Research Article

Qingre Yiqi Method along with Oral Hypoglycemic Drugs in Treating Adults with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Li Jiang (),^{1,2} Shidong Wang (),² Jinxi Zhao (),² Weijun Huang (),³ Jiayue Li,² Yonghua Xiao,² Hua Zhang,² Qiang Fu,² Yu Chen,¹ Tao Yang,¹ Esther Aijia Shen,^{1,2} Guanxun Su,^{1,2} Yaofu Zhang,^{1,2} and Zhuang Li^{1,2}

¹Graduate School, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China ²Section II of Endocrinology & Nephropathy Department of Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Briting, Chinese

University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

³Key Laboratory of Chinese Internal Medicine of Ministry of Education and Beijing, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Shidong Wang; 2486205408@qq.com and Jinxi Zhao; zhaojx888@126.com

Received 11 June 2021; Revised 9 July 2021; Accepted 13 August 2021; Published 13 September 2021

Academic Editor: Yong-Bo Xue

Copyright © 2021 Li Jiang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objective. To evaluate the efficacy of the Qingre Yiqi method in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) with metaanalysis. *Method.* The randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of the Qingre Yiqi method in the treatment of T2DM in the PubMed, Medline, EMBase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Weipu Journal, China Knowledge Network (CNKI), and Wanfang database were conducted. Three reviewers independently conducted the screening, extracted the data, and assessed methodological quality. Data analysis was performed using Rev Man 5.3 software for statistical analysis. *Results.* A total of 15 RCTs, including 1440 patients, were included. The results showed that compared with oral hypoglycemic drugs alone, the add-on treatment of the Qingre Yiqi method could significantly improve Chinese medicine syndrome (OR (95%CI) = 3.66 [2.47,5.42], P < 0.00001) and lower the level of HbA1c (MD (95%CI) = -0.68 [0.91, -0.45], P < 0.00001), triglyceride (TG) (MD (95%CI) = -0.38 [-0.58,-0.17], P = 0.0004), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (MD (95%CI) = -0.25 [-0.37, -0.13], P < 0.0001), and total cholesterol(TC) (MD(95%CI) = -0.40[-0.67, -0.13], P = 0.003). In terms of fasting blood glucose (FBG) and postprandial blood sugar (PBG), subgroup analysis showed that the baseline of FBG and the number of combined oral hypoglycemic drugs of PBG were the major sources of heterogeneity. *Conclusion*. Compared with the standard treatment, the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs showed the more beneficial effects for T2DM on improving TCM syndromes and reducing the blood glucose and partial lipid parameter.

1. Introduction

T2DM is an important public health problem in China. According to the 2017 survey by the China's National Center for Disease Control and Endocrinology Branch of the Chinese Medical Association, the prevalence rate of T2DM in China is 12.8% [1]. The complications of diabetes involve systemic tissues and organs, especially the damage to the eyes, kidneys, cardiovascular system, and nervous system,

which seriously threatens the life quality of patients and brings heavy burden to the society [2].

As a special kind of traditional medicine established on over two thousand years of clinical practice, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has built a complete theoretical system of diagnosis and treatment. Ancient Chinese scholars noted that the physiological substances of the human body consisted of Qi and blood. The excessive endocrine and metabolic activities of the human body were regarded as internal heat. TCM considers pathogenesis of DM as "internal heat damages Qi." Therefore, the Qingre Yiqi method, which means clearing the internal heat and supplementing Qi, is often applied in the treatment of DM in Chinese medicine [3]. Herb is an important part of TCM. Usually, herbs that are characterized with supplementing Qi include Astragalus, yam, Poria, Atractylodes, and ginseng, whose extracts have been proved by experiments to effectively reduce blood glucose and blood lipid [4], protect the function of the pancreatic islet [5], enhance glucose tolerance, and reduce glucagon secretion in rats [6]. Herbs that are characterized with clearing heat include Coptis, rhubarb, Radix Scutellariae, Sophora flavescens, and honeysuckle, whose extracts have also been proved to inhibit endoplasmic reticulum oxidative stress [7], alleviate intestinal inflammatory response [8], reduce the release of peripheral inflammatory cytokines [9], and alleviate insulin resistance [10]. A number of clinical studies have applied the Qingre Yiqi method on the basis of oral hypoglycemic drugs to relieve clinical symptoms and strengthen the hypoglycemic effect in T2DM. However, owing to variation in the sample size and methodological quality of the studies, the efficacy of the Qingre Yiqi method in adjuvant treatment for T2DM was still not fully understood. Therefore, the systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to provide evidence.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. We followed the methods of Chen et al. [11]. Eight databases (PubMed, EMBase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Weipu Journal, China Knowledge Network (CNKI), and Wanfang database) were searched for patients of T2DM. Clinical studies published before February 2021 were retrieved by combining subject words with free words and linking the corresponding Boolean logical operators. The following domains of terms were used: "Type 2 diabetes," "TCM," "clear Heat," "supplement Qi, ""Replacement therapy," and "Qingre Yiqi." See Supplemental File 1 for a full description of the search strategy (Supplemental File 1 search strategy). There was no restriction on language or study design. The Google and Baidu academic database were also searched for potential relevant articles. A protocol for the systematic review and meta-analysis has been registered in the PROSPERO (CRD42021253901).

2.2. Including and Excluding Criteria

2.2.1. Including Criteria. We included studies that met the following inclusion criteria: (1) types of studies: randomized controlled trials (RCTs); (2) type of participants: patients diagnosed with T2DM either using 2020 CDS Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of T2DM [1] or 2020 American Diabetes Association diagnostic criteria [12]; (3) main intervention: the control group was treated with oral hypoglycemic drugs, while the experimental group was additionally treated with a TCM prescription based on the Qingre Yiqi method. The definition of the Qingre Yiqi" or

"supplement *Qi* and clear Heat" or ⁽²⁾ the total proportion of the two kind of drugs should be greater than or equal to 50%. The representatives of heat-clearing drugs are *Coptis*, rhubarb, Radix Scutellariae, *Sophora flavescens*, and honeysuckle, while the representatives of Qi-supplementing drugs are *Astragalus*, yam, *Poria*, *Atractylodes*, and ginseng; and (4) outcomes which included HbA1c, FBG, PBG, fasting insulin(FIL), TG, TC, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), LDL-C, C-reactive protein (CRP), and TCM syndromes.

