Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Molecular Genetics and Metabolism Reports





Executive functioning, adaptive skills, emotional and behavioral profile: A comparison between autism spectrum disorder and phenylketonuria



Barbara Trimarco^{a,*,1}, Filippo Manti^{a,1}, Francesca Nardecchia^a, Sergio Melogno^a, Mara Testa^a, Giovanni Meledandri^b, Claudia Carducci^c, Roberta Penge^a, Vincenzo Leuzzi^a

^a Department of Human Neuroscience, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Via dei Sabelli 108, 00185 Rome, Italy

^b Department of Human Science, "Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi", Via Plinio 44, 00193 Rome, Italy

^c Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Viale del policlinico 155, 00161 Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Introduction: Influential theories maintain that some of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) core symptoms may Keywords: Autism spectrum disorder arise from deficits in executive functions (EF). EF deficits are also considered a neuropsychological marker of Phenylketonuria early treated individuals with phenylketonuria (PKU). Aims of this study were: to verify the occurrence and Executive functions patterns of specific EF impairments in both clinical groups; to explore the coexistence of EF alterations with Adaptive behavior adaptive, behavioral and emotional problems in each clinical condition. Internalizing and externalizing symptoms Material and methods: We assessed EF, adaptive, behavioral and emotional profile in 21 participants with ASD, 15 early treated PKU individuals, comparable for age and IQ, and 14 controls, comparable for age to the clinical groups (age range: 7-14 years). Results: ASD and PKU participants presented two different, but partially overlapping patterns of EF impairment. While ASD participants showed a specific deficit in cognitive flexibility only, PKU individuals showed a more extensive impairment in EF with a weaker performance in two core EF domains (inhibition, cognitive flexibility) as compared to healthy controls. Psychological and adaptive profile was typical in PKU participants, while ASD participants experienced behavioral (externalizing symptoms), emotional (internalizing symptoms) and adaptive disorders (general, practical, social domains). Conclusions: Present results support the view of a relative disengagement of adaptive and emotional-behavioral profile with respect to EF skills and suggest that other dysfunctions contribute to the multidimensional phenotype of ASD participants.

1. Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by persistent difficulties in social communication and interaction and restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests or activities [1]. Levels of severity of the disorder can vary among individuals, especially as far as intellectual and language development are concerned.

Researchers have formulated several hypotheses on the nature of ASD cognitive profile. The theory of mind hypothesis suggests that ASD symptoms derive from a specific inability to attribute mental states to oneself and others [2]. Other researchers have proposed that ASD

behavioral atypicalities are caused by more pervasive issues in central coherence (ability to derive overall meaning from a mass of details) [3]. Influential theories suggest that ASD symptoms, especially those belonging to the adaptive domain, may arise from deficits in EF [4,5]. Individuals with ASD indeed have difficulties in exerting control in novel or ambiguous situations, which require inhibiting responses, manipulating information during a task and changing their strategies.

EF include a set of cognitive control processes that manage lower functions to regulate goal-directed behaviors [6,7] and are responsible for guiding and managing cognitive, emotional and behavioral functions, especially during active problem solving [8]. There is general agreement about three core EF: inhibition (the ability to control one's

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgmr.2020.100577

E-mail addresses: barbara.trimarco@gmail.com (B. Trimarco), filippo.manti@uniroma1.it (F. Manti), francesca.nardecchia@uniroma1.it (F. Nardecchia), sergio.melogno@uniroma1.it (S. Melogno), maratesta6@gmail.com (M. Testa), g.meledandri@unimarconi.it (G. Meledandri),

claudia.carducci@uniroma1.it (C. Carducci), roberta.penge@uniroma1.it (R. Penge), vincenzo.leuzzi@uniroma1.it (V. Leuzzi).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 20 February 2020; Accepted 22 February 2020

^{2214-4269/ © 2020} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

attention, behavior, thoughts and emotions to complete the appropriate or necessary action, ignoring a strong internal impulse or an external stimulus), working memory (WM) (the ability to maintain and actively manipulate information) and cognitive flexibility (the ability to adapt to new demands, opportunities or needs, to switch from one set of responses to another -set-shifting- and to modify one's strategies according to the changed environmental conditions) [9–11]. Higher-order EF (i.e. problem solving and planning) are built from the core EF [12,13]. The ability to control attention can also be considered as part of EF [14]. EF emerge during the first years of life and continue to strengthen throughout childhood and adolescence, each component following its developmental trajectory [15,16].

EF in ASD have been extensively explored and a number of studies have focused specifically on school age children. Previous studies on inhibition in children with ASD found an impairment in inhibition of irrelevant distractors but not of prepotent responses [17,18], whereas other studies revealed significant impairments in the inhibition of prepotent responses [19]. Results on cognitive flexibility in children with ASD are not always consistent: some studies found an impairment [20–24], while others did not [24]. A domain of EF often found impaired in children with ASD is planning [19,20]. Verbal WM appears relatively intact in some studies [25,26], and deficient in others [23]. Finally, a number of studies found attention deficits in children with ASD [27,28] differently from others detecting typical levels of attention in ASD participants [29].

As well as EF, also adaptive behavior, frequently impaired in individuals with ASD [30], plays an important role in the achievement of positive functional outcomes. Adaptive behavior refers to the ability of a person to meet his or her personal needs and to deal with the demands in his or her environment [31], it includes a group of skills that allow individuals to function effectively in different life contexts (i.e. home, school, community etc) [32]. A number of studies suggested that EF might contribute to adaptive behavior by means of self-regulation of social and emotional processes [33,34].

Even though the number of people with ASD achieving independence as adults has increased over the years, they are far from being the majority yet [35,36]. Some authors maintain that EF might be one of the sources of heterogeneity in adaptive outcomes of people with ASD [37].

For instance, Panerai and coworkers [38] showed an association between cognitive flexibility and planning deficits and adaptive behavior problems, especially in socialization. Beyond cognitive difficulties, there is general agreement about emotional and behavioral difficulties in ASD [39-41]. A number of studies have investigated the relationship between EF and emotional symptoms: Cederlund and colleagues [42] found that depressive difficulties and EF impairments often co-occur in adolescents with ASD and Hollocks and colleagues [43] found a relationship between EF and anxiety symptoms. These authors hypothesize that anxiety in ASD may be driven by difficulties in executive, topdown control of attention, as reported in pediatric anxiety disorders [44,45]: poorer top-down control may lead to increased cognitive biases, associated with anxiety in the non-ASD adolescent population [46]. Reduced cognitive control in anxiety can be displayed through attention biases towards threatening stimuli [47]. Such biases may also be linked to difficulties in flexibly disengage from threat stimuli [48,49].

