# Proteomic Signature of Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Infection in the Nasopharynx

# Authors

Patrick M. Vanderboom, Dong-Gi Mun, Anil K. Madugundu, Kiran K. Mangalaparthi, Mayank Saraswat, Kishore Garapati, Rana Chakraborty, Hideki Ebihara, Jie Sun, and Akhilesh Pandey

# Correspondence

## **Graphical Abstract**

Pandey.akhilesh@mayo.edu

# In Brief

Dysregulated immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection underlies severe diseases; however, the precise underlying mechanisms have not yet been elucidated. To establish a proteomic signature of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the upper airway, the authors of this study performed a deep proteomic and phosphoproteomic analysis of nasopharyngeal swabs. This study is a valuable resource for investigators interested in studying host response to SARS-CoV-2 infection.



Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Infection

# **Highlights**

- Nasopharyngeal swabs are an excellent sample to study host response in COVID-19.
- Proteins encoded by interferon-stimulated genes were robustly upregulated.
- Novel host response proteins were revealed by proteomics and phosphoproteomics.
- Host phosphoproteome is substantially altered upon SARS-CoV-2 infection.



# Proteomic Signature of Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Infection in the Nasopharynx

Patrick M. Vanderboom<sup>1</sup>, Dong-Gi Mun<sup>1</sup>, Anil K. Madugundu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Kiran K. Mangalaparthi<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Mayank Saraswat<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Kishore Garapati<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Rana Chakraborty<sup>6,7</sup>, Hideki Ebihara<sup>8</sup>, Jie Sun<sup>9,10,11</sup>, and Akhilesh Pandey<sup>1,4,12,\*</sup>

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, has become a global health pandemic. COVID-19 severity ranges from an asymptomatic infection to a severe multiorgan disease. Although the inflammatory response has been implicated in the pathogenesis of COVID-19, the exact nature of dysregulation in signaling pathways has not yet been elucidated, underscoring the need for further molecular characterization of SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. Here, we characterize the host response directly at the point of viral entry through analysis of nasopharyngeal swabs. Multiplexed high-resolution MS-based proteomic analysis of confirmed COVID-19 cases and negative controls identified 7582 proteins and revealed significant upregulation of interferon-mediated antiviral signaling in addition to multiple other proteins that are not encoded by interferon-stimulated genes or well characterized during viral infections. Downregulation of several proteasomal subunits, E3 ubiquitin ligases, and components of protein synthesis machinery was significant upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. Targeted proteomics to measure abundance levels of MX1, ISG15, STAT1, RIG-I, and CXCL10 detected proteomic signatures of interferon-mediated antiviral signaling that differentiated COVID-19-positive from COVID-19-negative cases. Phosphoproteomic analysis revealed increased phosphorylation of several proteins with known antiviral properties as well as several proteins involved in ciliary function (CEP131 and CFAP57) that have not previously been implicated in the context of coronavirus infections. In addition, decreased phosphorylation levels of AKT and PKC, which have been shown to play varying roles in different viral infections, were observed in infected individuals relative to controls. These data provide novel insights that add depth to our understanding of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the upper airway and establish a proteomic signature for this viral infection.

Coronaviruses are enveloped, nonsegmented, positivesense RNA viruses of the family Coronaviridae and subfamily Coronavirinae. Human alpha-coronavirus infections have traditionally been associated with mild upper respiratory symptoms and account for a large percentage of "common colds" (1–3). Over the past 18 years, however, three separate beta-coronaviruses have crossed the species barrier to become lethal zoonotic human pathogens. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2), which emerged in 2002, infected 8098 individuals resulting in 744 deaths. In 2014, the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infected 2494 individuals resulting in 898 fatalities (4). SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has already resulted in a global pandemic with millions of people infected worldwide.

Interferon (IFN)-mediated antiviral response forms the first line of defense against viral pathogens in which the infected host epithelial cells recognize viral pathogen-associated molecular patterns such as uncapped mRNA or replication intermediates (5) via cytosolic (RIG-I, MDA5) or endosomal (TLR3, TLR4) pathogen-recognition receptors (PRRs) (Fig. 1A). Virus recognition by PRRs triggers a cascade of downstream signaling events leading to activation of transcription factors nuclear factor kB (NF-kB) and IFN regulatory factors 3 and 7 (IRF3 and IRF7), mediating the expression of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ ), type I (IFN- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\beta$ ) and type III IFNs (IFN-λ), respectively (6). Infected cells secrete IFNs, which signal in an autocrine and paracrine manner, to establish an antiviral state in the cells through inhibition of viral transcription, translation, and replication, as well as degradation of viral nucleic acids and recruitment of immune cells (7). Although the transcriptional responses to type I and type III

\*For correspondence: Akhilesh Pandey, Pandey.akhilesh@mayo.edu.

## SASBMB

© 2021 THE AUTHORS. Published by Elsevier Inc on behalf of American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Division of Clinical Biochemistry and Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Bioinformatics, International Technology Park, Bangalore, Karnataka, India; <sup>3</sup>Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India; <sup>4</sup>Center for Molecular Medicine, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India; <sup>5</sup>Amrita School of Biotechnology, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Kollam, Kerala, India; <sup>6</sup>Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, <sup>7</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, <sup>8</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, <sup>9</sup>The Robert and Arlene Kogod Center on Aging, <sup>10</sup>Department of Immunology, <sup>11</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Medicine, Department of Medicine, and <sup>12</sup>Center for Individualized Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA



Fig. 1. **Experimental strategy.** *A*, schematic of innate and adaptive immune response to viral infection. Infected nonimmune cells recognize viral pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) via RIG-like receptors (RLRs) and toll-like receptors (TLRs) and produce IFN $\beta$ , while antigen presenting cells (APCs) such as plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) process infected cell debris and produce IFN $\alpha$ . By autocrine and paracrine action, these type I IFNs lead to the expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), which lead to an intracellular antiviral response. They also lead to increased capillary permeability, leukocyte recruitment, Th0 cell differentiation, and NK cell mediated killing of infected cells. T cell receptor (TCR)-mediated antigen detection by CD4+ T cells leads to the release of proinflammatory cytokines including type II IFN (IFN- $\gamma$ ) and effector T cell recruitment. *B*, schematic of experimental workflow. For the discovery workflow, protein was extracted from nasopharyngeal swabs (RT-PCR positive: n = 8, RT-PCR negative: n = 8) digested with trypsin and fractionated using high-pH reversed-phase fractionation. Peptides without any enrichment were subjected to global proteome analysis, and peptides subjected to phosphopeptide enrichment using immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC) were analyzed separately as shown. Targeted PRM analysis of separate NP swab samples (high viral load: n = 6, low viral load: n = 6, negative: n = 6, indeterminate: n = 6) was carried out to monitor levels of several key interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs). IFN, interferon; NP, nasopharyngeal.

IFNs are similar, cell type–specific expression of receptors and signaling kinetics leads to distinct responses. Type III IFN (IFN- $\lambda$ ) signaling is less potent, slowly induced but more sustained relative to the type I IFN response, which is more potent, rapidly induced, and transient (6, 8). Most nucleated cells express heteromeric cell surface receptors (IFNAR1 and IFNAR2) that mediate responses to type I IFNs. Epithelial cells, however, preferentially express the heteromeric receptors for IFN- $\lambda$  (IFNLR1 and IL10R $\beta$ ). Thus, type III IFN signaling plays an important role in antiviral protection of the upper and lower respiratory, gastrointestinal, and reproductive tracts (8–11).

SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV exploit various strategies to mitigate the host immune response. SARS-CoV-encoded

nsp1 protein inhibits host gene expression by promoting host mRNA degradation (12), while papain-like protease and ORF3b proteins inhibit IRF3 phosphorylation and prevent its translocation to the nucleus. Papain-like protease also inhibits NF $\kappa$ B activation by preventing the degradation of I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$  (13, 14). Furthermore, MERS-CoV ORF 4a, 4b, and 5 proteins antagonize IFN production by inhibiting nuclear localization of IRF3, thereby preventing IFN promotor activation (15). SARS-CoV-2 is genetically more similar to SARS-CoV, sharing 79% of its genomic sequence identity compared with MERS, which shares only 50% genomic sequence identity to SARS-CoV-2 (16). Despite genomic differences among the three human beta-CoVs, their clinical features are similar. Common symptoms of COVID-19 include fever, dry cough, and fatigue; however, severe cases can result in pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, multiorgan failure, and death (4, 17). Similar to SARS and MERS, increasing age and underlying health comorbidities including diabetes, obesity, and heart failure are associated with increased risk of poor outcomes in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 (17–25).

Previous studies of SARS and MERS indicate that direct cytopathic effects caused by these viruses along with evasion of host immune response are factors affecting disease severity (26, 27). A number of recent reports have sought to characterize SARS-CoV-2 infection in an effort to understand its pathogenesis, identify prognostic markers, and discover potential therapies. Accurate and specific methods of SARS-CoV-2 detection by MS have been developed (28-32) to complement RT-PCR-based testing. Interaction of host proteins with virus-encoded proteins have been elucidated using cell culture experiments, and potential drugs to target these interactions have been identified (33). Multi-omics analysis of peripheral blood samples from COVID-19 patients utilizing RNA-Seg and high-resolution MS identified over 200 molecular features with high correlation to COVID-19 status and severity (34). Changes in protein phosphorylation upon SARS-CoV-2 infection in an African green monkey kidney cell model (Vero E6 cells) suggested that a major portion of the host response to SARS-CoV-2 infection is driven by phosphorylation signaling (35). In addition, peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients hospitalized for COVID-19 display heterogeneous interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) signatures, downregulation of HLA class II molecules, and a neutrophil population that may be characteristic of acute respiratory distress syndrome (36).

Both clinical and animal model studies have shown that a dysregulated immune response resulted in hyperinflammationinduced organ damage, a major contributing factor to disease severity in SARS and MERS (18, 37). Transcriptional profiling of cell and animal model systems has shown that SARS-CoV-2 pathology is driven by an elevated inflammatory signature characterized by low levels of type I and type III IFNs combined with increased levels of chemokines (38). Consistent with these results, a transcript level comparison of white blood cells from infected subjects with varying disease severity found that severe and critical cases are associated with impaired type1 IFN signaling and an increased inflammatory response (39). Quantitative proteomics analysis of urine from SARS-CoV-2-positive individuals also showed dysregulated immune response with decrease in abundance of several proteins indicative of renal damage such as NPHS2, SLC36A2, and SLC5A10 (32). In addition, a single-cell RNA sequencing study using nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs demonstrated that COVID-19 severity correlates with increased epithelium-immune cell interactions (40).

