

Citation: Tsai C-T, Lu Y-W, Chou R-H, Tsai Y-L, Kuo M-R, Guo J-Y, et al. (2020) Associations of antithrombotic agent use with clinical outcomes in critically ill patients with troponin I elevation in the absence of acute coronary syndrome. PLoS ONE 15(5): e0233178. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0233178

Editor: Corstiaan den Uil, Erasmus Medical Center, NETHERLANDS

Received: February 10, 2020

Accepted: April 29, 2020

Published: May 21, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178

Copyright: © 2020 Tsai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data files are available from the figshare database (accession number <u>10.6084/m9.figshare.12154896</u>).

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Associations of antithrombotic agent use with clinical outcomes in critically ill patients with troponin I elevation in the absence of acute coronary syndrome

Chuan-Tsai Tsai^{1,2®}, Ya-Wen Lu^{1,2®}, Ruey-Hsing Chou^{1,2,3,4}, Yi-Lin Tsai^{1,2}, Ming-Ren Kuo^{1,2}, Jiun-Yu Guo², Chi-Ting Lu², Chin-Sung Kuo^{2,4,5}, Po-Hsun Huang^{1,2,3,4}*

 Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan,
Cardiovascular Research Center, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, 3 Department of Critical Care Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 4 Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, 5 Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan

These authors contributed equally to this work.
* huangbsvgh@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction

To evaluate efficacy of antithrombotic agents in critically ill patients with elevated troponin I level during intensive care unit (ICU) admission.

Methods and results

It was a retrospective observational study which was conducted in a tertiary teaching hospital in Taipei, Taiwan. All patients hospitalized in ICU for >3 days and with available serum troponin I data from December 2015 to July 2017 were included. Patients with definite diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) were excluded. We divided patients with troponin I elevation into three groups; no prescription, chronic prescription and new prescription of antithrombotic agents during ICU admission. We defined new prescription when patients were on antithrombotic agents, including antiplatelet agents, direct oral anticoagulants, and warfarin after troponin I was found to be elevated at ICU admission and chronic prescription, if antithrombotic agents were on medication list more than 30 days before ICU admission. Primary outcomes were 30-day and one-year all-cause mortality. Of 597 subjects who met inclusion criteria, 407 (68%) patients had elevated troponin I (>0.1 ng/mL) on ICU admission. These patients had increased 30-day [hazard ratio (HR), 1.679; 95% confidence interval (Cl), 1.132–2.491; p = 0.009] and one-year (HR, 1.568; 95% Cl, 1.180–2.083; p = 0.002) all-cause mortality compared with those without elevated troponin I. In patients with elevated troponin I, there was no significant difference of 30-day all-cause mortality among three groups (p = 0.051) whereas patients on chronic prescription showed significant survival benefit in one-year all-cause mortality when compared to those without or with new prescription (p = 0.008).

Funding: This study was supported, in part, by research grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (MOST 106-2314-B-350-001-MY3); the Novel Bioengineering and Technological Approaches to Solve Two Major Health Problems in Taiwan program, sponsored by the Taiwan Ministry of Science and Technology Academic Excellence Program (MOST 108-2633-B-009-001); the Ministry of Health and Welfare (MOHW106-TDU-B-211-113001); and Taipei Veterans General Hospital (V105C-207, V106C-045, V108C-195). These funding agencies had no influence on the study design, data collection or analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: No authors have competing interests.

Conclusions

In critically ill patients, elevated troponin I in the absence of AMI was associated with poor prognosis. Newly prescribed antithrombotic agents in ICU didn't reveal the difference in short and long-term prognosis while chronic antithrombotic agent use was associated with better one-year survival rate, suggesting that these drugs play a protective role in this high-risk population.

Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (MI) is diagnosed with a combination of clinical symptoms, signs, electrocardiography, and acute elevation of biomarkers, such as creatinine kinase and troponin I. [1] Troponin I that was elevated due to myocardial injury from thrombus occlusion of epicardial coronary artery was defined as type 1 MI. However, troponin I was frequently found to be elevated from the conditions known as type 2 MI. It was related to myocardial oxygen supply and demand mismatch, coronary hypoperfusion from generalized hypotension such as septic shock, respiratory failure, pulmonary embolism and acute heart failure. [2] Previous studies found that patients with type 2 MI when admitted to intensive care unit (ICU) was associated with higher in-hospital mortality compared to those with type 1 MI. [3–5] Due to differences in the mechanisms that lead to myocardial injury, the definitive treatment for type 2 MI is an essential clinical issue. [5]

Aspirin reduced all-cause mortality by about one-sixth and vascular death by 15% in patients with occlusive coronary artery disease (i.e., type 1 MI) and multiple risk factors for atherosclerosis, such as diabetes mellitus, ischemic stroke, and peripheral arterial disease. [6] Adverse outcomes were further reduced by combination therapy with aspirin and P2Y12 inhibitors, such as clopidogrel and ticagrelor, in patients with type 1 MI. [7] However, the exact role of antithrombotic agents in patients with type 2 MI remains uncertain. We thus conducted this retrospective study to investigate the impact of these medications in patients with type 2 MI when admitted to non-cardiac ICU.

