Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Work Reward Moderates the Association Between Work Effect and Workplace Violence Among Medical Staff in China

Yifu Zhao¹, Aichen Zhang², Wen Zhang³, Long Sun 10^{4,5}

¹Institute of Health Data Science, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, People's Republic of China; ²Operating Room, Weihai Municipal Hospital, Weihai, Shandong, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Psychiatry, Binzhou People Hospital, Binzhou, Shandong, People's Republic of China; ⁴Centre for Health Management and Policy Research, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, People's Republic of China; ⁵NHC Key Laboratory of Health Economics and Policy Research (Shandong University), Jinan, Shandong, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Long Sun, Centre for Health Management and Policy Research, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, 44 Wenhuaxi Road, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, People's Republic of China, Email sunlong@sdu.edu.cn

Purpose: Workplace violence (WPV) against healthcare workers is a significant public health issue in China and globally. Although the effort–reward imbalance theory claimed that work rewards may moderate the relationship between work effort and WPV, the quantitative evidence is limited. This study aimed to examine if work reward could moderate the associations between work effort and WPV against medical staff based on the effort–reward imbalance theory.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study, which was conducted in 12 hospitals in Shandong, China. Data collected from 3426 medical staff were analyzed in this study. Work effort was evaluated by working hours and night shift work times per month (NSWM), and work reward was evaluated by monthly income and perceived social status. WPV, occupational characteristics, physical disease, and social-demographic variables were also evaluated in this study.

Results: There were 1788 (52.2%) medical staff, who reported the experience of workplace violence. Working hours, NSWM, and perceived social status were associated with WPV (all p<0.001). Monthly income could moderate the associations between monthly income and WPV or verbal violence (p<0.05), and perceived social status could moderate the associations between NSWM and WPV (p<0.001). **Conclusion:** Monthly income could moderate the associations between NSWM and WPV (verbal violence), and perceived social status could moderate the associations between NSWM and WPV, which could be explained by the effort–reward imbalance model. These findings also can be translated into practices to control WPV against medical staff.

Keywords: workplace violence, working hours, shift work times, income, perceived social status, medical staff

Background

The World Health organization (WHO) defined workplace violence (WPV) as "incidents where staff is abused, threatened or assaulted in circumstances related to their work".¹ In recent decades, several studies have reported that about 60% of medical staff experienced WPV in China and other countries in the world,^{2–4} and the prevalence appeared to be rising in China.^{5,6} In addition, many studies had reported that WPV could contribute to the medical staff's negative psychological and physical health.^{7–10} Because of these, we have enough reasons to believe that WPV against medical staff had been an important public health and social problem worldwide,^{11,12} which should arouse our attention.

The reasons for the incidence of WPV against medical staff are complex, including patients' high expectation, limited health resources, media misdirection, medical staff's service attitude and communication skill, and so on.^{13,14} Among these reasons, several intervention programs, which aimed to improve medical staff's service attitude and communication skill, had been identified to be effective to control WPV, such as communication skills intervention,¹⁵ worksite walkthrough intervention,¹⁶ and computer-based training intervention.¹⁷ These studies further imply that improving medical staff's service attitude and communication skill should be an effective way to control WPV.

However, when we consider the reasons why the medical staff do not have a nice service attitude and communication skills, the effort–reward imbalance theory may explain it. The effort–reward imbalance theory claims that an imbalance between high efforts and low rewards leads to sustained strain reactions.¹⁸ As we know, medical staff are characterized by heavy workload,^{19,20} which means they have substantial work effort. However, there is little evidence which supports that work reward could reduce the incidence of sustained strain reactions, such as WPV.

According to the effort–reward imbalance theory, work rewards may weaken or replace the effect of work effort on the associations between work effort and WPV. However, to our knowledge, there is no study which explores the associations among work effort, work rewards, and WPV. To fill the gaps, a cross-sectional study was conducted to explore if work rewards could weaken or replace the effect of work effort on the associations between work effort and WPV.

In this study, night shift work times per month (NSWM) and working hours were chosen to evaluate work effort, which were based on the perspective of Labor Value Theory and Role Theory.^{21,22} Marx's Labor Value Theory claims that working time is an important measure of labor value. The longer the working hours of medical staff, the greater the amount of labor they invest, and the higher the value they may create. The Role Theory also claims that medical staff are entrusted with the responsibility of saving lives and promoting health in their professional roles. The increase in working hours and the number of night shifts is a concrete manifestation of their fulfillment of this role responsibility, reflecting their efforts to meet role expectations. Actually, substantial work effort had been identified to be associated with WPV against medical staff, such as long working hours, night shift work, and so on.^{23–25}

On the other side, monthly income and perceived social status were chosen to evaluate work reward, which were based on the perspective of Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and Social Identity Theory.^{26,27} Meeting economic needs corresponds to physiological needs and safety needs. According to the Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, the income brought by work is used to meet basic physiological needs such as food, clothing, housing, and transportation, and to provide economic security to deal with possible risks and meet safety needs. According to the Social Identity Theory, the establishment of identity and self-esteem through work is in line with the viewpoint that individuals obtain identity and self-esteem.

To explore if work rewards could weaken or replace the effect of work effort on the associations between work effort and WPV, we performed a cross-sectional study based on the effort–reward imbalance theory. If the hypotheses could be supported, they are helpful for us to further understand the associations between working hours, income level, and WPV. If the moderating effect of income can be confirmed, it also can give evidence to the effect of equal pay for equal work on quality and safety of health services.