2.2.2. Excluding Criteria. We excluded trials that met the following exclusion criteria: (1) studies that did not have required outcomes; (2) studies with incomplete general data and baseline indicators; (3) studies that did not have standardized control or the control group did not follow guidelines; (4) formulas that were not consistent with the definition of the Qingre Yiqi method; (5) the target population which was inconsistent with diagnostic criteria of T2DM; and (6) the study with duplicate publication.

2.3. Data Abstraction and Quality Assessment. The quality assessment team consisted of three members. Two members conducted the initial screening of the literature and marked the fuzzy literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The other member reviewed and determined the final inclusion literature. The extracted data included the first author(s), location, size and age of sample, course of disease, interventions details, outcomes, follow-up periods, and adverse events. The Cochrane manual correction formula was used to correct the data in the original literature [13]:

Mean (C) = mean (F) - mean (B),

$$SD_1(C) = \sqrt{SD_1(B)^2 + SD_1(F)^2 - (2 \times R_1 \times SD_1(B) \times SD_1(F))}.$$
(1)

B refers to baseline, *F* refers to final, and $R_1 = 0.5$. The guiding principles for clinical research of new Chinese medicine in 2002 were referred to examine the efficacy criteria [14]. The standard ROB bias risk assessment tool was used in the assessment of bias risk [15], with appropriate reference to the assessment method published in the Cochrane Library [13], which contains evaluation of randomization, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and outcome, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, withdrawals and dropouts, and other biases.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. ReviewManager5.3 software was used for statistical analysis. The continuous variable outcomes and dichotomous outcomes were analyzed using mean difference (MD) and the risk ratio (RR), both of which were given 95% confidence intervals. The Cochrane Q test was used to analyze the heterogeneity among studies. P < 0.10 indicated that the heterogeneity among studies was statistically significant. In addition, I^2 statistic was used to quantitatively evaluate the magnitude of heterogeneity according to the chi-square test. Under the premise of good

condition of clinical homogeneity, when the I^2 was <25%, the fixed-effect model was used. When the I^2 lied between 25% ~ 75%, the random-effect model was chosen. When the I^2 was>75%, the sources of heterogeneity would be determined by sensitivity analysis, subgroup analysis, and meta-regression. If the heterogeneity was still high, only descriptive statistics were conducted [16].

3. Results

3.1. Search Results. A total of 231 potential articles up to February 2021 (223 from databases and 8 from websites) were identified with the electronic-based search. After removing duplicates and nonstandard diagnosis, 126 articles remained. We excluded 94 articles by screening titles and abstracts and retrieved the full texts of 32 remaining articles. Finally, 15 studies [17–31] met the inclusion criteria and were included in this meta-analysis (Figure 1).

3.2. Characteristics of the Eligible Studies. All studies included were RCTs, and the characteristics of these studies are summarized in Table 1. Studies were published from 2003 to 2019 and originated from China. The sample size of the 10 studies ranged from 40 to 243, and the course of treatment lasted from 4 to 24 weeks. The distribution of age and gender had no significant difference. Three studies reported follow-up [18, 23, 28], and two reported specific adverse events [20, 27].

3.3. Methodological Quality of Included Studies. Only 7 studies have described the generation of random sequences [19, 20, 22-24, 26, 27], all of which were generated by random number tables, so the risk of bias was low. The remaining 8 studies only described the word "random" and did not describe or explain the specific method of random implementation, so it was difficult to judge the risk of bias. None of the studies mentioned the method of random concealment, so it was difficult to judge the allocation concealment. Only one of the studies mentioned blinding of the participants [18], but the implementation method was not reported. None of the studies mentioned the blinding of outcome assessment, but the team comprehensively evaluated the clinical study process and believed that the outcome might be less affected by the lack of the method. No data were missing in the included papers. Four studies were considered as selective reporting [16, 27-29], and no other bias existed (Figure 2).

3.4. Treatment Effects

3.4.1. Primary Outcome

(*i*). *HbA1c*. HbA1c levels were reported in 8 studies (n = 592) [19, 20, 22, 24–26, 28, 30]. In one of the trials [19], the basic oral hypoglycemic drug was thiazolidinedione, while in the remaining trials, it was metformin, so it was not combined for analysis. Another trial [28] did not make TCM syndrome diagnosis, while the rest of the reports have made TCM syndrome diagnosis according to guiding principles for

3

clinical research of new Chinese medicine, so the combined analysis was also not performed. A total of 214 patients in the experimental group and 214 patients in the control group were included in the remaining 6 trials, with low heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 24\%$, P = 0.25). Analysis of the fixed-effect model showed that MD (95%CI) = -0.68 [0.91, -0.45], P < 0.00001, which suggested that the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs took the favorable effects for decreasing HbA1c levels of T2DM (Figure 3).

3.4.2. Secondary Outcomes

(*i*). *FBG*. FBG levels were reported in 14 studies (n = 1197) [17–28, 30, 31]. One trial [24] was excluded for its high risk of bias in ROB assessment. A total of 502 patients in the experimental group and 495 patients in the control group were included in the remaining 13 trials, with moderate heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 74\%$, P < 0.00001). Sensitivity analysis showed that there was strong stability between the reports, and no major source of heterogeneity was found. Subgroup analysis was performed for the mean baseline of FBG \geq 10 mmol/L and <10 mmol/. In 4 studies [17, 26, 27, 31], FBG baseline levels were, respectively, 10.16 ± 1.78 , 11.87 ± 3.29 , 10.9 ± 3.9 , and 10.20 ± 1.79 mmol/ L, which in the remaining 9 studies were all lower than 10 mmol/L. The heterogeneity analysis suggested that there was lower heterogeneity in both subgroups $(I^2 = 45\%)$, $I^2 = 60\%$). Analysis of the random-effect model showed that MD = -1.92, 95%CI [-2.64, -1.21], P < 0.00001, in the subgroup of baseline $\geq 10 \text{ mmol/L}$, while in the subgroup of baseline <10 mmol/L, analysis of the random-effect model showed that MD = −0.76, 95%CI [−1.02, −0.49], *P* < 0.00001. The aggregated results suggested that the Qingre Yiqi method along with hypoglycemic drugs showed favorable effects for changing FBG levels of T2DM, while the magnitude of the decrease was related to FBG baseline (Figure 4).

