



High Chloride Burden and Clinical Outcomes in Critically III Patients With Large Hemispheric Infarction

Dong-Seok Gwak^{1†}, Inyoung Chung^{2†}, Baik-Kyun Kim³, Sukyoon Lee⁴, Han-Gil Jeong⁵, Yong Soo Kim⁶, Heeyun Chae⁶, Chan-Young Park⁶ and Moon-Ku Han^{6,7*}

¹ Department of Neurology, Kyungpook National University Hospital, Daegu, South Korea, ² Department of Neurology, Nowon Eulji Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea, ³ Department of Neurology, Chungbuk National University Hospital, Cheongju-si, South Korea, ⁴ Department of Neurology, Inje University Busan Paik Hospital, Busan, South Korea, ⁵ Division of Neurocritical Care, Department of Neurosurgery and Neurology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, South Korea, ⁶ Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, South Korea, ⁷ Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, South Korea, Neurology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Barak Bar, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States

Reviewed by:

Minjee Kim, Northwestern University, United States Kaibin Huang, Southern Medical University, China Yongming Wu, Southern Medical University, China

*Correspondence:

Moon-Ku Han mkhan@snu.ac.kr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neurocritical and Neurohospitalist Care, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 10 September 2020 Accepted: 14 April 2021 Published: 20 May 2021

Citation:

Gwak D-S, Chung I, Kim B-K, Lee SY, Jeong H-G, Kim YS, Chae H, Park C-Y and Han M-K (2021) High Chloride Burden and Clinical Outcomes in Critically III Patients With Large Hemispheric Infarction. Front. Neurol. 12:604686. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.604686 **Background:** In general, disease severity has been found to be associated with abnormal chloride levels in critically ill patients, but hyperchloremia is associated with mixed results regarding patient-centered clinical outcomes. We aimed to investigate the impact of maximum serum chloride concentration on the clinical outcomes of critically ill patients with large hemispheric infarction (LHI).

Methods: We conducted a retrospective observational cohort study using prospective institutional neurocritical care registry data from 2013 to 2018. Patients with LHIs involving over two-thirds of middle cerebral artery territory, with or without infarction of other vascular territories, and a baseline National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score of \geq 13 were assessed. Those with a baseline creatinine clearance of <15 mL/min and required neurocritical care for <72 h were excluded. Primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included 3-month mortality and acute kidney injury (AKI) occurrence. Outcomes were compared to different maximum serum chloride levels (5 mmol/L increases) during the entire hospitalization period using multivariable logistic regression analyses.

Results: Of 90 patients, 20 (22.2%) died in-hospital. Patients who died in-hospital had significantly higher maximum serum chloride levels than did those who survived up to hospital discharge (139.7 ± 8.1 vs. 119.1 ± 10.4 mmol/L; p < 0.001). After adjusting for age, sex, and Glasgow coma scale score, each 5-mmol/L increase in maximum serum chloride concentration was independently associated with an increased risk of in-hospital mortality (adjusted odds ratio (aOR), 4.34; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.98–9.50; p < 0.001). Maximum serum chloride level was also an independent risk factor for 3-month mortality (aOR, 1.99 [per 5 mmol/L increase]; 95% CI, 1.42–2.79; p < 0.001) and AKI occurrence (aOR, 1.57 [per 5 mmol/L increase]; 95% CI, 1.18–2.08; p = 0.002).

1

Conclusions: High maximum serum chloride concentrations were associated with poor clinical outcomes in critically ill patients with LHI. This study highlights the importance of monitoring serum chloride levels and avoiding hyperchloremia in this patient population.

Keywords: chloride, critical care, cerebral infarction, mortality, acute kidney injury, brain edema

INTRODUCTION

Large hemispheric infarction (LHI) is a life-threatening condition that affects the entirety or majority of the middle cerebral artery territory, with or without anterior and posterior cerebral artery involvement, and has a mortality rate of 40–80% in untreated LHI patients (1). Cerebral edema occurs in LHI patients, which often leads to increased intracranial pressure, transtentorial herniation, and neurological deterioration (2). Because of the propensity to cause or exacerbate brain edema by hypo-osmolar balanced crystalloids, normal saline (0.9% NaCl solution) is more frequently used and hypertonic saline is commonly used for the management of cerebral edema (3). However, large volume of both normal saline and hypertonic saline may raise the risk of hyperchloremia (4–7).

Hyperchloremia has been reported to be associated with high hospital mortality and poor outcome in general critically ill patients (8–13) and can lead to metabolic acidosis (14), has negative effects on renal blood flow (15), and is associated with acute kidney injury (AKI) development (16–18). Previous studies have shown that hyperchloremia is associated with high rates of in-hospital mortality in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhages (19), intracerebral hemorrhages (20), and traumatic brain injuries (21).

However, whether hyperchloremia may be associated with hospital mortality in patients with LHI remains largely unknown. In this study, we sought to evaluate the impacts of serum chloride level on the clinical outcomes of critically ill patients with LHI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Population

We retrospectively analyzed patient data from a prospective institutional neurocritical care registry. Among 5,272 consecutive ischemic stroke patients who were admitted to the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital within 7 days after symptom onset between March 2013 and June 2018, we identified patients who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: (1) admission to a dedicated neurocritical care unit (n = 508), (2) 18 years of age or older (n = 507), (3) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score \geq 13 at arrival (n = 272), (4) LHI involving over two-thirds of middle cerebral artery territory, with or without infarction of other vascular territories (n =121), and (5) pre-stroke modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score of 0-1 (n = 96). Patients were excluded from this study if they required neurocritical care for < 72 h (n = 3) and had a creatinine clearance (estimated using the Cockcroft and Gault equation) of < 15 mL/min or end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis before admission (n = 1). Those with an expected survival duration of < 1 year (n = 2) were also excluded (**Figure 1**). The primary objective of this study was to determine whether the maximum serum chloride level over the entire duration of hospitalization was associated with in-hospital mortality. Our secondary objective was to assess the association between maximum serum chloride levels and 3-month mortality and AKI occurrence. Our study proposal was approved by the institutional review board (IRB approval number: B-1908/556-105). Informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study, and all study participants were fully de-identified.

