Correlations between indices of dynamic components of ambulatory blood pressure and renal damage in elderly Chinese male with essential hypertension

Wen-Xiu Leng^{a,*}, Meng Zhang^{b,*}, Hua Cui^a, Long-Huan Zeng^a and Yi-Xin Hu^c

Objective Twenty-four-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is an accurate method to document changes in blood pressure (BP) and is more predictive than office and home BP monitoring for cardiovascular outcomes in elderly people. We aimed to determine the relationship between ABPM indices and renal damage in elderly Chinese male patients with essential hypertension.

Methods We investigated 998 Chinese men (mean age of 78.44 \pm 12.02 years) with essential hypertension. Renal function, laboratory testing, and ABPM, including ABP, BP variability, and BP circadian rhythms were investigated. Data were shown according to BP controlling status. The relationships between ABPM indices and renal damage [expressed by urine protein, urine albumin/creatinine ratio (uACR), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), blood urea nitrogen (BUN)] were assessed using multiple regression analysis.

Results After adjustments for age, common cardiovascular risk factors, and medications, uACR level was positively associated with 24-h mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), 24-h mean pulse pressure (PP), and 24-h SBP percent time of elevation. eGFR level was negatively associated with the 24-h mean SBP and 24-h mean PP. BUN level was positively correlated with the

Introduction

Hypertension is an age-related disease; the prevalence of hypertension may increase rapidly with age [1]. Elderly patients with hypertension often have an increased risk of impaired vascular structure or function, such as a decline in arterial elasticity, and stiffness, and a decrease in catecholamine reactivity, which would have an adverse effect on blood pressure (BP), blood pressure variability (BPV), and BP circadian rhythms [2–4]. It is accepted that 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is more valuable than office BP or home BP monitoring for assessing cardiovascular changes in elderly patients with hypertension [5,6]. In addition, the incidence of target organ damage (TOD) increases 24-h mean SBP, 24-h mean PP, and 24-h SBP percent time of elevation, whereas the BUN level was negatively associated with the 24-h DBP SD.

Conclusion The ABPM indices associated with renal damage may be regarded as an early predictive marker for renal function impairment in Chinese elderly male patients with hypertension. *Blood Press Monit* 25: 303–309 Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Blood Pressure Monitoring 2020, 25:303-309

Keywords: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, Chinese elderly male patient, hypertension, renal damage

^aGeriatric Cardiology Department of the Second Medical Center and National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases, Chinese PLA General Hospital, ^bState Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College and ^oClinical Department of Geriatrics of the Second Medical Center and National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

Correspondence to Hua Cui, MD, Geriatric Cardiology Department of the Second Medical Center and National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases, Chinese PLA General Hospital, #28 Fuxing Road, Beijing 100853, China

Tel/fax: +86 010 66876349; e-mail: bjcuihua@163.com

*Wen-Xiu Leng and Meng Zhang contributed equally to the writing of this article.

Received 16 June 2018 Accepted 22 June 2020

sharply in elderly patients with hypertension, which is the main cause of mortality and disability in elderly patients with essential hypertension [7]. As we know, BP control is closely related to TOD, and ABPM can provide more accurate real-world parameters than office BP. To date, there have been a considerable number of clinical trials to assess the association between ABPM and cardiovascular outcomes [8]. However, most studies on the relationship between ABPM and renal function are limited by small sample sizes and relatively younger subjects [9,10]. Furthermore, few large-scale cross-sectional studies have reported the association between ABPM and impaired renal function in Asia, especially in elderly Chinese patients with hypertension [9]. Therefore, to further assess the potential value of ABPM as a predictive marker for renal function impairment in elderly patients with hypertension, we investigated the association between indices of static and dynamic components of ambulatory BP and renal damage in elderly male patients with hypertension.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CC-BY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

¹³⁵⁹⁻⁵²³⁷ Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Methods

Ethical approval of the study protocol

This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Scientific and Ethics Review Board of the Department of Geriatrics, Chinese PLA General Hospital (Beijing, P.R. China). All patients enrolled in the study gave written informed consent.

Patient enrolment

As the largest medical center providing health service for veteran soldiers in Beijing district, we had full access to the thorough medical profiles of these patients. Patients with a documented history of hypertension visited the outpatient department and underwent ABPM between January 2010 and December 2012 met the enrolment criteria. Anti-hypertensive medication should be maintained if it had been given before enrolment. Patients' prior medical profile was carefully reviewed to exclude secondary hypertension, active malignant disease, and end-stage renal disease [estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <15 mL/min/1.73 m²]. Suspected or newly diagnosed hypertension was excluded. Finally, 998 male patients (mean age, 78.44±12.02 years) with essential hypertension.