EF deficits have also been considered a specific neuropsychological marker of early treated Phenylketonuria (PKU) [50–56], starting from a very young age [57]. PKU (OMIM #261600) is caused by an inborn error of metabolism, in which early diagnosis and dietary treatment are fundamental to prevent intellectual disability [58]. By means of dietary restriction of phenylalanine, early treated PKU individuals show favorable clinical outcomes, compared with late or untreated ones, although there is still evidence of a lower Intelligence Quotient (IQ) and minor neuropsychological and psychiatric problems [50,59–64]. Children and adolescents with PKU (age range: 7–20 years) often show

impairments in inhibition [52,65], WM [52], planning, problem-solving, attention [53,65,66], and cognitive flexibility [60,67]. Additionally, a higher incidence of anxiety, depressive symptoms, social isolation, physical complaints and hyperactivity has been reported in children and adolescents with PKU [68–70]. Conversely, results of other studies highlighted the absence of internalizing and externalizing difficulties in children and adolescents with PKU [71,72].

The possible co-occurrence of EF deficits and adaptive impairments has not been extensively investigated[73,74], while a concurrence of EF deficits and internalizing symptoms was found in children with PKU [75].

ASD and PKU, although very different clinical conditions, share a number of similarities, taking into account the neuropsychological profile. First of all, it should be noted that untreated PKU individuals usually show autistic features besides intellectual impairment [76–78]. Secondly, ASD and PKU, share a specific weakness in EF. If behavioral and emotional difficulties present in ASD originate, at least partially, from EF deficies, we would expect that clinical groups with comparable EF deficient profiles should present similar behavioral and emotional patterns.

The presence of differences in consistency, severity and profile of EF impairments in distinct disorders is linked to the so-called "discriminant validity" concept [5]: specific types of executive deficits may be associated with specific developmental disorders [20]. Comparing neurodevelopmental disorders with very different behavioral features, but similar neuropsychological deficits, can help to explore the nature of such deficits. For instance, Bisiacchi, Mento, Tarantino and Burlina [79] compared the neuropsychological profile of PKU participants with HIVaffected age-matched children and adolescents, two diseases with different etiologies and pathophysiological mechanisms, both resulting in direct and indirect effects on central nervous system. The authors reported that, although all participants had a normal global functioning, PKU and HIV groups showed lower performance in WM and attentional shifting than typically developing controls, with more widespread and severe impairments in children with HIV.

Stevenson and McNaughton [80] explored the phenotypic overlap between PKU and ADHD. By reviewing the existing literature, they hypothesize that the EF impairments (especially in WM, planning, and inhibition), found in PKU children as well as in children with ADHD, may result from two vastly different etiologies that converge on a specific core phenotype including similar dysfunctions of Gray's Behavioral Inhibition System [81], coupled with other disorder-specific dysfunctions. Comparisons of the commonalities and differences between EF deficits in different clinical conditions can allow greater understanding of the neuropsychology of the single disorders. EF deficits are indeed a correlate and possibly one of the causes of the disruptions in complex behavior detected in several developmental disorders [5]. The influence of high cognitive dysfunctions on the emotional-behavioral profile has yet to be clarified and could be disorder-specific.

In order to unravel the connection between neuropsychological impairment and behavioral disorders we compared EF, adaptive behavior and behavioral-emotional symptoms in children with ASD without accompanying intellectual impairment, children with early treated PKU and controls. Evidence for the presence of similar emotional and behavioral problems in PKU, as compared to ASD, is currently limited. Therefore, we compared these groups to investigate whether cognitive, emotional, behavioral and adaptive profiles of the two clinical conditions overlapped. We intended to explore if similar EF deficits in both groups corresponded to similar emotional, behavioral and adaptive problems.

Aims of this study were: to verify the occurrence and patterns of specific EF impairments (inhibition, cognitive flexibility, verbal WM, planning and attention) in both clinical groups and to explore the coexistence of EF alterations with adaptive, behavioral and emotional problems in each clinical condition.

Table 1

Demographic and biochemical characteristics of the PKU sample.

Patient ID	Age (years/ months)	Sex	IDC (µmol/ L)	Phe at the day of the examination (μ mol/L)
1	13/2	М	370	268
2	8/5	F	278	116
3	13/4	F	390	420
4	8/2	F	315	308
5	8/9	F	252	356
6	14/0	Μ	397	207
7	8/11	Μ	339	167
8	10/11	F	260	345
9	10/3	Μ	355	350
10	10/7	F	315	397
11	10/2	Μ	330	265
12	8/4	F	320	255
13	7/8	Μ	275	282
14	13/8	Μ	385	326
15	8/1	М	298	273

Abbreviations: IDC = Index of Dietary Control, calculated as the mean Phe of all yearly medians.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

Participants of both clinical groups (21 ASD and 15 PKU participants) were outpatients of the Department of Human Neuroscience, Child Neurology and Psychiatry Unit, Sapienza University of Rome. Inclusion criteria were: a) age ranging from 7 to 14 years; b) absence of intellectual disability, as explored by IQ measurement with Wechsler scales [82]. PKU participants were early diagnosed by neonatal screening program (first two weeks of life) and early and continuously treated (phenylalanine restricted diet only, see Table 1 for details).

ASD participants were diagnosed after a comprehensive multi-disciplinary assessment with a child psychiatrist and psychologist, in accordance with international diagnostic criteria (DSM-5) [1]. ASD symptoms were evaluated using ADOS-2 [83], gold standard test for autism spectrum assessment. The mean severity level of the disorder, calculated through ADOS-2 comparison score [83], was in the moderate range (mean = 7,19; sd = 1,63). The comparison score ranges from 1 to 10: 1 indicates minimal-to-no evidence of autism-related symptoms and 10 indicates a high level of impairment. Fourteen controls (age range 7-14) were recruited from city schools: they did not report neurodevelopmental, genetic or chronic diseases, or previous specialist consulting related to difficulties in neuropsychological or psychopathological areas, and had no school problems. To reduce the number of sessions, IQ was not assessed in control group (CG) participants (only Working Memory Index of WISC-IV was administered to CG participants to complete the executive functioning assessment).

The exclusion criteria were: a) a comorbid medical condition; b) clinical evidence of consumption of drugs or medicaments interfering with neurocognitive functions.

The local ethics committee approved the study protocol. Informed written consent was obtained from participants' parents before the enrollment in the study. Table 2 shows the demographic features of all participants and full IQs of clinical groups. IQ was assessed with WISC-IV [82]. There were no significant differences in age among the three groups (Median test: p = .677), nor did we find significant differences in IQ between the clinical groups (Median test: p = .735).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Executive functions

2.2.1.1. Working memory. We measured verbal WM with Working Memory Index (WMI) from WISC-IV [82]. WMI comprises two subtests: Digit Span and Letter-Number Sequencing. The Digit Span subtest includes two sections: Digit Span Forward and Digit Span Backward. In the first section the examinee is required to recall a series of numbers presented by the examiner. In the backward section, the child has to repeat the numbers presented in reverse order. The Letter-Number Sequencing subtest requires the child to recall numbers in ascending order and letters in alphabetical order from a given number and letter sequence.

