

Review Article

Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73(6):486-502 https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.20354 pISSN 2005-6419 • eISSN 2005-7563

Received: June 30, 2020 Revised: July 10, 2020 (1st); July 14, 2020 (2nd) Accepted: July 14, 2020

Corresponding author:

Sharon Ong, MBBS, MMed Anesthesia, EDIC Department of Surgical Intensive Care, Division of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Sciences, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road 169608, Singapore Tel: +65-6326-5519 Fax: +65-2241792 Email: sharon.ong.g.k@singhealth.com.sg ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9851-0401

Anesthesia guidelines for COVID-19 patients: a narrative review and appraisal

Sharon Ong^{1,2,3}, Wan Yen Lim³, John Ong^{4,5}, Peter Kam⁶

¹Duke-NUS Medical School, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, Singapore, ²Department of Surgical Intensive Care, Sengkang General Hospital, Singapore, ³Division of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Sciences, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, ⁴Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, ⁵Department of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, ⁶Department of Anesthetics, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Camperdown, Australia

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has challenged health systems globally and prompted the publication of several guidelines. The experiences of our international colleagues should be utilized to protect patients and healthcare workers. The primary aim of this article is to appraise national guidelines for the perioperative anesthetic management of patients with COVID-19 so that they can be enhanced for the management of any resurgence of the epidemic. PubMed and EMBASE databases were systematically searched for guidelines related to SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, the World Federation Society of Anesthesiologists COVID-19 resource webpage was searched for national guidelines; the search was expanded to include countries with a high incidence of SARS-CoV. The guidelines were evaluated using the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation II tool. Guidelines from Australia, Canada, China, India, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, Taiwan, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America were evaluated. All the guidelines focused predominantly on intubation and infection control. The scope and purpose of guidelines from China were the most comprehensive. The UK and South Africa provided the best clarity. Editorial independence, the rigor of development, and applicability scored poorly. Heterogeneity and gaps pertaining to preoperative screening, anesthesia technique, subspecialty anesthesia, and the lack of auditing of guidelines were identified. Evidence supporting the recommendations was weak. Early guidelines for the anesthetic management of COVID-19 patients lacked quality and a robust reporting framework. As new evidence emerges, national guidelines should be updated to enhance rigor, clarity, and applicability.

Keywords: Anesthesia; Coronavirus infections; COVID-19; Guidelines; Perioperative management; Perioperative medicine; Review.

Introduction

China reported the first outbreak of the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) in Wuhan on 7 January 2020 [1]. As of 25 June 2020, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) had become a pandemic with more than 9 million cases with 2% critically ill and 9% deceased [2]. Importantly, healthcare workers accounted for 3.8% of the cases in China and 11% in Italy [3]. Virus transmission is through respiratory droplets and fomites, which places anesthetic staff at a high risk of nosocomial infection. Although the virus has been reported to be air-borne [4], this has not yet been

[©] This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

confirmed in clinical studies.

Following the rapid and global spread of the virus, numerous guidelines have been published by national anesthesia societies to provide anesthetists with insights into the management of COVID-19 patients and the risk of infection during aerosol-generating procedures (intubation, extubation, airway suctioning) associated with anesthesia [5]. Ideally, guidelines should have scientific rigor, and they should be presented with clarity. They should also apply to practitioners internationally, irrespective of the minor variations in practice. An objective framework for developing and appraising clinical guidelines is provided by the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (AGREE) II tool [6]. As various countries move from the containment phase to the gradual relaxation of community restrictions, the second surge of infections is anticipated.

The primary aim of our review was to appraise national guidelines on the anesthetic considerations for COVID-19 patients presenting for surgery and evaluate their quality with the AGREE II tool. Through updates, guidelines can be refined to ensure that they are more robust, and they can equip anesthetists for the potential viral resurgence.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy and selection of sources of evidence

We conducted a systematic search of the PubMed and EMBASE databases using the combination of Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) and keywords (["anesthesia" or "anesthesiology"] OR ["airway management"] OR ["intubation"]) AND (["SARS" OR "SARS-CoV" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "COVID-19" OR "Coronavirus"]) for guidelines/studies published between 1 Jan 2002 and 16 May 2020. To capture new guidelines that had not been indexed in these databases, national anesthesia organizations, with links to their official websites listed on the World Federation of Society of Anesthesiologists (WFSA) [7] COVID-19 resource webpage (up to 28 May 2020), were interrogated because it represents anesthesia societies from over 150 countries. We also expanded our search for guidelines from countries (China, Hong Kong, Singapore, and Taiwan) that were affected by the SARS-CoV epidemic in 2003 [8]. Guidelines from Hong Kong and Singapore, which reported SARS previously, were not endorsed by their official national societies, and they were excluded. The bibliographies of the retrieved articles were manually screened for additional relevant material.

Eligibility criteria

Only articles written in English and Chinese were included because the two co-authors who conducted the search were proficient in both languages. Articles that reported relevant aspects of perioperative anesthetic management of patients with COVID-19 were included. Two reviewers (SO and WYL) conducted the search independently and screened all article types for eligibility using their titles and abstracts. Duplicate and irrelevant articles were excluded. Articles that did not address the primary objective and those that were correspondences and editorials were also excluded. Discrepancies were discussed and resolved by PK.

Critical appraisal of sources of evidence

SO and PK independently appraised each eligible national guideline using the AGREE II instrument [6] (Supplementary Table 1). The AGREE II instrument has six domains (with 23 items) and two global rating items. The six domains were scope and purpose, stakeholder involvement, rigor of development, clarity of presentation, applicability, and editorial independence. Each item in the domain is scored on a seven-point scale (1 = minimum to 7 = maximum). Total scores were scaled to a percentage of the maximum score in each domain; for example, 0% if each reviewer scored 1 and 100% if each reviewer scored 7. The AGREE II instrument has been validated and tested for inter-rater reliability.

In addition, full manuscripts of extracted articles from the literature search were analyzed independently by SO and WYL and graded according to the level of evidence as defined by the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Oxford [9].

Results

Nineteen national guidelines from Australia, Canada, China, India, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, Taiwan, the UK, and the USA described the anesthetic management of COVID-19 patients [10–28]. China had the highest score for Scope and Purpose of guidelines followed by South Korea and the UK. The UK and South Africa scored the highest for the Clarity of guidelines. Among the domains, editorial independence had the lowest score, followed by rigor of development and applicability. Spearman correlation analysis of reviewer scores of all domain items demonstrated good inter-rater reliability ($\rho = 0.714$, P < 0.001, 95% CI: 0.436–0.868). A summary of the results is provided in Table 1.