Methods

Study population

For this retrospective study, we screened 2678 patients admitted to the ICU at Taipei Veterans General Hospital due to critical conditions and the requirement for intensive care between December 2015 and July 2017. Patients were admitted from the emergency room or transferred from the ordinary ward to the medical or surgical ICU (SICU). Patients with available serum troponin I at ICU admission were enrolled. We excluded patients whose ICU stays were <3 days, those undergoing postsurgical monitoring or post–percutaneous coronary intervention monitoring and those with definite diagnosis of type 1 MI, i.e., symptoms of angina, plus new ischemic ECG changes and identification of thrombus occlusion of coronary artery by angiography. This study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Taipei Veterans General Hospital. All participants provided written informed consent. A flowchart of patient enrollment and classification is shown in Fig 1.



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.g001

Measurement of clinical variables

We reviewed the medical records of each patient in detail after enrollment. Data were collected on patients' clinical characteristics, including age, sex, body weight, body mass index (BMI), comorbidities, etiologies of ICU admission, categories of chronic medication use, and disease severity. The acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scores were calculated to evaluate disease severity in the first 24 hours after ICU admission. [8, 9] We reviewed clinical and microbiological data to collect information on infection foci. Blood chemistry parameters were measured and cell counts were determined by routine laboratory methods on the first day of ICU admission. The estimated glomerular filtration rate was calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation. Serum troponin I level was measured by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Elecsys; Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) using Cobas e 600 analyzer, for which the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit value is 0.10 ng/mL. We stratified the study cohort according to the troponin I value, and compared the baseline characteristics of study subjects with troponin I levels > 0.10 ng/mL with those with lower serum troponin I levels.

Identification of exposure to antithrombotic agents

We retrieved data on antithrombotic use from patients' electronic medical records. We divided patients with elevated troponin I into three groups according to anticoagulant medication; no, chronic and new prescription. We defined the chronic use of antithrombotic agents, including antiplatelet agents (e.g., aspirin, clopidogrel, ticlopidine, dipyridamole), direct oral anticoagulants, and vitamin K antagonists (e.g., warfarin) as prescription >30 days before ICU

admission. We also recorded new prescriptions and withdrawals of antithrombotics during the patients' ICU stays.

Study outcomes and patient follow-up

We recorded short and long-term adverse events for the study sample. Short-term adverse events included the lengths of ICU stay and hospitalization, gastrointestinal tract bleeding during the ICU stay, acute renal failure requiring dialysis during the ICU stay, and in-hospital and 30-day mortality. Long-term adverse events included the prevalence of persistent ventilator dependence, dialysis dependence, and one-year mortality. The primary outcomes were 30-day and one-year mortality. Respiratory failure was defined as the need for endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilator support. [10] Acute kidney injury (AKI) was defined as the acute deterioration of renal function and requirement for renal replacement therapy, according to the Acute Kidney Injury Network criteria. [11] Sepsis was defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by dysregulated host response to infection, as reflected by a \geq 2-point increase in the SOFA score. [12] Patients were followed until December 2018, with all-cause mortality, rehospitalization, and persistent ventilator or dialysis dependence recorded and analyzed.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages and compared using the chisquared test. Continuous variables were expressed as means \pm standard deviations and compared between groups using analysis of variance or the Mann–Whitney *U* test. For the comparison of survival rates of patients with different troponin I levels and the effect of antithrombotics among those with higher troponin levels, we used Kaplan–Meier survival curves and the log-rank test. Cox proportional-hazard regression analyses were performed to obtain hazard ratios (HRs) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Variables with *p* values < 0.05 in the univariable regression analysis were entered in the multivariable regression analysis. Multivariable Cox regression analyses were performed for the whole study cohort and for patients with higher troponin I levels. Interactions between variables and chronic antithrombotic use were examined for one-year mortality. *P* values < 0.05 were considered to be significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 19.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes stratified by troponin I level

In total, 597 study subjects admitted to the ICU for >3 days with available serum troponin I data were included in this study; 407 (68%) of these patients had elevated troponin I (> 0.1 ng/ mL) at ICU admission. Baseline characteristics of the study cohort are provided in Table 1. Patients in the elevated troponin I group had higher APACHE II and SOFA scores, more hemodynamic instability, less percentage of post-operation, higher serum creatinine and lactate level, and lower platelet counts than did the rest of the cohort. Among underlying diseases, end-stage renal disease and chronic heart failure were more prevalent among patients in the elevated troponin I group.

Troponin I elevation was associated with increased 30-day and one-year mortality

Short and long-term outcomes are summarized in <u>Table 2</u>. A total of 209 (35%) patients died during hospitalization. Compared with critically ill patients without elevated troponin I levels,

