Correlation of metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis: A prospective cross-sectional study

Keerthi Rams, S. Joseph Philipraj, Rohit Purwar, Balabhaskar Reddy

Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, Puducherry, India

Abstract Background: Correlation between urolithiasis and metabolic syndrome has been demonstrated in the literature. This study assessed the association of metabolic syndrome and its components with urolithiasis in Indian patients.

Methods: A cross-sectional prospective observation study included patients aged >18 years with urolithiasis. Demographic details, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, blood pressure, and laboratory parameters were examined.

Results: Total 1200 patients with urolithiasis were divided into two groups (with [n = 208] and without metabolic syndrome [n = 992]). The mean age of total population was 47.26 (14.68) years with 721 males and 479 females. The mean height, weight, BMI were significantly different between both groups (P < 0.001). Proportion of obese (BMI >25) patients, proportion of patients with hyperuricemia, waist circumference, blood pressure, triglyceride, fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels were significantly higher in patients with metabolic syndrome; however, high density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were significantly reduced in metabolic syndrome group (P < 0.001). A significantly increasing trend in mean waist circumference, triglycerides, FBS, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure and a decreasing trend in mean HDL with increase in number of metabolic syndrome than male patients (P < 0.001). Increasing waist circumference, triglycerides, FBS, blood pressure were associated with increased risk of metabolic syndrome (P < 0.05). Decreasing HDL was associated with reduced risk of metabolic syndrome. Patients with hyperuricemia were 5.68 times more likely to exhibit metabolic syndrome (P = 0.006).

Conclusion: This study indicates the presence of a significant association of metabolic syndrome and its components with urolithiasis.

Keywords: Hyperuricemia, metabolic syndrome components, obesity, risk, urolithiasis

Address for correspondence: Dr. S. Joseph Philipraj, Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, Puducherry, India. E-mail: josephphilipraj@gmail.com

Received: 24.05.2019, Accepted: 28.01.2020, Published: 14.04.2020.

INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is one of the most common urological disorder with a significant burden worldwide with a prevalence

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:	Website:		
CEL AND MARKED	www.urologyannals.com		
	DOI: 10.4103/UA.UA_77_19		

of around 5%–10% and more commonly reported in men than women.^[1] Urolithiasis is also common in India and is often complicated with comorbidities. Metabolic

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Rams K, Philipraj SJ, Purwar R, Reddy B. Correlation of metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis: A prospective cross-sectional study. Urol Ann 2020;12:144-9.

syndrome is a general metabolic condition commonly seen worldwide and has been reported to be increasing in last few decades. It is a group or combination of central obesity, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension and hence has an increased risk of cardiovascular disease.^[2] It has been known that obesity is a contributing factor for the development of urolithiasis. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis has demonstrated a significant association between urolithiasis and metabolic syndrome.^[3] However, there is a limited data available from India on the association of urolithiasis with metabolic syndrome and its components. This paper reports results of a study that evaluated the correlation between metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis in Indian patients.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional prospective observation study conducted at <Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute Hospital> between January 2017 and December 2018. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with approved protocol and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki. A written informed consent was obtained from each study participants before participation in the study.

Eligible patients were of either sex aged more than 18 years and had a confirmed diagnosis of urolithiasis. Each patient underwent detailed evaluation and following data was collected: Demographic details, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, blood pressure, laboratory parameters. Of the total patients with urolithiasis patients were divided into two groups based on metabolic syndrome (with and without metabolic syndrome). The diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was based on the following criteria: (a) increased waist circumference (\geq 90 cm for men and \geq 80 cm for women); (b) hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides $\geq 150 \text{ mg/dl}$); (c) low High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (HDL-C; <40 mg/dL in men and <50 mg/dL in women); (d) systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 130 mmHg and/or diastolic \geq 85 mmHg; and (e) fasting glucose \geq 110 mg/dL. Metabolic syndrome was defined as the presence of three or more of these five criteria.

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 23.0 Desktop-US. Categorical variables are reported as number (percentage) and continuous variables as mean (standard deviation [SD]). Categorical variables (gender, BMI status, serum uric acid status) analyzed using Chi-square test and continuous variables (age, height, weight, waist circumference, SBP, diastolic blood pressure [DBP], triglycerides, HDL and fasting blood sugar [FBS]) were analyzed using a nonparametric test, Mann–Whitney U-test. Correlation analysis between quantitative variables were done using Spearman's correlation coefficient. Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed using binomial logistic regression. The Wald test was used to determine statistical significance for each of the independent variable. Odds ratio was interpreted to predict the risk of metabolic syndrome development in urolithiasis patients.

