## ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Revised: 1 October 2020

WILEY

## Reproductive and genetic roles of the maternal progenitor in the origin of common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)

Yoshihiro Matsuoka<sup>1</sup> Naoki Mori<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fukui Prefectural University, Yoshida, Fukui, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Crop Evolution, Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

#### Correspondence

Yoshihiro Matsuoka, Fukui Prefectural University, Matsuoka, Eiheiji, Yoshida, Fukui 910-1195, Japan. Email: matsuoka@fpu.ac.jp

#### **Funding information**

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant, Grant/Award Number: JP 15H04439, JP 19H02935 and JP 19KK0157

#### Abstract

Common wheat (Triticum aestivum L., AABBDD genome) is thought to have emerged through natural hybridization between Triticum turgidum L. (AABB genome) and Aegilops tauschii Coss. (DD genome). Hybridization barriers and doubling of the trihaploid F<sub>1</sub> hybrids' genome (ABD) via unreduced gamete fusion had key roles in the process. However, how T. turgidum, the maternal progenitor, was involved in these mechanisms remains unknown. An artificial cross-experiment using 46 cultivated and 31 wild T. turgidum accessions and a single Ae. tauschii tester with a very short genetic distance to the common wheat D genome was conducted. Cytological and quantitative trait locus analyses of F<sub>1</sub> hybrid genome doubling were performed. The crossability and ability to cause hybrid inviability did not greatly differ between the cultivars and wild accessions. The ability to cause hybrid genome doubling was higher in the cultivars. Three novel T. turgidum loci for hybrid genome doubling, which influenced unreduced gamete production in F1 hybrids, were identified. Cultivated T. turgidum might have increased the probability of the emergence of common wheat through its enhanced ability to cause genome doubling in F1 hybrids with Ae. tauschii. The ability enhancement might have involved alterations at a relatively small number of loci.

#### KEYWORDS

Aegilops tauschii, allopolyploidy, hybrid genome doubling, interspecific hybridization, nonreductional meiosis, Triticum, unreduced gametes

## **1** | INTRODUCTION

Common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.; AABBDD genome) is the most widely grown crop worldwide. Its origin and evolution have been matters of long-standing research interest. Currently, common wheat is thought to have emerged through natural hybridization of Triticum turgidum L. (AABB genome) as the maternal progenitor and Aegilops tauschii Coss. (formerly known as Aegilops squarrosa L.; DD genome) as the paternal progenitor (Kihara, 1944; McFadden & Sears, 1944). T. turgidum exists in its wild form [T. turgidum subsp. dicoccoides (Körn.

ex Asch. & Graebn.) Thell.] and as various cultivars, whereas Ae. tauschii is a wild species that is spread throughout central Eurasia, from Syria to western China (Kilian et al., 2011; van Slageren, 1994). The domestication of T. turgidum took place in the Fertile Crescent ca. 10,000 years ago (Zohary et al., 2012). In a widely accepted scenario for the origin of common wheat, cultivated T. turgidum, which met with Ae. tauschii through human-mediated migration associated with the spread of agriculture across and beyond the Fertile Crescent, is considered the female progenitor, based mainly on the fact that no wild form of T. aestivum has ever been found (Kihara, 1966, 1975).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Ecology and Evolution

-WILEY

The *T. turgidum* cultivars are classified into two types based on grain threshability: the hulled type, including emmer wheat [*T. turgidum* subsp. *dicoccum* (Schrank ex Schübl.) Thell.] and the free-threshing type, including durum wheat [*T. turgidum* subsp. *durum* (Desf.) Huns.]. Emmer wheat is prototypic. Lines of genetic and archeological evidence suggest that the female progenitor of common wheat likely was a free-threshing cultivar (Matsuoka, 2011). The location in which the original hybridization took place is unknown; however, the southern coastal region of the Caspian Sea is a good candidate based on genetic evidence (Matsuoka & Takumi, 2017; Tsunewaki, 1966; Wang et al., 2013).

The evolution of common wheat represents a typical case of plant allopolyploid evolution in which hybridization barriers between the parental species and unreduced gamete production in their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids are highly influential (Ramsey & Schemske, 1998). Pre- and postpollination mechanisms can prevent allopolyploid speciation from occurring by limiting the formation and viability of interspecific F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, whereas the fusion of unreduced gametes results in the formation of nascent allopolyploids through doubling of the F1 hybrid genome. In crosses of T. turgidum with Ae. tauschii, various hybridization barriers, such as reduced crossability between the parental species and lethality/weakness in the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, are observed (Matsuoka & Takumi, 2017; Mizuno et al., 2010, 2011; Nishikawa, 1953). The trihaploid F<sub>1</sub> hybrids (ABD genome) undergo genome doubling through unreduced gamete fusion and set allohexaploid F<sub>2</sub> seeds (AABBDD; Kihara, 1946). The genotypes of parental T. turgidum and Ae. tauschii accessions influence the frequency of genome doubling, which can be measured as the seedset rates of F1 hybrids (Fukuda & Sakamoto, 1992; Kihara et al., 1965).

Previous studies have shed some light on the role of Ae. tauschii in the evolution of common wheat. Ae. tauschii has three intraspecific lineages that are defined on the basis of genome-wide molecular marker genotypes: two major lineages (TauL1 and TauL2) and one small lineage (TauL3). TauL2 and TauL3 are more closely related to the D genome of common wheat than TauL1 (Matsuoka et al., 2013; Mizuno et al., 2010). Geographically, TauL1 is spread across the species range, whereas TauL2 is restricted to the western region of the species range, that is, the Transcaucasian-Middle Eastern region, and TauL3 exists only in Georgia. Interestingly, Ae. tauschii is polymorphic in its ability to cause reproductive barrier expression in the cross with T. turgidum and unreduced gamete production in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids (Matsuoka et al., 2007). This suggests that some genotypes of Ae. tauschii may have had more opportunities to be involved in the origin of common wheat than others because they frequently hybridized with T. turgidum and because the F1 hybrids were likely to produce unreduced gametes. In fact, artificial cross-studies have shown that southern Caspian accessions of the TauL2 lineage have a high potential for natural hybridization with T. turgidum (Matsuoka & Takumi, 2017). Thus, the genealogically and geographically structured polymorphism in the crossability with T. turgidum may have had a profound impact on the spatial patterns of common wheat speciation. In addition, Ae. tauschii has six quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for genome doubling in the  $F_1$  hybrids it produces with *T. tur*gidum (Matsuoka et al., 2013).