	Overall (n = 597)	Troponin I \leq 0.1 (n = 190)	Troponin I > 0.1 (n = 407)	P value
Age (year)	70 ± 17	70 ± 16	70 ± 17	0.861
Sex (male)	385 (65)	129 (68)	256 (63)	0.271
APACHE II	27 ± 8	26 ± 8	28 ± 8	< 0.001
SOFA	9 ± 3	8 ± 3	10 ± 3	< 0.001
Admission diagnosis				
Respiratory failure (%)	311 (52)	104 (55)	207 (51)	0.381
hemodynamic unstable (%)	176 (30)	40 (21)	136 (33)	0.002
Post operation (%)	64 (11)	35 (18)	29 (7)	<0.001
Focus of infection				
Pneumonia (%)	290 (49)	95 (50)	195 (48)	0.661
Catheter related infection (%)	65 (11)	17 (9)	48 (12)	0.326
Intraabdominal infection (%)	90 (15)	35 (18)	55 (14)	0.140
Lab findings, Mean ± SD				
Troponin I (ng/ml)	1.32 ± 3.90	0.1 ± 0.0	1.9 ± 4.6	<0.001
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	2.69 ± 2.20	2.34 ± 2.09	2.86 ± 2.23	0.009
HCO3 (mmHg)	20.9 ± 5.0	21.7 ± 4.7	20.6 ± 5.0	0.013
Lactate (mg/dl)	25 ± 26	17 ± 15	29 ± 29	< 0.001
CRP (mg/dl)	11.9 ± 5.4	14.5 ± 11.3	12.3 ± 10.7	0.172
Underlying disease				
Hypertension (%)	319 (53)	105 (55)	214 (53)	0.597
Diabetes mellitus (%)	221 (37)	67 (35)	154 (38)	0.585
COPD (%)	30 (5)	10 (5)	20 (5)	0.843
ESRD (%)	62 (10)	10 (5)	52 (13)	0.006
CHF (%)	80 (13)	17 (9)	63 (16)	0.029
Prior history of CAD (%)	116 (19)	37 (20)	79 (20)	1.000
Prior stroke (%)	48 (8)	15 (8)	33 (8)	1.000
Cancer (%)	151 (25.3)	61 (32.1)	90 (22.1)	0.001
Chronic medication use				
ACEI/ARB	142 (23.8)	53 (27.9)	89 (21.9)	0.122
beta-blocker	117 (19.6)	40 (21.1)	77 (18.9)	0.580
Statin	76 (12.7)	20 (10.5)	56 (13.8)	0.294
ОНА	115 (19.3)	26 (13.7)	89 (21.9)	0.019
ATT	148 (24.8)	48 (25.3)	100 (24.6)	0.539
ATT during ICU admission	224 (37.5)	66 (34.7)	158 (38.8)	0.365

Table 1. General features of the patients, according to serum troponin I levels at ICU admission. dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfbejije.

Values are given as mean and standard deviation or numbers and percentages.

APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; CRP, C reactive protein; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ESRD, end stage renal disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CAD, coronary artery disease; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agents; ATT, anti-thrombotics.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.t001

those with troponin I levels > 0.1 ng/mL had higher incidences of in-hospital mortality (27% vs. 39%, p = 0.008), 30-day mortality (17% vs. 27%, p = 0.007), and one-year mortality (34% vs. 46%, p = 0.006). The incidence of long-term ventilator and dialysis dependence did not differ between groups.

The survival rates of patients, stratified by troponin I level, are shown in Fig 2. The 30-day and one-year all-cause mortality rates were significantly higher in patients with elevated troponin I level. In the multivariate Cox regression analysis of all patients admitted to ICU adjusted

	Overall (n = 597)	Troponin I \leq 0.1 (n = 190)	Troponin I > 0.1 (n = 407)	P value
Short-term outcomes				
Length of ICU stay	11 ± 7.0	11 ± 7.2	11 ± 7.0	0.939
Length of hospitalization	36 ± 37.2	38 ± 32.5	36 ± 39.3	0.510
GI bleeding during admission	394 (66.1)	123 (65.1)	271 (66.6)	0.780
AKI during admission (%)	243 (41)	76 (40)	167 (41)	0.858
Hospital mortality (%)	209 (35)	52 (27)	157 (39)	0.008
30-day mortality (%)	142 (24)	32 (17)	110 (27)	0.007
Long-term outcomes				
Ventilator dependent (%)	33 (6)	10 (5)	23 (6)	1.000
Dialysis dependent (%)	64 (11)	16 (8)	48 (12)	0.256
One-year mortality (%)	251 (42)	64 (34)	187 (46)	0.006

Table 2. Various outcomes according to troponin I level. dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfbhjij6.

Values are given as mean and standard deviation or numbers and percentages.

GI, gastrointestinal; AKI, acute kidney injury

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.t002

for the APACHE II score, diagnosis at admission, infection focus, serum lactate level, underlying disease with cancer, and chronic medication (beta-blockers and antithrombotics) use, troponin I > 0.1 ng/mL was associated independently with one-year mortality (Table 3).

Antithrombotic use during ICU stays in patients with troponin I elevation

In 407 patients with elevated troponin I, 273 (67%) patients were not on antithrombotics while 100 (25%) patients were on chronic prescription and 34 (8%) patients on new prescription during ICU admission. Patients using chronic antithrombotics were older, with higher