There was a paucity of high-quality evidence supporting the current recommendations. Of the 63 articles retrieved from the literature search, only one systematic review (level 2) in 2012 re-

AGREE II Domains	Australia/ New Zealand	Canada	China	India	Italy	South Africa	South Korea	Taiwan	UK	US
Domain 1 Scope and purpose	63.9	27.8	75.0	50	44.4	61.1	66.7	44.4	66.7	63.9
Domain 2 Stakeholder involvement	58.3	33.3	69.4	58.3	58.3	69.4	58.3	58.3	63.9	58.3
Domain 3 Rigor of development	11.5	3.1	22.9	13.5	8.3	15.6	13.5	17.7	25.0	19.8
Domain 4 Clarity of presentation	69.4	41.7	69.4	58.3	63.9	77.8	61.1	52.8	83.3	63.9
Domain 5 Applicability	39.6	25.0	43.8	27.1	31.3	50.0	29.2	35.4	43.8	31.3
Domain 6 Editorial Independence	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	82.6	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 1. Summary of AGREE II Results on National Anesthesia Guidelines for the Management of a COVID-19 Patient

Values are presented as percentage. Spearman correlation analysis of reviewer scores of all domain items demonstrated good inter-rater reliability; $\rho = 0.714$ (P < 0.001, 95% CI: 0.436–0.868).

lated aerosol-generating procedures to the infections of health care workers [29], and one prospective single-center study (level 3) in 2006 focused on simulation [30]. The remainder of the reports were predominantly retrospective studies, case reports/series (level 4), and expert opinions (level 5) that focused on infection control and intubation. The results of the literature search are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Guidelines on preoperative management

Preoperative evaluation, screening, and prioritization for surgery

The details on preoperative guidance varied. China and India detailed preoperative screening of history, symptoms, and investigations while South Africa used a brief checklist [15,17,20]. Australia recommended using telemedicine for preoperative assessment, counseling, consent, and a thorough airway assessment [10]. The UK through the Difficult Airway Society focused specifically on the MACOCHA (Mallampati III or IV; Apnea syndrome [obstructive]; Cervical spine limitation; Opening mouth-3 cm; Coma; Hypoxia; Anesthesiologist-non trained) score to assess and predict a difficult airway [24,31]. Only the USA linked preoperative screening with viral testing and prioritization for surgery involving a multidisciplinary team [26]. Recommendations on scheduling elective surgery during the pandemic were provided by Canada, India, South Africa, the UK, and the USA [12,17,20, 24,26].

Infection control and personal protective equipment

This was the focus of all the guidelines. All countries recommended airborne precautions and Personal protective equipment (PPE) training [10–28]. There was unanimous agreement on the use of full PPE (N95 mask or powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR), face shield or goggles, gown, hat, double gloves) for aerosol-generating procedures and hand hygiene when donning and after doffing PPE [10–28]. All countries (apart from India and South Korea), recommended a buddy system for PPE donning. High-risk healthcare personnel who were pregnant, immunocompromised, or older than 60 years with cardiorespiratory diseases were advised by the UK to refrain from airway management [23,24]. The number and position of staff present in the inner and the outer rooms, the types of PPE, including the position of equipment and monitors, were detailed by Italy and the UK [19,24]. Other recommendations included using a negative pressure operating theater with warning signs [10–21,23–28], placing a hydrophobic filter interposed between the face mask/endotracheal tube and the breathing circuit or the reservoir bag [10–28], and using disposable equipment [10–16,18–28] where possible. A clear plastic sheet to limit the aerosol spread and the use of forcedair warming blankets only in intubated patients were recommended by Australia [10].

Training and resource planning

Simulation training for the provision of anesthetic care was advocated by Australia, Canada, China, India, Italy, the UK, and the USA [10–19,23–28]. In addition, team briefing before surgery was recommended by Australia, Italy, South Africa, the UK, and the USA [10,11,18,23–28]. China, India, and South Korea addressed fatigue by deploying several airway and anesthetic teams to support hospitals and operating theaters [14–17,21]. All guidelines (except those from Canada and Taiwan) detailed the most direct route for patient transfer to the operating theatre: bypassing the holding area with the patient wearing a surgical mask [10,11,14– 28].

Evidence

Apart from one level 2 and one level 3 evidence studies, the evidence relating to preoperative management was weak (level 4 and 5 evidence), and it focused on infection control [29,30]. Reports from the SARS outbreak in 2003 detailed risk factors for the infection of healthcare workers related to PPE use and aerosol generation [29,30,32–34]. Recent reviews on the preoperative management of COVID-19 patients also described operating room optimization and infection control and the rational use of PPE [35,36].

Guidelines on intraoperative management

Intubation

All guidelines focused on the reduction of aerosol generation during procedures and limiting the exposure of healthcare personnel [10-28]. Recommendations included a rapid sequence induction and intubation by the most experienced airway personnel and the use of a videolaryngoscope [10-28]. Canada and the UK recommended using intravenous ketamine for induction in patients with hemodynamic instability [12,23]. Manual ventilation was to be avoided and, if required, small tidal volumes were to be delivered via two-handed facemask ventilation, with the VE hand position preferred to the C hand position [24] for a better mask seal. The Difficult Airway Society in the UK also recommended meticulous attention to preoxygenation, including optimizing patient positioning at induction to maximize a safe apnea time [24]. Only Italy suggested apneic nasal oxygenation delivery at a flow rate of 3 L/min during airway manipulation [18]. Positive pressure ventilation was only to be commenced after intubation and inflation of the tracheal tube cuff [10-20,22-28] to at least 5 cmH₂O above the peak inspiratory pressure [24]. Awake fiberoptic intubation, including the use of high-flow nasal oxygen and non-invasive ventilation was discouraged by all guidelines (except for Canada and South Africa). Only Australia, Italy, and the UK provided specific recommendations for the management of a difficult airway [10,11,18,19,23,24]. These included using the VORTEX approach [37], intubation via a supraglottic airway device (SAD), and employing the scalpel bougie over the needle cannula approach in front of neck access in "cannot intubate, cannot oxygenate" scenarios [10,19,24]. Other heterogeneous recommendations included a smaller sized endotracheal tube, avoidance of cricoid pressure (to minimize coughing) [19], and loading the endotracheal tube routinely with an introducer [10,11].

Use of SAD

There is no consensus on its use as the primary airway device for general anesthesia. China recommended its use [15]; Australia, Canada, Italy, and the UK recommended it only for airway rescue [10,12,19,23,24]. If a second-generation device is used, ensuring a leak-free seal is recommended [24].

Regional anesthesia

Regional anesthesia, where possible, has been advocated by Australia, China, India, and the USA [10,14–17,25–28]. Throm-

bocytopenia and coagulopathy should be excluded before neuraxial techniques, especially in patients with severe COVID-19 disease [38]. Although SARS-CoV-2 has been demonstrated in cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue on autopsy, spinal anesthesia in obstetric parturients with COVID-19 has been reported to be safe [39]. For peripheral nerve blocks near the head and neck area, airborne precautions may be considered [40]. In addition, confirming the success of the block reduces the need for emergent conversion to general anesthesia [40].

Extubation

Extubation recommendations targeted at minimizing cough varied, and they included deep extubation, SAD exchange, administration of opioids, lidocaine, dexmedetomidine [10,11,24], glycopyrrolate [22], and prophylactic antiemetics [12,17,27,28].

Evidence

Evidence supporting airway management and endotracheal intubation was initially derived from a systematic review on aerosol-generating procedures and infection in healthcare workers (level 2 evidence) and case reports (level 4 evidence) published on SARS [29,33,34,41–44]. Recent reports on COVID-19 patients (level 4 and 5 evidence) have been published [14–16,45–51]. A recent retrospective review (which included an expert panel) of the emergency intubation of 202 patients with COVID-19 reported that hypoxemia (oxygen saturation < 90%) was common and associated with hypotension, cardiac arrest, and pneumothorax [14]. The authors recommended head elevation for intubation with propofol dose reduction, fluid boluses, or inotropes (to avoid hypotension). A ventilation protective strategy utilizing small tidal volumes to minimize barotrauma was recommended [14].