Methods

Setting and Participants

This is a cross-sectional study conducted among medical staff in Chinese Shandong province. Shandong province is located in the east of China with the second largest population in all of the provinces of China, and the number of health workers in Shandong province ranked first in the Chinese provinces.²⁸ In this study, multiple stratified random cluster sampling methods were used to recruit medical staff in general hospitals through the following steps. Firstly, three cities were randomly selected from all 17 cities based on the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in 2018.²⁹ Secondly, one municipal hospital was randomly selected from each of the selected cities. In this step, three districts were also randomly selected from the selected districts. Thus, totally, 3 municipal hospitals and 9 county-level hospitals were selected in this study. In municipal hospitals, three inpatient areas from each department were randomly selected, and two inpatient areas from each department were randomly selected in county-level hospitals. Medical staff, including doctor, nursing, and medical technician staff, who worked on the interview date were recruited to participant in the survey. Finally, 3426 medical staff were interviewed and analyzed in this study.

Data Collection

The questionnaires were sent to medical staff individually, and they were asked to fill the questionnaires anonymously when they were free for the work. Two trained postgraduate students were stationed in the hospital to answer questions and collect the questionnaires on the interview date.

Measures

Workplace Violence (WPV), Verbal Violence, and Physical Violence

WPV was assessed by the question "have you ever experienced the following behavior conducted by your patients or their relations?" The answers were verbal violence, physical violence, both of verbal and physical violence, and none. This question was also used to evaluate WPV in several previous studies.³⁰ In this study, WPV was recoded into yes (1) and no (0), with the former including verbal violence, physical violence, or both of verbal and physical violence. Physical violence and verbal violence were also recoded into a classification of yes (1) and no (0). Participants who reported verbal violence and both of verbal and physical violence were analyzed as verbal violence experience. Similarly, physical violence experience contained the physical violence experience and both of verbal and physical violence experience. The participants without any WPV experience were analyzed as the control group.

Work Effort

In this study, work effort was evaluated by two variables, working hours and night shift work times per month (NSWM). Working hours were measured by the question "how many hours do you work per week on average?" The participants answered the number of hours per week which they worked. The numbers of the working hours per week were analyzed in this study. NSWM was measured by the question "how many times do you have night shift works per month on average?" The answers were the number of night shift works per month on average, which were analyzed in this study. These questions were also used in previous studies.³¹

Work Reward

Work reward were evaluated by two variables, monthly income and perceived social status. Monthly income was measured by the question about participants' monthly income, including salary, bonus, and all the other kinds of income. The answers can be chosen from \leq 3000 RMB, 3001–5000 RMB, 5001–7000 RMB, 7001–9000 RMB, 9001–11000 RMB, 11001–13000 RMB, and \geq 13001 RMB. As there were fewer participants who chose the last 3 answers, we recoded it in to \leq 3000 RMB (L1), 3001–5000 RMB (L2), 5001–7000 RMB (L3), and \geq 7001 RMB (L4). One dollar is approximately equal to 7 RMB. A similar evaluation method was also used in previous studies.^{32,33} Perceived social status were assessed by the question "as a medical staff, how you evaluated your present social status?" The answers contained very high, high, middle, low, and very low. The answers were recoded into high (1), middle (2), and low (3). A similar evaluation method was also used in previous studies.³⁴

Social-Demographic Variables

Gender was coded as male (0) and female (1). Age was calculated by the participants' date of birth. Marital status was evaluated by single, married, divorced, widowed, and others. Because of the small percentage of the last 3 answers, we recoded it into single (1), married (2), and others (3). Education was assessed by the academic degree which the participants' received. The answers were doctor, master, bachelor, junior college, secondary specialized school, high school, and middle school or below. As there was a small percentage of the last 4 answers, we recoded it into doctor (1), master (2), bachelor (3), and others (4).

Occupational Characteristics

Types of medical staff contained doctor (1), nursing (2), and medical technician (3). Professional title was evaluated by senior (1), vice-senior (2), intermediate (3), and junior and others (4).

Physical Disease

Physical disease was evaluated by the question "if you have been diagnosed with any physical disease?" The answer was yes (1) and no (0).

Statistical Analysis

In this study, IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0 (Web Edition) was used to conduct the data analyses. T-tests were performed to analyze the factors associated with WPV for continuous variables, and one-way ANOVA was used to compare the differences on categorical variables across groups. Logistic regression was conducted to further examine the factors associated with WPV, physical violence, and verbal violence. The moderating role of work effort on the association between work rewards and WPV was also analyzed in the logistic regression. All of the tests were two-tailed and a *p*-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Totally, 3426 medical staff were interviewed in this study, and there were 1788 (52.2%) medical staff who reported the experience of workplace violence. Table 1 presents the results of description and single factor analyses conducted to analyze the factors associated with workplace violence. The results supported that workplace violence was associated with gender (χ^2 =42.43, p<0.001), age (t=6.78, p<0.001), married status (χ^2 =8.99, p<0.05), education (χ^2 =47.70, p<0.001), types of medical staff (χ^2 =53.62, p<0.001), professional title (χ^2 =49.27, p<0.001), physical disease (χ^2 =26.84, p<0.001), working hours/week (t=7.89, p<0.001), NSWM (t=3.59, p<0.001), monthly income (χ^2 =48.16, p<0.001), and perceived social status (χ^2 =229.60, p<0.001). The detailed results are presented in Table 1.

Description and single factor analyses were also conducted to analyze the factors associated with physical violence and verbal violence as presented in Table 2. In this study, 489 (489/3426, 14.3%) medical staff reported the physical violence experience, 1744 (1744/3426, 50.9%) medical staff reported the verbal violence experience, and 445 (445/3426, 13.0%) medical staff reported both verbal and physical violence experience. The results supported that physical violence was associated with gender (χ^2 =103.80, p<0.001), age (t=8.00, p<0.05), married status (χ^2 =7.17, p<0.05), education (χ^2 =23.28, p<0.001), types of medical staff (χ^2 =73.46, p<0.001), professional title (χ^2 =60.92, p<0.001), physical disease (χ^2 =30.95, p<0.001), working hours/week (t=8.79, p<0.001), NSWM (t=3.01, p<0.01), monthly income (χ^2 =29.10, p<0.001), and perceived social status (χ^2 =169.05, p<0.001). Factors associated with verbal violence were gender (χ^2 =40.57, p<0.001), age (t=6.71, p<0.001), married status (χ^2 =9.31, p<0.01), education (χ^2 =25.73, p<0.001), types of medical staff (χ^2 =40.50, p<0.001), professional title (χ^2 =9.31, p<0.01), monthly income (χ^2 =25.73, p<0.001), hospital level (χ^2 =9.33, p<0.001), working hours/week (t=7.74, p<0.001), NSWM (t=3.29, p<0.001), monthly income (χ^2 =51.79, p<0.001), and perceived social status (χ^2 =241.08, p<0.001). NSWM (t=3.29, p<0.001), monthly income (χ^2 =51.79, p<0.001), and perceived social status (χ^2 =241.08, p<0.001). The detailed results are presented in Table 2.

