Open access **Protocol**

BMJ Open Non-pharmacological therapies for postviral syndromes, including Long COVID: a systematic review and metaanalysis protocol

Joht Singh Chandan , 1,2 Kirsty Brown , 1 Nikita Simms-Williams , 1 Jenny Camaradou, 3 Nasir Bashir, 4 Dominic Heining, 5 Olalekan Lee Aiyegbusi , 1,6,7,8,9 Grace Turner , 1,6 Samantha Cruz Rivera , 1,6,8 Richard Hotham, 1 Krishnarajah Nirantharakumar, 1,2 Manoj Sivan , 10 Kamlesh Khunti , 11 Devan Raindi, 4 Steven Marwaha, 12 Sarah E Hughes , 1,6,9 Christel McMullan , 1,6 Melanie Calvert , 1,2,6,7,8,9,13

To cite: Chandan JS. Brown K. Simms-Williams N. et al. Nonpharmacological therapies for postviral syndromes, including Long COVID: a systematic review and metaanalysis protocol. BMJ Open 2022;12:e057885. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-057885

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-057885).

JSC and KB are joint first authors

MC and SH are joint senior authors.

Received 30 September 2021 Accepted 20 March 2022



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Joht Singh Chandan; j.s.chandan.1@bham.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Introduction Postviral syndromes (PVS) describe the sustained presence of symptoms following an acute viral infection, for months or even years. Exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 virus and subsequent development of COVID-19 has shown to have similar effects with individuals continuing to exhibit symptoms for greater than 12 weeks. The sustained presence of symptoms is variably referred to as 'post COVID-19 syndrome', 'post-COVID condition' or more commonly 'Long COVID'. Knowledge of the longterm health impacts and treatments for Long COVID are evolving. To minimise overlap with existing work in the field exploring treatments of Long COVID, we have only chosen to focus on non-pharmacological treatments. Aims This review aims to summarise the effectiveness of

non-pharmacological treatments for PVS, including Long COVID. A secondary aim is to summarise the symptoms and health impacts associated with PVS in individuals recruited to treatment studies.

Methods and analysis Primary electronic searches will be performed in bibliographic databases including: Embase, MEDLINE, PyscINFO, CINAHL and MedRxiv from 1 January 2001 to 29 October 2021. At least two independent reviewers will screen each study for inclusion and data will be extracted from all eligible studies onto a data extraction form. The quality of all included studies will be assessed using Cochrane risk of bias tools and the Newcastle-Ottawa grading system. Nonpharmacological treatments for PVS and Long COVID will be narratively summarised and effect estimates will be pooled using random effects meta-analysis where there is sufficient methodological homogeneity. The symptoms and health impacts reported in the included studies on non-pharmacological interventions will be extracted and narratively reported.

Ethics and dissemination This systematic review does not require ethical approval. The findings from this study will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication, shared at conference presentations and disseminated to both clinical and patient groups.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- ► This review will provide the first comprehensive and systematic summary of non-pharmacological treatments for postviral syndromes.
- The review proposal has been strengthened through its codevelopment with individuals with lived experience of Long COVID.
- A limitation of the review relates to the heterogeneity in the definition of post-viral syndromes as well as Long COVID, adding complexity to the synthesis of available evidence across the included syndromes.
- There is likely to be significant methodological heterogeneity in clinical trials of non-pharmacological interventions for postviral syndromes, which may limit our ability to pool treatment effects.

PROSPERO registration number The review will adhere to this protocol which has also been registered with PROSPERO (CRD42021282074).

BACKGROUND

Globally, there have been over 480 million cases of COVID-19 with over 6 million associated deaths. The pandemic has triggered a concerted global effort to develop and deliver safe and efficacious vaccinations at record speed, which have now significantly reduced morbidity, mortality and disease transmission associated with SARS CoV-2.²⁻⁴

Despite such positivity, the long-term sequalae of even mild infections of COVID-19 appear to carry a substantive burden on some patients, their families and health services. Early evidence suggested that the average recovery time following an acute COVID-19 infection is 2-3 weeks, depending



on symptom severity.⁵ ⁶ However, as the pandemic has progressed it became apparent that not all patients immediately recovered from the initial infection and between 2.3% and 37% develop symptoms lasting greater than 12 weeks, some of which may be relapsing and remitting.⁷ ⁸ However, prevalence estimates in these longer-term symptoms vary because of differences in disease definition (including differences in symptom severity and included symptoms), population surveyed and study design.^{8–13}