Guidelines on postoperative management

Patient transfer

Most guidelines proposed that the patient should be recovered in the operating theater [10,11,15,16,25-28]. If disconnection from the breathing circuit is required, clamping the endotracheal tube before disconnection was recommended [10-12,19,24,26,27].

Postoperative cleaning and disinfection

Australia, Canada, China, India, Taiwan, the UK, and USA detailed environmental disinfection [10–17,22–28]. Australia and the UK recommended waiting 20 to 30 minutes between cases to allow for operating theater cleaning and air changes [10,23]. All guidelines advocated the disposal of waste into labeled bins [10– 28]. Additionally, Australia, China, India, South Africa, South Korea, Taiwan, and the USA recommended sealing all contaminated equipment for disinfection in double zip-locked bags [10,11,15, 17,20–22,26]. China and South Korea proposed the replacements of the end-tidal carbon dioxide sample line and water trap [15,21].

Staff monitoring and welfare

Australia, Italy, the UK, and the USA [10,19,23,26] recommended a team debriefing event, while Canada encouraged incident reporting of adverse events [12]. With regards to staff surveillance, Australia and the USA required staff to maintain a logbook of clinical exposure, while China required daily surveillance of temperature and respiratory symptoms [10,15,16,26,28]. Additionally, Australia, Canada, South Africa, the UK, and the USA provided support services on mental well-being [10,12,20,23,26].

Evidence

There was little evidence on postoperative management apart from a retrospective study (level 4 evidence) from China that reported surveillance and a 14-day quarantine of a team of anesthesiologists who performed intubation on all COVID-19 patients in two hospitals [52].

A summary of guidelines for the anesthetic management of COVID-19 patients is provided in Tables 2–4.

Guidelines on subspecialty anesthesia

Obstetric anesthesia

National guidelines on the perioperative anesthetic management of obstetric patients with COVID-19 were scarce. Australia, China, Taiwan, the UK, and the USA recommended neuraxial anesthesia as the technique of choice for cesarean delivery [10,15,22,23,25–28]. The use of nitrous oxide/oxygen mixture for labor analgesia was controversial. The UK endorsed its use with a viral filter, but Australia and Taiwan did not [10,22,23]. Evidence from retrieved articles was mainly of level 4 and 5 quality. An expert panel review recommended screening patients for COVID-19 symptoms remotely and observing droplet and contact precautions in the labor ward [53]. Parturients were to wear surgical masks as increased ventilation during labor and symptoms could predispose to airborne transmission [54]. Two studies reported safe administration of epidural and spinal in COVID-19 patients who underwent cesarean section [39,55]. However, a higher incidence of maternal hypotension was reported [55]. Combined spinal and epidural was recommended for anticipated prolonged procedures to minimize conversion to general anesthesia [56]. Thrombocytopenia, which may be present in COVID-19

490

infections, was to be excluded. Epidural was recommended for labor analgesia to reduce the need for general anesthesia if urgent delivery is required. Category 1 cesarean section delivery should be avoided by close fetal monitoring [42,56]. Patients should be informed of potential delays due to PPE donning [42].

Pediatric anesthesia

Australia, Canada, and the UK provided guidelines for pediatric anesthesia [10,13,23]. Aerosol generation from crying was to be minimized by sedation, parental presence, and deep extubation [10,13]. Inhalation induction was to be best performed with a circle system, utilizing the lowest gas flows. Airway management was to be performed by trained pediatric staff, and a cuffed endotracheal was recommended [10,13,23]. Recommendations for difficult airway management included using video laryngoscopy primarily, followed by fiberoptic intubation through a SAD, combined video laryngoscopy with fiberoptic bronchoscopy, and fiberoptic bronchoscopy alone [13]. The UK also highlighted the need to exclude pediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome associated with COVID-19 [23]. The literature review revealed only expert opinions and narrative reviews (level 5 evidence) that supported the guidelines from Australia, Canada, and the UK [13,57].

Cardiothoracic anesthesia

Advanced hemodynamic monitoring such as transesophageal echocardiography can be used to guide fluid therapy and vasoactive drugs, especially for COVID-19 patients with multi-organ dysfunction presenting for cardiac surgery. In addition, blood conservation and rigorous evaluation of coagulation are needed for coagulation abnormalities [58]. For thoracic anesthesia, viral filters and clamps should be placed on the double-lumen tube before opening it to the atmosphere so that the release of positive pressure within the lung occurs through a viral filter. In addition, ventilation should be withheld and a swivel connector with a self-sealing valve should be used if the breathing circuit is to be accessed for procedures. Bronchoscopes are significantly contaminated, and disposable flexible bronchoscopes should be used where possible. Suctioning of the airways should be performed before reversing neuromuscular blockades [59,60].

Neuroanesthesia

Full PPE for aerosol-generating procedures should be used for trans-sphenoidal surgeries, as there is a high incidence of viral shedding. Patients undergoing awake craniotomy should be lightly sedated to avoid an emergent airway, and low-dose lidocaine or remifentanil can be used to minimize coughing. For the endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke, a low threshold for gen-

Country Australia [10,11] Canada [12,13] China [14–16] India [17] Italy [18,19] South Africa [20] South Korea [2]	China [14–16]	India [17]	Italv [18,19]	South Africa [20] South Korea [21]	South Korea [21]	Taiwan [22]	UK [23.24]	US [25–28]
E H	Donning & doff- Donning & doff- Donning & doff- ing PPE ing PPE, Streaming lec- tures online	Doming & doff- ing PPE	Donning & doff- ing PPE	doff- Donning & doff- Donning	Donning & doff- ing PPE	Donning & doff- ing PPE	Donning & doff- ing PPE	Donning & doff- ing PPE
er	e.g. airway emer- e.g. Category 1 gency Caesarean de- livery	Intubation/ ex- tubation drills wearing PPE	Possible scenari- Not stated os and multi-disci- plinary teams	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated	e.g. Category 1 Caesarean de- livery	e.g. Category 1 Caesarean de- livery & airway crisis
	Postpone elec- tive surgery	Defer elective/ semi-emergen- cy surgery	Not stated	Surgery based on acuity. Post- pone elective surgery	Not stated	Not stated	Postpone elec- tive surgery	Postpone elec- tive surgery, surgical review committee
Perform airway assessment with PPE on	Elective cases	History taking (including fe- ver, cough, sore throat and travel history) should be elic- ited	Not stated	Preoperative screening for acute respira- tory illness, pneumonia, contact and travel history, contact with healthcare fa- cility managing COVID-19 pa- tients	Not stated	Not stated	MACOCHA score to predict difficult intu- bation and prepare strate- gy	Screen patient for fever, cough, dys- pnea, diarrhea & contact his- tory
	History (travel & Actively counsel contact history, patient to post- respiratory pone elective symptoms) & surgery examination	Actively counsel patient to post- pone elective surgery						Phone or video assessment for pre-anesthesia encounter
	Referral to infec- tion control if temp > 37.3°C							PCR Testing based on pop- ulation preva- lence
	Emergency cases As above plus Chest Xray or CT							

Table 2. Comparison of National Guidelines on the Perioperative Preparation and Management of a Suspected/Confirmed COVID-19 Patients

(Continued to the next page)