However, very little is known about the natural variation in T. turgidum's ability to cause reproductive barrier expression in crosses with Ae. tauschii. In addition, how variation in the ability to cause genome doubling in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids with Ae. tauschii is structured in this species remains to be addressed. Previous artificial cross-studies have shown that the T. turgidum genotype influences the degrees of viability and fertility in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids with Ae. tauschii (Kihara et al., 1965; Nishikawa, 1953). T. turgidum has a QTL that is involved in unreduced gamete production in the hybrids (Hao et al., 2014). Nevertheless, further studies are required to clarify the role of T. turgidum in the evolution of common wheat and to answer other questions such as: Do cultivated and wild forms differ in reproductive isolation patterns in crosses with Ae. tauschii? Do they differ in the ability to cause hybrid inviability and genome doubling? What is the genetic basis of the ability to cause hybrid genome doubling in this species? Answers to these questions are essential to understand the genetic underpinning of common wheat evolution.

In the present study, a series of artificial cross-experiments, QTL analysis, and cytological observations were conducted to address the above questions. In the artificial cross-experiment, a diverse array of T. turgidum accessions were crossed with a single Ae. tauschii tester accession with a very short genetic distance to the D genome of common wheat, with the aim to evaluate the natural variation patterns of crossability with Ae. tauschii and the abilities to cause inviability and genome doubling in F1 hybrids. The QTL analysis and cytological observations were conducted to clarify the genetic basis of the ability of T. turgidum to cause genome doubling in  $F_1$  hybrids with Ae. tauschii. Major findings were as follows: (a) the cultivars were less crossable with the tester than with the wild accessions were, but the crossability value ranges widely overlapped between the two groups, (b) the proportion of the accessions that produced viable, fertile F<sub>1</sub> plants was similar in cultivars and wild accessions, (c) hybrid genome doubling frequencies were generally increased in cultivar-derived F1 versus wild-accession-derived  $F_1$  genotypes, (d) some cultivar-derived F1 genotypes had very high hybrid genome doubling frequencies (>50%), and (e) in the cultivars, a relatively small number of loci that influence unreduced gamete production in F1 hybrids with Ae. tauschii might be involved in the enhanced ability to cause hybrid genome doubling. On the basis of these findings, we discuss insights into the role of T. turgidum in the evolution of common wheat.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 | T. turgidum accessions

This study used 46 cultivated and 31 wild *T. turgidum* accessions (Table S1). The cultivated accessions represented a diverse array of intraspecific taxa: *T. turgidum* subsp. *carthlicum* (Nevski) Á. Löve & D. Löve (one accession), *T. turgidum* subsp. *dicoccum* (Schrank ex Schübl.) Thell. (10 accessions), *T. turgidum* subsp. *durum* (Desf.) Husn.

(24 accessions), T. turgidum subsp. paleocolchicum (Menabde) Á. Löve & D. Löve (one accession), T. turgidum subsp. turanicum (Jakubz.) Á. Löve & D. Löve (one accession), and T. turgidum subsp. turgidum L. (two accessions). In addition, seven cultivated accessions that were classified by their collectors as Triticum abyssinicum Vav. (two accessions), Triticum ispahanicum Heslot (two accessions), Triticum orientale Perc. (one accession), and Triticum pyramidale (Del.) Perc. (two accessions) were used. All the cultivated accessions were landraces, except for the modern cultivar, T. turgidum subsp. durum cv. "Langdon" (called LDN here after). Wild accessions (T. turgidum subsp. dicoccoides) were sampled to represent the subspecies distribution range and chloroplast DNA haplotype diversity. Thirty of the 32 chloroplast DNA haplotypes found in a source collection were included in the present study (Mori et al., 2003).

## 2.2 | Ae. tauschii tester accession

The use of an appropriate Ae. tauschii tester is essential for the artificial cross-experiment to be informative with regard to the role of T. turgidum in the evolution of common wheat. In the present study, we used a single Ae. tauschii accession, KU-2103, as the tester. This accession belongs to the TauL2 lineage (Matsuoka et al., 2013), and it was provided by the Plant Germ-plasm Institute of Kyoto University and the National BioResource Project (NBRP)-KOMUGI. We used KU-2103 as the tester because (a) it is one of the accessions that had the shortest genetic distance to the D genome of common wheat (Wang et al., 2013), (b) it has positive alleles at the major QTLs that are involved in the expression of genome doubling in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids with LDN (Matsuoka et al., 2013), and (c) it originated in the southern coastal Caspian region of Iran, which is a candidate region for the cradle of common wheat (Kihara et al., 1965, reported as "stock number 2148" therein). KU-2013 was also used to produce a segregant population for the QTL analysis.

## 2.3 | Artificial cross-experiment

Seeds of the *T. turgidum* and *Ae. tauschii* accessions were sown in individual pots in early winter, and the germinated plants grew in an unheated greenhouse throughout the season. Spikes of healthy *T. turgidum* plants were fully emasculated before anthesis and individually bagged until use, to prevent pollen contamination. Two days after emasculation, pollen of the KU-2013 tester was applied to the pistils of the emasculated spikes by hand. Only pistils on the first and second florets of well-developed spikelets were pollinated. Pollen of one dehiscing anther was applied to up to two pistils. Multiple spikes were used for crossing in all *T. turgidum* accessions, except for KU-145, Pl 254190, and Vernal. Spikes were immediately rebagged after pollination. Gibberellic acid solution was not applied to the embryos. To reduce the effect of possible technical idiosyncrasies, a single person performed emasculation and pollination. After harvest, the well-developed seeds per spike were counted. The crossability value was calculated for each *T. turgidum* accession as the number of seeds set divided by the number of pollinated florets.

The  $F_1$  hybrid seeds were germinated in Petri dishes at 20°C, transplanted into individual pots in early winter, and grown in an unheated greenhouse. Up to six seeds were used per  $F_1$  genotype. Colchicine was not applied to the  $F_1$  plants. Hybridity was confirmed morphologically by comparing coleoptile color, waxiness, and spike shape between the  $F_1$  and their maternal parent plants. For selfing, spikes on well-developed tillers were individually bagged before anthesis. After harvest, well-developed seeds set in the first and second florets of each spike were counted. For each  $F_1$  genotype, the hybrid genome doubling frequency was determined as the selfed seedset rate, as seedset by selfing is a good indicator of the occurrence of genome doubling via unreduced gamete fusion (Kihara, 1946). The hybrid genome doubling frequency was calculated for each  $F_1$  genotype as the number of seeds set divided by the number of florets examined for seedset.

