RESEARCH

Open Access



A prediction model for sulcus-to-sulcus diameter in myopic eyes: a 1466-sample retrospective study

Qiu-Jian Zhu, Wei-Jian Zhu, Wen-Jing Chen, Lie Ma and You Yuan*

Abstract

Background: To establish and verify the accuracy and reliability of a sulcus-to-sulcus diameter (STS) prediction model.

Methods: In this retrospective study, the prediction formula was established with the data from 1466 eyes from 733 subjects from July 2020 to April 2021 and verified with the data from 278 eyes from 139 subjects between May 2021 and June 2021. Each subject was measured with a Pentacam, IOLMaster 700, OPD-Scan III, and ultrasound biomicroscope. The prediction formulas were established with multiple linear regression, and intergroup correlation coefficients (ICCs) and Bland–Altman tests were used to assess the agreement between the predicted and actual STS (actual STS was measured by UBM).

Results: The explanatory variables relevant to the horizontal STS (STSH) were the Pentacam white-to-white diameter (WTWP; standardized partial regression coefficient [β] = 0.330; p < 0.001), the flat K value (β = -0.211; p < 0.001), and the anterior corneal diameter (ACD) (β =0.178; p < 0.001). The corresponding multiple regression equation was : STSH (mm) = 8.061 + 0.510 × WTWP - 0.090 × Flat K value + 0.430 × ACD. The explanatory variables relevant to the vertical STS (STSV) were the WTWP (β =0.435; p < 0.001), the steep K value (β = -0.271; p < 0.001), and the ACD (β =0.187; p < 0.001). The corresponding multiple regression equation was : STSV (mm) = 8.540 + 0.492 × WTWP - 0.075 × Steep K value + 0.329 × ACD. The bias of the predicted to the actual STSH was - 0.021, with 95% limits of agreement (95% LoA) from - 0.499 to 0.457. The bias of the predicted to the actual STSV was 0.057, with 95% LoA from - 0.462 to 0.575. The ICC was 0.883 between the predicted and actual STSH and 0.859 between the predicted and actual STSV.

Conclusions: The Pentacam-measured WTW, the K value and the ACD are important for predicting the STS diameter. The prediction model has good accuracy and reliability.

Trial registration: Not applicable.

Keywords: WTW, STS, Prediction model, Bland–Altman test

Background

By 2050, 4758 million people worldwide will be expected to develop myopia and 938 million people will have high myopia [1]. The implantable collamer lens (ICL; Staar

*Correspondence: yuanyou@suda.edu.cn

Surgical, Monrovia, California, USA) is a safe and effective option for correcting myopia [2-5]; with no corneal excision and few high-order aberrations, it is often the first choice for surgically correcting high myopia [6, 7]. The vault refers to the distance from the posterior surface of the intraocular lens to the anterior surface of the crystalline lens and is an important indicator for evaluating safety after ICL implantation [8]. Many postoperative



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Department of Ophthalmology, Lixiang Eye Hospital of Soochow University, 215021, Jiangsu, Suzhou, China

complications are associated with vault anomalies; a high vault can cause acute angle-closure glaucoma, pigment spread syndrome and iris atrophy, while a low vault can cause subcapsular cataract [9-14].

The improper selection of ICL size is the main cause of postoperative vault abnormalities [15]. Conventionally, the ICL size is chosen based on the white-to-white diameter (WTW) and anterior chamber depth (ACD), which is also recommended by the STAAR company. However, the accuracy of this strategy is not entirely satisfactory [16]. Nakamura et al. [17] found that only 69% of patients achieved an ideal vault using STAAR's recommended approach. Since the haptics of the ICL are located in the ciliary sulcus, the sulcus-to-sulcus diameter (STS) is used to choose the ICL size that produces the better effect [18]. Kojima et al. [19] chose the ICL size based on the STS diameter, and subsequently, 88.9% of the implant recipients had a vault measuring between 0.15 and 1 mm. However, measurement of the STS requires the use of ultrasound biomicroscopy, an invasive test that requires very high operational skill [16].

Therefore, in this study, conventional noninvasive examination results were used to establish a prediction formula for the STS, whose accuracy was then further verified in the hope of providing additional references for surgeons for selecting the size of the ICL.