Table 2. Continued	g									
Country	Australia [10,11]	Canada [12,13]	China [14–16]	India [17]	Italy [18,19]	South Africa [20] South Korea [21]	South Korea [21]	Taiwan [22]	UK [23,24]	US [25–28]
Resource plan- ning	Team-brief	Not stated	Smaller group to lead airway management in COVID-desig- nated hospitals	Multiple tracheal Team-brief intubation teams	Team-brief	Team of 5 : 3 in OT and 2 out- side as runners	Replace anesthe- Not stated sia team every 2 hours to avoid fatigue	Not stated	Team-brief; Communica- tion checklist; Cognitive aid	Team-brief, Communica- tion checklist
	Smaller group to lead airway management				Standby doctor with donned PPE outside chamber	COVID cart with equip- ment & drugs			Exclude high- risk staff during airway management	
OT	Negative pres- sure isolation room	Negative pres- sure isolation room	Negative pres- sure isolation room	Designated OT with filters (lack of nega- tive pressure OT) with dedi- cated anesthe- sia machine	Negative pres- sure isolation room	Negative pres- sure OT	Negative pres- sure OT	Not stated	Negative pres- sure OT with > 12 air changes	Designated neg- ative pressure isolation OT
			Warning signs on OT doors	Warning signs on OT doors		Warning signs on OT doors	Warning signs on OT doors		Warning signs on OT doors	Warning signs on OT doors
Patient transfer	To OT with sur- Not stated gical mask	Not stated	To OT with sur- gical mask	Do not keep pa- tient in holding area	Direct route to OT with surgi- cal mask	Direct route to OT with surgi- cal mask.	Plan ahead for patient trans- fer.	Not stated	To OT with sur- gical mask	To OT with sur- gical mask
						Porters to clear the path	Do not keep pa- tient in holding area		Do not keep pa- tient in holding area	Do not keep pa- tient in holding area
Infection control Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions	Airborne pre- cautions
PPE	N95 mask, face shield or gog- gles, gown, hat, double glowes for airway pro- cedures	N95 mask or PAPR, face shield or goggles, gown, hat, dou- ble gloves		N95/N99 mask, eye protection, gown, boot covers, hat, double gloves	N95 mask or PAPR device, face shield or goggles, gown, shoe covers, and double gloves	N95 mask, face shield or gog- gles, gown, shoe covers, and double gloves (PAPR for intubation & extubation)	N95 mask, face shield or gog- gles, protective coverall/ body suit, shoe cov- ers, and double gloves (PAPR for intubation & extubation)	N95 mask or PAPR device, face shield or goggles, gown, and double gloves	N95 mask, eye protection, gown, double gloves	N95 mask or PAPR device, face shield or goggles, gown, and double gloves
	*PAPR only for trained staff or if performing multiple proce- dures	Buddy System when donning PPE	Buddy System when donning PPE	Hand hygiene is essential before donning and after doffing PPE	Buddy System when donning PPE	Use "anti-fog" for goggles	Not stated	Buddy System when donning PPE	Buddy System when donning PPE	Buddy System when donning PPE

(Continued to the next page)

Table 2. Continued	nea									
Country	Australia [10,11]	Canada [12,13]	China [14-16]	India [17]	Italy [18,19]	South Africa [20]	South Africa [20] South Korea [21]	Taiwan [22]	UK [23,24]	US [25–28]
	Buddy System when donning PPE	uddy System Hand hygiene is when donning essential before PPE donning and af- ter doffing PPE	Hand hygiene is essential before donning and af- ter doffing PPE		Hand hygiene is essential before donning and after doffing PPE	Buddy System when donning PPE	Hand hygiene is essential before donning and after doffing PPE			
	Hand hygiene is essential before donning and after doffing PPE	Ð				Hand hygiene is essential before donning and after doffing PPE				
						Staff to handover all personal be- longings to buddy/runner to avoid them becoming fo- mites				
Equipment	2 viral filters placed in cir- cuit	Hydrophobic/ HEPA filter between circuit & ETT	2 viral filters placed in cir- cuit (between ETT & circuit & between cir- cuit & ma- chine)	2 viral filters placed in cir- cuit (between ETT & circuit; & between cir- cuit & ma- chine)	Filter placed in circuit	High efficiency Hydrophobic filter on every oxygen inter- face	HEPA filter be- tween circuit & ETT	HEPA filter be- tween circuit & ETT	HME filter be- tween catheter mount & cir- cuit	HEPA or HME filter between circuit & ETT, gas sampling tubing protect- ed by HEPA filter
	Forced air warming blan- kets only in in- tubated pa- tients	Use disposable equipment if possible	Use disposable equipment if possible		Dedicated equipment	Preload closed suction device on anesthesia circuit	Use disposable equipment if possible	Use disposable equipment if possible	Create a COVID-19 tracheal intu- bation trolley	Use disposable equipment if possible
	Use disposable equipment if possible				Use disposable equipment if possible	Use disposable equipment if possible			Use disposable equipment if possible	
PPE: personal Anesthetist. C' tube, HME: he	PPE: personal protective equipment, MACOCHA: Mallampati III/IV, sleep apnea, decreased cervical mobility, mouth opening < 3 cm, Coma GCS < 8, severe Hypoxemia, practitioner not an Anesthetist. CT: computed tomography, PCR: polymerase chain reaction, OT: operating theatre, PAPR: powered air-purifying respirator, HEPA: high-efficiency particulate air, ETT: endotracheal tube, HME: heat and moisture exchanger.	nt, MACOCHA: N raphy, PCR: polym ranger.	Mallampati III/IV, erase chain reacti	sleep apnea, dec on, OT: operating	reased cervical m ș theatre, PAPR: p	obility, mouth op owered air-purifyi	ening < 3 cm, Coi ing respirator, HEI	ma GCS < 8, seve ?A: high-efficienc	ere Hypoxemia, pı y particulate air, E	actitioner not an TT: endotracheal

Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73(6):486-502

	Avioration Lyanonial Of			Entern or a pusped		CVILD-17 FALICIIL	Courth Vound [11]	Toinin [77]	11V [72 74]	
Anesthesia Technique	Regional tech- nique where possible		Regional tech- nique where possible	Regional tech- nique where possible	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated	Regional tech- nique where possible
Induction	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Not stated	Not stated	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization	Limit staff pres- ent due to po- tential aerosol- ization
Airway Manage- Most experi- ment enced clini	 Most experi- enced clinician 	Most experi- enced clinician	Most experi- enced clinician	Most experi- enced clinician	Most experi- enced clinician	Most experi- enced clinician	Most experi- enced clinician	Not stated	Most experi- enced clinician	Most experi- enced clinician
Intubation	Use of video - la- ryngoscope; optimize posi- tion	Use of video- la- ryngoscope	Use of video- la- ryngoscope (Asleep fiber- scope intuba- tion by trained staff)	Use of video- la- ryngoscope	Use of video- la- ryngoscope	Use of video- la- ryngoscope with pre-load- ed introducer		Use of video- la- ryngoscope		Use of video- la- ryngoscope
	Clear plastic cov- er over patient									
	RSI	RSI	RSI	RSI	RSI	(modified) RSI	RSI	RSI	RSI	RSI
	(Intubation rec- ommended over SAD) In- troducer for intubation (stylet/bougie)	Consider induc- tion with Ket- amine or use vasopressors in hemodynamic instability							Consider induc- tion with Ket- amine or use vasopressors in hemodynamic instability	
	Neuromuscular blocker	Neuromuscular Neuromuscular blocker blocker blocker	Neuromuscular blocker		Neuromuscular Neuromuscular blocker blocker	Neuromuscular blocker	Neuromuscular blocker	Neuromuscular blocker	Neuromuscular blocker	Neuromuscular blocker
	Avoid PPV until ETT cuff infla-	A	until infla-	PPV until cuff infla-	PPV until cuff infla-	Avoid PPV until ETT cuff infla-	<u> </u>	Avoid PPV until ETT cuff infla-	PPV until cuff infla-	Avoid PPV until ETT cuff infla-
	tion. Discon- nect mask & HME from cir-	tion	tion	tion	tion	tion		tion	tion	tion
	cuit to avoid ongoing flow of oxygen out through filter									
									Ensure tracheal	
									$s_{11re} > 5 cm_{-}$	
									H,O above	
									peak inspirato-	
									ry pressure	

