



Lithium and Chlorine-Rich Preparation of Mechanochemically Activated Antiperovskite Composites for Solid-State Batteries

Yi Yang, Joah Han, Michael DeVita, Stephanie S. Lee and Jae Chul Kim *

Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yong-Mook Kang, Dongguk University Seoul, South Korea

Reviewed by:

Yifei Mo, University of Maryland, College Park, United States Wook Ahn, Soonchunhyang University, South Korea Chunjoong Kim, Chungnam National University, South Korea

> *Correspondence: Jae Chul Kim jkim7@stevens.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Electrochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 15 May 2020 Accepted: 31 August 2020 Published: 29 September 2020

Citation:

Yang Y, Han J, DeVita M, Lee SS and Kim JC (2020) Lithium and Chlorine-Rich Preparation of Mechanochemically Activated Antiperovskite Composites for Solid-State Batteries. Front. Chem. 8:562549. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2020.562549 Assembling all-solid-state batteries presents a unique challenge due to chemical and electrochemical complexities of interfaces between a solid electrolyte and electrodes. While the interface stability is dictated by thermodynamics, making use of passivation materials often delays interfacial degradation and extends the cycle life of all-solid cells. In this work, we investigated antiperovskite lithium oxychloride, Li₃OCI, as a promising passivation material that can engineer the properties of solid electrolyte-Li metal interfaces. Our experiment to obtain stoichiometric Li₃OCI focuses on how the starting ratios of lithium and chlorine and mechanochemical activation affect the phase stability. For substantial LiCl excess conditions, the antiperovskite phase was found to form by simple melt-quenching and subsequent high-energy ball-milling. Li₃OCI prepared with 100% excess LiCl exhibits ionic conductivity of 3.2×10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature, as well as cathodic stability against Li metal upon the extended number of cycling. With a conductivity comparable to other passivation layers, and stable interface properties, our Li₃OCI/LiCl composite has the potential to stably passivate the solid-solid interfaces in all-solid-state batteries.

Keywords: solid-state batteries, solid electrolytes, anti-perovskite, Li₃OCI, passivation layer, ion-exchange

INTRODUCTION

Technical advances in nonflammable solid electrolytes that can replace carbonate-based flammable liquid electrolytes of Li-ion batteries show promise toward the development of next-generation, ultimately safe solid-state batteries (Bachman et al., 2016; Manthiram et al., 2017). This all-solid system can also improve the cell packing efficiency and enable the use of lithium metal anodes to make batteries smaller, lighter, and longer-lasting (Famprikis et al., 2019). To date, a wide variety of promising solid electrolyte materials have been proposed, and thiophosphate-based materials in particular have received substantial interest due to high conductivity and robust mechanical properties (Lee et al., 2019). However, almost all thiophosphate electrolytes are stable only in a narrow voltage window and likely decompose if combined with the Li metal anode, as stated by thermodynamics (Richards et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). Their decomposition products often include metallic phases that induce the continuous growth of a decomposition layer (Xiao et al., 2020). Indeed, continuous formation of Li₂S, Li₃P, and Li₁₅Ge₄ alloy (or Ge metal) is observed at the interface between Li₁₀GeP₂S₁₂ and Li metal (Wenzel et al., 2016). Alternatively, the solid electrolyte-Li metal anode interface can be kinetically stabilized if the decomposition reaction

leads to electronically insulating phases (Xiao et al., 2020). For Li₇P₃S₁₁, decomposed Li₂S and Li₃P intrinsically passivate the Li₇P₃S₁₁-Li metal interface with a self-limited thickness, affording sustained electrolyte function at the expense of cell polarization (Wenzel et al., 2016, 2018). Understanding the nature and evolution of decomposition products is thus critical to governing interfacial properties and developing the all-solid cell.