Because the exact mechanisms underlying this dysregulated immune response have not yet been determined, we sought to characterize the proteomic and phosphoproteomic response to SARS-CoV-2 infection directly at the point of infection using clinical samples with multiplexed untargeted proteomics. Our data demonstrate a robust IFN-mediated antiviral response in the nasopharynx of SARS-CoV-2positive individuals and additionally identified several upregulated proteins not previously associated with antiviral responses. Phosphoproteomic analysis revealed regulation of several molecules with known antiviral effects and downregulation of several important signaling molecules including AKT and PKC. These data also implicate opioid growth factor receptor (OGFR) signaling in SARS-CoV-2 infection for the first time. Finally, through targeted mass spectrometric analysis of several key molecules involved in the IFN-mediated response, we demonstrate that levels of these antiviral and proinflammatory responses can help monitor the infection and may be of prognostic value.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale

For the global and phosphoproteomics studies, protein was extracted from a total of 16 biological replicate NP swabs, eight of which were from individuals with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR and eight of which were from negative controls. Subject information for SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals is summarized in supplemental Table S1. Samples were labeled with TMT-Pro and analyzed in the MaxQuant software environment, and differential analysis was carried out using a generalized linear model as described previously (41). For the targeted analysis, NP swabs from 24 additional biological replicates were analyzed (negative controls, n = 6; low viral load, n = 6, high viral load, n = 6; indeterminate, n = 6). All targeted data were analyzed in Skyline (42), and Student's *t* test was used to test for differences between groups using stable isotope-labeled internal standard normalized peak areas.

#### NP Swab Specimen Collection and Handling

COVID-19-positive and COVID-19-negative NP swabs were selected from samples submitted to the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota, for routine testing. All samples were collected in PBS and obtained with approval from the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board. The work described in this study abides by the Declaration of Helsinki principles.

#### Protein Extraction and Digestion

NP swab samples were thawed and immediately treated with HALT phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific). As the NP swabs used for this experiment were residual clinical samples, the volume remaining for each was variable and ranged between 1 and 2 ml of PBS each. Each sample was aliquoted into multiple microcentrifuge tubes (200  $\mu$ l aliquots), and protein was precipitated with the addition of methanol to a final concentration of 90%. Samples were then centrifuged at 15,000*g* for 10 min, and protein pellets from each sample were resuspended in a total of 200  $\mu$ l of 8 M urea in 100 mM Tris, pH 8.0. Samples were then probe-sonicated to ensure each pellet was completely dissolved, and the protein content was estimated by BCA assay (Pierce). Disulfide bonds were reduced and alkylated with 10 mM TCEP and 10 mM IAA at room temperature for 30 min while protected from light. Trypsin/Lys-C Mix (Promega) was added at a 1:50 enzyme-to-protein ratio, and samples were digested overnight

(16 h) at 37 °C. The enzymatic digest was terminated by adding TFA to a final concentration of 0.2%, and peptides were desalted using a 10 mg Strata-X PSVDB cartridge (Phenomenex), dried, and reconstituted in 100  $\mu$ l of 50 mM TEAB. Peptide concentration was determined using a Pierce Colorimetric peptide assay, and 95  $\mu$ g from each sample was labeled with TMTpro (Thermo Scientific) for 1 h.

#### High-pH Reversed-Phase Fractionation

TMT-labeled peptides were fractionated by high-pH reversedphase liquid chromatography on Dionex Ultimate 3000 (Thermo Scientific). Peptides (1.6 mg) were separated on a 4.6 mm  $\times$  50 cm  $\times$ 3.5 µm Xbridge column (Waters) with a 2-h gradient from 2 to 40% mobile phase B (MPB). Mobile phase A was composed of 20 mM ammonium formate in water, and MPB was composed of 20 mM ammonium formate in 80% acetonitrile. A total of 96 fractions were collected and concatenated into 24 fractions. A 20-µg equivalent of each fraction was set aside for global proteome analysis, and the rest of each sample was concentrated into 12 fractions and dried before phosphopeptide enrichment.

#### Phosphopeptide Enrichment

Each fraction was reconstituted in 100  $\mu$ l of 80% acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA and transferred to a 96-well plate. IMAC-based phosphopeptide enrichment was performed using Fe(III)-NTA resin (G5496-60082) on an AssayMAP Bravo (Agilent Technologies) platform following the manufacturer's instructions.

#### LC-MS/MS Analysis

Fractionated, TMT-labeled peptides (5 µg) were loaded onto a 20 mm  $\times$  0.075 mm PepMap C<sub>18</sub> trap column with loading solvent composed of 0.1% TFA flowing at 20 µl/min using an Ultimate 3000 nano HPLC (Thermo Scientific). After 4 min, the ten-port valve was switched and peptides were eluted off the trap column onto a 50 cm imes75 µm ID PepMap EASY-Spray analytical column and peptides were separated with a 2 h gradient from 3 to 40% MPB. At 2 h, MPB was increased to 80% over 10 min, held for 15 min, and then returned to 3% for 5 min to re-equilibrate the column. The flow rate was set to 300 nl/min. Eluting peptides were analyzed on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer operated in a datadependent mode. MS1 scans were acquired from 370 to 1700 m/z with an orbitrap resolution of 60,000 (at 200 m/z) at least every 3 seconds or when no more peptides were scheduled for fragmentation. The automatic gain control (AGC) was set at 1e6, and maximum ion fill time was set to 50 ms. The most abundant peptides with a charge state between 2 and 7 were selected for fragmentation with high-energy collision-induced dissociation using a normalized collision energy of 35% and a quadrupole isolation width of 0.7 m/z. The orbitrap resolution was set to 30,000, the maximum injection time was set to 54 ms, and the AGC target was set to 2e5, whereas the dynamic exclusion was set to 30 s using a 10 ppm mass window. For phosphopeptide analysis, the resolution for MS/MS was set to 50,000, and the maximum injection time was set to 86 ms.

#### Data Analysis

MS raw files were processed in MaxQuant software, version 1.6.7.0 (43). Peptides were searched using the Andromeda search engine against the human UniProt FASTA database downloaded on July 24, 2019, concatenated with SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS proteins for a total of 20,499 protein sequences. Enzyme specificity was set to trypsin/P, and maximum missed cleavages was set to 2 or less. Mass tolerance for the first search was set to 20 ppm and 4.5 ppm for the main search. Cysteine carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification, and protein N-terminal acetylation, methionine oxidation,

and phosphorylated serine, threonine, and tyrosine were set as variable modifications. Searches were performed with a false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% for both peptides and proteins using a target-decoy approach. The peptide length was to at least seven amino acids long, and MS2 match tolerance was set to 0.02 Da. Enzyme specificity was set to trypsin, and a maximum of 2 missed cleavages were allowed. Protein data were extracted from the "proteinGroups.txt" file, and differential quantitation was carried using a generalized linear model as previously described (41).

#### Targeted PRM Analysis

For targeted parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) analysis, samples were prepared as stated above for global and phosphoproteomic analysis except phosphatase inhibitors were not used. Stable isotopelabeled internal standards with isotopically labeled C-terminal arginine or lysine were synthesized by the Mayo Clinic Proteomics Core and spiked into each sample. Peptides were separated using a nano-HPLC set up identical to the configuration described above. For all samples, 250 ng of protein was loaded on to the trap column with the loading solvent flowing at 20 µl/min and washed for 4 min. The valve was then switched, and the peptides were eluted onto the analytical column and separated with a 20-min gradient from 2 to 40% MPB. Target peptides were monitored using an Orbitrap Eclipse (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer using an inclusion list with scheduled retention times. The parameters for the PRM scan were set as follows: AGC target was set to 5e4, maximum injection time was set to 54 ms, orbitrap resolution was set to 30,000, high-energy collision-induced dissociation normalized collision energy was set to 28%, and the guadrupole isolation was set to 1.6 m/z. The chromatographic peaks were manually integrated using the Skyline-daily software package. Peak areas for the top three to five most intense fragment ions from each target peptide (Table 1) were exported and summed for relative quantitation between groups using a Student's t test in R, version 3.6.3.

#### Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using Broad Institute's GSEA software. All gene sets that were negatively enriched in the COVID-19-positive subjects with an FDR-corrected p-value (using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure) < 0.05 were reported.

#### Motif Analysis

The peptide sequences surrounding the phosphorylation site of significantly downregulated entities (7 amino acids on each side of the phosphorylation site) were extracted from the 'Phospho (STY)Sites.txt' MaxQuant output file. Enriched motifs were identified using the Meme Suite online resource (http://meme-suite.org/) with the motif-x algorithm. The significance threshold was set to p < 1e-4, and the minimum occurrence of each motif was set to 20. The high-throughput NetworKIN (https://networkin.info/index\_ht.shtml) tool was used to identify predicted protein kinases for all phosphosites with a fold change > ±1 and an adjusted *p*-value of <0.05. Kinases predicted to phosphorylate the enriched motifs identified using the motif-x algorithm were extracted from the NetworKIN output.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# NP Swabs for Studying Host Immune and Epithelial Cells at the Point of SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Upon viral infection, interactions between immune and epithelial cells result in transcriptional activity that is cell type specific and integral to host-pathogen defense (44, 45).

List of peptides used for targeted PRM of ISGs.									
Protein	Peptide	Light Precursor precursor (m/z) charge		Heavy precursor (m/z)	Fragments monitored	Used for quantitation			
CXCL10	VEIIATMK	452.7622	2	456.7693	y4, y5, y6	x			
MX1	DVPDLTLIDLPGITR	819.4591	2	824.4632	y7, y8, y9, y10	х			
	IFFENHPYFR	457.2278	3	460.5639	y3, y4, y5, y6				
ISG15	IGVHAFQQR	528.2909	2	533.295	y4, y5, y6, y8	х			
	LTQTVAHLK	505.8033	2	509.8104	y5, y6, y7, y8				
STAT1	VMAAENIPENPLK	853.9649	2	717.3811	y7, y8, y9, y10, y11	х			
	LLGPNASPDGLIPWTR	713.374	2	858.969	y7, y9, y10, y11				
DDX58	VVFFANQIPVYEQQK	905.4803	2	909.4874	y7, y8, y10, y11	х			
	TNQNTGMTLPAQK	702.351	2	706.3581	y4, y8, y9, y10				

TABLE 1

Targeted proteins and corresponding peptides are listed in each row. Parameters such as precursor ion m/z, charge, and fragment ions monitored are reported for each peptide. Stable isotope-labeled amino acids for each internal standard peptide are shown colored in red. Peptides from each protein that were used for relative quantitation between groups are indicated.