Data Collection

Data on baseline demographic and clinical characteristics that may affect patients' clinical outcomes were obtained from the prospective institutional neurocritical care registry database or through the electronic chart review method. Data on the following variables were collected: age; height; weight; sex; pre-stroke mRS score; onset-to-arrival time; baseline NIHSS score; Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score with the worst value at the admission day of neurocritical care unit; systolic and diastolic blood pressure; oxygen requirement (PaO₂/FiO₂); previous history of stroke, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking, atrial fibrillation, and anemia; treatment variables patients received, including type of recanalization therapy; mannitol, hypertonic saline (11.7% NaCl), and its total dose administrated, fluid balance defined by fluid intake-output, nephrotoxic agents, antibiotics, contrast media; targeted temperature management (TTM), decompressive surgery, renal replacement therapy (RRT), and mechanical ventilation; pneumonia, length of stay in the neurocritical care unit, and duration of hospitalization; imaging variables including initial and maximum infarct volume evaluated by the ABC/2 method (22), and maximum midline shift measured at the level of septum pellucidum (23); and laboratory data, including baseline and maximum serum chloride, sodium, and creatinine levels, baseline and minimum serum bicarbonate levels, maximum base deficit, blood urea nitrogen levels, baseline creatinine clearance (assessed using the Cockcroft and Gault equation), AKI development based on Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcome (KDIGO) criteria (24), hemoglobin levels, and baseline blood glucose level.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included 3-month mortality and occurrence of any AKI (KDIGO Stage \geq 1) and moderate to severe AKI (KDIGO stage \geq 2). AKI stage 1 represents a \geq 0.3-mg/dl increase in



serum creatinine levels over a 48-h period, a 1.5-fold to 2-fold increase from baseline serum creatinine levels, or a urine output of \leq 0.5 ml/kg/h for more than 6 h. AKI stage 2 was defined as a 2-fold to 3-fold increase from baseline serum creatine levels or a urine output of \leq 0.5 ml/kg/h for more than 12 h. AKI stage 3 represents a > 3-fold increase in serum creatinine levels from baseline (or a serum creatinine level of \geq 4.0 mg/dl with an acute increment of at least 0.5 mg/dl), the need for initiating RRT, a urine output of \leq 0.3 ml/kg/h for 24 h, or a 12-h period of anuria (24).

Statistical Analysis

Bivariate analyses between patients who died in-hospital and those who survived up to hospital discharge were performed using Pearson's chi-square test, Fisher's exact method for categorical variables, Student's *t*-test, or the Mann–Whitney *U*-test for continuous variables, as appropriate. Data are expressed as number (%), mean \pm standard deviation, or median (interquartile range [IQR]).

Next, we performed univariable logistic regression analyses to assess the association between the maximum serum chloride level during the entire period of hospitalization and in-hospital mortality. Because of the small sample size, we tested several multivariable logistic models with prespecified covariates. Model 1 adjusted for demographics (age and sex) and the well-known predictor of disease severity (GCS score). Model 2 adjusted for imaging variables regarding infarct and edema volumes (initial infarct volume, maximum infarct volume, and midline shift). Model 3 adjusted for well-known risk factors for mortality in critically ill patients (fluid balance and mechanical ventilation). Model 4 adjusted for covariates with a p < 0.1 in the bivariate analysis with the forward stepwise selection method. Model 5 adjusted for hyperchloremia, hypernatremia, age, sex, and GCS score. The cutoff values of hyperchloremia and hypernatremia were determined by the point maximizing Youden's index (sensitivity + specificity -1) in receiver operating characteristic curves (25). The associations of maximum chloride levels with 3-month mortality were tested with the same models. Those who had missing mortality data at 3 months (n = 2) were excluded from the corresponding analysis. Moreover, logistic regression analyses for determining the risk factors for AKI were performed using a forward stepwise selection method among imbalanced variables (p < 0.10) between the groups with and without AKI. A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were tested with SPSS version 25.0 for Windows.

TABLE 1 | Patients' baseline demographic and clinical characteristics.