The compatibility between solid electrolytes and Li metal can be engineered by using extrinsic passivation materials that can decrease the electrochemical potential gradient across the interface (Zhu et al., 2015, 2016; Richards et al., 2016), such as aluminate, phosphate, and nitride (Miara et al., 2015; Sang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). Here, we investigate the electrochemical properties of antiperovskite lithium oxychloride with the nominal target composition Li₃OCl as a passivation material to protect the solid electrolyte-Li metal interface. This material consists of no reduceable cations other than Li, making it electrochemically stable against Li metal (Xiao et al., 2020). Computational predictions and experimental evidence show that Li₃OCl indeed forms stable interfaces against Li (Lü et al., 2016; Richards et al., 2016). Li₃OCl can be feasibly prepared by a simple melt-quench method at low temperatures (Zhao and Daemen, 2012). However, recent studies showed that the Li conductivity and activation barrier of Li₃OCl vary substantially with preparation methods, starting compositions, and sample morphologies, all of which likely affect the phase stability, and thus the mode of Li migration (Zhao and Daemen, 2012; Braga et al., 2014; Lü et al., 2014, 2016). The fundamental reasons that cause this discrepancy are unclear. In this work, to understand how starting compositions affect the lithium and chlorine stoichiometry of the antiperovskite and the associated Li conductivity, we prepared Li₃OCl with various precursor ratios. Our results indicate that dry high-energy ball-milling of a substantial lithium and chlorine excess composition is critical to stabilize antiperovskite Li₃OCl. Impedance spectroscopy and stability testing revealed that this phase exhibits reasonable Li conductivity, 3.21×10^{-5} S/cm, and is stable against Li metal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

LiOH (98%, Sigma Aldrich) and LiCl (99%, Sigma Aldrich) with molar ratios of 2:x where x = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, and 2.5were manually mixed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Note that stoichiometric x for Li₃OCl is 1, with H₂O evaporation (2LiOH + 2LiCl \rightarrow Li₃OCl + H₂O). The mixtures were heat-treated at 350°C for 6 h and quenched to room temperature in ambient air. As they melt completely at 350°C, bead-like solids were formed upon quenching. The quenched samples were transferred immediately to an argon-filled glovebox to avoid air exposure. In the glovebox, high-energy ball-milling (Spex SamplePrep 8000M) was performed using zirconia grinding media in a zirconia container for 30 min. The crystal structure and phase compositions of the as-quenched and as-ball-milled materials were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Discover) with Cu Ka radiation. To prevent air-exposure, the XRD samples were sealed using a polyimide film (Kapton, DuPont).



Microstructural and elemental analyses were performed on a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Zeiss Auriga).

To estimate the Li conductivity of Li₃OCl samples, ballmilled powder specimens were uniaxially pressed to form discshaped pellets (10 mm diameter and ~0.3 mm thickness) in the glovebox, and silver paste was applied on both sides of the pellet as blocking electrodes. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out in a frequency range of 7 MHz-100 mHz with a 10 mV AC amplitude using a BioLogic SP-300 potentiostat/frequency response analyzer. Obtained EIS data were fitted using ZView software. For a variable temperature EIS test, a dedicated thermoelectric temperature chamber (BioLogic ITS) and a holder (BioLogic CESH) were used. A symmetric cell with Li/Li₃OCl/Li configuration was built to assess interface stability against Li metal using a custommade polytetrafluoroethylene Swagelok union with springloaded stainless-steel current collectors. A galvanostatic mode with current densities of 0.035 and 0.070 mA/cm² was used to cycle the cell. The current polarity was switched every 30 min.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows XRD patterns of as-quenched 2LiOH-*x*LiCl (x = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5) measured in transmission mode. For x = 0.5 and 1, observed major peaks display cubic symmetry in the *Pm3m* space group, suggesting the formation of an antiperovskite Li₃OCl phase. However, hydrated variants of Li₃OCl can also crystallize in the cubic symmetry with similar lattice parameters (Schwering et al., 2003; Hanghofer et al., 2018). As their XRD patterns are indistinguishable, we denote this cubic phase as Li_{3-y}(OH_y)Cl ($0 \le y < 1$). Peaks for a secondary phase likely corresponds to monoclinic Li₄(OH)₃Cl, as identified by Rettenwander and coworkers (Hanghofer et al., 2018), though other lithium hydroxychloride forms may coexist. As *x* increases from 0.5 to 1, the Li₄(OH)₃Cl-to-Li_{3-y}(OH_y)Cl peak



FIGURE 2 | SEM images of 2LiOH-xLiCl where x = (a) 0.5, (b) 1, (c) 1.5, (d,e) 2, and (f) 2.5 samples. The EDS result for x = 2 is shown in (e) (Blue: oxygen, Green: chlorine).