Data on demographic variables, laboratory indicators, medication use, medical history, and smoking habits were collected. The patients' comorbidities were obtained from medical records of current or previous diagnosis and included the following: diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD), coronary heart disease (CHD), chronic heart failure (CHF), chronic kidney disease (CKD), and cardiovascular disease (CVD).

Laboratory measurements

Following an overnight fast, serum samples were collected in tubes containing liquid EDTA, centrifuged at 4°C, and stored at -80°C before analysis. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum uric acid (sUA), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), sUA, and glucose were measured using an automated Cobas6000 analyzer from Roche (Basel, Switzerland), with reagents purchased from Roche Diagnostics GmbH (Munich, Germany). Serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (HS-CRP) was measured by immunonephelometry with a CRP extended range flex reagent cartridge and anti-human CRP antibodies from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Inc. (Deerfield, Illinois, USA). N-terminal fragment pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) was measured using a chemiluminescence immunoassay with reagents purchased from Roche Diagnostics GmbH. Serum and urine creatinine concentrations were determined using a creatinine flex reagent cartridge from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Inc. (Deerfield). Along with the serum tests, the early morning midstream urine samples were collected to test the level of albumin protein and creatinine ratio (uACR) was calculated as milligrams of albumin per gram of creatinine. The total quantity of urine protein was tested with the collection of 24-h urine specimens in the following 24h. All participants were instructed to begin collection after discarding first-morning urine until the collection of first voided urine sample the next morning in the receptacle provided. Patients were instructed to avoid strenuous muscular activity during the collection of the 24-h urine sample. The eGFR was calculated using the Asian-modified CKD-EPI formula [11]. All testing was performed by well-trained laboratory scientists at the Chinese PLA General Hospital under blinded conditions.

Twenty-four-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring

ABPM was performed from 9:00 in the morning to 9:00 in the next morning using a noninvasive portable ambulatory BP monitor (A&D Company, Tokyo, Japan). Sampling was conducted once every 15 min in the daytime (6:00-22:00) and every 30 min in the nighttime (22:00-6:00 the next morning). Bedtime and rise time were set according to the real sleep pattern as the patients noted. The patients were asked to maintain their daily life and work habits. When the monitoring time was >24 h and >80% of the readings were valid, the data were considered eligible. Recorded data were processed with CardioVisions (Meditech, Inc., Budapest, Hungary). Using CardioVisions, the 24-h mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), 24-h mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP), daytime SBP (defined as the mean BP during the remaining portion of the day), daytime DBP, nocturnal SBP (defined as the mean BP from the time when the patient went to bed until the time of awakening), and nocturnal DBP. The weighted SD, namely the average 24-h SBP and DBP SDs corrected for daytime and night-time duration in hours was calculated according to

(daytime SD×daytime hours)+ (night-time SD×night-time hours)

the formula: $SD = \frac{(IIIgIII-)}{2}$

24-h period

[12]. The statistics calculated were as follows: 24-h mean pulse pressure (PP) (the difference between the SBP and DBP); 24-h mean arterial pressure (DBP+1/3 PP); daytime mean PP; daytime mean arterial pressure; nocturnal mean PP; nocturnal mean arterial pressure; SBP nocturnal fall rate [calculated as (daytime SBP - nocturnal SBP)/daytime SBP]; DBP nocturnal fall rate [calculated as (daytime DBP-nocturnal DBP)/daytime DBP]; hypertension time indices [an elevated time-to-total time ratio, 24-h SBP percent time elevation (PTE%), and 24-h DBP PTE%]; and hypotensive time indices [a depressed time-to-total time ratio: 24-h SBP percent time depression (PTD%, <90 mmHg), and 24-h DBP PTD% (<60 mmHg)]. We classified patients whose 24-h mean SBP/DBP less than 130/80 mmHg, daytime mean SBP/DBP less than 135/85 mmHg and night-time mean SBP/DBP less than

120/70 mmHg according to guidelines into BP controlled group and others into BP uncontrolled group [13].

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (version 16.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics were presented as the mean \pm SD for continuous variables or percentages for categorical variables. Data of non-normal distribution were expressed as median (quartile). The mean differences between controlled and uncontrolled groups were compared by independent *t*-test, and chi-square test was used to compare the classified variable. The relationship between two continuous variables was assessed by Pearson's correlation or Spearman's correlation, depending on the distribution of the variables. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between ABPM indices and cardiac function, as well as renal function, in our study cohort. In the model, we entered factors associated with renal function at a P < 0.1, and in Enter fashion, included those variables with a P < 0.05. Differences with a two-sided P value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

The characteristics of the 998 male (mean age, 78.44 ± 12.02 years) with hypertension are shown in

| Table 1 | Clinical | characteristics | and biochemical | parameters |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| | | | | |

Table 1. Of these patients, 70.1% were \geq 75 years of age and 78.9% had a GFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m². Patients who had achieved the target BP were slightly younger and had lower serum creatinine, lower uACR, and lower proteinuria compared with those whose BP remained uncontrolled. Patients with BP controlled took more anti-hypertensive drugs like calcium-channel blockers and diuretics.

