Published online 2016 July 18.

Research Article

Predictors of Health-Promoting Behaviors in Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery Patients: An Application of Pender's Health Promotion Model

Hossein Mohsenipoua,¹ Fereshteh Majlessi,² Davood Shojaeizadeh,^{2,*} Abbas Rahimiforooshani,³

Rahman Ghafari,⁴ and Valiollah Habibi⁴

¹Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, International Campus (TUMS- IC), Tehran, IR Iran
²Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran
³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran
⁴Department of Cardiac Surgery, School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, IR Iran

^{*} *Corresponding author*: Davood Shojaeizadeh, Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran. Fax: +98-2188896696, E-mail: shojae5@yahoo.com

Received 2016 April 30; Revised 2016 May 19; Accepted 2016 June 18.

Abstract

Background: Advances in coronary artery surgery have reduced patient morbidity and mortality. Nevertheless, patients still have to face physical, psychological, and social problems after discharge from hospital.

Objectives: The objective of this study was to determine the efficacy of Pender's health promotion model in predicting cardiac surgery patients' lifestyles in Iran.

Methods: This cross-sectional study comprised 220 patients who had undergone coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery in Mazandaran province (Iran) in 2015. The subjects were selected using a simple random sampling method. The data were collected via (1) the health-promoting lifestyle profile II (HPLP II) and (2) a self-designed questionnaire that included two main sections: demographic characteristics and questions based on the health-promoting model constructs.

Results: Spiritual growth (28.77 \pm 5.03) and physical activity (15.79 \pm 5.08) had the highest and lowest scores in the HPLP II dimensions, respectively. All the health promotion model variables were significant predictors of health-promoting behaviors and explained 69% of the variance in health-promoting behaviors. Three significant predictors were estimated using regression coefficients: behavioral feelings (β = 0.390, P < 0.001), perceived benefits (β = 0.209, P < 0.001), and commitment to a plan of action (β = 0.347, P < 0.001).

Conclusions: According to the results of the study, health-promoting model-based self-care behaviors can help identify and predict cardiac surgery patients' lifestyles in Iran. This pattern can be used as a framework for discharge planning and the implementation of educational interventions to improve the lifestyles of CABG patients.

Keywords: Self-Care Behavior, Health Promotion Model, Coronary Artery Bypass, Healthy Lifestyle

1. Background

According to a world health organization (WHO) report in 2011, cardiovascular disease causes 45% of deaths among Iranian people (1). Coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as the most comprehensive treatment of coronary heart disease, has stood the test of time, having been in use for nearly 50 years (2). In the United States, 400,000 such surgeries are performed annually (3). CABG is carried out on 60% of patients suffering from coronary artery ischemic diseases (CHD) (4). Due to the inherent progression of CHD and the development of vein graft atherosclerosis, patients treated with CABG are at risk of subsequent ischemic events during the months and years following the procedure (2). Even though CABG has im-

proved in terms of its success rate, patients still have to deal with a number of physical, psychological, and social problems in the period following discharge from hospital (5). The side effects reported by patients after CABG include a variety of problems such as postoperative pain, insomnia, changes in appetite or taste, chest pain, respiratory difficulties, arrhythmia, palpitations, numbness of the arms, abdominal distention, weight loss, anxiety related to the treatment and their ability to adhere to the recommended physical activity schedule, weakness, headache, vertigo, depression, nausea, and vomiting (6). Patients undergoing cardiac surgery must be well-informed of the potential problems, activity enhancements, nutrition, and medication beforehand since the success of the surgery is highly dependent on the patients' understanding of the disease

Copyright © 2016, Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

and the required activities, including the diet and medical regimen, required after surgery (7). The American heart association has divided the risk factors of coronary artery disease into two categories, namely modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors. The non-modifiable risk factors, such as age, gender, family history, and genetic factors, cannot be modified or controlled, while smoking, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, lack of physical activity, obesity, and diabetes mellitus, which can be controlled, comprise the modifiable risk factors (8).

Self care is the process by which a person takes care of him/herself through a number of health-providing activities, the basic principle of which is for the person to contribute to the process and to take responsibility for him/herself (9). In the cardiovascular literature, self care refers to symptom response, the adherence to treatment recommendations, and the adoption of healthy lifestyle behaviors, like smoking cessation and weight management (10). This process can take place in several ways and be delivered by either peer leaders or health providers, such as on a one-to-one basis between the patient and healthcare provider or via disease-specific group education programs, in clinical locations or at the patient's home (11).

