



High Occurrence of Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Raw Meat-Based Diets for Companion Animals—A Public Health Issue

Andrea Treier, Roger Stephan 🔍, Marc J. A. Stevens 🔍, Nicole Cernela and Magdalena Nüesch-Inderbinen * 🔘

Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland; andrea.treier@uzh.ch (A.T.); stephanr@fsafety.uzh.ch (R.S.); marc.stevens@uzh.ch (M.J.A.S.); n.cernela@access.uzh.ch (N.C.)

* Correspondence: magdalena.nueesch-inderbinen@uzh.ch; Tel.: +41-44-635-8651

Abstract: Feeding pets raw meat-based diets (RMBDs) is becoming increasingly popular but comes with a risk of pathogenic bacteria, including Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC). In humans, STEC may cause gastrointestinal illnesses, including diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis (HC), and the hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). The aim of this study was to evaluate commercially available RMBDs with regard to the occurrence of STEC. Of 59 RMBD samples, 59% tested positive by real-time PCR for the presence of Shiga toxin genes *stx1* and/or *stx2*. STECs were recovered from 41% of the 59 samples, and strains were subjected to serotyping and virulence gene profiling, using whole genome sequencing (WGS)-based methods. Of 28 strains, 29% carried *stx2a* or *stx2d*, which are linked to STEC O26:H11, O91:H10, O91:H14, O145:H28, O146:H21, and O146:H28, which are within the most common non-O157 serogroups associated with human STEC-related illnesses worldwide. Considering the low infectious dose and potential severity of disease manifestations, the high occurrence of STEC in RMBDs poses an important health risk for persons handling raw pet food and persons with close contact to pets fed on RMBDs, and is of concern in the field of public health.

Keywords: raw meat; pet food; dogs; Shiga toxin; Escherichia coli; public health

1. Introduction

Feeding companion animals raw meat has become increasingly popular among cat and dog owners aiming to provide their pets with a natural and healthy diet [1,2]. Raw meat-based diets (RMBDs), also known as Biologically Appropriate Raw Food (BARF), include uncooked raw muscle meats, organ meats, and meaty bones of livestock or wild animals, and are mostly based on the by-products of animals slaughtered for human consumption [3,4]. Since RMBDs are not cooked or pasteurized, concerns have been raised regarding bacterial contamination and the possible transmission of pathogens to pets and humans [5–7]. Enterobacteriaceae are the most frequently recovered bacteria from commercially available RMBDs, with a high proportion of sampled RMBDs failing to meet the microbiological standards set out by EC regulation no.1069/2009 in the EU for animal by-products intended for pet food, or the threshold levels for raw human meat products which apply in North America [2,7–9]. Of particular concern, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) were identified in 4% of commercially available RMBDs in the US [10], and contaminated RMBDs have been associated with an outbreak of human STEC infections in the UK [11].

Human infection with STEC is a gastrointestinal illness which may include mildto-severe non-bloody or bloody diarrhea (BD), hemorrhagic colitis (HC), and the lifethreatening hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) [12]. STECs are characterized by the proliferation of one or two different types of Shiga toxin encoded by *stx* genes designated



Article

Citation: Treier, A.; Stephan, R.; Stevens, M.J.A.; Cernela, N.; Nüesch-Inderbinen, M. High Occurrence of Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Raw Meat-Based Diets for Companion Animals—A Public Health Issue. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9, 1556. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms9081556

Academic Editors: Tim A. McAllister, Flemming Scheutz, Linda Chui, Chad R. Laing, Nicole Van De Kar, Kim Stanford and Patricia Griffin

Received: 2 July 2021 Accepted: 17 July 2021 Published: 21 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). *stx1* and *stx2*, with three *stx1* (*stx1a*, *stx1c* and *stx1d*) and ten *stx2* (*stx2a-stx2k*) subtypes described so far [13,14]. STECs harboring *stx2a*, *stx2c*, and *stx2d* tend to be associated with severe disease, whereas STECs carrying *stx2b* and *stx2e* are linked to mild clinical symptoms or asymptomatic fecal carriage [15–17]. Furthermore, STEC strains may feature additional genes encoding toxins and adherence factors that influence their pathogenic potential, such as *astA* (enteroaggregative *E. coli* heat-stable toxin 1), *cdt* (cytolethal distending toxin), *efa* (enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* factor for adherence), *ehxA* (enterohemolysin), *iha* (IrgA homolog adhesin), *lpf* (long polar fimbriae), *saa* (STEC autoagglutinating adhesin), and *subAB* (subtilase cytotoxin) [18–20].

STEC belonging to various serotypes within the O157, O26, O103, O91, and O145 serogroups constitute the main STEC associated with human infections in the EU and in Switzerland and are considered a major concern to human health in Europe [19,21].

Worldwide, STEC causes an estimated 2.8 million acute illnesses and 3890 HUS cases annually, representing a major public health issue [22]. Although frequently linked to foodborne outbreaks, a majority of STEC infections remain sporadic and are significantly associated with consuming undercooked or raw meat, person-to-person transmission, or contact with animals or their environment [23,24]. Although studies demonstrating the occurrence of STEC in RMBDs are rare, they raise the question on the safety of raw pet food and the level of pathogenic potential of STEC occurring in RMBDs [2,10,11].

The aim of this study was to assess the occurrence of STEC isolated from commercially available raw pet food in Switzerland and to characterize the strains by using whole genome analyses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection

During September 2018 and May 2020, a total of 59 RMBD products were purchased from ten different suppliers (designated A–J) either on site in pet-food stores or from certified Swiss RMBD producing enterprises, or in online stores of suppliers located in Switzerland and Germany. The products were purchased frozen or shipped frozen to the laboratory and stored until analysis, according to the recommendations of the suppliers.