intensity ratio decreases, consistent with the hydroxide/chlorine stoichiometry of the nominal compositions. For a higher LiCl content of x = 1.5, the peak intensity for Li₄(OH)₃Cl decreases substantially. Also, we observed peak splitting of the antiperovskite phase, indicating symmetry reduction, which matches the structure of orthorhombic Li₂(OH)Cl (Li et al., 2016). Li₂(OH)Cl has higher relative chlorine content compared to Li₄(OH)₃Cl, consistent with our observation that its formation is favored under excess LiCl content. By further LiCl addition, the sample at x = 2 primarily consists of Li₂(OH)Cl. For this composition, x = 2 is the stoichiometric value assuming no water evaporation, with 2LiOH + 2LiCl \rightarrow 2Li₂(OH)Cl. The peak intensity of Li₂(OH)Cl decreases at x = 2.5, and peaks for LiCl emerge.

Figure 2 shows the cross-sectional SEM images obtained from as-quenched 2LiOH-xLiCl solids. The microstructure of the sample prepared with an LiCl deficiency, x = 0.5, in Figure 2a is unstructured, which is common in glassceramics made by melt-quenching (Deubener et al., 2018). As x increases to 1 and 1.5, aggregated square/rectangular precipitates embedded within an amorphous-like matrix appear, as shown in Figures 2b,c. XRD spectra presented in Figure 1 suggest that these precipitates comprise $Li_{3-\nu}(OH_{\nu})Cl$ and Li₃OCl. In Figure 2d, precipitated domains have the most distinct rectangular morphology when x = 2. According to energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy in Figure 2e, we found that oxygen and chlorine distribution across the x = 2 sample is inhomogeneous. The precipitates contain both oxygen and chlorine, while the matrix contains mostly chlorine, suggesting that the matrix likely consists of amorphized and/or hydrated LiCl undetectable by XRD. In Figure 2f, the crystals are covered



excessively by the matrix due to the substantial amount of LiCl addition, x = 2.5.

We further analyzed the phase stability of Li₂(OH)Cl (x = 2 in 2LiOH-xLiOH) under ambient and elevated temperatures using *in situ* XRD. In **Figure 3A**, split peaks at 32 and 46° 2 θ of the asquenched orthorhombic structure merge into single peaks upon heating from 37 to 42°C. This indicates an orthorhombic-tocubic solid-state phase transition, which is reversed upon cooling from 32 to 27°C. Differential scanning calorimetry also confirms the existence of a reversible phase transition during two repetitive heating and cooling cycles. As shown in **Figure 3B**, small



endothermic and exothermic peaks at 37 and 30°C correspond to the orthorhombic-to-cubic and cubic-to-orthorhombic phase transitions, respectively. Note that large peaks observed at 301 and 290°C are due to the melting and recrystallizing of Li₂(OH)Cl. Our observation of a reversible solid-state transition for Li₂(OH)Cl around 35°C agrees with previous reports in the literature (Schwering et al., 2003; Hanghofer et al., 2018; Song et al., 2020).

Mechanochemically, high-energy ball-milling often triggers phase transitions that do not require long-range atomic diffusion (Shi et al., 2018). We investigated how the high-energy ballmilling process affects the composition of the antiperovskite and secondary phases. The quenched 2LiOH-*x*LiCl (x = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5) solids were subsequently ball-milled in the argonfilled glovebox. Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of as-ball-milled powder samples. A broad hump around $18^{\circ} 2\theta$ belongs to a polyimide film that was used to seal the specimen. For x= 0.5 and 1, the XRD patterns match those of as-quenched samples displayed in Figure 1, indicating that the overall phase composition, Li_{3-v}(OH_v)Cl and Li₄(OH)₃Cl, before and after high-energy ball-milling remains unchanged. Peak broadening upon ball-miling is likely due to particle size reduction and/or the formation of crystallographic defects. Interestingly, ball-milling changes the phase composition substantially for 2LiOH-xLiCl with higher LiCl content. For x = 1.5, 2, and 2.5, the peaks associated with orthorhombic Li2(OH)Cl before ball-milling are replaced with peaks that can be indexed to the antiperovskite structure. Note that a small amount of monoclinic Li₄(OH)₃Cl still exists for x = 1.5. For the x = 2 sample, only diffraction peaks consistent with the antiperovskite phase were observed. The intensities of the peaks associated with the antiperovskite phase decreased for the sample with x = 2.5, and peaks for LiCl that were present before ball-milling remained.

