

RESEARCH

Open Access



Prevention and control of mosquito-borne arboviral diseases: lessons learned from a school-based intervention in Brazil (Zikamob)

Silvana Santos^{1*}, Roberta Smania-Marques², Victor Alves Albino¹, Izabelly Dutra Fernandes^{1,2,3}, Francisco Fernandes Abel Manguera¹, Ruy Alberto Pisani Altafim⁴, Ricardo Olinda⁵, Matt Smith⁶ and John Traxler⁶

Abstract

Background: Since the 1980s, when dengue was reintroduced in Brazil, outbreaks and epidemics caused by different arbovirus strains transmitted by vector mosquitoes such as *Aedes aegypti* have been an annual occurrence. The aim of this study was to evaluate the behavioural change of high school students and teachers who participated in an educational intervention for the prevention and vector control of arboviral diseases.

Methods: In this school-based intervention, a self-reported questionnaire was used in a pre-post intervention methodology to assess environmental risk factors, sociodemographic variables and to measure attitudes and behaviours. In all, 883 high school students and teachers from the city of Campina Grande, in the state of Paraíba, northeastern Brazil, participated. The e-health intervention consisted of a competition between schools to comply with preventive actions via content production for social networks, and the monitoring was performed over a period of three months through the ZikaMob software developed by the researchers.

Results: Out of the 883 survey participants, 690 were students ranging in age from 14 to 41 years, with an average of 17 ± 2 years; and 193 were teachers from 22 to 64 years old, averaging 38 ± 9 years. The analysis of the data shows that significant differences in most of the target behaviours were apparent after the intervention, with an increase of about 10% in the performance of inspection actions; a 7% greater separation of recyclables and a 40% increase in the screening of windows among students. Students showed lower fear of, and a lower self-perception of the risk of, acquiring arboviruses than teachers on average.

Conclusions: ZikaMob is an innovative strategy with the potential to be replicated in any location that has an internet network and can involve an unlimited number of participants.

Keywords: Arbovirus, Health Education, Public Health, Behaviour Change Theories

Background

Since the 1980s, when dengue was reintroduced in Brazil, outbreaks and epidemics caused by different arbovirus strains transmitted by vector mosquitoes such as *Aedes aegypti* (*A.e*) have been an annual occurrence [1]. Since 2010, it has been estimated that there have been over a

*Correspondence: silvanaip@gmail.com; silvanasantos@servidor.uepb.edu.br

¹ Public Health Programme, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba - Campus I - Bodocongó, Rua das Baraúnas, s/n - Prédio da Integração Acadêmica - sala 329, Campina Grande 58490-500, Brazil

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



million cases of dengue per year, leading to hundreds of deaths [2]. Since 2015, with the introduction of the Zika and Chikungunya viruses in the country, cases have been reported of children with congenital Zika syndrome or chronic sequelae [2]. The similarity of symptoms, cross-reactivity, co-circulation and overlap of infections by different arbovirus species and strains make the differential diagnosis of these diseases difficult [1]. The main strategy for reducing the prevalence of these diseases has been vector control with larvicide application in water tanks and spatial nebulization with insecticides widely used in the control of adult specimens [3], which has contributed to the selection of resistant insect strains [4]. The development of vaccines and alternative control methods using transgenic bacteria and mosquitoes has also been reported in the literature [5, 6].

The engagement of the population in preventive actions is one of the main objectives of vector control policies, given that most of the breeding sites are located in households and on vacant land and abandoned houses [7, 8]. This engagement has been stimulated and supported by mass media advertising, the use of breeding reporting applications (eg Zikazero) [9] and environmental education by home-visiting performed by health workers [7, 10]. Unfortunately, in urban centres in Brazil, these visits often do not occur because residents are not in their homes or they do not allow health workers to enter for inspection and guidance on vector control for fear of violence [11]. In this context, the implementation of interventions in schools using digital platforms for mobile devices can be a powerful tool for the promotion of engagement by the population in preventive actions for arboviruses, and this can be directed by entomological surveillance services.

The World Health Organization has recommended grounding educational interventions in behaviour change theories [12, 13], considering the growth of evidence for their effectiveness at individual, community and population levels [14, 15]. The Health Belief Model (HBM), for example, suggests that decision-making depends on the perception of susceptibility, disease severity, benefits and barriers associated with behaviour [12, 16]. Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) describes three main factors that affect a person's likelihood of changing health behaviour: self-efficacy, goals, and outcome expectations [12, 17]. Even small changes in human behaviours can have substantial effects on population health outcomes [13].

Building on these behaviour change theories, the aim of this study was to evaluate the behavioural change of high school students and teachers who participated in a virtual educational intervention. This is the third stage of the project, "Impact of mobile learning on prevention and management of complications caused by arbovirus

(Zika, Dengue, Chikungunya) – ZikaMob," funded by the British Council and the Government of Paraíba State, in Northeastern Brazil.

Design and scenario

This is a school-based intervention in which a self-reported questionnaire was used before and after the intervention to assess environmental risk factors and sociodemographic variables, and to measure attitudes and behaviours. The theoretical and methodological foundations of the research, the software development process and the validation of the data collection instrument were described previously [18–20].

Campina Grande is the second largest city in the state of Paraíba, housing an estimated population of 409,731 in 2019, with 15,152 students and 1,732 teachers registered in high schools (IBGE). The city is in the semiarid northeastern region and experiences prolonged dry periods; for this reason, the state periodically establishes water rationing, ranging from two to four days a week, causing the population to use water tanks or buckets for water storage. From 2018, with the transposition of waters of the São Francisco River, there was a recharge of water in supply dams with a concomitant suspension of the water supply rotation. The city had high rates of arbovirus vector mosquito infestation, with an associated high risk for arbovirus outbreaks and epidemics [20].

Intervention

The intervention consisted of a competition between high schools in order to fulfill the largest number of arbovirus prevention educational activities ("missions"). A virtual platform called *ZikaMob* was established to allow the follow-up of the execution of these "missions" and the inclusion of audio-visual content published on social networks. To participate in the project, students were registered on the platform through an invitation sent to their personal email and following their guardians' signing of the consent form. Each participant had an individual password, could access the platform from any mobile device and were able to track activities virtually or via the *Zikamob* Facebook page.

The *Zikamob* platform is a virtual learning environment, like Moodle or Google Classroom, allowing the inclusion of didactic material, quizzes, questionnaires, and videos. Unlike existing platforms, on *Zikamob* participants could include the Facebook URLs of their videos to prove that they had completed the mission. By including their social media posts or answering the questionnaires on the *Zikamob* platform, the student received points. The sum of students' points was used to compose the total points for each school. The individual and

school points were counted on the platform as well as likes, shares and interactions of their social media posts.