There is considerable heterogeneity in the clinical definition of ongoing symptoms following initial exposure to SARS CoV-2. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence define symptoms persisting beyond 4 weeks as either 'ongoing symptomatic COVID-19' (symptoms lasting between 4 and 12 weeks) or 'Post COVID-19 syndrome' (symptoms lasting beyond 12 weeks). ¹⁴ Globally other terms such as 'post-COVID condition' or 'long haulers' are used. However, in the UK, the more commonly adopted and preferred term among healthcare professionals and patient groups for ongoing symptoms following initial viral exposure to SARS CoV-2 is 'Long COVID,' the term adopted for this review.

The prevalence of persistent signs and symptoms, the remitting, relapsing and interchangeable nature of these symptoms, the pathophysiology and clinical course of Long COVID, and the lived experiences of patients, is yet to be fully described. However, many clinical sequalae including, but not limited to, fatigue, respiratory, neurological, psychological and gastroenterological complications that present in patients with Long COVID also feature in a similar pattern following other acute viral infections. ¹³ ¹⁵

An improved understanding of the sequalae and particularly the management of existing post-viral syndromes secondary to acute infections may provide further insight and guidance as to the optimal management of patients with Long COVID. Despite the novelty of the condition, it is clear that optimal management involves a multidisciplinary approach and rehabilitation, with patient involvement being a central component. ^{16 17}

Postviral syndromes may occur secondary to exposure to a number of acute viral infections, including but not limited to Coronaviridae, Filoviridae, Herpesviridae, Orthomyxoviridae, Picornaviridae, Parvoviridae, Togaviridae and Flaviviridae, as defined by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. 18-26 Like Long COVID, the signs and symptoms comprising these postviral syndromes may include fatigue, musculoskeletal pain (eg, joint pain) and mood (eg, feeling depressed) and neurocognitive disturbances (eg, difficulties with concentration and sleep). 15 27 A particularly researched area has been the development of postinfectious fatigue following Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) exposure.²⁸ As we have demonstrated in our recently published review on the symptoms of Long COVID, fatigue is one of the most commonly reported symptoms.²⁹ Notably, over time interventions have been developed to support patients with Epstein-Barr postinfectious fatigue, such as the

combination of cognitive—behavioural therapy with music therapy which has shown some promise.³⁰ This example highlights the similarities that may exist between postviral syndromes and the need to summarise evidence on their treatments, which may be relevant to those experiencing Long COVID.

Although the pathophysiology of postviral syndromes is poorly understood, it has been hypothesised that their development is mediated by altered cytokine responses, neuroinflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction and microbiome dysbiosis. A culmination of the pathophysiological changes and phenotypes following acute viral infections, including COVID-19, have been likened to other poorly understood conditions such as myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME)/chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS). Despite the limited evidence and clinical consensus, guidelines for the management of Long COVID have been developed and trials are underway exploring the impact of pharmaceutical, dietary and lifestyle interventions. 14 37

Considering the significant individual and societal burden arising from the COVID-19 pandemic there is an urgent need to identify whether the existing knowledge base on similar postviral syndromes can be applied to support the clinical management of patients with Long COVID. A comprehensive synthesis of the evidence on postviral syndromes, including on their therapies, is needed to better support those with Long COVID as well as those with previous post-viral syndromes. To minimise overlap with existing work in the field exploring pharmacological treatments for Long COVID and on the basis of the advice of our expert advisory group, we have chosen to focus on non-pharmacological treatments.

OBJECTIVES

This systematic review will summarise the evidence on non-pharmacological treatments for post-viral syndromes, including Long COVID. The findings will be used to make recommendations on non-pharmacological treatments for Long COVID as well as previous postviral syndromes.³⁸

This systematic review will be conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, and this protocol has been written in accordance with the PRISMA-Protocols checklist (online supplemental file 1).^{39 40}

METHODS Eligibility criteria

We will include primary research studies. For non-pharmacological treatments effects, we will consider randomised and non-randomised controlled trial evidence evaluating interventions for patients with post-viral syndromes and will additionally include observational studies (cohort, case cross-over and case-control study designs) for studies focusing on Long COVID.