The tested products contained either pure muscle or pure organ meat, mixed muscle and organ meat products, or meat supplemented with plant ingredients. Details are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Products were categorized into those originating from of beef cattle, poultry, horse, lamb, rabbit, venison, and fish. Types of meat within these categories included beef (including rumen and liver) (n = 17), chicken (n = 7), duck (n = 1), quail (n = 1), turkey (n = 5), ostrich (n = 1), horse (n = 8), lamb (n = 6), rabbit (n = 4), reindeer (n = 1), moose (n = 1), unspecified venison (n = 2), salmon (n = 4), and perch (n = 1).

2.2. Screening for Stx Genes

Each sample (10g) was enriched at a 1:10 ratio in Enterobacteriaceae enrichment (EE) broth (Becton, Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany) for 24 h at 37 °C. One loopful of each of the enrichment cultures was cultured on sheep blood agar (DifcoTM Columbia Blood Agar Base EH; Becton Dickinson AG, Allschwil, Switzerland), using the streak-plate method. The resulting colonies were washed off with 2 mL 0.85% NaCl. Samples were then screened by real-time PCR for *stx1* and *stx2*, using the Assurance GDS[®] for Shiga Toxin Genes (Bio Control Systems, Bellevue, WA, USA).

2.3. Recovery of STEC

In the event of a *stx*-positive PCR result, one loopful each of the washed-off suspension was streaked onto on three to five STEC Chromagar plate (CHROMagar, Paris, France) and on three-to-five Brolacin agar plates (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) to get single colonies. The plates were incubated overnight at $37 \,^{\circ}$ C.

From each plate, 20–180 individual colonies were picked (mauve colonies on STEC Chromagar plates; yellow colonies on Brolacin Agar plates) and suspended in 0.5 mL 0.85% NaCl. The suspensions were pooled in groups of ten colonies to simplify the screening process. The pooled suspensions were screened for stx1 and stx2 genes by real-time PCR (LightCycler R 2.0 Instrument, Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN, USA), using the QuantiFast Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) according to the guidelines of the European Union Reference Laboratory [25]. In the event of a positive PCR result for stx1 or stx2, the pool was taken apart and the ten colonies were tested individually. From plates yielding more than one stx positive colony, one presumptive STEC isolate was randomly chosen for subsequent characterization.

2.4. DNA Extraction and Whole Genome Sequencing

The strains were grown on sheep blood agar at 37 °C overnight prior to DNA isolation, using the DNA blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland). The DNA libraries were prepared by using a Nextera DNA Flex Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Whole-genome sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiniSeq Sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The Illumina-reads files passed the standard quality checks, using the software package FastQC 0.11.7 (Babraham Bioinformatics, Cambridge, UK), and were assembled by using the Spades 3.14.1– based software Shovill 1.0.4 [26,27], using default settings. The assembly was filtered, retaining contigs >500 bp and annotated by using the NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline [28]. Stx types were determined by an in silico PCR, using the perl script in_silico_pcr (https://github.com/egonozer/in_silico_pcr, accessed on 20 January 2021), using the option "-m, allow one mismatch" and primer sets described in the EU Reference Laboratory for *E. coli* manual for *stx* genes detection [29]. The O and H-types were identified by using SerotypeFinder 2.0 [30]. The virulence gene profiles and antimicrobial resistance genes were determined by using VirulenceFinder 2.0 [31] and Resistance Gene Identifier (RGI) 4.2.2 [32].

The sequence types (STs) of each strain were determined based on seven housekeeping genes, using the tool "MLST" (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst, accessed on 20 January 2021), using PubMLST as the database (https://pubmlst.org/, accessed on 20 January 2021) [33]. The isolates were compared by using core genome MLST (cgMLST) analyses comprising 2513 loci of *E. coli*, using the Ridom SeqSphereC+ software (version 5.1.0; Ridom GmbH, Münster, Germany). Minimum spanning tree (MST) were generated for visualization of strain relatedness, and the threshold for cluster identification was \leq 10 alleles between a pair of isolates, according to the Ridom SeqSphereC+ software.

3. Results

3.1. Real-Time Screening for Stx Genes and Isolation of STEC

By real-time PCR screening of enrichment cultures, stx1 and/or stx2 were detected in 35 (59%) of the 59 raw pet-food samples analyzed in this study. Thereof, the majority (n = 32) contained stx2 alone or in combination with stx1. The distribution of stx genes among the different types and categories of meat is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. RMBDs containing stx genes were detected in products from nine of ten suppliers (Figure 2). STEC was isolated from 24 of the 35 samples with presumptive presence of STEC, corresponding to a recovery rate of 69% and an overall STEC prevalence of 41%. Three samples (beef RMBD samples AT 15 and LS 01, and venison RMBD sample AT 11, respectively) contained two or more distinct STEC strains (Table 2). A total of 28 STEC strains were retrieved. The types and categories of meat from which STEC-positive samples were recovered are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

		Mo	Isolation of STEC Strains ^a							
	No. of Samples (%) Positive for									
Type of Meat	No. of Samples	No. of <i>stx</i> -Positive Samples (%)	stx1	stx2	stx1 and stx2	No. of STEC-Positive Samples (%)	No. of STEC Isolated			
Beef	17	9 (53)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (53)	5 (29)	8			
Chicken	7	3 (43)	1 (14)	1 (14)	1 (14)	1 (14)	1			
Duck	1	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1			
Horse	8	4 (50)	0 (0)	2 (25)	2 (25)	4 (50)	4			
Lamb	6	6 (100)	1 (17)	0 (0)	5 (83)	4 (67)	4			
Moose	1	1 (100)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	1 (100)	1			
Ostrich	1	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1			
Perch	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0			
Quail	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0			
Rabbit	4	2 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	2 (50)	2			
Reindeer	1	1 (100)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	1 (100)	1			
Salmon	4	2 (50)	1 (25)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (25)	1			
Turkey	5	3 (60)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (60)	1 (20)	1			
Venison	2	2 (100)	0 (0)	1 (50)	1 (50)	2 (100)	3			
Total	59	35 (59)	3 (5)	6 (10)	26 (44)	24 (41)	28			

Table 1. Detection of *stx* genes by PCR and isolation of STEC strains from RMBDs for pets.