It is worthwhile to discuss the formation mechanism of the antiperovskite phase, especially for lithium and chlorine-rich 2LiOH-xLiCl (x > 1) observed in **Figure 4**. Experimentally,

the stability of antiperovskite Li3OCl is moisture-sensitive, in which hydration leads to phase transformation/degradation under ambient temperature and pressure (Hanghofer et al., 2018). This also implies that the stoichiometric mixing of 2LiOH and LiCl unlikely leads to pure antiperovskite formation due to hydroxide formation in moist air. Consistently, we observed the monoclinic Li₄(OH)₃Cl phase if near-stoichiometric values of x in mixing 2LiOH and xLiCl are used or Li₂(OH)Cl if substantial excess LiCl is involved. The pure antiperovskite phase can be obtained by transforming orthorhombic Li₂(OH)Cl via high-energy ball-milling. This result is very interesting because the cubic form of Li2(OH)Cl is unstable at room temperature, as demonstrated in Figure 3. At room temperature, stable cubic antiperovskite compositions reported in literature are Li_{1.16}(OH_{1.84})Cl, Li_{2.17}(OH_{0.83})Cl, and Li₃OCl (Schwering et al., 2003; Zhao and Daemen, 2012). Given the excess amount of lithium and chlorine, we consider that the obtained antiperovskite in **Figure 4** is Li-rich $\text{Li}_{3-\nu}(\text{OH}_{\nu})\text{Cl}$ $(0 \leq \gamma)$ < 1). The orthorhombic-to-cubic transition can be plausibly explained by a dynamic ion exchange reaction between protons in Li₂(OH)Cl and lithium ions in surrounding residual LiCl facilitated by high-energy ball-milling. As LiCl also affords a wide range of hydration (Ruiz et al., 2014), which may further facilitate the H⁺/Li⁺ ion-exchange reaction, the resulting antiperovskite phase can even be close to stoichiometric Li₃OCl antiperovskite. Indeed, the estimated lattice parameter from the (110) peak position at 32° is a = 3.916 Å, in a good agreement obtained from theoretical investigation of Li₃OCl (Deng et al., 2015; Lü et al., 2016; Dawson et al., 2018b). Thus, we hereafter denote the antiperovskite phase obtained by high-energy ball-milling for x = 2 as Li₃OCl. Note that the sample should be a composite of Li₃OCl and hydrated LiCl. Ball-milling does not affect the phase composition at x = 0.5 and 1 due to limited availability of LiCl. Also, Li₄(OH)₃Cl tends to be more stable than Li₂(OH)Cl (Hanghofer et al., 2018), which may sustain the composition better against the ion-exchange reaction.

We evaluated the Li conductivity of high-energy ball-milled 2LiOH-*x*LiCl (x = 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5) by EIS. Figures 5A,B are their Nyquist plots for two different scales. Depressed semicircles are observed for all samples in the high frequency range followed by a straight line in the low frequency region. The radius of the semicircle decreases as x increases from 0.5 to 2. Compared with the smallest semicircle observed for the antiperovskite composite at x = 2, the radius increases by a factor of two at x = 2.5, as shown in Figure 5B. To obtain Li conductivity, we fit our EIS data using an equivalent circuit (the Figure 5A inset) that consists of three parallel segments of resistor and constant phase element (CPE), each of which is connected in series to represent bulk (b), grain boundary (gb), and interphase (inter) impedances, and a single serial CPE to fit a blocking electrode. To calculate total Li conductivity (σ), we have used the total resistance of the three segments combined (i.e., R = $R_b + R_{gb} + R_{int}$) and calculated $\sigma = R^{-1}(l/A)$ where l and A stand for sample thickness and diameter, respectively. The total conductivity increases as x increases from 1 to 2: 1.15×10^{-6} S/cm for x = 1, 1.76 × 10⁻⁵ S/cm for x = 1.5, and 3.21 × 10^{-5} S/cm for x = 2. The conductivity decreases to 1.24×10^{-5}