Every two weeks, participants were given a mission and had to produce a creative video to prove their accomplishment and post it on Facebook. In all, five video missions and two questionnaires were completed during a three-month period. The first mission was to make a video inviting people to follow the Zikamob Facebook page (<https://www.facebook.com/zikamob.uepb.3>) and to engage in the activities. The second mission was to learn how to inspect the home, identify mosquito-borne arbovirus outbreaks, and how to properly eliminate them. Students had to watch a report with a health worker that explained how to complete these procedures. The third mission was to learn how to sort recyclables and donate to waste pickers, using an application called CATAKI that lets users locate waste pickers in order to match the delivery of recyclable material. In Campina Grande, like most Brazilian cities, there is no selective collection service and the trash found in the streets, backyards and open areas serves as fertile breeding areas for mosquitoes. The fourth mission was to learn how to screen windows and drains to prevent mosquitoes from entering homes. The final mission asked participants to make clean-up efforts in their backyards or vacant lots near their homes.

The ZikaMob project's Facebook page allowed the publication of calendars, warnings, and mission guidance. In schools, each class selected the top three videos, and these received extra points. Teachers were responsible for choosing the top three videos from the school for each of the missions, which also received extra points. A video representing each school in each of the five missions was posted on the ZikaMob Facebook page for the public to vote on through the awarding of likes. The three videos with the most likes for each mission were nominated for awards, for which the winners received cell phones and other awards. The observation of people taking preventive action is one of the factors that can promote desired behaviour change, according to the Social Cognitive Theory [12].

The project was initiated after approval by the Research Ethics Committee of the State University of Paraíba (Protocol CAAE 67429517.5.0000.5187) and due consent of the participants' parents or guardians was given through the signing of the informed consent form. The registration of teachers and students in the ZikaMob platform was carried out from April to June, and the intervention during the months of July to September 2019.

Evaluation Instrument

The assessment of perceptions and behaviours before and after the intervention was performed by applying a

self-reported questionnaire, containing questions with binary answers of the "yes" and "no" type. The questionnaire was organized in different sections that contained questions about socio demographic aspects, environmental, psychosocial, and behavioural factors, related to the prevention of mosquito-borne arboviruses. Each response was classified for one point if it was a preventive factor, or zero points otherwise. For example, each participant was asked whether they had covered water tanks at home. Having uncovered tanks is a risk factor because it favours the proliferation of mosquitoes; therefore, this response was classified as a risk, receiving zero points. The sum of points for each section defined a score, so that it was possible to evaluate a set of answers together. The more points, the more preventive behaviours people performed at the time the questionnaire was applied. The questionnaires were made available on the ZikaMob platform and answered by participants through their mobile devices. The validation of the questionnaire was described in the previous works [18, 19].

The *dependent variables (D)* correspond to the target behaviours of educational intervention, subdivided into two groups. To compose the **Target Behaviour Score (D)**, participants were asked whether their water reservoirs, trash cans and capped drains were covered to avoid mosquitoes' proliferation; whether their families survey reservoirs at least once a month, clean their water tanks, separate solid waste for recycling, inspect potted plants, close windows at dawn and dusk, and clean vacant lots. Each preventative behaviour performed received one point, so the score could vary from zero to eleven points. The **Breeding Identification and Elimination Score (DC)**, ranging from zero to four points, was related to the behaviours reported by participants when identifying a mosquito breeding site. The participant had to know how to eliminate it; have learnt to throw water on sunny ground to eliminate the larvae; to wash containers; inspect other places in the residence for more breeding sites; to alert neighbours to the danger and to notify the Environmental Surveillance service about a prospective danger of infestation.

The *Independent variables* were grouped into subgroups. Sociodemographic variables consisted of gender, age, and role (student or teacher). Environmental and household risk were assessed through indicators such as: access to garbage collection services; running water and whether water was lacking two or more days a week; whether the household had a yard, plants, cistern, water tank or other water reservoirs that increase the risk of breeding; whether the residence was a single-storey house or building; and whether it was owned or rented. The higher the home or environmental risk in relation

to having mosquito breeding sites, the higher the **Risk Score (R)** ranging from zero to 14 points.

The Facilitator Behaviour Score (F) refers to the fact that the participant already has some practices that may favour the accomplishment of the target behaviour, such as doing housework or taking care of potted plants or gardens. In order to compose the Perception of Prevalence Score (**P**), ranging from 0 to 5 points, two levels of knowledge were assessed: 1) that of the participant (and, by extension, their family) about mosquito-borne arboviruses, and 2) their self-perception about diagnosing these diseases. Participants were asked whether they or their family members had had Zika, Dengue or Chikungunya; whether it is possible to get dengue more than once in their life; whether all mosquitoes transmit dengue fever and whether a dengue vaccine has already been developed.

Some constructs of behaviour change theories were also assessed by answering individual questions or adding points to the overall score. These are all grouped under the **T** score but can be broken down as follows: **The Self-Efficacy Score** assesses the self-efficacy and collective efficacy constructs that reveal whether a person believes they can perform the behaviour and change the behaviour of their family and neighbours. The constructs of the Health Belief Model (**Health Belief Score**) are concerned with the perception of the susceptibility, severity, barriers, and benefits associated with behaviour change. Each positive response meant having a certain belief or attitude that could favour preventative behaviour.

Sample and Statistical Analysis

The study population was composed of all students and teachers of Campina Grande High Schools who agreed to participate in the project and signed the free and informed consent form. Out of a total of 3,681 students invited to participate voluntarily in this research, 883 (24%) students were included in this study because they answered at least one of the questionnaires used to assess attitudes, beliefs, and behaviours. Of this total, 227 participants answered the questionnaire before and after the intervention, and statistical tests were performed for paired samples to assess the change in behaviour of this group (Study A). An independent sample analysis was performed with a total of 626 participants, 364 of whom answered the questionnaire only before the intervention (Q1) and 262 who answered the questionnaire after the intervention (Q2) (Study B).

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the population profile and the frequency of each questionnaire response before and after the intervention. The normality test showed that the scores and age did not follow normal distribution, so only nonparametric tests were used

for inferential analysis. Pearson's chi-square tests were used; Wilcoxon tests were also performed where paired samples were available, and Kruskal Wallis tests where there were independent samples (SIEGEL, 2006), both using the significance level of 5% (p-value <0.05). The reliability analysis of the instrument was performed using Cronbach's alpha, a **test score reliability coefficient** for categorical variables. The analyses were performed with the aid of the R statistical software [21, 22].

In a second iteration of analysis, multivariate analysis techniques were used, adjusting the Principal Component Analysis (PCA), whose eigenvalues were greater than one ($\lambda > 1$), as suggested by Kaiser (1960), in order to identify a smaller number of variables: uncorrelated alternatives that somehow summarize the main information of the original variables. Subsequently, these main components were presented in Biplot graphs for individuals and variables with their respective confidence ellipses (with 95% reliability). Biplot is a method that represents two-dimensional multivariate data, where each observation is represented by the pair of scores of the first two main components, representing each group in their respective confidence ellipses. The PCA aimed to compare the patterns of these ellipses for the group of students and teachers, analysed before and after the intervention.