Dysregulation of these interactions can be deleterious, resulting in severe cases of COVID-19 (40). NP swabs are comprised of a heterogeneous mixture of cells of both epithelial and immune origins (40, 46). The nasopharynx is also the point of infection for SARS-CoV-2 and in close proximity to the lungs, where the majority of tissue damage occurs in severe cases of COVID-19. Therefore, NP swabs are an excellent and also accessible sample to study SARS-CoV-2 infection. We hypothesized that a comparative analysis between SARS-CoV-2-positive samples and negative controls should shed light on unique molecular features involved in the host response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. To this end, NP swab samples from patients who underwent PCR-based molecular testing were chosen for analyzing host responses to SARS-CoV-2. This included eight individuals who tested positive and eight individuals who tested negative.

#### Quantitative Proteomic Analysis by LC-MS/MS

To perform a multiplexed quantitative proteomic analysis, protein was extracted from NP swabs with methanol precipitation, digested with trypsin, and labeled using tandem mass tags (TMTs) (Fig. 1*B*). The labeled peptides were mixed and fractionated into 24 fractions using basic reversed-phase fractionation and subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After removing common contaminants and decoy sequences, we identified 7582 proteins of which 143 were upregulated and 80 were downregulated in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients (adjusted *p*-value < 0.05 and a fold-change >±2) (Fig. 2, *A* and *B*, supplemental Table S2).

### IFN-Mediated Antiviral Signaling Is Upregulated Upon SARS-CoV-2 Infection

To investigate IFN signaling in the nasopharynx of SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals, upregulated proteins were compared to a publicly available database of genes known to be increased by different types of IFNs using the webbased tool, Interferome (47). The majority of proteins that

were significantly upregulated in infected subjects (70.4%) were annotated in the Interferome database as proteins upregulated upon IFN treatment in at least one dataset, confirming that these proteins are IFN responsive. These proteins include most of the classical ISGs-IFIT1/2/3, MX1/ 2, ISG15/20, OAS1/2, and HERC5/6 (Table 2). In addition, several molecules with different roles in IFN signaling were upregulated in infected subjects. PRRs, IFIH1 (MDA5), and DDX58 (RIG-I), which are activated by intracellular viral RNA and lead to downstream type 1 IFN signaling through mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), were upregulated in infected individuals. In addition, transcription factors STAT1, STAT2, and IFR9 as well as proinflammatory cytokines CXCL10 and CXCL11 were upregulated in infected individuals. Targets of type I (IFN- $\alpha/\beta$ ), type II (IFN- $\gamma$ ), and type III (IFN-\u03b2) IFN signaling were all upregulated in infected subjects when referenced against the Interferome database (Fig. 2C, Table 2). Twelve proteins were specific to type I IFN signaling, and an equal number was specific to type II IFN signaling. (Fig. 2C, Table 2). Most upregulated proteins, however, were known to be common mediators of multiple types of IFNs. This overlap of IFN signaling types is expected. Epithelial cells of the upper airway express type I (IFNAR) and type III (IFNLR) IFN receptors (6, 8), the latter of which are selectively expressed in epithelial tissue and play an important role in innate viral defense at barrier surfaces (8, 48). In addition, secretory and ciliated cells in airway epithelium express IFN-γ receptors (IFNGR1 and IFNGR2) and thus respond to type II IFN (IFN-y) secreted from natural killer (NK), CD4<sup>+</sup> T helper cells, and CD8<sup>+</sup> cytotoxic T lymphocytes (40). It is known that the induction of target ISGs resulting from all three types of IFN signaling overlap to a great degree but differ primarily by magnitude and duration (8, 48). Therefore, IFN-mediated antiviral signaling in epithelial cells of the upper airway can result from complex interactions between all three types of IFN signaling. These signatures were detected by deep proteomic analysis of NP swabs.



Fig. 2. **Differential analysis of SARS-CoV-2-infected subjects and negative controls.** *A*, Volcano plot displaying protein expression changes between SARS-CoV-2-infected patients (n = 8) and negative controls (n = 8). Significantly regulated proteins are colored in *red*, and rows are labeled with gene names. *B*, heatmap displaying the Z-score of select proteins with statistically significant changes in abundance between SARS-CoV-2-positive and -negative subjects. Rows are subjected to hierarchical clustering and labeled with gene names. *C*, Venn diagram indicating the number of proteins involved in type I, type II, and type III interferon (IFN) signaling. IFN signaling type was determined using the Interferome database (47). SARS-Cov-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

Although some heterogeneity among subjects is noted, our findings suggest that there is a distinct IFN-mediated antiviral response in the nasopharynx of SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals and contrasts with several recent reports utilizing cell line models to study the host response to SARS-CoV-2 (38, 39, 49, 50). These studies reported that SARS-CoV-2 infection did not induce major IFN signaling but rather increased the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. The diminished ISG expression observed upon SARS-CoV-2 infection in cell culture may indicate an impaired type I or type III IFN response. This is supported by a recent study of infected humans with varying disease severity, which found a downregulation of ISG transcripts in peripheral white blood cells of critically ill patients relative to moderately ill patients (39). The apparent discrepancy between our study and these reports may reflect the sample type used. As mentioned above, NP swabs contain a heterogeneous mixture of epithelial and immune cell subtypes as confirmed by identification of immune cell–specific (CD2, CD5, CD8, CD14, CD20, and granzyme B) and epithelial cell–specific (CDH1, ACE, CLDN3) markers in the proteomic data. The release of type I or type III IFNs from myeloid cells or IFN- $\gamma$  from lymphocytes recruited to the site of infection could activate IFN receptors on epithelial cells and stimulate the expression of ISGs—this would not be observed in cell culture–based studies. These results are in agreement with a recent study that utilized single-cell RNA sequencing to analyze NP and bronchial samples to demonstrate increases in immune and epithelial

TABLE 2 Upregulated interferon-stimulated genes				TABLE 2—Continued				
				Gene name	Type I	Type II	Type III	
Gene name	Type I	Type II	Type III	OAS2	X	x		
ACCS		Х		OAS3	Х	Х	Х	
APCS		Х		OASL	Х	Х	Х	
APOBEC3A	Х	Х		PARP9	Х	Х	Х	
APOBEC3G	Х	Х		PHF1		Х		
APOL1	Х	Х		PIK3AP1	Х			
APOL3	Х	Х		PIK3R3	Х	Х		
CD5L	Х			PLCD3		Х		
CFB	Х	Х		PLEK	Х	Х		
CMPK2	Х	Х		PLEKHO1		Х		
CNTRL		Х		PLSCR1	Х	Х	Х	
CX3CL1	Х	Х		PRIM1	Х	Х		
CXCL10	Х	Х		PTMA	Х			
CXCL11	Х	Х		PTPN18		Х		
DCK	Х	Х		RELB	Х	Х		
DDX58	Х	Х	Х	RFX5	Х	Х		
DDX60	Х	Х		RNF213	Х	Х		
DDX60L	Х	Х		RRAGC	Х	Х		
DHX58	Х	Х		RRP1B		Х		
DNAAF1	Х			RSAD2	Х	Х		
EIF2AK2	Х	Х	Х	SAMD9L	Х	Х		
EPSTI1	Х	Х	Х	SAMSN1	Х	Х		
FGL2	Х	Х		SMCHD1	Х	Х		
GBP1	Х	Х	Х	SP110	Х	Х	Х	
GBP4	Х	Х		SPATS2L	Х	Х		
GBP5	Х	Х		SRSF3	Х			
GCH1	Х	Х		STAT1	Х	Х	Х	
GZMB	Х	Х		STAT2	Х	Х	Х	
H1FX		Х		TF	Х			
HELZ2	Х	Х	Х	TGFB1		Х		
HERC5	Х	Х		TNFAIP8L2		Х		
HERC6	Х	Х	Х	TNFSF10	Х	Х		
HMGB2	Х			UBE2L6	Х	Х	Х	
HP	Х	Х		USP18	Х	Х	Х	
ICAM1	Х	Х		VWA8		Х		
IDO1	Х	Х		WARS	Х	Х		
IFI44	Х	Х		WIPF1	Х			
IFIH1	Х	Х	Х	ZBP1	Х	Х		
IFIT1	Х	Х	Х	A list of identifi	ad uprogulated	protoing that or	a known to bo	
IFIT2	Х	Х	Х	involved in IEN me	diated antiviral of	ignaling (type I II		
IFIT3	Х	Х	Х	tated in the Interfer	antivirai s	7)	, or iii) as arrio-	
IFIT5	Х	Х	Х			·/).		
IL4I1	Х	Х						
INO80	Х							
IRF9	Х	Х	Х	cell interactions	auring severe	SARS-COV-2	intection (40)	
ISG15	Х	Х	Х	(Fig. 3A). To asse	ess the differen	ces of SARS-C	CoV-2 infection	
ISG20	Х	Х		in cell culture and	in humans, we	e compared pro	teins that have	
KCNAB2	Х			recently been re	ported to be	differentially re	egulated upon	
KRT13	Х			SARS-CoV-2 infe	ction of norm	al human bron	chial enithelial	
LCP2	Х	Х						
LILRA2	Х	Х		(INHBE) Cells (49)	with those ide	entitled in our s	study. Surpris-	
LILRA5	Х	Х		ingly, only four p	roteins were fo	ound to be diffe	erentially regu-	
MANEA	Х			lated in both NHB	BE cells and NF	swabs from in	fected humans	
MEFV	Х	Х		(supplemental Fig	a. <mark>S1</mark> ). hiahliah	tina the differe	ences between	
MKI67	Х	Х		these sample tur	es including	the role of the	microenviron-	
MT2A	Х	Х		ment Mr				
MX1	Х	Х	Х	ment. we also col	inpared the res	Suits of our stud	ly with a recent	
MX2	Х	Х		plasma proteomic	cs investigation	n of SARS-CoV	'-2-infected in-	
NADK	Х	Х		dividuals (34). Th	is comparison	found only or	ne upregulated	
NUB1	X	X		protein that was	in common be	tween NP swal	bs and plasma	
OAS1	X	X	X	(supplemental Fig	. S2), Our resu	Its underscore	the importance	
				100000000000000000000000000000000000000	, <u> </u>			



Fig. 3. **Targeted ISG measurement.** *A*, Whisker plots displaying the stabile isotope–labeled internal standard (SIL) normalized signal for each indicated protein. *B*, correlation plot indicating the correlation coefficient (*r*) for each indicated comparison. *C*, interferon signaling diagram. Upon detection of viral infection, epithelial cell PRRs such as RIG-I like receptors (RLRs) and toll-like receptors (TLRs) are activated. Signaling through PRRs activates IRF family transcription factors, and together with NFkB, they induce the expression of type I and type III IFNs. IFNs act in an autocrine and paracrine manner to stimulate the expression of ISGs in uninfected neighboring cells. Epithelial cells also express type II IFN receptors (IFNGR1/2) and thus respond to IFN- $\gamma$  released from immune cells. IFN, interferon; ISGs, interferon-stimulated genes; PRRs, pathogen-recognition receptors.

of studying intact systems using infected cells harvested from the viral route of entry. These data also indicate that IFN signaling is engaged in SARS-CoV-2 subjects; however, a deficiency in type I IFN signaling could be masked by type II IFN signaling mediated by immune and epithelial cell interactions. Although IFN signaling appears to be engaged, the present study was not designed to investigate differences between various clinical outcomes. In addition, global proteomic differences in NP swabs between severe and moderate cases of COVID-19 remain to be determined.