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.g002

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value
Age (year)	1.003 (0.995–1.010)	0.469		
Sex (male)	1.161 (0.893–1.509)	0.264		
APACHE II	1.064 (1.047–1.081)	< 0.001	1.062 (1.042–1.083)	<0.001
SOFA	1.123 (1.081–1.166)	< 0.001		
Admission diagnosis				
Respiratory failure	-	-	-	-
hemodynamic unstable	1.348 (1.036–1.755)	0.026	1.225 (0.907-1.655)	0.185
Post operation	0.367 (0.230-0.586)	< 0.001	0.569 (0.340-0.952)	0.032
Focus of infection				
Pneumonia	1.604 (1.248-2.061)	< 0.001	1.458 (1.080–1.968)	0.014
Bacteremia	1.208 (0.829–1.759)	0.326		
Intraabdominal infection	0.910 (0.640–1.296)	0.602		
Lab findings				
Troponin I >0.1 (ng/ml)	1.568 (1.180–2.083)	0.002	1.516 (1.096-2.095)	0.012
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	0.967 (0.910-1.027)	0.277		
HCO3	1.020 (0.995–1.046)	0.118		
Lactate (mg/dl)	1.005 (1.001–1.009)	0.028	0.997 (0.992–1.003)	0.357
CRP (mg/dl)	0.991 (0.973–1.010)	0.347		
Underlying disease				
Hypertension	0.917 (0.716–1.175)	0.493		
Diabetes mellitus	0.898 (0.693–1.164)	0.417		
ESRD	0.957 (0.633–1.447)	0.837		
CHF	1.280 (0.916–1.789)	0.148		
CAD	0.907 (0.662–1.243)	0.543		
CVD	0.673 (0.406-1.117)	0.126		
Cancer	1.428 (1.092–1.868)	0.009	1.684 (1.252–2.265)	0.001
ACEI/ARB, chronic	0.961 (0.719–1.285)	0.788		
Beta-blocker, chronic	0.519 (0.360-0.749)	< 0.001	0.600 (0.392-0.917)	0.018
Statin, chronic	0.767 (0.514–1.143)	0.193		
OHA, chronic	1.018 (0.741–1.397)	0.914		
ATT, chronic prescription	0.574 (0.417-0.790)	0.001	0.639 (0.446-0.916)	0.015
ATT, new prescription	0.898 (0.582–1.385)	0.626		

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of risk of one-year mortality of all patients by a Cox regression mode	l. dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfbijike
---	--

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; CRP, C reactive protein; ESRD, end stage renal disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CAD, coronary artery disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agent; ATT, anti-thrombotics; ICU, intensive care unit.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.t003

prevalence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease (CAD) and concomitant medication with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin receptor blocker, beta blocker, statin and oral hypoglycemic agents. Patients received new prescription showed higher level of initial troponin I. No difference was observed in the percentages of ICU admission causes, infection focus, or serum parameters other than troponin I (including the blood urea nitrogen level; platelet count; pH; and bicarbonate, lactate, and Creactive protein levels among three groups (Table 4). Subsequent coronary revascularization was performed highest in patients with chronic antithrombotic medication although statistically not significant. The lengths of ICU stay and hospitalization did not differ among three

	No prescription (n = 273)	Chronic prescription (n = 100)	New prescription (n = 34)	P value
Age (year)	67 ± 18	76 ± 12	69 ± 17	0.0001
Sex (male)	171 (63)	65 (65)	20 (59)	0.803
APACHE II	28 + 8	28 + 8	28 + 7	0.853
SOFA	9.7 + 3.5	9.7 + 3.2	9.3 + 3.2	0.877
Coronary revascularization (%)	17 (6)	13 (13)	1 (3)	0.052
Admission diagnosis				
Respiratory failure (%)	144 (53)	49 (49)	14 (41)	0.406
hemodynamic unstable (%)	91 (33)	34 (34)	11 (32)	0.983
Post op (%)	38 (14)	16 (16)	9 (27)	0.160
Focus of infection				
Pneumonia (%)	132 (48)	47 (47)	16 (47)	0.968
Catheter related infection (%)	34 (13)	8 (8)	6 (18)	0.270
Intraabdominal infection (%)	40 (15)	12 (12)	3 (9)	0.566
Lab findings, Mean ± SD				
Troponin I (ng/ml)	1.36 + 2.81	2.16 + 4.13	5.40 + 11.4	0.0001
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	2.8 + 2.2	3.2 + 2.4	2.2 + 1.2	0.091
HCO3 (mmHg)	20.5 + 5.3	20.5 + 4.6	21.2 + 4.2	0.756
Lactate (mg/dl)	31.1 + 31.7	23.8 + 20.2	22.4 + 24.0	0.050
CRP (mg/dl)	11 + 10	16 + 12	12 + 12	0.106
Underlying disease				
Hypertension (%)	136 (50)	64 (64)	14 (41)	0.020
Diabetes mellitus (%)	84 (31)	55 (55)	15 (44)	0.0001
COPD (%)	14 (5)	5 (5)	1 (3)	0.856
ESRD (%)	38 (14)	10 (10)	4 (12)	0.594
CHF (%)	33 (12)	25 (25)	5 (15)	0.009
Prior history of CAD (%)	28 (10)	43 (43)	8 (24)	0.0001
Prior stroke (%)	15 (6)	12 (12)	6 (18)	0.013
cancer (%)	68 (25)	17 (17)	5 (15)	0.147
Chronic medication use				
ACEI/ARB	43 (16)	40 (40)	6 (18)	0.0001
Beta-blocker	38 (14)	35 (35)	4 (12)	0.0001
Statin	18 (7)	37 (37)	1 (3)	0.0001
OHA	35 (13)	44 (44)	10 (29)	0.0001

Table 4. General features of the patients, according to medications in patients with elevated troponin	I. dx.doi.or	g/10.17504/p	protocols.io.bfbjjikn
--	--------------	--------------	-----------------------

Values are given as mean and standard deviation or numbers and percentages.

APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; CRP, C reactive protein; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ESRD, end stage renal disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CAD, coronary artery disease; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agent; ATT, antithrombotics; ICU, intensive care unit.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.t004

groups; neither did the occurrence of in-hospital gastrointestinal tract bleeding or AKI; in-hospital, 30-day; or ventilator and dialysis dependence. However, one-year mortality was found to be significantly lower in patients with chronic prescription of antithrombotic medication (Table 5). In cox regression model in patients with elevated troponin I, chronic antithrombotic treatment was associated with lower one-year all-cause mortality after adjusting age, gender, APACHE score, SOFA score, ICU admission diagnosis of shock or post operation status, focus of infection (pneumonia), initial serum lactate level, co-morbidities (tumor), co-administration of long term medication (beta blocker). (Table 6) The 30-day and one-year survival rates

	No prescription (n = 249) Chronic prescription (n = 100)		New prescription (n = 34)	P value	
Short-term outcomes					
Length of ICU stay	12 + 7	11 + 7	10 + 5	0.276	
Length of hospitalization	36 + 37	33 + 25	46 + 75	0.256	
GI bleeding during admission	178 (65)	67 (67)	24 (71)	0.802	
AKI during admission	108 (40)	45 (46)	14 (41)	0.594	
Hospital mortality (%)	113 (41)	26 (26)	17 (50)	0.009	
30-days mortality (%)	76 (28)	19 (19)	13 (38)	0.063	
Long-term outcomes					
Ventilator dependent (%)	14 (5)	6 (6)	3 (9)	0.669	
Dialysis dependent (%)	34 (13)	12 (12)	2 (6)	0.532	
One-year mortality (%)	134 (49)	34 (34)	19 (56)	0.017	

Table 5. Outcomes according to medications in patients	with elevated troponin I.	. dx.doi.or	g/10.17504/	protocols.io.bfl	bmjik6
--	---------------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	--------

Values are given as mean and standard deviation or numbers and percentages. ICU, intensive care unit; GI, gastrointestinal; AKI, acute kidney injury.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.t005

in the troponin I elevation group, stratified by chronic and new prescription of antithrombotic are shown in Fig 3. These results showed a significant protective effect of chronic antithrombotic use for one-year survival (p = 0.008, log-rank test).

Discussion

This study demonstrated that elevated troponin I at the time of ICU admission in the absence of ACS was associated with higher 30-day and one-year mortality. For patients with elevated troponin I levels, new antithrombotic prescriptions during ICU stay were not associated with 30-day or 1-year survival benefit whereas chronic antithrombotic use was related to better one-year survival. Although troponin I is a relevant biomarker for the diagnosis of AMI, troponin I elevation may be related to other conditions, such as heart failure, renal failure, left ventricular hypertrophy, and severe sepsis. [13] These conditions increased myocardial oxygen demand from elevated left ventricular end diastolic pressure, tachyarrhythmia or anemia. However, in patients with previous stable coronary artery disease, there was insufficient blood flow to meet increased myocardial oxygen demand which subsequently lead to myocardial injury. [14] Troponin I elevation was found in approximately 43% of patients admitted to non-cardiac ICUs in previous studies. [3] Troponin I elevation was more prevalent in our study, which can be explained by the difference in disease severity between our population and those reported on previously (mean APACHE II and SOFA scores were 27 and 9 in our study).

In-hospital and long-term prognostic impacts of troponin I elevation in these patients have been reported, and have been related in some, but not all, studies to poor prognosis. [3, 15, 16] We found that troponin I elevation was associated significantly with increased 30-day and one-year mortality. Moreover, Mohammed et al. [17] reported that troponin I elevation was associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation. Differences among studies are attributable to the inclusion of only patients with severe sepsis and septic shock in previous studies, whereas our study population comprised all patients admitted to the non-cardiac ICU with various medical and surgical conditions. Patients in elevated troponin I group had more complex disease status (i.e., higher APACHE II scores, advanced shock) and multiple co-morbidities (e.g., congestive heart failure, poorer renal function) relative to those without troponin I elevation. Our multivariate analysis showed that poorer prognosis was independent of the