^a PCR positive samples were further cultured and at least one STEC was isolated by growth on Brolacin STEC agar or CHROMagar[™]. For details, see text.



Figure 1. Cont.



Figure 1. Screening by PCR for Shiga toxin (*stx*) genes and recovery of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from 59 samples of RMBDs for pets. (**a**) Percent RMBDs with *stx1* and *stx2* genes detected in enrichment broth cultures obtained from 59 samples, and percent STEC culture-positive RMBDs. (**b**) Percent of RMBDs of ten different suppliers A–J containing *stx* genes and percent of RMBDs contaminated with STEC.



Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationship of 28 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) isolated from RMBD for pets based on their multilocus sequence type (MLST) allelic profiles. The minimum spanning tree was generated by using SeqSphere (Ridom GmbH). Numbers on connecting lines indicate the number of allele differences between two strains. The colors of the circles represent STs according to the Warwick scheme (http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk, accessed on 22 January 2021). Strain IDs are indicated in the circles.

3.2. Serotypes and Stx Subtypes

Overall, 20 different serotypes were identified among the 28 STEC (Table 2). Serotypes occurring more than once were O91:H14 (n = 3), O146:H21 (n = 3), O76:H19 (n = 2), O113:H21 (n = 2), O146:H28 (n = 2), and O168:H8 (n = 2). All other serotypes were identified in one strain each (Table 2). No STEC O157:H7 was found. Subtyping of the *stx* genes revealed that six (21%) carried *stx1* genes only: *stx1a* (n = 2) and *stx1c* (n = 4). Fourteen (50%) carried *stx2* genes only: *stx2a* (n = 1), *stx2b* (n = 6), *stx2d* (n = 5), *stx2e* (n = 2), and *stx2g* (n = 1). Eight (29%) harbored combinations of *stx1* and *stx2* genes (Table 2).

A total of eight (29% of all the strains) carried subtypes linked to high pathogenic potential, namely stx2a (n = 3) or stx2d (n = 5), which were detected among STEC serotypes

O168:H8 (n = 2), O183:H18 (n = 1), O91:H10 (n = 1), O113:H21 (n = 2), O26:H11 (n = 1), and O113:H4 (n = 1) originating from beef (n = 3), duck (n = 1), rabbit (n = 1), moose (n = 1), salmon (n = 1), and turkey (n = 1). (Table 2). Fourteen (50%) isolates harbored the low pathogenic subtypes *stx2b* and *stx2e* and were associated with various serogroups, as listed in Table 2. STEC O168:H8 (strain ID LSC 6-3) isolated from a beef sampled harbored both *stx2b* and *stxd* (Table 2).

3.3. Additional Virulence Factor Genes

Besides Shiga toxin genes, a number of further toxin genes were identified among the strains, including *astA* (n = 8), *cdtB* (n = 1), *ehxA* (n = 16), *senB* (n = 9), and *subA* (n = 17) (Table 2). The *eae* gene encoding intimin was detected in two (7%) strains and was associated with STEC O145:H28 harboring *stx1a* (strain ATC 15–17 isolated from beef RMBD), and STEC O26:H11 carrying *stx1a* and *stx2a* (strain ATC 45-11isolated from turkey RMBD) (Table 1). Genes encoding other virulence factors thought to be involved in adhesion to the human intestine included *cif* (n = 2), *espI* (n = 7), *espP* (n = 6), *hra* (n = 7), *iha* (n = 25), *ompT* (n = 21), and *papC* (n = 3) (Table 2). None of the strains contained the adherence factors *eidG* and *saa*. Additionally, *epeA* (n = 2), *fyuA* (n = 3), *gad* (n = 25), *ireA* (n = 16), *iss* (n = 23), *iucC* (n = 9), *iutA* (n = 9), *katP* (n = 3), *kpsE* (n = 7), *lpfA* (n = 19), *sitA* (n = 6), *terC* (n = 28), and *traT* (n = 25) were found.

3.4. Sequence Types and Phylogenetic Relationship

Multilocus sequence typing assigned the strains to 20 different STs. One STEC O123:H16 and two STEC O76:H19 (strains ATB 4-67, ATB 10-31, and ATB 14-66, respectively) were not assigned to any ST (Table 2). STs occurring more than once were ST33 (n = 3), ST442 (n = 2), ST718 (n = 2), and ST738 (n = 2). The remaining STs occurred only once (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S2).

The population structure of the strains was visualized by constructing a phylogenetic tree based on cgMLST. The isolates grouped according to serotypes and STs, but they were phylogenetically clearly distinct from each other, with \geq 5 different alleles between each pair of neighboring isolates (Figure 2). The genomes of strains belonging to ST33, ST442, and ST641 were compared with the available genomes of corresponding STs present in the database of the Swiss National Reference Centre for Enteropathogenic Bacteria and *Listeria* (NENT) which collects all STEC strains from confirmed human cases nationwide and performs Illumina-based whole-genome sequencing. The cgMLST-based phylogenetic trees are shown in Figure 3 and details are available in Supplementary Table S3). None of the STEC strains belonging to ST33, ST442, or ST642 clustered with a strain in the database, thereby ruling out a direct match with any STEC of those STs reported from a case of human disease in Switzerland.

