

Autologous platelet-rich gel and continuous vacuum sealing drainage for the treatment of patients with diabetic foot ulcer

Study Protocol

Jie Xu, MB^a, Qiao-Yun Wang, MB^b, Wei Li, MM^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: Research focusing on the efficacy of autologous platelet-rich gel (APRG) and continuous vacuum sealing drainage (CVSD) for diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is increasing. Despite increasing knowledge on this theme, its results remain inconsistent. Thus, we will provide insight into the efficacy of APRG and CVSD for patients with DFU.

Methods: We will search electronic databases of MEDILINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, CINAHL, AMED, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure from inception to October 1, 2019. No language limitation is utilized to these databases. Two authors will independently perform study selection, data extraction, and risk of bias assessment. Disagreements between 2 authors will be solved through discussion with a third author.

Results: The efficacy and safety of APRG and CVSD for patients with DFU will be assessed by the time to complete healing, proportion of ulcers healed within trial period, change of size of ulcer, health-related quality of life, patient length of hospital stay, and adverse events.

Conclusion: The results of this study will provide helpful evidence of APRG and CVSD for patients with DFU.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO CRD42019153289.

Abbreviations: APRG = autologous platelet-rich gel, CIs = confidence intervals, CVSD = continuous vacuum sealing drainage, DFU = diabetic foot ulcer, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.

Keywords: autologous platelet-rich gel, continuous vacuum sealing drainage, diabetic foot ulcer, efficacy, safety

1. Introduction

Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is one of the most common and severe complications in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM).^[1-4] Such condition has increased dramatically over the past decades around the world.^[5–7] It affects 15% of DM patients during their lifetime.^[8,9] Previous studies have found that DFU is associated with higher incidence of infection, gangrene, amputation, and morbidity.^[10–14] Thus, early effective managements of DFU can greatly decrease the severity of complications including poor quality of life, amputations, and even death.^[15–18]

In recent years, there is an increasing interest in the encouraging role of autologous platelet-rich gel (APRG) and continuous vacuum sealing drainage (CVSD) for patients with DFU.^[18–26]

This study is supported by the Yan'an Science and Technology Huimin Project (2016-HM-08–01). The funder did not involve any parts of this study.

^a Department of Endocrine and Metabolism, ^b Department of Ultrasound Diagnosis, Yan'an University Affiliated Hospital, Yan'an, China.

* Correspondence: Wei Li, Department of Endocrine and Metabolism, Yan'an University Affiliated Hospital, No. 43, Beida St, Baota Qu, Yan'an, Shaanxi 716000, China (e-mail: weill3328@outlook.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Xu J, Wang QY, Li W. Autologous platelet-rich gel and continuous vacuum sealing drainage for the treatment of patients with diabetic foot ulcer. Medicine 2019;98:46(e17928).

Received: 12 October 2019 / Accepted: 15 October 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000017928 However, no study systematically assesses its efficacy and its results are still unclear. Therefore, this study will investigate the efficacy and safety of APRG and CVSD for the treatment of patients with DFU at the evidence-based medicine level.

2. Methods and analysis

2.1. Dissemination and ethics

We will publish this study at a peer-reviewed scientific journal. No formal ethical approval or informed consent is inquired, because we will not utilize individual patient data.

2.2. Eligibility criteria

2.2.1. Types of studies. We will consider all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that investigated the efficacy of APRG and CVSD for patients with DFU. We will remove any studies, except RCTs.

2.2.2. *Types of participants.* We will include all patients who diagnosed with DFU with no limitations of their race, sex, and age.

2.2.3. Types of interventions. In the experimental group, we will select all trials assessing the efficacy and safety of APRG and CVSD for DFU.

In the control group, we will choose all studies using all other therapies, except APRG or CVSD, or APRG combined CVSD.

2.2.4. Types of outcome measurements. Primary outcomes include time to complete healing, and proportion of ulcers healed within trial period.

Secondary outcomes consist of change of size of ulcer; healthrelated quality of life; patient length of hospital stay, and adverse events.

2.3. Search methods for the identification of studies

2.3.1. Electronic database searches. Electronic database searches will be conducted for potential studies from MEDILINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, CINAHL, AMED, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure from their inceptions to October 1, 2019. We will not impose language limitation to these databases. The MED-LINE search strategy is exerted in Table 1. Similar search strategies will be adapted for other electronic databases.

2.3.2. Other resources searches. Aside from above electronic databases, we will also search conference proceedings, clinical registry, and reference lists of associated reviews.

