Guard-cell phytochromes impact seedling photomorphogenesis and rosette leaf morphology

Sookyung Oh^{1*}, Que Kong^{1*} and Beronda L. Montgomery^{1,2,3§}

¹DOE-Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

³Department of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: montg133@msu.edu

^{*}These authors contributed equally.

Abstract

Using a previously established transgenic approach to inactivate phytochrome chromophore synthesis in specific organs or tissues, we used a guard cell-specific promoter to induce phytochrome deficiencies in guard cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Analyses of multiple homozygous lines depleted of phytochromes in stomatal guard cells indicated elongated hypocotyls specifically in red and far-red growth conditions. Furthermore, rosette leaves of adult plants with guard cell-specific phytochrome deficiencies showed enhanced serration compared to the wild-type Col-0 parent. Thus, we demonstrate that guard cell-localized phytochromes impact the inhibition of hypocotyl elongation, as well as leaf margin morphology of adult rosette leaves in *A. thaliana*.





Figure 1. Phenotyping of tissue-specific biliverdin reductase (BVR) expressing lines: A) Tissue-specific marker gene expression in BVR lines. Expression of *BVR* (encoding BVR, UniProt: P46844), mesophyll cell (MC) marker gene *CALMODULIN-BINDING PROTEIN (CBP; At4g33050;* Cho *et al.*, 2012), guard cell (GC) marker gene *MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 60 (MYB60; At1g08810;* Cominelli *et al.*, 2005), and GC marker gene *POTASSIUM (K+) CHANNEL IN ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA 1 (KAT1; At5g46240;* Pilot *et al.*, 2001) measured via qRT-PCR from RNA from mesophyll cell (MC) or guard-cell epidermal enrichment peel (GCEEP) enrichments from ~ 5-week old wild-type (WT) and GC:::pBVR plants with GC-localized, plastid-targeted *BVR* (pBVR) expression grown in short-day conditions at 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Unpaired, two-tailed Student's t test comparing expression to Col-0 WT for either MC or GCEEP fraction, *, p<0.05 and comparing expression for MC and GCEEP for WT or each BVR line, #, p<0.05. (B) Images of representative seedlings for Col-0 WT and four independent GC:::pBVR lines. Seedlings were grown on MS medium for 7 d under constant light of far-red (FRc; 5 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), red (Rc; 50 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), blue (Bc; 25 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), white (Wc; 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), or darkness (Dc). (C) Mean hypocotyl lengths of seedlings (±SD, n≥15) under FRc, Rc, Bc, Wc, and Dc conditions. Unpaired, two-tailed Student's t test comparing transgenic lines to Col-0 WT, *, p<0.05. (D) Adult vegetative leaf morphology phenotypes for Col-0 WT and GC:::pBVR lines. Plants were grown on soil in 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ W light under long days (16 h light, 8 h dark) for 21 days and fully expanded fifth rosette leaves are shown. Bar, 1 cm.

Description

Light impacts growth and development throughout the plant life cycle; however, photomorphogenesis occurs differently in individual tissues and organs (Montgomery, 2016). Light actively inhibits elongation in the hypocotyl, but promotes the growth and development of cotyledons, leaves, and roots. Distinct responses in specific tissues are maintained to some extent through the differential accumulation of photoreceptors such as phytochromes in distinct tissues and at different times during development (Adam *et al.*, 1994; Somers and Quail, 1995a, 1995b; Goosey *et al.*, 1997; Nagatani, 1997; Tóth *et al.*, 2001; Sharrock and Clack, 2002; Baba-Kasai *et al.*, 2014; van Gelderen *et al.*, 2018); as well as in large part due to distinct signal transduction pathways downstream of photoreceptors in distinct tissues and organs (Bou-Torrent *et al.*, 2008; Montgomery, 2008; Endo *et al.*, 2016; Montgomery, 2016).