Age, years71.0 \pm 12.672.7 \pm 10.670.6 \pm 13.1Height, cm162.7 \pm 9.1160.3 \pm 9.2163.4 \pm 9.0Weight, kg61.5 \pm 11.059.7 \pm 8.062.0 \pm 11.8Male50 (55.6%)10 (50.0%)40 (57.1)Pre-stroke mRS score078 (86.7)18 (90.0)60 (85.7)112 (13.3)2 (10.0)10 (14.3)Onset-to-arrival time, h1.7 (0.8–7.0)1.4 (0.8–4.4)1.7 (0.9–8.3)NHSS score19.7 \pm 4.419.7 \pm 4.219.7 \pm 4.6GCS score5.0 (3.0–8.3)3.0 (3.0–5.0)6.5 (3.0–9.0)SBP, mmHg152.1 \pm 26.1158.7 \pm 20.6150.2 \pm 27.3DBP, mmHg83.2 \pm 16.388.8 \pm 12.981.6 \pm 16.9PaO2/FIO2 \leq 30030 (3.3)7 (35.0)23 (32.9)Past medical historyHypertension59 (65.6)14 (70.0)45 (64.3)Diabetes mellitus22 (24.4)4 (20.0)18 (25.7)Hyperlipidemia28 (31.1)10 (50.0)18 (25.7)Caracter90 (21.1)90 (21.1)90 (25.0)	0.860
Age, years71.0 ± 12.672.7 ± 10.670.6 ± 13.1Height, cm162.7 ± 9.1160.3 ± 9.2163.4 ± 9.0Weight, kg61.5 ± 11.059.7 ± 8.062.0 ± 11.8Male50 (55.6%)10 (50.0%)40 (57.1)Pre-stroke mRS score 0 78 (86.7)18 (90.0)60 (85.7)112 (13.3)2 (10.0)10 (14.3)Onset-to-arrival time, h1.7 (0.8–7.0)1.4 (0.8–4.4)1.7 (0.9–8.3)NIHSS score19.7 ± 4.419.7 ± 4.219.7 ± 4.6GCS score5.0 (3.0–8.3)3.0 (3.0–5.0)6.5 (3.0–9.0)SBP, mmHg152.1 ± 26.1158.7 ± 20.6150.2 ± 27.3DBP, mmHg83.2 ± 16.388.8 ± 12.981.6 ± 16.9PaC2/FIO2 ≤ 30030 (33.3)7 (35.0)23 (32.9) Past medical history Hypertension59 (65.6)14 (70.0)45 (64.3)Diabetes mellitus22 (24.4)4 (20.0)18 (25.7)Hypertipridemia28 (31.1)10 (50.0)18 (25.7)Output for the score of th	11 91411
Height, cm 162.7 ± 9.1 160.3 ± 9.2 163.4 ± 9.0 Weight, kg 61.5 ± 11.0 59.7 ± 8.0 62.0 ± 11.8 Male $50 (55.6\%)$ $10 (50.0\%)$ $40 (57.1)$ Pre-stroke mRS score 0 $78 (86.7)$ $18 (90.0)$ $60 (85.7)$ 1 $12 (13.3)$ $2 (10.0)$ $10 (14.3)$ Onset-to-arrival time, h $1.7 (0.8-7.0)$ $1.4 (0.8-4.4)$ $1.7 (0.9-8.3)$ NIHSS score 19.7 ± 4.4 19.7 ± 4.2 19.7 ± 4.6 GCS score $5.0 (3.0-8.3)$ $3.0 (3.0-5.0)$ $6.5 (3.0-9.0)$ SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.3 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 Pa02/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical historyHypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	0.809
Weight, kg 61.5 ± 11.0 59.7 ± 8.0 62.0 ± 11.8 Male $50 (55.6\%)$ $10 (50.0\%)$ $40 (57.1)$ Pre-stroke mRS score 0 $78 (86.7)$ $18 (90.0)$ $60 (85.7)$ 1 $12 (13.3)$ $2 (10.0)$ $10 (14.3)$ Onset-to-arrival time, h $1.7 (0.8-7.0)$ $1.4 (0.8-4.4)$ $1.7 (0.9-8.3)$ NIHSS score 19.7 ± 4.4 19.7 ± 4.2 19.7 ± 4.6 GCS score $5.0 (3.0-8.3)$ $3.0 (3.0-5.0)$ $6.5 (3.0-9.0)$ SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.3 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical historyHypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	0.163
Male50 (55.6%)10 (50.0%)40 (57.1)Pre-stroke mRS score 0 78 (86.7)18 (90.0)60 (85.7)112 (13.3)2 (10.0)10 (14.3)Onset-to-arrival time, h1.7 (0.8–7.0)1.4 (0.8–4.4)1.7 (0.9–8.3)NIHSS score19.7 ± 4.419.7 ± 4.219.7 ± 4.6GCS score5.0 (3.0–8.3)3.0 (3.0–5.0)6.5 (3.0–9.0)SBP, mmHg152.1 ± 26.1158.7 ± 20.6150.2 ± 27.3DBP, mmHg83.2 ± 16.388.8 ± 12.981.6 ± 16.9PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 30030 (33.3)7 (35.0)23 (32.9)Past medical historyHypertension59 (65.6)14 (70.0)45 (64.3)Diabetes mellitus22 (24.4)4 (20.0)18 (25.7)Hyperlipidemia28 (31.1)10 (50.0)18 (25.7)	0.412
Pre-stroke mRS score78 (86.7)18 (90.0)60 (85.7)112 (13.3)2 (10.0)10 (14.3)Onset-to-arrival time, h1.7 (0.8–7.0)1.4 (0.8–4.4)1.7 (0.9–8.3)NIHSS score19.7 ± 4.419.7 ± 4.219.7 ± 4.6GCS score5.0 (3.0–8.3)3.0 (3.0–5.0)6.5 (3.0–9.0)SBP, mmHg152.1 ± 26.1158.7 ± 20.6150.2 ± 27.3DBP, mmHg83.2 ± 16.388.8 ± 12.981.6 ± 16.9PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 30030 (33.3)7 (35.0)23 (32.9)Past medical historyHypertension59 (65.6)14 (70.0)45 (64.3)Diabetes mellitus22 (24.4)4 (20.0)18 (25.7)Hyperlipidemia28 (31.1)10 (50.0)18 (25.7)	0.571
078 (86.7)18 (90.0)60 (85.7)112 (13.3)2 (10.0)10 (14.3)Onset-to-arrival time, h1.7 (0.8–7.0)1.4 (0.8–4.4)1.7 (0.9–8.3)NIHSS score19.7 \pm 4.419.7 \pm 4.219.7 \pm 4.6GCS score5.0 (3.0–8.3)3.0 (3.0–5.0)6.5 (3.0–9.0)SBP, mmHg152.1 \pm 26.1158.7 \pm 20.6150.2 \pm 27.3DBP, mmHg83.2 \pm 16.388.8 \pm 12.981.6 \pm 16.9PaO2/FIO2 \leq 30030 (33.3)7 (35.0)23 (32.9)Past medical historyHypertension59 (65.6)14 (70.0)45 (64.3)Diabetes mellitus22 (24.4)4 (20.0)18 (25.7)Hyperlipidemia28 (31.1)10 (50.0)18 (25.7)Compliance09 (11.1)02 (15.0)25 (26.7)	1.000