Variables	Workpla	t/χ²		
	All, n (%)	Y es, n (%)	No, n (%)	
Observations	3426 (100.0)	1788 (52.2)	1638 (47.8)	-
Gender				42.43***
Male	919 (26.8)	564 (61.4)	355 (38.6)	
Female	2507 (73.2)	1224 (48.8)	1283 (51.2)	
Age, mean±SD	35.14±8.42	36.07±8.22	34.13±8.53	6.78***
Married Status				8.99*
Single	577 (16.8)	271 (47.0)	306 (53.0)	
Married	2802 (81.8)	1496 (53.4)	1306 (46.6)	
Others	47 (1.4)	21 (44.7)	26 (55.3)	
Education				47.70***
Doctor	56 (1.6)	36 (64.3)	20 (35.7)	
Master	562 (16.4)	341 (60.7)	221 (39.3)	
Bachelor	2368 (69.1)	1237 (52.2)	1131 (47.8)	
Others	440 (12.8)	174 (39.5)	266 (60.5)	

 Table I Single Factor Analyses for the Association Between Social-Demographic and
 Occupational Characteristics, Working Hours, Monthly Income, and Workplace Violence

(Continued)

Variables	Workpla	t/χ ²		
	All, n (%)	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)	
Types of medical staff				53.62***
Doctor	1268 (37.0)	764 (60.3)	504 (39.7)	
Nursing	1695 (49.5)	794 (46.8)	904 (53.2)	
Medical technician	463 (13.5)	230 (49.7)	233 (50.3)	
Professional title				49.27***
Senior	109 (3.2)	72 (66.1)	37 (33.9)	
Vice-senior	303 (8.8)	173 (57.1)	130 (42.9)	
Intermediate	1170 (34.2)	680 (58.1)	490 (41.9)	
Junior and others	1844 (53.8)	863 (46.8)	981 (53.2)	
Physical disease				26.84***
Yes	457 (13.3)	290 (63.5)	167 (36.5)	
No	2969 (86.7)	1498 (50.5)	1471 (49.5)	
Working hours/week, mean±SD	47.25±9.27	48.90±10.13	46.36±8.53	7.89***
NSWM, mean±SD	4.12±3.55	4.33±3.50	3.89±3.59	3.59***
Monthly income				48.16***
LI	359 (10.5)	144 (40.1)	215 (59.9)	
L2	1256 (36.7)	609 (48.5)	647 (51.5)	
L3	1139 (33.2)	635 (55.8)	504 (44.2)	
L4	672 (19.6)	400 (59.5)	272 (40.5)	
Perceived social status				229.60***
High	902 (26.3)	328 (36.4)	574 (63.6)	
Middle	1934 (56.5)	1009 (52.2)	925 (47.8)	
Low	590 (17.2)	451 (76.4)	139 (23.6)	

Table I (Continued).

Notes: ***: p < 0.001; *: p < 0.05. SD denotes to standard error. NSWM denotes to night shift work times per month; L1 denotes to ≤ 3000 RMB monthly income. L2 denotes to 3001-5000 RMB monthly income. L3 denotes to 5001-7000 RMB monthly income. L4 denotes to ≥ 7001 RMB monthly income.

Variables	Physical Violence†			t/χ²	Verbal Violence‡			t/χ²
	All, n (%)	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)		All, n (%)	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)	
Observations	2127 (100.0)	489 (23.0)	1638 (77.0)	-	3382 (100.0)	1744 (51.6)	1638 (48.4)	-
Gender				103.80***				40.57***
Male	575 (27.0)	220 (38.3)	355 (61.7)		902 (26.7)	547 (60.6)	355 (38.6)	
Female	1552 (73.0)	269 (17.3)	1283 (82.7)		2480 (73.3)	1197 (48.3)	1283 (51.2)	
Age, mean±SD	35.14±8.42	37.64±8.40	34.13±8.53	8.00***	35.14±8.42	36.07±8.24	34.13±8.53	6.71***
Married Status				7.17*				9.31**
Single	372 (17.5)	66 (17.7)	306 (82.3)		568 (16.8)	262 (46.1)	306 (53.9)	
Married	1722 (81.0)	416 (24.2)	1306 (75.8)		2767 (81.8)	1461 (52.8)	1306 (47.2)	
Others	33 (1.6)	7 (21.2)	26 (78.8)		47 (1.4)	21 (44.7)	26 (55.3)	
Education				23.28***				46.10***
Doctor	27 (1.3)	7 (25.9)	20 (74.1)		51 (1.5)	31 (60.8)	20 (39.2)	
Master	307 (14.4)	86 (28.0)	221 (72.0)		554 (16.4)	333 (60.1)	221 (39.9)	
Bachelor	1488 (70.0)	357 (24.0)	3 (76.0)		2342 (69.2)	1211 (51.7)	3 (48.3)	
Others	305 (14.3)	39 (12.8)	266 (87.2)		435 (12.9)	169 (38.9)	266 (61.1)	

Table 2 Single Factor Analyses for the Association Between Social-Demographic and Occupational Characteristics, WorkingHours, Monthly Income, and Physical/Verbal Violence