## 2.4 | Statistical analysis

Differences between the cultivated and the wild T. turgidum accessions in crossability with the KU-2103 tester and in their ability to cause genome doubling in the  ${\rm F}_1$  hybrids were evaluated using a model selection approach based on generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis. In this analysis, an alternative model that included a fixed effect was compared with a null model without a specified fixed effect, using the Ime4 package (Bates et al., 2015) for R ver. 3.6 (R Core Team, 2019). Both models were generated using the glmer function of the package, which fits a fixed effect and random effects with a binomial error distribution on a logit link function based on a maximum likelihood estimation method. Model selection was performed based on the minimum Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) value, which is an estimate of the amount of information lost when a given model is used to approximate the process that generated the observed data. Overdispersion was estimated using the overdisp\_fun function (Bolker, 2019). Departure of the alternative model from the null model was examined by a likelihood ratio test using the anova function. The theoretical marginal  $R^2$  (the proportion of variance explained by the fixed effect) and conditional  $R^2$  (the proportion of variance explained by the fixed effect and random effects) values of the alternative model were calculated using the r.squarredG-LMM function of the MuMIn package (Bartoń, 2019; Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2013). The R commander package (Fox, 2005) was used to conduct a chi-squared test for the difference between cultivated and wild T. turgidum in terms of the proportions of the accessions that produced inviable F<sub>1</sub> plants.

## 2.5 | QTL analysis

QTL analysis was used to identify loci in the T. *turgidum* genome that influence the occurrence of genome doubling in  $F_1$  hybrids with *Ae. tauschii*. A T. *turgidum* accession that generated an  $F_1$  genotype

with a high genome doubling frequency when crossed with the KU-2103 tester (termed "high accession") and an accession that generated an  $F_1$  genotype with a low genome doubling frequency when crossed with the KU-2103 tester (termed "low accession") were selected from the collection. To produce the segregant population, we first crossed the high accession (female) with the low accession (male).  $F_1$  plants of the cross between the high and low accessions were crossed with the KU-2103 tester (male) to obtain seeds of trihaploid segregants (ABD genome) in which only the loci on the A and B genomes were segregated.

Seeds of the segregants (374 individuals), together with seeds of the high-accession-KU-2103  $F_1$  hybrid (one individual) and the low-accession-KU-2103  $F_1$  hybrid (one individual), were germinated in Petri dishes at 20°C, transplanted into individual pots in early winter, and then grown in an unheated greenhouse. The DNeasy plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) was used to extract total DNA from healthy leaves of individual plants. Each individual plant was subjected to DArTseq haplotyping at Diversity Arrays Technology Pty. Ltd. The hybrid genome doubling frequency in each segregant individual was measured as the selfed seedset rate. Selfing, seed and floret counting, and rate calculation were conducted as described above for measuring the hybrid genome doubling frequency.

DArTseq examines numerous genome fragments, aligns these fragments to a reference genome sequence, and produces a biallelic single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) dataset. The SNP alleles were coded as "1" (present) or "0" (absent) and further screened for use based on the following criteria: (a) the SNPs must be physically mapped to the A or B genome of the *T. aestivum* reference genome sequence provided by Diversity Arrays Technology (wheat\_ ChineseSpringO4); (b) the SNPs must be homozygotic in all individuals given their haploid nature (i.e., heterozygotic SNPs are considered erroneous); (c) the SNPs must have no missing values in the high-accession-KU-2103 and low-accession-KU-2103  $F_1$  hybrids, because this information is required for determining the source *T. turgidum* accessions of the SNP alleles; and (d) the missing data percentage for each SNP must be less than 5%.

A linkage map was then constructed on the basis of the qualified SNPs and the MSTmap algorithm implemented in the ASMap package, using the mstmap function with the "bychr" and "anchor" options (Taylor & Butler, 2017; Wu et al., 2008). Genetic map distances were calculated based on the Kosambi function (Kosambi, 1944). The QTL analysis was carried out using the R/qtl package based on the model for recombinant inbred lines produced by selfing, because the haplotype data for the trihaploid segregants had two categories, with no possibility of heterozygosity (Broman et al., 2003). Conditional genotype probabilities were calculated using the calc.genoprob function (density, 1 cM), assuming a genotyping error rate of 0.001. The sim.geno function was used to impute missing genotypes, with 256 draws. Single-QTL analyses were performed using the scanone function based on the EM algorithm (for the binary trait) and multiple imputation (for the quantitative trait). The statistical significance of putative QTLs was examined by 100,000-fold permutation tests. Approximate 95% Bayesian credible intervals for the QTL locations 13929



**FIGURE 1** Box and dot plots of the crossability values of cultivated and wild forms of *Triticum turgidum*. In the cultivated form plot, triangles and circles denote free-threshing and non-free-threshing accessions, respectively

were calculated using the *bayesint* function with the "expandtomarkers" option. QTL model fitting was done using the *makeqtl* and *fitqtl* functions. In multiple-QTL modeling, the *addint* function was used to test pairwise interactions among QTLs.

## 2.6 | Cytological observations

Meiotic cell divisions in the pollen mother cells (PMCs) were analyzed by the conventional aceto-carmine squash method (Matsuoka & Nasuda, 2004) with some modifications. Immature anthers were collected from young spikes, fixed in a mixture of anhydrous ethanol and acetic acid (3:1), and stored at 4°C until use. For the tracking of cell division progression, anthers were sampled from consecutive primary or secondary florets arrayed along the rachis of a spike. For microscopy, fixed anthers were stained with aceto-carmine at 20°C for several hours and then squashed in a drop of 45% acetic acid. Cells were observed and imaged under a BX-51 microscope equipped with a DP21 digital camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

## 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Crossability

The artificial cross-experiment provided the crossability values for the 77 *T. turgidum* accessions (Figure 1; Table 1; Table S1). The overall median, mean, and standard deviation were 0.05, 0.11, and 0.14, respectively. In the cultivars (46 accessions), the crossability values varied from 0.00 to 0.40, and the median, mean, and standard deviation were 0.04, 0.07, and 0.09, respectively. In the hulled cultivars (*T. turgidum* subsp. *dicoccum*), the crossability values ranged from 0.00 to 0.28. Sixteen of the 46 cultivars (37.0%) had a crossability value of 0.00. In the 31 wild accessions, the crossability values varied from 0.00 to 0.56, and the median, mean, and standard deviation

Category	No. of accessions	Median	Mean	Standard deviation	Range
Overall	77	0.05	0.11	0.14	0.00-0.56
Cultivars (all)	46	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.00-0.40
Hulled cultivars	10	0.04	0.09	0.11	0.00-0.28
Free-threshing cultivars	36	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.00-0.40
Wild accessions	31	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.00-0.56

**TABLE 2**Linear mixed models to evaluate differences in the<br/>crossability with the KU-2103 tester between the cultivated and<br/>wild forms of *Triticum turgidum*<sup>a</sup>

II FY\_Ecology and Evolution

		Model	
		Null	Alternative
Number of observed spikes		177	177
Number of accessions		77	77
Coefficient of fixed effect	Intercept Wild form	-3.06	-3.58 1.32
z value for fixed effect	Intercept Wild form	-13.02	-12.2 3.09
Variance for random effect	Accession	3.25	2.80
AIC		773.9	766.7
Likelihood ratio test (null model vs. alternative model)	$\chi^2$		9.24
	Degree of freedom		1
	р		0.00
Marginal R <sup>2</sup>			0.06
Conditional R <sup>2</sup>			0.49

<sup>a</sup>Blank denotes not applicable.

were 0.11, 0.17, and 0.16, respectively. Four of the 31 wild accessions (12.9%) had a crossability value of 0.00. In general, crossability with the *Ae. tauschii* tester tended to be higher in the wild accessions than in the cultivars, but the value ranges overlapped widely between the two groups.