Research on self-care behaviors among patients with cardiovascular diseases indicates poor self care in these patients, which therefore necessitates and emphasizes the need for further training in this regard (6, 9, 12). Many patients do not really believe in the positive effects of self-care behaviors on symptomatic relief and lifestyle improvement. This can influence their adherence to self-care behaviors and consequently lead to the patients' lack of motivation to display such behaviors (13).

The existing problems with regard to the creation, maintenance, and improvement of self-care and healthpromoting behaviors, as well as the complex nature of these behaviors, require that behavior change theories or models be used in this field. This is because theories identify the factors influencing the concerned behavior, specify the relationships between these factors, and present the conditions, methods, and timings of these relationships (14). One of the most comprehensive and predictive models used to help study health-promoting and self-care behaviors and create a theoretical framework to discover the factors influencing such behaviors is Pender's health promotion model (HPM).

The model includes three basic components that influence health-promoting behaviors: (i) individual characteristics and experiences (prior related behaviors and personal factors); (ii) behavior-specific cognitions and affect (perceived benefits of action, perceived barriers of action, perceived self-efficacy, situational influences ,interpersonal influences, and activity-related affect); and (iii) desirable health-promoting behaviors (adherence to specific plans of action)(15). Although this model has been applied in various studies and its predictive power has been proved (15-19), to the best of our knowledge no studies have been conducted thus far on the model's ability to predict health-promoting behaviors and the lifestyles of heart surgery patients.

According to the WHO, lifestyle is the primary contributor to the burden of death in both developed (70% - 80%) and developing (50% - 60%) countries (20). Given that a lack of patient knowledge of self care may lead to higher healthcare system costs in Iran, one might conclude that healthy living and self-care behaviors are important issues that need to be addressed among cardiac surgery patients. The question raised in this research was therefore whether HPM-based self-care behaviors can play an active role in identifying and predicting the lifestyles of heart surgery patients.

2. Objectives

In the present study, the researchers conducted a survey to determine the efficacy of Pender's HPM in predicting cardiac surgery patients' lifestyles in Iran.

3. Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the educational and treatment heart center hospital of the University of Medical Sciences and the medical specialized center in Sari, Iran, from March to September 2015. The educational and treatment heart center hospital is a governmental, specialized, and referral hospital with 220 beds and 20 wards located in northern Iran. It offers treatment by the most professional physicians in this field and region. Additionally, the Tooba Medical Center is the first specialized center in the region. The city of Sari is the capital of Mazandaran Province and one of the main tourist destinations in Iran.

3.1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria included being conscious, being willing to participate in the study, having had the surgery at least one month prior to participation in the study, not being in an emergency condition, and not suffering from any speech or hearing problems.

3.2. Sample Size Consideration

More than 30% of the patients had self-care abilities, as indicated in previous reports (6). The sample size was calculated using the following formula (Equation 1):

$$n = \frac{z^2 p \left(1 - p\right)}{d^2}$$
(1)

Considering that Z = 1.96, P = 30%, and d = 0.061, 220 patients were considered for this study (Equation 2).

$$N = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.3 (1 - 0.3)}{(0.061)^2}$$

= 216.806
\approx 220

Hence, a list of 325 patients with at least a one-month lapse since their surgery was provided. Subsequently, 220 patients were randomly selected from among them.

3.3. Instruments

The data were collected by means of (1) the healthpromoting lifestyle profile II (HPLP II) questionnaire and (2) a self-designed questionnaire comprising two main sections: demographic characteristics (11 items), which included age, gender, marital status, educational level, lodging, and economic and employment status; body mass index (BMI); ejection fraction (EF); and questions based on the HPM constructs (97 items).

The HPLP II is a reliable and validated questionnaire specifically about health-promoting lifestyles and was designed in accordance with Pender's model. The instrument consists of 52 items in six domains: nutrition (9 items) is what a person eats; physical activity (8 items) is concerned with the amount of exercise necessary for a healthy life; spiritual growth (9 items) is a person's positive attitude toward him/herself, the ability to improve his/her capabilities, and creativeness in reaching his/her objectives; health responsibility (9 items) is a person's sensitivity about his/her health; stress management (8 items) is the ability to identify the stresses a person has and how to overcome the stress-generating factors; and interpersonal relationships (9 items) is concerned with the ability to interact and maintain relationships with others. Each item is presented in four incremental levels, namely (1) never, (2) sometimes, (3) often, and (4) always (21).