(Continued)

Variables	Physical Violence†		t/χ²	Verbal Violence‡		t/χ²		
	All, n (%)	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)		All, n (%)	Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)	
Types of medical staff				73.46***				50.87***
Doctor	757 (35.6)	253 (33.4)	504 (66.6)		1244 (36.8)	740 (59.5)	504 (40.5)	
Nursing	1080 (50.8)	179 (16.6)	901 (83.4)		1677 (49.6)	776 (46.3)	904 (53.7)	
Medical technician	290 (13.6)	57 (19.7)	233 (80.3)		461 (13.6)	228 (49.5)	233 (50.5)	
Professional title				60.92***				46.50***
Senior	64 (3.0)	27 (42.2)	37 (57.8)		104 (3.1)	67 (64.4)	37 (35.6)	
Vice-senior	197 (9.3)	67 (34.0)	130 (66.0)		301 (8.9)	171 (56.8)	130 (43.2)	
Intermediate	683 (32.1)	193 (28.3)	490 (71.7)		1151 (34.0)	661 (57.4)	490 (42.6)	
Junior and others	1183 (55.6)	202 (17.1)	981 (82.9)		1826 (54.0)	845 (46.3)	981 (53.7)	
Physical disease				30.95***				25.73***
Yes	263 (12.4)	393 (21.1)	1471 (78.9)		448 (13.2)	281 (62.7)	167 (37.3)	
No	1864 (87.6)	96 (36.5)	167 (63.5)		2934 (86.8)	1463 (49.9)	1471 (50.1)	
Working hours/week,	47.25±9.27	40.49±10.84	46.36±8.53	8.79***	47.25±9.27	48.87±10.15	46.36±8.53	7.74***
mean±SD								
NSWM, Mean±SD	4.02±3.56	4.45±3.44	3.89±3.59	3.01**	4.12±3.55	4.33±3.50	3.89±3.29	3.29***
Monthly income				29.10***				51.79***
LI	261 (12.3)	46 (17.6)	215 (82.4)		350 (10.3)	135 (38.6)	215 (61.4)	
L2	792 (37.2)	145 (18.3)	647 (81.7)		1241 (36.7)	594 (47.9)	647 (52.1)	
L3	687 (32.3)	183 (26.6)	504 (73.4)		1125 (33.3)	621 (55.2)	504 (44.8)	
L4	387 (18.2)	115 (29.7)	272 (70.3)		666 (19.7)	394 (59.2)	272 (40.8)	
Perceived social status				169.05***				241.08***
High	665 (31.3)	91 (13.7)	574 (86.3)		884 (26.1)	310 (35.1)	574 (64.9)	
Middle	1174 (55.2)	249 (21.2)	925 (78.8)		1910 (56.5)	985 (51.6)	925 (48.4)	
Low	288 (13.5)	149 (51.7)	139 (48.3)		588 (17.4)	449 (76.4)	139 (23.6)	

Table 2 (Continued).

Notes: \dagger : Participants who only experienced verbal violence were excluded. \ddagger : Participants who only experienced physical violence were excluded. \ast :: p<0.001; \ast : p<0.01; \ast : p<0.05. SD denotes to standard error. NSWM denotes to night shift work times per month; L1 denotes to \leq 3000 RMB monthly income. L2 denotes to 3001–5000 RMB monthly income. L3 denotes to 5001–7000 RMB monthly income. L4 denotes to \geq 7001 RMB monthly income.

Logistical regressions were conducted to analyze the associations between work effort and workplace violence. The results supported that both working hours per week and NSWM were associated with WPV, whether monthly income and perceived social status were controlled. Perceived social status was also associated with WPV. Comparing with the highest monthly income group, the lowest monthly income group was associated with WPV. The detailed information is presented in Table 3.

Logistic regressions were further conducted to analyze the moderating effect of work reward on the association between work effort and workplace violence. The results found that monthly income could moderate the association between working hours/week and workplace violence (OR=0.989, P<0.05) or verbal violence (OR=0.991, P<0.05),

Variables	Workplace Violence (n=3426)	Physical Violence (n=2127)	Verbal Violence (n=3382)	
Working hours/week R ²	Model a 1.022 (1.014, 1.030)*** 0.063	Model b 1.030 (1.018, 1.041)*** 0.137	Model c 1.022 (1.013, 1.030)*** 0.062	
NSWM R ²	Model d 1.058 (1.037, 1.080)*** 0.064	Model e 1.088 (1.054, 1.123)*** 0.138	Model f 1.058 (1.037, 1.081)*** 0.063	

Table 3 Logistic Regression Analyses for Associations Between Work Effort and Workplace Violence [or (95% CI)]

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued).

Variables	Workplace Violence (n=3426)	Physical Violence (n=2127)	Verbal Violence (n=3382)
	Model g	Model h	Model i
Working hours/week	1.022 (1.014, 1.030)***	1.030 (1.018, 1.041)***	1.022 (1.014, 1.030)***
Monthly income (Ref.= L4)			
LI	0.646 (0.478, 0.874)**	0.993 (0.626, 1.576)	0.617 (0.454, 0.838)**
L2	0.844 (0.674, 1.058)	0.913 (0.645, 1.293)	0.823 (0.656, 1.033)
L3	0.945 (0.764, 1.167)	1.076 (0.784, 1.477)	0.927 (0.749, 1.147)
R ²	0.067	0.138	0.066
	Model j	Model k	Model I
Working hours/week	1.014 (1.005, 1.022)***	1.020 (1.008, 1.032)***	1.013 (1.005, 1.022)***
Perceived social status (Ref.= low)			
High	0.156 (0.122, 0.199)***	0.118 (0.082, 0.168)***	0.148 (0.116, 0.190)***
Middle	0.323 (0.260, 0.401)***	0.225 (0.167, 0.302)***	0.316 (0.254, 0.393)***
R ²	0.152	0.233	0.155
	Model m	Model n	Model o
NSWM	1.058 (1.036, 1.080)***	1.089 (1.055, 1.125)***	1.058 (1.036, 1.080)***
Monthly income (Ref.= L4)			
LI	0.648 (0.479, 0.877)**	0.939 (0.590, 1.492)	0.618 (0.455, 0.840)**
L2	0.823 (0.656, 1.031)	0.848 (0.598, 1.204)	0.802 (0.638, 1.007)
L3	0.914 (0.740, 1.130)	1.007 (0.732, 1.385)	0.897 (0.725, 1.109)
R ²	0.067	0.139	0.066
	Model p	Model q	Model r
NSWM	1.042 (1.019, 1.064)***	1.066 (1.031, 1.102)***	1.041 (1.019, 1.064)***
Perceived social status (Ref.= low)			
High	0.156 (0.122, 0.199)***	0.116 (0.082, 0.166)***	0.148 (0.116, 0.190)***
Middle	0.328 (0.264, 0.407)***	0.229 (0.170, 0.307)***	0.321 (0.259, 0.399)***
R ²	0.153	0.235	0.156