Stomata are specialized epidermal cells that occur in the epidermis for all above-ground tissues and serve to facilitate gas exchange and water uptake central to photosynthesis. Stomata respond to environmental signals, including light (Roth-Bejerano and Itai, 1981; Kinoshita *et al.*, 2001; Talbott *et al.*, 2003; Boccalandro *et al.*, 2009; Casson *et al.*, 2009; Matthews *et al.*, 2020; Zhu *et al.*, 2020). Specific phytochromes have been shown to impact stomatal development and function, with phyB promoting the stomatal index, or the percentage of stomata cells out of the total number of epidermal cells, and regulation of stomatal opening in red light (Boccalandro *et al.*, 2009; Casson *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2010; Casson and Hetherington, 2014). The photoreceptor phyA stimulates production of stomata in far-red light (Kang *et al.*, 2009). Notably, phytochromes are localized in guard cells themselves (Somers and Quail, 1995b; Kang *et al.*, 2009), and thus stomatal responses can potentially be controlled by photoreceptors locally or through intercellular communication.

Tissue-specific expression of *PHY* genes in *phy* mutant backgrounds has been used to explore spatial-specific roles of phytochromes. In regards to the function of phyB in regulating stomata, recent analyses used tissue-specific *PHYB* (*At2g18790*) expression to explore phytochrome-dependent roles in guard cells (Casson and Hetherington, 2014). Expression of *PHYB* in guard cells demonstrated that phyB accumulation in these cells was sufficient to rescue a defect in photoregulation of the stomatal index in a *phyB* mutant (Casson and Hetherington, 2014). These plant lines with guard cell-specific *PHYB* expression also exhibited larger leaves, potentially indicating more extensive growth-related impacts of guard cell-specific phytochrome function. Prior investigations also correlated stomatal regulation with control of additional whole plant growth phenotypes, including correlating the regulation of stomatal opening with vegetative development in terms of the hypocotyl length of seedlings (Xinhong *et al.*, 2011), and the rosette and flowering time at the adult stage (Kinoshita *et al.*, 2011; Ando *et al.*, 2013).

To investigate the function of phytochromes in guard cells, we used a previously verified transgenic approach for expressing a phytochrome chromophore-inactivating enzyme, biliverdin reductase (BVR; UniProt: P46844) to regulate accumulation of photoactive phytochromes in planta (Lagarias *et al.*, 1997; Montgomery *et al.*, 1999; Montgomery *et al.*, 2001; Warnasooriya and Montgomery, 2009; Costigan *et al.*, 2011). We used the promoter p*GC1* (*At1g22690*), which drives high-level, guard-cell

specific gene expression and has low expression activity in mesophyll cells (Yang *et al.*, 2008), to generate plant lines with guard cell-localized, plastid-targeted BVR (pBVR) accumulation to induce guard cell-specific phytochrome depletion. We used these lines to examine the roles of guard cell-localized phytochromes in distinct aspects of photomorphogenesis.

We successfully isolated four lines with guard-cell enriched expression of BVR, i.e., GC::pBVR1 to GC::pBVR4 as verified by qRT-PCR analyses with RNA extracted from mesophyll cell (MC) and guard cell enriched epidermal peel (GCEEP) fractions and compared to MC and GCEEP fractions from the CAB3::pBVR representative line with MC-localized *BVR* expression (Fig 1A; Warnasooriya and Montgomery, 2009). We observed significant enrichment of *BVR* expression in GCEEP compared to MC in several GC::pBVR lines, confirming guard-cell enriched *BVR* expression driven by the GC promoter. To confirm the reliability of our MC and GCEEP fractions, we tested RNA fractions for expression of an MC-enriched marker gene, i.e., *CALMODULIN-BINDING PROTEIN* (*CBP; At4g33050*) (Cho *et al.*, 2012), and guard-cell marker genes, i.e., *POTASSIUM* (*K*+) *CHANNEL IN ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA 1* (*KAT1; At5g46240*) (Pilot *et al.*, 2001) and *MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 60* (*MYB60; At1g08810*) (Cominelli *et al.*, 2005). As expected, we detected preferential expression of *CBP* in the MC RNA enrichment and of *KAT1* and *MYB60* in the GCEEP RNA enrichment (Fig 1A). Additionally, we assessed protein extracts from GCEEP for BVR enzymatic activity using a previously described specific activity assay (Lagarias *et al.*, 1997). Whereas no BVR activity was detected for GCEEP protein extracts from WT and a CAB3::pBVR line, we detected BVR activity in the range of 0.2 - 2.8 (I.U. mg⁻¹) × 10^3 for GCEEP extracts from GC::pBVR lines.

