Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry

Full Research Paper Investigation of acetyl migrations in furanosides OP Chevallier and ME Migaud*

Address: School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Queen's University, David Keir Building, Stranmillis Road, Belfast, BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland, UK

Received: 25 April 2006 Accepted: 21 July 2006

Email: OP Chevallier - o.chevallier@qub.ac.uk; ME Migaud* - m.migaud@qub.ac.uk * Corresponding author

Published: 21 July 2006

Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry 2006, 2:14 doi:10.1186/1860-5397-2-14

This article is available from: http://bjoc.beilstein-journals.org/content/2/1/14

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2006 Chevallier and Migaud; licensee Beilstein-Institut.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Standard reaction conditions for the desilylation of acetylated furanoside (riboside, arabinoside and xyloside) derivatives facilitate acyl migration. Conditions which favour intramolecular and intermolecular mechanisms have been identified with intermolecular transesterifications taking place under mild basic conditions when intramolecular orthoester formations are disfavoured. In acetyl ribosides, acyl migration could be prevented when desilylation was catalysed by cerium ammonium nitrate.

Introduction

Over the years, a number of methods aimed at achieving chemoselective acylation of carbohydrates have been developed.[1-3] In addition to the challenges encountered in the selective acylation of compounds incorporating multiple hydroxyl groups, subsequent isomerisations of partially acylated products often arise, in particular in the case of acetyl groups.[4] Such migrations take place under varied reaction conditions, often accounting for much of yield loss[5,6] and are often proposed to involve the formation of an orthoester intermediate[7] between adjacent or remote alcohol and ester groups.[8]

We aim to prepare analogues of 1"-O-acetyl adenosine diphosphate ribose (AcO-ADPR) incorporating modified acetylated ribosides, xylosides and arabinosides in place of the ribose moiety. The synthesis of such compounds requires access to well-characterised acetylated xylose, arabinose and ribose synthetic precursors and while few acetylated furanosides have been reported in the literature, those that have, have often been obtained in modest yields and reliable compound characterisations have been limited.[9-11] Whilst attempting the synthesis of 1-O-acetyl, 2,3-isopropylidene riboside **1a** from the 5-O-silylated precursor **1** (Scheme 1) using mild desilylating conditions, three compounds were isolated, evidently products of acyl migration processes. In order to synthesise acetylated ADPR analogues, unequivocally, the free C5-hydroxyl derivatives of the monoacetylated furanosides must be obtained without rearrangement upon protecting group manipulation. Consequently, it was decided to carefully characterise all possible rearrangement products occurring in acetylated furanoside precursors. To the best of our knowledge, acyl migrations occurring under such mild reaction conditions in furanosides has not been investigated in contrast with the extensive work that has been carried out on pyranosides.[12-15]



Scheme I: Acetyl migration products upon TBAF/THF treatment

Therefore, an investigation on the mechanisms, reaction conditions and structural features, which control this type of chemistry in furanosides was initiated and conditions under which such migration could be minimised were sought. To this end, a series of acetylated furanosides (1–5) were synthesised. Product distribution due to acetyl migration was carefully examined when these compounds were treated under neutral or mildly basic/acidic reaction conditions that promote the unmasking of a silylated alcohol.

Results and discussion

All acetylated furanosides were prepared according to synthetic routes which favoured divergent syntheses (see additional information for full experimental data). Riboside 1 was synthesised from ribose in 47% overall yield via a chemoselective silylation of the C-5 primary hydroxyl group of 2,3-O-isopropylidene ribose (Scheme 2). The acetylated xyloside 2 and riboside 3 were both synthesised from xylose in 46% and 45% overall yield, respectively.



Scheme 2: Synthesis of riboside 1. a) 2,2-Dimethoxypropane, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, acetone (65%); b) TBDMSCI, pyridine/DCM, DMAP (80%); c) Ac_2O , pyridine, DMAP (90%)



Scheme 3: Synthesis of xyloside 2 and riboside 3. a) i) acetone, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, CuSO₄; ii) HCl 0.2 M (65%); b) TBDMSCl, pyridine/DCM, DMAP (79%); c) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, DCM (100%); d) NaBH₄, THF/H₂O (100%); e) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP (90%; both 2 and 3).

