁶. Glucose threshold values were listed as follows: 5.1 mmol/L during fasting, 10.0 mmol/L for 1 h, and 8.5 mmol/L for 2 h. GDM was diagnosed if one or more of glucose concentrations satisfied or exceeded the threshold value. Based on these criteria, 556 of 3,210 participants were diagnosed with GDM and 2,654 were diagnosed with normal glucose tolerance (NGT). A total of 556 patients with GDM and 500 age-matched participants with NGT were recruited as controls(Non-GDM), and 34 of GDM whose fasting plasma glucose (FPG) exceeded 7.0 mmol/L or two-hour post-challenge plasma glucose (2h-PPG) exceeded 10 mmol/L were received the insulin treatment of Novolin 30R. The average dose of insulin used in prepartal was 28u/D (0.48 u/Kg).

Clinical and biochemical data of the subjects were collected at 24 weeks to 28 weeks of gestation. Clinical data included age, height, weight, weight before pregnancy (consulting the initial medical record of pregnancy registry), systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure (GDM were measured once a week and meanwhile were required to measure everyday by themselves, Non-GDM were measured thrice at the screening day). A family history of T2DM in each subject was also recorded. The body mass index of mothers before pregnancy (pre-BMI) and BMI during the test were calculated. Biochemical data consisted of FPG, 1hPPG, 2hPPG, fasting plasma insulin (FIns), glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}), serum triacylglycerol (TG), total cholesterol (TCH), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). FPG, 1hPPG, 2hPPG, TCH, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C concentrations were determined by commercial enzymatic methods (test kits from Shanghai Rongsheng Biotech, Inc, Shanghai, China). Fins was determined by chemiluminometry (SIEMENS) and HbA_{1c} was determined by high-pressure liquid chromatography. Homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) data and area under the curve (AUC) of insulin in 75 g OGTT performed at the time of GDM diagnosis were calculated to assess insulin resistance and beta-cell function. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was determined using the following equation: (FIns in mU/L × FPG in mmol/L)/ 22.5. Homeostasis model assessment of beta-cell function (HOMA-B) was also quantified using the following equation: (FIns in $mU/L \times 20$)/ (FPG in mmol/L-3.5)³¹. The AUC of insulin at 3 h, another assessment index of beta-cell function, was evaluated according to trapezoid method: $V_1 + V_2 + 0.5 \times V_0 + 0.5 \times V_3$, where V is the insulin concentration at the indicated time³².

Genotyping. Genomic DNA was isolated from ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-anticoagulated whole blood of recipients by using a QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the most significant diabetes-susceptibility locus TCF7L2 were selected from HapMap CHB database¹⁷ (public data release 21a/phaseII, January 2007; http://snp.cshl.org/cgi-perl/gbrowse/ hapmap22_B36/) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of >0.2 and r^2 of >0.8. Fifteen tag SNPs in TCF7L2 (rs10749127, rs10787475, rs11196224, rs12775879, rs17130188, rs290481, rs290487, rs290489, rs3750804, rs4918792, rs6585194, rs7085532, rs7094463, rs7919409, and rs966227) were chosen and analyzed. Three additional SNPs in TCF7L2 gene (rs7903146, rs11196205, and rs12255372) were also selected because these SNPs are also significantly associated with T2DM among East Asians^{22,33,34}. Allele frequencies were all in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P>0.05, Table 2). All primers were designed using Primer3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/). Applied Biosystems SNaPshot and TaqMan technology were applied to identify genetic polymorphism. The fragment was first amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Each PCR reaction contained $1 \times$ HotStar Taq buffer, 3.0 mM Mg²⁺, 0.3 mM dNTP, 0.1 mM of each primer, 1U HotstartTaq polymerase (Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany). The second step was the multiple single-base reaction, which included 5 uL SNaPshot Multiplex Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). The detailed procedure was described in a previous study³⁵.