(ii). PBG. PBG levels were reported in 11 studies (n = 922) [17-22, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31], including 471 patients in the treatment group and 451 patients in the control group. The heterogeneity test showed a high heterogeneity among the studies $(I^2 = 75\%, P < 0.0001)$. Sensitivity analysis showed that there was strong stability between the reports, and the major source of heterogeneity was not found. Subgroup analysis was performed for the combined use of hypoglycemic drugs. In two studies [17, 31], the intervention measures in the experimental group were TCM plus metformin and gliclazide, which meant the combined use of two kinds of hypoglycemic drugs. In the remaining 9 studies, the combined use of hypoglycemic drugs only involved one kind of hypoglycemic drug regardless of metformin or gliclazide or pioglitazone or glipizide. The heterogeneity analysis suggested that there was much lower heterogeneity in both subgroups ($I^2 = 21\%$, $I^2 = 0\%$). Analysis of the fixed-effect model showed that MD = -0.73, 95%CI [-0.94, -0.52], *P* < 0.00001, in the subgroup of use of one hypoglycemic drug, while in the use of two kinds of



FIGURE 1: Flow diagram of the included studies for this meta-analysis.

hypoglycemic drug, analysis of the fixed-effect model showed that MD = -2.63, 95%CI [-3.28, -1.97], P < 0.00001. The aggregated results suggested that the Qingre Yiqi method along with hypoglycemic drugs showed favorable effects for changing PBG levels of T2DM, while the magnitude of the decrease was related to the number of combination drugs (Figure 5).

(*iii*). *FIL*. FIL was reported in 4 studies (n = 275) [19, 21, 23, 27], including 138 patients in the treatment group and 137 patients in the control group. The heterogeneity test showed a moderate heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 73\%$, P = 0.01). Analysis of the random-effect model showed that MD = -1.93, 95%CI (-4.02, -0.16), P = 0.07. The difference was not statistically significant, suggesting that the Qingre Yiqi method combined with hypoglycemic drugs alone in reducing the fasting insulin secretion of T2DM (Figure 6).

(*iv*). Blood Lipid Levels. TC and TG were reported in 7 studies (n = 792) [17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 28, 30], among which there was one trial stipulating that patients with dyslipidemia should not be treated with lipid-lowering drugs [24], while the others did

not limit the use of lipid-lowering drugs. So, the trial was not combined for analysis. A total of 235 patients in the experimental group and 226 patients in the control group were included in the remaining 6 trials, with moderate heterogeneity among studies of TC ($I^2 = 35\%$, P = 0.17) and moderate heterogeneity among studies of TG ($I^2 = 44\%$, P = 0.11). Analysis of the random-effect model in TC showed that MD (95%CI) = -0.40 [-0.67, -0.13], P = 0.003, and that in TG showed MD (95%CI) = -0.38 [-0.58, -0.17], P = 0.0004, indicating that the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs showed the favorable effects for reducing TC and TG levels of T2DM (Figures 7 and 8).

LDL-C was reported in 5 studies (n = 513) [21, 23, 24, 28, 30], including 263 patients in the experimental group and 250 patients in the control group. The heterogeneity test showed a high heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 78\%$, P = 0.001). So, the sensitivity analysis was used to eliminate individual studies one by one. It was found that "Liu 2017" had a great influence on the combined effect size. In this trial, the drug dose of TCM in the experimental group was three times a day, while in other trials, the drug dose was once or twice a day. Therefore, the drug dose was considered as the source of heterogeneity. The heterogeneity

Studies (first author, year)	Location	Patient no. (T/C)	Gender, male/ female	Mean age $(x \pm s, years)$	Course of disease $(x \pm s,$ years)	Treatment group	Control group	Course of treatment (week)	Follow- up (week)	Outcome
Lao [17], 2015	China	48/40	T:30/22 C:24/22	T: 53.16±24.31 C: 56 21+21 81	$T:7.64 \pm 6.27$ C:8.16 \pm 6.42	TCM + metformin + gliclazide	Metformin + gliclazide	12	NMT	000
Lin [18], 2016	China	30/30	T:13/17 C:16/14	$T:74.70 \pm 6.10$ C:74.97 ± 5.70	NMT	TCM + metformin	Metformin	4	4	0000000
Song et al. [19], 2017	China	30/30	T:14/16 C:15/15	T:55.1 ± 12.4 C:56.0 ± 11.4	NMT	TCM + metformin	Metformin	12	NMT	0334
Ye et al. [20], 2013	China	48/48	T:28/20 C:26/22	$T:54.9 \pm 1.8$ C:55.3 ± 2.4	$T:7.9 \pm 1.1$ C:7.8 ± 1.0	TCM + pioglitazone	Pioglitazone	8	NMT	03360
Jiang [21], 2003	China	30/30	T:18/12 C:17/13	NMT	NMT	TCM + glipizide	Glipizide	8	NMT	12466789
Zhang et al. [22], 2019	China	20/20	T:11/9 C:8/12	T:52.55 \pm 7.54 C:51.85 \pm 7.84	NMT	TCM + metformin	Metformin	12	NMT	000
Liu et al. [23], 2017	China	49/47	T:33/15 C:31/16	$T:52.85 \pm 6.32$ $C:54.37 \pm 6.45$	$T:5.74 \pm 2.04$ $C:5.31 \pm 1.17$	TCM + metformin	Metformin	œ	8	02466789
Li et al. [24], 2013	China	106/94	T:56/50 C:50/54	T:43.61 ± 8.21 C:44.57 ± 7.38	NMT	TCM + pioglitazone	Pioglitazone	4	NMT	000000000000000000000000000000000000
Peng et al. [25], 2015	China	30/30	T:13/17 C:16/14	$T:49.8 \pm 7.48$ C:50.5 ± 7.73	NMT	TCM + metformin	Metformin	12	NMT	000
Xue [26], 2018	China	59/59	T:36/23 C:34/25	$T:56.18 \pm 6.72$ $C:55.72 \pm 6.41$	NMT	TCM + metformin	Metformin	8	NMT	036
Gao et al. [27], 2004	China	30/32	T:18/14 C:14/16	T:63.8±9.2 C:65.6±7.3	$T:4.8 \pm 5.1$ C:5.2 ± 3.2	TCM + sulfonylureas	Sulfonylureas	4	NMT	000
Dong [28], 2019	China	34/34	T:21/13 C:19/15	$T:70.29 \pm 4.24$ $C:69.59 \pm 5.25$	T:9.73 ± 5.34 C:7.69 ± 4.23	TCM + metformin	Metformin	24	12	000000000000000000000000000000000000
Chen and He [29], 2015	China	123/120	T:63/60 C:64/56	T : 61 C:58.3	NMT	TCM + metformin	Metformin	12	IMN	6
Jia and Zhao [30], 2014	China	45/45	NMT	60.5 ± 5.1	5.2 ± 1.7	TCM + metformin/ sulfonylureas	Metformin/ sulfonylureas	12	IMN	(12000000000000000000000000000000000000
Jia and Ahao [30], 2017	China	50/50	T:28/22 C:30/20	$T:56.8 \pm 8.0$ $C:55.0 \pm 8.5$	$T:7.8 \pm 5.0$ $C:8.0 \pm 6.5$	TCM + metformin + gliclazide	Metformin + gliclazide	4	TMN	00600
NMT: not mer	tioned. T:	treatment oro	III C. contro	aronn @ FPG (DRG @ HPA1	(@ INS @ TCM syndromes @)	TG. @ TC. @ HDL.C. @ I	DI-C and @ C	RP	