		rain ID Type of Meat	Type of Meat Serotype ST		Shiga Toxin Genes Intimir				Transmissible	
Sample ID	Strain ID			stx1	stx2	eae	Other Virulence Factor Genes	Antimicrobial Resistance Genes	Accession NO.	
AT 41	ATC 41-3	Ostrich	O9:H30	1294	-	stx2g	-	capU, gad, ompT, papA_F12, papC, terC, traT	-	JAETYL00000000
								astA, cif, efa1, espA, espB, espF,		
AT 45	ATC 45-11	Turkey	O26:H11	21	stx1a	stx2a	+	espJ, espP, fyuA, ehxA, gad, iha, irp2, iss, iucC, iutA, katP, lpfA,	aac(3)-IIe, bla _{TEM-1}	JAETYS0000000
AI 45 AI		Turkey	Turkey 020.1111	21	517.14	51724	I	nleA, nleB, nleC, ompT, terC, tir, toxB, traT	une(o) ne) em [ENI-1	JALI 1300000000
AT 11	ATB 11-12	Venison	O27:H30	753	-	stx2b	_	air, chuA, eilA, gad, iha, ireA,	_	JAETXZ0000000
		, cristori	02.1100			011120		iss, ompT, subA, terC, traT		J11217 (20000000)
			Venison O54:H45 491		- stx2		stx2b -	astA, chuA, fyuA, ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, irp2, iss, ompT, papC,		JAETYH0000000
AT 11	ATC 11-10	ATC 11-10 Venison		491		stx2b		pic, senB, sitA, subA, terC, traT,	-	
								vat, yfcV		
AT 10	ATB 10-31	Lamb	O76:H19	nd	stx1c	stx2b	-	ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, kpsE, lpfA,	-	JAETXY0000000
								pic, senB, sitA, subA, terC, traT ehxA, gad, ireA, kpsE, lpfA, pic,		2
AT 14	ATB 14-66	Lamb	O76:H19	nd	stx1c	-	-	senB, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETYA0000000
AT 15	ATB 15-29	Beef	O91:H10	641	_	stx2d	_	espI, gad, iha, ireA, iss, lpfA,	_	JAETYB0000000
								ompT, papC, terC		,
AT 23	ATB 23-31	Lamb	O91:H14	33	stx1a	stx2b	_	espI, ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss, iucC, iutA, lpfA, ompT, sitA,	_	JAETYD0000000
MI 23	1110 20 01	Lamo	0)1.1114	55	51214	51220		subA, terC, traT,		JALIIDOOOOOO
								espI, ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss,		
AT 29	ATB 29-1	Lamb	O91:H14	33	stx1a	stx2b	-	iucC, iutA, katP, lpfA, ompT,	-	JAETYF0000000
								senB, sitA, subA, terC, traT		

Table 2. Characteristics of 28 STEC isolated from RMBD for pets.

					Shiga To:					
Sample ID Strain	Strain ID	Type of Meat	Serotype	ST	stx1	stx2	Intimin eae	Other Virulence Factor Genes	Transmissible Antimicrobial Resistance Genes	Accession NO.
LS 01	LSB1 P21-24	Beef	O91:H14	33	stx1a	stx2b	-	espI, ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss, iucC, iutA, katP, lpfA, ompT, senB, sitA, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETZB000000000
LS 02	LSB 2-27b	Rabbit	O100:H30	993	-	stx2e	-	astA, gad, iss, terC, traT	-	JAETYV000000000
AT 47	ATB 47-1	Salmon	O113:H4	10	-	stx2d	-	astA, espI, gad, iha, iss, terC	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id, sul2, tet(B)	JAETYG000000000
AT 44	ATC 44-40	Moose	O113:H21	56	-	stx2d	-	espP, gad, iha, hra, iss, lpfA, ompT, terC, traT	-	JAETYM000000000
AT 46	ATC 46-2	Rabbit	O113:H21	223	-	stx2a	-	epeA, espP, ehxA, gad, iha, iss, lpfA, ompT, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETYT000000000
AT 04	ATB 4-67	Beef	O123:H16	nd	stx1a	-	-	afaA, afaB, afaC, afaD, afaE8, cdtB, espP, gad, hra, iha, iss, iucC, iutA, ompT, terC, traT	sul1, aadA, aph(3')-Ia, aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id, bla _{TEM-1} , dfrA1, sul2, tet(A)	JAETYK000000000
AT 15	ATC 15-17	Beef	O145:H28	32	stx1a	-	+	astA, chuA, cif, espA, espB, espF, espJ, espP, ehxA, gad, iha, iss, neuC, nleA, nleB, nleC, ompT, terC, tir, toxB, traT	-	JAETY1000000000
LS 01	LSC 1-58	Beef	O146:H21	442	stx1c	stx2b	-	espI, ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss, iucC, iutA, kpsE, lpfA, ompT, senB, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETYZ000000000
LS 05	LSC 5-20	Horse	O146:H21	442	stx1c	-	-	ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss, iucC, iutA, kpsE, lpfA, ompT, senB, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETYY000000000
LS 01	LSC 1-7	Beef	O146:H21	4687	stx1c	-	-	ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss, iucC, iutA, kpsE, lpfA, ompT, senB, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETZA000000000

Tab	le 2.	Cont.