We assessed light-dependent growth of GC::pBVR seedlings compared to Col-0 WT seedlings under constant blue (Bc), red (Rc), far-red (FRc) and white (Wc) light. We observed significantly elongated GC::pBVR seedlings under both FRc and Rc illumination (Fig 1B and 1C). GC::pBVR seedlings were not significantly different relative to WT seedlings in the dark or under either Bc or Wc light (Fig 1B and 1C), which is distinct from phenotypes observed due to depletion of phytochromes in mesophyll cells as CAB3::pBVR lines were previously reported to have elongated hypocotyls in Wc, FRc, Rc, and Bc (Warnasooriya and Montgomery, 2009; Warnasooriya *et al.*, 2011). The disruption in light-dependent inhibition of hypocotyl elongation only under R and FR light for GC::pBVR lines is notable as these are wavelengths specifically associated with phytochrome-regulated functions. Although not previously associated specifically with light-dependent regulation, prior analyses of plants with altered ABA-regulated stomatal function showed a correlation between higher rates of stomatal closure and elongated hypocotyls (Xinhong *et al.*, 2011).

For adult plants, GC::pBVR plants exhibited altered leaf shape (i.e., enhanced serration) under long-day conditions of W light at 100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig 1D). In Arabidopsis, leaf heteroblasty can be indicated by leaf margin serration. As the major disruption at the adult leaf stage for GC::pBVR lines was an alteration in leaf serration or leaf margin morphology defects, these plants may exhibit alterations in heteroblasty correlated with guard-cell phytochrome deficiency. Relatedly, prior analyses with tissue-specific expression of *phyB* also indicated a correlation between a role for guard cell-localized phyB and leaf phenotypes. The leaves of *phyB* mutants are significantly different from WT with less leaf area and elongated petioles (Casson and Hetherington, 2014). When a *phyB* mutant was specifically complemented with a guard cell-localized *PHYB* construct, i.e., SPCH::PHYB, the leaf phenotype was largely complemented, in addition to the stomatal index phenotype (Casson and Hetherington, 2014). Together, these associations of the regulation of leaf phenotypes with stomatal phytochrome pools indicate key roles of GC-localized phytochromes in regulating whole leaf phenotypes.

Methods

Request a detailed protocol

Plasmid construction

We constructed plant transformation vector pORE_O3_GC/TPBVR using a TP-*BVR* fragment for plastid-targeted BVR protein accumulation from the previously described CAB3::pBVR construct pBIB/CAB3-TPBVR (Warnasooriya and Montgomery, 2009) and guard cell-specific *GC* promoter (Yang *et al.*, 2008). Specifically, the guard-cell specific, plastid-targeted *BVR* construct (GC::pBVR) was made by isolating the transit peptide-BVR (pBVR) fragment from pBIB/CAB3-TPBVR using *Xba*I and *Sac*I and subcloning into *Xba*I and *Sac*I digested pORE_O3_GC plasmid, which contains the *GC1* promoter [p*GC*1 (*At1g22690*)], by ligation to create the pORE_O3_GC/TPBVR plasmid.

Plant transformation and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana (Columbia or Col-0 ecotype) plants were used as wild-type (WT) and for transformation of all constructs. We used both pBIB/CAB3-TPBVR and pORE_O3_GC/TPBVR constructs in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. We isolated multiple single insertion homozygous lines that were screened for *BVR* expression, including enrichment in the mesophyll or guard cells for the CAB3::pBVR4 (also denoted as CAB3::pBVR as the sole line used in these analyses) and GC::pBVR lines, respectively.

For hypocotyl growth analyses, surface sterilized seeds were plated and grown on MS medium containing 1% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% (w/v) Phytoblend agar (Caisson Labs, UT) at 22°C for 7 d under the indicated light conditions. Light sources used included blue (B; λ max ~470 nm), far-red (FR; λ max ~735 nm), red (R; λ max ~670 nm), and white (W) as previously described (Warnasooriya and Montgomery, 2009). Light intensities were measured using a LI-COR LI-250A Light Meter with inline LI-COR quantum sensor for R, B and W light, and using a StellarNet EPP2000 spectroradiometer (Apogee Instruments) for FR light.