To further examine the difference in crossability between the cultivars and wild accessions, we analyzed the seedset data by GLMM analysis (Table S2). The GLMM used "form" (i.e., cultivar vs. wild) as the fixed effect and "accession" as the random effect. Comparison of the alternative and null models revealed that inclusion of the fixed effect improved the goodness of prediction in the alternative model (AIC = 766.7) relative to that of the null model (AIC = 773.9) (Table 2). The departure of the alternative model from the null model was significant, indicating that "form" had a significant effect on the prediction of the numbers of seeds set in the spikes (likelihood ratio test, p = 0.002). Based on the results of the *overdisp\_fun* function (Bolker, 2019), none of the models were overdispersed: the ratios

**TABLE 1** *Triticum turgidum* crossability values obtained in the artificial cross-experiment

between the residual deviance and the residual degrees of freedom were close to 1.00 (0.83 for the alternative model and 0.82 for the null model), and the *p* values were >0.05 (0.95 for the alternative model and 0.96 for the null model). The marginal and conditional  $R^2$  values of the alternative model were 0.06 and 0.49, respectively.

#### 3.2 | Hybrid viability

Thirty-three *T. turgidum* accessions produced at least one viable  $F_1$  plant in the artificial crosses (Table S1), while 44 accessions produced no viable  $F_1$  plants. Of these, 20 accessions did not set seeds, and 24 accessions set seeds but produced  $F_1$  plants that were not viable due to hybrid abnormalities, such as germination failure or severe dwarfness (Table S1). The proportion of the accessions that set seeds in the artificial crosses but produced abnormal  $F_1$  plants was higher in the wild accessions (15 out of 27 accessions, 55.6%) than in cultivars (nine out of 30 accessions, 30.0%); however, this difference was not statistically significant (chi-squared test, p = 0.05).

## 3.3 | Hybrid genome doubling frequency

In cultivar-derived F1 hybrids (21 genotypes), the genome doubling frequency varied from 0.00 to 0.67, and the median, mean, and standard deviation were 0.03, 0.15, and 0.22, respectively (Figure 2; Table 3; Table S1). Notably, three free-threshing accessions produced F<sub>1</sub> genotypes that had particularly high hybrid genome doubling frequencies (>0.5): T. turgidum subsp. carthlicum (KU-138) and T. turgidum subsp. durum (PI73306 and LDN). The frequency of the LDN-KU-2103 F<sub>1</sub> (0.56) was consistent with the previously reported value of 0.53 (Matsuoka et al., 2013). F<sub>1</sub> genotypes derived from the hulled cultivar accessions had hybrid genome doubling frequencies of <0.21. In wild-accession-derived  $F_1$  hybrids (12 genotypes), the genome doubling frequency varied from 0.00 to 0.07, and the median, mean, and standard deviation were 0.00, 0.01, and 0.02, respectively (Figure 2; Table 3; Table S1). Only four wild accessions produced F<sub>1</sub> genotypes that set seeds: KU-1921, KU-1976B, KU-14417, and MORI116. Clearly, the hybrid genome doubling frequency tended to be higher in the cultivar-derived F1 genotypes than in the wild-accession-derived  $F_1$  genotypes.

The difference in the hybrid genome doubling frequency between the cultivar- and the wild-accession-derived  $F_1$  genotypes TABLE 3Hybrid genome doublingfrequencies in the Triticum turgidum-KU-2103 F1 genotypes

No. of genotypes	Median	Mean	Standard deviation	Range
33	0.01	0.10	0.18	0.00-0.67
21	0.03	0.15	0.22	0.00-0.67
5	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.00-0.21
16	0.02	0.16	0.24	0.00-0.67
12	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00-0.07
	<b>No. of</b> genotypes 33 21 5 16 12	No. of genotypes Median   33 0.01   21 0.03   5 0.10   16 0.02   12 0.00	No. of genotypesMedianMean330.010.10210.030.1550.100.10160.020.16120.000.01	No. of genotypesMedianMeanStandard deviation330.010.100.18210.030.150.2250.100.100.08160.020.160.24120.000.010.02

**Ecology and Evolution** 

was further examined by GLMM analysis of selfed seedset data (Table S3). In the GLMM, "form" (i.e., cultivar- vs. wild-accession-derived) was the fixed effect, whereas "parental T. turgidum accession" and "individual  $F_1$  plant ID" were the random effects. Goodness of prediction was improved in the alternative model (AIC = 1,367.0) relative to that of the null model (AIC = 1,373.2) (Table 4). The departure of the alternative model from the null model was significant. indicating that "form" had a significant effect on the prediction of the numbers of seeds set in the spikes (likelihood ratio test, p = 0.004). Based on the results of the overdisp\_fun function (Bolker, 2019), none of the models were overdispersed; the ratios between the residual deviance and the residual degrees of freedom were close to 1.00 (1.05 for the alternative model and 1.03 for the null model), and the p values were >0.05 (0.19 for the alternative model and 0.25 for the null model). The marginal and conditional R<sup>2</sup> values of the alternative model were 0.19 and 0.78, respectively.

## 3.4 | QTLs for hybrid genome doubling

The hybrid genome doubling frequency spectrum suggested that some *T. turgidum* cultivars might have specific alleles of the genes that regulate the trait. To examine the genetic basis of hybrid genome doubling, we performed a QTL analysis on a population of trihaploid segregants (ABD genome) in which only the loci on the A and B genomes had segregated. LDN was selected as the high accession (the



**FIGURE 2** Box and dot plots of the hybrid genome doubling frequencies of cultivated and wild forms of *Triticum turgidum*. In the cultivated form plot, triangles and circles denote free-threshing and non-free-threshing accessions, respectively

hybrid genome doubling frequency of the LDN-derived  $F_1 = 0.56$ ), whereas KU-9882 was selected as the low accession (the hybrid genome doubling frequency of the KU-9882-derived  $F_1 = 0.00$ ). These accessions had similar crossability values in the artificial cross-experiment (0.40 for LDN and 0.32 for KU-9882) (Figure 3).