Notes: All the regressions were adjusted for gender, age, married status, education, type of medical staff, professional title, and physical disease. ***: p < 0.001; **: p < 0.01; CI denotes to confidence interval. NSWM denotes to night shift work times per month; L1 denotes to ≤ 3000 RMB monthly income. L2 denotes to 3001-5000 RMB monthly income. L3 denotes to 5001-7000 RMB monthly income. L4 denotes to ≥ 7001 RMB monthly income.

respectively. Perceived social status could moderate the association between NSWM and workplace violence (OR=0.947, P < 0.001), physical violence (OR=0.923, P < 0.001), or verbal violence (OR=0.949, P < 0.01). The other moderating effect were not supported in this study, and the detailed results are presented in Table 4.

Discussion

There were several critical findings in this study. Firstly, more than half of the medical staff (52.2%) experienced WPV. Secondly, working hours, NSWM, and perceived social status were associated with WPV. Thirdly, monthly income moderated the associations between monthly income and WPV (verbal violence). Fourthly, perceived social status moderated the associations between NSWM and WPV.

The first finding in this study was about the prevalence of WPV among medical staff, and we found that 52.2% of medical staff experienced WPV. Comparing with other studies, this prevalence was a little lower than other studies. This prevalence of WPV was 54.8% among nurses in Turkey,³⁵ and 71.9% among medical staff at primary hospitals.³⁶ One of the explanations was about the different current situation of WPV among doctors, nurses, and medical technicians.³⁷ In this study, we interviewed medical technicians, who are in lower prevalence of WPV.³⁸ The other reason may be explained by the cultural differences in the perception of WPV in different countries. Harmonization is one of the characteristics in Chinese Confucian culture.³⁹ Shandong Province was also the headstream of Confucian culture, which

Variables	Workplace Violence (n=3426)	Physical Violence (n=2127)	Verbal Violence (n=3382)
	Model I	Model 2	Model 3
Working hours/week	1.052 (1.027, 1.077)***	1.050 (1.015, 1.086)**	1.048 (1.023, 1.074)***
Monthly income (Ref.= L4)			
LI	0.139 (0.040, 0.485)**	0.344 (0.057, 2.077)	0.160 (0.046, 0.561)**
L2	0.301 (0.130, 0.700)**	0.446 (0.131, 1.515)	0.332 (0.142, 0.777)*
L3	0.563 (0.355, 0.892)*	0.752 (0.387, 1.462)	0.587 (0.369, 0.934)*
Working hours/week × monthly income	0.989 (0.981, 0.998)*	0.993 (0.981, 1.005)	0.991 (0.982, 0.999)*
R ²	0.069	0.138	0.068
	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Working hours/week	1.009 (0.983, 1.035)	1.008 (0.971, 1.046)	1.005 (0.979, 1.032)
Perceived social status (Ref.= low)			
High	0.196 (0.057, 0.680)*	0.218 (0.037, 1.304)	0.218 (0.062, 0.765)*
Middle	0.363 (0.188, 0.700)**	0.307 (0.120, 0.785)*	0.385 (0.198, 0.746)**
Working hours/week × perceived social	1.002 (0.990, 1.015)	1.006 (0.988, 1.025)	1.004 (0.991, 1.017)
status			
R ²	0.152	0.233	0.155
	Model 7	Model 8	Model 9
NSWM	1.051 (0.990, 1.116)	1.123 (1.025, 1.229)*	1.047 (0.985, 1.113)
Monthly income (Ref.= L4)			
LI	0.668 (0.447, 0.999)*	0.810 (0.433, 1.515)	0.650 (0.433, 0.975)*
L2	0.839 (0.631, 1.115)	0.773 (0.498, 1.198)	0.828 (0.622, 1.103)
L3	0.921 (0.738, 1.150)	0.975 (0.700, 1.357)	0.907 (0.726, 1.134)
NSWM × monthly income	1.003 (0.980, 1.026)	0.988 (0.955, 1.022)	1.004 (0.981, 1.028)
R ²	0.067	0.139	0.066
	Model 10	Model II	Model 12
NSWM	1.156 (1.083, 1.235)***	1.256 (1.136, 1.389)***	1.152 (1.078, 1.231)***
Perceived social status (Ref.= low)			
High	0.094 (0.064, 0.140)***	0.056 (0.032, 0.098)***	0.091 (0.062, 0.136)***
Middle	0.244 (0.184, 0.325)***	0.151 (0.103, 0.221)***	0.241 (0.182, 0.321)***
NSWM × perceived social status	0.947 (0.918, 0.978)***	0.923 (0.881, 0.967)***	0.949 (0.919, 0.980)**
R ²	0.157	0.242	0.160

Table 4 Logistic Regression A	Analyses for the	Moderating	Effect of	Monthly	Income or	Perceived Social	Status on the	Associations
Between Work Effort and Wo	rkplace Violence	[or (95% C	CI)]					

Notes: All the regressions were adjusted for gender, age, married status, education, type of medical staff, professional title, and physical disease. ***: p<0.001; **: p<0.01; *: p<0.05. Cl denotes to confidence interval. NSWM denotes to night shift work times per month; L1 denotes to ≤ 3000 RMB monthly income. L2 denotes to 3001-5000 RMB monthly income. L3 denotes to 5001-7000 RMB monthly income. L4 denotes to ≥ 7001 RMB monthly income.

was also influenced by this culture in depth. This kind of harmonization may also reduce the occurrence of WPV against medical staff.