Phenotyping

We determined light-dependent hypocotyl elongation of seedlings under specified growth conditions by scanning images of seedlings and quantifying hypocotyl length using ImageJ software as previously described (Warnasooriya and Montgomery, 2009). For phenotypic observation of rosette leaf morphology, plants were grown in a long-day growth chamber with 16 h/8 h day/night light cycle at a white light intensity of ~100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 22°C/20°C day/night temperature cycles.

Gene expression analysis

RNA samples were extracted using the RNeasy® Plant Minikit (Qiagen, CA) as previously described (Oh and Montgomery, 2013) from whole plants, mesophyll cells from ~15 g of 5 to 6 week-old plant leaves (Yoo *et al.*, 2007) or guard cell-enriched epidermal peels from adult plants grown in a short-day growth chamber with 8 h/16 h day/night light cycles at a white light intensity of 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 22°C/20°C day/night temperature cycle, according to the protocol of (Zhu *et al.*, 2016). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed essentially as described (Oh and Montgomery, 2013). Expression of *BVR* and tissue-specific marker genes was assessed, including mesophyll cell-enriched marker *CBP* (*At4g33050*) and guard cell marker genes *KAT1* (*At5g46240*) and *MYB60* (*At1g08810*). The control genes used for qRT-PCR analyses were *PP2A* (*At1g13320*) and *ACT2* (*At3g18780*). Primers for each gene are listed in Table 1.

Reagents

Table 1. Primers for quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR)

	Forward primer (5'->3')	Reverse primer (5'->3')
BVR	ACAAGGGTCTGCTGTCATGG	GGGACCCAGAAGTGAACTGG
<i>CBP</i> (MC marker)	TGTGTTTGATACCCACACAAGGG	ACCCAACTTCAAGACCCATGACC
<i>MYB60</i> (GC marker)	ACACTGGGTTATTGAGATGCAGCA	TGGACGCCCATTTGTTACCCAA
<i>KAT1</i> (GC marker)	GACGCTGAGTATTTCCCACCAA	GAAGTCCACTGCTCCTGACA
PP2A (internal control)	TAACGTGGCCAAAATGATGC	GTTCTCCACAACCGCTTGGT
ACT2 (internal control)	AGCACCCTGTTCTTCTTACCG	CCAGAATCCAGCACAATACCGG

Acknowledgments: We wish to thank Kelsey Mulvihill, who worked on this project with the financial support of the Professorial Assistantship (PA) program in MSU's Honors College, for assistance with cloning and construction preparation, selection of homozygous GC::pBVR lines, and plant phenotyping. We also thank Dr. Sarah Assmann and members of her laboratory for providing the GC promoter and protocols and assistance with isolating guard cell epidermal peels.

References

Adam E, Szell M, Szekeres M, Schäfer E, Nagy F. 1994. The developmental and tissue-specific expression of tobacco phytochrome-A genes. Plant J 6: 283-29. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1994.06030283.x

Ando E, Ohnishi M, Wang Y, Matsushita T, Watanabe A, Hayashi Y, Fujii M, Ma JF, Inoue S, Kinoshita T. 2013. TWIN SISTER OF FT, GIGANTEA, and CONSTANS have a positive but indirect effect on blue light-induced stomatal opening in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 162: 1529-38. PMID: 23669744.

Baba-Kasai A, Hara N, Takano M. 2014. Tissue-specific and light-dependent regulation of phytochrome gene expression in rice. Plant Cell Environ 37: 2654-66. PMID: 24738738.

Boccalandro HE, Rugnone ML, Moreno JE, Ploschuk EL, Serna L, Yanovsky MJ, Casal JJ. 2009. Phytochrome B enhances photosynthesis at the expense of water-use efficiency in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 150: 1083-92. PMID: 19363093.

Bou-Torrent J, Roig-Villanova I, Martínez-García JF. 2008. Light signaling: back to space. Trends Plant Sci 13: 108-14. PMID: 18280200.