#### Targeted Mass Spectrometric Analysis of ISGs

The expression of specific ISGs has been utilized as biomarkers of disease progression, treatment response, and prognostic outcome in preclinical studies (51–55). Considering the wide variation in disease severity among patients infected with SARS-CoV-2, we chose to monitor two molecules involved in type I IFN signaling (RIG-I and STAT1) and three other key ISGs (MX1, ISG15, and CXCL10) using a tier 2 targeted proteomics analysis to study the biological variability of these molecules in NP swabs (Table 1). For this study, 24 independent samples with different viral loads (based on quantitative RT-PCR results) were analyzed (high viral load: n = 6, low viral load: n = 6, negative: n = 6, indeterminate: n =6). Proteotypic target peptides were selected based on uniqueness to each protein in the human and viral (SARS-CoV-2, SARS, and MERS) proteome background. Amino acids subject to common modifications were avoided, when possible (Table 1). Stable isotope-labeled standard peptides were synthesized for all targets and spiked into samples for accurate relative comparison across groups. There was a clear increase in the monitored proteins between uninfected individuals and those who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 3B and supplemental Fig. S3). Although a trend of increased levels in those with higher viral loads was seen, it was not statistically significant for all proteins. Interestingly, the abundance of ISGs in some of the samples which were indeterminate by RT-PCR was higher than the negative controls. Although there are no other clinical data available on the patients with indeterminate results, our findings raise the possibility that ISG abundance can detect signs of viral infection even when RT-PCR results are indeterminate. The abundance of ISGs, MX1, and ISG15 correlates well with each other and with IFN signaling molecules STAT1 and RIG-I, displaying an average Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.67 (s = 0.096) (Fig. 3C). In contrast, the abundance of CXCL10 did not correlate well with other ISGs, STAT1 or RIG-I (average r = 0.39, s = 0.178). To test for differences in correlation between CXCL10 and the other IFN signaling proteins, we used the R package cocor (56). This analysis found that CXCL10 abundance had a significantly lower correlation to ISG15, MX1, and RIG-I relative to correlations within each of these respective proteins (CXCL10/MX1 versus ISG15/MX1: p = 0.049, CXCL10/ISG15 versus ISG15/MX1: p = 0.016, CXCL10/RIG-I versus RIG-I/ISG15: p = 0.023, CXCL10/RIG-I versus RIG-I/ MX1: p = 0.028). The CXCL10 promoter includes response elements for several transcription factors including IRF1, STAT-1, AP1, FoxA2a NF-κB, and several others (57, 58). These results may indicate that the proinflammatory cytokine CXCL10 is regulated separately from other ISGs and could potentially serve as a prognostic indicator to predict the magnitude of inflammatory response in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2. Previous reports have shown that treatment with DS RNA and IFN-γ results in synergistic upregulation of CXCL10 in an NFkB-dependent and STAT1independent manner (59). These results indicate that targeted analysis of IFN signaling proteins could be a valuable research tool to help elucidate mechanisms of dysregulated inflammatory response to SARS-CoV-2.

#### Upregulation of proteins not directly stimulated by IFNs

We next sought to catalog proteins that are independent of the IFN signaling pathways. To accomplish this, IFNresponsive proteins in the Interferome database were filtered from the list of upregulated proteins in SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. After filtering, a total of six proteins including WWTR1, PPIAL4F, OPRPN, LSG1, TCF25, and EPN2 were left in the list of upregulated proteins. Most of these proteins have not been extensively characterized in viral infection. WWTR1, a Hippo signaling effector protein, that acts as a transcriptional coactivator is involved in organ size control and tumor

suppression by inhibiting proliferation and promoting apoptosis. Protein levels and methylation status of WWTR1 have been shown to be regulated during Zika virus infection, negatively regulating the Hippo signaling pathway (60, 61). The most highly upregulated protein in infected individuals was PPIAL4F, a peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase (PPlase), which functions to accelerate protein folding. Host PPlases have been shown to be important for efficient infection in several different viral infections, including SARS-CoV (62). In addition, the PPlases, cyclophilin A and B, have been shown to bind the capsid protein of HIV-1 (63). Opiorphin prepropeptide (OPRPN) was also upregulated in an IFNindependent manner. Opiorphin, a 5-amino acid peptide derived from the N terminus of OPRPN, has many proposed functions including inhibition of aminopeptidase N and MME (neprilysin); however, its role in viral infection is currently uncharacterized. LSG1, a GTPase involved in the biogenesis of the 60S ribosome (64), has not been studied in the context of viral infections. TCF25, a transcription factor, is also a poorly characterized component of the ribosome-associated quality control pathway that mediates K-48 linkage of ubiguitin to the nascent chain of stalled ribosomal complexes (65). Finally, EPN2 plays a role in mediating recruitment of clathrin to the cell membrane and promotes its polymerization to mediate endocytosis (66). Knockdown of EPN2 using siRNA was shown to inhibit infection of Huh7 cells by Dengue virus, indicating that it may be important in viral entry (67). The IFNindependent upregulation of these proteins makes them attractive candidates for future studies characterizing the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 infection at a cellular level.

#### Downregulation of Protein Synthesis

Although viruses have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to promote their proliferation, they remain completely dependent upon the host translational machinery for protein synthesis. Thus, it is not surprising that host cells respond to viral infection by inhibiting protein synthesis and disrupting mRNA transport (68-70). Consistent with these events, many proteins in SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals decreased in abundance relative to uninfected controls in our dataset. EIF2AK2, which was upregulated, is a well-known antiviral protein induced by IFNs. EIF2AK2 mediates translation inhibition of host and viral mRNAs through phosphorylation of  $elF2\alpha$  (69) (Fig. 1A). In agreement with this, we observed downregulation of several ribosomal subunits including RPLP2, MRPL12, and MRPL41. Furthermore, downregulation of host proteins has also been linked to SARS-CoV-2 nsp1, which has been shown to bind and obstruct the ribosomal mRNA entry tunnel (71), potentially exacerbating the reduction in protein synthesis.

#### Regulation of the Ubiquitin-Proteasome System

The ubiquitin-proteasome system is a major intracellular protein degradation pathway present in all eukaryotes which is

primarily responsible for the removal of damaged, misfolded, or unfolded proteins in the cell. The ubiquitin–proteasome system is a critical regulator of many basic cellular processes, including protein homeostasis, signaling, chromatin structure, endocytosis, development, and immunity (72). It is now well understood that certain viruses have evolved complex mechanisms to manipulate the host UPS for their own benefit (73, 74). In addition, the ubiquitin–proteasome system is required for host immune surveillance and several processes involved in viral propagation including viral genome uncoating, viral replication, and immune evasion (75–77). Interactions between the ubiquitin–proteasome system and viral proteins are typically studied by overexpressing individual viral proteins or infecting cells in culture.

Gene set enrichment analysis revealed a negative regulation of proteosomal proteins in COVID-19-positive subjects (supplemental Table S3). Further analysis revealed the downregulation of several proteasomal subunits including PSMC1, PSMD2, PSMD7, and the proteasomal ubiquitin receptor ADRM1 upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. Previous studies have implicated proteasomal proteins in viral infection. For instance, inhibition of PSMB1 was shown to positively regulate the innate immune response by increasing the production of IFN- $\beta$  and proinflammatory cytokine TNF- $\alpha$  (78). Wholesale downregulation of proteasomal degradation, however, is a less commonly observed host response to viral infection. Some studies have investigated the use of proteasome inhibitors as antiviral agents with promising results (79-82), suggesting that proteasomal downregulation may be a host viral defense measure. The effect of proteasomal downregulation with SARS-CoV-2 infection remains to be determined and further studies are required to determine if this is a host- or viral-mediated adaptation.

Over the course of evolution, viruses have adapted to use the ubiguitylation process to favor conditions that promote their survival and replication. In fact, several viruses encode E3 ligases to target specific host proteins for degradation affecting processes including antigen presentation IFNmediated antiviral defense (83-86). We identified a number of differentially regulated E3 ubiquitin-protein ligases in our data. ISG15, a ubiquitin-like protein that mediates antiviral activity via ISGylation of target proteins during viral infection, and its E3 ligases, HERC5 and UBE2L6, are upregulated by SARS-CoV-2 infection (87). We also observed the regulation of several RING domain E3 ligases. RING domain E3 ligases are involved in several aspects of immune function including regulation of RIG-I signaling and cell surface expression of MHC molecules. RNF213 was upregulated 2-fold, and RNF181 and RNF126 were downregulated 2-fold in these data. RNF213 is involved in angiogenesis (88-91) and could be related to the observed vascular abnormalities in COVID-19 patients (92, 93). The role of RNF181 and RNF126, which are downregulated, is not understood although our data suggest that they may mediate SARS-CoV-2-induced signaling.

#### Phosphoproteomics Analysis

To study the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on host signaling, we also undertook a phosphoproteomics analysis of NP swab samples. TMT-labeled tryptic peptides were enriched with immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. This analysis identified >8500 phosphorylation sites, of which 194 were upregulated and 213 were downregulated (>2-fold) (Fig. 4, A and B, supplemental Table S4). These changes were mainly regulated at the phosphorylation level (i.e., the protein level was unchanged) (supplemental Table S5). Regulated phosphorylation sites were identified in twenty different kinases with an FDR <0.1% (Table 3), five of which were upregulated and 15 downregulated in infected subjects relative to uninfected controls. Similar to the global proteomics data, a comparison of regulated phosphosites between NHBE cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (49) and the data from our study illustrates markedly different sets of regulated molecules between the two samples types (supplemental Fig. S1), highlighting the importance of studying host response using both cell line models and cells harvested from infected subjects.