The methyl arabinoside 4 was synthesised from arabinose (Scheme 4) in 17% overall yield in 12 steps; a long synthetic sequence which was dictated by the preferred pyra-

noside form of arabinose in solution. The successful synthesis required three successive protecting group interconversions to allow for the base catalysed benzylation of the C-3 hydroxyl and the acid catalysed acetonide removal prior to acetylation at the C-2 position of the methyl arabinoside. While an acid-catalysed benzylation would have significantly shorten the route, it was found to be unsuccessful when carried out on 5-O-TBDPS-protected 1,2-Oisopropylidene arabinoside. Riboside 5 (Scheme 5) was synthesised from 5-O-t-butyldiphenylsilyl-1,2-O-isopropylidene arabinoside, a synthetic intermediate used in the synthesis of riboside 3, in 12% overall yield from ribose.



Scheme 4: Synthesis of arabinoside 4. a) HSEt, 6M aq HCl (85%); b) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMAP, DMF (94%); c) HgO, HgCl₂, acetone (80%); d) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, acetone (85%); e) BnBr, NaH, THF (97%); f) TBAF, THF (87%); g) PivCl, pyridine/DCM, DMAP (85%); h) TFA/H₂O (8/2) (87%); i) MeOH, H₂SO₄ (80%); j) MeONa/MeOH (76%); k) TBDMSCl, pyridine/DCM, DMAP (85%); l) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP (98%).



Scheme 5: Synthesis of riboside 5. a) BnBr, NaH, THF (82%); b) TBAF, THF (84%); c) PivCl, pyridine/DCM, DMAP (95%); d) TFA/ H₂O (8/2) (78%); e) MeOH, H₂SO₄ (73%); f) MeONa/MeOH (85%); g) TBDMSCl, pyridine/DCM, DMAP (78%); h) Ac₂O, pyridine, DMAP(98%).

During the TBAF-catalysed deprotection of the silyl ether of β -1-O-acetyl riboside 1, a mixture of three different ribosyl derivatives (**1b-d**, Scheme 1) was obtained. The components of this mixture were separated by chromatography and individually identified by NMR and MS analyses. The main product **1b**, for which the anomeric proton is shielded by -0.7 ppm and the diastereotopic C-5 protons de-shielded by 0.46 and 0.53 ppm when compared

	ні	H2	H3	H4	H5,H5'	Others signals
I	6.16, s	4.68, d (J ₂₋₃ = 5.9 Hz)	4.77, d (J ₂₋₃ = 5.9 Hz)	4.31, dd (J _{4-5'} = 4.9 Hz, J ₄₋₅ = 8.0 Hz)	3.68, ABX (J ₄₋₅ = 8.1 Hz, J _{5-5'} = 10.5 Hz) 3.54, ABX (J _{4-5'} = 4.9 Hz, J _{5-5'} = 10.5 Hz)	2.03, s (CH ₃ CO) 1.49, 1.33, s (CMe) 0.90, s (tBuSi) 0.09, 2xs, (MeSi)
la	6.15, s	4.64, d (J ₂₋₃ = 6.0 Hz)	4.70, d (J ₂₋₃ = 6.0 Hz)	4.33, dd (J ₄₋₅ = J _{4-5'} = 5.4 Hz)	3.82–3.87, m	2.04, s (CH ₃ CO) 1.43, 1.27, s (CMe)
Ιb	β :5.46, d (3.0 Hz) α :5.38,dd	β :4.64, d $(J_{2-3} = 5.9 \text{ Hz})$ α : 4.65-4.63, m	β :4.70, d (J ₂₋₃ = 5.9 Hz) α : 4.65-4.63, m	β :4.37, dd (J ₄₋₅ = 7.3 Hz, J ₄₋₅ = 6.0 Hz) α : 4.40-4.39, m	β :4.14, ABX (J ₄₋₅ = 7.3 Hz, J ₅₋₅ = 11.6 Hz) β :4.07, ABX (J ₄₋₅ = 6.0 Hz, J ₅₋₅ = 11.6 Hz) α :4.30-4.28, m α :4.16-4.14, m	β :2.09, s (CH ₃ CO) β : 1.49, 1.33, s (CMe) α :2.08, s (CH ₃ CO) α : 1.57, 1.40, s (CMe)
lc	6.15, s	4.67, s	4.67, s	4.40, dd (J ₄₋₅ = 7.1 Hz; J ₄₋₅ , = 6.5 Hz)	4.08, ABX (j ₄₋₅ = 7.1 Hz, J _{5-5'} = 11.9 Hz) 4.05, ABX (j _{4-5'} = 6.5 Hz, J _{5-5'} = 11.9 Hz)	2.03, 2.00, 2xs (CH ₃ CO) 1.43 1.27, s (CMe)
١d	β:5.34, d (6.2 Hz) α:5.44 (d, J ₁₋₂ = 4.2 Hz, J _{1-OH} = 9.8 Hz)	$\begin{array}{l} \beta {:} 4.52, \mbox{ d} \\ (J_{2-3} = 5.9 \mbox{ Hz}) \\ \alpha : 4.66 \mbox{ (dd, 1} \\ H, \mbox{ J}_{1-2} = 4.2 \mbox{ Hz}, \\ J_{2-3} = 6.5 \mbox{ Hz}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \beta \text{:} 4.76, \text{ d} \\ (J_{2-3} = 5.9 \text{ Hz}) \\ \alpha \text{ : } 4.74, \text{ dd} \\ (J_{3-4} = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, \\ J_{2-3} = 6.6 \text{ Hz}) \end{array}$	β:4.31-4.27, m α: 4.31-4.27, m	β:3.67-3.64, m α:3.67-3.64, m	β:5.64 (OH ₁) β:1.43, 1.27, s (CMe) α: 1.58, 1.40, s (CMe)