The other finding in this study was that longer working hours and more NSWM were positively associated with WPV. This was easy to understand, which was also identified in previous studies.^{40,41} Medical staff with long working hours and more NSWM may be at higher risk of job burnout and psychological problems^{42–44}, which were risk factors for WPV.^{45,46} On the other side, more working hours also increases WPV, because more time at work may have more opportunities for WPV to happen. We also found that higher income level was positively associated with WPV. Findings about this association in previous studies were conflicting. A study in general practitioners supported that lower monthly income was associated with the experiencing of physical violence,^{47,48} while another conducted among nurses found that monthly household income was positively associated with nurse–patient disputes.⁴⁹ In this study, we evaluated WPV in a lifespan. Medical staff who experienced WPV were also with older age and higher professional title, because of the

longer work ages. As we know, income also mainly depended on professional title, and was also associated with age in public hospitals, and these make that higher income was associated with the experiencing of WPV.

One of the main findings for this study was the moderating effect of income level on the association between working hours and WPV (verbal violence), based on the effort-reward imbalance model. The results supported that income level could moderate the association between working hours and WPV. As we know, medical staff with long working hours may experience more work burnout, which was a risk factor for WPV. However, the higher income level may reduce the associations between working hours and WPV. Because according to the effort-reward imbalance model, higher income level may be a kind of reward for their long work time, and may further help medical staff to have more energy to work. And it further reduces the risk of WPV.

This study also supported that monthly income could weaken the association between working hours per week and verbal violence, but could not weaken the association between working hours and physical violence. The different findings may be explained by the different influence of verbal violence and physical violence on medical staff. As we know, although the prevalence of physical violence was lower than verbal violence among medical staff,^{50,51} medical staff who experienced physical violence might be at higher risk of psychological and physical health problems.^{8,52,53} In this situation, higher income level may be not enough to weaken the association between working hours and physical violence.

The other main finding was that perceived social status could moderate the associations between NSWM and WPV. As we know, medical staff with more NSWM often disrupt the normal circadian rhythm, causing fatigue, sleep deprivation, and increased stress levels.^{54,55} This can potentially lead to heightened irritability and reduced patience among workers. However, perceived social status could act as a buffer or an amplifier in this context. If medical staff perceive themselves to have a relatively high social status, they might have better coping mechanisms, more resources, or greater support systems to deal with the challenges of night shift work and its potential impact on their behavior and interactions in the workplace. This also could be explained by the effort–reward imbalance model,^{18,56} which explains that an imbalance between high efforts and low rewards leads to sustained strain reactions.⁵⁷

This study also supported that both income level and perceived social status were associated with WPV. For the association between income level and WPV, the findings supported that higher income level was positively associated with WPV. This can be explained by the working years. Longer working years were positively associated with higher income level, and the medical staff with longer working years had more opportunities to experience WPV. This study also supported that perceived higher social status was associated with less WPV experiences. This finding can be explained by the identified associations between perceived social status and work burnout,⁵⁸ and in future considering the associations between work burnout and WPV.⁵⁹ The associations between perceived social status and WPV can be assumed.

Although there were some significant findings in this study, several limitations should be considered when we interpret the results. Firstly, any causal relationships cannot be interfered on the associations between working hours, NSWM, perceived social status, and WPV, because of the cross-sectional design. Secondly, all the factors analyzed in this study were collected by medical staff's self-report, and this may also bring some bias for the findings in this study. Thirdly, the data analyzed in this study were collected from general hospitals in Chinese Shandong province, so we should be cautious when extending the findings into other regions. Fourthly, this study only compared the differences between WPV incidences or not; future studies can explore if the effort–reward imbalance model could explain the intensity of WPV.

Conclusion

This study supported that monthly income could moderate the associations between monthly income and WPV (verbal violence), and perceived social status could moderate the associations between NSWM and WPV, which could be explained by the effort–reward imbalance model. The findings also implied that some rewards for the heavy workload and efforts may be also helpful to control WPV, and the findings also supply evidence to control WPV in the institutional and medical staff's perspective. These findings also can be translated into practices to control WPV against medical staff.

Data Sharing Statement

Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics Approval and Informed Consent

The institutional review board of Shandong University School of Public Health (ref.: 20181219) approved the study protocol before data collection. Informed consent was obtained from all of the participants. The study adhered to ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (71974114).

