## Predictors of Radiographic Osteoarthritis 2-3 Years after ACL Reconstruction: Data from MOON Onsite Nested Cohort

Morgan H. Jones, MD¹, Sameer R. Oak, MD¹, Jack T. Andrish, MD², Robert H. Brophy, MD³, Charles L. Cox, MD⁴, Warren R. Dunn, MD, MPH⁵, David C. Flanigan, MD⁶, Braden C. Fleming, PhD⁻, Laura J. Huston, MS⁶, Christopher C. Kaeding, MD⁶, Michael Kolosky, DO⁶, Thomas Sean Lynch, MD¹⁰, Robert A. Magnussen, MD, MPH⁶, Matthew J. Matava, MD³, Richard D. Parker, MD¹¹, Emily K. Reinke, PhD¹², Erica Scaramuzza¹³, Matthew V. Smith, MD¹⁴, Carl S. Winalski, MD¹⁵, Rick W. Wright, MD¹⁶, Alex Zajichek, M.S.¹⁻, Kurt P. Spindler, MD¹

<sup>1</sup>Cleveland Clinic Orthopaedic Sports Health, Cleveland, OH, USA, <sup>2</sup>Cleveland Clinic Department of Orthopaedics, Cleveland, OH, USA, <sup>3</sup>Washington University Orthopaedics, Chesterfield, MO, USA, <sup>4</sup>Vanderbilt Sports Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA, <sup>5</sup>UW Health at The American Center, Madison, WI, USA, <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA, <sup>7</sup>Bioengineering Labs, Providence, RI, USA, <sup>8</sup>Vanderbilt Orthopaedic Institute, Nashville, TN, USA, <sup>9</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA, <sup>10</sup>Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, <sup>11</sup>Cleveland Clinic Orthopaedic Sports Health, Mayfield Heights, OH, USA, <sup>12</sup>Duke University Med. Ctr., DSSI, Durham, NC, USA, <sup>13</sup>Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA, <sup>14</sup>Washington University in St. Louis, Chesterfield, MO, USA, <sup>15</sup>Cleveland Clinic Orthopaedics Department, Cleveland, OH, USA, <sup>16</sup>Washington University, Saint Louis, MO, USA, <sup>17</sup>Cleveland Clinic Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland, OH, USA

**Objectives:** Multiple studies have shown patients are susceptible to post-traumatic osteoarthritis (PTOA) after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury even with ACL reconstruction (ACLR). Prospective studies using multivariate analysis to identify risk factors for PTOA are lacking. This study aims to identify baseline predictors of radiographic PT OA after ACLR at an early time point and hypothesizes that meniscal injury and cartilage lesions will be associated with worse radiographic OA using the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) atlas criteria.

**Methods:** 421 patients who underwent ACLR returned onsite for standardized posteroanterior metatarsophalangeal radiographs a minimum of 2 years after surgery. At baseline, demographics, graft type, meniscal status/treatment, and cartilage status were collected. OARSI atlas criteria were used to grade all knee radiographs. Multivariable ordinal regression models identified baseline predictors of radiographic OARSI grades at follow-up.

**Results:** The mean age was 19.8 years with 51.3% females. Higher age (odds ratio (OR) 1.06) and BMI (OR 1.05) were statistically significantly associated with higher OARSI grade in the medial compartment. Patients with a meniscal repair and a partial meniscectomy had statistically significantly higher OARSI grades in the medial compartment (meniscal repair OR 1.92 and meniscectomy OR 2.11) and in the lateral compartment (meniscal repair OR 1.96 and meniscectomy OR 2.97). Graft type, cartilage lesion, sex, and Marx activity scales had no significant association with OARSI grade.

**Conclusion:** Older patients with a higher BMI who have an ACL tear with concurrent meniscal tear requiring partial meniscectomy or meniscal repair should be advised of their increased risk of developing radiographic OA. Alternatively, patients with an ACL tear with an articular cartilage lesion can be reassured that they are not at increased risk of developing radiographic knee OA at 2-3 years following ACLR.

	Predictor	Outcome					
		lateral Compartment		Media I Compartment		Total KneeScore	
		OR (95% CI)	pvalue	OR (95% CI)	p- value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Sex							
	Female		192	-		-	-
	Male	1.29 (0.89,187)	0.182	1.23 (0.86, 1.76)	0.259	1.26 (0.87,183)	0.221
	Age	0.98 (0.94,1.02)	0.293	1.06 (1.02,1.10)	0.006	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.435
BM		1.02(0.97,1.07)	0.488	1.05 (1.00,1.10)	0.0 <b>4B</b>	1.04 (0.99,1.09)	0.111
Marx Activity Rating Scale		0.99 (0.95,1.04)	0.742	1.02 (0.98, 1.07)	0377	1.01 (0.96,1.05)	0.787
Gr <del>o</del> ft			0.637*		0.887*		0820
	8PT8 autograft	1.5	85	0.50			8.5
	Hamstring autograft	1.15 (0.79,1.66)	0.458	093 (0.64,135)	0.717	1.08 (0.74,158)	0.678
	Allograft	1 33 (0.61,290)	0.476	1.11 (051, 241)	0.791	1.25 (0.56, 280)	0589
lateral	Centilege Status						
	Grade 1	-					
	Grade 2-4	0.85 (0.50,1.45)	0.559			1.47 (0.85, 255)	0.171
Leteral Meniscus			<0.001°				0.002
	No tear	12					-
	Meniscal repair	1.96 (1.00,3 83)	0.049			132 (0.66, 266)	0.430
	Partial meniscectomy	2.97 (1.95,4.54)	<0.001			2.21(1.46,334)	<0.00
	Un trea ted tea r	1.10 (0.68,1.79)	0.690			1.05 (0.64,1.72)	0844
Medial	Certilege Status						
	Grade 1				•	· -	
	Grade 2-4			156 (081, 298)	0.181	1.01 (052,196)	0985
Media I Meniscus				Ŧ.	0.006*		0.020
	No tear			0.50	0.50		
	Meniscal repair			192(123,3.01)	0.004	183(1.17,287)	0.008
	Partial meniscectomy			2.11(1.13,3.93)	0.019	1.72 (0.91,3.24)	0.094
	Untreated tear			091 (050,168)	0.773	085 (0.47,153)	0582

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p-values from a likelihood ratio test are shown for factors with > 2 levels Bold indicates the value is statistically significant with  $p\!<\!0.05$ BPTB - Bone patellar tendon bone

OR-Odds ratio

CI - Confidence interval

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 7(7)(suppl 5)

DOI: 10.1177/2325967119S00348 ©The Author(s) 2019