112 (13.3)2 (10.0)10 (14.3)Onset-to-arrival time, h $1.7 (0.8-7.0)$ $1.4 (0.8-4.4)$ $1.7 (0.9-8.3)$ NIHSS score 19.7 ± 4.4 19.7 ± 4.2 19.7 ± 4.6 GCS score $5.0 (3.0-8.3)$ $3.0 (3.0-5.0)$ $6.5 (3.0-9.0)$ SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.3 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical historyHypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	
Onset-to-arrival time, h $1.7 (0.8-7.0)$ $1.4 (0.8-4.4)$ $1.7 (0.9-8.3)$ NIHSS score 19.7 ± 4.4 19.7 ± 4.2 19.7 ± 4.6 GCS score $5.0 (3.0-8.3)$ $3.0 (3.0-5.0)$ $6.5 (3.0-9.0)$ SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.3 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical historyHypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	
NIHSS score 19.7 ± 4.4 19.7 ± 4.2 19.7 ± 4.6 GCS score $5.0 (3.0-8.3)$ $3.0 (3.0-5.0)$ $6.5 (3.0-9.0)$ SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.3 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical historyHypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	0.491
GCS score $5.0 (3.0-8.3)$ $3.0 (3.0-5.0)$ $6.5 (3.0-9.0)$ SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.3 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical historyHypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	0.853
SBP, mmHg 152.1 ± 26.1 158.7 ± 20.6 150.2 ± 27.5 DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 $30 (33.3)$ $7 (35.0)$ $23 (32.9)$ Past medical history Hypertension $59 (65.6)$ $14 (70.0)$ $45 (64.3)$ Diabetes mellitus $22 (24.4)$ $4 (20.0)$ $18 (25.7)$ Hyperlipidemia $28 (31.1)$ $10 (50.0)$ $18 (25.7)$	0.002
DBP, mmHg 83.2 ± 16.3 88.8 ± 12.9 81.6 ± 16.9 PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 30 (33.3) 7 (35.0) 23 (32.9) Past medical history Hypertension 59 (65.6) 14 (70.0) 45 (64.3) Diabetes mellitus 22 (24.4) 4 (20.0) 18 (25.7) Hyperlipidemia 28 (31.1) 10 (50.0) 18 (25.7)	0.048
PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 30 (33.3) 7 (35.0) 23 (32.9) Past medical history <t< td=""><td>0.087</td></t<>	0.087
Past medical history 59 (65.6) 14 (70.0) 45 (64.3) Diabetes mellitus 22 (24.4) 4 (20.0) 18 (25.7) Hyperlipidemia 28 (31.1) 10 (50.0) 18 (25.7)	0.858
Hypertension 59 (65.6) 14 (70.0) 45 (64.3) Diabetes mellitus 22 (24.4) 4 (20.0) 18 (25.7) Hyperlipidemia 28 (31.1) 10 (50.0) 18 (25.7)	
Diabetes mellitus 22 (24.4) 4 (20.0) 18 (25.7) Hyperlipidemia 28 (31.1) 10 (50.0) 18 (25.7) Compliance 28 (31.1) 2 (15.0) 25 (25.7)	0.635
Hyperlipidemia 28 (31.1) 10 (50.0) 18 (25.7) Operling 08 (01.1) 0 (15.0) 05 (05.7)	0.771
	0.039
Sitiuking 28 (31.1) 3 (15.0) 25 (35.7)	0.078
Atrial fibrillation 53 (58.9) 15 (75.0) 38 (54.3)	0.097
Previous stroke 16 (17.8) 2 (10.0) 14 (20.0)	0.508
Anemia 21 (23.3) 4 (20.0) 17 (24.3)	0.774
Treatment variables	
Type of recanalization therapy	0.190
IV tPA 7 (7.8) 3 (15.0) 4 (5.7)	
EVT 24 (26.7) 3 (15.0) 21 (30.0)	
IV tPA + EVT 18 (20.0) 6 (30.0) 12 (17.1)	
Mannitol 58 (64.4) 16 (80.0) 42 (60.0)	0.099
Hypertonic saline 53 (58.9) 19 (95.0) 34 (48.6)	<0.001
Total dose of hypertonic saline, mL 120 (0–660) 360 (135–615) 0 (0–745)	0.053
Fluid balance, mL/kg/d 3.58 (1.48–6.34) 4.41 (0.54–7.51) 3.48 (1.48–6.3	3) 0.574
TTM 44 (48.9) 15 (75.0) 29 (41.4)	0.008
Decompressive surgery 14 (15.6) 3 (15.0) 11 (15.7)	1.000
RRT 5 (5.6) 3 (15.0) 2 (2.9)	0.071
Nephrotoxic agents 43 (47.8) 8 (40.0) 35 (50.0)	0.430
Antibiotic use 84 (93.3) 19 (95.0) 65 (92.9)	1.000
Contrast media 71 (78.9) 15 (75.0) 56 (80.0)	0.757
Mechanical ventilation 61 (67.8) 18 (90.0) 43 (61.4)	0.016
Pneumonia 66 (73.3) 13 (65.0%) 53 (75.7)	0.339
Length of stay in the ICI L d $10.0(6.8-18.0)$ $9.5(4.3-14.0)$ $10.0(7.0-20.0)$	0.376
Duration of bognitalization d 27.5 (14.0–38.0) 11.0 (5.8–14.0) 30.5 (23.0–30.	0.001
Imaging variables	, <0.001
Initial infarct volume ml 198 0 (170 0–266 3) 2/6 0 (183 7–205 6) 199 0 (169 2 25	0.045
Maximum infarct volume ml 207 0 (218 6-300 6) / 210 (100.7 - 200.0) / 100.9 (100.2 - 200.0)	.0, 0.045
Maximum midline shift mm $7.4/(3.7-12.1)$ $14.7/(12.2-16.8)$ $5.9/(2.1.0-00)$	3) -0.001