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	
Age (year)	1.011 (1.002–1.020)	0.020	1.008 (0.998–1.018)	0.106	
Sex (male)	1.315 (0.971–1.781)	0.077	1.293 (0.923–1.812)	0.136	
APACHE II	1.057 (1.038–1.077)	0.0001	1.051 (1.025–1.078)	0.0001	
SOFA	1.089 (1.042–1.138)	0.0001	1.021 (0.963–1.083)	0.491	
Admission diagnosis					
Respiratory failure	1.134 (0.850–1.512)	0.392			
hemodynamic unstable	1.433 (1.067–1.924)	0.017	1.012 (0.723–1.418)	0.944	
Post operation	0.345 (0.196-0.606)	0.0001	0.439 (0.231–0.835)	0.012	
Focus of infection					
Pneumonia	1.575 (1.179–2.105)	0.002	1.182 (0.843–1.657)	0.331	
Bacteremia	1.172 (0.769–1.787)	0.459			
Intraabdominal infection	1.000 (0.656–1.524)	1.000			
Lab findings					
Initial troponin I (ng/ml)	1.018 (0.987–1.050)	0.248			
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	0.938 (0.873–1.008)	0.080			
НСОЗ	1.028 (0.998-1.059)	0.065			
Lactate (mg/dl)	1.005 (1.000-1.009)	0.047	1.000 (0.994–1.005)	0.970	
CRP (mg/dl)	0.981 (0.959–1.004)	0.105			
Underlying disease					
Hypertension	1.012 (0.759–1.348)	0.937			
Diabetes mellitus	0.904 (0.671–1.217)	0.505			
ESRD	0.927 (0.594–1.446)	0.738			
CHF	1.253 (0.864–1.817)	0.234			
CAD	0.866 (0.619–1.211)	0.401			
CVD	0.791 (0.459–1.363)	0.398			
Cancer	1.487 (1.077–2.052)	0.016	1.528 (1.086–2.152)	0.015	
ACEI/ARB, chronic	0.983 (0.695–1.390)	0.922			
Beta-blocker, chronic	0.521 (0.339–0.800)	0.003	0.625 (0.395–0.988)	0.044	
Statin, chronic	0.688 (0.432–1.094)	0.114			
OHA, chronic	0.843 (0.586–1.213)	0.357			
Antithrombotic medication					
Chronic vs. no	0.584 (0.401–0.851)	0.005	0.595 (0.391–0.906)	0.015	
New vs. no	1.198 (0.741–1.937)	0.462	1.260 (0.742–2.140)	0.392	
Chronic vs. new	0.487 (0.278-0.855)	0.012	0.472 (0.256-0.869)	0.016	

Table 6. Multivariate analysis of risk of one-year all-cause mortality of patients with elevated troponin I by a Cox regression model. dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols. io.bfbnjime.

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; CRP, C reactive protein; ESRD, end stage renal disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CAD, coronary artery disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agent

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.t006

APACHE II score, serum lactate level, underlying diseases (e.g., prior stroke, cancer), and prescription of beta-blockers and chronic antithrombotic agents.

Nathaniel et al. [18] reported that only 10% of patients with sepsis and elevated troponin I levels underwent invasive procedures, such as coronary angiography, of whom 39% received coronary revascularization with percutaneous coronary intervention or bypass surgery. That real-world, nationwide study reflects daily clinical practice. Most cardiologists commonly defer invasive management for patients with elevated troponin I levels with no clinical





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233178.g003

symptom, sign, or electrocardiographic evidence, primarily when type 2 MI is highly suspected. In our study, 8% of patients with elevated troponin I levels underwent coronary angiography and revascularization.

Medical therapy may be the mainstay of treatment for patients with type 2 MI. In the CURE study, combination therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel or ticagrelor resulted in wellestablished reductions in cardiovascular death and MI recurrence in patients with AMI, regardless of coronary revascularization receipt. [7, 19] Anti-coagulants such as low-molecular-weight heparin, enoxaparin, and unfractionated heparin further reduced the occurrence of adverse events. [20] However, the efficacy of these medications in patients with type 2 MI remains uninvestigated. Saraschandra et al. found that on-admission and delta troponin T levels were independent predictors of in-hospital and 1-year survival. Prior aspirin use in these patients did not change in-hospital or long-term mortality in analyses adjusted for age, sex, BMI, Charlson comorbidity index, APACHE III score, AKI, and respiratory failure. [21] The Platelet Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in Unstable Angina Receptor Suppression Using Integrilin Therapy trial showed that patients with insignificant CAD are unlikely to benefit from glycoprotein IIb/IIIa therapy. [22] In ARRIVE trial, aspirin failed to reduce major cardiovascular events for primary prevention in patients with moderate cardiovascular risk as increased risk of bleeding. [23]

However, chronic antithrombotic medication reduced one-year all-cause mortality in patients with elevated troponin I levels. It may be partially explained by protective effect of these medication on the underlying diseases. Atrial fibrillation increased risk of stroke and sudden cardiac death in general population and those with history of coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction and heart failure. [24] In previous meta-analysis, warfarin reduced risk of stroke and thromboembolic complication from atrial fibrillation compared to non-warfarin

user and direct oral anticoagulation was superior to warfarin in prevention of stroke. [25] Subsequently, these anticoagulants lowered all-cause mortality in patients with atrial fibrillation possibly from preventing fatal ischemic stroke. [26, 27] Antiplatelet agents such as aspirin, Plavix, prasugrel and ticagrelor were found to have protective effect in patients with known occlusive vascular events such as myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke and peripheral arterial disease. These medications lowered risk of further major adverse cardiovascular events which may reduce all-cause mortality. [6, 28]

In a previous study, 4.7% of patients admitted to the ICU developed at least one episode of overt gastrointestinal bleeding, and 2.6% experienced clinically important gastrointestinal bleeding which subsequently increased risk of mortality. [29, 30] However, prevalence of overt gastrointestinal bleeding was not altered by treatment of aspirin or anticoagulant medication during ICU stay in previous cohort study (Odd ratio: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.35–1.64) and (Odd ratio: 2.05, 95% CI: 0.89–4.73) respectively as coagulopathy, acute kidney injury or co-existing liver disease were independent risk factors for clinically important GI bleeding in these patients apart from adverse effect of anticoagulant. [29] Nevertheless, the occurrence of gastrointestinal bleeding did not differ between patients treated with and without antithrombotics in our study, preventing examination of the potential for this factor to confound the primary outcome of all-cause mortality.