S/cm for x = 2.5. These results are consistent qualitatively with the relative antiperovskite composition identified in Figure 4. The larger antiperovskite content leads to higher Li conductivity due to low ionic conductivities of Li4(OH)3Cl and hydrated LiCl (Schwering et al., 2003; Hanghofer et al., 2018). Note that the computed bulk and grain boundary Li conductivities of Li₃OCl are on the order of 10^{-5} and 10^{-6} S/cm (Deng et al., 2015; Dawson et al., 2018b). Figure 5C plots the Li conductivities of the Li₃OCl (x = 2) composite as a function of temperature. An activation barrier E_a of Li diffusion across the sample was estimated using the Arrhenius-type equation $\sigma T =$ Aexp $(-E_a/k_BT)$ where σ is total ionic conductivity measured by EIS, A is a conductivity pre-factor, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T is temperature. The activation barrier obtained from the slope is 0.52 eV, consistent with a computational result for polycrystalline Li₃OCl (Dawson et al., 2018b). It should be noted that although we do not fully exclude a possibility of residual hydroxide in the material at x = 2, a proton contribution to the total ionic conductivity is unlikely due to localized H^+ motion (Dawson et al., 2018a).

Figure 6a shows a representative voltage polarization profile of a Li symmetric cell made by the Li₃OCl composite with 100% excess LiCl (x = 2) under two different current densities as a function of time. A stable voltage polarization profile is observed under the positive and negative current densities (0.035 mA/cm²), which is symmetric about 0 V. If the current density is doubled (0.07 mA/cm²), the polarization voltage is also doubled (i.e., ohmic behavior, while maintaining the stably alternating profile). Note that the cell polarization decreases after 120 h, indicative of Li penetration into the cold-pressed Li₃OCl pellet. Figures 6b,c compare the EIS results of the symmetric cell before and after cycling. A low-frequency semicircle becomes evident upon cycling, indicative of interphase formation at the Li₃OCl-Li metal interface. The EIS results were fitted using an equivalent circuit that has bulk, grain boundary, and interphase components. The interphase impedance increases from 28 to 61

 $k\Omega$ upon cycling, suggesting the formation of surface phases, such as LiCl. Note that the sum of bulk and grain boundary contributions decreases from 138 to 115 k Ω . This result reflects the decrease in effective pellet thickness due to uneven Li plating. We hypothesize this interphase formation to be the result of small amounts of Li₃OCl decomposition into LiCl and Li₂O. Although expected to be electrochemically stable against Li metal due to the absence of reduceable elements other than Li, self-decomposition of metastable Li₃OCl into Li₂O and LiCl is possible according to computation (Emly et al., 2013). Figure 6d shows a crosssectional SEM image and the corresponding EDS map of the Li₃OCl-Li metal interface after cycling. It can be seen that a Cl-rich layer exists in between Li₃OCl and Li metal, consistent with the EIS analysis and the computational result. Note that the Cl-rich layer may also originate from the excess amount of amorphized lithium chloride present in the sample. The oxygen signal on the Li metal side is likely due to the air-exposure during sample loading. We will scrutinize the interface structure using more advanced technique, such as cryogenic transmission electron microscopy, in future work. These results demonstrate the electrochemical compatibility of Li₃OCl against Li, which satisfies the requirement to stably passivate solid electrolyte-Li metal anode interfaces.

CONCLUSION

We investigate how stoichiometry affects the phase stability and Li conductivity of antiperovskite-type lithium-rich oxychloride, Li_3OCl . The history of sample treatment leads to the substantial variation in the stoichiometry of Li_3OCl and thus its Li conductivity. If prepared by a melt-quench method, we found that a substantial amount of excess LiCl leads to orthorhombic $Li_2(OH)Cl$ formation. An orthorhombic-to-cubic phase transition occurs upon high-energy ball-milling