						Table 2. Co	nt.			
					Shiga To	oxin Genes	Intimin		Transmissible	
Sample ID	Strain ID	Type of Meat	Serotype	ST	stx1	stx2	eae	Other Virulence Factor Genes	Antimicrobial Resistance Genes	Accession NO.
AT 21	ATC 21-17	Venison	O146:H28	738	-	stx2b	-	astA, chuA, hra, iha, ireA, iss, lpfA, ompT, subA, terC, traT, usp	-	JAETYJ000000000
AT 20	ATC 20-47	Horse	O146:H28	738	-	stx2b	-	astA, chuA, hra, iha, ireA, iss, lpfA, ompT, subA, terC, traT, usp	-	JAETYP000000000
AT 39	ATC 39-3	Horse	O155:H21	683	-	stx2e	-	astA, gad, iha, iss, lpfA, ompT, sepA, terC, traT	-	JAETYR000000000
AT 49	ATC 49-13	Reindeer	O162:H7	316	-	stx2b	-	ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, iss, lpfA, ompT, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETYN000000000
LS 06	LSC 6-3	Beef	O168:H8	718	-	stx2b/2d	-	gad, iha, hra, lpfA, terC, traT	aph(6)-Id, aph(3")-Ib, sul2, tet(B)	JAETZC000000000
AT 09	ATC 9-6	Duck	O168:H8	718	-	stx2d	-	gad, hra, iha, lpfA, terC, traT	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id, sul2, tet(B)	JAETYO000000000
AT 07	ATC 7-7	Horse	O166:H28	1819	stx1c	stx2b	-	air, chuA, eilA, ehxA, gad, iha, hra, ireA, iss, iucC, iutA, kpsE, ompT, senB, sitA, subA, terC, traT	-	JAETYU000000000
AT 36	ATC 36-6	Chicken	O176:H4	57	stx1c	-	-	chuA, espI, fyuA, ehxA, gad, iha, ireA, irp2, iss, kpsE, subA, terC	-	JAETYQ000000000
AT 06	ATB 6-118	Beef	O183:H18	657	stx1a	stx2a	-	chuA, cvaC, epeA, espP, ehxA, iha, iss, lpfA, ompT, subA, terC, traT	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id, bla _{TEM-1}	JAEUYO0000000000

Table 2. Cont.

Abbreviations: nd, not determined; ST, sequence type; +, presence of gene(s); -, absence of gene(s).



Figure 3. Minimum-spanning trees based on cgMLST allelic profiles of six Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) isolated from RMBD for pets and genome-sequenced clinical STEC available in the database of the Swiss National Reference Centre for Enteropathogenic Bacteria and *Listeria* (NENT) in Switzerland. The numbers on connecting lines represent the number of allelic differences between two strains. Strains from this study are indicated with a star.

3.5. Transmissible Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

Among the 28 STEC strains, a total of six (21%) carried multiple transmissible AMR (Table 2). Genes encompassed aminoglycoside resistance genes aac(3)-IIe (n = 1), aadA (n = 1), aph(3')-Ia (n = 1), aph(3'')-Ib (n = 2), and aph(6)-Id) (n = 4); sulphonamide resistance genes sul1 (n = 1) and sul2 (n = 4); trimethoprim resistance gene drfA1(n = 1); and tetracycline resistance genes tet(A) (n = 1) and tet(B) (n = 3). Two strains (ATB 4-67 and ATB 6-118, respectively) carried the β -lactamase gene $bla_{\text{TEM-1}}$. The distribution of transmissible AMR genes among the STEC strains is given in Table 2.

4. Discussion

While recent years have seen a rise in popularity of feeding pets RMBDs, there is rising concern that this trend may come with the risk of exposure to zoonotic pathogens, including STECs. STECs constitute part of the flora of the gastrointestinal tract of a variety of healthy domestic and wild animals and may therefore contaminate meat during slaughter, evisceration, processing, and packing [1,2,34].

In this study, the presence of *stx1* and *stx2* genes was detected in 59% of the enrichment cultures, indicating that the overall contamination of STEC among RMBDs is high. In the majority (69%) of the *stx*-positive samples, the isolation of STEC strains confirmed the presence of the *stx* genes in viable bacterial cells. With an overall prevalence of 41%, the level of STEC contamination in the present study is considerably higher than what another study found previously, where STEC was isolated from 4% of raw pet food in the US [10]. It is also higher than the prevalence of 14% reported during an investigation of raw meat for dogs in the UK [11]. However, comparative data are still scarce, and discrepancies between results of different studies may be due to differences in the testing methodologies. Nevertheless, the present study provides evidence that the occurrence of STEC in RMBDs may currently be underestimated.

The pathogenic potential of STEC may vary according to the presence or absence of a variety of virulence factors. Thus, in addition to serotyping, comprehensive virulence gene profiling is important for risk assessment.

Whole-genome sequencing revealed a high diversity of serotypes that included STEC O26:H11, O91:H10, O91:H14, O145:H28, O146:H21, and O146:H28, which are within the most common non-O157 serogroups associated with human illness in Europe and Switzerland [19,21,35]. The most frequently identified serotypes in the present study were O91:H14 and O146:H21. STEC O91:H14 is a causative agent of mild-to-severe diarrhea and abdominal pain [21,36–38], whereas O146:H21 is also found in healthy human carriers [39].

Notably, the serotype most frequently associated with HC and HUS, STEC O157:H7, was not detected in the present study, indicating that STEC O157:H7 may not be considered a suitable marker for STEC detection in RMBDs.

In our study, we found that 29% of the STEC isolated from RMBD harbored *stx2a* or *stx2d*, which are the *stx* subtypes that have the strongest association with HUS [14].

Other toxin genes, including *ehxA* and *subA* found in 57% and 61% of the STEC in this study, are considered important virulence markers for STEC pathogenesis and are frequently detected among human clinical isolates [21,35].

Despite the vast majority (93%) of the strains being negative for the *eae* gene, 25 of the 28 strains harbored *iha*, which is thought to contribute to pathogenicity of *eae*-negative STEC by facilitating attachment to intestinal cells [40]. Taken together, our data indicate that the STECs occurring in RMBDs have the potential to cause disease in humans.