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or number (%).

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EVT, endovascular therapy; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; ICU, intensive care unit; IV tPA, intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; RRT, renal replacement therapy; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TTM, targeted temperature management.

RESULTS

A total of 90 LHI patients were enrolled in this study. Of these, 20 (22.2%) patients died in-hospital. Patients' baseline characteristics are shown in **Table 1**. Those who died during hospitalization had significantly lower GCS scores (3.0 [IQR 3.0–5.0] vs. 6.5 [3.0–9.0]; p = 0.002), higher systolic blood pressure (158.7 vs. 150.2; p = 0.048), and more hyperlipidemia (50.0 vs. 25.7%, p = 0.039) and received treatment *via* hypertonic saline (95.0 vs. 48.6%; p < 0.001), TTM (75.0 vs. 41.4%; p = 0.008), and mechanical ventilation (90.0 vs. 61.4%; p = 0.016) more frequently than the survivors.

The maximum serum chloride levels (139.7 vs. 119.1 mmol/L; p < 0.001), sodium levels (170.9 vs. 152.7 mmol/L; p < 0.001), and creatinine levels (2.90 vs. 1.20 mmol/L; p < 0.001) were significantly higher, and the minimum value of bicarbonate (15.3 vs. 19.4 mmol/L; p < 0.001) was significantly lower in those who died in-hospital (**Table 2**). However, baseline laboratory findings including serum chloride, sodium, bicarbonate, creatinine, and creatinine clearance levels were not significantly different between the two groups. AKI occurred significantly more

frequently in patients who died in-hospital than in those who survived (90.0 vs. 30.0%; p < 0.001).

Since maximum serum creatinine level and RRT had collinearity with AKI occurrence, they were omitted from the multivariable logistic analysis. Minimum serum bicarbonate level was also excluded to avoid multi-collinearity with maximum base deficit. In the univariable logistic analysis, those who had high maximum serum chloride level were associated with high in-hospital mortality (odds ratio [OR] [per 5 mmol/L], 3.42; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.87–6.26; *p* < 0.001; Table 3 and Supplementary Table 1). The association between maximum serum chloride level and in-hospital mortality remained significant throughout the multivariable logistic models 1-4. Additionally, GCS score in models 1 and 4, mechanical ventilation in model 3, and maximum base deficit in model 4 were independently associated with in-hospital mortality. Because of the multicollinearity between serum maximum chloride and sodium level (variance inflation factor of 18.14), these two variables were dichotomized in model 5 to assess the concomitant effect of hyperchloremia (>132.5 mmol/L) with hypernatremia (>162.5 mmol/L) on mortality. Both

TABLE 2 Laboratory findings and acute kidney injury incidence.						
Laboratory findings	Total	Deceased	Survived	P-value		
	(N = 90)	(N = 20)	(N = 70)			
Serum chloride levels, mmol/L						
Baseline	103.2 ± 3.4	102.8 ± 3.2	103.4 ± 3.4	0.399		
Maximum	123.7 ± 13.1	139.7 ± 8.1	119.1 ± 10.4	< 0.001		
Serum sodium levels, mmol/L						
Baseline	138.1 ± 2.8	138.4 ± 3.0	138.0 ± 2.8	0.653		
Maximum	156.7 ± 11.9	170.9 ± 8.0	152.7 ± 9.5	< 0.001		
Serum bicarbonate levels, mmol/L						
Baseline	22.3 ± 2.4	21.8 ± 1.9	22.5 ± 2.5	0.164		
Minimum	18.5 ± 3.1	15.3 ± 2.7	19.4 ± 2.6	< 0.001		
Maximum base deficit, mmol/L	5.5 ± 3.1	8.7 ± 2.7	4.6 ± 2.6	< 0.001		
BUN, mg/dL	19.2 ± 9.5	18.8 ± 7.9	19.3 ± 10.0	0.789		
Serum creatinine levels, mmol/L						
Baseline	0.88 ± 0.38	0.90 ± 0.34	0.88 ± 0.40	0.570		
Maximum	1.58 ± 1.15	2.90 ± 1.43	1.20 ± 0.70	< 0.001		
CrClª, mL/min	71.3 ± 34.2	64.5 ± 26.5	73.3 ± 36.1	0.470		
≥ 90	24 (26.7)	4 (20.0)	20 (28.6)	0.810		
60–89	28 (31.1)	8 (40.0)	20 (28.6)			
30–60	33 (36.7)	7 (35.0)	26 (37.1)			
15–30	5 (5.6)	1 (5.0)	4 (5.7)			
AKI incidence	39 (43.3)	18 (90.0)	21 (30.0)	< 0.001		
Stage 1	14 (15.6)	1 (5.0)	13 (18.6)			
Stage 2	11 (12.2)	7 (35.0)	4 (5.7)			
Stage 3	14 (15.6)	10 (50.0)	4 (5.7)			
Hemoglobin levels, g/dL	13.7 ± 2.0	13.8 ± 1.7	13.6 ± 2.1	0.775		
Initial glucose levels, mg/dL	140.5 ± 39.6	159.8 ± 50.3	135.0 ± 34.4	0.033		

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or number (%).

AKI, acute kidney injury; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CrCl, creatinine clearance.

^aCrCl was calculated using the Cockcroft and Gault equation.

Outcomes	Crude	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% Cl):	OR (95% CI):	OR (95% CI):	OR (95% CI):	OR (95% Cl):
		Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^b	Model 3 ^c	Model 4 ^d	Model 5 ^e
In-hospital mortality	3.42	4.34	2.77	3.81	2.82	14.05
	(1.87–6.26)	(1.98–9.50)	(1.42–5.40)	(1.88–7.69)	(1.38–5.78)	(2.25–87.94)
3-month mortality	2.01	1.99	1.85	1.97	1.69	8.39
	(1.50–2.70)	(1.42–2.79)	(1.32–2.60)	(1.41–2.76)	(1.20–2.38)	(1.34–52.58)

Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

^aModel 1 adjusted for maximum chloride level, age, sex, and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score.

^bModel 2 adjusted for maximum chloride level, initial infarct volume, maximum infarct volume, and midline shift.

^cModel 3 adjusted for maximum chloride level, positive fluid balance, and mechanical ventilation.

^d Model 4 selected variables with forward stepwise selection method among imbalanced variables (p < 0.10) between the survivor and the deceased groups.

e Model 5 adjusted for hyperchloremia (> 132.5 mmol/L) hypernatremia (> 162.5 mmol/L), age, sex, and GCS score. The represented OR were the values of hyperchloremia.

TABLE 4 | Logistic regression analyses for determining the risk factors for acute kidney injury.