2.4. Data collection and analysis

2.4.1. Screening of the studies. Two authors will independently scan all the retrieved titles and abstracts based on the predefined and determined eligibility criteria. All irrelevant literatures will be excluded. Full text of all remaining studies will be screened to further confirm the final selection of eligible studies. All reasons for exclusion of each study will be noted. In case of any divergences, a third author will be referred to make a final consistent decision. The process of study selection will be exerted and presented in the flowchart.

2.4.2. Data extraction. Two authors will perform the data extraction according to the predefined data extraction form. Divergences between 2 authors will be resolved by a third author to reach consensus if necessary. The extracted data consists of first author, title, time of publication, patient characteristics

Table 1	
Search strategy for MEDL	INE.

Number	Search terms
1	Diabetic foot ulcer
2	Open sore
3	Open wound
4	Foot ulcer
5	Foot infection
6	Ulcer-related complication
7	Or 1–6
8	Autologous platelet-rich gel
9	Platelet-rich plasma
10	Autologous platelet gel
11	Vacuum sealing drainage
12	Continuous negative pressure
13	Continuous drainage
14	Continuous vacuum sealing drainage
15	Or 8–14
16	Randomized controlled trials
17	Random
18	Allocation
19	Placebo
20	Blind
21	Clinical trials
22	Controlled trials
23	16 and 22
24	7 and 15 and 23

(sample size, inclusion and exclusion criteria, age, gender, et al), study methods (random methods, blind, allocation, et al), interventions (type of intervention, dosage, duration, frequency, et al), outcome measurements (primary, secondary and safety outcomes), and conflict of interest.

2.4.3. *Risk of bias assessment.* The risk of bias assessment of included studies will be assessed by using Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions tool. It covers 7 aspects, and each one is also divided as high, unclear and low risk of bias. Two authors will perform these judgments of risk of bias, and any different opinions will be solved by discussion with the help of a third author as an arbitrator if necessary.

2.4.4. Dealing with missing data. When there is unclear or insufficient information, we will contact primary authors to require it. If such information cannot be inquired, we will analyze available data.

2.4.5. Data synthesis. We will use RevMan 5.3 software to perform statistical analysis. For enumeration data, we will use risk ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to express it. For continuous data, we will exert mean difference or standardized mean difference with 95% CIs to present it. We will identify heterogeneity of eligible studies by using I^2 statistic. $I^2 \leq 50\%$ exerts acceptable heterogeneity, and a fixed-effects model will be used. Meanwhile, we will conduct meta-analysis. $I^2 > 50\%$ indicates obvious significant heterogeneity, and a random-effect model will be applied. At the same time, we will perform subgroup analysis to explore the possible reasons for such obvious substantial heterogeneity. Whenever significant heterogeneity still exerts, we will carry out narrative synthesis to present the findings, structured around intervention types, target participant characteristics, and types of interventions and outcomes.

2.4.6. *Reporting bias.* We will perform funnel plot and Egger regression test if more than 10 eligible RCTs will be included.^[27]

2.4.7. Subgroup analysis. We will conduct subgroup analysis according to the different study or patient characteristics, interventions, controls and outcome measurements.

2.4.8. Sensitivity analysis. We will operate sensitivity analysis to check robustness of results by excluding high risk of bias studies.

3. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study firstly concentrates on the efficacy and safety of APRG and CVSD for DFU. This study attempts to perform a comprehensive analysis of the existing evidence to fill this gap in the research domain. The findings of this study will provide a detailed summary of the present evidence of APRG and CVSD for treatment of patients with DFU. This study will also provide guidance for both clinical practice and further research.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li. Data curation: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li. Formal analysis: Jie Xu. Investigation: Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li. Project administration: Wei Li.

Resources: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang.

Software: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang.

Supervision: Wei Li.

Validation: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li.

Visualization: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li.

Writing - original draft: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li.

Writing - review & editing: Jie Xu, Qiao-yun Wang, Wei Li.