There will be no restrictions on setting (ie, community or hospital based). Studies published in the previous 20 years will be included (study period defined as from 1 January 2001 to 29 October 2021), as this would encompass research on the first SARS and MERS outbreak which are the two pandemic viruses most related to SARS-CoV-2. No language restrictions will be applied. Peer-reviewed published studies, preprint articles and other grey literature will also be included in this review.

We will include studies with a population consisting of adults and/or children with postviral syndromes. Studies investigating postviral syndromes following acute infections from the following families (grouped by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses)¹⁸ will be included in this review.

Viruses known to cause symptomatic acute viral infections will be included. This is based on an assessment of clinically relevant viruses extracted from 'Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Disease, ninth Edition.' Included in brackets are the relevant clinical infections used to inform search terms:

Coronaviridae (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus, and other coronaviruses), Filoviridae (Ebola, Marburg), Herpesviridae (Epstein-Barr Virus, Cytomegalovirus, Varicella Zoster Virus, Other Human Herpes Viruses, Herpes Simplex Virus), Orthomyxoviridae (Influenza, A, B, C and D), Picornaviridae (Coxsackie, Hepatitis A, Enterovirus including Rhinovirus), Parvoviridae (Parvovirus), Togaviridae (Ross River virus, Chikungunya, other Semliki Forest Complex Viruses), Flaviviridae (West Nile River Virus, Dengue, Yellow Fever, Zika), Reoviridiae (Rotavirus), Paramyxoviridae (Mumps, Measles, Parainfluenza, Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Human Metapneumovirus) Adenoviridiae (Adenoviruses), Caliciviridae (Norovirus, Sapovirus), Astroviridae (Astroviruses). Additionally, when examining treatment options for Long COVID we will also include studies relating to SARS-CoV-2.

Extremely rare viral infections will not be included, as there is unlikely to be published research relevant to this review. Predominantly asymptomatic viruses, viruses identified in the last 3 years (except for SARS-CoV-2), and viruses predominantly existing as zoonoses will all be excluded, in addition to studies on chronic viral infections including Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and HIV postviral syndromes. Studies which include demonstrable reactivation of latent infection (eg, EBV, CMV with detectable viral load) will be excluded. We will exclude in vitro and animal studies. Studies that principally focus on ME and CFS without reference to pre-specified viruses will also be excluded.

To the authors' knowledge, there is no internationally agreed definition of post-viral syndromes and as such there is likely to be heterogeneity in the temporal description of syndrome onset following the initial viral exposure. Where temporal criteria are included, we will aim to

include those with symptoms lasting beyond 12 weeks (in line with the minimum timeframe for Long COVID used by the WHO). 42 However we will also include publications which provide no firm timeframe but indicate an aspect of chronicity or symptoms persisting for a prolonged period. Additionally, like Long COVID, patients with post-viral syndromes can experience a wide range of symptoms ranging from respiratory complications to fatigue or musculoskeletal pain.

The outcomes of the study will be changes in symptoms, exercise capacity, quality of life (including changes in mental health and well-being) and work capability. Although these are numerous and heterogeneous in nature, some examples of non-pharmacological treatments which may be used to support patients include pacing, cognitive behavioural therapy and pulmonary rehabilitation. Additionally, where described in the included studies, we will also extract information on the symptoms and health impacts reported by participants in the included studies.

In summary, the population will consist of either people with a post-viral syndrome who contributed to a randomised controlled trial or those with Long COVID who either contributed to an observational study or a randomised controlled trial. We will include studies that have assessed the effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions designed to improve symptoms of postviral syndromes against standard care, an alternative non-pharmacological therapy, or a placebo. The outcomes will be changes in symptoms, exercise capacity, quality of life (including changes in mental health and well-being), and work capability.

Information sources

Primary electronic searches will be performed in Embase, MEDLINE, PyscINFO, CINAHL, COVID-NMA and preprint servers (MedRxiv up to the first 500 references) from 1 January 2001 to 29 October 2021. The reference lists of all eligible articles will also be searched to identify any additional eligible studies which were not identified during the original search. Secondary searches will be conducted for grey literature on databases such as Google Scholar (limited to the first 500 references). Abstracts, conference and symposia proceedings from relevant organisations relating to virology will be identified and examined.