Phosphosites on several proteins involved IFN signaling, RNA processing, and protein synthesis were differentially regulated in infected individuals. Increased phosphorylation of serine 478 on IFIT3, a well-characterized ISG with antiviral effects, was observed in infected subjects. In addition, increased phosphorylation sites on two RNA-binding proteins, RBM12B and RBM10, were also observed in infected individuals. Furthermore, phosphosites on several proteins involved in protein translation including EIF6, EIF4EBP1, and EIF4G3 were downregulated in infected subjects. The observed increase in RNA binding and decrease in protein synthesis likely reflect an IFN-mediated antiviral cellular state that is meant to decrease the translation of host and viral proteins. Currently, there are no comprehensive resources to evaluate phosphorylation events in the context of viral pathogenesis. To investigate the role of some interesting molecules that displayed regulated phosphorylation sites, we performed a manual literature search. CEP131, a component of pericentriolar satellites that regulates cilia and flagellum formation, displayed increased levels of phosphorylation on S78 in infected subjects. CEP131 is phosphorylated on Ser 78 by PLK4 to maintain centriolar satellite integrity (94); however, its role in viral infection is unknown. In addition, phosphorylation of Ser 1237 in CFAP57 was decreased in COVID-19-positive subjects relative to negative controls. CFAP57 is a conserved component of motile cilia and flagella (95, 96) that has been shown to form a complex connecting components critical for motility (97). Cilia and flagella are essential structures for the sense of smell, and the regulated phosphorylation of CEP131 and CFAP57 could perhaps be related to the loss of smell (anosmia) associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### Downregulation of AKT and PKC Phosphorylation

AKT signaling regulates many critical cellular processes and has been targeted by many viruses for their benefit with



Fig. 4. Quantitative phosphoproteomics. A, Volcano plot illustrating changes in phosphosite abundance between COVID-19-positive (n = 8) and negative controls (n = 8). Phosphosites with a positive fold change are upregulated in COVID-19-positive subjects, and significantly regulated sites are colored in *red*. B, heat map depicting relative abundance changes in abundance for select significantly regulated phosphosites. C, sequence logos representing enriched phosphorylation motifs identified in the downregulated phosphosites using the motif-x algorithm. Predicted upstream kinases for phosphosites that contain the enriched motifs are plotted in a bar chart (*right*). COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

widely varying mechanisms (98). For instance, influenza A has been shown to activate AKT signaling to inhibit apoptosis (99-102). In contrast, measles virus can downregulate AKT signaling (103), highlighting the diverse mechanisms that viruses use to interact with the host system for their benefit. In this dataset, infected subjects displayed decreased levels of Ser 126 phosphorylation in AKT1 and Thr 451 phosphorylation in AKT2. Isoform-specific phosphorylation of AKT1 and AKT2 has been previously described (104), and phosphorylation of Ser 451 on AKT2 is known to positively regulate AKT signaling (104, 105). The observed downregulation of AKT signaling in infected subjects is also in agreement with a previous report utilizing SARS-CoV-2-infected Vero E6 cells (35). The precise mechanism of AKT downregulation during SARS-CoV-2 infection remains to be determined, although the importance of this signaling pathway in regulating critical cellular processes suggests that AKT signaling

downregulation by SARS-CoV-2 may play an important role in the course of infection.

PKC signaling has a wide range of effects on cellular signaling and has been shown to be involved in viral entry (106). PKC- $\delta$  serine 664 phosphorylation was downregulated in infected subjects. The role that PKCs play in viral replication has been controversial although some reports have shown that pharmacological inhibition of PKC signaling reduces replication of West Nile virus (107). Downregulation of PKC- $\delta$  signaling in infected subjects could potentially be a host adaptation to limit viral entry; however, further experiments will be required to elucidate the biological relevance of this phosphorylation event in the context of SARS-COV-2 infection.

#### Opioid Growth Factor Receptor Signaling

Phosphorylation of S55 on the OGFR was upregulated 2.2fold in infected subjects. Phosphorylation of this serine

Gene symbol	Protein	Adjusted <i>p</i> -value	Fold change (log <sub>2</sub> )	Amino acid	Position	Localization probability
PRPF4B	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PRP4 homolog	0.022	1.7	S	61	0.829
PRPF4B	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PRP4 homolog	0.005	1.4	S	349	1.000
PRPF4B	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PRP4 homolog	0.018	1.3	S	341	1.000
SNRK	SNF-related serine/threonine-protein kinase	0.008	1.2	S	584	0.934
SCYL2	SCY1-like protein 2	0.085	1.1	S	3	1.000
FGR	Tyrosine-protein kinase Fgr	0.045	1.1	S	261	0.955
STK10	Serine/threonine-protein kinase 10	0.055	1.0	S	454	0.867
PRPF4B	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PRP4 homolog	0.000	1.0	S	257	1.000
PRPF4B	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PRP4 homolog	0.017	1.0	S	387	0.999
ERBB2	Receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-2	0.001	-1.1	S	1054	1.000
STK25	Serine/threonine-protein kinase 25	0.050	-1.1	Т	174	0.831
STK39	STE20/SPS1-related proline-alanine-rich protein kinase	0.001	-1.1	S	385	1.000
AKT1	RAC-alpha serine/threonine-protein kinase	0.009	-1.1	S	126	0.756
MAST2	Microtubule-associated serine/threonine-protein kinase 2	0.042	-1.3	S	900	1.000
MAP3K5	Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 5	0.012	-1.3	S	1033	0.899
AKT2	RAC-beta serine/threonine-protein kinase	0.000	-1.3	Т	451	0.990
STK25	Serine/threonine-protein kinase 25	0.006	-1.4	Т	168	0.923
PAK4	Serine/threonine-protein kinase PAK 4	0.000	-1.5	S	474	0.998
PRKCD	Protein kinase C delta type	0.001	-1.5	S	664	1.000
FRK	Tyrosine-protein kinase FRK	0.050	-1.5	Y	497	0.942
SLK	STE20-like serine/threonine-protein kinase	0.055	-1.6	Т	183	0.855
ATM	Serine-protein kinase ATM	0.053	-1.7	S	2209	1.000
MAP3K6	Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 6	0.055	-1.9	S	1149	0.942
TRIM24	Transcription intermediary factor 1-alpha	0.048	-2.0	S	811	0.784
PKN2	Serine/threonine-protein kinase N2	0.087	-5.6	S	306	0.796

TABLE 3 A list of significantly regulated kinases

Kinases regulated with a log2 fold change greater than ±1 and an adjusted p-value < 0.1 are presented.

residue has been previously observed although its regulatory role remains unclear (108–110). When the OGFR binds to its ligand, it negatively regulates cell growth by inhibiting G1-S transition. This action is mediated through OGFR-dependent upregulation of CDK inhibitors p12 and p16, which inhibits CDK4-mediated phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein (111, 112). Although neither p12 nor p16 was significantly upregulated in infected subjects, we observed that one of three enriched phosphorylation motifs in the downregulated phosphosites was predicted to be phosphorylated by CDKs 1 to 4 (Fig. 4*C*), thereby providing a possible link between OGFR signaling and cell growth arrest upon SARS-CoV-2 infection.

OPRPN, whose protein level was increased, has been shown to have many effects although it is most known for its inhibitory effects on the endopeptidases neprilysin and aminopeptidase-N. Neprilysin, a type II transmembrane glycoprotein that inactivates several peptide hormones including glucagon, met-enkephalin, bradykinin, substance P, angiotensin 1, and angiotensin 2, was downregulated in SARS-CoV-2-positive subjects. Opiates and endogenous opioid peptides are known to have immunomodulatory effects and previous reports have linked OGFR signaling to immunosuppression of T-lymphocytes (113). The observed upregulation of OPRN together with the increased phosphorylation of the OGFR on S55 and decreased levels of neprilysin may indicate that the OGFR signaling axis plays a role in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection and warrants further investigation.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, we used global proteomics and phosphoproteomics to characterize the host response to SARS-CoV-2 directly at the point of infection using NP swabs. Infected subjects displayed upregulation of 98 proteins known to be stimulated by IFNs, which included classical ISGs (MX1, MX2, ISG15, IFIT1, OAS1 and IDO), PRRs (MDA5, DDX58), transcription factors (IRF9, STAT1, STAT2), and proinflammatory cytokines (CXCL10 and CXCL11). In contrast to previously published studies that mimicked SARS-CoV-2 infection using cultured cell lines, IFN-mediated antiviral responses appear to be robust in the nasopharynx of infected subjects. The discrepancy between previous cell line studies and the clear evidence of type I and type II IFN-mediated effects presented here indicates that epithelial and immune cell interactions in the microenvironment is required to mediate the entire spectrum of host responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Targeted analysis of IFN signaling molecules STAT1 and RIG-I along with the key ISGs, MX1, ISG15, and the proinflammatory cytokine CXCL10 demonstrate that levels of these antiviral proteins generally correlate with the viral loads.

Although CXCL10, also known as IFN γ-induced protein 10 kDa (IP-10), was upregulated, its correlation with the levels of other ISGs was not high and needs to be investigated further. Other features of SARS-CoV-2 infection apparent from this study include the upregulation of multiple proteins that are not IFN responsive and have not been well-characterized during viral infection. In addition, downregulation of several proteasomal proteins and the regulation of several E3 ubiquitin ligases were observed in infected subjects. Phosphoproteomic profiling indicates that several signaling pathways including AKT, PKC, and OGFR are affected and may play a role in SARS-CoV-2 pathology. The present study was not designed to detect differences between patients with clinical outcomes, and further studies focusing on these features in individuals with varying outcomes or infection from different viruses may shed additional light on the pathogenesis of COVID-19.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium *via* the PRIDE (114) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD022889.

Supplemental data—This article contains supplemental data (34, 49).

*Acknowledgments*—This study was supported by the financial generosity of Eric and Wendy Schmidt.

*Funding and additional information*—This study was supported by DBT/Wellcome Trust India Alliance Margdarshi Fellowship grant IA/M/15/1/502023 awarded to A. P.