In the self-designed questionnaire, the HPM-related items to adopt self-care behaviors included:

- Prior related behavior: the evaluation of qualitative and quantitative scales of self care in the past (40 items in 9 sections: nutrition and diet therapy, wound care, physical activity, daily activities, sleep and rest, the removal of harmful habits, medication orders, sexual activity, and going to the doctor).

- Perceived self-efficacy: the perceived ability to care for the self (20 items, 9 sections)

- Behavioral feelings: the subject's feelings before, during, and after the action based on the proportion of the stimulating factor in relation to the behavioral event (5 items)

- Perceived benefits (4 items)

- Perceived barriers: the subject's perceptions of what hinders self care (e.g., lack of time, cost, and lack of facilities)(9 items)

- Interpersonal influences: the subject's comments about the emotional and practical support they receive from family members and intimate friends (13 items in two sections: individual norms and social support)

- Situational influences: includes perceiving the current options, the characteristics of the request, and the environment before enacting a special behavior (4 items)

- Commitment to a plan of action (2 items)

Each item was presented in five incremental levels. The content validity of the instrument was CVI = 0.90 and CVR = 0.84, with the Cronbach's alpha coefficient being 0.77 for the total scale and 0.72 to 0.82 for the subscales. The ratings were summed for a possible range of scores from 97 to 485.

Amos 22 (manufacturer's name, city, country or state if in the US) was used to assess the model fit of the obtained data. The Kaiser index of sampling adequacy obtained during factor analysis was 0.76. Moreover, the chisquared value for Bartlett's test was P < 0.001. The goodness of fit indexes (GFIs) and the direct and indirect effects of each of the instruments are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The chi-squared index (χ^2), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) index, the GFI, the adjusted GFI, the normalized fitness index (NFI), and lastly, the comparative fit index (CFI) were found to be 0.003 (P = 0.957), 0.001, 0.98, 0.93, 0.97, and 0.99, respectively.

Table 1. Results of the Fitting Test Indexes of the Final Model

Index	Acceptable Domain	Model Fit Summary
χ^2	P> 0.05	0.957
RMSEA	RMSEA < 0.05	0.001
GFI	GFI> 0.9	0.98
AGFI	AGFI > 0.9	0.93
NFI	NFI> 0.9	0.97
CFI	CFI > 0.9	0.99

Abbreviations: AGFI, adjusted goodness of fit index; CFI, comparative fit indices; GFI; goodness of fit index; NFI, normal fit index; RMSA, root mean square error of approximation.

	Standardized	l Total Effects	Standardized	Direct Effects	Standardized Indirect Effects				
	Previous Behavior	Behavior-Specific Cognitive	Previous Behavior	Behavior-Specific Cognitive	Previous Behavior	Behavior-Specific Cognitive			
Behavior- specific cognitive	0.683	0.000	0.683	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Behavioral outcomes	0.290	0.424	0.000	0.424	0.290	0.000			
Perceived self-efficacy	0.606	0.887	0.000	0.887	0.606	0.000			
Feelings related to behavior	0.065	0.096	0.000	0.096	0.065	0.000			
Perceived benefits	0.382	0.558	0.000	0.558	0.382	0.000			
Perceived barriers	-0.192	-0.280	0.000	-0.280	0.192-	0.000			
Interpersonal influences	0.445	0.652	0.000	0.652	0.445	0.000			
Situational influences	0.110	0.161	0.000	0.161	0.110	0.000			

Table 2. Summary of the Estimated Parameters for the Standardized Total Effects, and Standardized Direct and Indirect Effects of the Final Model

3.4. Collection Method Information

The data were collected by visiting the patients in their homes. Before visiting them, they were contacted telephonically and the research objectives explained to them along with the required explanations regarding the purpose of the contact. Their consent to participate in the study was also obtained. Thus, with the individuals' permission, their addresses were requested, and the submission date of the completed questionnaire was specified. The questionnaires were handed to the patients and their written informed consent obtained when visiting them in their homes. In cases when the patients were unable to respond to the questionnaire on their own, the researcher would read out the questions to them and the patients would select the appropriate answers verbally.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

SPSS software version 22 (manufacturer's name, city, country or state if in the US) was used to analyze the data. In all the analyses, the normalizing of the data distribution was evaluated using the skewness and kurtosis test. Descriptive statistics, including frequency, percentage, and mean and standard deviation, were used to describe the demographic characteristics and health-promoting behaviors of the patients. The independent variables (HPM characteristics) were entered in a multiple linear regression to predict the effect of each dependent variable (lifestyle characteristics). P < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

3.6. Ethical Considerations

The ethics committee at Tehran University of Medical Sciences provided ethics approval for the study, which is part of a PhD thesis in the field of health education and promotion at the international campus, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, with the code IRCT2015001248742N6 (grant ID: 28217). A brief explanation of the study was given to the patients who met the inclusion criteria, and informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

4. Results

Table 3 indicates the demographic characteristics of the participants. Of a total of 220 participants, 143 (65%) were male. Half of the participants were in the age group 54 - 66 years. Eighty-four participants (38.2%) had only passed elementary school, and 203 (92.3%) of them were married. In total, 183 (83.2%) of the patients had EF > 40%. More than half of them were overweight (BMI: 25 - 29.9).