REFERENCES

- Bachman, J. C., Muy, S., Grimaud, A., Chang, H. H., Pour, N., Lux, S. F., et al. (2016). Inorganic solid-state electrolytes for lithium batteries: mechanisms and properties governing ion conduction. *Chem. Rev.* 116, 140–162. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00563
- Braga, M. H., Ferreira, J. A., Stockhausen, V., Oliveira, J. E., and El-Azab, A. (2014). Novel Li3ClO based glasses with superionic properties for lithium batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2, 5470–5480. doi: 10.1039/C3TA15087A
- Dawson, J. A., Attari, T. S., Chen, H., Emge, S. P., Johnston, K. E., and Islam, M. S. (2018a). Elucidating lithium-ion and proton dynamics in anti-perovskite solid electrolytes. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 11, 2993–3002. doi: 10.1039/C8EE0 0779A
- Dawson, J. A., Canepa, P., Famprikis, T., Masquelier, C., and Islam, M. S. (2018b). Atomic-scale in fluence of grain boundaries on li-ion conduction in solid electrolytes for all-solid-state batteries. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 362–368. doi: 10.1021/jacs.7b1 0593
- Deng, Z., Radhakrishnan, B., and Ong, S. P. (2015). Rational composition optimization of the lithium-rich Li3OCl1- xBrx anti-perovskite superionic conductors. *Chem. Mater*. 27, 3749–3755. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b00988
- Deubener, J., Allix, M., Davis, M. J., Duran, A., Höche, T., Honma, T., et al. (2018). Updated definition of glass-ceramics. J. Non. Cryst. Solids 501, 3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2018.01.033

under inert atmosphere. Our results suggest that excess amounts of LiCl is the key to stabilize the antiperovskite Li₃OCl structure during high-energy ball-milling, and solid-state H^+/Li^+ ion-exchange is proposed as a plausible stabilization mechanism. We obtained consistently high conductivity and good electrochemical stability against Li metal. A lithium and chlorine rich-Li₃OCl composite in this work shows a reasonable room-temperature Li conductivity and good cathodic stability against Li metal. With these desirable electrochemical properties, Li₃OCl can be a promising material to passivate solid-solid interfaces in all-solid-state Li batteries.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YY and MD performed the experiments. JH analyzed impedance results. SL and JK supervised the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The authors are grateful for support from the PSEG Foundation to advance energy innovation at Stevens Institute of Technology. This research used microscopy resources within the Laboratory for Multiscale Imaging at Stevens Institute of Technology, and the authors thank Dr. Tsengming Chou for assistance. YY thanks Dr. Chunhua Hu in the Department of Chemistry at New York University for help with XRD data collection.

- Emly, A., Kioupakis, E., and Van Der Ven, A. (2013). Phase stability and transport mechanisms in antiperovskite Li 3OCl and Li3OBr superionic conductors. *Chem. Mater.* 25, 4663–4670. doi: 10.1021/cm4016222
- Famprikis, T., Canepa, P., Dawson, J. A., Islam, M. S., and Masquelier, C. (2019). Fundamentals of inorganic solid-state electrolytes for batteries. *Nat. Mater.* 18, 1278–1291. doi: 10.1038/s41563-019-0431-3
- Hanghofer, I., Redhammer, G. J., Rohde, S., Hanzu, I., Senyshyn, A., Wilkening, H. M. R., et al. (2018). Untangling the structure and dynamics of lithium-rich anti-perovskites envisaged as solid electrolytes for batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 30, 8134–8144. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b02568
- Lee, H., Oh, P., Kim, J., Cha, H., Chae, S., Lee, S., et al. (2019). Advances and prospects of sulfide all-solid-state lithium batteries via one-to-one comparison with conventional liquid lithium ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* 31:1900376. doi: 10.1002/adma.201900376
- Li, S., Zhu, J., Wang, Y., Howard, J. W., Lü, X., Li, Y., et al. (2016). Reaction mechanism studies towards effective fabrication of lithium-rich anti-perovskites Li3OX (X = Cl, Br). *Solid State Ionics* 284, 14–19. doi: 10.1016/j.ssi.2015.11.027
- Lü, X., Howard, J. W., Chen, A., Zhu, J., Li, S., Wu, G., et al. (2016). Antiperovskite Li3OCl superionic conductor films for solid-state li-ion batteries. *Adv. Sci.* 3:1500359. doi: 10.1002/advs.201500359
- Lü, X., Wu, G., Howard, J. W., Chen, A., Zhao, Y., Daemen, L. L., et al. (2014). Li-rich anti-perovskite Li3OCI films with enhanced ionic conductivity. *Chem. Commun.* 50, 11520–11522. doi: 10.1039/C4CC05372A