Statistical Analysis. Quantitative variable was expressed as mean ± standard deviation or interquartile range (25-75%) and the continuous data (HOMA-B, HOMA-IR, and AUC of insulin) were log-transformed to approximate normal distributions. Quantitative variables were compared using Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney test; categorical variables were compared using chi-square test. Linkage between SNPs was analyzed using pairwise linkage disequilibrium methods evaluating r^2 and D'. Correlations were evaluated through Pearson linear regression. Risk factors were evaluated through logistic regression analysis. Variables with statistical significance in univariate analysis were subjected to stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, linkage disequilibrium, and haptotype were analyzed using Haploview software and SNP Stats web tool (http://bioinfo.Iconcologia.net/snpstats/start.htm). Genotypes were assigned with the following codes: 0, 1, and 2 copies of the minor allele; odds ratio (OR) was expressed per difference in the number of risk alleles. An univariate logistic regression analysis model for all 18 SNPs and other clinical parameter (including Pre-BMI, age, family history of diabetes, gravidity, systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, TG, TCH, LDL-C, HDL-C) was performed, multiple logistic regression model (including Pre-BMI, age, systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, LDL-C, and TCF7L2 rs290487, rs6585194 and rs7094463) was used to investigate the individual association of these SNPs on GDM. These analyses were based on additive, recessive, and dominant models and adjusted for age and family history of T2DM. ORs with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were presented. Multiple linear regression models adjusted for age were also applied to analyze quantitative traits. Other analyses were performed using SPSS version 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Benjamini-Hochberg method was used to control the false discovery rate (FDR) in the unconditional logistic regression analysis³⁶. A two-sided *P* value of <5.00E-04 was considered statistically significant. The population attributable risk (PAR: Ie - Iu (Ie = Incidence in exposed, Iu = incidence in unexposed).