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring measurements

ABPM parameters are shown in Table 2. Comparing with those BP uncontrolled, Patients with BP controlled not only had a lower mean BP during day and night but also had more significant nocturnal BP fall.

Correlation analysis

Univariate analysis is shown in Table 3 revealed that the uACR level was positively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP (r=0.351, P<0.001), 24-h mean arterial pressure (r=0.145, P<0.001), 24-h mean PP (r=0.368, P<0.001), daytime SBP (r=0.330, P<0.001), daytime mean arterial pressure (r=0.121, P=0.01), daytime mean PP (r=0.365 P<0.001), nocturnal SBP (r=0.318 P<0.001), nocturnal mean arterial pressure (r=0.154, P<0.001), nocturnal mean PP (r=0.345, P<0.001), and 24-h SBP weighted SD (r=0.129, P<0.001), whereas the uACR was negatively correlated with the daytime DBP (r=0.087, P=0.05),

| | | Blo | od pressure status | P | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|--|
| Variable | Total (<i>n</i> =998) | Controlled (n=526) | Uncontrolled (n=472) | | |
| Age (years) | 78.44±12.02 | 78.19±10.65 | 79.71±10.16 | 0.022 | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 24.78±3.07 | 24.67 ± 3.05 | 24.89 ± 3.09 | 0.276 | |
| Laboratory parameters | | | | | |
| Fasting serum glucose (mmol/L) | 5.55 ± 1.22 | 5.51 ± 1.27 | 5.59 ± 1.16 | 0.322 | |
| NT-proBNP (pg/mL) [median (IQR)] | 139.20 (325.9) | 116.60 (239.15) | 163.85 (401.10) | 0.231 | |
| HS-CRP (mg/dL) [median (IQR)] | 0.30 (0.70) | 0.30 (0.74) | 0.30 (0.68) | 0.471 | |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.13±0.87 | 4.10±0.81 | 4.17±0.92 | 0.177 | |
| LDL-C (mmol/L) | 2.43 ± 0.71 | 2.40 ± 0.67 | 2.46 ± 0.75 | 0.231 | |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L) | 7.20 ± 3.42 | 6.85 ± 2.93 | 7.57±3.86 | 0.001 | |
| Serum creatinine (mmol/L) | 99.01 ± 66.02 | 93.99±52.77 | 104.60±77.86 | 0.011 | |
| eGFR (mL/min) | 82.38±28.04 | 84.32 ± 24.92 | 80.04±31.02 | 0.033 | |
| uACR (mg/mmol) [median (IQR)] | 10.54 (32.78) | 7.14 (19.24) | 18.74 (71.79) | <0.0001 | |
| Serum uric acid (mmol/L) | 336.99±85.17 | 336.2 ± 79.66 | 337.88±91.01 | 0.756 | |
| Proteinuria (g/d) [median (IQR)] | 0.08 (0.11) | 0.07 (0.9) | 0.09 (0.13) | 0.009 | |
| Clinical characteristics, n (%) | | | | | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 352 (36.2) | 169 (32.9) | 183 (39.87) | 0.025 | |
| Coronary artery disease | 596 (61.3) | 293 (57.1) | 283 (61.66) | 0.233 | |
| Cerebro-vascular disease | 473 (48.7) | 227 (44.2) | 246 (53.59) | 0.004 | |
| Chronic kidney disease | 210 (21.1) | 96 (19.3) | 114 (24.26) | 0.022 | |
| Current smoker | 378 (38.1) | 197 (37.6) | 181 (38.76) | 0.707 | |
| Concomitant therapy | | | | | |
| Anti-hypertensive therapy, n (%) | 850 (83.7) | 412 (85.8) | 438 (81.7) | 0.071 | |
| Number of anti-hypertensive drugs | 1.81±1.17 | 1.98 ± 1.22 | 1.65 ± 1.11 | < 0.001 | |
| ACEI/ARB, n (%) | 532 (54.0) | 275 (58.9) | 257 (49.6) | 0.004 | |
| β -blocker, n (%) | 387 (39.3) | 181 (38.8) | 206 (39.8) | 0.746 | |
| Calcium-channel blockers, n (%) | 591 (60.0) | 313 (67.0) | 278 (53.7) | <0.001 | |
| Diuretics, n (%) | 234 (23.8) | 134 (28.7) | 100 (19.3) | 0.001 | |
| Statin, <i>n</i> (%) | 556 (56.5) | 299 (57.8) | 257 (55.0) | 0.376 | |
| Anti-platelet therapy, n (%) | 703 (72.0) | 330 (71.4) | 373 (72.6) | 0.692 | |
| Anti-diabetes therapy, <i>n</i> (%) | 340 (34.1) | 180 (38.1) | 160 (30.4) | 0.017 | |

ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HS-CRP, high-sensitive C-reactive protein; NT pro-BNP, N-terminal fragment pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; IQR, interquartile range; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; uACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

Table 2 Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring parameters

| | | Blood pressure status | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------|--|
| ABPM parameters | Total (<i>n</i> =998) | Controlled ($n=526$) | Uncontrolled (n=472) | Р | |
| 24-h mean SBP (mmHg) | 128.54±13.10 | 119.39±7.22 | 139.05±8.51 | <0.0001 | |
| 24-h mean DBP (mmHg) | 66.01±8.40 | 63.64±7.08 | 68.66±8.97 | < 0.0001 | |
| 24-h mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 88.86±8.04 | 82.16±5.71 | 92.13±6.89 | < 0.0001 | |
| 24-h mean PP (mmHg) | 62.53±13.37 | 55.75 ± 9.35 | 70.39±11.69 | <0.0001 | |
| Daytime SBP (mmHg) | 129.14 ± 16.47 | 119.87±7.61 | 139.55 ± 17.49 | <0.0001 | |
| Daytime DBP (mmHg) | 66.55±8.70 | 64.37±7.52 | 68.97±9.28 | <0.0001 | |
| Daytime MAP (mmHg) | 87.40±8.97 | 82.87±6.15 | 92.49±8.88 | <0.0001 | |
| Daytime mean PP (mmHg) | 62.59±16.50 | 55.5±9.29 | 70.58±19.04 | <0.0001 | |
| Nocturnal SBP (mmHg) | 127.81 ± 15.78 | 118.02 ± 10.95 | 138.79±12.90 | <0.0001 | |
| Nocturnal DBP (mmHg) | 64.39±9.22 | 61.82±7.99 | 67.24±9.66 | <0.0001 | |
| Nocturnal mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 85.53±9.74 | 80.55±7.49 | 91.09±8.86 | <0.0001 | |
| Nocturnal mean PP (mmHg) | 63.42±14.28 | 55.50 ± 9.29 | 71.55 ± 13.30 | <0.0001 | |
| 24-h SBP weighted SD | 13.63 ± 3.42 | 12.98 ± 2.90 | 14.35 ± 3.80 | <0.0001 | |
| 24-h DBP weighted SD | 8.06±2.00 | 7.98±1.80 | 8.18±2.17 | 0.118 | |
| Nocturnal SBP fall rate (%) | 0.59 ± 8.72 | 1.43 ± 8.56 | -0.33 ± 8.81 | 0.001 | |
| Nocturnal DBP fall rate (%) | 1.84 ± 26.59 | 3.75±9.07 | 2.10±9.10 | 0.004 | |
| 24-h SBP PTE% | 36.51 ± 24.04 | 19.02 ± 12.67 | 56.20 ± 17.67 | <0.0001 | |
| 24-h DBP PTE% | 11.13±13.24 | 7.26±8.74 | 15.41 ± 15.78 | <0.0001 | |
| 24-h SBP PTD% | 3.32 ± 6.352 | 5.86 ± 7.78 | 0.46 ± 1.39 | <0.0001 | |
| 24-h DBP PTD% | 37.86±27.48 | 43.81±26.79 | 31.14±26.61 | <0.0001 | |

ABPM, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PTD, percent time depression; PTE, percent time elevation; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Table 3 Association between ambulatory blood pressure monitoring indices and renal function