In total, 374 segregants were obtained. The hybrid genome doubling frequency of the segregants varied from 0.00 to 0.73, and the median, mean, and standard deviation were 0.06, 0.10, and 0.11, respectively. The frequency distribution was left-skewed, with 54 segregants having a frequency of 0.00 (Figure 4). DArTseq haplotyping and subsequent polymorphism screening yielded 1,110 qualified SNPs for linkage map construction. The MSTmap algorithm

**TABLE 4**Linear mixed models to evaluate differences in the<br/>hybrid genome doubling frequencies between the cultivar-derived<br/>and wild-accession-derived  $F_1$  genotypes<sup>a</sup>

		Model	
		Null	Alternative
Number of observed spikes		531	531
Number of maternal T. turgidum accessions		33	33
Number of plants		88	88
Coefficient of fixed	Intercept	-5.03	-3.70
effect	Wild form		-3.65
z value for fixed	Intercept	-6.83	-5.24
effect	Wild form		-2.85
Variance for random effect	Parental <i>T. turgidum</i> accession	10.92	8.53
	Individual F <sub>1</sub> plant ID	0.25	0.25
AIC		1,373.2	1,367.0
Likelihood ratio test (null model vs. alternative model)	χ <sup>2</sup>		8.18
	Degree of freedom		1
	p		0.00
Marginal R <sup>2</sup>			0.19
Conditional R <sup>2</sup>			0.78

<sup>a</sup>Blank denotes not applicable.

VILEY



**FIGURE 3** Spikes of the accessions used in the QTL analysis. (a) LDN, (b) KU-9882, (c)  $F_1$ hybrid between LDN and KU-9882, (d) KU-2103.*Bar* = 1 cm

generated 50 linkage groups, and after removing those that had six or fewer SNPs, a reasonable genetic map of the 14 chromosomes was obtained. The map had 1,061 SNPs in total, and the number of SNPs that anchored to each chromosome was 70 (1A), 76 (2A), 73 (3A), 72 (4A), 70 (5A), 45 (6A), 91 (7A), 77 (1B), 111 (2B), 77 (3B), 57 (4B), 88 (5B), 79 (6B), and 75 (7B). The total map length was 1679.8 cM, and the average spacing and maximum spacing were 1.6 and 23.5 cM, respectively (Figure S1).

The hybrid genome doubling frequency distribution had a spike at 0.00 (Figure 4). Therefore, we first transformed the original trait into a binary trait (defined as "1" when the original phenotype was >0.00 and "0" when the original phenotype was 0.00) and a quantitative trait (the same as the original phenotypes, except that 0.00 was treated as missing), and then separately performed QTL analyses for each binary and quantitative trait (Broman, 2003) (Table S4). Single-QTL analysis of the binary trait revealed three significant QTLs located on chromosomes 1A, 2A, and 4B (Figure 5; Table 5).



**FIGURE 4** Distribution of the hybrid genome doubling frequencies in the 374 trihaploid segregants obtained by crossing LDN-KU-9882  $F_1$ plants with the KU-2103 tester. Bin width was set to 0.005

Analysis of the quantitative trait also revealed three significant QTLs in the similar regions of the same chromosomes. Multiple-QTL modeling showed that additive QTL models based on the three significant QTLs explained 14.9% (for the binary trait) and 16.6% (for the quantitative trait) of the phenotype variances (Table 6). In the case of the binary trait, the locus on chromosome 1A had a particularly strong effect [logarithm of odds (LOD) = 8.2; proportion of variance explained (PVE) = 9.0%; p = 8.16e-10, drop-one-QTL-at-a-time analysis], whereas the effects of the other two QTLs were small. In the three-QTL model for the quantitative trait, the locus on chromosome 1A also had a strong effect (LOD = 6.4; PVE = 8.1%; p = 6.00e-10, drop-one-QTL-at-a-time analysis), whereas the other two QTLs had very small effects. In both traits, the estimated effects of the three QTLs were negative, indicating that the alleles of the high accession LDN had a positive influence on hybrid genome doubling. Generally, between-loci interactions had small effects in the model for the binary trait, whereas in the model for the quantitative trait, the interaction of the 1A locus with each of the other two loci had a moderate effect (LOD = 2.2 and PVE = 2.6% for the 1A-2A loci interaction and LOD = 1.4 and PVE = 1.7% for the 1A-4B loci interaction) (Table 6).

## 3.5 | Male sporogenesis in the KU-9882-KU-2103 $F_1$ hybrids

Triploid  $F_1$  hybrids between LDN and KU-2103 set seeds because they produced unreduced gametes at a high rate through nonreductional meiosis. During male gamete formation, PMCs undergo a single cell division and produce pollen dyads instead of tetrads (Matsuoka et al., 2013). However, why triploid  $F_1$  hybrids between the lowaccession KU-9882 and the KU-2103 tester produced no seeds was not clear. To address this question, we microscopically analyzed PMC division in the KU-9882–KU-2103  $F_1$  hybrids. Anthers sampled from three series of consecutive florets that covered the prophase to the





**FIGURE 5** QTL analysis of hybrid genome doubling using the trihaploid segregant population. Blue and red colors represent the results for the binary trait and quantitative traits, respectively. Horizontal dashed lines indicate significant LOD scores determined by permutation. (a) All chromosomes. (b) chromosome 1A. (c) Chromosome 2A. (d) Chromosome 4B

daughter cell stage were used. Observation of a few hundred PMCs revealed that the  $F_1$  hybrids underwent aberrant nonreductional meiotic divisions and predominantly produced pollen polyads (Figure 6). At prophase, 21 univalent chromosomes were visible (Figure 6a,b). In several cells, the univalents were observed in a few clusters (Figure 6c). No PMCs displayed univalents that were aligned to the spindle equator. Subsequently, the univalents split into sister chromatids, moved to the multiple spindle poles, and formed restitution nuclei (Figure 6d,e). After this stage, the cells underwent irregular cytokinesis, chromosome condensation, and aberrant divisions (Figure 6f-k). Finally, atypical polyad daughter cells were observed (Figure 6l).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

# 4.1 | Possible key role of hybrid genome doubling in the evolution of common wheat

In the widely accepted scenario for the origin of common wheat, the cultivated form, not the wild form of *T. turgidum*, is the female progenitor (Kihara, 1966; Kihara, 1975). This hypothesis is based mainly on the fact that wild *T. aestivum* has never been found, indicating

that, under natural conditions, successful hybridization between wild T. turgidum and Ae. tauschii occurs rarely. Cultivated T. turgidum and Ae. tauschii are known to co-exist in the wheat fields of northern Iran but natural hybridization between these species has never been observed (Matsuoka, et al., 2007). In a previous series of artificial cross-experiments, wild T. turgidum was often involved in the expression of reproductive barrier phenotypes (such as chlorosis and necrosis) in  $F_1$  hybrids with Ae. tauschii. This suggested that strong reproductive isolation through postzygotic mechanisms might explain why wild T. aestivum does not exist (Kihara, 1966; Kihara, 1975). However, the genetic relationships between the Ae. tauschii testers and the D genome of common wheat were not addressed in those experiments. Thus, the interpretation of the results in terms of understanding the origin of common wheat is not straightforward.