Ong et al. · Review of COVID-19 anesthetic guidelines

(Continued to the next page)

Table 3. Continued	ed									
Country	Australia [10,11]	Canada [12,13]	China [14–16]	India [17]	Italy [18,19]	South Africa [20] South Korea [21]	South Korea [21]	Taiwan [22]	UK [23,24]	US [25–28]
Awake fiberoptic Avoid intubation	c Avoid	Not stated	Avoid	Avoid	rt za-	Not stated	Avoid; Avoid aerosol with topicalization	Avoid	Avoid	Avoid
Difficult Airway	Vortex approach Not stated Surgical airway if cannot intu- bate and oxy- genate	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated	Znd attempt Intubate through After failed intu- SAD with flex-bation Plan B: ible endoscope 2nd generation CICO, for early SAD; Plan C: cricothyroidot-mask ventila- omy mask ventila- tion Plan D: emer-	After failed intu- bation Plan B: 2nd generation SAD; Plan C: Two-handed mask ventila- tion Plan D: emer-	Not stated	Not stated	Safe, Accurate, Swift, emer- gency FONA (Scalpel bou- gie); Consider intubation via SAD (blind/ bronchoscope assisted)	Not stated
Supraglottic air- way device (SAD)	Insert SAD if failed intuba- tion (2nd gen- eration SAD preferred)	SAD for airway rescue	SAD preferred to intubation to minimize coughing at extubation	For airway res- cue	Insert SAD if failed intuba- tion (2nd gen- eration SAD preferred)	~	For manual ven- SAD for airway tilation instead rescue of face mask ventilation		ttion erred. con- nutila- ww kis tt	Not stated
Methods of oxy- genation	Avoid HFNO; minimize se- dation & sup- plemental oxy- gen; lung pro- tective ventila- tion	Avoid HFNO & Not stated non-invasive ventilation	Not stated	Avoid high flow oxygen	Use nasal apneic J oxygenation 3 L/min Bal- ance risk of vi- ral transmis- sion vs HFNO	Avoid high-flows Avoid high flows Avoid HFNO & and extreme and HFNO non-invasive positive pres- ventilation sure ventilation	Avoid high flows and HFNO) & ve	Not stated
Extubation	Closed loop suc- tioning; Deep extubation, Consider opi- oids, lidocaine/ Dexmedetomi- dine SAD exchange to avoid coughing	Prophylactic an- tiemetics to minimize vomiting	Closed-loop suctioning	Closed-loop suctioning; prophylactic antiemetics to minimize vomiting Cover patient's nose and mouth with wet gauze	Closed-loop suctioning	Consider anti- emetics Plastic sheet to reduce droplet dispersion	Not stated	Consider glyco- 6 pyrrolate or at- ropine to mini- mize secretions	Closed-loop suctioning; consider opi- oids, lidocaine/ dexmedetomi- dine	Closed-loop suctioning; Prophylactic antiemetics to minimize vomiting and possible viral spread.
	, ,								:	

https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.20354

Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73(6):486-502

	annot	22]			g as			
	ot intubate ci	Taiwan [22]	Not stated		OT cleaning as per local protocol			
Surgical mask placed over ox- ygen mask/na- sal prong	nger, CICO: canno	South Korea [21]	Not stated		Not stated			
	nd moisture excha	South Africa [20]	Not stated		Not stated			
sk er ox- v/na- on cir- hec-	al tube, HME: heat a tient	Italy [18,19]	Minimize circuit disconnection, clamp ETT; venti- lator on standby		Not stated			
Surgical mask placed mask/na- ygen mask/na- sal prong Ventilators on standby for cir- cuit disconnec- tion	ilation, ETT: endotrache Confirmed COVID-19 Pa	India [17]	Single-use Ambu bag preferred for intubated patients;	Use dedicated lift and lobby	Environmental disinfec-	2-3% hydrogen perox- ide, 2-5 g/L chlorine disinfectant/75% alco-	not wiping of solid sur- faces of equipment & floor)	
surgical mask; oxygen mask over surgical mask	RSI: rapid sequence induction, SAD: supraglottic airway device, PPV: positive pressure ventilation, ETT: endotracheal tube, HME: heat and moisture exchanger, CICO: cannot intubate cannot oxygenate, FONA: front of neck access, HFNO: high flow nasal oxygen, OT: operating theatre. Table 4. Comparison of National Guidelines for the Postoperative Management of a Suspected/ Confirmed COVID-19 Patient	China [14–16]	Single-use Ambu bags Si preferred for intubated patients, avoid ventilator use		Environmental disinfec- Environmental disinfec-	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$	tant/.2% alconol wiping of solid surfaces of equipment & floor)	
	glottic airway device, PI NO: high flow nasal oxyg for the Postoperative Maı	Canada [12,13]	Minimize circuit Sin disconnection, p clamp ETT p u		As per hospital ter- En minal cleaning ti protocol	(2- 8 (
Surgical mask placed over ox- ygen mask	RSI: rapid sequence induction, SAD: supraglottic airway device, F oxygenate, FONA: front of neck access, HFNO: high flow nasal oxy oxygenate, FONA: front of neck access, HFNO: high flow nasal oxy Table 4. Comparison of National Guidelines for the Postoperative M	Australia [10,11]	ICU transfer plan; 1 minimize circuit disconnection; clamp ETT, para- lyze before discon- nection			Maintain airborne precautions for staff entering OT	IOT at least 50 min	
tient Si Pi	RSI: rapid sequence oxygenate, FONA: fri Table 4. Comparison	Country	Patient transfer		Post-operative clean- OT cleaning as per ing & disinfection local protocol			

Recover in OT US [25–28]

Recover in OT UK [23,24]

Taiwan [22]

South Africa [20] South Korea [21]

Italy [18,19] Not stated

Recover in OT Not stated

Recover in OT Surgical mask

Patient to wear India [17]

Recover in OT

Recover in OT; Not stated Surgical mask placed over ox-

Recovery of pa-Country

Australia [10,11] Canada [12,13] China [14–16]