| | uACR | | BUN | | eG | eGFR | | Proteinuria | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|--|
| | r | Р | R | Р | R | Р | r | Р | |
| 24-h mean SBP | 0.351 | <0.001 | 0.133 | <0.001 | -0.113 | <0.001 | 0.199 | <0.001 | |
| 24-h mean DBP | -0.070 | 0.090 | -0.182 | < 0.001 | 0.120 | < 0.001 | .046 | 0.255 | |
| 24-h mean arterial pressure | 0.145 | <0.001 | -0.056 | 0.077 | 0.021 | 0.510 | .146 | <0.001 | |
| 24-h mean PP | 0.368 | <0.001 | 0.237 | < 0.001 | -0.177 | < 0.001 | .152 | <0.001 | |
| Daytime SBP | 0.330 | <0.001 | 0.097 | 0.002 | -0.086 | 0.007 | .178 | <0.001 | |
| Daytime DBP | -0.087 | 0.050 | -0.194 | < 0.001 | 0.125 | < 0.001 | .032 | 0.426 | |
| Daytime mean arterial pressure | 0.121 | 0.010 | -0.087 | 0.006 | 0.045 | 0.158 | .123 | 0.002 | |
| Daytime mean PP | 0.365 | <0.001 | 0.217 | <0.001 | -0.157 | <0.001 | .143 | <0.001 | |
| Nocturnal SBP | 0.318 | <0.001 | 0.158 | <0.001 | -0.143 | <0.001 | .179 | <0.001 | |
| Nocturnal DBP | -0.040 | 0.350 | -0.120 | <0.001 | 0.074 | 0.019 | .074 | 0.065 | |
| Nocturnal mean arterial pressure | 0.154 | <0.001 | 0.007 | 0.829 | -0.033 | 0.297 | .149 | <0.001 | |
| Nocturnal mean PP | 0.345 | <0.001 | 0.239 | <0.001 | -0.191 | <0.001 | .135 | 0.001 | |
| 24-h SBP wSD | 0.129 | <0.001 | 0.076 | 0.017 | -0.057 | 0.073 | .071 | 0.076 | |
| 24-h DBP wSD | -0.030 | 0.440 | -0.110 | 0.001 | 0.066 | 0.040 | 024 | 0.557 | |
| Nocturnal SBP F | -0.104 | 0.020 | -0.115 | <0.001 | 0.098 | 0.002 | 062 | 0.120 | |
| Nocturnal DBP F | -0.113 | 0.010 | -0.100 | 0.002 | 0.072 | 0.024 | -0.080 | 0.045 | |
| 24-h SBP PTE% | 0.350 | <0.001 | 0.134 | <0.001 | -0.106 | 0.001 | 0.185 | <0.001 | |
| 24-h DBP PTE% | -0.020 | 0.648 | -0.12 | <0.001 | 0.083 | 0.009 | 0.086 | 0.032 | |
| 24-h SBP PTD% | -0.216 | <0.001 | -0.041 | 0.200 | 0.028 | 0.373 | -0.102 | 0.011 | |
| 24-h DBP PTD% | 0.083 | 0.055 | 0.174 | < 0.001 | -0.113 | < 0.001 | -0.049 | 0.219 | |

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; F, fall rate; uACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; wSD, weighted SD; PTE, percent time elevation; PTD, percent time depression; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

nocturnal SBP fall rate (r = -0.104, P = 0.02), and nocturnal DBP fall rate (r = -0.113, P = 0.01).

A similar analysis was conducted to determine the relationship between ABPM indices and the BUN level, proteinuria and eGFR level, and the detailed results are presented in Table 3.

Based on the multiple linear regression analysis, after adjusting for age, BMI, smoking status, laboratory indicators (including NT pro-BNP, HS-CRP, TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, sUA), comorbidities (including diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, PAOD, CHD, CHF, CKD, and CVD), medications (including ACEI/ARB, β -blocker, a calcium-channel blocker, loop diuretic, statin, and anti-platelets) and ABPM indices associated with independent variables at a P < 0.1, the uACR level was positively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP (β =0.003, P=0.002), 24-h mean PP (β =0.003, P=0.027), and 24-h SBP PTE% (β =0.000, P=0.001), whereas the eGFR level was negatively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP (β =-0.004, P=0.007) and 24-h mean PP (β =-0.011, P=0.012). The BUN level was positively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP (β =0.002, P=0.002), 24-h mean PP (β =0.001, P=0.008), and 24-h SBP PTE% (β =0.002, P=0.015),

| Table 4 Results | of multiple linear | analysis with am | bulatory blood pressure | monitoring indices and renal function |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|

| Indices | В | Standardized β | t | VIF | Р |
|------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Proteinuria | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.342 | | 8.401 | | <0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.002 | 0.214 | 2.552 | 1.336 | 0.003 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 0.001 | 0.304 | 4.487 | 1.118 | 0.001 |
| Loop diuretic use | -0.012 | -0.037 | -1.062 | 1.324 | 0.012 |
| Smoking | 0.006 | 0.094 | 0.689 | 1.033 | 0.011 |
| uACR | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.515 | | 3.279 | | 0.001 |
| 24-h mean SBP | 0.003 | 0.119 | 4.988 | 1.103 | 0.002 |
| 24-h mean PP | 0.003 | 0.320 | 3.751 | 2.319 | 0.027 |
| 24-h SBP PTE% | 0.000 | 0.223 | 9.041 | 1.201 | 0.001 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 0.002 | 0.204 | 7.773 | 1.057 | < 0.001 |
| Loop diuretic use | -0.002 | 0.125 | 8.002 | 1.039 | < 0.001 |
| Smoking | 0.002 | 0.438 | 9.848 | 1.242 | 0.003 |
| eGFR | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.356 | | 7.788 | | < 0.001 |
| Age | -0.002 | -0.415 | -5.452 | 1.150 | < 0.001 |
| 24-h mean SBP | -0.004 | -0.370 | -1.403 | 1.204 | 0.007 |
| 24-h m PP | -0.011 | -0.098 | -1.062 | 2.656 | 0.012 |
| NT-proBNP | -0.004 | -0.210 | -6.557 | 1.222 | < 0.001 |
| Blood urea nitrogen | | | | | |
| Constant | 0.487 | | 3.917 | | 0.003 |
| 24-h mean SBP | 0.002 | 0.150 | | 1.433 | 0.002 |
| 24-h mean PP | 0.001 | 0.137 | 3.321 | 1.123 | 0.008 |
| 24-h DBP weighted SD | -0.025 | -0.098 | -2.177 | 1.101 | 0.007 |
| 24-h SBP PTE% | 0.002 | 0.219 | 1.676 | 3.206 | 0.015 |
| NT-proBNP | 0.004 | 0.386 | 2.210 | 2.554 | 0.001 |
| Loop diuretic use | 0.002 | 0.102 | 4.589 | 1.114 | < 0.001 |