Search methods for identification of studies

The search strategy broadly includes terms such as: "MERS-COV", "SARS-COV", "Coronavirus", "Ebola", "Epstein-Barr Virus", "EBV", "Cytomegalovirus", "CMV", "Herpes", "Influenza", "Swine flu", "Coxsackie", "Parvovirus", "Ross River virus", "Chikungunya", "West Nile River virus", "long COVID", "post-acute-COVID", "Dengue", "treatment", "management", "Post-viral", "quality of life", "outcomes". A more extensive list of search terms is attached in online supplemental file 2.



Studies will not be excluded on language. Where studies are not published in English then they will be translated using Google Translate or a by a member of staff at the Institute of Applied Health Research.

Study records

Data management, selection and data collection processes

The study selection procedure will consist of four steps documented using a PRISMA flow diagram with reviewer decisions recorded at each stage:

- 1. The search results will be downloaded onto an excel file which will be imported onto the online review software COVIDENCE where the results will be deduplicated. ⁴³ Titles with abstracts will be initially screened by at least two reviewers using predefined screening criteria based on whether studies (1) included patients with a diagnosis of a post-viral syndrome and (2) included information about non-pharmacological treatments.
- Following title and abstract screening by two reviewers, any disagreements will be resolved through discussion.
 Where disagreements remain following discussion, these will be reconciled involving an additional reviewer.
- 3. Full texts of the selected articles will be obtained, and exclusion criteria applied by at least two independent reviewers.
- 4. As in step 2, selection of included articles will be discussed until a consensus is reached between the reviewers, with an additional reviewer providing support to resolve disagreements. The conclusion of step 3 will provide the final list of studies eligible for inclusion in the review.

The data from all eligible studies will be extracted onto an extraction form by at least one reviewer, with a quarter of extracted studies checked by a second reviewer. In the event where the full text is not available, we will contact the study's corresponding author for access either via their email address provided for the article or ResearchGate.

Data items

Data will be extracted for all eligible studies including first author, year of publication, study design, study setting (community or hospital), country, participant characteristics (age, sex, ethnicity), name of virus and outcome measures. A draft of the data extraction tables can be seen in online supplemental file 3.

Outcomes and prioritisation

Data will be sought for the type of non-pharmacological treatment/intervention. The outcomes will be changes in symptoms, exercise capacity, quality of life (including changes in mental health and well-being) and work capability. Data on the symptoms, health impacts and complications experienced by patients at study entry included in the studies will also be extracted where available.

Risk of bias in individual studies

To assess the risk of bias in individual studies we will use the appropriate tool for each type of study. Cochrane risk of bias tools will be used for randomised (RoB 2) and non-randomised (ROBINS-I) controlled trials, and the Newcastle Ottawa tool will be used for observational studies. The risk of bias will be examined by two reviewers and reported using the respective tools.

Data synthesis

The review findings will present the non-pharmacological treatments for previous postviral syndromes and Long COVID.

The review will consist of a narrative and quantitative synthesis of included studies if appropriate. The characteristics (study design, population, setting, viruses, etc) and findings of included studies will first be narratively described and summarised in tables. The outcomes (treatment effects (mean differences or measures of relative risk)) will be presented on forest plots to assess the heterogeneity of study findings. The methodological heterogeneity of studies will be qualitatively evaluated and then assessed using the I² statistic. We will assess clinical heterogeneity by examining the types of participants, interventions and outcomes in each study. We anticipate considerable heterogeneity, particularly in exposure definition (post-viral syndromes or Long COVID), due to differing temporal definitions, viral types and nature of symptoms, and thus where meta-analysis is considered appropriate will undertake a random effects meta-analysis rather than a fixed effect model.

Where outcomes are measured using a continuous scale, these will be pooled through calculation of the weighted mean difference (with SD). Where outcomes are assessed using different continuous measures across the studies, these will be pooled using Hedges' *g* standardised mean difference. Where outcomes are assessed using a dichotomous measure, these will be pooled through calculation of ORs.

In line with Cochrane guidance for systematic reviews on dealing with missing data, when appropriate we will not impute missing data but will omit the data from the respective analysis being conducted.⁴⁸

Additional analyses

Where there is sufficient data, we will conduct a subgroup analysis comparing hospitalised to non-hospitalised patients and observing any differences in outcomes. We will also where possible undertake a meta-regression model to assess the impact of age and sex on symptoms and treatment effects. Additionally, as a sensitivity analysis we will repeat the primary analysis using high quality studies only.