Table 3. Continued

Table 4. Continued								
Country	Australia [10,11]	Canada [12,13]	China [14–16]	India [17]	Italy [18,19]	South Africa [20]	South Korea [21]	Taiwan [22]
Post-op handling of equipment	Waste disposal in la- belled bins	Waste disposal in la- belled bins	Post-op handling of Waste disposal in la- Waste disposal in labelled Waste disposal in labelled Waste disposal in la- Dispose all used equipment belled bins belled bins bins (double-bagged) bins (double-bagged) belled bins airway equip- ment in double zip-locked bag	Waste disposal in labelled bins (double-bagged)	Waste disposal in la- belled bins	Dispose all used airway equip- ment in double zip-locked bag	Dispose all used airway equip- ment in double zip-lock bag	Dispose all used airway equip- ment in double zip-lock bag
	Replacement of fil- ters & breathing circuits; seal equip- ment in zip-lock bag		Replace end-tidal carbon dioxide sample lines & traps				Replace end-tidal carbon dioxide sample lines & traps	
Debriefing	Debriefing post event	Timely feedback, en- Not stated courage incident reporting	Not stated	Not stated	Debriefing post event	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Staff monitoring & welfare	Staff: complete log- book of clinical ex- posures	Not stated	Daily temperature check: monitor respiratory symptoms and inform occupational med team.	Social distancing mea- sures for staff	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
	Regular communi- cation updates	Wellness resources	May require blood tests and chest CT, consider isolation					Wellness re- sources on mental health
								and communi- cating with empathy
	Consider influenza vaccination							
	Pregnant staff de- ployed to areas away from COVID-19 pa- tiont							
	Wellness resources							

ICU: intensive care unit, ETT: endotracheal tube, OT: operating theatre, CT: computed tomography.

Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73(6):486-502

eral anesthesia with intubation by airway personnel in a negative pressure room is preferred over the urgent conversion from sedation [61]. In addition, a lead gown can be worn under the PPE gown [62].

Anesthesia for otolaryngology

For airway surgery such as airway dilatation and tracheostomy, closed-loop communication between the surgeon and anesthesiologist is important to ensure that ventilation is held-off every time the endotracheal cuff is deflated, the tube is removed, or the circuit is disconnected [63].

Trauma anesthesia

Regional anesthesia is recommended where possible. Cricoid pressure during induction of general anesthesia should be used with caution, as it can stimulate coughing. Blood conservation is recommended and thromboprophylaxis should be instituted where possible [38].

Discussion

The strength of this review is that it provides a comprehensive appraisal of all the available guidelines; it also summarizes their strengths and limitations. Our review found that national guidelines for the anesthetic management of COVID-19 patients were moderately comprehensive, but they scored poorly for rigor of development, editorial independence, and applicability. Evidence underpinning guidelines was weak, leading to heterogeneity in recommendations. Gaps in preoperative screening, prioritization for surgery, and anesthesia for specific groups were identified and addressed, albeit with low-quality evidence consisting of retrospective studies, case reports, narrative reviews, and expert opinions.

The Institute of Medicine defines clinical guidelines as "statements that include recommendations, intended to optimize patient care, that are informed by a systematic review of evidence and an assessment of the benefits and harms of alternative care options" [64]. Clinical guidelines assist physicians in providing the best care, and they should adhere to a robust reporting framework. Given the rapid spread of the pandemic, initial guidelines were undoubtedly subjected to time-sensitive pressure in development and publication. As the virus is highly contagious, early guidelines focused on defining aerosol-generating procedures, mitigating aerosolization, and appropriate PPE and infection control practices. These were largely based on retrospective studies and case series during the SARS outbreak in 2003 [30,33,34,42– 44]. These initial guidelines have served their purpose in successfully limiting disease spread to healthcare workers. Moving forward, national guidelines should be updated as new data emerge to include the entire perioperative process. Dagens et al. [65] suggested that pandemic guidelines should have transparent timelines for revision and amendment to ensure that they are more robust, especially for the potential viral resurgence. The recommendations should describe how they were derived and indicate their strengths and limitations and whether they were reviewed by experts, including infectious disease physicians and epidemiologists. Importantly, recommendations should be linked to an evaluation of supporting evidence and presented clearly with the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) system [66]. GRADE is widely used by many organizations globally, and it is a transparent and reproducible framework that helps clinicians to understand the underlying logic and principles of the guidelines. The GRADE system comprises a two-level representation of the strength of recommendation (weak or strong) and a four-level representation of the certainty of the evidence (very low, low, moderate, and high) [67]. In addition, conflict of interest, which is essential for any scientific publication, should be disclosed, as many involved experts may have industry affiliations. Non-declaration implies bias, and it reduces the quality and reliability of the recommendations. Contributions from experts in subspecialty interest groups make national guidelines more inclusive and comprehensive. Although attempts to address difficult airway management were addressed by the Difficult Airway Society in the UK and Safe Airway Society in Australia and New Zealand, guidance for other patient groups was scarce.

With countries resuming elective surgeries, gaps in current guidelines would need to be addressed. Of relevance would be preoperative screening, which has important implications for resource utilization, especially PPE, processes, facilities, and manpower. Preoperative screening for COVID-19 and prioritization for surgery is also important, as morbidity and mortality have been reported in pre-symptomatic carriers who have undergone elective surgeries [68]. The USA has proposed two approaches to the perioperative testing of COVID-19 depending on the local prevalence of SARS-CoV-2. The American College of Surgeons recommends that a committee comprising surgeons, anesthesiologists, and nurses (guided by the Elective Surgery Acuity Scale) should assist with the prioritization of patients for surgery [69].

Categorizing COVID-19 to mild, moderate, severe, or critical may also help to refine anesthetic plans [70]. For COVID-19 patients with moderate to severe pneumonia, careful airway assessment is important, as hypoxemia during intubation is common and the options for oxygenation or awake intubation are limited. Critically ill patients with organ dysfunction would require preemptive inotropes, fluid resuscitation, careful titration of drugs, and a lung-protective ventilation strategy [14].

Areas of controversy relating to anesthetic technique, the use of airway devices, the extent of aerosol dispersion, and the management of specific groups require further research and guidance updates as new evidence emerges. Further research on temperature, blood, and fluid management, including the degree of staff surveillance for infection and burnout is also needed.

This review was limited by the language restriction of our search and the quality of evidence available. Evidence was mostly from retrospective studies involving small samples, case reports, narrative reviews, and expert opinions.

Conclusion

National anesthetic guidelines published in the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic were largely guided by weak evidence, and they lacked robust reporting. As countries move into easing lockdown during the second phase of the pandemic, recommendations need to be updated as new data become available. Guidelines should be subjected to established grading and appraisal systems such as GRADE and AGREE II to provide clarity, especially during a pandemic.

Acknowledgements

Benjamin YL Thong, for assistance with the production of the Tables.

Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Author Contributions

Sharon Ong (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision;

Validation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Wan Yen Lim (Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) John Ong (Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Supervi-

sion; Validation; Writing - review & editing)

Peter Kam (Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writing – review & editing)

ORCID

Sharon Ong, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9851-0401 Wan Yen Lim, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0335-0255 John Ong, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5103-7311 Peter Kam, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8771-5599

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Table 1. AGREE II Instrument [6] Supplementary Table 2. Combined results from guidelines and database search

References

- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020 Feb; 382: 727-33.
- Coronavirus Update (Live): 282,769 Cases and 11,822 Deaths from COVID-19 Virus Outbreak [Internet]. Worldometer [updated 2020 Nov 5; cited 2020 Jun 28]. Available from https:// www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/.
- 3. The Lancet. COVID-19: protecting health-care workers. Lancet 2020; 395: 922.
- 4. van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 1564-7.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [Internet]. Atlanta: CDC [updated 2020 Nov 4; cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/faq.html?CDC_AA_refVal = https %3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fcoronavirus%2F2019-ncov%2F-hcp%2Finfection-control-faq.html.
- Brouwers MC, Kerkvliet K, Spithoff K; AGREE Next Steps Consortium. The AGREE Reporting Checklist: a tool to improve reporting of clinical practice guidelines. BMJ 2016; 352: i1152.
- World Federation Of Societies of Anaesthesiologists. Coronavirus - guidance for anaesthesia and perioperative care providers [Internet]. London: WFSA [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.wfsahq.org/resources/coronavirus.
- World Health Organization. Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1 November 2002 to 31 July 2003 [Internet]. Geneva: WHO[cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.who.int/csr/sars/country/table2004_04_21/en/.
- 9. Durieux N, Pasluea F, Howick J. OCEBM Levels of Evidence [Internet]. Oxford: CEBM [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from

https://www.cebm.net/2016/05/ocebm-levels-of-evidence/.