- Manthiram, A., Yu, X., and Wang, S. (2017). Lithium battery chemistries enabled by solid-state electrolytes. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2:16103. doi: 10.1038/natrevmats.2016.103
- Miara, L. J., Suzuki, N., Richards, W. D., Wang, Y., Kim, J. C., and Ceder, G. (2015). Li-ion conductivity in Li9S3N. J. Mater. Chem. A 3, 20338–20344. doi: 10.1039/C5TA05432J
- Richards, W. D., Miara, L. J., Wang, Y., Kim, J. C., and Ceder, G. (2016). Interface stability in solid-state batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 28, 266–273. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b04082
- Ruiz, G. N., Bove, L. E., Corti, H. R., and Loerting, T. (2014). Pressureinduced transformations in LiCl-H2O at 77 K. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 18553–18562. doi: 10.1039/C4CP01786B
- Sang, L., Bassett, K. L., Castro, F. C., Young, M. J., Chen, L., Haasch, R. T., et al. (2018). Understanding the effect of interlayers at the thiophosphate solid electrolyte/lithium interface for all-solid-state li batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 30, 8747–8756. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b02368
- Schwering, G., Hönnerscheid, A., Van Wüllen, L., and Jansen, M. (2003). High lithium ionic conductivity in the lithium halide hydrates Li3-n(OHn)Cl (0.83 $\leq n \leq 2$) and Li3-n(OHn)Br ($1 \leq n \leq 2$) at ambient temperatures. *ChemPhysChem* 4, 343–348. doi: 10.1002/cphc.200390060
- Shi, T., Xiao, P., Kwon, D. H., Sai Gautam, G., Chakarawet, K., Kim, H., et al. (2018). Shear-Assisted Formation of Cation-Disordered Rocksalt NaMO2 (M = Fe or Mn). *Chem. Mater.* 30, 8811–8821. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b03490
- Song, A. Y., Turcheniuk, K., Leisen, J., Xiao, Y., Meda, L., Borodin, O., et al. (2020). Understanding Li-Ion dynamics in lithium hydroxychloride (Li2OHCl) solid state electrolyte via addressing the role of protons. *Adv. Energy Mater*. 10:1903480. doi: 10.1002/aenm.201903480
- Wenzel, S., Randau, S., Leichtweiß, T., Weber, D. A., Sann, J., Zeier, W. G., et al. (2016). Direct observation of the interfacial instability of the fast ionic conductor Li10GeP2S12 at the lithium metal anode. *Chem. Mater.* 28, 2400–2407. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b00610

- Wenzel, S., Sedlmaier, S. J., Dietrich, C., Zeier, W. G., and Janek, J. (2018). Interfacial reactivity and interphase growth of argyrodite solid electrolytes at lithium metal electrodes. *Solid State Ionics* 318, 102–112. doi:10.1016/j.ssi.2017.07.005
- Xiao, Y., Wang, Y., Bo, S. H., Kim, J. C., Miara, L. J., and Ceder, G. (2020). Understanding interface stability in solid-state batteries. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 5, 105–126. doi: 10.1038/s41578-019-0157-5
- Zhang, Z., Chen, S., Yang, J., Wang, J., Yao, L., Yao, X., et al. (2018). Interface re-engineering of Li10GeP2S12 electrolyte and lithium anode for all-solidstate lithium batteries with ultralong cycle life. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10, 2556–2565. doi: 10.1021/acsami.7b16176
- Zhao, Y., and Daemen, L. L. (2012). Superionic conductivity in lithium-rich anti-perovskites. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 15042–15047. doi: 10.1021/ja305709z
- Zhu, Y., He, X., and Mo, Y. (2015). Origin of outstanding stability in the lithium solid electrolyte materials: insights from thermodynamic analyses based on first-principles calculations. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7, 23685–23693. doi: 10.1021/acsami.5b07517
- Zhu, Y., He, X., and Mo, Y. (2016). First principles study on electrochemical and chemical stability of solid electrolyte-electrode interfaces in all-solid-state Li-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 3253–3266. doi: 10.1039/C5TA08574H

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Yang, Han, DeVita, Lee and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.