ABPM, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NT-proBNP, N-terminal fragment pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; PTE, pressure percent time elevation; SBP, systolic blood pressure; uACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; VIF, variance inflation factor.

whereas the BUN level was negatively correlated with the 24-h DBP weighted SD ($\beta = -0.025$, P = 0.007). Other indices of ABPM had no significant association with renal function (Table 4).

Discussion

Our data showed that the uACR level was positively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP, 24-h mean PP, and 24-h SBP PTE%, whereas the eGFR level was negatively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP and PP. The BUN level was positively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP, 24-h mean PP, and 24-h SBP PTE%, whereas the BUN level was negatively correlated with the 24-h DBP weighted SD. The other indices of ABPM had no significant association with renal function.

These data are the first to show that ABPM indices (ABP, BPV, BP circadian rhythms, and 24-h hypertensive or hypotensive time indices) are independently associated with the uACR, eGFR, and BUN levels in elderly Chinese men with hypertension, even after adjusted for age, common cardiovascular risk factors, co-morbidities, and medications. This novel finding adds to a growing body of evidence supporting ABPM as a useful predictor of TOD and adverse clinical outcomes in elderly patients with hypertension.

Most studies have shown that ABPM is more predictive than clinic BP for cardiovascular disease, stroke, and death, even after controlling for clinic BP [4–6,8,14–17]. Nighttime ABP has been shown to provide more prognostic

information than daytime ABP, with the exception of one cohort [4,5,14,17]. However, the relationship between ABP, BPV, BP circadian rhythms, and hypertensive or hypotensive time indices and renal damage has only been evaluated in a few cohorts. Nondipping has been shown to be a risk factor for the development of microalbuminuria, an increase in urinary protein excretion, and a decline in creatinine clearance [18,19]. Furthermore, in a cohort of 217 veterans with CKD followed for a median of 3.5 years, nondipping was shown to be a significant predictor for end-stage renal disease independent of clinic BP [20]. Data from the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension study indicated that a large majority of seemingly well-controlled (based on office BP) hypertensive patients have elevated BP at night; elevated nocturnal BP is associated with more severe manifestations of TOD [21]. Lurbe et al. [18] showed that in patients with type-1 diabetes, nondipper subjects have a higher risk of developing diabetic nephropathy. Recently, Wang et al. [10] reported that the nocturnal BP level and reversed dipper BP pattern are closely related to renal damage in patients with CKD. In addition, reversed dipper SBP and 24-h is DBP independently correlated with an eGFR $<60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$, and bedtime SBP is associated with proteinuria (>1 g/24 h). Our data demonstrated that among elderly patients with hypertension, the eGFR level was negatively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP and 24-h mean PP, whereas the BUN level was positively correlated with the 24-h mean SBP and 24-h mean PP in elderly patients with hypertension, which is

consistent with previous findings. In addition, our study revealed that the uACR level is positively associated with the 24-h mean SBP, 24-h mean PP, and 24-h SBP PTE% and the BUN level is negatively correlated with the 24-h DBP SD. This is the first study to evaluate the potential predictive value of ABPM on renal damage in elderly patients with hypertension. This is particularly relevant to nocturnal BP, 24-h mean BP, BPV, BP circadian rhythms, and hypertensive or hypotensive time indices because daytime BP is easily obtained in the clinic or at home, while nighttime BP, mean BP, BPV, BP circadian rhythms, and hypertensive or hypotensive time indices typically require ambulatory BP monitoring, which presents logistical challenges. This study is the first demonstration of the importance of ABPM indices as an early marker for renal damage in elderly hypertensive patients. In developing a strategy for BP reduction and early protection of target organs, there is increasing recognition of the importance of nocturnal BP, mean BP, BPV, and BP circadian rhythms.