RESULTS

A total of 1200 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of urolithiasis were included in the study. Of these 1200 patients, 208 (17.33%) patients were also diagnosed with metabolic syndrome. Table 1 summarizes the demographics and baseline characteristics. The mean (SD) age of total population was 47.26 (14.68) years. In total 721 (60.08%) patients were males and 479 (39.91%) were females [Table 1]. Overall, age and sex were comparable between patients with and without metabolic syndrome. The mean height and weight were significantly (P < 0.001)different between both groups. Distribution of patients according to BMI significantly (P < 0.001) differed between both groups. Overall, 581 (43.12%) patients were obese (BMI >25). Among patients without metabolic syndrome 39.4% of patients were obese; however, of the metabolic syndrome group 91.3% of patients were obese (P < 0.001). Waist circumference was significantly (P < 0.001) higher in patients with metabolic syndrome (99.82 cm) than those without metabolic syndrome (83.48 cm). SBP and DBP, triglyceride levels, and FBS levels were significantly (P < 0.001) higher in patients with metabolic syndrome than those without metabolic syndrome; however, HDL levels were significantly reduced in metabolic syndrome group (P < 0.001). Serum levels of phosphorous, calcium and magnesium were in normal range in both the groups. Proportion of patients with elevated serum uric acid levels was significantly higher in metabolic syndrome group than in nonmetabolic syndrome group.

When the correlation was evaluated among patients with urolithiasis, weight was found to have positive correlation with height, waist circumference, triglyceride, SBP, and DBP. Waist circumference had positive association with triglycerides, SBP, and DBP; however, a negative correlation between HDL and triglycerides was observed [Table 2].

Among patients with metabolic syndrome, a significant positive correlation of weight with height, waist circumference, SBP and DBP was observed. Waist circumference had positive correlation with triglycerides

Table 1: Baseline characteris	tics of study population
-------------------------------	--------------------------

Characteristics	Total patients (<i>n</i> =1200)	Patients without metabolic syndrome (<i>n</i> =992)	Patients with metabolic syndrome (<i>n</i> =208)	χ ² , Ρ
Age (years)	47.26 (14.68)	47.35 (14.84)	46.80 (13.91)	<i>P</i> =0.636
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)				
Male	721 (60.08)	604 (60.90)	117 (56.30)	$\chi^2 = 1.542$,
Female	479 (39.91)	388 (39.10)	91 (43.80)	P=0.214
Height (cm)	162.17 (8.10)	162.82 (7.96)	159.6 (8.05)	<i>P</i> <0.001
Weight (kg)	68.27 (11.30)	66.24 (10.55)	77.98 (9.61)	<i>P</i> <0.001
BMI (kg/m ²), <i>n</i> (%)				
Underweight (<18.5)	5 (0.41)	5 (0.50)	0(0)	$\chi^2 = 189.217$
Normal (185-22.9)	300 (25)	300 (30.20)	0 (0)	P<0.001
Overweight (23-24.9)	314 (26.17)	296 (29.80)	18 (8.70)	
Obese (>25)	581 (43.17)	391 (39.40)	190 (91.3)	
Waist circumference (cm)	86.31 (9.40)	83.48 (6.52)	99.82 (9.28)	<i>P</i> <0.001
SBP (mmHg)	128.36 (8.93)	126.17 (7.98)	136.44 (8.83)	<i>P</i> <0.001
DBP (mmHg)	82.25 (6.84)	80.79 (5.59)	89.18 (7.91)	<i>P</i> <0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	139.51 (17.78)	134.71 (13.49)	160.40 (17.80)	<i>P</i> <0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	47.97 (9.21)	49.43 (8.66)	40.97 (8.49)	<i>P</i> <0.001
FBS (mg/dL)	97.34 (14.69)	95.40 (12.96)	106.56 (18.48)	<i>P</i> <0.001
Serum phosphorus (mg/dL), n (%)				
Normal (2.5-4.5)	1200 (100)	992 (100)	208 (100)	-
Elevated (>4.5)	-	_	_	
Serum uric acid (mg/dL), n (%)				
Normal (3-7)	1122 (93.50)	943 (95.10)	179 (86.10)	$\chi^2 = 22.93$,
Elevated (>7)	78 (6.50)	49 (4.90)	29 (13.90)	P<0.001
Serum calcium (mg/dL), n (%)				
Normal (100-300)	1200 (100)	992 (100)	208 (100)	-
Elevated (>300)	-	_	_	
Serum magnesium (mg/dL), n (%)				
Normal (1.7-2.2)	1200 (100)	992 (100)	208 (100)	-
Elevated (>2.2)				

