## **Additional Files**

### Results

#### Correlations

Hs-TnI at rest

Resting levels of hs-TnI at baseline were moderately correlated to NT-proBNP levels at rest ( $r_s$ =0.32; p=0.0015), NT-proBNP levels at peak exercise ( $r_s$ =0.32; p=0.0022), age ( $r_s$ =0.30; p=0.004), and BMI ( $r_s$ =-0.27; p=0.008).

Resting levels of hs-TnI at one month were moderately correlated to NT-proBNP at rest ( $r_s$ =0.38; p=0.0004) and at peak exercise ( $r_s$ =0.33; p=0.003). Also correlated to resting systolic ( $r_s$ =0.28; p=0.013) and diastolic ( $r_s$ =0.30; p=0.006) blood pressure, and age ( $r_s$ =0.29; p=0.008).

Resting levels of hs-TnI at six months was correlated to NT-proBNP at rest ( $r_s$ =0.30; p=0.006) and at peak ( $r_s$ =0.28; p=0.016), peak heart rate during exercise ( $r_s$ =-0.28; p=0.016), age ( $r_s$ =0.30; p=0.008) and BMI ( $r_s$ =-0.29; p=0.010).

No statistically significant correlations were found between the relative or absolute change in resting hs-TnI levels versus the relative or absolute change in resting heart rate at six months.

Hs-TnI at peak exercise

Peak levels of hs-TnI at baseline moderately correlated to NT-proBNP at rest ( $r_s$ =0.33; p=0.002) and at peak ( $r_s$ =0.34; p=0.001), and age ( $r_s$ =0.28; p=0.01).

Peak levels of hs-TnI at one month was correlated to NT-proBNP at rest ( $r_s$ =0.38; p=0.001) and peak ( $r_s$ =0.36; p=0.001), diastolic blood pressure ( $r_s$ =0.27; p=0.015), age ( $r_s$ =0.28; p=0.015) and BMI ( $r_s$ =-0.26; p=0.019).

Peak levels of hs-TnI at six months correlated to NT-proBNP at rest ( $r_s$ =0.33; p=0.005) and peak ( $r_s$ =0.31; p=0.008), resting heart rate ( $r_s$ =-0.27; p=0.019), and heart rate at peak exercise ( $r_s$ =-0.32; p=0.006).

# **Sex Differences**

When comparing resting hs-TnI levels between sexes (women 5.76 ng/L (3.91,12.49), men 7.74 ng/L (4.44, 11.74)), there was no significant difference.

#### **Adverse Events**

After randomization, 13 patients were discontinued: 10 in the metoprolol group and three in the diltiazem group. Each patient experienced, on average, 2.7 adverse events: 2.3 in the diltiazem group and 3.0 in the metoprolol group. There was no significant difference between the two treatment groups. During the course of the study, eight Serious Adverse Events ensued, but none were considered by the safety manager to be associated with the allocated drug.

**Table 1**: Results from linear mixed model analyses of treatment with metoprolol compared to diltiazem with log transformed values for hs-TnI.

	Group difference at one month	Group difference at six months
	Metoprolol vs. Diltiazem	Metoprolol vs. Diltiazem
Hs-Tnl at rest	-0.099	0.113
	P=0.148	P=0.107
	(-0.233, 0.035)	(-0.025, 0.251)
Hs-TnI at peak	-0.052	0.110
	P=0.425	P=0.102
	(-0.178, 0.075)	(-0.022, 0.241)
Hs-TnI increase during exercise	0.044	0.344
(peak-rest)	P=0.816	P=0.086
	(-0.325, 0.413)	(-0.049, 0.736)

Values are presented as the between-group difference, P-value and 95% CIs.