Table 4 shows the mean and SD of the HPBs among the cardiac surgery patients. The mean score of the HPLP of the patients was 146.79 \pm 21.97. While the spiritual growth dimension had the highest score (28.77 \pm 5.03), physical activity received the lowest score (15.79 \pm 5.08).

The results of the multiple regression analysis (Table 5) showed that all the HPM variables were predictors, thus explaining 46% of the variance in health responsibility. Two significant predictors, namely perceived benefits and commitment to a plan of action, were estimated to have regression coefficients of 0.318 (P < 0.001) and 0.265 (P < 0.001), respectively.

A regression analysis was carried out to study the effects of the HPM variables on physical activity. All the HPM variables were predictors that could explain 48% of the variance in physical activity. The three most significant predictors of physical activity were behavioral feelings (β = 0.298, P < 0.001), perceived benefits (β = 0.153, P = 0.035), and commitment to a plan of action (β = 0.231, P < 0.001).

Table 3. Demographic Characteristics of the Coronar	y Artery Bypass Surgery Patients (N = 220)
---	--

Age, y 54 38 - 53 57(25.9) 54 - 66 10(50) 67 - 80 53(24.1) Sex 143(65) Fenale 143(65) Occupation 77(35) Bovernmental job 74(33.6) Huwekeener 77(23.2)
38-53 57(25.9) 54-66 110(50) 67-80 53(24.1) Sex 143(65) Fenale 143(65) Occupation 77(35) Hunckeepert 74(33.6) Hunckeepert 77(23.2)
54-66 10(50) 67-80 53(241) Sex 143(65) Fenale 143(65) Occupation 77(35) Governmental job 74(33.6) Hunekeener 77(237)
67-80 53(24) Sex 143(65) Male 143(65) Fenale 77(35) Occupation 74(33.6) Hunckeeper 77(227)
Sex 143 (65) Male 143 (65) Female 77 (35) Occupation 74 (33.6) Housekeeper 77 (23.7)
Male 143 (65) Female 77 (35) Occupation 74 (33.6) Hungkeeper 77 (23.7)
Female 77(35) Occupation 74(33.6) Hunschemper 77(23.7)
Occupation 74(33.6) Governmental job 74(33.6) Hunsekeeper
Governmental job 74 (33.6) Huisekeeper 72 (23.7)
Hausekeeper 71/22 71
12(32.7)
Self-employed 74 (33.6)
Educational level
illiterate 36(16.4)
Primary 84(38.2)
High school 43 (19.5)
Diploma 37(16.8)
University 20 (9.1)
Lodging
City 124 (56.4)
Village 96(43.6)
EF,% 37(16.8)
≤ 40 183(832)
> 40
BMi ^a , kgjm ²
18.5 • 24.9 52 (23.7)
25-29.9 121(55)
30 and more 47(21.4)
Hypertension
Yes 77 (35)
No 143(65)
Diabetes
Yes 89 (40.5)
No 131 (59.5)
Dyslipidemia
Yes 54 (24.5)
No 166(75.5)
Smoking
Yes 34 (15.5)
No 186(84.5)

Abbreviation: EF, ejection fraction. ^a According to the world health organization, body mass index (BMI) can be divided into four categories: low weight (less than 18.5), normal (18.5 - 24.99), overweight (25 - 29.99), and obese (30 and above).

Table 4. Health-Promoting Behavior Scores

Scale/Subscale	Possible Range	Observed Range	Mean \pm SD**		
HPLP II total	52 - 208	95-208	146.79 ± 21.97		
Health responsibility	9 - 36	11 - 36	25.58 ± 5.51		
Physical activity	8 - 32	8 - 32	15.79 ± 5.08		
Nutrition	9 - 36	15 - 36	27.01 ± 4.49		
Spiritual growth	9 - 36	15 - 36	28.77 ± 5.03		
Interpersonal relationship	9 - 36	15 - 36	27.62 ± 4.82		
Stress management	8 - 32	13 - 32	21.75 ± 4.75		

Abbreviations: HPLP II, health-promoting lifestyle profile II; SD, standard deviation.