TABLE 1: Characteristics of the eligible studies.



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias graph.

Studer on Subanoun	1	Experimental			Control		Weight	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Jia 2014	-2.2	0.9193476	45	-1.56	1.01528321	45	21.3	-0.66 [-1.08, -0.23]	-
Lin 2016	-2.18	0.79	30	-1.47	0.78562077	30	13.6	-0.89 [-1.42, -0.36]	
Peng 2015	-1.69	0.27838822	30	-1.4	0.27055498	30	13.1	-1.04 [-1.58, -0.50]	
Song 2017	-2.6	1.5	30	-2	1.55241747	30	14.7	-0.39 [-0.90, 0.12]	
Xue 2018	-2.6	1.44678955	59	-1.46	1.44502595	59	27.3	-0.78 [-1.16, -0.41]	+
Zhang 2019	-0.99	0.89838744	20	-0.85	0.83288655	20	10.0	-0.16 [-0.78, 0.46]	
Total (95% CI)			214			214	100.0	-0.68 [-0.88, -0.49]	◆
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 6.5$	9, df = 5	$(P = 0.25); I^2$	= 24%						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 6.84$ (P < 0.00001)								Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	

FIGURE 3: Forest plot of HbA1c.

test after elimination showed a low heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 1.00). Analysis of the fixed-effect model of LDL-C in the remaining 4 studies showed that MD (95% CI) = -0.25 [-0.37, -0.13], P < 0.0001, suggesting that the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs showed the favorable effects for reducing LDL-C levels of T2DM (Figure 9). HDL-C was reported in 3 studies [21, 23, 24], including 184 patients in the experimental group and 171 patients in the control group. The heterogeneity test showed a high heterogeneity among the studies $(I^2 = 77\%,$ P = 0.17). See Supplemental File 2 for a detailed figure of HDL-C (Supplemental File 2 forest plot of HDL-C). The source of heterogeneity was not found, so only descriptive analysis was conducted for this indicator. Among the 3 included studies, there were statistically significant differences in 2 studies [23, 24] compared with the experimental group before intervention (P < 0.05). There were statistically significant differences in 1 study [20] compared with the control group after intervention.

(v). *CRP*. CRP was reported in 4 studies (n=418) [18, 20, 24, 27], including 214 patients in the experimental group and 204 patients in the control group. The heterogeneity test showed a high heterogeneity among the studies (I^2 = 97%, P < 0.0001). See Supplemental File 3 for a detailed figure of CRP (Supplemental File 3 forest plot of CRP). Only descriptive analysis was conducted for this indicator. Among the 4 included studies, there were statistically significant differences in 3 studies [18, 20, 27] compared with the experimental group before intervention (P < 0.05). There were statistically significant differences in 1 study [24] compared with the control group after intervention.

Study or Subgroup	Ex	periment	al		Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
4.2.1 baseline ≥10									
Gao 2004	-3.5	3.4	30	-3.4	4.13	32	2.4	-0.10 [-1.98, 1.78]	
Lao 2015	-4.92	1.97	48	-2.65	2.25	40	6.6	-2.27 [-3.16, -1.38]	
Wan 2017	-5	1.91	50	-2.6	2.24	50	7.1	-2.40 [-3.22, -1.58]	
Xue 2018	-4.68	2.85	59	-2.96	2.96	59	5.5	-1.72 [-2.77, -0.67]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			187			181	21.6	-1.92 [-2.64, -1.21]	◆
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$.23, Chi ² = 5	5.46, df =	3(P = 0.	14); $I^2 =$	45%				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 5.27 (P <	0.00001)							
4.2.1 baseline <10									
Dong 2019	-3.02	1.85	45	-2.71	1.51	45	8.1	-0.31 [-1.01, 0.39]	_ _
Jia 2014	-3.04	0.84	30	-1.91	1.05	30	10.2	-1.13 [-1.61, -0.65]	
Jiang 2003	-1.68	1.9	30	-0.29	2.28	30	5.4	-1.39 [-2.45, -0.33]	
Lin 2016	-1.45	2.22	34	-1.62	1.89	34	5.9	0.17 [-0.81, 1.15]	_
Liu 2017	-1.96	0.94	48	-1.47	0.98	47	11.1	-0.49 [-0.88, -0.10]	
Peng 2015	-2.59	0.49	30	-1.79	0.42	30	12.4	-0.80 [-1.03, -0.57]	+
Song 2017	-2.9	1.55	30	-2	1.35	30	7.8	-0.90 [-1.64, -0.16]	
Ye 2013	-2.79	0.69	48	-1.64	0.68	48	12.0	-1.15 [-1.42, -0.88]	-
Zhang 2019	-1.2	1.82	20	-1.28	1.62	20	5.4	0.08 [-0.99, 1.15]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			315			314	78.4	-0.76 [-1.02, -0.49]	♦
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$ Test for overall effect: Z	.08, Chi ² = 2 = 5.52 (P <	19.78, df = 0.00001)	= 8 (P = 0	0.01); I ² =	= 60%				
Total (95% CI)			502			495	100.0	-0.98 [-1.30, -0.66]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$ Test for overall effect: Z	.21, Chi ² = 4 = 5.96 (P <	45.43, df = 0.00001)	= 12 (P <	0.00001); $I^2 = 74\%$				
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi ²	= 8.97, df	= 1 (P =	0.003); I	$x^2 = 88.9\%$				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 4: Forest plot of FBG.