We assessed the other dimensions of EF with NEPSY–II Attention and Executive Functioning domain [84], which can be administered to children from 3 to 16 years. The subtests are the following (we describe them according to the specific function assessed):

2.2.1.2. Attention. The Visual Attention subtest measures speed and accuracy in focusing and maintaining attention on target visual stimuli among other visual stimuli, whereas the Auditory Attention subtest assesses selective auditory attention and the ability to sustain it (vigilance).

2.2.1.3. Inhibition. Inhibition assesses the ability to inhibit automatic responses in favor of novel responses. It provides an inhibition combined score which takes into account both speed and accuracy of the performance. Speed and accuracy can also be analyzed separately as the subtest provides an Inhibition-time score and an Inhibiton-error score.

2.2.1.4. Cognitive flexibility. Switching tests the ability to switch between response types. It provides a Switching-time score, a Switching-error score and a switching combined score.

Response Set subtest assesses the ability to shift and maintain a new and complex set. The child listens to a series of words and touches the appropriate circle (matching or contrasting) when he or she hears a target word.

Animal Sorting subtest assesses the ability to formulate basic concepts and to shift set from one concept to another. The child sorts cards into two groups using different self-initiated sorting criteria.

Finally, Design Fluency tests the child's ability to generate unique designs by connecting up to five dots, presented in two arrays: structured and random.

2.2.1.5. Planning-organization. Clocks subtest is designed to assess planning and organization, visuoperceptual and visuospatial skills and the concept of time in relation to analog clocks.

Table 2

Clinical and	demographic	characteristics	of the	sample groups.

-	-		-									
Groups N Sex M		F	Age (mont	Age (months)			IQ					
			Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max
21	17	4	117.95	23.34	115	84	171	94.33	18.94	95	70	132
15	9	6	123.13	27.14	122	92	169	95.47	12.50	97	75	118
14	6	8	122.36	23.90	118.50	91	166	-				
	21 15	21 17 15 9	21 17 4 15 9 6	Mean 21 17 4 117.95 15 9 6 123.13	Mean SD 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 15 9 6 123.13 27.14	Mean SD Median 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122	Mean SD Median Min 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 84 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122 92	Mean SD Median Min Max 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 84 171 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122 92 169	Mean SD Median Min Max Mean 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 84 171 94.33 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122 92 169 95.47	Mean SD Median Min Max Mean SD 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 84 171 94.33 18.94 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122 92 169 95.47 12.50	Mean SD Median Min Max Mean SD Median 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 84 171 94.33 18.94 95 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122 92 169 95.47 12.50 97	Mean SD Median Min Max Mean SD Median Min 21 17 4 117.95 23.34 115 84 171 94.33 18.94 95 70 15 9 6 123.13 27.14 122 92 169 95.47 12.50 97 75

Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder; PKU = phenylketonuria; CG = control group; IQ = intelligence quotient.

2.2.2. Emotional and behavioral profile

To evaluate emotional and behavioral symptoms, we used Child Behavior Checklist 6–18 (CBCL 6–18) [85], for parents of individuals between 6 and 18 years. The checklist includes 120 items. We used scores from the general scales (Internalizing Problems, Externalizing Problems and Total Problems) as indexes of emotional (internalizing) and behavioral (externalizing) problems. Validation studies of CBCL on the Italian population [86] highlighted satisfactory internal consistency and a good applicability of the instrument in the country.

2.2.3. Adaptive skills

To assess adaptive skills we used Adaptive Behavior Assessment System (ABAS-II) [32] (Parent Rating, Ages 5–21, 211 items). The questionnaire provides a comprehensive, norm-referenced assessment of the adaptive behavior of individuals from birth to age 89. It incorporates current American Association of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities guidelines by providing composite norms for three general areas of adaptive behavior: Conceptual composite score (CCS), Social composite score (SCS) and Practical composite score (PCS). The questionnaire also provides a General Adaptive Composite score (GAC), which summarizes performance across all skill areas.

ABAS-II was standardized and validated for Italian population, showing high internal consistency, good levels of reliability and convergent and clinical validity.

Parents who completed checklists and questionnaires were 15 mothers and 6 fathers for ASD group, 11 mothers and 4 fathers for PKU group, 12 mothers and 2 fathers for CG.

2.3. Data analysis

We used SPSS 20.0 to analyze the data. We chose the Median Test [87–89], a non-parametric test useful with scale and ordinal data, for all comparisons among groups. SPSS provides pairwise comparisons when differences among groups are significant. We chose a non-parametric test because the distribution of the variables in the population is unknown.

Subtests Auditory Attention, Response Set, Clocks, Inhibition-error and Switching-error from NEPSY-II provide scores in percentile groups, which we transformed into ordinal ranks (rank 7 = above 75th percentile; rank 6: 51th - 75th percentile; rank 5: 26th - 50th percentile; rank 4: 11th - 25th percentile; rank 3: 6th - 10th percentile; rank 2: 2nd - 5th percentile; rank 1: below 2nd percentile). The other subtests from NEPSY-II provide scaled scores. The values we analyzed from CBCL and ABAS-II were respectively T scores and composite scores.

3. Results

In Table 3 we reported median, minimum and maximum scores of EF assessment in the three groups.

Median test detected significant differences (p < .05) in executive functioning among the groups for the following NEPSY subtests: Design Fluency (test statistic = 12.43; grand median = 8.00; p = .002), Response Set (test statistic = 10.69; grand median = 4.00; p = .005), Inhibition-error (test statistic = 6.40; grand median = 4.00; p = .041), Switching-error (test statistic = 8.51; grand median = 5.00; asymptotic p = .014), Switching-combined (test statistic = 10.59; grand median = 8.00; p = .005).

Individuals with PKU showed a weaker performance than controls in inhibition and cognitive flexibility, as pairwise comparisons revealed: the differences were significant in Design Fluency (adj. p = .005), Response Set (adj. p = .014), Inhibition-error (adj. p = .048), Switching-error (adj. p = .010), Switching-combined (adj. p = .005). Compared to the control group, also the performance of ASD children was impaired in some of the cognitive flexibility tasks: pairwise comparisons showed significant differences between ASD group and CG in Design Fluency (adj. p = .004) and Response Set (adj. p = .011).

There were no significant differences among groups in verbal WM (WMI: test statistic = 2.78; grand median = 97.00; p = .249), attention (Visual Attention: test statistic = 1.15; grand median = 10.00; p = .563; Auditory Attention: test statistic = 3.70; grand median = 5.00; p = .157) and planning-organization (Clocks: test statistic = 1.38; grand median = 5.00; p = .503). Also some aspects of inhibition and cognitive flexibility were comparable in CG, PKU and ASD groups: there were no significant differences in the speed component of inhibition and switching tasks (Inhibition-time: test statistic = 1.91; grand median = 10.00; p = .054), in the combined score (speed and accuracy) of inhibition (Inhibition-combined: test statistic = 4.93; grand median = 8.00; p = .085), nor in the ability of shifting among concepts and categories (Animal sorting: test statistic = 1.53; grand median = 10.00; p = .465).