Methods

Study population

This retrospective study was conducted in Lixiang Eye Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lixiang Eye Hospital of Soochow University and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

All subjects were examined preoperatively for ICL implantation; 1466 eyes from 733 subjects from July 2020 to April 2021 were recruited for the establishment of a prediction formula, and 278 eyes from 139 subjects between May 2021 and June 2021 were further selected for verification of the prediction formula. No further inclusion or exclusion criteria were applied to the study cohort.

Measurements

All subjects underwent a complete preoperative examination, which included standard comprehensive optometry, slit-lamp microscopy, and tonometry (noncontact tonometer; NT-530, Nidek Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan). The spherical equivalent (SE) was calculated as the original spherical power plus half of the cylindrical power. A Scheimpflug camera (Pentacam, Oculus, Germany) was used to measure the flat K, steep K, mean K, and ACD values. The crystalline lens thickness (LT) and axial length (AL) were measured using a swept-source optical coherence tomography-based biometer (IOLMaster 700, Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). The horizontal WTW distance measurements were performed with three devices: the Pentacam (WTWP), the IOLMaster 700 (WTWI) and an OPD-Scan III (Nidek Technologies, Gamagori, Japan) (WTWO). The STS was obtained by an ultrasound biomicroscope (UBM; SW-3200 L; SUOER, Tianjin, China) equipped with a 50-MHz transducer. We measured the STS horizontally and vertically (STSH and STSV) for each eye. Each examination was performed by the same experienced technician or physician.

Establishment of a prediction formula for the STSH and STSV

Multiple linear regression was used to analyse the relationship between other factors and the STS values (STSH and STSV) and to establish the corresponding prediction formulas. The stepwise method was used to select relevant independent variables and exclude confounding parameters, with input criteria less than 0.025 and output criteria greater than 0.1.

Assessment of the prediction formula

A total of 278 eyes was used to validate the prediction formula. The intergroup correlation coefficient (ICC) and Bland–Altman test were used to assess the agreement between the predicted and actual STS values. The cumulative percentages of eyes that had a prediction error from the targeted STS values were calculated.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 19.0 (IBM Corp., New York, NY, USA) was used to perform the data analysis, and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed for all measurement data. Normally distributed data are expressed as the means \pm standard deviation (SD); nonnormally distributed data are expressed as medians and quartiles. Pearson's correlation test was used to analyse the relationship between the STS diameters and other ocular parameters. All tests were 2-tailed, and P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

There were 1466 eyes in the model establishment group and 278 eyes in the model validation group. The baseline data of the two groups in this study are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the Pearson correlation analysis results. The STSH was correlated with age, astigmatism, the flat K, steep K and mean K values, AL, ACD, crystalline LT and three WTW values, while the STSV was correlated

Characteristics	1466 eyes for establishment	278 eyes for validation	P values	
Age, years	27.82±6.55 (17 to 49)	27.50 to 6.93 (17 to 49)	0.459	
Sex (male/female)	507/959	96/182	0.987	
Refractive error (D)				
Spherical	-7.35 ± 3.01 (-24.50 to 2.50)	-7.46 ± 2.43 (-16.00 to 1.75)	0.573	
Cylindrical	-1.14±0.96 (-7 to 0)	-1.34 ± 1.08 (-6.5 to 0)	0.002	
Spherical equivalent	-7.92±3.01 (-25.75 to 1.25)	-8.13 ± 2.46 (-17 to -1.5)	0.281	
Keratometric value (D)				
Flat K	42.91 ± 1.39 (36.9 to 50.0)	42.97 ± 1.53 (39.5 to 46.7)	0.475	
Steep K	44.33 ± 1.55 (37.8 to 54.4)	44.58 ± 1.73 (40.6 to 49.9)	0.014	
Mean K	43.62 ± 1.42 (37.35 to 52.2)	43.78±1.58 (40.1 to 48.3)	0.090	
STS (mm)				
Vertical	11.96±0.43 (10.61 to 13.65)	11.92±0.43 (10.51 to 13.01)	0.176	
Horizontal	11.49±0.59 (10.14 to 12.98)	11.53±0.41 (10.23 to 12.66)	0.292	
IOP (mmHg)	13.30±2.59 (6.0 to 22.3)	13.46 ± 2.85 (9.0 to 20.3)	0.325	
AL (mm)	26.76±1.56 (22.56 to 34.30)	26.70±1.35 (23.52 to 31.32)	0.598	
ACD (mm)	3.21 ± 0.24 (2.44 to 3.90)	3.22±0.25 (2.72 to 4.03)	0.617	
WTW (mm)				
Pentacam	11.59±0.38 (10.4 to 12.9)	11.62±0.36 (10.5 to 12.7)	0.129	
OPD-Scan III	11.81±0.74 (10.58 to 13.19)	11.88±0.41 (10.60 to 13.13)	0.163	
IOLMaster 700	11.99±0.39 (10.8 to 14.7)	12.04±0.38 (10.9 to 13.00)	0.066	
Crystalline LT (mm)	3.70±0.25 (3.09 to 4.87)	3.67±0.27 (3.04 to 4.45)	0.195	