Results

Of the 883 survey participants, 690 were students ranging in age from 14 to 41 years, with an average of 17.1 ± 2.5 years; and 193 were teachers from 22 to 64 years old, averaging 38.5 ± 9.2 years. In all, 510 (58%) participants were female: 393 students and 117 teachers (Table 1). Most participants (84%) owned a single-storey house with access to running water (97%), and only 13% reported lack of water for more than two days a week. In all, 34% reported using buckets to store water, 75% had water tanks and 21% had cisterns. Access to municipal waste collection services is virtually universal (96%). Of all households, 71% have a backyard where 51% of respondents grow plants or vegetable gardens. Around 55% of participants reported having abandoned houses or vacant lots nearby and 35% reported streams or sewers nearby their houses. On 64% of the land, there was trash that could serve as a mosquito breeding ground. In all, 37% of participants had unprotected roofs (i.e., no lining), potentially allowing mosquitoes to enter through the cracks in the tiles (Table 2).

The frequencies and results of Pearson's chi-square test on household and environmental risk variables for Study A (paired sample) were shown in Table 2, comparatively before and after intervention, respectively. No significant differences were found in the paired sample,

Table 1 Descriptive analysis showing the profile of the population participating in the school-based intervention for arboviruses, performed with high school students from Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil

Participants		sex						age						
		Female		Male		Total		Average	SD	Min	Max	Median	P25	P75
		n	% valid	n	% valid	n	% valid							
Answered only Q1	Student	156	69,6%	94	67,1%	250	68,7%	16,6	1,3	14,0	22,0	17,0	16,0	17,0
	Teacher	68	30,4%	46	32,9%	114	31,3%	39,6	9,2	22,0	64,0	39,0	34,0	44,0
	Total	224	100,0%	140	100,0%	364	100,0%	23,8	11,9	14,0	64,0	17,0	16,0	33,0
Answered only Q2	Student	123	89,1%	114	91,9%	237	90,5%	18,0	3,8	14,0	41,0	17,0	16,0	18,0
	Teacher	15	10,9%	10	8,1%	25	9,5%	39,7	10,2	26,0	57,0	37,0	32,0	48,0
	Total	138	100,0%	124	100,0%	262	100,0%	20,0	8,0	14,0	57,0	17,0	16,0	19,0
Answered Q1 e Q2	Student	114	77,0%	89	81,7%	203	79,0%	16,5	1,2	14,0	21,0	16,0	16,0	17,0
	Teacher	34	23,0%	20	18,3%	54	21,0%	35,8	8,5	24,0	55,0	33,5	29,0	41,0
	Total	148	100,0%	109	100,0%	257	100,0%	20,6	8,8	14,0	55,0	17,0	16,0	18,0
Student		393	77,1%	297	79,6%	690	78,1%	17,1	2,5	14,0	41,0	17,0	16,0	18,0
Teacher		117	22,9%	76	20,4%	193	21,9%	38,5	9,2	22,0	64,0	37,5	32,0	44,0
Total		510	100,0%	373	100,0%	883	100,0%	21,7	10,1	14,0	64,0	17,0	16,0	20,0

Abbreviations: Q1 Questionnaire before the intervention, Q2 Questionnaire applied after the intervention, *n* number, *Valid%* Relative percentage of respondents, *SD* Standard deviation, *Min* Minimum value, *Max* Maximum value, *P25* 25th percentile or first quartile, *P75* 75th percentile or third quartile

indicating excellent reliability because the same participants answered identical questions before and after the intervention (Table 2). The Cronbach's alpha result for all categorical variables was 0.966, indicating excellent internal validity of the questionnaire used.

Regarding the comparison between students and teachers, a quite different pattern was found between the two groups in seven of the 14 variables. Teachers have greater financial stability and live more commonly in a well-built building and therefore have a lower risk of mosquito breeding in their homes (Table 2). In the study B (unpaired sample), more male students living in well-built buildings responded to Q2, giving rise to different risk conditions such as access to or lack of water (Supplementary Table 1).

In all, 85% of respondents reported helping with household chores; 75% assisting in the care of plants and gardens; 62% have observed mosquitoes in their homes, and 98% believe that it is important to make efforts to clean up waste land and homes to reduce the prevalence of mosquito-borne arboviruses (Table 2). Teachers do more housework and care more for plants and gardens than students do (Table 2). Results for the unpaired sample show differences in all facilitating behaviours in the student group, although the two data collection points may have different results because more men responded to Q2 (Supplementary Table 1) than had to Q1.

Of all participants, 36% said they had had dengue, zika or chikungunya. In both samples, there was a significant reduction in the self-reported prevalence of these diseases for family members after the intervention (Table 2).

In all, 11% of participants mistakenly believe that dengue infection can occur only once in a lifetime and 8% stated that there is a vaccine available for this disease. Almost all participants (97%) recognized that dengue can lead to death and 84% were afraid of acquiring one of these diseases; however most (67%) believed that their risk of infection is low because they adopt preventive measures (97%) (Table 2).

In the paired sample, there was no significant difference in these perceptions before and after the intervention, except for preventive measures. One noteworthy feature was that teachers showed greater fear of acquiring these diseases ($p < 0.001$), with significantly different perceptions of susceptibility and severity than students ($p = 0.003$) (Table 2). In the unpaired sample, there were clear differences in students' knowledge with the intervention (i.e., there was a bigger pre-post difference to that shown by teachers) and there were varying degrees of difference in relation to all constructs when comparing teachers and students, reproducing the findings of the paired sample (Supplementary Table 1).

Regarding self-efficacy, over 90% of participants believed they were able to change their behaviour, and 84% felt able to change the behaviours of their families, friends, and neighbours (Table 2). Teachers believed more than students in their ability to convince others to change their behaviour ($p = 0.037$). In the paired sample, contrastingly, after the intervention, participants reported a lower conviction in their ability to change ($p = 0.039$), although they came to believe more that they could alter the practices of relatives and neighbours

Table 2 Results of school-based intervention for arboviruses, performed in the city of Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil. Frequency and percentage for each independent variable in the total population, and in the paired sample study (Study A)