By contrast, STEC-related illness appears to be rare in companion animals [41]. However, there are several studies that provide evidence for the intestinal carriage of STEC in dogs and cats, highlighting their potential epidemiological role as a source for human STEC infections [42–45]. Hence, it is possible that pets fed RMBDs contaminated with STEC could serve as asymptomatic shedders through their feces, transmitting the pathogen to humans and into the environment.

Interestingly, ten (36%) strains harbored one or more virulence factors, namely *fyuA*, *kpsE*, and *papC*, which are characteristic of extra-intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC), including uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC) [46–48]. STEC/ExPEC hybrid strains are rarely reported, but, nevertheless, they must be considered high-risk pathogens due to the possibility of a systemic infection in combination with gastrointestinal disease [49]. Furthermore, *papC* and *fyuA* are also prevalent among *E. coli* causing urinary tract infection (UTI) in cats and dogs [50,51]. Therefore, our data indicate that a subset of STEC present in RMBDs may have the potential to cause disease in pets as well as in humans.

Finally, in this study, six (21%) strains, including one STEC/ExPEC strain, carried two or more transmissible AMR genes, predominantly genes conferring resistance to aminoglycosides, which are antimicrobials categorized by the World Health Organization (WHO) as critically important in human medicine [52]. These findings are consistent with previous data that document the presence of AMR genes on mobile genetic elements in STEC [53]. AMR in STEC is worrisome because of the likelihood of horizontal transfer of resistance genes to other pathogens. In view of the ongoing global antimicrobial resistance problem, feeding RMBD to dogs that are undergoing antimicrobial treatment should be reconsidered in order to avoid selection and dissemination of AMR bacteria.

5. Conclusions

This study identified commercially available RMBDs as a potential source of STEC, including strains with serotypes, *stx* subtypes, and other virulence traits that are associated with human disease, such as BD, HC, and HUS. In view of the low infectious dose and potential severity of disease manifestations, the high occurrence of potentially harmful STEC in RMBDs represents a risk of infection for persons handling raw pet food and for persons with close contact to pets fed on RMBDs. Our data provide further evidence for the public health risks of raw feeding and highlight the importance of promoting awareness among veterinary and public-health agencies, RMBD suppliers, and pet owners, with the need to focus on safe and hygienic handling of RMBD to protect human and animal health.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/microorganisms9081556/s1. Table S1: Sample analysis. Table S2: Phylogenetic analysis I. Table S3: Phylogenetic analysis II.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.T. and R.S.; methodology, A.T. and N.C.; software, M.J.A.S.; formal analysis, A.T., M.N.-I. and M.J.A.S.; investigation, A.T.; resources, R.S.; data curation, M.J.A.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.T. and M.N.-I.; writing—review and editing, M.N.-I.

and R.S.; visualization, M.J.A.S.; supervision, R.S.; project administration, R.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: This whole-genome shotgun project was deposited at DDBJ/ENA/ GenBank under the accession numbers JAETXY000000000–JAEUYO000000000. The versions described in this paper are versions JAETXY000000000.1–JAEUYO000000000.1 (https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/nuccore, accessed on 1 July 2021). Raw sequence data are also available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of the NCBI under BioProject no. PRJNA694525 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/, accessed on 1 July 2021).