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study participants, Mean \pm SD (Range)

STS sulcus-to-sulcus diameter, WTW white-to-white diameter, IOP intraocular pressure, AL axial length, ACD anterior chamber depth, LT lens thickness

Table 2	Pearson	correction	analyse	between	the	STSH	and	STSV
and othe	r parame	eters						

	STSH (mm)		STSV (mm)		
	r	Р	r	Р	
Age, years	-0.067	0.011	-0.126	< 0.001	
Refractive errors (D)					
Spherical	0.016	0.549	0.027	0.306	
Cylindrical	-0.069	0.008	0.029	0.264	
Spherical equivalent	0.001	0.963	0.028	0.280	
Keratometric value (D)					
Flat K	-0.379	< 0.001	-0.452	< 0.001	
Steep K	-0.314	< 0.001	-0.444	< 0.001	
Mean K	-0.358	< 0.001	-0.465	< 0.001	
IOP (mmHg)	-0.043	0.102	-0.089	0.001	
AL (mm)	0.231	< 0.001	0.273	< 0.001	
ACD (mm)	0.359	< 0.001	0.418	< 0.001	
WTW (mm)					
Pentacam	0.523	< 0.001	0.633	< 0.001	
OPD-Scan III	0.291	< 0.001	0.355	< 0.001	
IOLMaster 700	0.492	< 0.001	0.578	< 0.001	
Crystalline LT (mm)	-0.070	0.008	-0.053	0.043	

STS sulcus-to-sulcus diameter, WTW white-to-white diameter, IOP intraocular pressure, ALaxial length, ACD anterior chamber depth, LTI ens thickness

with age, the flat K, steep K and mean K values, IOP, AL, ACD, crystalline LT and three WTW values.

Table 3 shows the results of the stepwise multivariate regression analysis. The explanatory variables relevant to the STSH were the Pentacam WTW (WTWP) (standardized partial regression coefficient $[\beta] = 0.330$; p < 0.001), the flat K value ($\beta = -0.211$; p < 0.001), and ACD ($\beta = 0.178$; *p* < 0.001). The multiple regression equation for the STSH was expressed as follows: STSH $(mm) = 8.061 + 0.510 \times WTWP - 0.090 \times Flat K$ value + 0.430 \times ACD. The R, R² and adjusted R² values of the model were 0.563, 0.317 and 0.316, respectively. The explanatory variables relevant to the STSV were the WTWP (standardized partial regression coefficient $[\beta] = 0.435; p < 0.001)$, the steep K value ($\beta = -0.271;$ p < 0.001), and the ACD ($\beta = 0.187$; p < 0.001). The multiple regression equation for the STSV was expressed as follows: STSV (mm) = $8.540 + 0.492 \times WTWP - 0.075$ \times Steep K value + 0.329 \times ACD. The R, R² and adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 values of the model were 0.688, 0.474 and 0.473, respectively.