The findings of the study revealed that all the HPM vari-

ables were predictors that explained 55% of the variance in

Independ vari- able	lent	t Dependent Variable																			
(Pre- dic- tors)																					
	Health	Responsi	bility	Physical Activity			Nutrition			Spiritual Growth		Interpersonal Relationships		Stress Management			Health-Promoting Lifestyle				
	β	SE	P Value	β	SE	P Value	β	SE	P Value	β	SE	P Value	β	SE	P Value	β	SE	P Value	β	SE	P Value
Prior re- lated Be- hav- ior	- 0.094	0.019	0.190	- 0.041	0.017	0.563	- 0.002	0.014	0.976	- 0.028	0.014	0.646	0.073	0.015	0.268	- 0.027	0.015	0.689	- 0.019	0.060	0.746
Perceived self- efficacy	0.091	0.039	0.219	0.080	0.035	0.271	0.063	0.030	0.361	0.161	0.030	0.299	0.124	0.031	0.069	0.107	0.031	0.122	0.141	0.125	0.019
Behavior feel- ings	al 0.069	0.110	0.310	0.298	0.100	0.001	0.171	0.084	0.007	0.480	0.084	0.001	0.307	0.089	0.001	0.383	0.088	0.001	0.390	0.353	0.001
Perceived bene- fits	0.318	0.199	0.001	0.153	0.180	0.035	0.158	0.151	0.022	0.060	0.153	0.329	0.186	0.160	0.007	0.106	0.159	0.122	0.209	0.639	0.001
Perceived barri- ers	0.011	0.050	0.874	0.065	0.045	0.321	- 0.132	0.038	0.035	0.135	0.038	0.017	0.072	0.040	0.243	- 0.030	0.040	0.626	0.032	0.160	0.554
Interper: influ- ences	- 0.107	0.067	0.144	- 1.105	0.060	0.147	0.043	0.051	0.532	0.070	0.051	0.255	0.006	0.054	0.929	- 0.017	0.053	0.806	- 0.057	0.214	0.332
Situation influ- ences	al 0.006	0.351	0.918	0.083	0.318	0.179	- 0.044	0.267	0.451	- 0.078	0.269	0.139	0.067	0.282	0.246	- 0.058	0.281	0.319	- 0.013	1.125	0.793
Commitı to plan of ac- tion	0.265	0.325	0.001	0.231	0.294	0.001	0.314	0.247	0.001	0.330	0.249	0.001	0.207	0.262	0.001	0.225	0.260	0.001	0.347	1.043	0.001
R, %		64			48			55			66			57			56			69	

Table 5. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis for the Health Promotion Model Predictors of a Health-Promoting Lifestyle

nutrition. Four significant predictors were identified: behavioral feelings (β = 0.171, P = 0.007), perceived benefits (β = 0.158, P = 0.022), perceived barriers (β = -0.132, P = 0.035), and commitment to a plan of action (β = 0.314, P < 0.001).

In this study, all the HPM variables were predictors that explained 66% of the variance in spiritual growth. Behavioral feelings was estimated to have a regression coefficient of 0.480 (P < 0.001), while perceived barriers and commitment to a plan of action had regression coefficients of 0.135 (P = 0.017) and 0.330 (P < 0.001), respectively.

All the HPM variables were predictors that could explain 57% of the variance in interpersonal relationships. Behavioral feelings ($\beta = 0.307$, P < 0.001), perceived benefits ($\beta = 0.186$, P = 0.007), and commitment to a plan of action ($\beta = 0.207$, P < 0.001) were the most positive predictors of interpersonal relationships.

Moreover, with respect to the effects of the HPM variables on stress management, all the HPM variables were predictors that could explain 56% of the variance in stress management. Behavioral feelings was estimated to have a regression coefficient of 0.383 (P < 0.001), while commitment to a plan of action had a regression coefficient of 0.225 (P < 0.001).

Finally, all the HPM variables were predictors that could explain 69% of the variance in overall health-

promoting lifestyle patterns. Behavioral feeling ($\beta = 0.390$, P < 0.001), perceived benefits ($\beta = 0.209$, P < 0.001), and commitment to a plan of action ($\beta = 0.347$, P < 0.001) were the most likely predictors of overall health-promoting lifestyle patterns (Figure 1).