If at least 10 studies are included in the meta-analysis with no significant evidence of heterogeneity a funnel plot will be used to explore the existence of publication bias by visual inspection. We will also undertake an objective assessment of publication bias using Egger's regression test. Asymmetry of the funnel plot and/or statistical significance of Egger's regression test (p<0.05) will be indicative of publication bias.



Confidence in cumulative evidence

At least two independent reviewers will assess the strength of the body of evidence (according to the following categories: high, moderate, low and very low) for each individual outcome using the five Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) considerations for downgrading the certainty of evidence (risk of bias, impression, inconsistency, indirectness and publication bias) and the three GRADE considerations for upgrading the certainty of evidence (large magnitude of effect, dose-response gradient and residual confounding decreasing the overall effect). 49

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were involved in the development of the research question and design of the review. This was undertaken with the support of a working patient and public involvement and engagement (PPIE) group. We held an initial meeting with members of the PPIE group. Members of the research team gave a presentation which highlighted the similarity between postviral syndromes and Long COVID, the rationale for this review as well as our preliminary ideas. There were four PPIE group members in attendance—two white men and two women (one black and the other South-East Asian). Following the presentation, there was a discussion of the review objectives. The PPIE group members were asked for their thoughts on the review objectives and its scope. While they confirmed that the objectives were appropriate and comprehensive, they stressed the impact of Long COVID on employment and that the loss of income was very important to patients. Therefore, a key goal of this work should be to identify potential non-pharmacological interventions that will facilitate the rehabilitation of patients with long COVID so that they can be fit to return to work. Based on their feedback, we will ensure that this review will report interventions designed to improve the physical function of patients which will facilitate their return to work. Patients and members of the public will not be involved in the study selection or extraction phases but will be involved with the evidence synthesis stage. At this subsequent stage their involvement will be documented according to the GRIPP-2 checklist.⁵⁰ The final review, and a lay summary will be disseminated via publication and conference presentations which will be supported with the PPIE group.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

As the primary focus of the review will be to undertake a secondary analysis of published data, no ethical approval is formally required. The findings from the review will be submitted for peer-reviewed publication, shared at conference presentations, and disseminated to both clinical and patient groups.

Author affiliations

¹Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

- ²Health Data Research UK, Birmingham, UK
- ³COVID END Evidence Network, UK, UK
- ⁴School of Dentistry, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- ⁵University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
- ⁶Centre for Patient-Reported Outcomes Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- $^7 \rm NIHR$ Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
- ⁸Birmingham Health Partners Centre for Regulatory Science and Innovation, University of Birmingham. Birmingham. UK
- ⁹National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Centre West Midlands, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 10 University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ¹¹Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
- ¹²Institute for Mental Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- ¹³Centre for Patient Reported Outcomes Research and Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Twitter Grace Turner @gracemturner, Samantha Cruz Rivera @samsamcr, Sarah E Hughes @SarahHughesSLT, Christel McMullan @christel_uob and Shamil Haroon @ ShamilHaroon

Contributors The research questions were conceived by JSC, KN, MC and SH. The first draft of the protocol was done by KB, NS-W, JSC and SH. Further reviews and revisions to the protocol were made by all remaining co-authors (CM, JC, NB, DH, OLA, GT, KN, MS, KK, DR, SCR, RH, SM, SEH). The final copy of the manuscript was approved by all authors.

Funding This work is independent research jointly funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) (Therapies for Long COVID in non-hospitalised individuals: From symptoms, patient reported outcomes and immunology to targeted therapies (The TLC Study), COV-LT-0013).

Disclaimer The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Joht Singh Chandan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9561-5141
Kirsty Brown http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9403-1593
Nikita Simms-Williams http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4926-1995
Olalekan Lee Aiyegbusi http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9122-8251
Grace Turner http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9783-9413
Samantha Cruz Rivera http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1566-6804
Manoj Sivan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0334-2968
Kamlesh Khunti http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2343-7099
Sarah E Hughes http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5656-1198
Christel McMullan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0878-1513
Melanie Calvert http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1856-837X