CKD has been shown to be a risk factor for BP control and BP circadian rhythm abnormities [9,22]. Young et al. [23] reported that the elevation of SBP and PP was the highest risk of decline in kidney function in the elderly. Perhaps a plausible explanation is that vascular stiffness exacerbates the decline of GFR as well as effective blood perfusion in elderly patients. The mechanism by which CKD leads to BP circadian rhythm abnormalities, such as elevated nighttime BP, is not well understood, but is likely multifactorial and includes volume-dependent hypertension exacerbated by recumbent posture, abnormal sodium handling, and comorbidities, such as diabetes and autonomic insufficiency. Cardiac autonomic neuropathy, one aspect of autonomic insufficiency, is associated with elevated nighttime BP in patients with and without CKD [24,25]. Patients with CKD are known to have increased sympathetic activity [26]. One possible mechanism for increased nighttime BP in subjects with cardiac autonomic neuropathy is increased sympathetic activation causing increased renin release and proximal tubular sodium reabsorption [27]. Those findings revealed that the relationship between CKD and BP control and BP circadian rhythm abnormalities was causation in elderly patients with hypertension. Further prospective studies are required to assess the association between CKD severity and BP control and BP circadian rhythm abnormalities.

Although a few clinical trials have noted the relationship between renal damage and BP control and BP circadian rhythms [9,10], most studies were carried out in small sample size and relatively younger subjects [10]. Our study, a large-scale cross-sectional analysis, has provided the first systematic evaluation of the association between various ABPM indices (ABP, BPV, BP circadian rhythms, and hypertensive or hypotensive time indices) and renal damage in elderly males with hypertension. The present study suggests that the control of ABPM indices (ABP, BPV, BP circadian rhythms, and hypertensive or hypotensive time indices), excluding office BP and home BP monitoring, may be necessary for preventing TOD. Those ABPM indices associated with renal damage may be of predictive value for renal damage in elderly male patients with hypertension.

There were some limitations to the present study. First, all participants were males. Because it is the characteristic of our Geriatric Department that most patients are male, our current findings were only suitable for Chinese male patients. Second, this was an observational study. therefore, the association we observed cannot be assessed definitively. Third, we cannot exclude the possibility that ABPM indices are a consequence of renal damage in elderly patients with hypertension, as this is a cross-sectional study. At last, office BP levels were not obtained and no comparison could be done between ABPM and home BP parameters.

We are the first to report that in elderly Chinese male patients with hypertension, the uACR level is associated with the 24-h mean SBP, 24-h mean PP, and 24-h SBP PTE%. The eGFR level is associated with the 24-h mean SBP and 24-h mean PP. The BUN level is associated with the 24-h mean SBP, 24-h mean PP, 24-h DBP weighted SD, and 24-h SBP PTE% independent of common potential confounders, including age, medication use, cardiovascular comorbidities, and risk factors. The ABPM indices associated with renal damage may be regarded as an early predictive marker for renal function impairment in elderly Chinese male patients with hypertension.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China, No. 2018YFC2002100, 2018YFC2002102.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ostan R, Bucci L, Cevenini E, Palmas MG, Pini E, Scurti M, et al. Metabolic syndrome in the offspring of centenarians: focus on prevalence, components, and adipokines. Age (Dordr) 2013; 35:1995–2007.
- 2 Kaess BM, Rong J, Larson MG, Hamburg NM, Vita JA, Levy D, et al. Aortic stiffness, blood pressure progression, and incident hypertension. JAMA 2012; 308:875–881.
- 3 Currie G, Freel EM, Perry CG, Dominiczak AF. Disorders of blood pressure regulation-role of catecholamine biosynthesis, release, and metabolism. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2012; **14**:38–45.
- 4 Fagard RH, Celis H, Thijs L, Staessen JA, Clement DL, De Buyzere ML, De Bacquer DA. Daytime and nighttime blood pressure as predictors of death and cause-specific cardiovascular events in hypertension. *Hypertension* 2008; **51**:55–61.
- 5 Staessen JA, Thijs L, Fagard R, O'Brien ET, Clement D, de Leeuw PW, et al. Predicting cardiovascular risk using conventional vs ambulatory blood pressure in older patients with systolic hypertension. Systolic Hypertension in Europe Trial Investigators. JAMA 1999; 282:539–546.
- 6 Ohkubo T, Hozawa A, Nagai K, Kikuya M, Tsuji I, Ito S, *et al.* Prediction of stroke by ambulatory blood pressure monitoring versus screening blood

pressure measurements in a general population: the Ohasama study. *J Hypertens* 2000; **18**:847–854.