Table I: ¹H-NMR chemical shifts for I and desilylated products Ia-d.

with 1, arises by an acetyl migration from the β -anomer C1-position to the C5-primary alcohol, possibly intramolecularly *via* an orthoester intermediate. Isolation of the bis-acetylated riboside **1c** and of the riboside **1d** indicated that an intermolecular trans-esterification was also taking place.

To identify the reaction conditions and the structural features of the acetylated furanosides facilitating acetyl migration upon protecting group manipulation, the various protected carbohydrates (1–5) were treated with tetrabutyl ammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF, with KF in the presence of 18-crown-6 in benzene, with ceric ammonium nitrate IV (CAN) in aqueous acetonitrile and with H₂-Pd/C in THF. No attempts were made to examine standard protic acid-catalysed desilylations such as AcOH in H₂O/THF[16] or TFA/H₂O in DCM[17] since we observed rapid degradation under such conditions of the synthetic intermediates of compounds 1–5, all incorporating either an acetonide or a methyl ketal moiety.

The reactions were stopped as soon as complete disappearance of the starting material had occurred to minimise rearrangements subsequent to the deprotection step. The ratio of products and migration products were calculated based on the quantities of purified material isolated by chromatography, as ¹H-NMRs of the crude reaction mixtures were rarely sufficient to establish such ratios accurately. The chemical shifts and the splitting patterns along with nOe experiments were sufficiently clear to allow accurate compound identification. No isomerisation was detected for any of the isolated compounds when stored in an organic solvent such as hexane, ethyl acetate

or CDCl₃ for up to 12 hrs. The chemical shifts of the ¹Hand ¹³C-NMR of the desilylation products for compound 1 (Table 1 and Table 2) are representative of the chemical pattern for all investigated furanoside derivatives.

When derivatives 1, 2 and 3 were reacted with a reagent source of fluoride, intramolecular acetyl migration was detected for compound 1 and 2 (1b and 2b) but not for compound 3 (Table 3). The facile intramolecular migration observed for 1 and 2 involves an orthoester intermediate[18] which cannot form readily from riboside 3. This latter observation is in contrast with the acetyl migration often reported for the glucoside series[19] and for which the orthoester intermediate (chair conformation) can form easily under mildly basic or acidic conditions. In riboside 3, the rigid conformation of the furanose ring prevents the formation of this orthoacetate. Similarly, no migration product 4b (Table 4) was detected when arabinoside 4 was treated with TBAF in THF while as expected, the acetyl migration between the C2 and C3 positions in the furanoside 5 occurred readily under neutral anhydrous conditions (Table 4). In riboside 5, the five membered cyclic orthoester intermediate yields a 50-50 ratio of 2-O and 3-O-acetylated products (5a and 5b, Table 4).

The formation of such an orthoester did not occur in compounds 3, 4 or 5 under fluoride-catalysed desilylation conditions and as a result no intramolecular migration products were observed. However, for all sugars, a small proportion of bis-acetylated (**compounds c**) and nonacetylated (**compounds d**) compounds have also been isolated (table 3 and table 4). The proportion of intermolecular transesterification and de-esterification products increased when anhydrous KF conditions were used instead of commercial TBAF in THF (Table 3, condition C). Similarly, longer reaction time of the fluoride-cata-

C). Similarly, longer reaction time of the fluoride-catalysed desilylation reactions resulted in an increase in the formation of c and d type derivatives.