- Australian Society of Anaesthesiologists. COVID-19 Updates [Internet]. Sydney: ASA [updated 2020 Oct 24; cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://asa.org.au/covid-19-updates/.
- Brewster DJ, Chrimes N, Do TB, Fraser K, Groombridge CJ, Higgs A, et al. Consensus statement: Safe Airway Society principles of airway management and tracheal intubation specific to the COVID-19 adult patient group. Med J Aust 2020; 212: 472-81.
- Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society. Coronavirus Tips and Guidelines [Internet]. Toronto: CAS [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.cas.ca/en/practice-resources/news/ cas-articles/2020/coronavirus-tips-and-guidelines.
- 13. Matava CT, Kovatsis PG, Lee JK, Castro P, Denning S, Yu J, et al. Pediatric airway management in COVID-19 patients: Consensus Guidelines From the Society for Pediatric Anesthesia's Pediatric Difficult Intubation Collaborative and the Canadian Pediatric Anesthesia Society. Anesth Analg 2020; 131: 61-73.
- 14. Yao W, Wang T, Jiang B, Gao F, Wang L, Zheng H, et al. Emergency tracheal intubation in 202 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: lessons learnt and international expert recommendations. Br J Anaesth 2020; 125: e28-37.
- 15. Chen X, Liu Y, Gong Y, Guo X, Zuo M, Li J, et al. Perioperative management of patients infected with the novel coronavirus: recommendation from the Joint Task Force of the Chinese Society of Anesthesiology and the Chinese Association of Anesthesiologists. Anesthesiology 2020; 132: 1307-16.
- 16. Zuo MZ, Huang YG, Ma WH, Xue ZG, Zhang JQ, Gong YH, et al. Expert recommendations for tracheal intubation in critically ill patients with noval coronavirus disease 2019. Chin Med Sci J 2020; 35: 105–9.
- Malhotra N, Joshi M, Datta R, Bajwa SJ, Mehdiratta L. Indian Society of Anaesthesiologists (ISA National) Advisory and Position Statement regarding COVID-19. Indian J Anaesth 2020; 64: 259-63.
- European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care. Airway Management in patients suffering from COVID-19 [Internet]. Brussels: ESAIC; 2020 Mar 11 [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.esahq.org/esa-news/covid-19-airway-management/.
- Sorbello M, El-Boghdadly K, Di Giacinto I, Cataldo R, Esposito C, Falcetta S, et al. The Italian coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak: recommendations from clinical practice. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 724-32.
- South African Society of Anesthesiologists. SASA Covid-19 Updates [Internet]. Glenashley: SASA [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://sasacovid19.com/.

- Kim HJ, Ko JS, Seo H, Kim TY. Guidelines for the control and prevention of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) transmission in surgical and anesthetic settings. Korean J Anesthesiol 2020; 73: 271-4.
- 22. Taiwan Society of Anesthesiologists. Recommendations for airway management/intubation for COVID-19 patients [Internet]. Taiwan, TSA [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.anesth.org.tw/.
- 23. Association of Anaesthestists. Anaesthetic Management of Patients During a COVID-19 Outbreak [Internet]. London [updated 2020 Apr 2; cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://anaesthetists.org/Home/Resources-publications/Anaesthetic-Management-of-Patients-During-a-COVID-19-Outbreak.
- 24. Cook TM, El-Boghdadly K, McGuire B, McNarry AF, Patel A, Higgs A. Consensus guidelines for managing the airway in patients with COVID-19: Guidelines from the Difficult Airway Society, the Association of Anaesthetists the Intensive Care Society, the Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine and the Royal College of Anaesthetists. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 785-99.
- Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation. Perioperative Considerations for the 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) [Internet]. Rochester: APSF; 2020 Feb 12 [updated 2020 Mar 10; cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.apsf.org/news-updates/ perioperative-considerations-for-the-2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19/.
- 26. American Society of Anesthesiologists. COVID-19 Resources [Internet]. Schaumburg: ASA [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.asahq.org/about-asa/governance-and-committees/asa-committees/committee-on-occupational-health/ coronavirus.
- American Association of Nurse Anesthetists. Anesthesia Care of the Patient with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [Internet]. Park Ridge: AANA [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www.aana.com/practice/clinical-practice-resources/anesthesia-care-of-the-patient-with-coronavirus-disease-2019-(covid-19).
- 28. Society for Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology. Interim Considerations for Obstetric Anesthesia Care Related to COVID19 -SOAP [Internet]. SOAP [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://soap.org/education/provider-education/expert-summaries/interim-considerations-for-obstetric-anesthesia-care-related-to-covid19/.
- 29. Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, Pessoa-Silva CL, Conly J. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. PLoS One 2012; 7: e35797.
- 30. Abrahamson SD, Canzian S, Brunet F. Using simulation for

training and to change protocol during the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Crit Care 2006; 10: R3.

- 31. De Jong A, Molinari N, Terzi N, Mongardon N, Arnal JM, Guitton C, et al. Early identification of patients at risk for difficult intubation in the intensive care unit: development and validation of the MACOCHA score in a multicenter cohort study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013; 187: 832-9.
- Wax RS, Christian MD. Practical recommendations for critical care and anesthesiology teams caring for novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) patients. Can J Anaesth 2020; 67: 568-76.
- **33.** Caputo KM, Byrick R, Chapman MG, Orser BJ, Orser BA. Intubation of SARS patients: infection and perspectives of healthcare workers. Can J Anaesth 2006; 53: 122-9.
- **34.** Tien HC, Chughtai T, Jogeklar A, Cooper AB, Brenneman F. Elective and emergency surgery in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Can J Surg 2005; 48: 71-4.
- **35.** Dexter F, Parra MC, Brown JR, Loftus RW. Perioperative COVID-19 defense: an evidence-based approach for optimization of infection control and operating room management. Anesth Analg 2020; 131: 37-42.
- **36.** Cook TM. Personal protective equipment during the coronavirus disease (COVID) 2019 pandemic a narrative review. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 920-7.
- The Vortex Approach: To airway management [Internet]. The Vortex Approach [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from http:// vortexapproach.org/.
- 38. Gong Y, Cao X, Mei W, Wang J, Shen L, Wang S, et al. Anesthesia considerations and infection precautions for trauma and acute care cases during the COVID-19 pandemic: recommendations from a task force of the Chinese Society of Anesthesiology. Anesth Analg 2020; 131: 326-34.
- 39. Zhong Q, Liu YY, Luo Q, Zou YF, Jiang HX, Li H, et al. Spinal anaesthesia for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and possible transmission rates in anaesthetists: retrospective, single-centre, observational cohort study. Br J Anaesth 2020; 124: 670-5.
- **40.** Uppal V, Sondekoppam RV, Landau R, El-Boghdadly K, Narouze S, Kalagara HK. Neuraxial anaesthesia and peripheral nerve blocks during the COVID-19 pandemic: a literature review and practice recommendations. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 1350-63.
- 41. Raboud J, Shigayeva A, McGeer A, Bontovics E, Chapman M, Gravel D, et al. Risk factors for SARS transmission from patients requiring intubation: a multicentre investigation in Toronto, Canada. PLoS One 2010; 5: e10717.
- **42.** Ofner-Agostini M, Gravel D, McDonald LC, Lem M, Sarwal S, McGeer A, et al. Cluster of cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome among Toronto healthcare workers after implementation

of infection control precautions: a case series. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006; 27: 473-8.