Regarding the area of affective and behavioral symptoms, we analyzed general CBCL scales (internalizing, externalizing and total problem scales). Median, minimum and maximum T scores for the three groups are showed in Table 4.

Differences in internalizing (test statistic = 10.00; grand median = 58.50; p = .007), externalizing (test statistic = 8.50; grand median = 52.00; p = .014) and total problems (test statistic = 24.10; grand median = 53.00; p < .0001) were significant.

Pairwise comparisons showed that the ASD group's scores were significantly higher than the other groups' internalizing (CG-ASD adj. p = .001; PKU-ASD adj. p = .017) and total problems (CG-ASD adj. p < .0001; PKU-ASD adj. p = .017). Thus, children with ASD had more internalizing and total problems than controls and PKU. Differences in externalizing problems were only significant between ASD and controls (adj. p = .004).

Median, minimum and maximum composite scores in general and specific domains of adaptive behavior are showed in Table 5.

Children with ASD showed worse general and social adaptive skills than PKU children and controls: Median test showed significant differences among groups in GAC (test statistic = 13.68; grand median = 86.00; p = .001), CCS (test statistic = 10.97; grand median = 92.00; p = .004), SCS (test statistic = 27.94; grand median = 87.00; p < .0001), PCS (test statistic = 11.45; grand median = 81.00; p = .003). Pairwise comparisons revealed significant differences between ASD group and each of the other groups in GAC (CG-ASD adj. p = .011; PKU-ASD adj. p = .001) and SCS (CG-ASD adj. p < .0001; PKU-ASD adj. p = .003).

Pairwise comparisons detected significant differences between all pairs in PCS (CG-ASD adj. p = .011; PKU-ASD adj. p = .001; PKU-CG adj. p = .043): children with ASD's practical abilities were lower than children with PKU and controls, whereas children with PKU had better practical skills than controls. CCS pairwise comparisons showed no significant results (p > .05): the differences between pairs did not reach statistical significance for conceptual adaptation. All adjusted significance levels for pairwise comparisons are reported in Table 6.

4. Discussion

We compared EF, adaptive, emotional and behavioral functioning in participants with ASD without accompanying intellectual impairment, early treated PKU and controls.

Our results confirm the inhibition and cognitive flexibility impairment in children and adolescents with PKU [52,60,67] and the cognitive flexibility weakness in ASD [20–24], highlighting a common EF dysfunction in ASD and PKU. Verbal WM was intact in both clinical groups. This evidence supports the hypothesis that verbal WM is not impaired in these groups, consistent with previous results on ASD [25,26], but inconsistent with the conclusions of other studies on ASD [23] and PKU children [52]. Janos and colleagues [52] used different WM measures and PKU children's performance was impaired in the

Table 3

Clinical results of all samples across execut	tive functioning domains and	d tests of significance amon	g the three groups

EF domain	Test	ASD (Median min-max)	PKU (Median min-max)	CG (Median min-max)	Median test sig.
Verbal working memory	Working memory index ^a	94	94	103	0.249
		(61–151)	(79–130)	(79–136)	
Inhibition	Inhibition combined ^b	7	7	10	0.085
		(2–14)	(3-11)	(6–14)	
	Inhibition total error ^c	4 [11th - 25th perc.]	4 [11th - 25th perc.]	5.50 [26th-50th - 51 th-75th perc.]	0.041*
		(1–7)	(1–7)	(3–7)	
	Inhibition total completion time ^b	10	7	11.50	0.384
		(1 - 13)	(1-13)	(6–15)	
Cognitive flexibility	Design fluency ^b	7	8	11	0.002**
		(4–15)	(4–12)	(8–15)	
	Switching combined ^b	7	7	10	0.005**
	-	(2–15)	(1 - 10)	(6–15)	
	Switching total error ^c	4 [11th - 25th perc.]	4 [11th - 25th perc.]	6 [51 th -75th perc.]	0.014*
		(1–7)	(1–7)	(3–7)	
	Switching total completion time ^b	10	9	11	0.054
		(2–16)	(1-13)	(2–14)	
	Response set ^c	3 [6th - 10th perc.]	4 [11th - 25th perc.]	6 [51 th -75th perc.]	0.005**
	-	(1–7)	(1-6)	(3–7)	
	Animal sorting ^b	9	10	10	0.465
	C C	(5–19)	(5–19)	(7–14)	
Planning	Clocks ^c	5 [26th-50th perc.]	5 [26th-50th perc.]	6 [51 th-75th perc.]	0.503
		(2–7)	(3–7)	(2–7)	
Attention	Visual attention ^b	9	10	10.50	0.563
		(1 - 12)	(2-14)	(3–14)	
	Auditory attention ^c	5 [26th-50th perc.]	5 [26th-50th perc.]	5 [26th–50th perc.]	0.157
		(1-6)	(1–6)	(3–6)	

Abbreviations: EF = executive functions; ASD = autism spectrum disorder; PKU = phenylketonuria; CG = control group; perc. = percentile.

^a Composite scores: mean = 100, standard deviation = 15.

^b Scaled scores: mean = 10, standard deviation = 3.

^c Ordinal ranks from percentile groups (rank 7: above 75th percentile; rank 6: 51th - 75th percentile; rank 5: 26th - 50th percentile; rank 4: 11th - 25th percentile; rank 3: 6th - 10th percentile; rank 2: 2nd - 5th percentile; rank 1: below 2nd percentile): above average = rank 7; average scores = ranks 5 and 6; borderline scores = rank 4; below average = ranks 1,2,3.

* = p < .05

** = p < .01

Table 4

Clinical results of child behavior checklist general scales and tests of significance among the three groups.

CBCL scales (T score)	ASD (Median min-max)	PKU (Median min-max)	CG (Median min-max)	Median test sig.
Internalizing problems Externalizing problems Total problems	67 (52–82) 58 (33–70) 65 (50–77)	52 (43–70) 50 (41–74) 50 (38–76)	50 (39–65) 45 (33–60) 47.50 (36–55)	0.007** 0.014* < 0.0001**

Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder; PKU = phenylketonuria; CG = control group.

** = p < .01

more demanding task (2-back task). Differently, we used WMI, a comprehensive score, based on digit span and letter-number sequencing tasks. This difference may imply that children with PKU show deficits in WM as the task becomes more complex, whereas their performance can appear typical in less demanding tasks.

This study did not confirm the deficit in planning and attention found by other researchers in ASD [19,20,27,28] and PKU [53,65,66]. Differences could be partially due to the heterogeneity of instruments used to evaluate EF: the test used in this study (Clocks) assesses not only the ability of organization and planning but also visuo-perceptual and visuospatial skills, whereas most studies used Tower of London or Tower of Hanoi, which require a more strategic type of planning involving also problem-solving skills, not evaluated in Clocks. Inhibition was also spared in our ASD sample. Previous data on this skill are not

Table 5		
ABAS-II composite scores and	tests of significance among the three	groups.