In addition, the formation of the **c** and **d** furanoside derivatives took place, as easily when the liberated hydroxyl group was either *syn* or *anti* to the acetyl moiety and whether either five or six atoms separated the oxygen atoms of the silyloxy and the acetyl moieties. Such direct transesterification is intermolecular and while it has been observed in nucleoside-phosphoramidite and glycoside chemistry,[20-23] this alkoxide-promoted intermolecular acetyl migration process has been overlooked in furanosides. The isolated quantities of 1c and 1d decreased by a factor of two upon dilution (1:10) when 1 was reacted with TBAF, while 1b formation remained rapid with no detection of 1a formation. Similarly, complete transesterification took place when riboside 6 was treated with acetyl riboside 1 under basic conditions (scheme 6).







	conditions	a R= H; R'= Ac	b R = Ac; R' = H	c R = Ac;R' = Ac	d R= H; R'= H
		la	lb	lc	١d
	А	0	90	5	5
R= TBDMS	В	100	0	0	0
	С	0	70	15	15
2 RO 0		2a	2b	2c	2d
	А	5	85	5	5
R= TBDMS R'= Ac R'O	В	0	100	0	0
3 ^{RO} , O		3a	3b	3c	3d
• • • • • • • • • •	Α	90	0	5	5
R= TBDMS R'= Ac R'O O	В	100	0	0	0

conditions: A: TBAF/THF; B: CAN; CH₃CN/H₂O; C KF. 18-crown-6; Benzene;

C2

CI

C4

C3

Others signals

I	102.6	85.I	81.6	88.0	63.4	169.5 (CO)
						112.7 (Cg)
						26.4, 25.1 (CMe)
						25.8 (SiC($\dot{C}H_{3}$))
						21.6 (CH ₃ CO)
						18.2 (CSi)
						-4.3,-4.4, ((<u>C</u> H ₃) ₂ Si)
la	102.3	85.2	80.9	88.6	63.2	169.2 (CO)
						112.7 (Cg)
						26.2, 24.7 (CMe)
						21.0 (<u>C</u> H ₃ CO)
IЬ	103.2 (β)	85.9 (β)	81.8 (β)	84.9 (β)	65.4 (β)	170.4 (CO)
	97.2 (α)	79.3 (α)	78.5 (α)	8I.4 (α)	64.9 (α)	I I 2.7 (Cβ q); I I 4.2 (Cα q)
						26.5, 24.6 (Cβ Me); 26.0, 24.8 (Cα Me)
						20.9 (<u>CH</u> ₃ CO)
lc	102.0	85.2	81.4	84.9	63.9	170.2, 169.0 (CO)
						113.0 (Cg)
						26.2, 24.8 (ĆMe)
						21.0, 20.6 (CH ₂ CO)
١d	Ι 02.6 (β)	86.6 (B)	81.5 (B)	87.5 (B)	63.3 (B)	[]2.0 (Cβ a): []4.0 (Cα a)
	96.9 (α)	81.0 (α)	79.3 (α)	81.3 (α)	63.0 (α)	26.2, 24.9 (C β Me): 26.2, 25.3 (C α Me)
	(00)	2		2112 (00)	(00)	

C5

	conditions	a R= H; R'= Ac	b R= Ac; R'= H	c R= Ac; R'= Ac	d R= H; R'= H
4 ^{RO}		4a	4b	4c	4d
	А	90	0	5	5
R'= Ac BnŐ OR'	В	100	0	0	0
		5a	5b	5c	5d
	А	90	0	5	5
5 R"O VOV	В	100	0	0	0
R= Ac R'= Bn R'=TBDMS		5e R= H; R'= Ac R"= TBDMS	5f R= Ac; R'= H R"= TBDMS		
	D	50	50		

Table 4: Acetyl migration products for furanosides 4 and 5

conditions: A: TBAF/THF; B: CAN; CH₃CN/H₂O; C KF. 18-crown-6; Benzene;

Acetyl migration in riboside 1 was prevented when CAN was used instead of fluoride-containing reagents, indicating that the seven membered ring orthoester was not favoured under these mildly acidic conditions. This reagent was also found suitable for the silvl-removal in the other riboside 3 and 5 and arabinoside 4 with no transesterification occurrence. Unfortunately, the formation of a six-membered ring orthoacetate appears to be facilitated under acid-catalysed reaction conditions and total intramolecular acetyl migration occurred in xyloside 2 in the presence of CAN, showing how finally balanced things are in these systems.