Author contributions—P. M. V. and A. P. conceptualization; P. M. V. and D.-G. M. methodology; P. M. V. and A. K. M. formal analysis; P. M. V., K. K. M., M. S., K. G., and A. P. investigation; P. M. V. and A. K. M. data curation, P. M. V., K. K. M., M. S., and K. G. writing–original draft; P. M. V., R. C., H. E., J. S., and A. P. writing–review and editing; P. M. V. and A. K. M. visualization; A. P. supervision; A. P. project administration; A. P. funding acquisition.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare no competing interests.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: AGC, automatic gain control; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; FDR, false discovery rate; IFN, interferon; ISGs, interferon-stimulated genes; MERS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; MPB, mobile phase B; NHBE, normal human bronchial epithelial; NP, nasopharyngeal; OGFR, opioid growth factor receptor; OPRPN, Opiorphin prepropeptide; PPlase, peptidyl-prolyl *cis/trans* isomerase; PRM, parallel reaction monitoring; PRRs, pathogen-recognition receptors; SARS-Cov-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

Received January 20, 2021, and in revised from, July 20, 2021 Published, MCPRO Papers in Press, August 14, 2021, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mcpro.2021.100134

#### REFERENCES

- Kaye, H. S., Ong, S. B., and Dowdle, W. R. (1972) Detection of coronavirus 229E antibody by indirect hemagglutination. *Appl. Microbiol.* 24, 703–707
- 2. Hamre, D., and Procknow, J. J. (1966) A new virus isolated from the human respiratory tract. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **121**, 190–193
- Fung, T. S., Huang, M., and Liu, D. X. (2014) Coronavirus-induced ER stress response and its involvement in regulation of coronavirus-host interactions. *Virus Res.* 194, 110–123
- Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng, Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., et al. (2020) Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 395, 497–506
- Schoggins, J. W., and Rice, C. M. (2011) Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 1, 519–525
- Park, A., and Iwasaki, A. (2020) Type I and type III interferons induction, signaling, evasion, and application to combat COVID-19. *Cell Host Microbe* 27, 870–878
- Ivashkiv, L. B., and Donlin, L. T. (2014) Regulation of type I interferon responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 14, 36–49
- Lazear, H. M., Schoggins, J. W., and Diamond, M. S. (2019) Shared and distinct functions of type I and type III interferons. *Immunity* 50, 907–923
- Good, C., Wells, A. I., and Coyne, C. B. (2019) Type III interferon signaling restricts enterovirus 71 infection of goblet cells. Sci. Adv. 5, eaau4255
- Lazear, H. M., Daniels, B. P., Pinto, A. K., Huang, A. C., Vick, S. C., Doyle, S. E., Gale, M., Jr., Klein, R. S., and Diamond, M. S. (2015) Interferon-λ restricts West Nile virus neuroinvasion by tightening the blood-brain barrier. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **7**, 284ra259
- Kotenko, S. V., Gallagher, G., Baurin, V. V., Lewis-Antes, A., Shen, M., Shah, N. K., Langer, J. A., Sheikh, F., Dickensheets, H., and Donnelly, R. P. (2003) IFN-λs mediate antiviral protection through a distinct class II cytokine receptor complex. *Nat. Immunol.* 4, 69–77
- Kamitani, W., Narayanan, K., Huang, C., Lokugamage, K., Ikegami, T., Ito, N., Kubo, H., and Makino, S. (2006) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsp1 protein suppresses host gene expression by promoting host mRNA degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 12885–12890
- Frieman, M., Ratia, K., Johnston, R. E., Mesecar, A. D., and Baric, R. S. (2009) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain-like protease ubiquitin-like domain and catalytic domain regulate antagonism of IRF3 and NF-κB signaling. *J. Virol.* 83, 6689–6705
- 14. Bailey-Elkin, B. A., Knaap, R. C. M., Johnson, G. G., Dalebout, T. J., Ninaber, D. K., van Kasteren, P. B., Bredenbeek, P. J., Snijder, E. J., Kikkert, M., and Mark, B. L. (2014) Crystal structure of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) papain-like protease bound to ubiquitin facilitates targeted disruption of deubiquitinating activity to demonstrate its role in innate immune suppression. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 34667–34682
- 15. Yang, Y., Zhang, L., Geng, H., Deng, Y., Huang, B., Guo, Y., Zhao, Z., and Tan, W. (2013) The structural and accessory proteins M, ORF 4a, ORF 4b, and ORF 5 of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) are potent interferon antagonists. *Protein Cell* 4, 951–961
- Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., Wang, W., Song, H., Huang, B., Zhu, N., Bi, Y., Ma, X., Zhan, F., Wang, L., Hu, T., et al. (2020) Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: Implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet* 395, 565–574
- Sanyaolu, A., Okorie, C., Marinkovic, A., Patidar, R., Younis, K., Desai, P., Hosein, Z., Padda, I., Mangat, J., and Altaf, M. (2020) Comorbidity and its impact on patients with COVID-19. *SN Compr. Clin. Med.*, 1–8

- Channappanavar, R., and Perlman, S. (2017) Pathogenic human coronavirus infections: Causes and consequences of cytokine storm and immunopathology. *Semin. Immunopathol* 39, 529–539
- 19. Zumla, A., Hui, D. S., and Perlman, S. (2015) Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* 386, 995–1007
- Al-Tawfiq, J. A., Hinedi, K., Ghandour, J., Khairalla, H., Musleh, S., Ujayli, A., and Memish, Z. A. (2014) Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: A case-control study of hospitalized patients. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 59, 160–165
- 21. Saad, M., Omrani, A. S., Baig, K., Bahloul, A., Elzein, F., Matin, M. A., Selim, M. A. A., Al Mutairi, M., Al Nakhli, D., Al Aidaroos, A. Y., Al Sherbeeni, N., Al-Khashan, H. I., Memish, Z. A., and Albarrak, A. M. (2014) Clinical aspects and outcomes of 70 patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: A single-center experience in Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **29**, 301–306
- Peiris, J. S. M., Lai, S. T., Poon, L. L. M., Guan, Y., Yam, L. Y. C., Lim, W., Nicholls, J., Yee, W. K. S., Yan, W. W., Cheung, M. T., Cheng, V. C. C., Chan, K. H., Tsang, D. N. C., Yung, R. W. H., Ng, T. K., *et al.* (2003) Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* **361**, 1319–1325
- Assiri, A., Al-Tawfiq, J. A., Al-Rabeeah, A. A., Al-Rabiah, F. A., Al-Hajjar, S., Al-Barrak, A., Flemban, H., Al-Nassir, W. N., Balkhy, H. H., Al-Hakeem, R. F., Makhdoom, H. Q., Zumla, A. I., and Memish, Z. A. (2013) Epidemiological, demographic, and clinical characteristics of 47 cases of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus disease from Saudi Arabia: A descriptive study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **13**, 752–761
- Liu, K., Chen, Y., Lin, R., and Han, K. (2020) Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: A comparison with young and middle-aged patients. *J. Infect.* 80, e14–e18
- Singh, A. K., Gupta, R., Ghosh, A., and Misra, A. (2020) Diabetes in COVID-19: Prevalence, pathophysiology, prognosis and practical considerations. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.* 14, 303–310
- Wathelet, M. G., Orr, M., Frieman, M. B., and Baric, R. S. (2007) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus evades antiviral signaling: Role of nsp1 and rational design of an attenuated strain. J. Virol. 81, 11620–11633
- Ng, M. L., Tan, S. H., See, E. E., Ooi, E. E., and Ling, A. E. (2003) Proliferative growth of SARS coronavirus in Vero E6 cells. J. Gen. Virol. 84, 3291–3303
- 28. Renuse, S., Vanderboom, P. M., Maus1, A. D., Kemp, J. V., Gurtner, K. M., Madugundu, A. K., Chavan, S., Peterson, J. A., Madden, B. J., Mangalaparthi, K. K., Mun, D.-G., Singh, S., Kipp, B. R., Dasari, S., Singh, R. J., *et al.* (2021) A mass spectrometry-based targeted assay for detection of SARS-CoV-2 antigen from clinical specimens. *EBioMedicine* **69**, 103465
- Cardozo, K. H. M., Lebkuchen, A., Okai, G. G., Schuch, R. A., Viana, L. G., Olive, A. N., Lazari, C.d. S., Fraga, A. M., Granato, C. F. H., Pintão, M. C. T., and Carvalho, V. M. (2020) Establishing a mass spectrometry-based system for rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 in large clinical sample cohorts. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 6201
- 30. Singh, P., Chakraborty, R., Marwal, R., Radhakrishan, V. S., Bhaskar, A. K., Vashisht, H., Dhar, M. S., Pradhan, S., Ranjan, G., Imran, M., Raj, A., Sharma, U., Singh, P., Lall, H., Dutta, M., *et al.* (2020) A rapid and sensitive method to detect SARS-CoV-2 virus using targeted-mass spectrometry. *J. Proteins Proteom.*, 1–7
- Nikolaev, E. N., Indeykina, M. I., Brzhozovskiy, A. G., Bugrova, A. E., Kononikhin, A. S., Starodubtseva, N. L., Petrotchenko, E. V., Kovalev, G. I., Borchers, C. H., and Sukhikh, G. T. (2020) Mass-spectrometric detection of SARS-CoV-2 virus in scrapings of the epithelium of the nasopharynx of infected patients via nucleocapsid N protein. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 4393–4397
- Chavan, S., Mangalaparthi, K. K., Singh, S., Renuse, S., Vanderboom, P. M., Madugundu, A. K., Budhraja, R., McAulay, K., Grys, T. E., Rule, A. D., Alexander, M. P., O'Horo, J. C., Badley, A. D., and Pandey, A. (2021) Mass spectrometric analysis of urine from COVID-19 patients for detection of SARS-CoV-2 viral antigen and to study host response. *J. Proteome Res.* 20, 3404–3413
- Gordon, D. E., Jang, G. M., Bouhaddou, M., Xu, J., Obernier, K., White, K. M., O'Meara, M. J., Rezelj, V. V., Guo, J. Z., Swaney, D. L., Tummino, T. A., Hüttenhain, R., Kaake, R. M., Richards, A. L., Tutuncuoglu, B., *et al.* (2020) A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. *Nature* 583, 459–468