ABAS-II (domains)	ASD (Median min-max)	PKU (Median min-max)	CG (Median min-max)	Median test sig.
General adaptive composite	66 (54–93)	90 (53–114)	89 (75–120)	0.001**
Conceptual composite score	80 (48–101)	101 (55–114)	96.50 (81–117)	0.004**
Social composite score	70 (60–96)	98 (68–114)	97.50 (72–120)	< 0.0001**
Practical composite score	62 (44–96)	91 (48–111)	82 (70–116)	0.003**

Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder; PKU = phenylketonuria; CG = control group.

** = p < 01

univocal: some authors found typical performance in inhibiting prepotent responses [17,18], while others detected deficits [19,23]. These discrepancies may be related to the different instruments used and to non-overlapping age ranges.

Children in ASD group showed significant difficulties in adaptive and emotional functioning: general, social and practical adaptive problems, internalizing and total number of difficulties of this group were significantly higher than those reported for participants with PKU and controls. ASD group also differed significantly from controls in externalizing problems. This result confirms the presence of adaptive behavior impairments in children with ASD, widely reported [30]. The occurrence of severe emotional problems in children with ASD has been well-established [39–41], as well as the coexistence of EF and

^{* =} p < .05

Table 6

Comparison of executive functioning, emotional, behavioral and adaptive profiles among participants with ASD, PKU and CG. Adjusted significance levels of pairwise comparisons between groups (pairwise comparisons were only calculated for the variables in which the median test showed a significant difference among the three groups of the sample).

Executive functions ^a	ASD-PKU	ASD-CG	PKU-CG
Design fluency Response set Inhibition-error Switching-error Switching-combined	p = 1.000 p = .273 p = 1.000 p = 1.000 p = 1.000 p = 1.000	1	$p = .005^{**}$ $p = .014^{*}$ $p = .048^{*}$ $p = .010^{*}$ $p = .005^{**}$
Behavioral/emotional symptoms	ASD-PKU	ASD-CG	PKU-CG
Internalizing problems Externalizing problems Total problems	$p = .017^*$ P = .273 $p = .017^*$	$p = .001^{**}$ $p = .004^{**}$ $p < .0001^{**}$	p = 1.000 p = 1.000 p = 1.000
Adaptive behavior	ASD-PKU	ASD-CG	PKU-CG
General adaptive composite Conceptual composite score Social composite score Practical composite score	$p = .001^{**}$ P = .054 $p = .003^{**}$ $p = .001^{**}$	1	p = 1.000 p = 1.000 p = 1.000 $p = .043^*$

Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder; PKU = phenylketonuria; CG = control group.

* = p < .05

** = p < .01

^a In order to control the familywise type I error, adjusted *p*-values are calculated and used to make the decision for each pair. For pair (j, k), $H_{0,jk}$ was rejected at level α if $p_{adj,jk} < \alpha$. The adjusted *p*-values were calculated the following way: the *p*-value for each of the pairwise hypotheses was calculated and then the adjusted *p*-value was calculated as $p_{adi} = pK(K - 1)/2$.

emotional problems in older participants [42]. Previous studies reported concomitant EF deficits and internalizing symptoms in children and young adults with PKU [70,75], but internalizing symptoms were not found in our study. The absence of behavioral and emotional symptoms in PKU group is consistent with the results of a number of previous studies on children and adolescents with PKU [71,72]. These discrepancies may be due to the quality of dietary control in different samples, to the different age range of enrolled participants and to the use of different instruments.

EF play a pivotal role in the development of adaptive and emotional domains [37]. However, we did not detect impairments in the adaptive domains of PKU participants, notwithstanding a more widespread deficit in EF. These results suggest that ASD clinical profile rises from a complex interaction among multiple functions which cannot be reduced to EF deficits. The overlap of specific EF impairments between the two clinical groups is in line with the findings of previous research that compared different chronic disorders affecting individuals early in life [79,80]. This finding supports the hypothesis that EF deficits may be considered a common marker in developmental disorders [79].

The main limitations of this study are the cross-sectional design and the small sample size, which may affect the generalizability of the results. Future studies with larger sample size may plan age stratification, in order to analyze patterns of EF deficits in different age groups (i.e. children, adolescents, adults), or a longitudinal design with follow-ups at specific time points (from preschool until early adult age).

Another limitation is the absence of a measure of inhibition of irrelevant distractors: the inhibition task we used mainly evaluates inhibition of prepotent responses. The comparison between the results of both types of task may have added information on the cognitive profile of the two clinical groups.

Moreover, we did not evaluate controls' IQs assuming they were in the normal range. EF are indeed a subset of higher order cognitive functions and their domains only partially overlap with domains explored by conventional (multidimensional) IQ measurements [90]. General intelligence seems to show a strong relation with WM, but not with shifting and inhibition [91] and WM was not significantly different among groups in our study.

One of the major strengths is the use of a neuropsychological battery (NEPSY-II) designed for children, instead of being adapted from instruments for adults. Additionally, the comparison between ASD and PKU, yet to be explored, makes a contribution to the research field that investigates the nature of EF by comparing different neurodevelopmental disorders.

This work suggests two different patterns of impairments in ASD and PKU groups evaluated: both showed impairments on measures of EF (cognitive flexibility in ASD, inhibition and cognitive flexibility in PKU), but only the first group also showed emotional and adaptive difficulties, as compared to the CG group. More research is needed to confirm these findings, possibly with larger samples, multiple age groups, sensitive and varied neuropsychological instruments.