5. Discussion

The aim of the current study was to determine the efficacy of Pender's HPM in predicting cardiac surgery patients' lifestyles in Iran. In this study, the spiritual growth dimension had the highest mean score among the participants, which was in accordance with the findings of previous studies (22-26). Stability in life, a close relationship with God and society, and individuals having a good relationship with themselves determine spirituality. The participants' lowest score was for physical activity, which was also similar to the results of other studies (22-24, 26-28). In this study, 55% of the patients were overweight with a BMI of 25 - 29.9, which could have been the result of a lack of physical activity and the presence of chronic diseases. Many studies have shown that there is a strong inverse relationship between physical activity and the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases in healthy adults. Current clinical practice guidelines recommend that patients



with manifest cardiovascular disease do some exercise every day as a secondary prevention measure. For instance, according to a US guideline, patients should do 30 - 60 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity, like brisk walking, at least 5 days a week, but preferably every day (29).

According to our findings, all HPM variables were predictors that could explain 55% of the variance in nutrition. Nutritional behaviors can be determined by behavioral feelings, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, and a commitment to a plan of action. Mohebi et al. (2013) found the same result in their study (30). Diet is a complicated behavior that does not change easily. Previous reports have suggested that the majority of patients do not comply with dietary prescriptions (31, 32).

Regression analysis indicated that all the HPM variables were predictors that could explain 48% of the variance in physical activity. The most positive predictors of physical activity were behavioral feelings, perceived benefits, and commitment to a plan of action. Wu and Pender (2002) reported that all the variables in their study accounted for 30% of the variance in physical activity (33). The most important predictor of physical activity was perceived self-efficacy. Nevertheless, Vahedian-Shahroodi et al. (2013) concluded that, among employees, prior related behavior (β = 0.45, P < 0.000) and self-efficacy (β = 0.17, P < 0.001) were the most positive predictors of physical activity ity, with the structures of the patterns predicting 34.6% of the variance in physical activity behavior (34).

In this study, all the HPM variables were predictors that could explain 69% of the variance in the patients' overall health-promoting behaviors. This finding was partly in line with that of Bahmanpour (2011) in which all the HPM variables were statistically significant predictors of oral health behavior, explaining 42.2% of the variance (35). The results of Shin's (2008) study indicated that prior healthrelated behavior, biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors, behavior-specific cognition and impacts, environmental influences, and commitment to a plan of action were the variables that justified 73% of the variance in health-promoting behaviors (36). Notwithstanding, sample size and the target population could have caused these differences. Chiou's (2016) study revealed that no smoking, the absence of obesity, perceived risk factors, self-efficacy, perceived control of health, and family support predicted 40.6% of the variance in health-promoting behaviors (37). This result could have been a reflection of the role of nutrition, physical activity, and interpersonal communication in disease control among the study's patients.

According to the results of this study, HPM-based selfcare behaviors can help identify and predict the lifestyles of cardiac surgery patients in Iran. This pattern can be used as a framework for discharge planning and the implementation of educational interventions to improve the lifestyles of cardiac surgery patients. The results of our study showed that behavioral feelings, perceived benefits, and a commitment to a plan of action play a more prominent role compared to other factors in cardiac surgery patients' lifestyles. Therefore, it can be concluded that patients' emotions and motivations should be positively reinforced. Behavioral feelings are the subjective feelings that occur before, during, and after an activity related to the behavioral event. Behavior-related effects elicit an emotional response that is either negative or positive. Each effect is stored in the memory and recovered when considering whether to participate in the given behavior again. Behavioral feelings also influence health through self-efficacy and commitment to a plan of action. Accordingly, if patients have a positive feeling about their self-care behaviors, their self-efficacy will increase, which will lead to further positive impacts. We also believe that patients should be given a clear explanation of the benefits of positive behaviors. Perceived benefits motivate patients to develop a plan and commit to a particular behavior in order to achieve their expected goals.

The provision and testing of a suitable model for selfcare behaviors in heart surgery patients was among the strengths of this study. The research results could also pave the way for training interventions in future studies. Nevertheless, the lack of a control group to compare the lifestyles of patients in both experimental and control groups can be considered a weakness of this study.