Data shown as mean (SD), unless otherwise specified. BMI: Body mass index, HDL: High density lipoprotein, FBS: Fasting blood sugar, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, SD: Standard deviation

Table 2: Correlation between parameters among patients with urolithiasis (*n*=1200)

Parameter 1	Parameter 2	Correlation coefficient	Р
Height	Weight	0.412	< 0.001
Weight	Waist circumference	0.643	< 0.001
-	Triglyceride	0.501	< 0.001
	SBP	0.383	< 0.001
	DBP	0.302	< 0.001
Waist	Triglyceride	0.614	< 0.001
circumference	SBP	0.430	< 0.001
	DBP	0.414	< 0.001
Triglyceride	HDL	-0.326	< 0.001

DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, SBP: Systolic blood pressure,

HDL: High-density lipoprotein

and DBP, whereas had a negative correlation with FBS. A significant positive correlation was observed between HDL and FBS; however, a significant negative correlation was observed between triglycerides and FBS [Table 3]. When the correlation was evaluated among patients without metabolic syndrome a significant positive correlation was observed between weight and height, waist circumference and triglycerides. Waist circumference was also positively correlated with triglycerides [Table 4].

As the number of metabolic components increased, a significant increasing trend in mean waist circumference,

triglycerides, FBS, SBP and DBP (P < 0.001) and a significant decreasing trend in mean HDL (P < 0.001) were observed [Table 5]. The univariate logistic regression analysis showed that female patients were 19.6 times (1/0.051) more likely to develop metabolic syndrome than male patients (P < 0.001). Increasing waist circumference, triglycerides, FBS, DBP and SBP were associated with increased risk of metabolic syndrome in patients diagnosed with urolithiasis (P < 0.05). Decreasing HDL was associated with reduction in the likelihood of exhibition of metabolic syndrome in patients with urolithiasis. Patients with elevated serum uric acid were 5.68 times more likely to exhibit metabolic syndrome than patients with normal serum uric acid levels (P = 0.006) [Table 6].

DISCUSSION

The key parameters depicting metabolic syndrome include overweight, arterial hypertension and disturbances of the carbohydrate metabolism.^[4] However, the link between urolithiasis and metabolic syndrome has suggested a possible role of multiple metabolic risk factors in the development of urolithiasis.^[3,5] Increased BMI, body weight, waist circumference and major weight gain has been shown to be independently associated with higher risk of renal stone formation. $^{\left[6-8\right] }$

The present study was conducted to assess the correlation between metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis in Indian patients. The mean age of total population was 47.26 years with 60.08% of males. Significantly lower mean height and higher weight were observed in patients with metabolic syndrome. Distribution of patients according to BMI significantly (P < 0.001) differed between both groups. Overall, 581 (43.12%) patients were obese (BMI >25). Among patients without metabolic syndrome 39.4% of patients were obese; however, in the metabolic syndrome group, 91.3% of patients were obese (P < 0.001). Waist circumference was significantly higher in patients with metabolic syndrome (99.82 cm) than those without metabolic syndrome (83.48 cm) (P < 0.001). SBP and DBP, triglyceride levels, and FBS levels were significantly higher in patients with metabolic syndrome than those without metabolic syndrome; however, HDL levels

Table 3: Correlation between parameters among patients with metabolic syndrome (n=208)

Parameter 1	Parameter 2	Correlation coefficient	Р
Height	Weight	0.654	<0.001
Weight	Waist circumference	0.349	< 0.001
-	SBP	0.328	< 0.001
	DBP	0.330	< 0.001
Waist	Triglyceride	0.350	< 0.001
circumference	FBS	-0.383	< 0.001
	DBP	0.396	< 0.001
Triglyceride	FBS	-0.383	< 0.001
HDL	FBS	0.301	< 0.001

HDL: High-density lipoprotein, FBS: Fasting blood sugar, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, SBP: Systolic blood pressure

 Table 4: Correlation between parameters among patients

 without metabolic syndrome (n=992)

Parameter 1	Parameter 2	Correlation coefficient	Р
Height	Weight	0.505	< 0.001
Weight	Waist circumference	0.586	< 0.001
	Triglyceride	0.406	< 0.001
Waist circumference	Triglyceride	0.494	< 0.001

were significantly reduced in metabolic syndrome group (P < 0.001). These observations suggest that metabolic risk factors are predominantly present in urolithiasis patients with metabolic syndrome.