These results support a relative independence of adaptive and emotional behavioral difficulties from difficulties of executive functions and suggest that other dysfunctions might contribute to the multidimensional phenotype of individuals with ASD.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th ed., (2013) Washington, DC: Author.
- [2] H. Tager-Flusberg, Evaluating the theory-of-mind hypothesis of autism, Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 16 (2007) 311–315.
- [3] F.G.E. Happé, R. Booth, The power of the positive: revisiting weak coherence in autism spectrum disorders, Q. J. Exp. Psychol. 61 (2008) 50–63.
- [4] A.R. Damasio, R.G. Maurer, A neurological model for childhood autism, Arch. Neurol. 35 (12) (1978) 777–786.
- [5] B.F. Pennington, S. Ozonoff, Executive functions and developmental psychopathology, J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry 37 (1) (1996) 51–87.
- [6] D.C. Delis, Delis Rating of Executive Functions, Pearson, Bloomington, MN, 2012.
- [7] N.P. Friedman, B.C. Haberstick, E.G. Willcutt, A. Miyake, S.E. Young, R.P. Corley, J.K. Hewitt, Greater attention problems during childhood predict poorer executive functioning in late adolescence, Psychol. Sci. 18 (2007) 893–900.
- [8] G.A. Gioia, P.K. Isquith, S.C. Guy, L. Kenworthy, Test review behavior rating inventory of executive function, Child Neuropsychology 6 (3) (2000) 235–238, https://doi.org/10.1076/chin.6.3.235.3152.
- [9] A. Miyake, N.P. Friedman, M.J. Emerson, A.H. Witzki, A. Howerter, T.D. Wager, The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex "frontal lobe" tasks: a latent variable analysis, Cogn. Psychol. 41 (2000) 49–100.
- [10] J. Lehto, P. Juujärvi, L. Kooistra, L. Pulkkinen, Dimensions of executive functioning: evidence from children, Br. J. Dev. Psychol. 21 (1) (2003) 59–80, https://doi.org/ 10.1348/026151003321164627.
- [11] A. Diamond, Executive functions, Annu. Rev. Psychol. 64 (1) (2013) 135–168, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750.
- [12] A. Collins, E. Koechlin, Reasoning, learning, and creativity: frontal lobe function and human decision-making, PLoS Biol. 10 (3) (2012) e1001293, https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001293.
- [13] L. Lunt, J. Bramham, R. Morris, P. Bullock, R. Selway, K. Xenitidis, A. David, Prefrontal cortex dysfunction and 'jumping to conclusions': bias or deficit? J. Neuropsychol. 6 (1) (2012) 65–78, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-6653.2011. 02005.x.
- [14] A. Ardila, Is "self-consciousness" equivalent to "executive function?", Psychol. Neurosci. 9 (2) (2016) 215–220, https://doi.org/10.1037/pne0000052.
- [15] J. Best, P. Miller, A developmental perspective on executive function, Child Dev. 81

(6) (2010) 1641–1660, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01499.x.

- [16] C. Romine, C. Reynolds, A model of the development of frontal lobe functioning: findings from a meta-analysis, Appl. Neuropsychol. 12 (4) (2005) 190–201, https:// doi.org/10.1207/s15324826an1204_2.
- [17] S.E. Christ, L.E. Kester, K.E. Bodner, J.H. Miles, Evidence for selective inhibitory impairment in individuals with autism spectrum disorder, Neuropsychology 25 (6) (2011) 690–701, https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024256.
- [18] N.C. Adams, C. Jarrold, Inhibition in autism: children with autism have difficulty inhibiting irrelevant distractors but not prepotent responses, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 42 (6) (2012) 1052–1063, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1345-3.
- [19] S. Robinson, L. Goddard, B. Dritschel, Wisley, M., & Howlin, P., Executive functions in children with autism spectrum disorders, Brain Cogn. 71 (2009) 362–368, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2009.06.007.
- [20] S. Ozonoff, J. Jensen, Specific executive function profiles in three neurodevelopmental disorders, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 29 (2) (1999) 171–177, https://doi.org/ 10.1023/A:1023052913110.
- [21] B.E. Yerys, G.L. Wallace, B. Harrison, M.J. Celano, J.N. Giedd, L.E. Kenworthy, Set shifting in children with autism spectrum disorders: reversal shifting deficits on the intradimensional/extradimensional shift test correlate with repetitive behaviors, Autism 13 (5) (2009) 523–538, https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361309335716.
- [22] P. Reed, J. McCarthy, Cross-modal attention-switching is impaired in autism spectrum disorders, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 42 (6) (2012) 947–953, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10803-011-1324-8.
- [23] J. Merchán-Naranjo, L. Boada, A. del Rey-Mejias, M. Mayoral, C. Llorente, C. Arango, M. Parellada, Executive function is affected in autism spectrum disorder, but does not correlate with intelligence, Revista de Psiquiatria y Salud Mental 9 (1) (2016) 39–50, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpsm.2015.10.005.
- [24] M.C. Goldberg, S.H. Mostofsky, L.E. Cutting, E.M. Mahone, B.C. Astor, M.B. Denckla, R.J. Landa, Subtle executive impairment in children with autism and children with ADHD, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 35 (3) (2005) 279–293, https://doi. org/10.1007/s10803-005-3291-4.
- [25] D.L. Williams, G. Goldstein, P.A. Carpenter, N.J. Minshew, Verbal and spatial working memory in autism, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 35 (6) (2005) 747–756, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10803-005-0021-x.
- [26] J. Cui, D. Gao, Y. Chen, X. Zou, Y. Wang, Working memory in early-school-age children with Asperger's syndrome, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 40 (8) (2010) 958–967, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0943-9.
- [27] B. Corbett, L. Constantine, R. Hendren, D. Rocke, S. Ozonoff, Examining executive functioning in children with autism spectrum disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and typical development, Psychiatry Res. 166 (2–3) (2009) 210–222.
- [28] H. Gomarus, A. Wijers, R. Minderaa, M. Althaus, ERP correlates of selective attention and working memory capacities in children with ADHD and/or PDD-NOS, Clin. Neurophysiol. 120 (1) (2009) 60–72.
- [29] K. Johnson, I. Robertson, S. Kelly, T. Silk, E. Barry, A. Dáibhis, A. Watchorn, M. Keavey, M. Fitzgerald, L. Gallagher, M. Gill, M. Bellgrove, Dissociation in performance of children with ADHD and high-functioning autism on a task of sustained attention, Neuropsychologia 45 (10) (2007) 2234–2245.
- [30] S. Kanne, A. Gerber, L. Quirmbach, S. Sparrow, D. Cicchetti, C. Saulnier, The role of adaptive behavior in autism spectrum disorders: implications for functional outcome, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 41 (8) (2011) 1007–1018, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10803-010-1126-4.
- [31] K. Nihira, H. Leland, N. Lambert, Adaptive-Behavior Scale—Residential and Community Examiner's Manual. 2nd ed., (1993) (Pro-Ed).
- [32] Harrison, P., & Oakland, T. (2003). ABAS-II Adaptive Behavior Assessment System (2nd ed.). Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services. Italian Eds. R. Ferri, A. Orsini & M. Rea, Giunti O.S. Organizzazioni Speciali, Florence 2014.
- [33] E. Diekhof, P. Falkai, O. Gruber, Functional interactions guiding adaptive processing of behavioral significance, Hum. Brain Mapp. 30 (10) (2009) 3325–3331, https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20754.
- [34] G. Schoenbaum, M. Roesch, T. Stalnaker, Y. Takahashi, A new perspective on the role of the orbitofrontal cortex in adaptive behaviour, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10 (12) (2009) 885–892, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2753.
- [35] E. Billstedt, C. Gillberg, C. Gillberg, Autism after adolescence: population-based 13to 22-year follow-up study of 120 individuals with autism diagnosed in childhood, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 35 (3) (2005) 351–360, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-005-3302-5.
- [36] L.C. Eaves, H.H. Ho, Young adult outcome of autism spectrum disorders, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 38 (4) (2008) 739–747, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-007-0441-x.
- [37] E. Pellicano, The development of executive function in autism, Autism Res. Treat. 2012 (2012) 1–8 doi:10.1155/2012/146132.
- [38] S. Panerai, D. Tasca, R. Ferri, V. Genitori D'Arrigo, M. Elia, Executive functions and adaptive behaviour in autism spectrum disorders with and without intellectual disability, Psychiatr. J. 2014 (2014) 1–11 doi:10.1155/2014/941809.
- [39] V. Pandolfi, C.I. Magyar, C.A. Dill, An initial psychometric evaluation of the CBCL 6-18 in a sample of youth with autism spectrum disorders, Res. Autism Spectr. Disord. 6 (1) (2012) 96–108, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.03.009.
- [40] M. Maskey, F. Warnell, J.R. Parr, A. Le Couteur, H. McConachie, Emotional and behavioural problems in children with autism Spectrum disorder, J. Autism Dev. Disord. 43 (4) (2013) 851–859, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-012-1622-9.
- [41] T. Charman, J. Ricketts, J.E. Dockrell, G. Lindsay, O. Palikara, Emotional and behavioural problems in children with language impairments and children with autism spectrum disorders, Int. J. Lang. Commun. Disord. 50 (1) (2015) 84–93, https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12116.
- [42] M. Cederlund, B. Hagberg, C. Gillberg, Asperger syndrome in adolescent and young adult males. Interview, self - and parent assessment of social, emotional, and