Our study has several limitations. It was retrospective and conducted at a single medical center. In addition, it was conducted in a non-cardiac ICU, where blood samples were not submitted for troponin I detection for all patients, which may have introduced selection bias. We included a diverse group of patients, in whom troponin I elevation may not have been related to obstructive CAD. In addition, a relatively small percentage of patients in our study underwent invasive coronary revascularization, which might have influenced the outcomes. Moreover, medication use in these critically ill patients may have been limited by coexisting medical conditions, as physicians may hesitate to prescribe antithrombotic agents, especially to patients with active gastrointestinal bleeding.

Conclusion

Troponin I elevation at the time of non-cardiac ICU admission was associated with higher short-term and long-term mortality in critically ill patients. New prescription of antithrombotic medication to patients with elevated troponin I levels during their ICU stays showed no benefit, but chronic antithrombotic agent use was associated with a better one-year survival rate, suggesting that these drugs play a protective role in this high-risk population.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yi-Lin Tsai, Ming-Ren Kuo, Jiun-Yu Guo, Chi-Ting Lu, Chin-Sung Kuo, Po-Hsun Huang.

Data curation: Ya-Wen Lu, Yi-Lin Tsai, Ming-Ren Kuo, Po-Hsun Huang.

Formal analysis: Chi-Ting Lu, Po-Hsun Huang.

Investigation: Chuan-Tsai Tsai, Ya-Wen Lu, Ruey-Hsing Chou.

Methodology: Chuan-Tsai Tsai, Ya-Wen Lu, Ruey-Hsing Chou.

Project administration: Po-Hsun Huang.

Supervision: Ruey-Hsing Chou.

Writing - original draft: Chuan-Tsai Tsai, Ya-Wen Lu.

Writing - review & editing: Chuan-Tsai Tsai, Ya-Wen Lu.

References

- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. European heart journal. 2012; 33(20):2551–67. Epub 2012/08/28. https://doi. org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs184 PMID: 22922414.
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). Circulation. 2018; 138(20):e618–e51. Epub 2018/12/21. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1161/CIR.000000000000617</u> PMID: 30571511.
- Lim W, Qushmaq I, Devereaux PJ, Heels-Ansdell D, Lauzier F, Ismaila AS, et al. Elevated cardiac troponin measurements in critically ill patients. Archives of internal medicine. 2006; 166(22):2446–54. Epub 2006/12/13. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.22.2446 PMID: 17159009.
- Nagele P, Brown F, Gage BF, Gibson DW, Miller JP, Jaffe AS, et al. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin T in prediction and diagnosis of myocardial infarction and long-term mortality after noncardiac surgery. American heart journal. 2013; 166(2):325–32.e1. Epub 2013/07/31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2013.</u> 04.018 PMID: 23895816; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3728677.
- Higuchi S, Suzuki M, Horiuchi Y, Tanaka H, Saji M, Yoshino H, et al. Higher non-cardiac mortality and lesser impact of early revascularization in patients with type 2 compared to type 1 acute myocardial infarction: results from the Tokyo CCU Network registry. Heart Vessels. 2019; 34(7):1140–7. Epub 2019/01/27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00380-019-01350-z PMID: 30684029.
- Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2002; 324(7329):71–86. Epub 2002/01/12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7329.71</u> PMID: <u>11786451</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC64503.
- Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, Tognoni G, Fox KK. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. The New England journal of medicine. 2001; 345(7):494–502. Epub 2001/08/25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa010746</u> PMID: 11519503.
- Vincent JL, de Mendonca A, Cantraine F, Moreno R, Takala J, Suter PM, et al. Use of the SOFA score to assess the incidence of organ dysfunction/failure in intensive care units: results of a multicenter, prospective study. Working group on "sepsis-related problems" of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Critical care medicine. 1998; 26(11):1793–800. Epub 1998/11/21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/</u> 00003246-199811000-00016 PMID: 9824069.
- Zimmerman JE, Kramer AA, McNair DS, Malila FM. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) IV: hospital mortality assessment for today's critically ill patients. Critical care medicine. 2006; 34(5):1297–310. Epub 2006/03/17. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000215112.84523.F0 PMID: 16540951.
- Davidson AC, Banham S, Elliott M, Kennedy D, Gelder C, Glossop A, et al. BTS/ICS guideline for the ventilatory management of acute hypercapnic respiratory failure in adults. Thorax. 2016; 71 Suppl 2:ii1– 35. Epub 2016/03/16. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-208209 PMID: 26976648.
- Lopes JA, Jorge S. The RIFLE and AKIN classifications for acute kidney injury: a critical and comprehensive review. Clinical kidney journal. 2013; 6(1):8–14. Epub 2013/02/01. https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/ sfs160 PMID: 27818745; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5094385.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). Jama. 2016; 315(8):801–10. Epub 2016/02/24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0287</u> PMID: <u>26903338</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4968574.
- White HD. Pathobiology of troponin elevations: do elevations occur with myocardial ischemia as well as necrosis? Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2011; 57(24):2406–8. Epub 2011/06/11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.01.029 PMID: 21658560.
- Lefer AM, Martin J. Origin of myocardial depressant factor in shock. The American journal of physiology. 1970; 218(5):1423–7. Epub 1970/05/01. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1970.218.5.1423 PMID: 5438272.
- Bhatti N, Amoateng-Adjepong Y, Qamar A, Manthous CA. Myocardial infarction in critically ill patients presenting with gastrointestinal hemorrhage: retrospective analysis of risks and outcomes. Chest. 1998; 114(4):1137–42. Epub 1998/10/29. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.114.4.1137 PMID: 9792589.
- 16. Brivet FG, Jacobs FM, Colin P, Prat D, Grigoriu B. Cardiac troponin level is not an independent predictor of mortality in septic patients requiring medical intensive care unit admission. Critical care (London,

England). 2006; 10(1):404. Epub 2006/02/14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/cc3990</u> PMID: <u>16469132</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1550832.