Independent VARIABLES	Total Population N=883	Study A - Paired Analysis (N= 257)												ST X TCH	
		Students (ST)						Teachers (TCH)							
		Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post				
N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	p	
Gender	883	58	114	44	114	44	1	34	13	34	13	34	13	1	0.203
		42	89	35	89	35		20	8	20	8				
R1 - Residence Type	848	82	179	73	179	70	0.23	37	15	37	15	37	14	0.519	<0.001*
		18	16	7	24	9		12	5	12	5	16	6		
R2 - Homeowner	870	16	32	13	34	13	0.754	12	5	12	5	12	5	0.959	0.141
		84	171	67	167	65		41	16	41	16	42	16		
R3 - Piped water	880	3	3	1	2	1	0.653	1	0	1	0	0	0	0.32	0.799
		97	200	78	201	79		53	21	53	21	53	21		
R4 - Lack of water for more than two days a week	872	13	19	7	22	9	0.609	6	2	6	2	5	2	0.75	0.985
		87	184	72	180	70		48	19	48	19	49	19		
R5 - Water box	879	75	154	60	136	54	0.079	49	19	49	19	50	20	0.728	<0.001*
		25	49	19	64	25		5	2	5	2	4	2		
R6 - Tank	879	21	40	16	36	14	0.628	15	6	15	6	10	4	0.254	0.309
		79	163	63	166	65		39	15	39	15	44	17		
R7 - Buckets or containers for storing water	877	66	166	65	156	61	0.221	20	8	20	8	31	12	0.042*	<0.001*
		34	37	14	47	18		33	13	33	13	23	9		
R8 - Access to garbage collection service	877	4	7	3	4	2	0.363	2	1	2	1	1	0	0.547	0.967
		96	195	76	197	77		51	20	51	20	53	21		
R9 - Household with yard	876	71	166	66	155	61	0.176	37	15	37	15	36	14	0.513	0.030*
		29	36	14	47	18		14	6	14	6	18	7		
R10 - Household with plants and vegetable garden	879	49	111	43	109	43	0.842	22	9	22	9	24	9	0.697	0.028*
		51	91	36	93	36		32	13	32	13	30	12		
R11 - Lined roof	867	37	106	42	86	34	0.036	13	5	13	5	13	5	0.912	<0.001*
		63	94	37	116	45		39	15	39	15	41	16		
R12 - Vacant land or abandoned houses near home	877	55	117	46	108	42	0.282	27	11	27	11	33	13	0.245	0.918
		45	82	32	94	37		27	11	27	11	21	8		
R13 - Garbage in the vacant lot	547	64	95	63	83	54	0.159	19	13	19	13	18	12	0.166	0.067
		36	29	19	38	25		8	5	8	5	16	10		
R14 - Streams and sewers near home	878	35	86	34	77	30	0.383	11	4	11	4	13	5	0.643	<0.001*
		65	116	45	124	49		43	17	43	17	41	16		

Table 2 (continued)

	Total Population N=883	Study A - Paired Analysis (N= 257)												ST X TCH	
		Students (ST)						Teachers (TCH)							
		Pre		Post		p		Pre		Post		p			
N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	p	p
F1 - Helps with housework	877	15		39	15	40	16	0.9	4	2	1	0	0.169	<0.001*	
		85		163	64	162	63		50	20	53	21			
F2 - Assists in the care of plants and gardens	663	30		69	33	64	32	0.842	4	2	2	1	0.434	<0.001*	
		70		100	48	97	49		34	16	34	17			
F3 - Observed mosquitoes at home	879	38		65	25	89	35	0.14	15	6	25	10	0.46	0.865	
		62		138	54	114	44		39	15	29	11			
F4 - It's important to do clean-up efforts	879	2		1	0	4	2	0.181	0	0	0	0	NC	0.248	
		98		200	79	199	77		53	21	54	21			
P01 -Already had Zika, Dengue or Chikungunya	878	36		66	26	66	26	0.917	33	13	32	12	0.844	<0.001*	
		64		134	53	137	53		21	8	22	9			
P02 - Family members have had Zika, Dengue or Chikungunya	878	46		101	40	84	33	0.066	38	15	25	10	0.011*	0.022*	
		54		99	39	119	46		16	6	29	11			
P03 - You get dengue more than once in your life	876	11		30	12	29	11	0.855	5	2	6	2	0.75	0.228	
		89		170	67	173	68		49	19	48	19			
P04 - Every mosquito transmits dengue fever	879	8		8	3	10	4	0.653	2	1	2	1	1	0.729	
		92		192	76	193	75		52	20	52	20			
P05- There is dengue vaccine	692	39		85	46	83	38	0.029*	6	3	4	2	0.459	<0.001*	
		61		53	29	86	40		40	22	44	20			
T01- Arboviruses are severe and can lead to death	874	3		6	2	3	1	0.305	0	0	1	0	0.32	0.388	
		97		194	77	199	78		53	21	53	21			
T02-Perceived risk of acquiring arboviruses	866	67		148	58	145	57	0.617	29	11	34	13	0.329	0.003*	
		33		52	20	57	22		25	10	20	8			
T03- Fear of acquiring arboviruses	879	16		41	16	35	14	0.403	2	1	3	1	0.647	<0.001*	
		84		158	62	167	65		52	21	51	20			
T04 - Uses preventive measures	876	7		1	0	33	13	<0.001*	1	0	1	0	0.989	0.018*	
		93		198	79	168	66		52	21	53	21			
T05 - Believes capable to change behaviours to reduce risk	878	9		18	7	26	10	0.214	1	0	2	1	0.558	0.009*	
		91		182	72	176	69		53	21	52	20			
T06 - Believes family can change behaviours to reduce risk	876	8		18	7	20	8	0.758	3	1	1	0	0.308	0.052	
		92		181	72	181	71		51	20	53	21			

Table 2 (continued)

	Total Population N=883	Study A - Paired Analysis (N= 257)												
		Students (ST)					Teachers (TCH)					ST x TCH		
		Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	n	p			
N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	p
T07 - Believe capable of convincing others to take preventive measures?	No	874	16	27	11	43	17	0.039*	3	1	7	3	0.184	0.037*
	Yes		84	172	68	158	62		51	20	47	18		
T08 - Believes family and neighbours can change lifestyle habits	No	879	12	17	7	33	13	0.019*	2	1	7	3	0.082	0.236
	Yes		88	182	72	170	66		52	21	47	18		

Pearson's chi-square test was performed comparing students (ST) and teachers (TCH), independently, before and after the intervention. The analysis was also made by comparing the frequencies in the group of students and teachers (ST x TCH)

Abbreviations: N Absolute population number, n Number in the sample, % Percentage of valid responses excluding missing data

($p=0.019$) (Table 2). In the unpaired sample, there was a significant difference between students and teachers for almost all responses except the belief in changing neighbours and family members (Supplementary Table 1).

Behaviour change

Table 3 shows the frequencies of target behaviours and chi-square test results comparing groups of students and teachers, before and after the intervention. In Study A, a significant difference was found in relation to seven of the 16 behaviours that were targeted by the intervention: about ten per cent more participants began household surveys, donating recyclables to pickers, screening windows and drains, and closing doors and windows at dawn and dusk. When identifying a breeding site, after the intervention, participants pointed to the need to survey other places in the residence and alert the Environmental Surveillance service (Table 3). When compared to teachers, students began to separate more solid waste for recycling ($p=0.002$), with a 7% increase in the performance of this action after the intervention (Table 3).

In study B (unpaired sample), significant differences were observed in relation to 10 of the 16 target arboviral prevention behaviours. Fewer water reservoirs were opened, and families began to survey more often. Households both separated more recyclables and donated them to waste pickers after the intervention. One of the most significant changes concerns window screening. In the student group, positive responses rose from 9% before missions to 49% after the intervention (Supplementary Table 2).

Comparison of scores

Table 4 shows the results of the Wilcoxon test for the paired sample, comparing the median values for the scores before and after the intervention. We found that there was a significant difference in almost all scores, except in the measures of changes in the perceptions of susceptibility, perceived risk, and severity of arboviruses ($p=0.125$). This means that the intervention promoted changes in perceptions, attitudes, and behaviours except those associated with the Health Belief Model.