cognitive problems, Res. Dev. Disabil. 31 (2) (2010) 287-298, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ridd.2009.09.006.

- [43] M. Hollocks, C. Jones, A. Pickles, G. Baird, F. Happé, T. Charman, E. Simonoff, The association between social cognition and executive functioning and symptoms of anxiety and depression in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders, Autism Res. 7 (2) (2014) 216–228, https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1361.
- [44] S.J. Bishop, Neurocognitive mechanisms of anxiety: an integrative account, Trends Cogn. Sci. 11 (2007) 307–316.
- [45] N. Derakshan, S. Smyth, M.W. Eysenck, Effects of state anxiety on performance using a task-switching paradigm: an investigation of attentional control theory, Psychon. Bull. Rev. 16 (2009) 1112–1117.
- [46] J.Y. Lau, K. Hilbert, R. Goodman, A.M. Gregory, D.S. Pine, ... T.C. Eley, Investigating the genetic and environmental bases of biases in threat recognition and avoidance in children with anxiety problems, Biol. Mood Anxiety Disord. 2 (2012) 12.
- [47] A. Waters, J. Henry, K. Mogg, B.P. Bradley, D.S. Pine, Attentional bias towards angry faces in childhood anxiety disorders, J. Behav. Ther. Exp. Psychiatry 41 (2010) 158–164.
- [48] D. Derryberry, M.A. Reed, Anxiety-related attentional biases and their regulation by attentional control, J. Abnorm. Psychol. 111 (2) (2002) 225.
- [49] N. Derakshan, T. Ansari, M. Hansard, L. Shoker, M.W. Eysenck, Anxiety, inhibition, efficiency, and effectiveness: an investigation using the antisaccade task, Exp. Psychol. 56 (2009) 48–55.
- [50] S.C. Huijbregts, L.M. de Sonneville, R. Licht, F.J. van Spronsen, J.A. Sergeant, Shortterm dietary interventions in children and adolescents with treated phenylketonuria: effects on neuropsychological outcome of a well-controlled population, Inherit. Metab. Dis. 25 (6) (2002) 419–430, https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1021205713674.
- [51] D. White, M. Nortz, T. Mandernach, K. Huntington, R. Steiner, Age-related working memory impairments in children with prefrontal dysfunction associated with phenylketonuria, J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 8 (01) (2002), https://doi.org/10. 1017/s135561770102001x.
- [52] A. Janos, D. Grange, R. Steiner, D. White, Processing speed and executive abilities in children with phenylketonuria, Neuropsychology 26 (6) (2012) 735–743, https:// doi.org/10.1037/a0029419.
- [53] V. Leuzzi, D. Mannarelli, F. Manti, C. Pauletti, N. Locuratolo, ... F. Fattapposta, Agerelated psychophysiological vulnerability to phenylalanine in phenylketonuria, Front. Pediatr. 2 (57) (2014), https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2014.00057.
- [54] F. Nardecchia, F. Manti, F. Chiarotti, C. Carducci, C. Carducci, V. Leuzzi, Neurocognitive and neuroimaging outcome of early treated young adult PKU patients: a longitudinal study, Mol. Genet. Metab. 115 (2–3) (2015) 84–90.
 [55] L. Palermo, T. Geberhiwot, A. MacDonald, E. Limback, S. Hall, C. Romani,
- [55] L. Palermo, T. Geberhiwot, A. MacDonald, E. Limback, S. Hall, C. Romani, Cognitive outcomes in early-treated adults with phenylketonuria (PKU): a comprehensive picture across domains, Neuropsychology 31 (3) (2017) 255–267.
- [56] C. Romani, L. Palermo, A. MacDonald, E. Limback, S. Hall, T. Geberhiwot, The impact of phenylalanine levels on cognitive outcomes in adults with phenylketonuria: effects across tasks and developmental stages, Neuropsychology 31 (3) (2017) 242–254.
- [57] A. Diamond, M.B. Prevor, G. Callender, D.P. Druin, Prefrontal cortex cognitive deficits in children treated early and continuously for PKU, Monogr. Soc. Res. Child Dev. 62 (4) (1997) 1–208, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5834.2003.06803007.x i.v.
- [58] N. Blau, F.J. van Spronsen, H.L. Levy, Phenylketonuria, Lancet 376 (9750) (2010) 1417–1427, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)60961-0.
- [59] J.E. Sullivan, P. Chang, Review: emotional and behavioral functioning in phenylketonuria, J. Pediatr. Psychol. 24 (3) (1999) 281–299, https://doi.org/10.1093/ jpepsy/24.3.281.
- [60] S. Huijbregts, L. de Sonneville, R. Licht, J. Sergeant, F. van Spronsen, Inhibition of Prepotent responding and attentional flexibility in treated phenylketonuria, Dev. Neuropsychol. 22 (2) (2002) 481–499, https://doi.org/10.1207/ s15326942dn2202_4.
- [61] V.L. Brumm, D. Bilder, S.E. Waisbren, Psychiatric symptoms and disorders in phenylketonuria, Mol. Genet. Metab. 99 (2010) S59–S63, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ymgme.2009.10.182.
- [62] S.E. Christ, S.C. Huijbregts, L.M. de Sonneville, D.A. White, Executive function in early-treated phenylketonuria: profile and underlying mechanisms, Mol. Genet. Metab. 99 (Suppl. 1) (2010) S22–S32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2009.10. 007.
- [63] D.A. Bilder, B.K. Burton, H. Coon, L. Leviton, J. Ashworth, B.D. Lundy, ... N. Longo, Psychiatric symptoms in adults with phenylketonuria, Mol. Genet. Metab. 108 (3) (2013) 155–160, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2012.12.006.
- [64] F. Manti, F. Nardecchia, S. Paci, F. Chiarotti, C. Carducci, C. Carducci, ...Leuzzi, V., Predictability and inconsistencies in the cognitive outcome of early treated PKU patients, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 40 (6) (2017) 793–799, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10545-017-0082-y.
- [65] J. Weglage, M. Pietsch, B. Funders, H. Koch, K. Ullrich, Deficits in selective and sustained attention processes in early treated children with phenylketonuria – result of impaired frontal lobe functions? Eur. J. Pediatr. 155 (1996) 200–204.
- [66] V. Leuzzi, M. Pansini, E. Sechi, F. Chiarotti, C. Carducci, G. Levi, I. Antonozzi, Executive function impairment in early-treated PKU subjects with normal mental development, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 27 (2) (2004) 115–125, https://doi.org/10. 1023/B:BOLI.0000028781.94251.1f.
- [67] K. VanZutphen, W. Packman, L. Sporri, M. Needham, C. Morgan, K. Weisiger, S. Packman, Executive functioning in children and adolescents with phenylketonuria, Clin. Genet. 72 (1) (2007) 13–18, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004. 2007.00816.x.