References

- Lavery LA, Davis KE, Berriman SJ, et al. WHS guidelines update: diabetic foot ulcer treatment guidelines. Wound Repair Regen 2016;24:112–26.
- [2] Armstrong DG, Boulton AJM, Bus SA. Diabetic foot ulcers and their recurrence. N Engl J Med 2017;376:2367–75.
- [3] Zeng X, Tang Y, Hu K, et al. Three-week topical treatment with placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells hydrogel in a patient with diabetic foot ulcer: a case report. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96: e9212.
- [4] Bus SA. The role of pressure offloading on diabetic foot ulcer healing and prevention of recurrence. Plast Reconstr Surg 2016;138(3 Suppl):179S-87S.
- [5] Aalaa M, Malazy OT, Sanjari M, et al. Nurses' role in diabetic foot prevention and care; a review. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2012;11:24.
- [6] Alavi A, Sibbald RG, Mayer D, et al. Diabetic foot ulcers: Part II. Management. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014;70:21.
- [7] Cavanagh PR, Lipsky BA, Bradbury AW, et al. Treatment for diabetic foot ulcers. Lancet 2005;366:1725–35.
- [8] Singer AJ, Tassiopoulos, Kirsner RS. Evaluation and management of lower-extremity ulcers. N Engl J Med 2018;378:302–3.
- [9] Leone S, Pascale R, Vitale M, et al. Epidemiology of diabetic foot. Infez Med 2012;20(Suppl 1):8–13.
- [10] Mulder G, Tenenhaus M, D'Souza GF. Reduction of diabetic foot ulcer healing times through use of advanced treatment modalities. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2014;13:335–46.
- [11] Nickerson DS. Reconsidering nerve decompression: an overlooked opportunity to limit diabetic foot ulcer recurrence and amputation. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2013;7:1195–201.

- [12] Shahbazian H, Yazdanpanah L, Latifi SM. Risk assessment of patients with diabetes for foot ulcers according to risk classification consensus of International Working Group on Diabetic Foot (IWGDF). Pak J Med Sci 2013;29:730–4.
- [13] Ha Van G. Management of a diabetic foot ulcer. Rev Med Interne 2008;29(Suppl 2):S238–42.
- [14] Fard AS, Esmaelzadeh M, Larijani B. Assessment and treatment of diabetic foot ulcer. Int J Clin Pract 2007;61:1931–8.
- [15] Iraj B, Khorvash F, Ebneshahidi A, et al. Prevention of diabetic foot ulcer. Int J Prev Med 2013;4:373–6.
- [16] Dalla Paola L, Faglia E. Treatment of diabetic foot ulcer: an overview strategies for clinical approach. Curr Diabetes Rev 2006;2:431–47.
- [17] Yazdanpanah L, Nasiri M, Adarvishi S. Literature review on the management of diabetic foot ulcer. World J Diabetes 2015;6:37–53.
- [18] Ilonzo N, Patel M, Lantis JC2nd. Managing the diabetic foot ulcer: how best practices fit the real 2018 United States. Surg Technol Int 2018;32:49–59.
- [19] Wu Q, Lei X, Chen L, et al. Autologous platelet-rich gel combined with in vitro amplification of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell transplantation to treat the diabetic foot ulcer: a case report. Ann Transl Med 2018;6:307.
- [20] Mohammadi MH, Molavi B, Mohammadi S, et al. Evaluation of wound healing in diabetic foot ulcer using platelet-rich plasma gel: a single-arm clinical trial. Transfus Apher Sci 2017;56:160–4.
- [21] Slesaczeck T, Paetzold H, Nanning T, et al. Autologous derived, plateletrich plasma gel in the treatment of nonhealing diabetic foot ulcer: a case report. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab 2012;3:75–8.
- [22] Akingboye AA, Giddins S, Gamston P, et al. Application of autologous derived-platelet rich plasma gel in the treatment of chronic wound ulcer: diabetic foot ulcer. J Extra Corpor Technol 2010;42:20–9.
- [23] Fan L, Luo H, Liu B, et al. Clinical treatment of diabetic foot ulcer combined with Budd-Chiari syndrome: a case report. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019;98:e14224.
- [24] Chen CZ, Wu RQ. Therapeutic effect of autologous platelet-rich gel combined with continuous closed vacuum drainage on diabetic foot ulcer. Bipedal Health Care 2018;27:42–3.
- [25] Chen XY, Wang JJ, Lin JC, et al. Therapeutic effect of autologous platelet-rich gel combined with continuous closed vacuum drainage on diabetic foot ulcer. Nurs Pract Res 2018;15:42–4.
- [26] Zhou LH, Chen QH, Chen ZC, et al. Effect of autologous platelet-rich gel combined with closed negative pressure drainage on diabetic foot ulcer. Chin J Front Med (Electronic Edition) 2017;9:131–4.
- [27] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.