Study or Subgroup]	Experimental			Control		Weight	Mean Difference		Mea	an Differenc	e	
Study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95% C	I	
5.3.1 along with one drug													
Dong 2019	-6	2.80005357	34	-6.26	3.01511194	34	2.0	0.26 [-1.12, 1.64]			- - -		
Jia 2014	-6.53	3.41881558	45	-5.86	3.44570748	45	1.9	-0.67 [-2.09, 0.75]					
Jiang 2003	-2.14	2.4563998	30	-0.65	2.880677	30	2.1	-1.49 [-2.84, -0.14]					
Li 2013	-7.05	2.96187441	106	-6.39	2.37501579	94	7.1	-0.66 [-1.40, 0.08]					
Lin 2016	-5.41	2.30362757	30	-4	2.51835264	30	2.6	-1.41 [-2.63, -0.19]					
Peng 2015	-4.1	0.50921508	30	-3.43	0.48507731	30	61.5	-0.67 [-0.92, -0.42]					
Song 2017	-8.4	2.3430749	30	-7.7	2.33880311	30	2.8	-0.70 [-1.88, 0.48]					
Ye 2013	-3.41	1.72519564	48	-1.97	1.8697326	48	7.5	-1.44 [-2.16, -0.72]					
Zhang 2019	-1.75	1.92205619	20	-1.76	1.58464507	20	3.3	0.01 [-1.08, 1.10]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			373			361	90.9	-0.73 [-0.94, -0.52]			•		
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 10.1$ Test for overall effect: Z =	3, df = 6.90 (P	8 (P = 0.26); 1 < 0.00001)	[² = 219	%									
5.3.2 along with two drugs													
Lao 2015	-8.09	2.33135154	48	-5.44	2.27580755	40	4.2	-2.65 [-3.62, -1.68]		_	-		
Wan 2017	-8.12	2.30469087	50	-5.51	2.25166605	50	4.9	-2.61 [-3.50, -1.72]			-		
Subtotal (95% CI)			98			90	9.1	-2.63 [-3.28, -1.97]		•			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.00$ Test for overall effect: Z =), df = 1 7.86 (P	$(P = 0.95); I^2 < 0.00001)$	= 0%										
Total (95% CI)			471			451	100.0	-0.90 [-1.10, -0.70]			•		
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 39.4$ Test for overall effect: Z =	6, df = 8.95 (P	10 (P < 0.000 < 0.00001)	1); I ² =	75%					-10	-5	0	5	10
Test for subgroup differen	ces: Chi	$d^2 = 29.32$, df	= 1 (P <	< 0.0000	1); I ² = 96.6%	б			Favours	experime	ental] Favo	urs [control	IJ

FIGURE 5: Forest plot of PBG.

(vi). TCM Syndromes. TCM syndromes were reported in 13 studies (n = 1128) [17, 18, 20–23, 25–31], including 595 patients in the experimental group and 585 patients in the control group. The heterogeneity test showed a moderate heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 33\%$, P = 0.12). Analysis of the random-effect model showed that OR (95% CI) = 3.66 [2.47,5.42], P < 0.00001, which suggested that the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs

took the favorable effects for improving TCM syndromes of T2DM (Figure 10).

3.5. Adverse Events. Adverse events were observed in 5 studies [18, 20, 21, 27, 30], among which 3 reported that no adverse reactions appeared during the trial [21, 27, 30], 1 report that 6 patients showed high fever in the control group and 4 patients showed vomiting in the experimental group [18], and 1

Study or Subgroup]	Experimental			Control		Weight	Mean Difference		Μ	ean Differe	nce	
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, F	andom, 95	% CI	
Gao 2004	-6.6	5.07641606	30	-1.4	4.25088226	30	24.6	-5.20 [-7.57, -2.83]			-		
Jiang 2003	2.18	4.61549564	30	1.99	6.15734521	30	22.1	0.19 [-2.56, 2.94]			+		
Liu 2017	-4.28	2.27488461	48	-2.08	2.2532643	47	33.6	-2.20 [-3.11, -1.29]					
Song 2017	8.21	6.41374306	30	8	6.04806581	30	19.7	0.21 [-2.94, 3.36]			+		
"otal (95% CI) 138 137 100.0 -1.93 [-0.88, 0.16]											•		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 3.17$, $Chi^2 = 11.29$, $df = 3$ (P = 0.01); $I^2 = 73\%$										I		1	1
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.81$ (P = 0.07)									-100	-50	0	50	100
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$										s [experime	ntal] Fav	ours [contro	1]

FIGURE 6: Forest plot of FIL.

Study or Subgroup]	Experimental			Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Dong 2019	-1.22	1.51654212	34	-0.41	1.45351299	34	11.0	-0.81 [-1.52, -0.10]	
Jia 2014	-1.23	1.51218385	45	-0.81	1.460719	45	13.5	-0.42 [-1.03, 0.19]	
Jiang 2003	-0.21	0.90415707	30	-0.17	0.74906608	30	21.9	-0.04 [-0.46, 0.38]	_
Lao 2015	-0.6	1.57238672	48	-0.09	1.4409372	40	13.0	-0.51 [-1.14, 0.12]	
Lin 2016	-1.81	0.66550732	30	-1.61	0.74939976	30	25.7	-0.20 [-0.56, 0.16]	
Liu 2017	-1.5	1.36231421	48	-0.64	1.48253162	47	14.9	-0.86 [-1.43, -0.29]	
Total (95% CI)			235			226	100.0	-0.40 [-0.67, -0.13]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$	4, Chi ² =	= 7.73, df = 5	(P = 0.	17); $I^2 =$	35%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.95 (P	= 0.003)							-2 -1 0 1 2
1000000000000000000000000000000000000								Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	

FIGURE 7: Forest plot of TC.