Several studies attributed the increased incidence of uric acid stone formation in the obese population to the production of more acidic urine as compared to nonobese patients.^[7,9] An increased incidence of urolithiasis of up to 75% has been seen in patients who are overweight or obese.^[10] The present study observations corroborate this hypothesis. Obesity is associated with impaired carbohydrate tolerance and inappropriate calcium response to glucose ingestion. Another reason could be relationship of obesity with changes in the environment of urine leading to urinary stone formation.^[11,12]

A study by Pak et al. concluded that stone-forming patients with diabetes mellitus have a high prevalence of uric acid stones.^[13] Taylor et al. study reported increased risk of stone diseases in diabetes patients.^[6] The present study also reported incidence of diabetes in urolithiasis patients with metabolic syndrome. Insulin resistance has been correlated to the reduction in renal ammonium production and low urinary pH, resulting into formation of urolithiasis.^[14] Moreover, direct correlation between low urinary pH and number of metabolic syndrome risk factors as well as inverse correlation between degree of insulin resistance and urinary pH have been shown in a cross-sectional study performed by Maalouf et al.[12] Various recent studies reported the varying percentage of patients with metabolic syndrome in the range of 11.5%-38.3% with higher prevalence of urolithiasis among them as compared to patients without metabolic syndrome. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Besiroglu et al.[4,15-18] confirmed the strong association of metabolic syndrome with urolithiasis with a 1.4-fold increase.^[3]

A recent study by Nejatinamini *et al.* reported that individuals with metabolic syndrome have higher uric acid

Table 5: Comparison of	f parameters according to	o the number of metabolic	components present

Parameters	Number of metabolic components present				Р		
	0 (<i>n</i> =187)	1 (<i>n</i> =467)	2 (<i>n</i> =266)	3 (<i>n</i> =151)	4 (<i>n</i> =108)	5 (<i>n</i> =21)	
Age (years)	46.35 (15.39)	48.56 (14.57)	46.34 (14.14)	45.97 (15.29)	46.83 (13.99)	49.33 (15.52)	0.215
Height (cm)	162.09 (8.16)	161.68 (8.16)	162.54 (7.81)	161.83 (8.57)	163.53 (7.66)	164.43 (8.11)	0.202
Weight (kg)	67.85 (11.41)	68.09 (11.00)	68.76 (10.98)	68.95 (12.63)	67.78 (11.60)	67.71 (9.93)	0.895
Waist circumference (cm)	31.92 (1.56)	32.31 (2.18)	33.88 (2.52)	37.45 (4.32)	38.71 (3.91)	41.43 (2.5)	< 0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	130.22 (8.49)	131.87 (11.42)	139.21 (15.77)	153.23 (19.85)	165.55 (14.32)	163.57 (5.82)	< 0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	53.33 (6.96)	50.15 (7.86)	47.78 (9.20)	44.62 (8.91)	36.97 (5.57)	34.57 (2.04)	< 0.001
FBS (mg/dL)	90.13 (6.99)	94.20 (11.26)	99.53 (15.37)	102.32 (17.08)	106.20 (19.88)	122 (7.77)	< 0.001
SBP (mmHg)	119.14 (2.80)	127.56 (8.37)	129.17 (7.42)	133.44 (8.72)	136.94 (7.03)	137.14 (4.63)	< 0.001
DBP (mmHg)	78.61 (3.47)	81.03 (5.90)	81.77 (6.10)	85.96 (7.93)	88.61 (8.37)	88.57 (3.58)	< 0.001

Data shown as mean (SD). HDL: High density lipoprotein, FBS: Fasting blood sugar, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, SD: Standard deviation

lable 6: Univariate logistic regression analysis					
Parameters	OR	95% CI	Р		
Sex	0.051	0.020-0.132	< 0.001		
Waist circumference	1.978	1.574-2.486	< 0.001		
Triglyceride	1.085	1.055-1.115	< 0.001		
HDL	0.831	0.789-0.876	< 0.001		
FBS	1.123	1.090-1.156	< 0.001		
SBP	1.076	1.019-1.137	0.009		
DBP	1.156	1.075-1.244	< 0.001		
Elevated serum uric acid	5.686	1.643-19.679	0.006		

OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, HDL: High density lipoprotein, FBS: Fasting blood sugar, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, SBP: Systolic blood pressure

levels.^[19] Another study by Zhang *et al.* showed that uric acid as an independent risk factor of metabolic syndrome, and its higher concentrations increased the risk of metabolic syndrome.^[20] The present study observations showed that proportion of patients with hyperuricemia were significantly higher in metabolic syndrome group than in nonmetabolic syndrome group. These observations are parallel to the study by Strohmaier *et al.* who reported hyperuricemia in 37% of patients with urolithiasis.^[21]

As discussed earlier, number of metabolic components responsible for metabolic syndrome affects severity of renal stone disease. In addition to obesity, other metabolic disorders like diabetes mellitus, hypertension and dyslipidemia also play a role in increased severity of kidney stone disease. Kohjimoto *et al.* observed 1.8 times larger odds of recurrent formation of stones as well as the formation of multiple stones in patients with four metabolic traits compared to those with zero traits.^[22] The present study also found similar results. As the number of metabolic components increased, a significant increasing trend in mean waist circumference, triglycerides, FBS, SBP, and DBP (P < 0.001) and a significant decreasing trend in mean HDL (P < 0.001) were observed in urolithiasis patients with metabolic syndrome.

In the present study, univariate logistic regression analysis showed that female patients were 19.6 times (1/0.051) more likely to develop metabolic syndrome than male patients (P < 0.001). This might be due to the high prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors such as hypertension, increased waist circumference, concomitant increases in hypertriglyceridemia and fasting glucose in females.^[23] Furthermore, hormonal regulation of body weight and adiposity in females could contribute to the high risk of metabolic syndrome. A meta-analysis by Kuk and Ardern suggested that abdominal obesity was the dominant MetS feature in women.^[23]

The present study reported that rise in metabolic components (such as increasing waist circumference,

triglycerides, FBS, blood pressure) was directly associated with increased risk of metabolic syndrome in patients diagnosed with urolithiasis (P < 0.05). However, decreasing HDL was associated with reduction in the likelihood of exhibition of metabolic syndrome in patients with urolithiasis. These results are in concordance with other previous studies.^[5,16,21]

Present study also reported that patients with elevated serum uric acid were 5.68 times more likely to exhibit metabolic syndrome than patients with normal serum uric acid levels (P = 0.006). These results indicate the strong association between hyperuricemia and risk of metabolic syndrome in patients with urolithiasis.^[14,24] A study by Maalouf et al. reported that increased uric acid excretion appears to be commonly related to insulin resistance, which further relates with obesity.^[12] Zhang et al. study showed that hyperuricemia is an independent risk factor of metabolic syndrome.^[20] Nejatinamini et al. study depicted the role of serum uric acid as one of the determinants of the metabolic syndrome. They concluded that with one unit increase of serum uric acid, the odds of developing metabolic syndrome approximately doubled.^[19] Other previous studies have also shown that individuals with high uric acid levels have 1.6 times higher odds of developing metabolic syndrome. The mechanism involved in this association may include reduction in endothelial nitric oxide bioavailability by uric acid or hyperinsulinemia reduces urinary uric acid excretion by the effect of insulin on urinary tubules leading to hyperuricemia.[25-27]

CONCLUSION

The present study observations suggest that hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypertriglyceridemia, hyperuricemia, and reduced HDL were prominently associated with the increased risk of developing metabolic syndrome in patients with urolithiasis. Therefore, presence of metabolic syndrome and its components are significantly associated with urolithiasis in Indian patients.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Bartoletti R, Cai T, Mondaini N, Melone F, Travaglini F, Carini M, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors in urolithiasis. Urol Int 2007;79 Suppl 1:3-7.
- Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet 2005;365:1415-28.