- Abdalla M, Sohal S, Al-Azzam B, Mohamed W. Effect of Troponin I Elevation on Duration of Mechanical Ventilation and Length of Intensive Care Unit Stay in Patients With Sepsis. Journal of clinical medicine research. 2019; 11(2):127–32. Epub 2019/02/01. https://doi.org/10.14740/jocmr3713 PMID: 30701005; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6340681.
- Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, Bangalore S. Comparison of Outcomes of Patients With Sepsis With Versus Without Acute Myocardial Infarction and Comparison of Invasive Versus Noninvasive Management of the Patients With Infarction. The American journal of cardiology. 2016; 117(7):1065–71. Epub 2016/02/09. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.12.050 PMID: 26853952.
- 19. Gurbel PA, Tantry US. Combination antithrombotic therapies. Circulation. 2010; 121(4):569–83. Epub 2010/02/04. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.853085 PMID: 20124137.
- Murphy SA, Gibson CM, Morrow DA, Van de Werf F, Menown IB, Goodman SG, et al. Efficacy and safety of the low-molecular weight heparin enoxaparin compared with unfractionated heparin across the acute coronary syndrome spectrum: a meta-analysis. European heart journal. 2007; 28(17):2077– 86. Epub 2007/06/30. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehm224 PMID: 17600038.
- Vallabhajosyula S, Sakhuja A, Geske JB, Kumar M, Poterucha JT, Kashyap R, et al. Role of Admission Troponin-T and Serial Troponin-T Testing in Predicting Outcomes in Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2017; 6(9). Epub 2017/09/11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.</u> 117.005930 PMID: 28889100; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5634261.
- 22. Roe MT, Harrington RA, Prosper DM, Pieper KS, Bhatt DL, Lincoff AM, et al. Clinical and therapeutic profile of patients presenting with acute coronary syndromes who do not have significant coronary artery disease. The Platelet Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in Unstable Angina: Receptor Suppression Using Integrilin Therapy (PURSUIT) Trial Investigators. Circulation. 2000; 102(10):1101–6. Epub 2000/09/07. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.102.10.1101 PMID: 10973837.
- Gaziano JM, Brotons C, Coppolecchia R, Cricelli C, Darius H, Gorelick PB, et al. Use of aspirin to reduce risk of initial vascular events in patients at moderate risk of cardiovascular disease (ARRIVE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2018; 392(10152):1036–46. Epub 2018/08/ 31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31924-X PMID: 30158069.
- Rattanawong P, Upala S, Riangwiwat T, Jaruvongvanich V, Sanguankeo A, Vutthikraivit W, et al. Atrial fibrillation is associated with sudden cardiac death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. 2018; 51(2):91–104. Epub 2018/01/15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-017-0308-</u> 9 PMID: 29332241.
- Bai Y, Guo SD, Deng H, Shantsila A, Fauchier L, Ma CS, et al. Effectiveness and safety of oral anticoagulants in older patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Age Ageing. 2018; 47(1):9–17. Epub 2017/10/07. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afx103 PMID: 28985259.
- Arbel R, Sergienko R, Hammerman A, Dotan-Greenberg S, Batat E, Avnery O, et al. Direct oral anticoagulation and mortality in moderate to high-risk atrial fibrillation. Heart. 2019; 105(19):1487–92. Epub 2019/04/12. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2018-314476 PMID: 30971403.
- Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, Hoffman EB, Deenadayalu N, Ezekowitz MD, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet. 2014; 383(9921):955–62. Epub 2013/12/10. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62343-0</u> PMID: 24315724.
- Ruiz-Nodar JM, Esteve-Pastor MA, Rivera-Caravaca JM, Sandin M, Lozano T, Vicente-Ibarra N, et al. One-year efficacy and safety of prasugrel and ticagrelor in patients with acute coronary syndromes: Results from a prospective and multicentre ACHILLES registry. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2020. Epub 2020/ 01/09. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.14213 PMID: 31912949.
- Krag M, Perner A, Wetterslev J, Wise MP, Borthwick M, Bendel S, et al. Prevalence and outcome of gastrointestinal bleeding and use of acid suppressants in acutely ill adult intensive care patients. Intensive care medicine. 2015; 41(5):833–45. Epub 2015/04/11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-015-3725-1</u> PMID: 25860444.
- Cook DJ, Griffith LE, Walter SD, Guyatt GH, Meade MO, Heyland DK, et al. The attributable mortality and length of intensive care unit stay of clinically important gastrointestinal bleeding in critically ill patients. Crit Care. 2001; 5(6):368–75. Epub 2001/12/12. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc1071 PMID: 11737927; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC83859.