Variable	Any AKI development		Any AKI development		Moderate to severe AKI development	
	Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
[Cl ⁻] _{max} ^a (per 5 mmol/L)	1.35 (1.12–1.64)	0.002	1.57 (1.18–2.08)	0.002	1.98 (1.40–2.81)	<0.001
[Na ⁺] _{max} ^b (per 5 mmol/L)	1.35 (1.10–1.65)	0.004	-	-	-	-
Hypertonic saline	8.53 (3.03–24.02)	<0.001	-	-	-	-
Mannitol	2.74 (1.08–6.92)	0.033	-	-	-	-
TTM	6.96 (2.73–17.78)	<0.001	3.52 (1.14–10.89)	0.029	5.85 (1.48–23.14)	0.012
Maximum base deficit	1.62 (1.29–2.03)	<0.001	1.27 (0.998–1.60)	0.052	-	-
GCS score	0.72 (0.60–0.86)	<0.001	-	-	-	-
Mechanical ventilation	8.41 (2.61–27.14)	<0.001				
Baseline glucose	1.01 (0.998–1.02)	0.099	-	-	-	-
Hyperlipidemia	2.81 (1.12–7.05)	0.028	-	-	-	-
Maximum infarct volume (per 10 mL)	1.05 (1.01–1.09)	0.016	-	-	-	-
Maximum midline shift (mm)	1.19 (1.08–1.31)	<0.001	-	-	-	-

AKI, acute kidney injury; CI, confidence interval; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; OR, odds ratio; TTM, targeted temperature management.

^a[CI⁻]_{max} is defined as the maximum serum chloride concentration during the entire hospitalization period.

^b[Na⁺]_{max} is defined as the maximum serum sodium concentration during the entire hospitalization period.

hyperchloremia and hypernatremia were significantly associated with in-hospital mortality and so was the GCS score.

Maximum serum chloride level was significantly related to 3-month mortality (OR, 2.01 [per 5 mmol/L]; 95% CI, 1.50-2.70; p < 0.001), even after adjusting for confounding variables in models 1–4 (**Table 3** and **Supplementary Table 2**). In model 5, hyperchloremia, not concomitant hypernatremia, was independently associated with 3-month mortality. Further, high maximum serum chloride level was significantly associated with high risk of any AKI development (OR, 1.35 [per 5 mmol/L]; 95% CI, 1.12–1.64; p = 0.002) and remained significant after adjusting for confounders (aOR, 1.57 [per 5 mmol/L]; 95% CI, 1.18–2.08; p = 0.002; **Table 4**). Moreover, it was independently associated with the development of moderate to severe AKI (aOR, 1.98 [per 5 mmol/L]; 95% CI, 1.40–2.81; p < 0.001). TTM was also independently associated with the development of any AKI and moderate to severe AKI, and the maximum base deficit was significantly related to any AKI development.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that high maximum serum chloride concentration was associated with increased in-hospital mortality in critically ill LHI patients. Every 5-mmol/L increment in maximum chloride level increases the odds of in-hospital mortality risk by 3.42 (1.87–6.26), and the associations remained significant throughout all logistic models. The maximum serum chloride concentration was also significantly associated with 3-month mortality and AKI development.

Previous studies have reported the associations between hyperchloremia and AKI occurrence and mortality in various patient populations (9-13, 16-21). However, the majority of these studies were conducted with general critically ill populations, and their chloride levels were not as high as those in our study. One study including ischemic stroke and intracerebral hemorrhage patients has suggested a possible association between hyperchloremia and increases in serum chloride level within the first 72 h after admission and poor outcomes, but patients treated with hypertonic saline were excluded from the study (26). Moreover, due to the heterogeneity and varying characteristics of the study populations, it was not possible to generalize this study's results for LHI patients. In our study, we observed that high serum chloride levels during the entire hospitalization were associated with an increased risk of poor clinical outcomes in only ischemic stroke patients.

Several explanations regarding potential detrimental effects of hyperchloremia have been proposed. Hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis can depress myocardial function, resulting in reduced cardiac output and organ hypoperfusion (27). This may be a concern for LHI patients who require adequate cerebral perfusion pressure for preventing additional brain ischemia. Another study has shown that hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis during abdominal aortic aneurysm repair has a negative effect on hemostasis (28). Since the chances of hemorrhagic transformation remarkably increases with the large volume of infarctions and edemas (29, 30), caution may be necessary when administering chloride-liberal fluid to LHI patients. Hyperchloremia also causes renal vasoconstriction by inhibiting renin and angiotensin II secretion, leading to a decrease in glomerular filtration rate and AKI development (31).

Patients treated with TTM were also associated with in-hospital mortality and 3-month mortality, although the associations were not statistically significant after adjusting for confounding variables in logistic model 4. Furthermore, TTM was independently associated with AKI development. However, these results should be interpreted with caution. As treatment strategies were determined at the discretion of attending neurologists, patients expected to have larger maximum cerebral edema may have had a higher chance of receiving TTM. Indeed, patients treated with TTM had a lower GCS score, larger maximum infarct volume, larger maximum midline shift, and more received hyperosmolar therapy than did patients who did not receive TTM. Development of pneumonia was not significantly different between the two groups (Supplementary Table 3). Moreover, the hypothermic state may activate potential neuroprotective mechanisms and TTM has been suggested as one of the treatment options for reducing intracranial pressure due to acute ischemic injury (32–34); furthermore, it is not a traditional risk factor for AKI (35).

In our study cohort, the crude maximum sodium level, a well-known predictor of mortality in neurocritically ill patients, was associated with outcomes (36). When evaluating the association of sodium and chloride in combination with inhospital mortality, only hyperchloremia was an independent risk factor for in-hospital mortality (Model 4). This does not mean that hypernatremia was not associated with outcome but rather that the estimated regression coefficient can be affected due to the study limitation that the maximum chloride and sodium levels were collinear. Indeed, concomitant hypernatremia with hyperchloremia was also related to in-hospital mortality in model 5. Thus, the results could be interpreted as demonstrating that the maximum chloride level was more strongly associated with in-hospital mortality than with the maximum sodium level in our cohort; external validation should be carried out in future studies.