- Besiroglu H, Otunctemur A, Ozbek E. The metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ren Fail 2015;37:1-6.
- Rendina D, Mossetti G, de Filippo G, Benvenuto D, Vivona CL, Imbroinise A, *et al.* Association between metabolic syndrome and nephrolithiasis in an inpatient population in southern Italy: Role of gender, hypertension and abdominal obesity. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009;24:900-6.
- Wong YV, Cook P, Somani BK. The association of metabolic syndrome and urolithiasis. Int J Endocrinol 2015;2015: Article ID 570674.
- Taylor EN, Stampfer MJ, Curhan GC. Obesity, weight gain, and the risk of kidney stones. JAMA 2005;293:455-62.
- Taylor EN, Curhan GC. Body size and 24-hour urine composition. Am J Kidney Dis 2006;48:905-15.
- Powell CR, Stoller ML, Schwartz BF, Kane C, Gentle DL, Bruce JE, et al. Impact of body weight on urinary electrolytes in urinary stone formers. Urology 2000;55:825-30.
- Einollahi B, Naghii MR, Sepandi M. Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) with urolithiasis. Endocr Regul 2013;47:27-32.
- Cupisti A, Meola M, D'Alessandro C, Bernabini G, Pasquali E, Carpi A, et al. Insulin resistance and low urinary citrate excretion in calcium stone formers. Biomed Pharmacother 2007;61:86-90.
- Lee SC, Kim YJ, Kim TH, Yun SJ, Lee NK, Kim WJ. Impact of obesity in patients with urolithiasis and its prognostic usefulness in stone recurrence. J Urol 2008;179:570-4.
- Maalouf NM, Cameron MA, Moe OW, Adams-Huet B, Sakhaee K. Low urine pH: A novel feature of the metabolic syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;2:883-8.
- Pak CY, Sakhaee K, Moe O, Preminger GM, Poindexter JR, Peterson RD, et al. Biochemical profile of stone-forming patients with diabetes mellitus. Urology 2003;61:523-7.
- Sakhaee K, Adams-Huet B, Moe OW, Pak CY. Pathophysiologic basis for normouricosuric uric acid nephrolithiasis. Kidney Int 2002;62:971-9.
- West B, Luke A, Durazo-Arvizu RA, Cao G, Shoham D, Kramer H. Metabolic syndrome and self-reported history of kidney stones: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) 1988-1994. Am J Kidney Dis 2008;51:741-7.

- Jeong IG, Kang T, Bang JK, Park J, Kim W, Hwang SS, *et al.* Association between metabolic syndrome and the presence of kidney stones in a screened population. Am J Kidney Dis 2011;58:383-8.
- Kim YJ, Kim CH, Sung EJ, Kim SR, Shin HC, Jung WJ. Association of nephrolithiasis with metabolic syndrome and its components. Metabolism 2013;62:808-13.
- Filgueiras Pinto Rde S, Almeida JR, Kang HC, Rosa ML, Lugon JR. Metabolic syndrome and associated urolithiasis in adults enrolled in a community-based health program. Fam Pract 2013;30:276-81.
- Nejatinamini S, Ataie-Jafari A, Qorbani M, Nikoohemat S, Kelishadi R, Asayesh H, *et al.* Association between serum uric acid level and metabolic syndrome components. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2015;14:70.
- Zhang Q, Zhang C, Song X, Lin H, Zhang D, Meng W, *et al.* A longitudinal cohort based association study between uric acid level and metabolic syndrome in Chinese Han urban male population. BMC Public Health 2012;12:419.
- Strohmaier WL, Wrobel BM, Schubert G. Overweight, insulin resistance and blood pressure (parameters of the metabolic syndrome) in uric acid urolithiasis. Urol Res 2012;40:171-5.
- Kohjimoto Y, Sasaki Y, Iguchi M, Matsumura N, Inagaki T, Hara I. Association of metabolic syndrome traits and severity of kidney stones: Results from a nationwide survey on urolithiasis in Japan. Am J Kidney Dis 2013;61:923-9.
- Kuk JL, Ardern CI. Age and sex differences in the clustering of metabolic syndrome factors: Association with mortality risk. Diabetes Care 2010;33:2457-61.
- Ekeruo WO, Tan YH, Young MD, Dahm P, Maloney ME, Mathias BJ, et al. Metabolic risk factors and the impact of medical therapy on the management of nephrolithiasis in obese patients. J Urol 2004;172:159-63.
- Chen LY, Zhu WH, Chen ZW, Dai HL, Ren JJ, Chen JH, et al. Relationship between hyperuricemia and metabolic syndrome. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2007;8:593-8.
- Gersch C, Palii SP, Kim KM, Angerhofer A, Johnson RJ, Henderson GN. Inactivation of nitric oxide by uric acid. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 2008;27:967-78.
- Heinig M, Johnson RJ. Role of uric acid in hypertension, renal disease, and metabolic syndrome. Cleve Clin J Med 2006;73:1059-64.