Casson SA, Hetherington AM. 2014. phytochrome B Is required for light-mediated systemic control of stomatal development. Curr Biol 24: 1216-21. PMID: 24835461.

Casson SA, Franklin KA, Gray JE, Grierson CS, Whitelam GC, Hetherington AM. 2009. phytochrome B and PIF4 regulate stomatal development in response to light quantity. Curr Biol 19: 229-34. PMID: 19185498.

Cho D, Villiers F, Kroniewicz L, Lee S, Seo YJ, Hirschi KD, Leonhardt N, Kwak JM. 2012. Vacuolar CAX1 and CAX3 influence auxin transport in guard cells via regulation of apoplastic pH. Plant Physiol 160: 1293-302. PMID: 22932758.

Cominelli E, Galbiati M, Vavasseur A, Conti L, Sala T, Vuylsteke M, Leonhardt N, Dellaporta SL, Tonelli C. 2005. A guardcell-specific MYB transcription factor regulates stomatal movements and plant drought tolerance. Curr Biol 15: 1196-200. PMID: 16005291.

Costigan SE, Warnasooriya SN, Humphries BA, Montgomery BL. 2011. Root-localized phytochrome chromophore synthesis is required for photoregulation of root elongation and impacts root sensitivity to jasmonic acid in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 157: 1138-50. PMID: 21875894.

Endo M, Araki T, Nagatani A. 2016. Tissue-specific regulation of flowering by photoreceptors. Cell Mol Life Sci 73: 829-39. PMID: 26621669.

Goosey L, Palecanda L, Sharrock RA. 1997. Differential patterns of expression of the *Arabidopsis PHYB*, *PHYD*, and *PHYE* phytochrome genes. Plant Physiol 115: 959-69. PMID: 9390432.

Kang CY, Lian HL, Wang FF, Huang JR, Yang HQ. 2009. Cryptochromes, phytochromes, and COP1 regulate light-controlled stomatal development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 21: 2624-41. PMID: 19794114.

Kinoshita T, Ono N, Hayashi Y, Morimoto S, Nakamura S, Soda M, Kato Y, Ohnishi M, Nakano T, Inoue S, Shimazaki K. 2011. FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates stomatal opening. Curr Biol 21: 1232-8. PMID: 21737277.

Kinoshita T, Doi M, Suetsugu N, Kagawa T, Wada M, Shimazaki K. 2001. Phot1 and phot2 mediate blue light regulation of stomatal opening. Nature 414: 656-60. PMID: 11740564.

Lagarias DM, Crepeau MW, Maines MD, Lagarias JC. 1997. Regulation of photomorphogenesis by expression of mammalian biliverdin reductase in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. Plant Cell 9: 675-88. PMID: 9165746.

Matthews JSA, Vialet-Chabrand S, Lawson T. 2020. Role of blue and red light in stomatal dynamic behaviour. J Exp Bot 71: 2253-2269. PMID: 31872212.



Montgomery BL. 2016. Spatiotemporal phytochrome signaling during photomorphogenesis: From physiology to molecular mechanisms and back. Front Plant Sci 7: 480. PMID: 27148307.

Montgomery BL. 2008. Right place, right time: Spatiotemporal light regulation of plant growth and development. Plant Signal Behav 3: 1053-60. PMID: 19513238.

Montgomery BL, Franklin KA, Terry MJ, Thomas B, Jackson SD, Crepeau MW, Lagarias JC. 2001. Biliverdin reductaseinduced phytochrome chromophore deficiency in transgenic tobacco. Plant Physiol 125: 266-77. PMID: 11154335.

Montgomery BL, Yeh KC, Crepeau MW, Lagarias JC. 1999. Modification of distinct aspects of photomorphogenesis via targeted expression of mammalian biliverdin reductase in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. Plant Physiol 121: 629-39. PMID: 10517855.

Nagatani A. 1997. Spatial distribution of phytochromes. J Plant Res 110: 123-30. PMID: 27520052.

Oh S, Montgomery BL. 2013. Phytochrome-induced SIG2 expression contributes to photoregulation of phytochrome signalling and photomorphogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Exp Bot 64: 5457-72. PMID: 24078666.