- 7 Papazafiropoulou A, Skliros E, Sotiropoulos A, Papafragos C, Gikas A, Apostolou O, et al. Prevalence of target organ damage in hypertensive subjects attending primary care: C.V.P.C. study (epidemiological cardio-vascular study in primary care). BMC Fam Pract 2011; 12:75.
- 8 Yang WY, Melgarejo JD, Thijs L, Zhang ZY, Boggia J, Wei FF, et al.; International Database on Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Relation to Cardiovascular Outcomes (IDACO) Investigators. Association of office and ambulatory blood pressure with mortality and cardiovascular outcomes. JAMA 2019; **322**:409–420.
- 9 Fukuda M, Munemura M, Usami T, Nakao N, Takeuchi O, Kamiya Y, et al. Nocturnal blood pressure is elevated with natriuresis and proteinuria as renal function deteriorates in nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 2004; 65:621–625.
- 10 Wang C, Zhang J, Liu X, Li C, Ye Z, Peng H, et al. Reversed dipper blood-pressure pattern is closely related to severe renal and cardiovascular damage in patients with chronic kidney disease. PLoS One 2013; 3:e55419.
- 11 Stevens LA, Claybon MA, Schmid CH, Chen J, Horio M, Imai E, et al. Evaluation of the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation for estimating the glomerular filtration rate in multiple ethnicities. *Kidney* Int 2011; **79**:555–562.
- 12 Bilo G, Giglio A, Styczkiewicz K, Caldara G, Maronati A, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, et al. A new method for assessing 24-h blood pressure variability after excluding the contribution of nocturnal blood pressure fall. J Hypertens 2007; 25:2058–2066.
- 13 Liu LS; Writing Group of 2010 Chinese Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension. 2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2011; **39**:579–615.
- 14 Dolan E, Stanton A, Thijs L, Hinedi K, Atkins N, McClory S, et al. Superiority of ambulatory over clinic blood pressure measurement in predicting mortality: the Dublin outcome study. *Hypertension* 2005; 46:156–161.
- 15 Clement DL, De Buyzere ML, De Bacquer DA, de Leeuw PW, Duprez DA, Fagard RH, et al.; Office versus Ambulatory Pressure Study Investigators. Prognostic value of ambulatory blood-pressure recordings in patients with treated hypertension. N Engl J Med 2003; **348**:2407–2415.
- 16 Björklund K, Lind L, Zethelius B, Andrén B, Lithell H. Isolated ambulatory hypertension predicts cardiovascular morbidity in elderly men. *Circulation* 2003; **107**:1297–1302.

- 17 Ben-Dov IZ, Kark JD, Ben-Ishay D, Mekler J, Ben-Arie L, Bursztyn M. Predictors of all-cause mortality in clinical ambulatory monitoring: unique aspects of blood pressure during sleep. *Hypertension* 2007; 49:1235–1241.
- 18 Lurbe E, Redon J, Kesani A, Pascual JM, Tacons J, Alvarez V, Batlle D. Increase in nocturnal blood pressure and progression to microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2002; 347:797–805.
- 19 Palmas W, Pickering T, Teresi J, Schwartz JE, Eguchi K, Field L, et al. Nocturnal blood pressure elevation predicts progression of albuminuria in elderly people with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2008; 10:12–20.
- 20 Agarwal R, Andersen MJ. Prognostic importance of ambulatory blood pressure recordings in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2006; 69:1175–1180.
- 21 Pogue V, Rahman M, Lipkowitz M, Toto R, Miller E, Faulkner M, et al.; African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension Collaborative Research Group. Disparate estimates of hypertension control from ambulatory and clinic blood pressure measurements in hypertensive kidney disease. *Hypertension* 2009; **53**:20–27.
- 22 Paoletti E, Bellino D, Amidone M, Rolla D, Cannella G. Relationship between arterial hypertension and renal damage in chronic kidney disease: insights from ABPM. J Nephrol 2006; 19:778–782.
- 23 Young JH, Klag MJ, Muntner P, Whyte JL, Pahor M, Coresh J. Blood pressure and decline in kidney function: findings from the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). J Am Soc Nephrol 2002; 13:2776–2782.
- 24 Kohara K, Nishida W, Maguchi M, Hiwada K. Autonomic nervous function in non-dipper essential hypertensive subjects. Evaluation by power spectral analysis of heart rate variability. *Hypertension* 1995; 26:808–814.
- 25 Liu M, Takahashi H, Morita Y, Maruyama S, Mizuno M, Yuzawa Y, et al. Non-dipping is a potent predictor of cardiovascular mortality and is associated with autonomic dysfunction in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2003; **18**:563–569.
- 26 Schlaich MP, Socratous F, Hennebry S, Eikelis N, Lambert EA, Straznicky N, et al. Sympathetic activation in chronic renal failure. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 20:933–939.
- 27 Thames MD, DiBona GF. Renal nerves modulate the secretion of renin mediated by nonneural mechanisms. *Circ Res* 1979; 44:645–652.