Discussion

Since the ICL is implanted into the ciliary sulcus, measurement of STS is very important for predicting the subsequent vault. To date, UBMs remain the only device that

Tab	b	e 3	St	tepwise	mu	ltiva	ariate	req	ression	ana	lysis	of	ST	SΗ	and	ST	S٧	1
											/							

	STSH (mm)		STSV (mm)				
	(constant = 8.061; R = 0.56	3; R ² =0.317; adjusted R ²	(constant = 8.540; R = 0.688; R ² = 0.474; adjusted R^2 = 0.473)				
	Partial regression coef- ficient (B)	Standardized partial regression coefficient (β)	P value	Partial regression coef- ficient (B)	Standardized partial regression coefficient (β)	P value	
Age, years							
Refractive errors (D)							
Spherical							
Cylindrical							
Spherical equivalent							
Keratometric value (D)							
Flat K	-0.090	-0.211	< 0.001				
Steep K				-0.075	-0.271	< 0.001	
Mean K							
IOP (mmHg)							
AL (mm)							
ACD (mm)	0.430	0.178	< 0.001	0.329	0.187	< 0.001	
WTW (mm)							
Pentacam	0.510	0.330	< 0.001	0.492	0.435	< 0.001	
OPD-Scan III							
IOLMaster 700							
Crystalline LT (mm)							

STS sulcus-to-sulcus diameter, WTW white-to-white diameter, IOP intraocular pressure, AL axial length, ACD anterior chamber depth, LT lens thickness

Figure 1 shows the Bland–Altman plot of the predicted and actual STS values. The bias of the predicted versus the actual STSH was -0.021, with 95% limits of agreement (95% LoA) from -0.499 to 0.457, and the standard deviation (SD) of the bias was 0.244. The bias of the predicted versus the actual STSV was 0.057, with 95% LoA from -0.462 to 0.575, and the SD of the bias was 0.265

Figure 2 shows the cumulative probability distribution of the prediction error. For the STSH, 72.7% of eyes were within 0.2 mm, and 92.1% of eyes were within 0.4 mm. For the STSV, 66.5% of eyes were within 0.2 mm, and 87.4% of eyes were within 0.4 mm

The ICC between the predicted and actual STSH was 0.883 (95% CI: 0.852, 0.907), while that between the predicted and actual STSV was 0.859 (95% CI: 0.821, 0.888)

can directly detect the morphology of the ciliary sulcus and measure the STS. However, the required measurements are time consuming and require considerable skill and experience, and the test is invasive, causing considerable discomfort to the patient. Therefore, our study established a prediction formula for the STS by retrospectively analysing certain noninvasive test results for a large sample size. The accuracy and reliability of the prediction formula were verified in a subsequent study. The large sample size improved the validity of the statistical analysis and made this study highly reliable.

According to previous studies, the human ciliary sulcus is vertically elliptical, and the vertical STS tends to be larger than the horizontal STS [20, 21]. According to our previous study, the vertical STS affects the vault after ICL implantation independent of the horizontal STS [22]. Therefore, in this study, separate prediction formulas were established for the horizontal and vertical STS.

According to the results of correlation and multivariate analyses, the WTWP, flat K value and ACD were the influencing factors of the STSH, producing the following regression formula: STSH (mm) = $8.061 + 0.510 \times$ WTWP $-0.090 \times$ flat K value $+0.430 \times$ ACD. Furthermore, the WTWP, steep K value and ACD were the influencing factors of the STSV, producing the following regression formula: STSV (mm) = $8.540 + 0.492 \times$ WTWP $-0.075 \times$ Steep K value $+0.329 \times$ ACD. This is very interesting. The most suitable instrument for measuring the WTW for selecting the size of the ICL has been a consistent point of argument in the literature, as different instruments produce significantly different WTW measurements, which thus are not completely interchangeable [23-25]. In this study, three pieces of equipment with different principles for conducting anterior segmental analysis used to measure the WTW. The Pentacam is a Scheimpflug camera that rotates around the optical axis of the eye to create a three-dimensional model of the anterior segment. The WTW is automatically measured from photographs of the anterior surface of the eye with a resolution of 0.1 mm [25]. The IOLMaster 700 is an SS-OCT-based biometer, and the limbus is used for WTW measurement via automatic detection