Acknowledgments: This work was partly supported by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Division Communicable Diseases.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. O'Halloran, C. Raw food diets for companion carnivores: An untapped panacea or a disaster waiting to happen. *Companion Anim.* **2020**, *25*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 2. Davies, R.H.; Lawes, J.R.; Wales, A.D. Raw diets for dogs and cats: A review, with particular reference to microbiological hazards. *J. Small Anim. Pract.* **2019**, *60*, 329–339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Freeman, L.M.; Chandler, M.L.; Hamper, B.A.; Weeth, L.P. Current knowledge about the risks and benefits of raw meat-based diets for dogs and cats. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 2013, 243, 1549–1558. [CrossRef]
- 4. Dillitzer, N.; Becker, N.; Kienzle, E. Intake of minerals, trace elements and vitamins in bone and raw food rations in adult dogs. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2011**, *106*, S53–S56. [CrossRef]
- 5. Hellgren, J.; Hästö, L.S.; Wikström, C.; Fernström, L.L.; Hansson, I. Occurrence of *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, *Clostridium* and Enterobacteriaceae in raw meat-based diets for dogs. *Vet. Rec.* **2019**, *184*, 442. [CrossRef]
- van Bree, F.P.J.; Bokken, G.C.A.M.; Mineur, R.; Franssen, F.; Opsteegh, M.; van der Giessen, J.W.B.; Lipman, L.J.A.; Overgaauw, P.A.M. Zoonotic bacteria and parasites found in raw meat-based diets for cats and dogs. *Vet. Rec* 2018, 182, 50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weese, J.S.; Rousseau, J.; Arroyo, L. 2005 Bacteriological evaluation of commercial canine and feline raw diets. *Can. Vet. J.* 2018, 46, 513–516.
- Anonymous. Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 of 25 February 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down health rules as regards animal by-products and derived products not intended for human consumption and implementing Council Directive 97/78/EC as regards certain samples and items exempt from veterinary checks at the border under that Directive. *Off. J. Eur. Commun.* 2011, 54, 1–254.
- 9. Finley, R.; Reid-Smith, R.; Weese, J.S. Human health implications of *Salmonella*-contaminated natural pet treats and raw pet food. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, *42*, 686–691. [CrossRef]
- Nemser, S.M.; Doran, T.; Grabenstein, M.; McConnell, T.; McGrath, T.; Pamboukian, R.; Smith, A.C.; Achen, M.; Danzeisen, G.; Kim, S.; et al. Investigation of *Listeria, Salmonella*, and toxigenic *Escherichia coli* in various pet foods. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 2014, 11, 706–709. [CrossRef]
- 11. Byrne, L.; Aird, H.; Jorgensen, F.; Kaindama, L.; Jenkins, C. *Investigation into An Outbreak of Shiga Toxin Producing Escherichia coli* (STEC) O157 PT 21/28 Stx2 in England, August 2017; Public Health England Publications: London, UK, 2018.
- 12. Karch, H.; Tarr, P.I.; Bielaszewska, M. Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in human medicine. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 2005, 295, 405–418. [CrossRef]
- 13. Yang, X.; Bai, X.; Zhang, J.; Sun, H.; Fu, S.; Fan, R.; He, X.; Scheutz, F.; Matussek, A.; Xiong, Y. *Escherichia coli* strains producing a novel Shiga toxin 2 subtype circulate in China. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **2020**, *310*, 151377. [CrossRef]
- 14. Scheutz, F. Taxonomy meets public health: The case of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli. Microbiol. Spectr. 2014, 2. [CrossRef]
- 15. Fuller, C.A.; Pellino, C.A.; Flagler, M.J.; Strasser, J.E.; Weiss, A.A. Shiga toxin subtypes display dramatic differences in potency. *Infect. Immun.* **2011**, *79*, 1329–1337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Friedrich, A.W.; Bielaszewska, M.; Zhang, W.L.; Pulz, M.; Kuczius, T.; Ammon, A.; Karch, H. *Escherichia coli* harboring Shiga toxin 2 gene variants: Frequency and association with clinical symptoms. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2002**, *185*, 74–84. [CrossRef]
- 17. Stephan, R.; Hoelzle, L.E. Characterization of Shiga toxin type 2 variant B-subunit in *Escherichia coli* strains from asymptomatic human carriers by PCR-RFLP. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2000**, *31*, 139–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Kaper, J.B.; Nataro, J.P.; Mobley, H.L. Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2004, 2, 123–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Koutsoumanis, K.; Allende, A.; Alvarez-Ordóñez, A.; Bover-Cid, S.; Chemaly, M.; Davies, R.; De Cesare, A.; Herman, L.; Hilbert, F. Pathogenicity assessment of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) and the public health risk posed by contamination of food with STEC. *EFSA J.* 2020, 18, e05967.
- Paton, A.W.; Srimanote, P.; Talbot, U.M.; Wang, H.; Paton, J.C. A new family of potent AB(5) cytotoxins produced by Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli*. J. Exp. Med. 2004, 200, 35–46. [CrossRef]
- Nüesch-Inderbinen, M.; Morach, M.; Cernela, N.; Althaus, D.; Jost, M.; Mäusezahl, M.; Bloomberg, G.; Stephan, R. Serotypes and virulence profiles of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated during 2017 from human infections in Switzerland. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 2018, 308, 933–939. [CrossRef]
- Majowicz, S.E.; Scallan, E.; Jones-Bitton, A.; Sargeant, J.M.; Stapleton, J.; Angulo, F.J.; Yeung, D.H.; Kirk, M.D. Global incidence of human Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* infections and deaths: A systematic review and knowledge synthesis. *Foodborne Path. Dis.* 2014, 11, 447–455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Joseph, A.; Cointe, A.; Mariani Kurkdjian, P.; Rafat, C.; Hertig, A. Shiga toxin-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome: A narrative review. *Toxins* 2020, 12, 67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kintz, E.; Brainard, J.; Hooper, L.; Hunter, P. Transmission pathways for sporadic Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2017**, 220, 57–67. [CrossRef]
- 25. EURL (European Union Reference Laboratory). Identification and Characterization of Verocytotoxin-Producing Escherichia coli (VTEC) by PCR Amplification of the Main Virulence Genes. 2013. Available online: http://old.iss.it/binary/vtec/cont/EU_RL_VTEC_Method_01_Rev_0.pdf (accessed on 1 June 2020).
- 26. Seemann, T. 2019 Shovill. Available online: https://github.com/tseemann/shovill (accessed on 20 January 2021).
- Bankevich, A.; Nurk, S.; Antipov, D.; Gurevich, A.A.; Dvorkin, M.; Kulikov, A.S.; Lesin, V.M.; Nikolenko, S.I.; Pham, S.; Prjibelski, A.D.; et al. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 2012, 19, 455–477. [CrossRef]
- Tatusova, T.; DiCuccio, M.; Badretdin, A.; Chetvernin, V.; Nawrocki, E.P.; Zaslavsky, L.; Lomsadze, A.; Pruitt, K.D.; Borodovsky, M.; Ostell, J. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, 44, 6614–6624. [CrossRef]
- EURL (European Union Reference Laboratory). Identification of the Subtypes of Verocytotoxin Encoding Genes (vtx) of Escherichia coli by Conventional PCR; EU-RL VTEC_Method_006_Rev 1; EU Reference Laboratory for E. coli. 2013. Available online: https://www.iss.it/documents/20126/1049000/EU_RL_VTEC_Method_06_Rev_1.pdf/1ad8f0c6-8a1b-21c7-dddb-4af2 8f440822?t=1576447091624 (accessed on 1 June 2020).
- Joensen, K.G.; Tetzschner, A.M.; Iguchi, A.; Aarestrup, F.M.; Scheutz, F. Rapid and easy in silico serotyping of *Escherichia coli* isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2015, 53, 2410–2426. [CrossRef]
- Joensen, K.G.; Scheutz, F.; Lund, O.; Hasman, H.; Kaas, R.S.; Nielsen, E.M.; Aarestrup, F.M. Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak detection of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2014, 52, 1501–1510. [CrossRef]
- 32. Bortolaia, V.; Kaas, R.S.; Ruppe, E.; Roberts, M.C.; Schwarz, S.; Cattoir, V.; Philippon, A.; Allesoe, R.L.; Rebelo, A.R.; Florensa, A.F.; et al. ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. *J. Antimicrob Chemother.* **2020**, *75*, 3491–3500. [CrossRef]
- Jolley, K.A.; Maiden, M.C. BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. *BMC Bioinform*. 2010, 11, 595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Karmali, M.A.; Gannon, V.; Sargeant, J.M. Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC). *Vet. Microbiol.* 2010, 140, 360–370. [CrossRef]
- 35. Fierz, L.; Cernela, N.; Hauser, E.; Nüesch-Inderbinen, M.; Stephan, R. Characteristics of Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated during 2010-2014 from human infections in Switzerland. *Front. Microbiol.* **2017**, *8*, 1471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Feng, P.C.H.; Delannoy, S.; Lacher, D.W.; Bosilevac, J.M.; Fach, P.; Beutin, L. Shiga toxin-producing serogroup O91 Escherichia coli strains isolated from food and environmental samples. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2017, 83, e01231-17. [CrossRef]
- Maeda, E.; Murakami, K.; Etoh, Y.; Onozuka, D.; Sera, N.; Asoshima, N.; Honda, M.; Narimatsu, H.; Iyoda, S.; Watahiki, M.; et al. Does sequence type 33 of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O91 cause only mild symptoms? *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2015, 53, 362–364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mellmann, A.; Fruth, A.; Friedrich, A.W.; Wieler, L.H.; Harmsen, D.; Werber, D.; Middendorf, B.; Bielaszewska, M.; Karch, H. Phylogeny and disease association of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O91. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2009, 15, 1474–1477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Stephan, R.; Untermann, F. Virulence factors and phenotypical traits of verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from asymptomatic human carriers. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1999**, 37, 1570–1572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tarr, P.I.; Bilge, S.S.; Vary, J.C.; Jelacic, S.; Habeeb, R.L.; Ward, T.R.; Baylor, M.R.; Besser, T.E. Iha: A novel *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 adherence-conferring molecule encoded on a recently acquired chromosomal island of conserved structure. *Infect. Immun.* 2000, 68, 1400–1407. [CrossRef]
- 41. Beutin, L.; Geier, D.; Steinrück, H.; Zimmermann, S.; Scheutz, F. Prevalence and some properties of verotoxin (Shiga-like toxin)-producing *Escherichia coli* in seven different species of healthy domestic animals. *J. Cin. Microbiol.* **1993**, *31*, 2483–2488. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kim, J.S.; Lee, M.S.; Kim, J.H. Recent updates on outbreaks of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and its potential reservoirs. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* **2020**, 10, 273. [CrossRef]