In the unpaired sample, for which the Kruskal Wallis test was used, a significant difference was observed in the environmental and home risk scores between students and teachers ($p=0.007$) (Table 4). A significant difference was also observed between teachers and students in relation to prevention behaviour target scores ($p<0.001$) and breeding stock elimination ($p=0.037$), and for knowledge and perceptions regarding the prevalence and manifestation of arboviruses ($p<0.001$).

Teachers and students also showed significant differences regarding preventative behaviours, perceptions of

risk and susceptibility and self-efficacy. In addition, teachers were more afraid of acquiring arboviruses ($p<0.001$) and less confident of being able to convince others to take preventive measures ($p=0.001$) (Table 4).

Multivariate analysis

Figure 1 represents the Biplot graph resulting from the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showing the patterns for the group of students and teachers, analysed before and after the intervention. Both groups showed similar patterns regarding prevention of arboviruses. Following the intervention, it was found that students had appropriated the requisite knowledge, perceptions, and behaviours in such a way as to have greater overlap with the group of teachers.

Discussion

This study showed that educational interventions using a virtual platform can promote behavioural change regarding arbovirus prevention, corroborating the findings of preliminary studies conducted with smaller samples [18, 19]. The use of the ZikaMob platform adapted for mobile devices facilitated effective teaching about arboviruses and their prevention, better organization against their spread, and greater performance of synchronous preventive actions involving students from different schools and neighbourhoods of the second most populous city of Paraíba, in northeastern Brazil. This is an innovative strategy that has the potential to be replicated in any location that has an internet network and can involve an unlimited number of participants as long as they have a mobile device. This study corroborates findings from the literature that describe interventions based on behaviour change theories [23] and use of digital technologies [24].

In Brazil, dengue prevention guidelines and policies have set social mobilization goals and directed calls for action at schools; however, they have not defined strategies for carrying them out. In this paper, for the first time in Brazil, we described the development of a platform that allows the inclusion of all students and teachers in a city in order to perform synchronized prevention actions which are disseminated through social networks, increasing the number of people affected by the actions. A systematic literature review has shown that complex population-oriented interventions are more effective in reducing vector mosquitoes than specific actions [25]. This study has also shown that multifaceted interventions involving the community and professionals from the fields of health, education, and the wider social infrastructure, including the mass media, are more likely to be effective for vector control.

Arbovirus vector mosquitoes proliferate during the rainy season [26, 27]; for this reason, preventive actions

Table 3 Results of school-based intervention for arboviruses, performed in the city of Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil. Frequency and percentage for each dependent variable in the total population, and in the paired sample study (Study A)

Dependent Variables	Total Population		Study A - Paired Analysis (N=257)										ST X TCH		
	N=883		Students (ST)					Teachers (TCH)					p		
	N	%	Pre	Post	p	Pre	Post	p	Pre	Post	p	Pre	Post	p	
D1 - Water reservoirs stay open	Yes	651	10	19	10	13	7	0.313	1	1	1	1	1	0.761	0.121
	No		90	149	78	149	76		34	17	22	12			
D2 - Family survey reservoirs at least once a month	No	784	23	47	19	30	14	0.124	4	2	14	6	0.026*	0.922	
	Yes		77	149	61	142	67		36	17	34	14			
D3 - Family cleans water tanks	No	753	14	29	12	26	12	0.865	3	1	12	5	0.051	0.729	
	Yes		86	156	66	147	70		35	17	39	17			
D4 - Open trash cans	Yes	878	20	43	17	48	19	0.552	7	3	15	6	0.062	0.681	
	No		80	160	62	155	61		46	18	39	15			
D5 - Family separates solid waste for recycling	No	877	56	146	57	128	50	0.075	27	11	29	11	0.70	0.002*	
	Yes		44	56	22	72	28		27	11	25	10			
D6 - Family donates recyclables to waste pickers	No	876	49	119	46	102	40	0.080	22	9	30	12	0.123	0.235	
	Yes		51	83	32	101	39		32	12	24	9			
D7 - Family inspects potted plants	No	637	21	37	19	34	18	0.846	2	1	1	1	0.555	0.001*	
	Yes		79	126	64	122	65		31	16	32	16			
D8 - Windows with screens	No	877	74	174	68	147	58	0.001*	41	16	46	18	0.224	0.835	
	Yes		26	28	11	54	21		13	5	8	3			
D9 - Family usually close windows at dawn and dusk	No	880	24	54	21	30	12	0.004*	11	4	20	8	0.056	0.078	
	Yes		76	149	58	172	67		43	17	34	13			
D10 - Capped Drains	No	879	20	48	19	31	12	0.035*	8	3	14	5	0.152	0.841	
	Yes		80	155	60	171	67		46	18	40	16			
D11 - Family usually cleans vacant lots	No	618	66	98	59	102	51	0.171	32	16	22	13	0.939	0.938	
	Yes		34	35	21	52	26		14	7	10	6			
DC0 - Breeding site - have found mosquito larvae in your home	Yes	880	47	109	43	102	40	0.171	21	8	24	9	0.939	0.048*	
	No		53	93	36	99	39		33	13	30	12			
DC1 - When finding the breeding site- made or would dispose of water on land and in sunny location	No	866	19	37	15	37	14	0.518	9	4	10	4	0.558	0.885	
	Yes		81	164	65	165	64		45	18	43	17			
DC2 - When finding the breeding site - did or would sanitize with bleach and bushing	No	867	6	14	5	6	2	0.981	3	1	6	2	766	0.169	
	Yes		94	187	73	197	77		50	20	48	19			
DC3 - When finding the breeding site - did or would do inspection of the house	No	876	4	3	1	13	5	0.063	1	0	0	0	0.310	0.117	
	Yes		96	197	78	190	74		53	21	54	21			

Table 3 (continued)

Dependent Variables	Total Population N=883	Study A - Paired Analysis (N=257)													
		Students (ST)					Teachers (TCH)					ST x TCH			
		Pre	Post	p	Pre	Post	p	Pre	Post	p	p				
N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
DC4- Finding the breeding site - warned or would warn neighbors	No	869	4	6	2	7	3	0.012*	1	0	0	0	0	0.315	0.190
	Yes		96	193	76	194	76		53	21	54	21			
DC5- Upon finding the breeding site, notified or would notify the Environmental Surveillance service	No	870	46	108	43	87	34	0.792	13	5	21	8	0.315	0.001*	
	Yes		54	91	36	114	45		41	16	33	13			


Pearson's chi-square test was performed comparing students (ST) and teachers (TCH), independently, before and after the intervention. The analysis was also made by comparing the frequencies in the group of students and teachers (ST x TCH)

Abbreviations: N Absolute population number, n Number in the sample, % Percentage of valid responses excluding missing data

Table 4 Descriptive and inferential analysis of the scores for a paired and unpaired sample of the school-based intervention for arboviruses, performed in the city of Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil

Studies	Study A - Scores - Paired Analysis (N=257)										Study B - Scores - Unpaired Analysis (N=626)													
	Pre Intervention					Post Intervention					Pre Intervention					Post Intervention					Kruskal-Wallis Test			
	Min	Max	MD	Quartiles	1 st 3 rd	Min	Max	MD	Quartiles	1 st 3 rd	Min	Max	MD	Quartiles	1 st 3 rd	Min	Max	MD	Quartiles	1 st 3 rd	ST X TCH	PRE X POST	(p-value)	(p-value)
Risk score	ST 3	12	7	6	8	3	14	7	6	9	3	12	7	6	8	3	14	7	6	9	0.007*	0.163		
	TCH 6	12	8	7	9	5	12	8	7	10	6	12	8	7	9	5	12	8	7	10				
	TOT 3	12	7	6	8	3	14	8	6	9	3	12	7	6	8	3	14	8	6	9				
Target behavior score	ST 1	11	6	5	8	1	11	7	5	8	1	11	6	5	8	1	11	7	5	8	<0.001*	<0.001*		
	TCH 0	10	6	4	8	1	10	6,5	5	8	0	10	6	4	8	1	10	6,5	5	8				
	TOT 0	11	6	5	8	1	11	7	5	8	0	11	6	5	8	1	11	7	5	8				
Breeding Identification And Elimination Score	ST 0	6	5	4	5	1	6	5	4	5	0	6	5	4	5	1	6	5	4	5	0.021*	0.037	0.033*	
	TCH 2	6	5	4	6	3	6	5	5	6	2	6	5	4	6	3	6	5	5	6				
	TOT 0	6	5	4	5	1	6	5	4	6	0	6	5	4	5	1	6	5	4	6				
Perception Of Prevalence Score	ST 0	5	3	3	4	0	5	4	3	4	0	5	3	3	4	0	5	4	3	4	<0.001*	0.211	<0.001*	
	TCH 1	5	3	3	4	1	5	4	3	5	1	5	3	3	4	1	5	4	3	5				
	TOT 0	5	3	3	4	0	5	4	3	4	0	5	3	3	4	0	5	4	3	4				
Facilitator Behavior Score	ST 1	4	3	2	4	1	4	3	2	4	1	4	3	2	4	1	4	3	2	4	0.023*	0.196	0.639	
	TCH 1	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	4				
	TOT 1	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	2	4	1	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	2	4				
Health Belief Score	ST 0	4	3	3	3	1	4	3	2	3	0	4	3	3	3	1	4	3	2	3	0.125	<0.001*	0.494	
	TCH 2	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	4	2	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	4				
	TOT 0	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	3	0	4	3	3	4	1	4	3	3	3				
Self-efficiency score	ST 0	4	4	3	4	0	4	4	3	4	0	4	4	3	4	0	4	4	3	4	0.017*	0.001	0.683	
	TCH 1	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4				
	TOT 0	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	3	4	0	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	3	4				

Abbreviations: MD Median, Min Minimum value, Max Maximum value, ST Student, TCH Teachers, Tot Total



must be planned and implemented at certain times of the year in order to maximize their effects. Using the strategy described in this paper may facilitate the synchronization of these actions and allow for the establishment of a shared calendar between schools and health services, enhancing results and reducing costs related to human and material resources. The results of this work showed a clear change in population behaviour with the use of school interventions. Therefore, the revision of public policies related to vector control is recommended, in order to incorporate the model and strategies described herein.

In this project, the researchers publicized the project in all high schools in the city of Campina Grande; however, engagement was dependent on the individual and voluntary decision of teachers and school principals. To reward the teachers' efforts and motivate them to participate in the preventive actions, an 80-hour continuing education course certificate was given to the participants that could be used as part of salary bonus requirements. Of the 490 teachers who registered, only 193 performed the proposed activities on the virtual platform, but there is a clear correlation: in schools where teachers engaged, more students also participated in the actions.

The mobilization and engagement of teachers, students, and the community in interventions to prevent arboviruses needs to be understood as a collective project and as public policy, with technical guidelines, timing and integrated management. Teacher dropout occurred because prevention actions were not part of the school's calendar of activities and were not considered a priority by the managers. These preventive actions were not foreseen in the action plans of schools or health services.

One of the barriers to the integration of preventive actions with arboviruses in Brazil is the lack of agreement between federative entities. Surveillance and environmental education services are the responsibility of municipalities, and the management of high schools is the responsibility of the state. Due to political differences, it is often difficult to develop joint action between municipal and state managers. In addition, as has occurred in other Latin American countries, there is a growth in urban violence that has hampered entomological surveillance actions [28]. In Campina Grande, health workers reported that they were unable to enter up to 70% of households for inspection because residents were working or did not allow them to enter for fear of being robbed [11]. The authors contend that, in urban regions, the traditional model of home surveillance performed by health workers in the context of urban violence should be investigated further and include an assessment of cost-effectiveness [11].

There is evidence in the literature of randomized trials showing the effectiveness of using window screens with or without insecticides [29], mosquito nets or curtains [30] to reduce the prevalence of dengue. In Brazil, the guidelines for vector control did not prioritize the use of screens on windows and doors, either with or without insecticides, as a mechanical barrier method to avoid direct contact with mosquitoes. Instead, vector control actions have been based on the use of larvicides and insecticides [31, 32], which has led to an increase in resistant mosquito populations [33]. In this paper, we found that the population was unaware of these preventive measures because they are not publicized in traditional government campaigns; and that there was a

significant difference in the use of window screens due to the intervention.

Our outcomes showed that intervention improved recycling. The separation of solid waste with a donation to waste pickers is one of the behaviours that contribute to reducing social inequalities and improving the environment and the health of populations [34]. Plastics dispensed in inappropriate places such as gardens and open land serve as breeding grounds for mosquitoes [34]. In Brazil, the garbage is placed in plastic bags at the gates of households without separation of recyclables, as most cities do not offer selective collection service. Waste pickers, usually illiterate people in socially vulnerable situations, open their bags on the streets and take advantage of recyclables, often leaving the garbage scattered. When the population separates the recyclables, this increases the income of the pickers. In this paper, a strategy to articulate the actions of entomological control to those of recycling was evidenced. By sorting and donating recyclables to waste pickers, people see a reward or benefit in changing their behaviours. To date, this was the first intervention study to establish the link between home inspection and recycling actions.

Most of the participants in this study reported fear of acquiring arboviruses, but the perceived risk is low, corroborating findings from another study in French Guiana [4, 35]. Students showed lower risk perception than their teachers or health workers [19]. Younger individuals tend to have lower risk perception and lower concern about acquiring arboviruses [4, 35, 36]; as do women of reproductive age [37]. Risk is higher among pregnant women than non-pregnant women [38, 39] and there is also a higher rate of disease acquisition in this group [40]. Living in an area with a higher prevalence of the disease does not change the perception of risk and susceptibility [35, 40].