Given the growing evidence of the deleterious effects of hyperchloremia, new strategies for avoiding chloride accumulation might be considered. Recent large randomized trials controlled demonstrated that chloride-restrictive intravenous fluid administration was associated with lower rates of a composite of new RRT, persistent renal dysfunction, and death from any cause than the use of a chloride-liberal strategy for the critically ill (37). However, it is uncertain whether these results apply to neurocritically ill patients, since the proportion of such patients in this trial was small (17.8%). Furthermore, as hypotonic fluids can exacerbate cerebral edemas through high osmotic pressure gradients between the intravascular and extravascular compartment, they are not traditionally recommended for patients with cerebral edema (1, 38, 39). Hyperosmolar therapy with low-chloride hypertonic solutions might represent another option, as 16.4% hypertonic NaCl/Na-Acetate was associated with a lower rate of AKI development than 23.4% NaCl for the management of cerebral edema in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage (40). Further research is needed to confirm these treatment strategies.

Our study had several limitations. Due to the study's retrospective observational design, we could not assess all potentially relevant variables, prove causal relationships among variables, and exclude selection bias, especially the bias related to the choice of the type of fluid treatment administered. As such, it may be possible for patients with severe cerebral edema to be treated with more hypertonic saline. Furthermore, although all multivariable models showed significant associations between serum maximum chloride level and outcomes, all confounding variables may not be reliably tested due to the small sample size. Because of the multicollinearity of maximum sodium level with chloride level, the effect of concomitant hypernatremia on outcomes could not be fully examined. Moreover, we were also unable to report the exact cumulative amount of chloride burden after patients underwent fluid infusion.

In conclusion, maximum serum chloride concentration may have an impact on the clinical outcomes of critically ill patients with LHI, specifically in-hospital mortality rate, 3-month mortality rate, and AKI occurrence. Our study highlights the importance of monitoring serum chloride concentration and avoiding hyperchloremia in such patients. Further well-designed studies are required to explore the causal relationship between high chloride burden and clinical outcomes and chloriderestrictive strategies for fluid management.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (IRB approval number: B-1908/556-105). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

REFERENCES

- Wijdicks EF, Sheth KN, Carter BS, Greer DM, Kasner SE, Kimberly WT, et al. Recommendations for the management of cerebral and cerebellar infarction with swelling: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American stroke association. *Stroke.* (2014) 45:1222–38. doi: 10.1161/01.str.0000441965. 15164.d6
- Heiss WD. The ischemic penumbra: how does tissue injury evolve? *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2012) 1268:26–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012. 06668.x
- Lobo DN, Awad S. Should chloride-rich crystalloids remain the mainstay of fluid resuscitation to prevent 'pre-renal' acute kidney injury?. *Kidney Int.* (2014) 86:1096–105. doi: 10.1038/ki.2014.105
- Young P, Bailey M, Beasley R, Henderson S, Mackle D, Mcarthur C, et al. Effect of a buffered crystalloid solution vs. saline on acute kidney injury among patients in the intensive care unit: the SPLIT randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2015) 314:1701–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.12334
- Kamel H, Navi BB, Nakagawa K, Hemphill JC III, Ko NU. Hypertonic saline versus mannitol for the treatment of elevated intracranial pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Crit Care Med.* (2011) 39:554– 9. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318206b9be
- Jones GM, Bode L, Riha H, Erdman MJ. Safety of continuous peripheral infusion of 3% sodium chloride solution in neurocritical care patients. *Am J Crit Care.* (2016) 26:37–42. doi: 10.4037/ajcc2017439
- Roquilly A, Mahe PJ, Latte DD, Loutrel O, Champin P, Di Falco C, et al. Continuous controlled-infusion of hypertonic saline solution in traumatic brain-injured patients: a 9-year retrospective study. *Crit Care.* (2011) 15:R260. doi: 10.1186/ cc10522
- Van Regenmortel N, Verbrugghe W, Van Den Wyngaert T, Jorens PG. Impact of chloride and strong ion difference on ICU and hospital mortality in a mixed intensive care population. *Ann Intensive Care.* (2016) 6:91. doi: 10.1186/s13613-016-0193-x
- Neyra JA, Canepa-Escaro F, Li X, Manllo J, Adams-Huet B, Yee J, et al. Association of hyperchloremia with hospital mortality in critically Ill septic patients. *Crit Care Med.* (2015) 43:1938–44. doi: 10.1097/CCM.000000000001161

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D-SG and IC established the study protocol, analyzed and interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript. B-KK, SYL, H-GJ, YK, HC, and C-YP interpreted the data and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. M-KH established the study idea, interpreted the data, drafted the manuscript, and made critical revisions in the manuscript with intellectual input. All the authors approved the final version of manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks are given to the physicians and neurocritical care team involved in this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2021.604686/full#supplementary-material

- Shaw AD, Raghunathan K, Peyerl FW, Munson SH, Paluszkiewicz SM, Schermer CR. Association between intravenous chloride load during resuscitation and in-hospital mortality among patients with SIRS. *Intensive Care Med.* (2014) 40:1897–905. doi: 10.1007/s00134-014-3505-3
- Boniatti MM, Cardoso PR, Castilho RK, Vieira SR. Is hyperchloremia associated with mortality in critically ill patients? A prospective cohort study. *J Crit Care.* (2011) 26:175–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2010.04.013
- Raghunathan K, Shaw A, Nathanson B, Sturmer T, Brookhart A, Stefan MS, et al. Association between the choice of IV crystalloid and in-hospital mortality among critically ill adults with sepsis*. *Crit Care Med.* (2014) 42:1585–91. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000000305
- Lee JY, Hong TH, Lee KW, Jung MJ, Lee JG, Lee SH. Hyperchloremia is associated with 30-day mortality in major trauma patients: a retrospective observational study. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.* (2016) 24:117. doi: 10.1186/s13049-016-0311-7
- Krajewski ML, Raghunathan K, Paluszkiewicz SM, Schermer CR, Shaw AD. Meta-analysis of high- versus low-chloride content in perioperative and critical care fluid resuscitation. *Br J Surg.* (2015) 102:24–36. doi: 10.1002/bjs.9651
- Chowdhury AH, Cox EF, Francis ST, Lobo DN. A randomized, controlled, double-blind crossover study on the effects of 2-L infusions of 0.9% saline and plasma-lyte(R) 148 on renal blood flow velocity and renal cortical tissue perfusion in healthy volunteers. *Ann Surg.* (2012) 256:18– 24. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318256be72
- Suetrong B, Pisitsak C, Boyd JH, Russell JA, Walley KR. Hyperchloremia and moderate increase in serum chloride are associated with acute kidney injury in severe sepsis and septic shock patients. *Crit Care.* (2016) 20:315. doi: 10.1186/s13054-016-1499-7
- Marttinen M, Wilkman E, Petaja L, Suojaranta-Ylinen R, Pettila V, Vaara ST. Association of plasma chloride values with acute kidney injury in the critically ill - a prospective observational study. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*. (2016) 60:790–799. doi: 10.1111/aas.12694
- Yunos NM, Bellomo R, Hegarty C, Story D, Ho L, Bailey M. Association between a chloride-liberal vs. chloride-restrictive intravenous fluid administration strategy and kidney injury in critically ill adults. *JAMA*. (2012) 308:1566–1572. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.13356
- Sadan O, Singbartl K, Kandiah PA, Martin KS, Samuels OB. Hyperchloremia is associated with acute kidney injury in

patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. Crit Care Med. (2017) 45:1382–8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000002497

- Riha HM, Erdman MJ, Vandigo JE, Kimmons LA, Goyal N, Davidson KE, et al. Impact of moderate hyperchloremia on clinical outcomes in intracerebral hemorrhage patients treated with continuous infusion hypertonic saline: a pilot study. *Crit Care Med.* (2017) 45:e947–53. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000002522
- Ditch KL, Flahive JM, West AM, Osgood ML, Muehlschlegel S. Hyperchloremia, not concomitant hypernatremia, independently predicts early mortality in critically ill moderate-severe traumatic brain injury patients. *Neurocrit Care.* (2020) 33:533–41. doi: 10.1007/s12028-020-00928-0
- Sims JR, Gharai LR, Schaefer PW, Vangel M, Rosenthal ES, Lev MH, et al. ABC/2 for rapid clinical estimate of infarct, perfusion, and mismatch volumes. *Neurology*. (2009) 72:2104–10. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181aa5329
- Jeon SB, Kwon SU, Park JC, Lee DH, Yun SC, Kim YJ, et al. Reduction of midline shift following decompressive hemicraniectomy for malignant middle cerebral artery infarction. J Stroke. (2016) 18:328– 36. doi: 10.5853/jos.2016.00262
- Kellum JA, Lameire N, Group KAGW. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 1). *Crit Care.* (2013) 17:204. doi: 10.1186/cc11454
- Youden WJ. Index for rating diagnostic tests. Cancer. (1950) 3:32–5. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(1950)3:1<32::AID-CNCR2820030106>3.0.CO;2-3
- Huang K, Hu Y, Wu Y, Ji Z, Wang S, Lin Z, et al. Hyperchloremia is associated with poorer outcome in critically ill stroke patients. *Front Neurol.* (2018) 9:485. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00485
- Handy JM, Soni N. Physiological effects of hyperchloraemia and acidosis. Br J Anaesth. (2008) 101:141–50. doi: 10.1093/bja/aen148
- Waters JH, Gottlieb A, Schoenwald P, Popovich MJ, Sprung J, Nelson DR. Normal saline versus lactated Ringer's solution for intraoperative fluid management in patients undergoing abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: an outcome study. *Anesth Analg.* (2001) 93:817–22. doi: 10.1097/00000539-200110000-00004
- Wang BG, Yang N, Lin MA, Lu BX. Analysis of risk factors of hemorrhagic transformation after acute ischemic stroke: cerebral microbleeds do not correlate with hemorrhagic transformation. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* (2014) 70:135–42. doi: 10.1007/s12013-014-9869-8
- Kerenyi L, Kardos L, Szasz J, Szatmari S, Bereczki D, Hegedus K, et al. Factors influencing hemorrhagic transformation in ischemic stroke: a clinicopathological comparison. *Eur J Neurol.* (2006) 13:1251–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2006.01489.x

- Bullivant EM, Wilcox CS, Welch WJ. Intrarenal vasoconstriction during hyperchloremia: role of thromboxane. Am J Physiol. (1989) 256:F152– 7. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.1989.256.1.F152
- Schwab S, Schwarz S, Spranger M, Keller E, Bertram M, Hacke W. Moderate hypothermia in the treatment of patients with severe middle cerebral artery infarction. *Stroke.* (1998) 29:2461–6. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.29.12.2461
- Bardutzky J, Schwab S. Antiedema therapy in ischemic stroke. (2007) 38:3084–94. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.490193
- 34. Guluma KZ, Oh H, Yu SW, Meyer BC, Rapp K, Lyden PD. Effect of endovascular hypothermia on acute ischemic edema: morphometric analysis of the ICTuS trial. *Neurocrit Care.* (2008) 8:42–7. doi: 10.1007/s12028-007-9009-z
- Susantitaphong P, Alfayez M, Cohen-Bucay A, Balk EM, Jaber BL. Therapeutic hypothermia and prevention of acute kidney injury: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Resuscitation*. (2012) 83:159– 67. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.09.023
- Aiyagari V, Deibert E, Diringer MN. Hypernatremia in the neurologic intensive care unit: how high is too high? J Crit Care. (2006) 21:163– 72. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2005.10.002
- Semler MW, Self WH, Wanderer JP, Ehrenfeld JM, Wang L, Byrne DW, et al. Balanced crystalloids versus saline in critically Ill adults. N Engl J Med. (2018) 378:829–39. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1711584
- Ertmer C, Van Aken H. Fluid therapy in patients with brain injury: what does physiology tell us? Crit Care. (2014) 18:119. doi: 10.1186/cc13764
- Van Der Jagt M. Fluid management of the neurological patient: a concise review. Crit Care. (2016) 20:126. doi: 10.1186/s13054-016-1309-2
- Sadan O, Singbartl K, Kraft J, Plancher JM, Greven A, Kandiah P, et al. 732: a low chloride hypertonic solution for brain edema (acetate) trial. *Crit Care Med.* (2020) 48:346. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000626668. 15589.e6

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Gwak, Chung, Kim, Lee, Jeong, Kim, Chae, Park and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.