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. ILO, ICoN, WHO, PSI. Framework Guidelines for Addressing Workplace Violence in the Health Sector; 2002.
- 2. Varghese A, Joseph J, Vijay VR, et al. Prevalence and determinants of workplace violence among nurses in the South-East Asian and Western Pacific Regions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Nurs*. 2021;31(7–8):798–819. doi:10.1111/jocn.15987
- Aljohani B, Burkholder J, Tran QK, Chen C, Beisenova K, Pourmand A. Workplace violence in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health*. 2021;196:186–197. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2021.02.009
- 4. Odes R, Chapman S, Harrison R, Ackerman S, Hong O. Frequency of violence towards healthcare workers in the United States' inpatient psychiatric hospitals: a systematic review of literature. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2021;30(1):27–46. doi:10.1111/inm.12812
- Hall BJ, Xiong P, Chang K, Yin M, Sui XR. Prevalence of medical workplace violence and the shortage of secondary and tertiary interventions among healthcare workers in China. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2018;72(6):516–518. doi:10.1136/jech-2016-208602
- Zhang X, Li Y, Yang C, Jiang G. Trends in workplace violence involving health care professionals in China from 2000 to 2020: a review. Med Sci Monit. 2021;27:e928393. doi:10.12659/MSM.928393
- 7. Rudkjoebing LA, Hansen AM, Rugulies R, Kolstad H, Bonde JP. Exposure to workplace violence and threats and risk of depression: a prospective study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2021;47(8):582–590. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3976
- 8. Xu T, Magnusson Hanson LL, Lange T, et al. Workplace bullying and workplace violence as risk factors for cardiovascular disease: a multi-cohort study. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40(14):1124–1134. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/eby683
- 9. Kim HR. Associations between workplace violence, mental health, and physical health among Korean Workers: the Fifth Korean working conditions survey. *Workplace Health Saf.* 2021;21650799211023863. doi:10.1177/21650799211023863
- 10. Zhu PP, Chen LY, Pan JH, et al. The symptoms and factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder for burns nurses: a cross-sectional study from Guangdong province in China. J Burn Care Res. 2021. doi:10.1093/jbcr/irab121
- 11. Yang Z, Liu Y, Fan D. Workplace violence against health care workers in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(7):e14. doi:10.1056/ NEJMc1606816
- 12. Pompeii L, Benavides E, Pop O, et al. Workplace violence in outpatient physician clinics: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(18):6587. doi:10.3390/ijerph17186587
- 13. Converso D, Sottimano I, Balducci C. Violence exposure and burnout in healthcare sector: mediating role of work ability. *Med Lav.* 2021;112 (1):58–67. doi:10.23749/mdl.v112i1.9906
- 14. Wu Y, Wang J, Liu J, et al. The impact of work environment on workplace violence, burnout and work attitudes for hospital nurses: a structural equation modelling analysis. *J Nurs Manag.* 2020;28(3):495–503. doi:10.1111/jonm.12947
- 15. Baby M, Gale C, Swain N. A communication skills intervention to minimise patient perpetrated aggression for healthcare support workers in New Zealand: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2019;27(1):170–181. doi:10.1111/hsc.12636
- Hamblin LE, Essenmacher L, Luborsky M, et al. Worksite walkthrough intervention: data-driven prevention of workplace violence on hospital units. J Occup Environ Med. 2017;59(9):875–884. doi:10.1097/JOM.000000000001081
- 17. Glass N, Hanson GC, Anger WK, et al. Computer-based training (CBT) intervention reduces workplace violence and harassment for homecare workers. *Am J Ind Med.* 2017;60(7):635–643. doi:10.1002/ajim.22728
- 18. Siegrist J. Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. J Occup Health Psychol. 1996;1(1):27-41. doi:10.1037//1076-8998.1.1.27
- 19. Franche RL, Murray EJ, Ostry A, Ratner PA, Wagner SL, Harder HG. Work disability prevention in rural healthcare workers. *Rural Remote Health*. 2010;10(4):1502.
- 20. Cheng WJ, Cheng Y. Minor mental disorders in Taiwanese healthcare workers and the associations with psychosocial work conditions. J Formos Med Assoc. 2017;116(4):300–305. doi:10.1016/j.jfma.2016.05.004
- 21. Gintis H, Bowles S. Structure and practice in the labor theory of value. *Rev Radical Political Economics*. 1981;12(4):1-26. doi:10.1177/048661348101200401
- 22. Anglin AH, Kincaid PA, Short JC, Allen DG. Role theory perspectives: past, present, and future applications of role theories in management research. J Manage. 2010;48(6):1469–1502. doi:10.1177/01492063221081442
- 23. Unruh L, Asi Y. Determinants of workplace injuries and violence among newly licensed RNs. Workplace Health Saf. 2018;66(10):482-492. doi:10.1177/2165079918756909
- 24. Maguire BJ. Violence against ambulance personnel: a retrospective cohort study of national data from safe work Australia. *Public Health Res Pract.* 2018;28(1). doi:10.17061/phrp28011805
- 25. Celik AK, Oktay E, Cebi K. Analysing workplace violence towards health care staff in public hospitals using alternative ordered response models: the case of north-eastern Turkey. Int J Occup Saf Ergon. 2017;23(3):328-339. doi:10.1080/10803548.2017.1316612
- 26. Maslow AH. A theory of human motivation. Psychol Rev. 1943;50(4):370-396. doi:10.1037/h0054346