In Pakistan, logistic regression analysis showed that perceived risk and self-efficacy are predictors of dengue prevention practices [40]. Regarding self-efficacy, we found that the participants in this study believe they can change their behaviours, and those of their family members, colleagues and neighbours. With the intervention, participants began to reflect more on their ability to convince others to take collective preventive actions and the use of social networks to accomplish this.

Most studies on arboviruses in the literature describe perceptions and knowledge about arboviruses prevention [41, 42], with few reports of school-based interventions [43–45]. Most of these studies, however, are not randomized case-control trials based on behaviour change theories that can offer evidence of intervention impact and effectiveness [39]. In Puerto Rico, for example, an intervention by the Department of Health in partnership

with the Centre for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC) from the United States was performed, which showed a significant impact on knowledge and preventive behaviour for dengue, and reduced rates of mosquito infestation [39, 46].

The limitations of this study concern firstly the fact that the questionnaire is self-reported and secondly the design of the study itself. However, the paired analysis showed that our data were reliable. To verify the impact of interventions, the ideal design would be a case-control study; however, in this work, teachers and students receive a reward (prize) for participating in the research; therefore, there was no way to create a “control group”. In addition, there is the possibility of using the *Aedes aegypti* Rapid Infestation Index (LIRAA) [20] that measures the level of vector mosquito infestation in urban strata (territorial units with 10,000 inhabitants) to assess the impact of educational intervention. These measures are performed by health workers three times a year and could be used to assess the impact of educational activities. However, to carry out this type of study it would be necessary for all high school students from Campina Grande to participate in the intervention, georeferencing these students and reducing the size of the LIRAA territorial unit and / or the joint definition of research involving the services of Environmental Surveillance and the Secretariat of Education [20]. Moreover, health workers should be integrated into educational activities. During the intervention research, their activities were mostly paralysed due to a strike.

Conclusion

The findings of this work show that school-based interventions can promote change in attitudes and behaviours in the population, which could lead to a reduction in infestation and a lower risk of illness and death from arbovirus.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-12554-w>.

Additional file 1.

Additional file 2.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to all the students and teachers who participated in this research.

Authors' contributions

SS: study conception, study design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript. RSM, VAA, IDF, FFAM: study design, acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation of data. RO: statistical analysis. RA, MS, and JT: revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) (Finance Code 001), Universidade Estadual da Paraíba (UEPB), Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado da Paraíba (FAPESQ) (Grant 02/2017), British Council Newton Fund Institutional Links (Grant 274560199). The role of the funding body: scholarships for master students, the design of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experimental protocols were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Paraíba State University (UEPB), under protocol CAAE 67429517.5.0000.5187, and it was in accordance with the principles of Resolution 466/12 of the Brazilian National Health Council. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and from the legal guardians/parents of the students. Methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Public Health Programme, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba - Campus I - Bodocongó, Rua das Baraúnas, s/n - Prédio da Integração Acadêmica - sala 329, Campina Grande 58490-500, Brazil. ²Department of Biology, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, Brazil. ³Secretary of Education, Science and Technology, State of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil. ⁴Department of Informatics, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil. ⁵Department of Statistics, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, Brazil. ⁶Education Observatory, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton, UK.

Received: 5 August 2021 Accepted: 11 January 2022

Published online: 08 February 2022

References

- Villabona-Arenas CJ, de Oliveira JL, de Capra C, S, Balarini K, Loureiro M, CRTP F, et al. Detection of four dengue serotypes suggests rise in hyperendemicity in urban centers of Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014;8:e2620. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002620>.
- de Zanotto PMA, de Leite LCC. The Challenges Imposed by Dengue, Zika, and Chikungunya to Brazil. *Front Immunol*. 2018;9:1964. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01964>.
- Ali S, Gugliemini O, Harber S, Harrison A, Houle L, Ivory J, et al. Environmental and social change drive the explosive emergence of Zika Virus in the Americas. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017;11:e0005135. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005135>.
- Carvalho MS, Honorio NA, Garcia LMT, de Sá Carvalho LC. *Aedes aegypti* control in urban areas: A systemic approach to a complex dynamic. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017;11:e0005632. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005632>.
- Tauil PL. Aspectos críticos do controle do dengue no Brasil. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 2002;18:867–71. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-311x2002000300030>.
- Lowe R, Barcellos C, Brasil P, Cruz OG, Honório NA, Kuper H, et al. The Zika Virus Epidemic in Brazil: from discovery to future implications. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15010096>.
- Lima-Camara TN, Urbinatti PR, Chiaravalloti-Neto F. Finding *Aedes aegypti* in a natural breeding site in an urban zone, Sao Paulo, Southeastern Brazil. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2016;50:3. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1518-8787.2016050006245>.
- Barakat RDM, Caprara A. Ecobiosocial approach and health promotion at school: building knowledge for community surveillance in *Aedes aegypti* control. *Interface (Botucatu)*. 2021;25. <https://doi.org/10.1590/interface.190805>.
- do Nascimento TBP, de Almeida EL, Grützmann A, Zambalde AL, de Souza Bermejo PH, et al. e-Participation in the exploration of innovations: confronting *Aedes aegypti* and Microcephaly in Brazil. *electronic government and the information systems*. Perspective. 2019;103–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27523-5_8.
- de Souza Silva GC, Peltonen L-M, Pruinelli L, Yoshikazu Shishido H, Jacklin EG. Technologies to Combat *Aedes* Mosquitoes: A Model Based on Smart City. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2018;250:129–33 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29857404>.
- Donateli CP, do Nascimento Einloft AB, Junior ALC, Cotta RMM, da Costa GD. Endemic Disease Control Agents' perception on the fight against *Aedes aegypti* and the prevention of arbovirus infections in Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2019;13:e0007741. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007741>.
- World Health Organization. Health Education: Theoretical Concepts, Effective Strategies and Core Competencies. 2012. https://books.google.com/books/about/Health_Education.html?hl=&id=fP8uLgEACAAJ.
- Davis R, Campbell R, Hildon Z, Hobbs L, Michie S. Theories of behaviour and behaviour change across the social and behavioural sciences: a scoping review. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2015;9:323–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2014.941722>.
- Michie S, Johnston M. Theories and techniques of behaviour change: Developing a cumulative science of behaviour change. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2012;6:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2012.654964>.
- Michie S, West R. Behaviour change theory and evidence: a presentation to Government. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2013;7:1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2011.649445>.
- Becker MH. The Health Belief Model and Sick Role Behavior. *Health Educ Monogr*. 1974;2:409–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109019817400200407>.
- Bandura A. *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall; 1986. https://books.google.com/books/about/Social_Foundations_of_Thought_and_Action.html?hl=&id=HJhqAAAAAAAJ.
- Abel Manguiera FF, Smania-Marques R, Dutra Fernandes I, Alves Albino V, Olinda R, Santos-Silva TA, et al. The prevention of arboviral diseases using mobile devices: a preliminary study of the attitudes and behaviour change produced by educational interventions. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2019;24:1411–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13316>.
- Santos S, Smania-Marques R, Albino VA et al. Factors associated with changing behaviour for arboviruses prevention: evidence from a quasi-experimental intervention study using mobile devices. 2020. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square [<https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-17586/v1>].
- Cavalcante ACP, de Olinda RA, Gomes A, Traxler J, Smith M, Santos S. Spatial modelling of the infestation indices of *Aedes aegypti*: an innovative strategy for vector control actions in developing countries. *Parasit Vectors*. 2020;13:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04070-w>.
- Ripley BD, The R. Project in Statistical Computing. *MSOR Connections*. 2001;1:23–5. <https://doi.org/10.11120/msor.2001.01010023>.
- Rizzo ML. *Statistical Computing with R*. 2nd ed. New Jersey: CRC Press; 2019. <https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=9UmfDwAAQBAJ>.
- Glanz K, Rimer BK, Viswanath K. *Health Behavior: Theory, Research, and Practice*. New Jersey: Wiley; 2015. <https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=PhUWcGAAQBAJ>.
- Moller AC, Merchant G, Conroy DE, West R, Hekler E, Kugler KC, et al. Applying and advancing behavior change theories and techniques in the context of a digital health revolution: proposals for more effectively realizing untapped potential. *J Behav Med*. 2017;40:85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-016-9818-7>.
- Olliaro P, Fouque F, Kroeger A, Bowman L, Velayudhan R, Santelli AC, et al. Improved tools and strategies for the prevention and control of arboviral diseases: A research-to-policy forum. *PLOS Negl Trop Dis*. 2018;12:e0005967. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005967>.
- Website. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250240/9789241549738-eng.pdf;jsessionid=40ACBDAE7801839B08F0F3555E38FA89?sequence=1>. Accessed 29 Oct 2019.