Cturder on Curbonner]	Experimental			Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Dong 2019	-1.47	1.58767125	34	-0.62	1.60477413	34	6.4	-0.85 [-1.61, -0.09]	
Jia 2014	-1.46	1.62194328	45	-0.71	1.55791527	45	8.1	-0.75 [-1.41, -0.09]	
Jiang 2003	-0.14	0.84160561	30	-0.15	0.84664042	30	15.2	0.01 [-0.42, 0.44]	
Lao 2015	-0.52	1.58075931	48	-0.17	1.26581989	40	9.5	-0.35 [-0.94, 0.24]	
Lin 2016	-0.87	0.47286362	30	-0.64	0.54027771	30	26.0	-0.23 [-0.49, 0.03]	
Liu 2017	-0.82	0.36592349	48	-0.33	0.40583248	47	34.8	-0.49 [-0.65, -0.33]	+
Total (95% CI)			235			226	100.0	-0.38 [-0.58, -0.17]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.03$, $Chi^2 = 8.97$, $df = 5$ (P = 0.11); $I^2 = 4$					44%				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.56 (P	= 0.0004)							-2 -1 0 1 2
1 est for overall effect: Z = 5.50 (r = 0.0004)									Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 8: Forest plot of TG.

Study or Subgroup	1	Experimental			Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
study of subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Dong 2019	-0.62	0.6977822	34	-0.37	0.7562407	34	11.6	-0.25 [-0.60, 0.10]	
Jia 2014	-0.59	0.66573268	45	-0.37	0.75286121	45	16.1	-0.22 [-0.51, 0.07]	
Jiang 2003	-0.36	0.51855569	30	-0.1	0.72841422	30	13.5	-0.26 [-0.58, 0.06]	
Li 2013	-0.31	0.7562407	106	-0.05	0.26229754	94	58.8	-0.26 [-0.41, -0.11]	-
Total (95% CI)			215			203	100.0	-0.25 [-0.37, -0.13]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.4$	06, df = 3	$(P = 1.00); I^2$	= 0%					-	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 4.20 (P	< 0.0001)							-2 -1 0 1 2 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 9: Forest plot of LDL-C.

reported that 1 case of hypoglycemia occurred in each of the two groups [20]. The other studies did not report adverse events.

3.6. Publication Bias. Meta-analysis was conducted after removing single studies for each effect index. The results showed that the effect value did not change significantly, suggesting that the results were relatively steady. The funnel plot of FBG is basically symmetric, indicating that there was no publication bias in these studies (Figure 11).

4. Discussion

This meta-analysis included 15 randomized clinical trials which applied the Qingre Yiqi method to the treatment of T2DM. All the trials had clear diagnostic criteria, inclusion criteria, and exclusion criteria. The results showed that compared with standard treatment of hypoglycemic drugs

Study or Subgroup	Experir	nental	Con	trol	Weight	Odds Ratio	Odd	Ratio	
Study of Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Rano	lom, 95% CI	
Chen 2015	105	123	87	120	14.9	2.21 [1.17, 4.20]		_ _	
Dong 2019	33	34	29	34	2.8	5.69 [0.63, 51.57]	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Gao 2004	26	30	24	32	6.5	2.17 [0.58, 8.13]	_		
Jia 2014	33	45	24	45	11.0	2.41 [1.00, 5.82]			
Jiang 2003	26	30	4	30	5.4	42.25 [9.53, 187.22]			
Lao 2015	41	48	30	40	8.7	1.95 [0.67, 5.72]	-		
Lin 2016	26	30	22	30	6.5	2.36 [0.63, 8.92]	_		
Liu 2017	45	48	33	47	6.5	6.36 [1.69, 23.95]			
Peng 2015	23	30	11	30	8.1	5.68 [1.84, 17.49]			
Wan 2017	46	50	38	50	7.4	3.63 [1.08, 12.18]			
Xue 2018	52	59	40	59	10.0	3.53 [1.35, 9.21]			
Ye 2013	41	48	30	48	9.6	3.51 [1.30, 9.48]			
Zhang 2019	19	20	13	20	2.8	10.23 [1.12, 93.34]			
Total (95% CI)		595		585	100.0	3.66 [2.47, 5.42]		•	
Total events	516		385			τ			г
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$	$0.16, Chi^2 = 1$	17.98, df =	12 (P = 0.12)	2); $I^2 = 33\%$		0.002	0.1	1 10	500
Test for overall effect: Z	L = 6.47 (P <	0.00001)					Favours [experimental]	Favours [control]	

FIGURE 10: Forest plot of TCM syndromes.



FIGURE 11: Publication bias of the funnel plot of FPG.

alone, the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs seemed to be more effective in treatment of T2DM by improving HbA1c, FBG, PBG, TG, TC, LDL-C, and TCM syndromes, but not for FIL. Because of the high heterogeneity, HDL-C and CRP were not to be analyzed.

According to the combined results, the Qingre Yiqi method along with oral hypoglycemic drugs took the favorable effects for decreasing HbA1c levels of T2DM (MD $(95\%CI) = -0.68 \ [0.91, -0.45]$). The clinical threshold for T2DM was 6.5% [1]. In the included studies, except for the work of Zhang et al. [22], the baseline of treatment was all higher than 7%. After intervention, HbA1c levels in the control group did not return to the normal level, while it decreased below the threshold value under adjuvant therapy of the Qingre Yiqi method in five studies [18–20, 25, 26], suggesting the possible clinical significance of the Qingre Yiqi method. In terms of clinical symptoms, such as fatigue and thirst in the reported work, the Qingre Yiqi method could also provide a definite effect.