by a digital greyscale photograph of the anterior eye segment [26]. The OPD Scan III is capable of automatically detecting the limbus by comparing greyscale steps of slitscanning images and calculates the horizontal corneal diameter [27]. According to the results of this study, the WTWP had the highest correlation with the STS in both the horizontal and vertical directions (Pearson's correlation coefficient 0.532 vs. 0.492, 0.291 and 0.633 vs. 0.578, 0.355, respectively). Moreover, only the WTWP entered the final results of the stepwise multiple linear regression. Therefore, we believe that compared with the other two WTW measurements, the WTWP can better predict the STS and is more suitable for ICL size selection. Furthermore, the WTWP was the most influential factor for both the STSH and STSV (standardized partial regression coefficient [β] = 0.330; p < 0.001 and β] = 0.435; p < 0.001). The WTW and STS both describe the size of the anterior segment, so the correlation between the two is unsurprising. However, most scholars believe that there is obvious bias between the WTW and STS. In Guber et al.'s [28] study, the horizontal WTW measures obtained using the Pentacam device were significantly larger than the STS measures (bias = 0.91 mm, P < 0.01). Chen et al. [29] reported that the mean difference between the STS and WTW was -0.02 + /-0.33 (-1.36 to 1.11) mm. Hashemian et al. [30] also suggested that there was a correlation between the WTW and STS but found a significant difference in their measurements that could diminish after adjustment.

The K value is the second influencing factor of the STS. Ghoreishi et al. [31] obtained a similar result in their study and established their own predicted model: STS = 9.549 + 0.518 WTW -0.083 mean K. However, the sample size of their study was small (58 eyes), and there was no follow-up verification. In this study, the flat K values were used to predict the horizontal STS, and the steep K values were used to predict the vertical STS. We hypothesized that this might be because most of the subjects in this study were relatively young, and astigmatism with the rule was common, while a flat K value often represents a horizontal corneal state, and a steep K value represents the corneal status in the vertical direction.

In this study, the ACD was positively correlated with and was an important parameter for predicting both the horizontal and vertical sulcus-to-sulcus diameters. A study by Kawamorita et al. [32] showed that the ACD and STS had extremely high agreement, with an intergroup correlation coefficient of 0.918. Additionally, Gao et al. [33] found that the ACD was very important for describing the difference between the WTW and STS. In their research, the WTW and ciliary sulcus diameter were 11.46 ± 0.38 and 11.57 ± 0.32 mm in the shallow anterior chamber group, 11.58 ± 0.31 and 11.77 ± 0.26 mm in the medium anterior chamber group, and 11.68 ± 0.22 and 11.91 ± 0.23 mm in the deep anterior chamber group, respectively, and they concluded that the difference between the two diameters increased with greater anterior chamber depth. In addition, another of their studies showed similar results [34]. Chen et al. [29] also suggested that as the anterior chamber depth increased, the difference between the STS and WTW increased. These results are consistent with our findings.

The Bland-Altman test results showed that the consistency of our prediction formula was satisfactory. The bias between the predicted and actual value was 0.021 for the STSH and 0.057 for the STSV. In addition, 85.6% and 79.5% of subjects, respectively, had deviations within 0.3 mm, and 92.1% and 87.4% of subjects had deviations within 0.4 mm. Hashemian et al. [30] published an adjustment formula to improve the correlation of the WTW with the ciliary sulcus diameter. After adjustment, the SD of the STS-Caliper WTW was 0.28 mm, and the 95% LoA ranged from -0.56 to 0.54, while the SD of the STS-Orbscan WTW was 0.31 mm, and the 95% LoA ranged from -0.61 to 0.61. In contrast, the SD of the actual STSH-predicted STSH bias in this study was 0.24 mm, and the 95% LoA ranged from -0.499 to 0.457. In addition, the ICCs of their study were 0.775 and 0.700, whereas ours was 0.883, which showed that our prediction model had better reliability. Moreover, the sample size of Hashemian's study was small, and they performed validation with previous data, while ours was based on a new cohort of subjects, improving the reliability of our results.

There are certain limitations in this study. First, although this study was the largest sample-size STS prediction study to date, all the people included in this study were of Han ethnicity. Whether the conclusions of this study can be applied to other ethnic groups requires further verification. Second, examination with the UBM requires highly technical expertise and experience. All the UBM examinations in this study were completed by the same experienced technician with superb skills, and whether different operators would obtain different results requires further study. Third, the findings of this study have not been introduced into clinical application. Whether this model can be helpful in ICL size selection will be the subject of a follow-up study.

In conclusion, the WTW measured by the Pentacam, the K values and the ACD are three important parameters for predicting the STS. The prediction model has good accuracy and reliability.