Pilot G, Lacombe B, Gaymard F, Cherel I, Boucherez J, Thibaud JB, Sentenac H. 2001. Guard cell inward K+ channel activity in arabidopsis involves expression of the twin channel subunits KAT1 and KAT2. J Biol Chem 276: 3215-21. PMID: 11042178.

Roth-Bejerano N, Itai C, 1981. Involvement of phytochrome in stomatal movement: Effect of blue and red light. Physiol Plant 52: 201-6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1981.tb08494.x

Sharrock RA, Clack T. 2002. Patterns of expression and normalized levels of the five *Arabidopsis* phytochromes. Plant Physiol 130: 442-56. PMID: 12226523.

Somers DE, Quail PH. 1995a. Phytochrome-mediated light regulation of *PHYA-* and *PHYB-GUS* transgenes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. Plant Physiol 107: 523-34. PMID: 12228380.

Somers DE, Quail PH. 1995b. Temporal and spatial expression patterns of *PHYA* and *PHYB* genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 7: 413-27. PMID: 7757114.

Talbott LD, Shmayevich IJ, Chung Y, Hammad JW, Zeiger E. 2003. Blue light and phytochrome-mediated stomatal opening in the *npq1* and *phot1 phot2* mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 133: 1522-9. PMID: 14576287.

Tóth R, Kevei E, Hall A, Millar AJ, Nagy F, Kozma-Bognár L. 2001. Circadian clock-regulated expression of phytochrome and cryptochrome genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 127: 1607-16. PMID: 11743105.

van Gelderen K, Kang C, Pierik R. 2018. Light signaling, root development, and plasticity. Plant Physiol 176: 1049-60. PMID: 28939624.

Wang FF, Lian HL, Kang CY, Yang HQ. 2010. Phytochrome B is involved in mediating red light-induced stomatal opening in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Plant 3: 246-59. PMID: 19965572.

Warnasooriya SN, Porter KJ, Montgomery BL. 2011. Tissue- and isoform-specific phytochrome regulation of light-dependent anthocyanin accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Signal Behav 6: 624-31. PMID: 21455024.

Warnasooriya SN, Montgomery BL. 2009. Detection of spatial-specific phytochrome responses using targeted expression of biliverdin reductase in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 149: 424-33. PMID: 18971430.

Xinhong G, Yi C, Jie W, Qiong Z, Shuai H, Dongying T, Xuanming L. 2011. Overexpression of Arabidopsis *PP2CA2* gene shows phenotypes related to abscisic acid responses. J Cell Biol Genet 2: 1-7. DOI: doi.org/10.5897/JCBG.9000003

Yang Y, Costa A, Leonhardt N, Siegel RS, Schroeder JI. 2008. Isolation of a strong Arabidopsis guard cell promoter and its potential as a research tool. Plant Methods 4: 6. PMID: 18284694.

Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J. 2007. Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: A versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat Protoc 2: 1565-72. PMID: 17585298.

Zhu M, Geng S, Chakravorty D, Guan Q, Chen S, Assmann SM. 2020. Metabolomics of red-light-induced stomatal opening in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Coupling with abscisic acid and jasmonic acid metabolism. Plant J 101: 1331-48. PMID: 31677315.

Zhu M, Jeon BW, Geng S, Yu Y, Balmant K, Chen S, Assmann SM. 2016. Preparation of epidermal peels and guard cell protoplasts for cellular, electrophysiological, and -omics assays of guard cell function. Methods Mol Biol 1363: 89-121. PMID: 26577784.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (grant no. MCB-1515002 to B.L.M.) and the United States Department of Energy (Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, grant no. DE-FG02-91ER20021 to B.L.M.)

Author Contributions: Sookyung Oh: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - review and editing. Que Kong: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review and editing, Visualization, Methodology. Beronda L. Montgomery: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing.

Reviewed By: Dior Kelley

History: Received November 29, 2021 Revision received January 17, 2022 Accepted January 24, 2022 Published January 31, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Oh, S; Kong, Q; Montgomery, BL (2022). Guard-cell phytochromes impact seedling photomorphogenesis and rosette leaf morphology. microPublication Biology. https://doi.org/10.17912/micropub.biology.000521