27. MacCormack-Gelles B, Lima Neto AS, Sousa GS, Nascimento OJ, Machado MMT, Wilson ME, et al. Epidemiological characteristics and determinants of dengue transmission during epidemic and non-epidemic years in Fortaleza, Brazil: 2011–2015. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2018;12:e0006990. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006990>.
28. Krystosik AR, Curtis A, LaBeaud AD, Dávalos DM, Pacheco R, Buritica P, et al. Neighborhood violence impacts disease control and surveillance: case study of Cali, Colombia from 2014 to 2016. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15102144>.
29. Che-Mendoza A, Guillermo-May G, Herrera-Bojorquez J, Barrera-Perez M, Dzul-Manzanilla F, Gutierrez-Castro C, et al. Long-lasting insecticide-treated house screens and targeted treatment of productive breeding sites for dengue vector control in Acapulco, Mexico. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2015;109:106–15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/tru189>.
30. Lenhart A, Vanlerberghe V, Alexander N, Apiwathnasorn C, Van der Stuyft P, Satimai W, et al. A cluster-randomized trial of insecticide-treated curtains for dengue vector control in Thailand. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2013;88:254–9. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.12-0423>.
31. Quintero J, Garcia-Betancourt T, Cortes S, Garcia D, Alcalá L, Gonzalez-Urbe C, et al. Effectiveness and feasibility of long-lasting insecticide-treated curtains and water container covers for dengue vector control in Colombia: a cluster randomised trial. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2015;109:116–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/tru208>.
32. Gonçalves RP, de Lima EC, de Oliveira Lima JW, da Silva MGC, Caprara A. Contribuições recentes sobre conhecimentos, atitudes e práticas da população brasileira acerca da dengue. *Saúde e Sociedade*. 2015;24:578–93. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-12902015000200015>.
33. Lima EP, de Oliveira Filho AM, de Oliveira Lima JW, Júnior ANR, de Góes Cavalcanti LP, Pontes RJS. Resistência do *Aedes aegypti* ao temefós em Municípios do Estado do Ceará. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop*. 2006;39:259–63. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0037-86822006000300006>.
34. Krystosik A, Njoroge G, Odhiambo L, Forsyth JE, Mutuku F, Desiree LBA. Solid wastes provide breeding sites, burrows, and food for biological disease vectors, and urban zoonotic reservoirs: a call to action for solutions-based research. *Front Public Health*. 2020;7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00405>.
35. Flamand C, Fritzell C, Obale P, Quenel P, Raude J. The role of risk proximity in the beliefs and behaviors related to mosquito-borne diseases: the case of Chikungunya in French Guiana. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2017;97:344–55. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.16-1028>.
36. Wong LP, AbuBakar S. Health beliefs and practices related to dengue fever: a focus group study. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2013;7:e2310. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002310>.
37. Patterson NM, Bates BR, Chadwick AE, Nieto-Sanchez C, Grijalva MJ. Using the health belief model to identify communication opportunities to prevent Chagas disease in Southern Ecuador. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2018;12:e0006841. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006841>.
38. Weldon CT, Riley-Powell AR, Aguerre IM, Celis Nascimento RA, Morrison AC, Oberhelman RA, et al. “Zika is everywhere”: a qualitative exploration of knowledge, attitudes and practices towards Zika virus among women of reproductive age in Iquitos, Peru. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2018;12:e0006708. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006708>.
39. Khun S, Manderson L. Community and school-based health education for dengue control in rural Cambodia: a process evaluation. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2007;1:e143. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000143>.
40. Siddiqui TR, Ghazal S, Bibi S, Ahmed W, Sajjad SF. Use of the health belief model for the assessment of public knowledge and household preventive practices in Karachi, Pakistan, a Dengue-Endemic City. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10:e0005129. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005129>.
41. Plaster AN, Painter JE, Tjersland DH, Jacobsen KH. University Students’ Knowledge, attitudes, and sources of information about Zika Virus. *J Community Health*. 2018;43:647–55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-017-0463-z>.
42. Feldstein LR, Rowhani-Rahbar A, Erin Staples J, Elizabeth Halloran M, Ellis EM. An assessment of household and individual-level mosquito prevention methods during the Chikungunya Virus outbreak in the United States Virgin Islands, 2014–2015. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2018;98:845–8. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.17-0799>.
43. Nasir NM, Baequni B. Improving knowledge on the prevention of dengue hemorrhagic fever among elementary school students in Jakarta, Indonesia: a quasi experimental study. *Proceedings of the 1st International Integrative Conference on Health, Life and Social Sciences (ICHLAS 2017)*. 2017. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2991/ichlas-17.2017.28>.
44. Montes GAÁ, Araujo R, Leontsini E, Herrera GO, Cerna EF. Un programa escolar para el control del dengue en Honduras: del conocimiento a la práctica. *Rev Panam Salud Public*. 2012;31:518–22. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1020-49892012000600011>.
45. Rosli WRW, Rahman SA, Parhar JK, Suhaimi MI. Positive impact of educational intervention on knowledge, attitude, and practice towards dengue among university students in Malaysia. *J Public Health*. 2019;27:461–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-018-0971-z>.
46. Winch PJ, Rigau-Pérez JG, Clark GG, Ruiz-Pérez M, Leontsini E, Gubler DJ. Community-based dengue prevention programs in Puerto Rico: impact on knowledge, behavior, and residential mosquito infestation. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2002;67:363–70. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2002.67.363>.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