Abbreviations

STS: Sulcus-to-sulcus diameter; WTW: White-to-white diameter; IOP: Intraocular pressure; AL: Axial length; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; LT: Lens thickness; WTWI: White-to-white diameter measured by the IOLMaster 700; WTWO: White-to-white diameter measured by the OPD-Scan III; STSH: Horizontal sulcus-to-sulcus diameter; STSV: Vertical sulcus-to-sulcus diameter.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Chen Qing and Dr. Yu Peng for their help in data collection.

Authors' contributions

QJZ analysed and interpreted the patient data and wrote the paper. WJC and WJZ collected the data and conducted the examinations. LM checked and revised the paper. YY designed the study. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding No funding.

. . . J.

Availability of data and materials

If someone wishes to request the data from this study, please contact You Yuan (Corresponding author).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lixiang Eye Hospital of Soochow University and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 17 February 2022 Accepted: 13 July 2022 Published online: 16 July 2022

References

- Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, Jong M, Naidoo KS, Sankaridurg P, et al. Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. Ophthalmology. 2016;123:1036–42.
- Niu L, Miao H, Han T, Ding L, Wang X, Zhou X. Visual outcomes of Visian ICL implantation for high myopia in patients with shallow anterior chamber depth. BMC Ophthalmol. 2019;19:121.
- Nakamura T, Isogai N, Kojima T, Yoshida Y, Sugiyama Y. Posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens implantation for the correction of myopia and myopic astigmatism: a retrospective 10-year follow-up study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2019;206:1–10.
- Alfonso JF, Fernandez-Vega-Cueto L, Alfonso-Bartolozzi B, Montes-Mico R, Fernandez-Vega L. Five-year follow-up of correction of myopia: posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens with a central port design. J Refract Surg. 2019;35:169–76.
- Vasavada V, Srivastava S, Vasavada SA, Sudhalkar A, Vasavada AR, Vasavada VA. Safety and efficacy of a new phakic posterior chamber iol for correction of myopia: 3 years of follow-up. J Refract Surg. 2018;34:817–23.
- Eissa S, Eldin NB. ICL versus SMILE in management of anisometropic myopic amblyopia in children. Can J Ophthalmol. 2018;53:560–67.
- Niu L, Miao H, Tian M, Fu D, Wang X, Zhou X. One-year visual outcomes and optical quality of femtosecond laser small incision lenticule extraction and Visian Implantable Collamer Lens (ICL V4c) implantation for high myopia. Acta Ophthalmol. 2020;98:e662-7.
- Chen X, Miao H, Naidu RK, Wang X, Zhou X. Comparison of early changes in and factors affecting vault following posterior chamber phakic implantable collamer lens implantation without and with a central hole (ICL V4 and ICL V4c). BMC Ophthalmol. 2016;16:161.
- 9. Chun YS, Park IK, Lee HI, Lee JH, Kim JC. Iris and trabecular meshwork pigment changes after posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens implantation. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2006;32:1452–8.
- Ye C, Patel CK, Momont AC, Liu Y. Advanced pigment dispersion glaucoma secondary to phakic intraocular collamer lens implant. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep. 2018;10:65–7.
- 11. Shipper I. Surgical management of acute angle-closure glaucoma after implantation of a toric ICL. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33:563–4.
- 12. Gimbel HV, LeClair BM, Jabo B, Marzouk H. Incidence of implantable collamer lens-induced cataract. Can J Ophthalmol. 2018;53:518–22.
- Guber I, Mouvet V, Bergin C, Perritaz S, Othenin-Girard P, Majo F. Clinical outcomes and cataract formation rates in eyes 10 years after posterior phakic lens implantation for myopia. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2016;134:487–94.
- Alfonso JF, Lisa C, Abdelhamid A, Fernandes P, Jorge J, Montes-Mico R. Three-year follow-up of subjective vault following myopic implantable collamer lens implantation. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2010;248:1827–35.
- Trancon AS, Manito SC, Sierra OT, Baptista AM, Serra PM. Determining vault size in implantable collamer lenses: preoperative anatomy and lens parameters. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2020;46:728–36.
- Igarashi A, Shimizu K, Kato S, Kamiya K. Predictability of the vault after posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens implantation using anterior segment optical coherence tomography. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2019;45:1099–1104.
- 17. Nakamura T, Isogai N, Kojima T, Yoshida Y, Sugiyama Y. Implantable collamer lens sizing method based on swept-source anterior segment optical coherence tomography. Am J Ophthalmol. 2018;187:99–107.
- Lee DH, Choi SH, Chung ES, Chung TY. Correlation between preoperative biometry and posterior chamber phakic visian implantable collamer lens vaulting. Ophthalmology. 2012;119:272–7.
- Kojima T, Yokoyama S, Ito M, Horai R, Hara S, Nakamura T, et al. Optimization of an implantable collamer lens sizing method using high-frequency ultrasound biomicroscopy. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;153:632–7, 637.e1.
- Oh J, Shin HH, Kim JH, Kim HM, Song JS. Direct measurement of the ciliary sulcus diameter by 35-megahertz ultrasound biomicroscopy. Ophthalmology. 2007;114:1685–8.
- Biermann J, Bredow L, Boehringer D, Reinhard T. Evaluation of ciliary sulcus diameter using ultrasound biomicroscopy in emmetropic eyes and myopic eyes. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:1686–93.
- 22. Zhu QJ, Chen WJ, Zhu WJ, Xiao HX, Zhu MH, Ma L, et al. Short-term changes in and preoperative factors affecting vaulting after posterior