In addition, when the FBG baseline was $\geq 10 \text{ mmol}/L$, the Qingre Yiqi method could exhibit a better effect with another average drop of 1.92 mmol/L in FBG on the basis of standard treatment. When the baseline was <10 mmol/L, the Qingre Yiqi method could lower another 0.76 mmol/L in FBG on average. In terms of PBG, when combined with two kinds of hypoglycemic drugs, the Qingre Yiqi method could exhibit a better effect with another average drop of 2.63 mmol/L in PBG on the basis of standard treatment. When combined with one kind of hypoglycemic drug, it could lower another 0.73 mmol/L in PBG on average.

Previous studies showed that the Qingre Yiqi method mainly played an important role in the treatment of T2DM by reducing inflammatory cytokines [32], achieving resistance to oxidative stress and free radicals [33], increasing insulin sensitization, protecting the function of the islet [34], and regulating the structure of intestinal flora [35]. When fasting plasma glucose is higher than 10 mmol/L, the glucose toxicity is obvious, meaning the hyperactivity of tissue aerobic oxidation and glycolysis, leading to the result that the synthesis of β cells itself is not enough to complement the release of insulin, so the insulin in the cell pool is empty and insulin resistance appears [36]. The Qingre Yiqi method includes some herbs such as Coptis, rhubarb, Radix Scutellariae, and Sophora flavescens, which can effectively reduce the effects of oxidative stress and release of inflammatory factors, therefore alleviating the glucose toxicity [7, 8], which may explain the favorable effect of the Qingre Yiqi method when blood glucose baseline is high. In addition, as type 2 diabetes involves multiple pathological processes, such as increasing secretion of glucagon, decreasing secretion of insulin, weakness of incretin effect, reduced glucose uptake, and neurotransmitter dysfunction [37], combined use of multiple oral hypoglycemic drugs performs significantly better than the single use of the drugs. Rosenstock et al. have explored the efficacy and tolerability of initial combination therapy with vildagliptin and pioglitazone compared with component monotherapy. It was proved that treatment with the vildagliptin/pioglitazone combination in patients with T2DM provided better glycaemic control than either monotherapy component yet had minimal risk of hypoglycaemia and a tolerability profile comparable with component monotherapy [38], which may explain the good effect of the Qingre Yiqi method in combination with two hypoglycemic drugs. The result of meta-analysis showed the Qingre Yiqi method did not have a significant effect on FIL, which was not consistent with the animal experiment [39]. It is probably because that the disease model in the animal experiment was prediabetes, not type 2 diabetes with long duration and obvious insulin resistance, which means more sensitivity to the Qingre Yiqi method.

However, this meta-analysis was limited by the following factors: (1) The meta-analyses only included 15 studies assessing a total of 1440 patients, which did not represent the general characteristics of the sample. (2) The included studies were not of very high quality and all were in China. (3) Most studies did not report an adverse event. A few reported the occurrence of adverse events, but the events were not classified and the corresponding treatment measures were not described. (4) Most studies did not conduct follow-up or the descriptions of follow-up were too brief. (5) Even if the connotation of the Qingre Yiqi method was strictly defined, heterogeneity was inevitable due to the different compositions of the formula. Based on the abovementioned limitations, it is difficult to draw a definite conclusion. Therefore, in future clinical studies, largesample, high-quality, multicenter, multilevel, and properlyblinded randomized controlled trials are required to be carried out. Only by following the evidence-based medicine theory and conducting experiments under the unified standard can we improve the quality of meta-analysis and verify the effectiveness of the Qingre Yiqi method of TCM.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our systemic review initially demonstrated the therapeutic effects of the Qingre Yiqi method in T2DM patients. Due to the limitation of this meta-analysis, more high-quality RCTs are expected to be conducted to provide more accurate clinical evidence.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the General Project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81774272), Project for Young Teachers of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (No. 2019-JYB-JS-080), National Key R&D Program of China (nos. 2018YFC1704400 and 2018YFC1704402), Project of Beijing Science and Technology Subject (Z191100008319004), Section II of the Endocrinology and Nephropathy Department of Dongzhimen Hospital affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, and Key Laboratory of Chinese Internal Medicine of the Ministry of Education.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Description: 1. Supplemental File 1 search strategy in PubMed. 2. Supplemental File 2 forest plot of HDL-C. 3. Supplemental File 3 forest plot of CRP. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- Chinese Diabetes Society, "Guidelines for the prevention and control of type 2 diabetes in China (2017 Edition)," *Chinese Journal of Practical Internal Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 292–312, 2018.
- [2] A. Cannon, Y. Handelsman, and M. Heile, "Burden of illness in type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Managed Care and Specialty Pharmacy*, vol. 12, no. 24, pp. 5–13, 2018.
- [3] B. Pang and Q. Ni, "Application of classical formula in treatment of diabetes," *Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi*, vol. 44, no. 18, pp. 3895–3898, 2019.
- [4] H. Hasegawa, "Proof of the mysterious efficacy of ginseng: basic and clinical trials: metabolic activation of ginsenoside: deglycosylation by intestinal bacteria and esterification with fatty acid," *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 95, no. 12, pp. 153–157, 2004.
- [5] D. Lee, D. Lee, S. Choi, J. Lee, D. Jang, and K. Kang, "Identification and isolation of active compounds from astragalus membranaceus that improve insulin secretion by regulating pancreatic β-cell metabolism," *Biomolecules*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 618-619, 2019.
- [6] X. Lin, Z. Luo, S. Pang et al., "The effects of yam gruel on lowering fasted blood glucose in T2DM rats," *Open Life Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 763–773, 2020.
- [7] S. K. Park and Y. K. Lee, "Antioxidant activity in rheum emodi wall (Himalayan Rhubarb)," *Molecules*, vol. 26, no. 9, p. 2555, 2021.
- [8] W.-C. Huang, C.-C. Chan, S.-J. Wu et al., "Matrine attenuates allergic airway inflammation and eosinophil infiltration by suppressing eotaxin and Th2 cytokine production in asthmatic mice," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 470–477, 2014.
- [9] J. Wu, M. Zhang, J. Cheng et al., "Effect of lonicerae japonicae flos carbonisata-derived carbon dots on rat models of fever and hypothermia induced by lipopolysaccharide," *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, vol. 15, no. 15, pp. 4139–4149, 2020.
- [10] H. Zhang, J. Wei, R. Xue et al., "Berberine lowers blood glucose in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients through increasing insulin receptor expression," *Metabolism*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 285–292, 2010.
- [11] C. Ou, Y. J. Yang, Q. H. Peng, and Y. Peng, "Yiqi yangyin huoxue method in treating diabetic retinopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Evidence-Based Complementary* and Alternative Medicine, vol. 2019, pp. 1–7, Article ID 6020846, 2019.
- [12] American Diabetes Association, "Glycemic targets: standards of medical care in diabetes—2018," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 41, no. 14, pp. 55–64, 2018.
- [13] J. Higgins and S. Green, "Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions," 2011, http://handbook.cochrane. org.
- [14] X. Y. Zheng, Guiding Principles for Clinical Research of New TCM Drugs, China Medical Science Press, Beijing, China, 2002.