In conclusion, to minimise acetyl migration in furanoside derivatives, base-catalysed reactions should be conducted under dilute conditions when the formation of an orthoacetate is disfavoured to minimise intermolecular transesterification. Unfortunately, we have been unable to identify a set of reaction conditions which could minimise the readily occurring intramolecular migration resulting from the formation of a five or six membered ring orthoacetate intermediate. Under such circumstances, migration can only be circumvented by controlling the stereochemistry of the reactive centres and opting for a multistep synthetic approach. However, it can be said that in mild acidic conditions provide better control over intra- and intermolecular acetyl migration than basic conditions. Finally, CAN has been identified as a very useful alternative reagent to TBAF in preventing unwanted base-catalysed acetyl migration in the deprotection of silvlated furanosides.

Additional material

Additional File 1

contains all experimental data Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1860-5397-2-14-S1.doc]

Acknowledgements

The European Social Funds provided the financial support of O. Chevallier's studentship.

References

- Haines AH: Adv Carbohydr Chem Biochem 1976, 33:11. 1.
- Tsuda Y, Yoshimoto K: J Synth Org Chem Jpn 1984, 42:479. 2.
- Nahmany M, Melman A: Org Biol Chem 2004, 2:1563. 3.
- 4.
- Mastihubova M, Biely P: *Carbohydr Res* 2004, **339:**1353. Orita A, Hamada Y, Nakano T, Toyoshima S, Otera J: *Chem Eur J* 5. 2001. 7:3321.
- 6. Ishido Y, Sakairi N, Sekiya M, Nakazaki N: Carbohydr Res 1981, 97:51.
- 7. Dohrshuck A: J Am Chem Soc 1952, 74:4202.
- 8. Bonner WA: [Org Chem 1959, 24:1388
- 9. Ning J, Kong F: Carbohydr Res 2001, 330:165.
- 10. Hennen WJ, Sweers HM, Wang Y-F, Wong C-H: J Org Chem 1988, 53(21):4939.
- H. Moravcova J, Kefurt K, Hladuvkova R, Stanek J: Collect Czech Chem Commun 2000, 65(10):1619.
- 12. Petrovic V, Tomic C, Matanovic M: Carbohydr Res 2002, 337:863.
- 13. Hanefeld U: Org Biomol Chem 2003, 1:2405.
- 14. La Ferla B: Monasth Chem 2002, 133:351.
- 15. Graziani A, Passacantilli P, Piancatelli G, Tani S: Tetrahedron Lett 2001, 42:3857
- 16 Kawai A, Hara O, Hamada Y, Shiari T: Tetrahedron Lett 1988, 29:6331. 17. Baker R, Cummings WG, Hayes JF, Kumar A: J Chem Soc Chem Com-
- mun 1986:1237.
- 18 Bouchra M, Calinaud P, Gelas J: Synthesis 1995:561.
- 19. Horrobin T, Tran CH, Crout DJ: Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1 1998, 6:1069-1080
- 20. Isai SV, Usol'tsev AA, Stiba EN: Chem Nat Compd 2003, 39:325.
- 21. Dickinson RG, King AR: Biochem Pharmacol 1991, 42:2301.
- 22. Poijarvi P, Oivanen M, Lonnberg H: Nuc Nuc Nuc Acids 2001, 20:77.

- 23. Vesely J, Ledvina M, Jindrich J: Coll Czech Chem Commun 2004, 69:1914.
- Wilcox CS, Otoski RM: Tetrahedron Lett 1986, 27:1011.
 Sasaki S, Yamauchi H, Nagatsugi F, Takahashi R, Taniguchi Y, Maeda M: Tetrahedron Lett 2001, 42:6915.
- Logue MW, Han B-H: Carbohydr Res 1983, 121:287.
 Kloosterman M, Mosmuller EWJ, Shoemaker HE: Tetrahedron Lett 1987, 28:2289.
- Ishido Y, Sakairi N, Sekiya M, Nakazaki N: Carbohydr Res 1981, 97:51.
 Chittenden G: Carbohydr Res 1972, 22:491.