- 43. Peng PW, Wong DT, Bevan D, Gardam M. Infection control and anesthesia: lessons learned from the Toronto SARS outbreak. Can J Anaesth 2003; 50: 989-97.
- 44. Kwan A, Fok WG, Law KI, Lam SH. Tracheostomy in a patient with severe acute respiratory syndrome. Br J Anaesth 2004; 92: 280-2.
- 45. Li W, Huang J, Guo X, Zhao J, Mandell MS. Anesthesia management and perioperative infection control in patients with the novel coronavirus. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020. Advance Access published on Mar 29, 2020. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2020.03. 035.
- 46. Greenland JR, Michelow MD, Wang L, London MJ. COVID-19 Infection: Implications for Perioperative and Critical Care Physicians. Anesthesiology 2020; 132: 1346-61.
- 47. Liu DC, Koo TH, Wong JKK, Wong YH, Fung KS, Chan Y, et al. Adapting re-usable elastomeric respirators to utilise anaesthesia circuit filters using a 3D-printed adaptor - a potential alternative to address N95 shortages during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 1022-7.
- 48. Zhang L, Li J, Zhou M, Chen Z. Summary of 20 tracheal intubation by anesthesiologists for patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia: retrospective case series. J Anesth 2020; 34: 599-606.
- **49.** Wali A, Rizzo V, Bille A, Routledge T, Chambers AJ. Pneumomediastinum following intubation in COVID-19 patients: a case series. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 1076-81.
- 50. Ahmad I, Wade S, Langdon A, Chamarette H, Walsh M, Surda P. Awake tracheal intubation in a suspected COVID-19 patient with critical airway obstruction. Anaesth Rep 2020; 8: 28-31.
- 51. Zhao S, Ling K, Yan H, Zhong L, Peng X, Yao S, et al. Anesthetic Management of Patients With Suspected or Confirmed 2019 Novel Coronavirus Infection During Emergency Procedures. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020; 34: 1125-31.
- 52. Meng L, Qiu H, Wan L, Ai Y, Xue Z, Guo Q, et al. Intubation and Ventilation amid the COVID-19 Outbreak: Wuhan's Experience. Anesthesiology 2020; 132: 1317-32.
- 53. Morau E, Bouvet L, Keita H, Vial F, Bonnet MP, Bonnin M, et al. Anaesthesia and intensive care in obstetrics during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med 2020; 39: 345-9.
- 54. Odor PM, Neun M, Bampoe S, Clark S, Heaton D, Hoogenboom EM, et al. Anaesthesia and COVID-19: infection control. Br J Anaesth 2020; 125: 16-24.
- 55. Chen R, Zhang Y, Huang L, Cheng BH, Xia ZY, Meng QT. Safety and efficacy of different anesthetic regimens for parturients with COVID-19 undergoing Cesarean delivery: a case series of 17 pa-

tients. Can J Anaesth 2020; 67: 655-63.

- 56. Lee JS, Goy RW, Sng BL, Lew E. Considerations and strategies in the organisation of obstetric anaesthesia care during the 2019 COVID-19 outbreak in Singapore. Int J Obstet Anesth 2020; 43: 114-7.
- 57. Lee-Archer P, von Ungern-Sternberg BS. Pediatric anesthetic implications of COVID-19-A review of current literature. Paediatr Anaesth 2020; 30: 136-41.
- 58. He Y, Wei J, Bian J, Guo K, Lu J, Mei W, et al. Chinese Society of Anesthesiology Expert Consensus on Anesthetic Management of Cardiac Surgical Patients with suspected or confirmed Coronavirus Disease 2019. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020; 34: 1397-401.
- 59. Thornton M, Reid D, Shelley B, Steven M. Management of the airway and lung isolation for thoracic surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic: Recommendations for clinical practice endorsed by the Association for Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia and Critical Care and the Society for Cardiothoracic Surgery in Great Britain and Ireland. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 1509-16.
- 60. Şentürk M, El Tahan MR, Szegedi LL, Marczin N, Karzai W, Shelley B, et al. Thoracic anesthesia of patients with suspected or confirmed 2019 novel coronavirus infection: preliminary recommendations for airway management by the European Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology Thoracic Subspecialty Committee. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020; 34: 2315-27.
- 61. Sharma D, Rasmussen M, Han R, Whalin M, Davis M, Kofke WA, et al. Anesthetic Management of Endovascular Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke During COVID-19 Pandemic: Consensus Statement From Society for Neuroscience in Anesthesiology & Critical Care (SNACC): Endorsed by Society of Vascular & Interventional Neurology (SVIN), Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS), Neurocritical Care Society (NCS), European Society of Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT) and American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) and Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS) Cerebrovascular Section. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2020; 32: 193-201.
- 62. Flexman AM, Abcejo AS, Avitisian R, De Sloovere V, Highton D,

Juul N, et al. Neuroanesthesia practice during the COVID-19 pandemic: recommendations from Society for Neuroscience in Anesthesiology and Critical Care (SNACC). J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2020; 32: 202-9.

- 63. Prince AD, Cloyd BH, Hogikyan ND, Schechtman SA, Kupfer RA. Airway Management for Endoscopic Laryngotracheal Stenosis Surgery During COVID-19. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2020; 163: 78-80.
- 64. Graham R, Mancher M, Wolman DM, Greenfield S, Steinberg E. Institute of Medicine, Board on Health Care Services, Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy. In: Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Washington DC, The National Academies Press. 2011, p 290.
- 65. Dagens A, Sigfrid L, Cai E, Lipworth S, Cheung V, Harris E, et al. Scope, quality, and inclusivity of clinical guidelines produced early in the covid-19 pandemic: rapid review. BMJ 2020; 369: m1936.
- **66.** Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008; 336: 924-6.
- **67.** Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ. What is "quality of evidence" and why is it important to clinicians? BMJ 2008; 336: 995-8.
- **68.** Lei S, Jiang F, Su W, Chen C, Chen J, Mei W, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients undergoing surgeries during the incubation period of COVID-19 infection. EClinicalMedicine 2020; 21: 100331.
- American College of Surgeons. COVID-19: Guidance for Triage of Non-Emergent Surgical Procedures [Internet]. Chicago, ACS 2020 Mar 17 [cited 2020 May 28]. Available from https://www. facs.org/covid-19/clinical-guidance/triage.
- 70. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese center for disease control and prevention. JAMA 2020; 323: 1239-42.