References

- 1. No authors listed. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Statement: Diagnosing Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol* 122, 358–369 (doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31829c3e64) (2013, March 4–6).
- 2. Shaat, N. & Groop, L. Genetics of gestational diabetes mellitus. Curr Med Chem 14, 569-583 (2007).
- 3. Williams, M. A., Qiu, C., Dempsey, J. C. & Luthy, D. A. Familial aggregation of type 2 diabetes and chronic hypertension in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Reprod Med* 48, 955–962 (2003).
- Linne, Y., Barkeling, B. & Rossner, S. Natural course of gestational diabetes mellitus: long term follow up of women in the SPAWN study. British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 109, 1227–1231 (2002).
- 5. Basile, K. J., Johnson, M. E., Xia, Q. & Grant, S. F. Genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes and obesity: follow-up of findings from genome-wide association studies. *Int J Endocrin.* **2014**, 769671 (2014).
- 6. Zhang, C. et al. Genetic variants and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update 19, 376–390 (2013).
- 7. Zhou, Y. et al. TCF7L2 is a master regulator of insulin production and processing. Hum Mol Genet 23, 6419-6431 (2014).
- Mitchell, R. K. et al. Selective disruption of Tcf7l2 in the pancreatic β cell impairs secretory function and lowers β cell mass. Hum Mol Genet 24, 1390–1399 (2015).
- 9. Takamoto, I. *et al.* TCF7L2 in mouse pancreatic beta cells plays a crucial role in glucose homeostasis by regulating beta cell mass. *Diabetologia* **57**, 542–553 (2014).
- 10. Shu, L. et al. Decreased TCF7L2 protein levels in type 2 diabetes mellitus correlate with downregulation of GIP- and GLP-1 receptors and impaired beta-cell function. Hum Mol Genet 24, 3004 (2015).
- 11. Neve, B. et al. Alternative human liver transcripts of TCF7L2 bind to the gluconeogenesis regulator HNF4alpha at the protein level. *Diabetologia* 57, 785–796 (2014).
- 12. Boj, S. F. et al. Diabetes risk gene and Wnt effector Tcf7l2/TCF4 controls hepatic response to perinatal and adult metabolic demand. Cell 151, 1595–1607 (2012).
- Oh, K. J. et al. TCF7L2 modulates glucose homeostasis by regulating CREB- and FoxO1-dependent transcriptional pathway in the liver. PLoS Genet 8, e1002986 (2012).
- 14. Ip, W. et al. Liver-specific Expression of Dominant Negative Transcription Factor 7-like 2 Causes Progressive Impairment in Glucose Homeostasis. *Diabetes* 64, 1923–1932 (2015).
- 15. Yang, W. Y. et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J Med 362, 1090-1101 (2010).
- 16. No authors listed. Introduction: The American Diabetes Association's (ADA) evidence-based practice guidelines, standards, and related recommendations and documents for diabetes care: American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. Suppl: 35, S1-2 (doi: 10.2337/dc12-s001) (2012, Jun 24–28).
- 17. Doria, A., Patti, M. E. & Kahn, C. R. The emerging genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. Cell Metab 8, 186-200 (2008).
- 18. Pagán, A. et al. A gene variant in the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) is associated with an increased risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 180, 77-82 (2014).
- 19. Wen, J. et al. Investigation of type 2 diabetes risk alleles support CDKN2A/B, CDKAL1, and TCF7L2 as susceptibility genes in a Han Chinese cohort. PLoS One 5, E9153 (2010).
- 20. Chang, Y. C. et al. Association study of the genetic polymorphisms of the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene and type 2 diabetes in the Chinese population. *Diabetes* 56, 2631–2637 (2007).
- 21. Zhai, Y. et al. Association of the rs11196218 polymorphism in TCF7L2 with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Asian population. Meta Gene 2, 332–341 (2014).
- 22. Luo, Y. et al. Meta-analysis of the association between SNPs in TCF7L2 and type 2 diabetes in East Asian population. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 85, 139–146 (2009).
- 23. Qiao, H. et al. Genetic variants of TCF7L2 are associated with type 2 diabetes in a northeastern Chinese population. Gene 495, 115–119 (2012).
- Ng, M. C. et al. Replication and identification of novel variants at TCF7L2 associated with type 2 diabetes in Hong Kong Chinese. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 92, 3733–3737 (2007).
- 25. Ren, Q. et al. Exon sequencing and association analysis of polymorphisms in TCF7L2 with type 2 diabetes in a Chinese population. *Diabetologia* 51, 1146–1152 (2008).
- 26. Liu, P. H. et al. Genetic variants of TCF7L2 are associated with insulin resistance and related metabolic phenotypes in Taiwanese adolescents and Caucasian young adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94, 3575–3582 (2009).
- 27. Kang, S., Xie, Z. & Zhang, D. Association of the rs7903146 polymorphism in transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene with gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. *Gynecol Endocrinol* **29**, 873–877 (2013).
- 28. Mao, H., Li, Q. & Gao, S. Meta-analysis of the relationship between common type 2 diabetes risk gene variants with gestational diabetes mellitus. *PLoS One* 7, e458829 (2012).
- 29. Rayanagoudar, G. et al. Quantification of the type 2 diabetes risk in women with gestational diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis of 95,750 women. *Diabetologia* (2016) [Epub ahead of print].
- 30. Han, E. S. et al. Pre-pregnancy adverse lipid profile and subsequent risk of gestational diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. [Epub ahead of print] (2016).
- 31. Matthews, D. R. et al. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 28, 412–419 (1985).
- 32. Purves, R. D. Optimum numerical integration methods for estimation of area-under-the-curve (AUC) and area-under-the-moment-curve (AUMC). J Pharmacokin Biopharm 20, 211–227 (1992).
- 33. Lin, Y. et al. Association study of genetic variants in eight genes/loci with type 2 diabetes in a Han Chinese population. BMC Med Genet 11, 97 (2010).
- 34. Wen, J. et al. Investigation of type 2 diabetes risk alleles support CDKN2A/B, CDKAL1, and TCF7L2 as susceptibility genes in a Han Chinese cohort. PLoS One 5, e9153 (2010).
- 35. Zhou, L. et al. Polymorphism in 3'-untranslated region of toll-like receptor 4 gene is associated with protection from hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis 13, 250–258 (2011).
- 36. Benjamini, Y. *et al.* Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. JR Stat Soc **B** 57, 289–300 (1995).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81470892), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. LY12H07005), Zhejiang Provincial Major Special Project of Science and Technology(Grant No. 2011C13032-1), and the special funds for clinical medicine of Chinese Medical Association (Grant No. 12020180278).

Author Contributions

We would like to express our gratitude to everyone who helped us complete this study. D.Y., Y.F. and F.D. proposed the study, designed and performed experiments, analyzed and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. Q.L., Z.Z., J.S., C.L. and F.D. performed experiments, interpreted data. D.Y. and F.D. interpreted data and drafted the manuscript. W.X. analyzed data and completed the statistics. Q.L. and F.D. revised and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Ye, D. *et al.* Polymorphisms in *TCF7L2* gene are associated with gestational diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han population. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30686; doi: 10.1038/srep30686 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016