- Overmyer, K. A., Shishkova, E., Miller, I. J., Balnis, J., Bernstein, M. N., Peters-Clarke, T. M., Meyer, J. G., Quan, Q., Muehlbauer, L. K., Trujillo, E. A., He, Y., Chopra, A., Chieng, H. C., Tiwari, A., Judson, M. A., *et al.* (2021) Large-scale multi-omic analysis of COVID-19 severity. *Cell Syst.* 12, 23–40.e27
- Bouhaddou, M., Memon, D., Meyer, B., White, K. M., Rezelj, V. V., Correa Marrero, M., Polacco, B. J., Melnyk, J. E., Ulferts, S., Kaake, R. M., Batra, J., Richards, A. L., Stevenson, E., Gordon, D. E., Rojc, A., *et al.* (2020) The global phosphorylation landscape of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Cell* 182, 685–712.e619
- Wilk, A. J., Rustagi, A., Zhao, N. Q., Roque, J., Martínez-Colón, G. J., McKechnie, J. L., Ivison, G. T., Ranganath, T., Vergara, R., Hollis, T., Simpson, L. J., Grant, P., Subramanian, A., Rogers, A. J., and Blish, C. A. (2020) A single-cell atlas of the peripheral immune response in patients with severe COVID-19. *Nat. Med.* 26, 1070–1076
- de Wit, E., van Doremalen, N., Falzarano, D., and Munster, V. J. (2016) SARS and MERS: Recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 523–534
- Blanco-Melo, D., Nilsson-Payant, B. E., Liu, W.-C., Uhl, S., Hoagland, D., Møller, R., Jordan, T. X., Oishi, K., Panis, M., Sachs, D., Wang, T. T., Schwartz, R. E., Lim, J. K., Albrecht, R. A., and tenOever, B. R. (2020) Imbalanced host response to SARS-CoV-2 drives development of COVID-19. *Cell* **181**, 1036–1045.e1039
- 39. Hadjadj, J., Yatim, N., Barnabei, L., Corneau, A., Boussier, J., Smith, N., Péré, H., Charbit, B., Bondet, V., Chenevier-Gobeaux, C., Breillat, P., Carlier, N., Gauzit, R., Morbieu, C., Pène, F., *et al.* (2020) Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. *Science* 369, 718–724
- 40. Chua, R. L., Lukassen, S., Trump, S., Hennig, B. P., Wendisch, D., Pott, F., Debnath, O., Thürmann, L., Kurth, F., Völker, M. T., Kazmierski, J., Timmermann, B., Twardziok, S., Schneider, S., Machleidt, F., *et al.* (2020) COVID-19 severity correlates with airway epithelium–immune cell interactions identified by single-cell analysis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 970– 979
- Robinson, M. M., Dasari, S., Konopka, A. R., Johnson, M. L., Manjunatha, S., Esponda, R. R., Carter, R. E., Lanza, I. R., and Nair, K. S. (2017) Enhanced protein translation underlies improved metabolic and physical adaptations to different exercise training modes in young and old humans. *Cell Metab.* 25, 581–592
- MacLean, B., Tomazela, D. M., Shulman, N., Chambers, M., Finney, G. L., Frewen, B., Kern, R., Tabb, D. L., Liebler, D. C., and MacCoss, M. J. (2010) Skyline: An open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics* 26, 966–968
- Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2008) MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1367–1372
- 44. Krausgruber, T., Fortelny, N., Fife-Gernedl, V., Senekowitsch, M., Schuster, L. C., Lercher, A., Nemc, A., Schmidl, C., Rendeiro, A. F., Bergthaler, A., and Bock, C. (2020) Structural cells are key regulators of organspecific immune responses. *Nature* 583, 296–302
- Brazil, J. C., Quiros, M., Nusrat, A., and Parkos, C. A. (2019) Innate immune cell–epithelial crosstalk during wound repair. *J. Clin. Invest.* 129, 2983– 2993
- 46. Khoo, S. K., Read, J., Franks, K., Zhang, G., Bizzintino, J., Coleman, L., McCrae, C., Öberg, L., Troy, N. M., Prastanti, F., Everard, J., Oo, S., Borland, M. L., Maciewicz, R. A., Le Souëf, P. N., *et al.* (2019) Upper airway cell transcriptomics identify a major new immunological phenotype with strong clinical correlates in young children with acute wheezing. *J. Immunol.* **202**, 1845–1858
- Rusinova, I., Forster, S., Yu, S., Kannan, A., Masse, M., Cumming, H., Chapman, R., and Hertzog, P. J. (2013) Interferome v2.0: An updated database of annotated interferon-regulated genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D1040–1046
- Hemann, E. A., Gale, M., and Savan, R. (2017) Interferon lambda genetics and biology in regulation of viral control. *Front. Immunol.* 8, 1707
- [preprint] Stukalov, A., Girault, V., Grass, V., Bergant, V., Karayel, O., Urban, C., Haas, D. A., Huang, Y., Oubraham, L., Wang, A., Hamad, S. M., Piras, A., Tanzer, M., Hansen, F. M., Enghleitner, T., *et al.* (2020) Multi-level proteomics reveals host-perturbation strategies of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. *bioRxiv.* https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.156455

- Chu, H., Chan, J. F.-W., Wang, Y., Yuen, T. T.-T., Chai, Y., Hou, Y., Shuai, H., Yang, D., Hu, B., Huang, X., Zhang, X., Cai, J.-P., Zhou, J., Yuan, S., Kok, K.-H., et al. (2020) Comparative replication and immune activation profiles of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV in human lungs: An ex vivo study with implications for the pathogenesis of COVID-19. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **71**, 1400–1409
- Wang, W., Xu, L., Su, J., Peppelenbosch, M. P., and Pan, Q. (2017) Transcriptional regulation of antiviral interferon-stimulated genes. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 573–584
- 52. Crow, Y. J., and Manel, N. (2015) Aicardi-Goutières syndrome and the type I interferonopathies. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **15**, 429–440
- 53. Dill, M. T., Makowska, Z., Duong, F. H. T., Merkofer, F., Filipowicz, M., Baumert, T. F., Tornillo, L., Terracciano, L., and Heim, M. H. (2012) Interferon-γ–Stimulated genes, but not USP18, are expressed in livers of patients with acute hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* **143**, 777–786.e776
- 54. Asselah, T., Bieche, I., Narguet, S., Sabbagh, A., Laurendeau, I., Ripault, M. P., Boyer, N., Martinot-Peignoux, M., Valla, D., Vidaud, M., and Marcellin, P. (2008) Liver gene expression signature to predict response to pegylated interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gut* 57, 516–524
- 55. Rodero, M. P., Decalf, J., Bondet, V., Hunt, D., Rice, G. I., Werneke, S., McGlasson, S. L., Alyanakian, M.-A., Bader-Meunier, B., Barnerias, C., Bellon, N., Belot, A., Bodemer, C., Briggs, T. A., Desguerre, I., *et al.* (2017) Detection of interferon alpha protein reveals differential levels and cellular sources in disease. *J. Exp. Med.* **214**, 1547–1555
- Diedenhofen, B., and Musch, J. (2015) Cocor: A comprehensive solution for the statistical comparison of correlations. *PLoS One* 10, e0121945
- 57. Clarke, D. L., Clifford, R. L., Jindarat, S., Proud, D., Pang, L., Belvisi, M., and Knox, A. J. (2010) TNFα and IFNγ synergistically enhance transcriptional activation of CXCL10 in human airway smooth muscle cells via STAT-1, NF-κB, and the transcriptional coactivator CREB-binding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 29101–29110
- Lu, X., Masic, A., Liu, Q., and Zhou, Y. (2011) Regulation of influenza A virus induced CXCL-10 gene expression requires PI3K/Akt pathway and IRF3 transcription factor. *Mol. Immunol.* 48, 1417–1423
- **59.** Oslund, K. L., Zhou, X., Lee, B., Zhu, L., Duong, T., Shih, R., Baumgarth, N., Hung, L.-Y., Wu, R., and Chen, Y. (2014) Synergistic up-regulation of CXCL10 by virus and IFN  $\gamma$  in human airway epithelial cells. *PLoS One* **9**, e100978
- 60. Garcia, G., Jr., Paul, S., Beshara, S., Ramanujan, V. K., Ramaiah, A., Nielsen-Saines, K., Li, M. M. H., French, S. W., Morizono, K., Kumar, A., and Arumugaswami, V. (2020) Hippo signaling pathway has a critical role in Zika virus replication and in the pathogenesis of neuroinflammation. *Am. J. Pathol.* **190**, 844–861
- Wei, J., Wang, L., Zhu, J., Sun, A., Yu, G., Chen, M., Huang, P., Liu, H., Shao, G., Yang, W., and Lin, Q. (2019) The Hippo signaling effector WWTR1 is a metastatic biomarker of gastric cardia adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell Int.* **19**, 74
- Ünal, C. M., and Steinert, M. (2014) Microbial peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerases (PPlases): Virulence factors and potential alternative drug targets. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 78, 544–571
- Luban, J., Bossolt, K. L., Franke, E. K., Kalpana, G. V., and Goff, S. P. (1993) Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag protein binds to cyclophilins A and B. *Cell* **73**, 1067–1078
- 64. Pantazi, A., Quintanilla, A., Hari, P., Tarrats, N., Parasyraki, E., Dix, F. L., Patel, J., Chandra, T., Acosta, J. C., and Finch, A. J. (2019) Inhibition of the 60S ribosome biogenesis GTPase LSG1 causes endoplasmic reticular disruption and cellular senescence. *Aging Cell* 18, e12981
- Kuroha, K., Zinoviev, A., Hellen, C. U. T., and Pestova, T. V. (2018) Release of ubiquitinated and non-ubiquitinated nascent chains from stalled mammalian ribosomal complexes by ANKZF1 and Ptrh1. *Mol. Cell* 72, 286–302.e288
- Salcini, A. E., Chen, H., Iannolo, G., De Camilli, P., and Di Fiore, P. P. (1999) Epidermal growth factor pathway substrate 15, Eps15. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 31, 805–809
- Ang, F., Wong, A. P. Y., Ng, M. M.-L., and Chu, J. J. H. (2010) Small interference RNA profiling reveals the essential role of human membrane trafficking genes in mediating the infectious entry of dengue virus. *Virol. J.* 7, 24
- Kang, J.-I., Kwon, S.-N., Park, S.-H., Kim, Y. K., Choi, S.-Y., Kim, J. P., and Ahn, B.-Y. (2009) PKR protein kinase is activated by hepatitis C virus

and inhibits viral replication through translational control. Virus Res. 142, 51–56  $\,$ 