- 43. Galarce, N.; Escobar, B.; Sánchez, F.; Paredes-Osses, E.; Alegría-Morán, R.; Borie, C. Virulence genes, Shiga toxin subtypes, serogroups, and clonal relationship of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from livestock and companion animals. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 733. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bentancor, A.; Rumi, M.V.; Carbonari, C.; Gerhardt, E.; Larzábal, M.; Vilte, D.A.; Pistone-Creydt, V.; Chinen, I.; Ibarra, C.; Cataldi, A.; et al. Profile of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from dogs and cats and genetic relationships with isolates from cattle, meat and humans. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2012**, *156*, 336–342. [CrossRef]
- 45. Bentancor, A.; Rumi, M.V.; Gentilini, M.V.; Sardoy, C.; Irino, K.; Agostini, A.; Cataldi, A. Shiga toxin-producing and attaching and effacing *Escherichia coli* in cats and dogs in a high hemolytic uremic syndrome incidence region in Argentina. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2007**, 267, 251–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Spurbeck, R.R.; Dinh, P.C.; Walk, S.T.; Stapleton, A.E.; Hooton, T.M.; Nolan, L.K.; Kim, K.S.; Johnson, J.R.; Mobley, H.L.T. *Escherichia coli* isolates that carry vat, *fyuA*, *chuA*, and *yfcV* efficiently colonize the urinary tract. *Infect. Immun.* 2012, *80*, 4115–4122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Johnson, J.R.; Stell, A.L. Extended virulence genotypes of *Escherichia coli* strains from patients with urosepsis in relation to phylogeny and host compromise. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2000**, *181*, 261–272. [CrossRef]
- Malberg Tetzschner, A.M.; Johnson, J.R.; Johnston, B.D.; Lund, O.; Scheutz, F. In silico genotyping of *Escherichia coli* isolates for extraintestinal virulence genes by use of whole-genome sequencing data. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2020, *58*, e01269–20. [CrossRef]
- 49. Santos, A.C.M.; Santos, F.F.; Silva, R.M.; Gomes, T.A.T. Diversity of hybrid- and hetero-pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and their potential implication in more severe diseases. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2020**, *10*, 339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zogg, A.L.; Zurfluh, K.; Schmitt, S.; Nüesch-Inderbinen, M.; Stephan, R. Antimicrobial resistance, multilocus sequence types and virulence profiles of ESBL producing and non-ESBL producing uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from cats and dogs in Switzerland. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2018, 216, 79–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Siqueira, A.K.; Ribeiro, M.G.; Leite, D.S.; Tiba, M.R.; Moura, C.; Lopes, M.D.; Prestes, N.C.; Salerno, T.; Silva, A.V. Virulence factors in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from urinary tract infection and pyometra cases and from feces of healthy dogs. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **2009**, *86*, 206–210. [CrossRef]
- World Health Organization. Critically Important Antimicrobials for Human Medicine 6th Revision; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2019. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241515528 (accessed on 1 March 2021).
- 53. Mir, R.A.; Kudva, I.T. Antibiotic-resistant Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: An overview of prevalence and intervention strategies. *Zoonoses Public Health.* **2019**, *66*, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]