In the present study, we used the KU-2103 tester in the artificial cross-experiment and found that (a) the crossability values tended to be lower in cultivars (0.00–0.40) than in wild accessions (0.00–0.56), although the ranges widely overlapped; (b) the proportion of the accessions that set seeds in the artificial cross, but provided inviable  $F_1$  plants due to reproductive barrier phenotypes was similar between cultivars and wild accessions; and (c) the hybrid genome doubling frequency greatly varied in cultivar-derived  $F_1$  genotypes

FABLE 5	QTLs found by single-QT	L analysis of the binary and	I quantitative traits of hybrid genome doubling
---------	-------------------------	------------------------------	---

Trait	QTL name	Chromosome	Position (cM)	LOD score	р	%var	Approximate 95% Bayesian credible interval (cM) and DArTseq marker name
Binary	b.1A	1A	53.6	8.83	0.00	10.3	52.4; 1096317 F 0-25:T > C_1A
							62.0; 4991333 F 0-18:T > G_1A
	b.2A	2A	58.8	3.32	0.04	4.0	37.5 3222362 F 0-13:A > G_2A
							86.6 1080633 F 0-32:C > A_2A
	b.4B	4B	10.6	3.36	0.03	4.1	4.8 3064433 F 0-16:C > A_4B
							40.6 3028936 F 0-26:C > T_4B
Quantitative	q.1A	1A	36.0	6.33	0.00	8.7	33.1 5325244 F 0-25:T > G_1A
							53.2 1228810 F 0-10:T > A_1A
	q.2A	2A	56.0	5.64	0.00	7.8	50.7 1720560 F 0-14:C > G_2A
							59.6 1381499 F 0-18:C > T_2A
	q.4B	4B	31.1	5.65	0.00	7.8	12.9, 3955316 F 0-19:A > C_4B
							36.2, 3222467 F 0-7:C > T_4B

*Note: p* denotes the genome-scan-adjusted *p*-value for the LOD peak.

%var denotes the estimated proportion of the phenotype variance explained by the QTL.

(0.00–0.67), whereas it was generally low in wild-form-derived  $F_1$  genotypes (≤0.07). KU-2103 was a suitable tester to mimic the genetic conditions under which early-stage common wheat evolution proceeded because of its short genetic distance to the common wheat D genome (Wang et al., 2013). For this reason, the findings of the present study may provide novel insights into the evolution of common wheat.

Cultivated and wild *T. turgidum* clearly differed in the ability to cause hybrid genome doubling in the  $F_1$  with KU-2103:14 out of 20 cultivars (70.0%) produced  $F_1$  genotypes that set seeds, including genotypes with a particularly high seedset rate (>0.5), whereas only four out of 12 wild accessions (33.3%) yielded  $F_1$  genotypes that set

seeds and only at low rates ( $\leq 0.07$ ). In contrast, the differences in crossability and the proportion of the accessions that produced  $F_1$  genotypes having reproductive barrier phenotypes were less prominent. Therefore, the probability of allohexaploid formation through hybridization with the ancestral *Ae. tauschii* might have been higher for the cultivated form of *T. turgidum* than for the wild form, while other reproductive conditions were likely similar. Thus, the present study provides empirical support for the hypothesis that cultivated *T. turgidum* is the female progenitor of common wheat. Importantly, our findings underscore a possible key role of hybrid genome doubling in the evolution of common wheat, as the enhanced hybrid genome doubling ability in cultivated *T. turgidum* must have positively

Model Trait	QTL/QTL combination name	Chromosome	Position (cM)	LOD score	%var by QTL	Р	Estimated effect (standard error)
Binary	b.1A	1A	53.6	8.2	9.0	8.16e-10	-1.05 (0.20)
	b.2A	2A	58.8	1.9	2.0	0.00	-0.53 (0.18)
	b.4B	4B	10.6	1.0	1.1	0.03	-0.39 (0.18)
Quantitative	q.1A	1A	36.0	6.4	8.1	6.00e-8	-0.03 (0.01)
	q.2A	2A	56.0	0.8	1.0	0.06	-0.02 (0.01)
	q.4B	4B	31.1	0.3	0.4	0.24	-0.01 (0.01)
	q.1A:q.2A	na	na	2.2	2.6	0.00	na
	q.1A:q.4B	na	na	1.4	1.7	0.01	na
	q.2A:q.4B	na	na	0.1	0.2	0.44	na

TABLE 6 Multiple-QTL models for the binary and quantitative traits of hybrid genome doubling

*Note: p* denotes the drop-one-QTL-at-a-time analysis of variance *p*-value for the LOD peak.

%var denotes the estimated proportion of the phenotype variance explained.

na denotes not applicable for this category.

In the binary trait model, LOD score (relative to the no QTL model), %var by all terms in the model, and *p*-value based on the LOD score were 13.1, 14.9, and 5.1e–13, respectively.

In the quantitative trait model, LOD score (relative to the no QTL model), %var by all terms in the model, and *p*-value based on the LOD score were 12.6, 16.6, and 1.4e–12, respectively.

MATSUOKA AND MORI

13935



**FIGURE 6** Aberrant nonreductional meiosis in the PMCs of KU-9882-KU-2103  $F_1$  plants. (a) Prophase. No univalents are visible. (b) Prophase. Univalents are visible. (c) Prophase. Univalents are observed in three clusters. (d) Univalents split into sister chromatids. (e) Univalents move to the multiple spindle poles. (f) Restitutive nuclei. Cytokinesis is taking place. (g) Restitutive nuclei in multiple daughter cells. (h) Chromosomes are condensed and aligned at the spindle equator in the daughter cells. (i) Anaphase. (j) Heterochronic daughter cells at telophase and metaphase. (k) Cytokinesis is taking place in the daughter cells. (l) Pentad.*Bar* = 20  $\mu$ m

influenced the likelihood of successful formation of allohexaploid offspring.

An obvious caveat here is that our artificial cross-experiment relied on a single Ae. tauschii tester. In general, the genotypes of the Ae. tauschii accessions influence the crossability with T. turgidum and the viability and genome doubling frequency of the  $F_1$  hybrids (Matsuoka & Takumi, 2017; Matsuoka, et al., 2007). Thus, the phenotypes of these traits may vary in the  $F_1$  hybrids, even among the Ae. tauschii testers that are genetically close to the common wheat D genome. For this reason, further studies that use testers other than KU-2103 are required to evaluate the validity of our inference regarding the importance of hybrid genome doubling in the evolution of common wheat. Additionally, in this regard, we note that results similar to our findings were obtained in the previous studies. For example, Nishikawa (1964) performed an artificial cross-experiment using 11 T. turgidum accessions (one wild and ten cultivated accessions) and five Ae. tauschii accessions (including one artificial autotetraploid accession). Consistent with our findings, the reported

mean crossability value (over the five Ae. tauschii testers) was larger for the wild T. turgidum accession (0.334) than for the cultivated accessions (0.073–0.165). In addition,  $F_1$  genotypes derived from three out of the five wild forms grew normally, but did not set  $F_2$  seeds. Thus, a relatively high crossability with Ae. tauschii and a reduced ability to cause genome doubling in  $F_1$  offspring might be common phenotypes in wild T. turgidum.