Shamil Haroon http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0096-1413

REFERENCES

- 1 John Hopkins University. COVID-19 Map Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, 2020. Available: https://coronavirus. jhu.edu/map.html [Accessed 8 Jun 2020].
- 2 Haas EJ, Angulo FJ, McLaughlin JM, et al. Impact and effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths following a nationwide vaccination campaign in Israel: an observational study using national surveillance data. *Lancet* 2021;397:1819–29.
- 3 Public Health England. Direct and indirect impact of the vaccination programme on COVID-19 infections and mortality, 2021.
- 4 Gupta S, Cantor J, Simon KI, et al. Vaccinations against COVID-19 may have averted up to 140,000 deaths in the United States. Health Aff 2021;40:1465–72. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2021.00619
- 5 Daher A, Balfanz P, Cornelissen C, et al. Follow up of patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): pulmonary and extrapulmonary disease sequelae. Respir Med 2020;174:106197. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2020.106197
- 6 Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T. Attributes and predictors of Long-COVID: analysis of COVID cases and their symptoms 1 collected by the Covid symptoms study APP 2 3 medRxiv preprint. medRxiv 2020.
- 7 et alWhitaker M, Elliott J, Chadeau-Hyam M. Persistent symptoms following SARS-CoV-2 infection in a random community sample of 508,707 people, 2021. Available: http://spiral.imperial.ac.uk/handle/ 10044/1/89844 [Accessed 10 Sep 2021].
- 8 Office for National Statistics. Short report on long COVID, 2021. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ons-short-report-on-long-covid-22-july-2021 [Accessed 10 Sep 2021].
- 9 Augustin M, Schommers P, Stecher M, et al. Post-COVID syndrome in non-hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a longitudinal prospective cohort study. Lancet Reg Health Eur 2021;6:100122. doi:10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100122
- 10 Ayoubkhani D, Khunti K, Nafilyan V, et al. Post-covid syndrome in individuals admitted to hospital with covid-19: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2021;372:n693. doi:10.1136/bmj.n693
- 11 Blomberg B, Mohn KG-I, Brokstad KA. Long COVID in a prospective cohort of home-isolated patients. Nat Med 2021:1–7.
- Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from Hospital: a cohort study. Lancet 2021;397:220–32. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32656-8
- 13 Salamanna F, Veronesi F, Martini L, et al. Post-COVID-19 syndrome: the persistent symptoms at the post-viral stage of the disease. A systematic review of the current data. Front Med 2021;8:653516. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.653516
- 14 National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Identifying people with ongoing symptomatic COVID-19 or post-COVID-19 syndrome | COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the long-term effects of COVID-19, 2020.. Available: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng188/chapter/1-Identifying-people-with-ongoing-symptomatic-COVID-19-or-post-COVID-19-syndrome [Accessed 10 Sep 2021].
- 15 Aucott JN, Rebman AW. Long-haul COVID: heed the lessons from other infection-triggered illnesses. *Lancet* 2021;397:967–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00446-3
- 16 Gorna R, MacDermott N, Rayner C, et al. Long COVID guidelines need to reflect lived experience. Lancet 2021;397:455–7. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32705-7
- 17 Norton A, Olliaro P, Sigfrid L, et al. Long COVID: tackling a multifaceted condition requires a multidisciplinary approach. Lancet Infect Dis 2021;21:601–2. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00043-8
- 18 International Committee on taxonomy of viruses. taxonomy, 2020. Available: https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/ [Accessed 4 Jul 2021].
- 19 Hui DS, Wong KT, Ko FW, et al. The 1-year impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on pulmonary function, exercise capacity, and quality of life in a cohort of survivors. Chest 2005;128:2247–61. doi:10.1378/chest.128.4.2247
- 20 Ong K-C, Ng AW-K, Lee LS-U, et al. 1-Year pulmonary function and health status in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Chest 2005;128:1393–400. doi:10.1378/chest.128.3.1393
- 21 Hsieh M-J, Lee W-C, Cho H-Y, et al. Recovery of pulmonary functions, exercise capacity, and quality of life after pulmonary rehabilitation in survivors of ARDS due to severe influenza A (H1N1) pneumonitis. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2018;12:643–8. doi:10.1111/irv.12566
- 22 Chen W-H, Guo Y-S, Zhang D-H, et al. Long-Term prognosis of suspected myocarditis and cardiomyopathy associated