- Walsh, D., and Mohr, I. (2011) Viral subversion of the host protein synthesis machinery. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 860–875
- Kuss, S. K., Mata, M. A., Zhang, L., and Fontoura, B. M. A. (2013) Nuclear imprisonment: Viral strategies to arrest host mRNA nuclear export. *Viruses* 5, 1824–1849
- 71. Thoms, M., Buschauer, R., Ameismeier, M., Koepke, L., Denk, T., Hirschenberger, M., Kratzat, H., Hayn, M., Mackens-Kiani, T., Cheng, J., Straub, J. H., Stürzel, C. M., Fröhlich, T., Berninghausen, O., Becker, T., et al. (2020) Structural basis for translational shutdown and immune evasion by the Nsp1 protein of SARS-CoV-2. *Science*, eabc8665
- Evans, P. C. (2005) Regulation of pro-inflammatory signalling networks by ubiquitin: Identification of novel targets for anti-inflammatory drugs. *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.* 7, 1–19
- 73. Gao, G., and Luo, H. (2006) The ubiquitin–proteasome pathway in viral infections paper is one of a selection of papers published in this Special Issue, entitled Young Investigator's Forum. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 84, 5–14
- 74. Tang, Q., Wu, P., Chen, H., and Li, G. (2018) Pleiotropic roles of the ubiquitin-proteasome system during viral propagation. *Life Sci.* 207, 350–354
- Casorla-Pérez, L. A., López, T., López, S., and Arias, C. F. (2018) The ubiquitin-proteasome system is necessary for efficient replication of human astrovirus. J. Virol. 92, e01809-17
- Raaben, M., Posthuma, C. C., Verheije, M. H., te Lintelo, E. G., Kikkert, M., Drijfhout, J. W., Snijder, E. J., Rottier, P. J., and de Haan, C. A. (2010) The ubiquitin-proteasome system plays an important role during various stages of the coronavirus infection cycle. *J. Virol.* 84, 7869–7879
- Watanabe, H., Tanaka, Y., Shimazu, Y., Sugahara, F., Kuwayama, M., Hiramatsu, A., Kiyotani, K., Yoshida, T., and Sakaguchi, T. (2005) Cellspecific inhibition of paramyxovirus maturation by proteasome inhibitors. *Microbiol. Immunol.* **49**, 835–844
- Wu, F., Niu, Z., Zhou, B., Li, P., and Qian, F. (2019) PSMB1 negatively regulates the innate antiviral immunity by facilitating degradation of IKK*ε. Viruses* 11, 99
- Dudek, S. E., Luig, C., Pauli, E.-K., Schubert, U., and Ludwig, S. (2010) The clinically approved proteasome inhibitor PS-341 efficiently blocks influenza A virus and vesicular stomatitis virus propagation by establishing an antiviral state. J. Virol. 84, 9439–9451
- Li, C. C., Wang, X. J., and Wang, H. C. R. (2019) Repurposing host-based therapeutics to control coronavirus and influenza virus. *Drug Discov. Today* 24, 726–736
- Schneider, S. M., Pritchard, S. M., Wudiri, G. A., Trammell, C. E., and Nicola, A. V. (2019) Early steps in herpes simplex virus infection blocked by a proteasome inhibitor. *mBio* **10**, e00732-19
- Basler, M., Lauer, C., Beck, U., and Groettrup, M. (2009) The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib enhances the susceptibility to viral infection. *J. Immunol.* 183, 6145–6150
- Zhang, Y., Li, L.-F., Munir, M., and Qiu, H.-J. (2018) RING-domain E3 ligase-mediated host-virus interactions: Orchestrating immune responses by the host and antagonizing immune defense by viruses. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 1083
- Ohmura-Hoshino, M., Goto, E., Matsuki, Y., Aoki, M., Mito, M., Uematsu, M., Hotta, H., and Ishido, S. (2006) A novel family of membrane-bound E3 ubiquitin ligases. *J. Biochem.* 140, 147–154
- Lanfranca, M. P., Mostafa, H. H., and Davido, D. J. (2014) HSV-1 ICP0: An E3 ubiquitin ligase that counteracts host intrinsic and innate immunity. *Cells* 3, 438–454
- Barro, M., and Patton, J. T. (2007) Rotavirus NSP1 inhibits expression of type I interferon by antagonizing the function of interferon regulatory factors IRF3, IRF5, and IRF7. *J. Virol.* 81, 4473–4481
- Sooryanarain, H., Rogers, A. J., Cao, D., Haac, M. E. R., Karpe, Y. A., and Meng, X.-J. (2017) ISG15 modulates type I interferon signaling and the antiviral response during hepatitis E virus replication. *J. Virol.* **91**, e00621-17
- Kobayashi, H., Matsuda, Y., Hitomi, T., Okuda, H., Shioi, H., Matsuda, T., Imai, H., Sone, M., Taura, D., Harada, K. H., Habu, T., Takagi, Y., Miyamoto, S., and Koizumi, A. (2015) Biochemical and functional characterization of RNF213 (mysterin) R4810K, a susceptibility mutation of moyamoya disease, in angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 4, e002146

- 89. Scholz, B., Korn, C., Wojtarowicz, J., Mogler, C., Augustin, I., Boutros, M., Niehrs, C., and Augustin, H. G. (2016) Endothelial RSPO3 controls vascular stability and pruning through non-caBiochemical and functional characterization of RNF213 (mysterin) R4810K, a susceptibility mutation of moyamoya disease, in angiogenesis in vitro and in vivononical WNT/Ca(2+)/NFAT signaling. *Dev. Cell* **36**, 79–93
- 90. Ohkubo, K., Sakai, Y., Inoue, H., Akamine, S., Ishizaki, Y., Matsushita, Y., Sanefuji, M., Torisu, H., Ihara, K., Sardiello, M., and Hara, T. (2015) Moyamoya disease susceptibility gene RNF213 links inflammatory and angiogenic signals in endothelial cells. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13191
- 91. Liu, W., Morito, D., Takashima, S., Mineharu, Y., Kobayashi, H., Hitomi, T., Hashikata, H., Matsuura, N., Yamazaki, S., Toyoda, A., Kikuta, K., Takagi, Y., Harada, K. H., Fujiyama, A., Herzig, R., et al. (2011) Identification of RNF213 as a susceptibility gene for moyamoya disease and its possible role in vascular development. *PLoS One* 6, e22542
- Ackermann, M., Verleden, S. E., Kuehnel, M., Haverich, A., Welte, T., Laenger, F., Vanstapel, A., Werlein, C., Stark, H., Tzankov, A., Li, W. W., Li, V. W., Mentzer, S. J., and Jonigk, D. (2020) Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 383, 120–128
- Lang, M., Som, A., Carey, D., Reid, N., Mendoza, D. P., Flores, E. J., Li, M. D., Shepard, J.-A. O., and Little, B. P. (2020) Pulmonary vascular manifestations of COVID-19 pneumonia. *Radiol. Cardiothorac. Imaging* 2, e200277
- 94. Denu, R. A., Sass, M. M., Johnson, J. M., Potts, G. K., Choudhary, A., Coon, J. J., and Burkard, M. E. (2019) Polo-like kinase 4 maintains centriolar satellite integrity by phosphorylation of centrosomal protein 131 (CEP131). J. Biol. Chem. 294, 6531–6549
- 95. Arnaiz, O., Goût, J.-F., Bétermier, M., Bouhouche, K., Cohen, J., Duret, L., Kapusta, A., Meyer, E., and Sperling, L. (2010) Gene expression in a paleopolyploid: A transcriptome resource for the ciliate paramecium tetraurelia. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 547
- McClintock, T. S., Glasser, C. E., Bose, S. C., and Bergman, D. A. (2008) Tissue expression patterns identify mouse cilia genes. *Physiol. Genomics* 32, 198–206
- 97. Lin, J., Le, T. V., Augspurger, K., Tritschler, D., Bower, R., Fu, G., Perrone, C., O'Toole, E. T., Mills, K. V., Dymek, E., Smith, E., Nicastro, D., and Porter, M. E. (2019) FAP57/WDR65 targets assembly of a subset of inner arm dyneins and connects to regulatory hubs in cilia. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 30, 2659–2680
- Diehl, N., and Schaal, H. (2013) Make yourself at home: Viral hijacking of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. *Viruses* 5, 3192–3212
- Zhirnov, O. P., and Klenk, H.-D. (2007) Control of apoptosis in influenza virus-infected cells by up-regulation of Akt and p53 signaling. *Apoptosis* 12, 1419–1432
- 100. Shin, Y.-K., Liu, Q., Tikoo, S. K., Babiuk, L. A., and Zhou, Y. (2007) Influenza A virus NS1 protein activates the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway by direct interaction with the p85 subunit of PI3K. *J. Gen. Virol.* 88, 13–18
- 101. Hale, B. G., Jackson, D., Chen, Y.-H., Lamb, R. A., and Randall, R. E. (2006) Influenza A virus NS1 protein binds  $p85\beta$  and activates

phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **103**, 14194–14199

- 102. Ehrhardt, C., Wolff, T., Pleschka, S., Planz, O., Beermann, W., Bode, J. G., Schmolke, M., and Ludwig, S. (2007) Influenza A virus NS1 protein activates the PI3K/Akt pathway to mediate antiapoptotic signaling responses. J. Virol. 81, 3058–3067
- 103. Avota, E., Harms, H., and Schneider-Schaulies, S. (2006) Measles virus induces expression of SIP110, a constitutively membrane clustered lipid phosphatase, which inhibits T cell proliferation. *Cell Microbiol.* 8, 1826–1839
- 104. Guo, H., Gao, M., Lu, Y., Liang, J., Lorenzi, P. L., Bai, S., Hawke, D. H., Li, J., Dogruluk, T., Scott, K. L., Jonasch, E., Mills, G. B., and Ding, Z. (2014) Coordinate phosphorylation of multiple residues on single AKT1 and AKT2 molecules. *Oncogene* 33, 3463–3472
- 105. Mayya, V., Lundgren, D. H., Hwang, S. I., Rezaul, K., Wu, L., Eng, J. K., Rodionov, V., and Han, D. K. (2009) Quantitative phosphoproteomic analysis of T cell receptor signaling reveals system-wide modulation of protein-protein interactions. *Sci. Signal* 2, ra46
- 106. Yousuf, M. A., Lee, J. S., Zhou, X., Ramke, M., Lee, J. Y., Chodosh, J., and Rajaiya, J. (2016) Protein kinase C signaling in adenoviral infection. *Biochemistry* 55, 5938–5946
- 107. Blázquez, A. B., Vázquez-Calvo, Á., Martín-Acebes, M. A., and Saiz, J. C. (2018) Pharmacological inhibition of protein kinase C reduces West Nile virus replication. *Viruses* 10, 91
- 108. Zhou, H., Di Palma, S., Preisinger, C., Peng, M., Polat, A. N., Heck, A. J., and Mohammed, S. (2013) Toward a comprehensive characterization of a human cancer cell phosphoproteome. *J. Proteome Res.* 12, 260–271
- 109. Shiromizu, T., Adachi, J., Watanabe, S., Murakami, T., Kuga, T., Muraoka, S., and Tomonaga, T. (2013) Identification of missing proteins in the neXtProt database and unregistered phosphopeptides in the PhosphoSitePlus database as part of the Chromosome-centric Human Proteome Project. J. Proteome Res. 12, 2414–2421
- 110. Mertins, P., Qiao, J. W., Patel, J., Udeshi, N. D., Clauser, K. R., Mani, D. R., Burgess, M. W., Gillette, M. A., Jaffe, J. D., and Carr, S. A. (2013) Integrated proteomic analysis of post-translational modifications by serial enrichment. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 634–637
- 111. Zagon, I. S., Donahue, R. N., and McLaughlin, P. J. (2009) Opioid growth factor-opioid growth factor receptor axis is a physiological determinant of cell proliferation in diverse human cancers. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **297**, R1154–R1161
- 112. Cheng, F., Zagon, I. S., Verderame, M. F., and McLaughlin, P. J. (2007) The opioid growth factor (OGF)–OGF receptor Axis uses the p16 pathway to inhibit head and neck cancer. *Cancer Res.* 67, 10511–10518
- 113. Zagon, I. S., Donahue, R. N., Bonneau, R. H., and McLaughlin, P. J. (2011) T lymphocyte proliferation is suppressed by the opioid growth factor ([Met(5)]-enkephalin)-opioid growth factor receptor axis: Implication for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. *Immunobiology* 216, 579–590
- 114. Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llinares, M., Hewapathirana, S., Kundu, D. J., Inuganti, A., Griss, J., Mayer, G., Eisenacher, M., Pérez, E., Uszkoreit, J., Pfeuffer, J., Sachsenberg, T., Yilmaz, S., *et al.* (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: Improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D442–d450