## 4.2 | Genetic basis of hybrid genome doubling

Hybrid genome doubling through unreduced gamete fusion is an important mechanism in plant allopolyploid evolution (Ramsey & Schemske, 1998). Therefore, clarifying the mechanical underpinning of genome doubling in the *T. turgidum-Ae. tauschii* F<sub>1</sub> hybrids is essential to understand the evolution of common wheat. We addressed this issue by conducting QTL analysis. The three QTLs found for each binary and quantitative trait were mapped to similar locations

II FY\_Ecology and Evolution

on the 1A, 2A, and 4B chromosomes, suggesting that the same loci are responsible for these traits. These QTLs are novel because one QTL for hybrid genome doubling found in a previous study was situated on chromosome 3B (Hao et al., 2014). In the present study, we did not identify the QTL on chromosome 3B which may be because we used a low accession different from the ones of Hao et al. (2014), although the high accession, LDN, was the same. Another possible explanation for the absence of the QTL on chromosome 3B in our study may be that this QTL is not involved in hybrid genome doubling when the KU-2103 tester, instead of the Ae. tauschii tester used by Hao et al. (2014), is used as the paternal parent. If this were the case, the genetic mechanism that underlies hybrid genome doubling would involve interactions between the maternal and paternal loci in the  $F_1$  genotypes. The extent to which such possible interactions are important for hybrid genome doubling remains to be addressed. Importantly, unlike the low accessions used in the previous study, KU-9882 produced completely sterile F<sub>1</sub> hybrids with the Ae. tauschii tester. Therefore, the alleles of KU-9882 at those QTLs might have a strong negative influence on hybrid genome doubling.

Cytological observations revealed that KU-9882-KU-2103 F<sub>1</sub> plants were male sterile because they were not capable of producing functional unreduced gametes due to aberrations in nonreductional meiosis. Previously, we observed PMCs of LDN-KU-2103 F<sub>1</sub> plants and found that they produced functional unreduced gametes at a high frequency through first division restitution (i.e., skipping of the first division of normal meiosis; Matsuoka et al., 2013). Interestingly, the nonreductional meiosis in KU-9882–KU-2103  $\rm F_1$  clearly differed from that in LDN-KU-2103  $F_1$  in that the 21 univalents did not align at the spindle equator prior to the restitution phase (Figure 6c). This suggests that the bipolar spindle structure might not be formed on the univalents of KU-9882–KU-2103  $F_1$  plants. If this were the case, the QTLs found in the present study might be involved in the formation of the bipolar spindle on the univalents. To date, the meiotic genes that have been functionally characterized in wheat include RecQ-7, which is located on chromosome 2A (Gardiner et al., 2019). However, this helicase gene is involved in gene conversion in normal meiosis and thus may not be eligible as a candidate gene for the chromosome 2A QTL.

In conclusion, this study suggests that the enhanced ability of cultivated *T. turgidum* to cause genome doubling in  $F_1$  hybrids with *Ae. tauschii* might have increased the probability of the emergence of common wheat. It also suggests that this enhanced ability might be the result of alterations in a relatively small number of loci. The nature of such alterations remains obscure, but they may have been mutations at the QTLs after the domestication of *T. turgidum*. Alternatively, the alterations might have occurred through the introgression of alleles that already existed in some unfound wild *T. turgidum* populations. Further studies on those QTLs may increase our understanding of the evolution of common wheat.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Taihachi Kawahara for information regarding the original stock number of KU-2013 and Koichiro Tsunewaki for providing seeds of Vernal and Langdon. We are grateful to the Plant Germplasm Institute of Kyoto University, the National BioResource Project (NBRP) – KOMUGI, Laboratory of Genetics of Kyoto University, and United States Department of Agriculture for providing seeds of *T. turgidum* and *Ae. tauschii* accessions. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP 15H04439, JP 19H02935, and JP 19KK0157.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest has been declared by the authors.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Yoshihiro Matsuoka: Conceptualization (lead); data curation (lead); formal analysis (lead); funding acquisition (lead); investigation (lead); methodology (lead); project administration (lead); resources (equal); supervision (lead); validation (lead); visualization (lead); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead). Naoki Mori: Conceptualization (supporting); resources (equal); writing – original draft (supporting); writing – review and editing (supporting).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that supports the findings of this study are available in the supporting information of this article.

## ORCID

Yoshihiro Matsuoka ២ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2540-834X

#### REFERENCES

- Bartoń, K. (2019). MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.43.6. [WWW document]. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-proje ct.org/package=MuMIn
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67, 1–48.
- Bolker, B. (2019). GLMM FAQ. [WWW document]. Retrieved from https:// bbolker.github.io/mixedmodels-misc/glmmFAQ.html
- Broman, K. W. (2003). Mapping quantitative trait loci in the case of a spike in the phenotype distribution. *Genetics*, 163, 1169-1175. https://www.genetics.org/content/163/3/1169
- Broman, K. W., Wu, H., Sen, Ś., & Churchill, G. A. (2003). R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics*, 19, 889–890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg112
- Fox, J. (2005). The R Commander: A basic statistics graphical user interface to R. Journal of Statistical Software, 14, 1–42.
- Fukuda, K., & Sakamoto, S. (1992). Cytological studies on unreduced male gamete formation in hybrids between tetraploid emmer wheats and Aegilops squarrosa L. Japanese Journal of Breeding, 42, 255–266. https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs1951.42.255
- Gardiner, L.-J., Wingen, L. U., Bailey, P., Joynson, R., Brabbs, T., Wright, J., Higgins, J. D., Hall, N., Griffiths, S., Clavijo, B. J., & Hall, A. (2019). Analysis of the recombination landscape of hexaploid bread wheat reveals genes controlling recombination and gene conversion frequency. *Genome Biology*, 20, 69. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1675-6
- Hao, M., Luo, J., Zeng, D., Zhang, L., Ning, S., Yuan, Z., Yan, Z., Zhang, H., Zheng, Y., Feuillet, C., Choulet, F., Yen, Y., Zhang, L., & Liu, D. (2014). QTug.sau-3B is a major quantitative trait locus for wheat hexaploidization. G3 Genes Genomes Genetics, 4, 1943–1953. https:// doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.013078