- with viral infection of the myocardial tissue: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Cardiovasc Ther* 2019;2019:1–10. doi:10.1155/2019/9342792
- 23 Schultz JC, Hilliard AA, Cooper LT, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of viral myocarditis. Mayo Clin Proc 2009;84:1001–9. doi:10.1016/ S0025-6196(11)60670-8
- 24 Chan KS, Zheng JP, Mok YW, et al. Sars: prognosis, outcome and sequelae. Respirology 2003;8 Suppl:S36–40. doi:10.1046/j.1440-1843.2003.00522.x
- 25 Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;7:611–27. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30203-0
- 26 Lee SH, Shin H-S, Park HY, et al. Depression as a mediator of chronic fatigue and post-traumatic stress symptoms in middle East respiratory syndrome survivors. *Psychiatry Investig* 2019;16:59–64. doi:10.30773/pi.2018.10.22.3
- 27 Hickie I, Davenport T, Wakefield D, et al. Post-infective and chronic fatigue syndromes precipitated by viral and non-viral pathogens: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2006;333:575–8. doi:10.1136/ bmj.38933.585764.AE
- 28 White PD. What causes prolonged fatigue after infectious mononucleosis: and does it tell us anything about chronic fatigue syndrome? J Infect Dis 2007;196:4–5. doi:10.1086/518615
- 29 Aiyegbusi OL, Hughes SE, Turner G, et al. Symptoms, complications and management of long COVID: a review. J R Soc Med 2021;114:428–42. doi:10.1177/01410768211032850
- 30 Malik S, Asprusten TT, Pedersen M, et al. Cognitive–behavioural therapy combined with music therapy for chronic fatigue following Epstein-Barr virus infection in adolescents: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Paediatr Open 2020;4:e000797. doi:10.1136/ bmjpo-2020-000797
- 31 Bansal AS, Bradley AS, Bishop KN, et al. Chronic fatigue syndrome, the immune system and viral infection. Brain Behav Immun 2012;26:24–31. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2011.06.016
- 32 Proal A, Marshall T. Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic fatigue syndrome in the era of the human microbiome: persistent pathogens drive chronic symptoms by interfering with host metabolism, gene expression, and immunity. Front Pediatr 2018;6:373. doi:10.3389/ fped.2018.00373
- 33 Yamato M, Kataoka Y. Fatigue sensation following peripheral viral infection is triggered by neuroinflammation: who will answer these questions? *Neural Regen Res* 2015;10:203–4. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.152369
- 34 Islam MF, Cotler J, Jason LA. Post-Viral fatigue and COVID-19: lessons from past epidemics. *Fatigue: Biomedicine, Health Behav* 2020;8:61–9. doi:10.1080/21641846.2020.1778227
- 35 Vernon SD, Whistler T, Cameron B, et al. Preliminary evidence of mitochondrial dysfunction associated with post-infective fatigue after acute infection with Epstein Barr virus. BMC Infect Dis 2006;6:15. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-6-15
- 36 Holgate ST, Komaroff AL, Mangan D, et al. Chronic fatigue syndrome: understanding a complex illness. Nat Rev Neurosci 2011;12:539–44. doi:10.1038/nrn3087
- 37 Crook H, Raza S, Nowell J, et al. Long covid-mechanisms, risk factors, and management. BMJ 2021;374:n1648. doi:10.1136/bmj. n1648
- 38 UKRI. Outcomes of the UK COVID-19 therapeutics Advisory panel (UK-CTAP), 2021. Available: https://www.ukri.org/about-us/policiesstandards-and-data/data-collection/uk-covid-19-therapeuticsadvisory-panel/ [Accessed 27 Sep 2021].
- 39 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372.
- 40 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;349:g7647. doi:10.1136/bmj. q7647
- 41 Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ. Principles and practice of infectious diseases, 2019.
- 42 World Health Organization. A clinical case definition of post COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus, 6 October 2021, 2021. Available: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Post_COVID-19_condition-Clinical_case_definition-2021.1 [Accessed 2 Mar 2022].
- 43 Veritas health innovation. Covidence systematic review software.
- 44 Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or nonrandomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ 2017;358:j4008. doi:10.1136/bmj.j4008



- 45 Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. secondary the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Hosp Res Inst 2015:2–4.
- 46 Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. Rob 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019;366:I4898. doi:10.1136/bmj.I4898
- 47 Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016;355:i4919. doi:10.1136/bmj.i4919
- 48 Cochrane. 16.1.2 general principles for dealing with missing data. Available: https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter_16/16_1_2_general_principles_for_dealing_with_missing_data.htm [Accessed 10 Sep 2021].
- 49 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. Grade: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924–6. doi:10.1136/ bmj.39489.470347.AD
- 50 Staniszewska S, Brett J, Simera I. GRIPP2 reporting checklists: tools to improve reporting of patient and public involvement in research. BMJ 2017;358:3453.