5.1. Limitations of the Study

This study had some limitations. The data were collected through self-report questionnaires, which may possibly have tempted the participants to present more desirable images of themselves. Moreover, the participants may have tended to over- or underestimate their healthpromotion activities.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the patients and their families at the Tooba medical center, heart center hospital of the Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran, for their participation in this study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Hossein Mohsenipoua, Fereshteh Majlessi, and Davood Shojaeizadeh; analysis and interpretation of the data: Hossein Mohsenipoua, Fereshteh Majlessi, Davood Shojaeizadeh, and Abbas Rahimiforooshani; drafting of the manuscript: Hossein Mohsenipoua, Fereshteh Majlessi, and Davood Shojaeizadeh; conduction, data collection, and participation in the writing of the article: Rahman Ghafari and Valiollah Habibi.

Funding/Support: This study, as a research project (number 28217, dated 2015) was financially supported by the international campus, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

References

- 1. Alwan A, Armstrong T, Cowan M, Riley L. Noncommunicable diseases country profiles 2011. ; 2011.
- Kulik A, Ruel M, Jneid H, Ferguson TB, Hiratzka LF, Ikonomidis JS, et al. Secondary prevention after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2015;131(10):927–64. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000182. [PubMed: 25679302].
- Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Blaha MJ, et al. Executive summary: heart disease and stroke statistics-2014 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;**129**(3):399–410. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000442015.53336.12. [PubMed: 24446411].
- Serruys PW, Unger F, Sousa JE, Jatene A, Bonnier HJ, Schonberger JP, et al. Comparison of coronary-artery bypass surgery and stenting for the treatment of multivessel disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;**344**(15):1117– 24. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200104123441502. [PubMed: 11297702].
- Shuldham CM. Pre-operative education for the patient having coronary artery bypass surgery. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2001;43(2):129–37. [PubMed: 11369146].
- Direk F, Celik SS. Postoperative problems experienced by patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery and their self-care ability after discharge. *Turkish J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2012;20(3):530–5.
- Kaur N, Verma P, Singh RS. Effectiveness of planned pre-operative teaching on self-care activities for patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Nurs J India. 2007;98(6):131–2. [PubMed: 18179130].

- Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, et al. Executive summary: heart disease and stroke statistics-2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2013;**127**(1):143-52. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e318282ab8f. [PubMed: 23283859].
- Seyam S, Hidarnia AR, Tavafian SS. Self-care Education through Coping Style for Patients after Heart Surgery. J Isfahan Med School. 2011;29(135).
- 10. Riegel B. The self-care model for chronic stable angina. American Heart Association Scientific Sessions; 2009.
- Rijken M, Jones M, Heijmans M, Dixon A. Supporting selfmanagement. Caring for people with chronic conditions: a health system perspective. Edited by: Nolte E, McKee M. 2008, Maidenhead. Open University Press Google Scholar; 2011.
- Cebeci F, Celik SS. Discharge training and counselling increase selfcare ability and reduce postdischarge problems in CABG patients. *J Clin Nurs.* 2008;17(3):412–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2007.01952.x. [PubMed: 18047578].
- 13. Riegel B, Carlson B. Facilitators and barriers to heart failure self-care. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2002;**46**(4):287–95. [PubMed: 11932128].
- Norouzi A, Ghofranipour F, Heydarnia A, Tahmasebi R. Determinants of physical activity based on Health Promotion Model (HPM) in diabetic women of Karaj diabetic institute. *ISMJ.* 2010;13(1):41–51.
- Keegan JP, Chan F, Ditchman N, Chiu CY. Predictive ability of pender's health promotion model for physical activity and exercise in people with spinal cord injuries: A hierarchical regression analysis. *Rehabil Counsel Bull.* 2012:0034355212440732.
- Kuo PF, Yeh YT, Sheu SJ, Wang TF. Factors associated with future commitment and past history of human papilloma virus vaccination among female college students in northern Taiwan. J Gynecol Oncol. 2014;25(3):188–97. doi: 10.3802/jgo.2014.25.3.188. [PubMed: 25045431].
- Dehdari T, Rahimi T, Aryaeian N, Gohari MR. Effect of nutrition education intervention based on Pender's Health Promotion Model in improving the frequency and nutrient intake of breakfast consumption among female Iranian students. *Public Health Nutr.* 2014;17(3):657-66. doi: 10.1017/S1368980013000049. [PubMed: 23360695].
- Robbins LB, Pfeiffer KA, Vermeesch A, Resnicow K, You Z, An L, et al. "Girls on the Move" intervention protocol for increasing physical activity among low-active underserved urban girls: a group randomized trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;**13**:474. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-474. [PubMed: 23672272].
- Seixas NS, Neitzel R, Stover B, Sheppard L, Daniell B, Edelson J, et al. A multi-component intervention to promote hearing protector use among construction workers. *Int J Audiol.* 2011;50 Suppl 1:S46–56. doi: 10.3109/14992027.2010.525754. [PubMed: 21091403].
- Dickey RA, Janick JJ. Lifestyle modifications in the prevention and treatment of hypertension. *Endocr Pract.* 2001;7(5):392–9. doi: 10.4158/EP.7.5.392. [PubMed: 11585378].
- Mohamadian H, Ghannaee M, Kortdzanganeh J, Meihan L. Reliability and Construct Validity of the Iranian Version of Health-promoting Lifestyle Profile in a Female Adolescent Population. *Int J Prev Med.* 2013;4(1):42–9. [PubMed: 23412661].
- Aqtash S, Van Servellen G. Determinants of health-promoting lifestyle behaviors among Arab immigrants from the region of the Levant. *Res Nurs Health.* 2013;36(5):466–77. doi: 10.1002/nur.21555. [PubMed: 24037811].
- 23. Flattery MP, Salyer J, Maltby MC, Joyner PL, Elswick RK. Lifestyle and