Ecology and Evolution

- Kihara, H. (1944). Discovery of the DD-analyser, one of the ancestors of *Triticum vulgare*. *Agriculture and Horticulture*, *19*, 889–890 (in Japanese).
- Kihara, H. (1946). Maturation division in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids between *Triticum dicoccoides* × *Aegilops squarrosa*. *La Kromosomo*, 1, 6–11 (in Japanese with English summary).
- Kihara, H. (1966). Factors affecting the evolution of common wheat. Indian Journal of Genetics, 26A, 14–28.
- Kihara, H. (1975). Origin of cultivated plants with special reference to wheat. *Seiken Zihô*, 25-26, 1-24.
- Kihara, H., Yamashita, K., & Tanaka, M. (1965). Morphological, physiological, genetical and cytological studies in *Aegilops and Triticum* collected from Pakistan, Afghanistan and Iran. In K. Yamashita (Ed.), *Results of the Kyoto University Scientific Expedition to the Karakoram* and Hindukush, 1955, Vol. I, Cultivated plants and their relatives (pp. 1–118). Kyoto University.
- Kilian, B., Mammen, K., Millet, E., Sharma, R., Graner, A., Salamini, F., Hammer, K., & Özkan, H. (2011). Aegilops. In C. Kole (Ed.), Wild crop relatives: Genomic and breeding resources, cereals (pp. 1–76). Springer-Verlag.
- Kosambi, D. D. (1944). The estimation of map distance from recombination values. *Annals of Eugenics*, 12, 172–175.
- Matsuoka, Y. (2011). Evolution of polyploid Triticum wheats under cultivation: The role of domestication, natural hybridization and allopolyploid speciation in their diversification. Plant Cell and Physiology, 52, 750–764. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcr018
- Matsuoka, Y., Aghaei, M., Abbasi, M., Totiaei, A., Mozafari, J., & Ohta, S. (2007). Durum wheat cultivation associated with *Aegilops tauschii* in northern Iran. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, *55*, 861–868. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-007-9290-x
- Matsuoka, Y., & Nasuda, S. (2004). Durum wheat as a candidate for the unknown female progenitor of bread wheat: An empirical study with a highly fertile F<sub>1</sub> hybrid with *Aegilops tauschii* Coss. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 109, 1710–1717. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0012 2-004-1806-6
- Matsuoka, Y., Nasuda, S., Ashida, Y., Nitta, M., Tsujimoto, H., Takumi, S., & Kawahara, T. (2013). Genetic basis for spontaneous hybrid genome doubling during allopolyploid speciation of common wheat shown by natural variation analyses of the paternal species. *PLoS One, 8*, e68310. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068310
- Matsuoka, Y., & Takumi, S. (2017). The role of reproductive isolation in allopolyploid speciation patterns: Empirical insights from the progenitors of common wheat. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 16004. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-017-15919-z
- Matsuoka, Y., Takumi, S., & Kawahara, T. (2007). Natural variation for fertile triploid F<sub>1</sub> hybrid formation in allohexaploid wheat speciation. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 115, 509–518. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00122-007-0584-3
- McFadden, E. S., & Sears, E. R. (1944). The artificial synthesis of Triticum spelta. Records of the Genetics Society of America, 13, 26–27.
- Mizuno, N., Hosogi, N., Park, P., & Takumi, S. (2010). Hypersensitive response-like reaction is associated with hybrid necrosis in interspecific crosses between tetraploid wheat and *Aegilops tauschii* Coss. *PLoS One*, 5, e11326. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011326
- Mizuno, N., Shitsukawa, N., Hosogi, N., Park, P., & Takumi, S. (2011). Autoimmune response and repression of mitotic cell division occur in inter-specific crosses between tetraploid wheat and Aegilops tauschii Coss. that show low temperature-induced hybrid necrosis. Plant Journal, 68, 114–128. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04667.x
- Mizuno, N., Yamazaki, M., Matsuoka, Y., Kawahara, T., & Takumi, S. (2010). Population structure of wild wheat D-genome progenitor

Aegilops tauschii Coss.: Implications for intraspecific lineage diversification and evolution of common wheat. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*, 999– 1013. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04537.x

- Mori, N., Ishii, T., Ishido, T., Hirosama, S., Watatani, H., Kawahara, T., Nesbitt, M., Belay, G., Takumi, S., Ogihara, Y., & Nakamura, C. (2003). Origin of domesticated emmer and common wheat inferred from chloroplast DNA fingerprinting. In N. E. Pogna, & R. A. McIntosh (Eds.), Proceedings of 10th international wheat genetics symposium (pp. 25–28). Istituto Sperimentale per la Cerealicoltura.
- Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R<sup>2</sup> from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 133–142. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x
- Nishikawa, K. (1953). A non-viable F<sub>1</sub> hybrid between Triticum dicoccum Schübl. var. liguliforme and Aegilops squarrosa L. (preliminary report). Seiken Zihô, 6, 87–90 (in Japanese with English summary).
- Nishikawa, K. (1964). Cytogenetical study on the artificial synthesis and the origin of common wheat. *Research Bulletin of the Faculty of Agriculture, Gifu University, 20,* 1–55 (in Japanese with English summary).
- R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Ramsey, J., & Schemske, D. W. (1998). Pathways, mechanisms, and rates of polyploid formation in flowering plants. *Annual Review of Ecology* and Systematics, 29, 467–501. https://www.annualreviews.org/ doi/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.467
- Taylor, J., & Butler, D. (2017). R package ASMap: Efficient genetic linkage map construction and diagnosis. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 79, 1–29.
- Tsunewaki, K. (1966). Comparative gene analysis of common wheat and its ancestral species, II. Waxiness, growth habit and awnedness. *The Japanese Journal of Botany*, *37*, 175–229.
- van Slageren, M. W. (1994). Wild wheats: A monograph of Aegilops L. and Amblyopyrum (Jaub. & Spach) Eig (Poaceae). Wageningen Agricultural University.
- Wang, J., Luo, M.-C., Chen, Z., You, F. M., Wei, Y., Zheng, Y., & Dvorak, J. (2013). Aegilops tauschii single nucleotide polymorphisms shed light on the origins of wheat D-genome genetic diversity and pinpoint the geographic origin of hexaploid wheat. New Phytologist, 198, 925–937. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12164
- Wu, Y., Bhat, P. R., Close, T. J., & Lonardi, S. (2008). Efficient and accurate construction of genetic linkage maps from the minimum spanning tree of a graph. *PLoS Genetics*, 4, e1000212. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1000212
- Zohary, D., Hopf, M., & Weiss, E. (2012). Domestication of plants in the old world. Oxford University Press.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Matsuoka Y, Mori N. Reproductive and genetic roles of the maternal progenitor in the origin of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Ecol Evol*. 2020;10:13926–13937. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6985