chamber phakic implantable collamer lens implantation. BMC Ophthalmol. 2021;21:199.

- Cruz S, Valenzuela F, Stoppel J, Maul E, Gibbons A. Comparison of horizontal corneal diameter measurements using orbscan IIz, OPD scan III, and IOLMaster 700. Eye Contact Lens. 2021;47:533–38.
- 24. Buckenham Boyle A, Namkung S, Shew W, Gokul A, McGhee CNJ, Ziaei M. Repeatability and agreement of white-to-white measurements between slit-scanning tomography, infrared biometry, dual rotating Scheimpflug camera/Placido disc tomography, and swept source anterior segment optical coherence tomography. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0254832.
- Tana-Rivero P, Aguilar-Corcoles S, Rodriguez-Prats JL, Montes-Mico R, Ruiz-Mesa R. Agreement of white-to-white measurements with swept-source OCT, Scheimpflug and color LED devices. Int Ophthalmol. 2021;41:57–65.
- Akman A, Asena L, Gungor SG. Evaluation and comparison of the new swept source OCT-based IOLMaster 700 with the IOLMaster 500. Br J Ophthalmol. 2016;100:1201–5.
- Asgari S, Hashemi H, Jafarzadehpur E, Mohamadi A, Rezvan F, Fotouhi A. OPD-Scan III: a repeatability and inter-device agreement study of a multifunctional device in emmetropia, ametropia, and keratoconus. Int Ophthalmol. 2016;36:697–705.
- Guber I, Bergin C, Perritaz S, Majo F. Correcting interdevice bias of horizontal white-to-white and sulcus-to-sulcus measures used for implantable collamer lens sizing. Am J Ophthalmol. 2016;161:116-25.e1.
- Chen X, Han T, Zhao W, Wang X, Xu Y, Cheng M, et al. Effect of the difference between the white-to-white and sulcus-to-sulcus on vault and the related factors after ICL implantation. Ophthalmol Ther. 2021;10:947–55.
- Hashemian SJ, Mohebbi M, Yaseri M, Jafari ME, Nabili S, Hashemian SM, et al. Adjustment formulae to improve the correlation of white-to-white measurement with direct measurement of the ciliary sulcus diameter by ultrasound biomicroscopy. J Curr Ophthalmol. 2018;30:217–22.
- Ghoreishi M, Abdi-Shahshahani M, Peyman A, Pourazizi M. A model for predicting sulcus-to-sulcus diameter in posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens candidates: correlation between ocular biometric parameters. Int Ophthalmol. 2019;39:661–6.
- Kawamorita T, Uozato H, Kamiya K, Shimizu K. Relationship between ciliary sulcus diameter and anterior chamber diameter and corneal diameter. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010;36:617–24.
- Gao J, Liao RF. Correlation between white-to-white diameter and ciliary sulcus diameter of high myopia eyes. Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi. 2013;49:627–32.
- Gao J, Liao RF, Li N. Ciliary sulcus diameters at different anterior chamber depths in highly myopic eyes. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013;39:1011–6.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.