health status differ over time in long-term heart transplant recipients. *Prog Transplant*. 2006;**16**(3):232-8. [PubMed: 17007158].

- 24. Salyer J, Sneed G, Corley MC. Lifestyle and health status in longterm cardiac transplant recipients. *Heart Lung.* 2001;**30**(6):445-57. doi:10.1067/mhl.2001.119351. [PubMed: 11723449].
- 25. Abedi HA, Shafiee A, Ghoddosi A. Evaluation of health promotion activities in Diabetic Patients type II admitted to Clinics in Najaf Abad 2013. *Jundishapur J Chron Dis Care*. 2014;**3**(2).
- 26. Arras RE, Ogletree RJ, Welshimer KJ. Health-promoting behaviors in men age 45 and above. *International Journal of Men's Health*. 2006;**5**(1):65.
- 27. Enjezab B, Farajzadegan Z, Taleghani F, Aflatoonian A, Morowatisharifabad MA. Health promoting behaviors in a population-based sample of middle-aged women and its relevant factors in Yazd, Iran. *Int J Prevent Med.* 2012;**3**(3S).
- 28. Chenary R, Noroozi A, Tahmasebi R. Health Promoting Behaviors in Veterans in Ilam Province. *J Mil Med.* 2013;**15**(1):95–102.
- 29. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, Berra K, Blankenship JC, Dallas AP, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society of Toracic Surgeons. *Circulation.* 2012;**126**(25):3097-137. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3182776f83. [PubMed: 23166210].
- Mohebi S, Sharifirad G, Feizi A, Botlani S, Hozori M, Azadbakht L. Can health promotion model constructs predict nutritional behavior among diabetic patients?. *J Res Med Sci.* 2013;**18**(4):346–59. [PubMed: 24124436].
- Bashour HN. Survey of dietary habits of in-school adolescents in Damascus, Syrian Arab Republic. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2004;**10**(6):853–62. [PubMed: 16335773].
- Sakamaki R, Toyama K, Amamoto R, Liu CJ, Shinfuku N. Nutritional knowledge, food habits and health attitude of Chinese university students-a cross sectional study. *Nutr J.* 2005;4:4. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-4-4. [PubMed: 15703071].
- Wu TY, Pender N. Determinants of physical activity among Taiwanese adolescents: an application of the health promotion model. *Res Nurs Health*. 2002;25(1):25–36. [PubMed: 11807917].
- 34. Vahedian-Shahroodi M, Amin-Shokravi F, Hidarnia A, Jabbari Nooghabid H. A Survey on the Effects of the Pender's Health Promotion Model on Prediction of the Employees' Physical Activity. *Health Educ Health Promot.* 2013;1(1):51–66.
- 35. Bahmanpour K, Nouri R, Nadrian H, Salehi B. Determinants of oral health behavior among high school students in Marivan County, Iran based on the Pender's Health Promotion Model. *J School Public Health Inst Public Health Res.* 2011;9(2):93–106.
- Shin KR, Kang Y, Park HJ, Cho MO, Heitkemper M. Testing and developing the health promotion model in low-income, Korean elderly women. *Nurs Sci Q.* 2008;21(2):173–8. doi: 10.1177/0894318408314698. [PubMed: 18263763].
- Chiou AF, Hsu SP, Hung HF. Predictors of health-promoting behaviors in Taiwanese patients with coronary artery disease. *Appl Nurs Res.* 2016;**30**:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.apnr.2015.08.008. [PubMed: 27091244].