- 27. Tajfel H, Turner JC. An integrative theory of inter-group conflict. Soc Psychol Inter-Group Relations. 1979.
- 28. NHC. Chinese Health Statistics Yearbook 2019. China union medical college press; 2019.
- 29. NBS. Chinese Statistics Yearbook 2019. China Statistics Press; 2019.
- 30. Li Q, Jing W, Wei Z, Wang Y, Sun L. Associations between workplace violence and mental health among Chinese health technicians: a moderated mediation analysis of sleep quality and physical activity. *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* 2023;16:2045–2057. doi:10.2147/prbm.S411098
- Kim J, Kwon R, Yun H, Lim G-Y, Woo K-S, Kim I. The association between long working hours, shift work, and suicidal ideation: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2024;50(7):503–518. doi:10.5271/sjweh.4182
- Danquah E, Asiamah N, Jnr RA, Kouveliotis K. Association of frailty with workplace social activity, physical activity, and well-being among older employees: a moderated mediation in two income-variant samples. BMC Geriatr. 2024;24(1):574. doi:10.1186/s12877-024-05178-9
- 33. Sone M, Mizunuma K, Nakajima Y, Yasunaga H, Ohtomo K. Job satisfaction, income, workload, workplace, and demographics of Japanese radiologists in the 2008 survey. Jpn J Radiol. 2013;31(5):364–370. doi:10.1007/s11604-013-0189-5
- 34. Earl TR, Beach MC, Lombe M, et al. Race, relationships and trust in providers among black patients with HIV/AIDS. Soc Work Res. 2013;37 (3):219–226. doi:10.1093/swr/svt017
- 35. Cam HH, Ustuner Top F. Workplace violence against nurses working in the public hospitals in Giresun, Turkey: prevalence, risk factors, and quality of life consequences. *Perspect Psychiatr Care*. 2021;58(4):1701–1711. doi:10.1111/ppc.12978
- 36. Zhu H, Liu X, Yao L, et al. Workplace violence in primary hospitals and associated risk factors: a cross-sectional study. Nurs Open. 2022;9 (1):513–518. doi:10.1002/nop2.1090
- 37. Tian K, Xiao X, Zeng R, et al. Prevalence of workplace violence against general practitioners: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Health Plann Manag.* 2021;37(3):1238–1251. doi:10.1002/hpm.3404
- Wang PY, Fang PH, Wu CL, Hsu HC, Lin CH. Workplace Violence in Asian Emergency Medical Services: a Pilot Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(20). doi:10.3390/ijerph16203936
- 39. Lan L, Zhu Q, Liu Y. The rule of virtue: a Confucian response to the ethical challenges of technocracy. *Sci Eng Ethics*. 2021;27(5):64. doi:10.1007/s11948-021-00341-6
- El Ghaziri M, Zhu S, Lipscomb J, Smith BA. Work schedule and client characteristics associated with workplace violence experience among nurses and midwives in sub-Saharan Africa. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2014;25(1 Suppl):S79–89. doi:10.1016/j.jana.2013.07.002
- Bayram B, Çetin M, Oray NÇ, Can İÖ. Workplace violence against physicians in Turkey's emergency departments: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open. 2017;7(6):e013568. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013568
- 42. Jia Z, Wen X, Lin X, et al. Working hours, job burnout, and subjective well-being of hospital administrators: an empirical study based on china's tertiary public hospitals. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(9):4539. doi:10.3390/ijerph18094539
- Lee HE, Kim MH, Choi M, Kim HR, Kawachi I. Variability in daily or weekly working hours and self-reported mental health problems in Korea, Korean working condition survey, 2017. Arch Public Health. 2021;79(1):25. doi:10.1186/s13690-021-00545-z
- 44. Park S, Kook H, Seok H, et al. The negative impact of long working hours on mental health in young Korean workers. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8): e0236931. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0236931
- Sun T, Gao L, Li F, et al. Workplace violence, psychological stress, sleep quality and subjective health in Chinese doctors: a large cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(12):e017182. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017182
- 46. Duan X, Ni X, Shi L, et al. The impact of workplace violence on job satisfaction, job burnout, and turnover intention: the mediating role of social support. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2019;17(1):93. doi:10.1186/s12955-019-1164-3
- 47. Gan Y, Li L, Jiang H, et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with workplace violence against general practitioners in Hubei, China. Am J Public Health. 2018;108(9):1223–1226. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2018.304519
- 48. Yu J, Zou F, Sun Y. Job satisfaction, engagement, and burnout in the population of orthopedic surgeon and neurosurgeon trainees in mainland China. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2020;48(3):E3. doi:10.3171/2019.12.FOCUS19830
- 49. Wang Y, Lu J, Ye Q, et al. Analysis of influencing factors of nurse-patient disputes based on patient characteristics: a cross-sectional study. *Nurs Open*. 2022;9(1):245–255. doi:10.1002/nop2.1058
- 50. Zhao S, Xie F, Wang J, et al. Prevalence of workplace violence against Chinese nurses and its association with mental health: a cross-sectional survey. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*. 2017;32(2):242–247. doi:10.1016/j.apnu.2017.11.009
- 51. Johansen IH, Baste V, Rosta J, Aasland OG, Morken TJBO. Changes in prevalence of workplace violence against doctors in all medical specialties in Norway between 1993 and 2014: a repeated cross-sectional survey. *BMJ open*. 2017;7(8). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017757
- 52. Wang H, Zhang Y, Sun L. The effect of workplace violence on depression among medical staff in China: the mediating role of interpersonal distrust. *Int Archiv Occupational Environm Health*. 2021;94(3):557–564. doi:10.1007/s00420-020-01607-5
- 53. Alsmael MM, Gorab AH, AlQahtani AM. Violence against healthcare workers at primary care centers in Dammam and Al Khobar, Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia, 2019. *Int J Gen Med.* 2020;13:667–676. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S267446
- 54. Brum MCB, Senger MB, Schnorr CC, Ehlert LR, Rodrigues Td C. Effect of night-shift work on cortisol circadian rhythm and melatonin levels. *Sleep Sci.* 2023;15(02):143–148. doi:10.5935/1984-0063.20220034
- 55. Garde AH, Begtrup L, Bjorvatn B, et al. How to schedule night shift work in order to reduce health and safety risks. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2020;46(6):557–569. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3920
- 56. van Vegchel N, de Jonge J, Bosma H, Schaufeli W. Reviewing the effort-reward imbalance model: drawing up the balance of 45 empirical studies. Soc Sci Med. 2005;60(5):1117–1131. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.06.043
- 57. Siegrist J. Adverse health effects of effort-reward imbalance at work: theory, empirical support, and implications for prevention. In: *Theories of organizational Stress*. Oxford University Press; 1998.
- 58. Wickramasinghe ND, Wijesinghe PR. Burnout subtypes and associated factors among police officers in Sri Lanka: a cross-sectional study. *J Forensic Leg Med.* 2018;58:192–198. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2018.07.006
- 59. Wang F, Zhang M, Xiong N, et al. Workplace violence and burnout among health workers two years after the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, China: the chain mediation effect of sleep disturbance and work ability. *Healthcare*. 2024;12(18):1903. doi:10.3390/healthcare12181903

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare



Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-multidisciplinary-healthcare-journal