- [68] I. Smith, M.G. Beasley, O.H. Wolff, A.E. Ades, Behavior disturbance in 8-year-old children with early treated phenylketonuria: report from the MRC/DHSS phenylketonuria register, J. Pediatr. 112 (3) (1988) 403–408, https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-3476(88)80320-2.
- [69] J. Weglage, M. Grenzebach, M. Pietsch, R. Feldmann, R. Linnenbank, ... H.G. Koch, Behavioural and emotional problems in early-treated adolescents with phenylketonuria in comparison with diabetic patients and healthy controls, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 23 (5) (2000) 487–496.
- [70] F. Manti, F. Nardecchia, F. Chiarotti, C. Carducci, C. Carducci, V. Leuzzi, Psychiatric disorders in adolescent and young adult patients with phenylketonuria, Mol. Genet. Metab. 117 (1) (2016) 12–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2015.11.006.
- [71] P. Griffiths, M. Tarrini, M. Robinson, Executive function and psychosocial adjustment in children with early treated phenylketonuria: correlation with historical and concurrent phenylalanine level, J. Intellect. Disabil. Res. 41 (1997) 317–323.
- [72] M.A. Landolt, J.M. Nuoffer, B. Steinmann, A. Superti-Furga, Quality of life and psychologic adjustment in children and adolescents with early treated phenylketonuria can be normal, J. Pediatr. 140 (5) (2002) 516–521.
- [73] S.E. Waisbren, J. He, R. McCarter, Assessing psychological functioning in metabolic disorders: validation of the adaptive behavior assessment system, second edition (ABAS-II), and the behavior rating inventory of executive function (BRIEF) for identification of individuals at risk, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. Rep. 21 (2015) 35–43 doi: 10.1007/8904_2014_373.
- [74] R. Jahja, F.J. van Spronsen, L.M. de Sonneville, J.J. van der Meere, A.M. Bosch, C.E. Hollak, ... S.C. Huijbregts, Social-cognitive functioning and social skills in patients with early treated phenylketonuria: a PKU-COBESO study, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 39 (3) (2016) 355–362, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10545-016-9918-0.
- [75] S. Cappelletti, G. Cotugno, B. Goffredo, R. Nicolò, S. Bernabei, S. Caviglia, V. Di Ciommo, Cognitive findings and behavior in children and adolescents with phenylketonuria, J. Dev. Behav. Pediatr. 34 (6) (2013) 392–398, https://doi.org/10. 1097/dbp.0b013e31829a79ef.
- [76] G.A. Jervis, Phenylpyruvic oligophrenia deficiency of phenylalanine-oxidizing system, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 82 (3) (1953) 514–515.
- [77] R. Koch, K. Fishler, S. Schild, N. Ragsdale, Clinical aspects of phenylketonuria, Ment. Retard. 2 (1964) 47–54.
- [78] I.M. Steisel, C.J. Friedman, A.C. Wood Jr., Interaction patterns in children with phenylketonuria, J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 31 (2) (1967) 162–168.
- [79] P. Bisiacchi, G. Mento, V. Tarantino, A. Burlina, Subclinical executive function impairment in children with asymptomatic, treated phenylketonuria: a comparison

with children with immunodeficiency virus, Cogn. Neuropsychol. 35 (3-4) (2018) 200-208, https://doi.org/10.1080/02643294.2017.1396207.

- [80] M. Stevenson, N. McNaughton, A comparison of phenylketonuria with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: do markedly different aetiologies deliver common phenotypes? Brain Res. Bull. 99 (2013) 63–83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. brainresbull.2013.10.003.
- [81] J.A. Gray, Précis of the neuropsychology of anxiety: an enquiry into the functions of the septo-hippocampal system, Behav. Brain Sci. 5 (3) (1982) 469–484, https://doi. org/10.1017/s0140525x00013066.
- [82] Wechsler, D. (2008). The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (4th ed.). San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation. Eds. A. Orsini, L. Pezzuti & L. Picone, Giunti O.S. Organizzazioni Speciali, Florence, 2012.
- [83] Lord, C., Rutter, M., DiLavore, P.C., Risi, S., Luyster, R.J., Gotham, K., Bishop, S.L. & Guthrie, W. (2012). Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (2nd edition). Los Angeles, CA: Western psychological services Italian edition: C. Colombi, R. Tancredi, A. Persico, R. Faggioli Eds., Hogrefe, Firenze, 2013.
- [84] Korkman, M., Kemp, S., & Kirk, U. (2007). NEPSY-II. San Antonio, TX: Pearson. Italian Eds. C. Urgesi, F. Campanella, & F. Fabbro, Giunti O.S. Organizzazioni Speciali, Florence, 2011.
- [85] T.M. Achenbach, L.A. Rescorla, Manual for the ASEBA School-age Forms & Profiles, University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families, Burlington, VT, 2001.
- [86] A. Frigerio, C. Cattaneo, M.G. Cataldo, A. Schiatti, M. Molteni, M. Battaglia, Behavioral and emotional problems among Italian children and adolescents aged 4 to 18 years as reported by parents and teachers, Eur. J. Psychol. Assess. 20 (2004) 124–133.
- [87] G.W. Corder, D.I. Foreman, Nonparametric Statistics: A Step-by-Step Approach, Wiley, 2014.
- [88] M. Hollander, D.A. Wolfe, Nonparametric Statistical Methods, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1973.
- [89] S. Siegel, N.J. Castellan Jr., Nonparametric Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences, 2nd ed., McGraw–Hill, New York, 1988.
- [90] N.P. Friedman, A. Miyake, Unity and diversity of executive functions: individual differences as a window on cognitive structure, Cortex 86 (2017) 186–204, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.04.023.
- [91] N.P. Friedman, A. Miyake, R.P. Corley, S.E. Young, J.C. DeFries, J.K. Hewitt, Not all executive functions are related to intelligence, Psychol. Sci. 17 (2006) 172–179.