- [15] A. R. Jadad, R. A. Moore, D. Carroll et al., "Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary?" *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 1996.
- [16] M. J. Page, J. E. McKenzie, P. M. Bossuyt et al., "The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews," *Systematic Reviews*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 89, 2021.
- [17] M. R. Lao, "Clinical study on 52 cases of type 2 diabetes treated with Qingre Yiqi decoction," *Journal of Sichuan of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 81–83, 2015.
- [18] J. Y. Lin, Clinical Study of Jinlian Formula on Inflammatory Factors in Type 2 Diabetes, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China, 2016.
- [19] Y. Z. Song, Z. Li, and H. Y. Du, "Protective mechanism of Qingre Yiqi method on islet function in patients with incipient type 2 diabetes," *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine on Cardio-Cerebrovascular Disease*, vol. 15, no. 21, pp. 2663–2666, 2017.
- [20] G. H. Ye, Y. Y. Xue, and W. D. Wen, "A randomized parallel controlled study of Qingre Yiqi decoction combined with pioglitazone capsule in the treatment of type 2 diabetes," *Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Internal Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 13, pp. 128–130, 2017.
- [21] M. Jiang, Clinical Study on Improving Insulin Resistance of Type 2 Diabetes with Sanhuang Capsule, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, 2003.
- [22] Y. Zhang, H. Y. Xie, and R. Yan, "Clinical observation of Shenqi formula in treatment of type 2 diabetes and diabetic vascular complication with spleen deficiency syndrome," *Chinese Archives of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 2125–2127, 2019.
- [23] X. X. Liu, X. J. Li, and Y. Shi, "Clinical study on the effect of Yiqi method combined with metformin on intestinal flora of type 2 diabetes with spleen deficiency syndrome," *Liaoning Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 2311–2313, 2017.
- [24] S. L. Li, L. N. Chen, and W. Zhao, "Effect of Qingye Yiqi Yangyin method on inflammatory factors in patients with type 2 diabetes," *China Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 746–748, 2013.
- [25] S. L. Peng, D. C. Wang, and W. Y. Zhang, "Observation on the curative effect of Baihu decoction in the treatment of newonset of type 2 diabetes with qi deficiency and internal Heat syndrome," *Journal of New Chinese Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 84–86, 2015.
- [26] Y. Q. Xue, "Discuss on the effect of Qingre Jiedu decoction on serum inflammatory factors in patients with type 2 diabetes," *Clinical Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 31, pp. 57-58, 2018.
- [27] S. R. Gao, J. H. Bu, and L. Z. Zhu, "Effects of Qilian decoction and hypoglycemic drugs on insulin resistance of type 2 diabetes and related inflammatory cytokines," *Chinese Journal* of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 593–595, 2004.
- [28] B. Dong, "Observation on the curative effect of Qingre Yiqi method of traditional Chinese medicine on type 2 diabetes," *World Latest Medicine Information*, vol. 19, no. 83, p. 185, 2019.
- [29] Q. Chen and X. F. He, "Clinical effect of Qingre Yiqi capsule on type 2 diabetes," *Guangming Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 768-769, 2015.
- [30] Q. L. Jia and J. W. Zhao, "Clinical observation on 45 cases of type 2 diabetes treated with Qingre Yiqi decoction," *Chinese Community Doctors*, vol. 30, no. 4, p. 85, 2014.

- [31] Y. G. Wan, "Study on the clinical effect of Qingre Yiqi decoction in the treatment of type 2 diabetes," *Inner Mongolia Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 37-38, 2017.
- [32] X. L. Tong, J. Song, L. H. Zhao, and H. Y Ji, "Kaiyuqingre formula improves insulin secretion via regulating uncoupling protein-2 and KATP channel," *Chinese Medical Journal*, vol. 124, no. 17, pp. 2746–2750, 2011.
- [33] X. Han, Y. Yang, and A. M. Metwaly, "The Chinese herbal formulae (Yitangkang) exerts an antidiabetic effect through the regulation of substance metabolism and energy metabolism in type 2 diabetic rats," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 6, no. 15, pp. 239–244, 2019.
- [34] X. Fu, X. Zhou, and Y. Liu, "Exploration of SQC formula on type 2 diabetes mellitus by whole transcriptome profile in rats," *Endocrine, Metabolic & Immune Disorders - Drug Targets*, vol. 27, 2021.
- [35] M. Chen, Z. Liao, and B. Lu, "Huang-lian-jie-du-decoction ameliorates hyperglycemia and insulin resistant in association with gut microbiota modulation," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 2380, no. 8, 2018.
- [36] H. Kaneto, D. Kawamori, and T. A. Matsuoka, "Insulin resistance in pancreatic beta-cells: possible implication in beta-cell glucose toxicity," *Nihon Rinsho*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 203–211, 2006.
- [37] A. Al-Mrabeh, "β-cell dysfunction, hepatic lipid metabolism, and cardiovascular health in type 2 diabetes: new directions of research and novel therapeutic strategies," *Biomedicines*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 226-227, 2021.
- [38] J. Rosenstock, S. W. Kim, M. A. Baron et al., "Efficacy and tolerability of initial combination therapy with vildagliptin and pioglitazone compared with component monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes," *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 175–185, 2007.
- [39] L.-h. Gao, Q. Liu, S.-n. Liu et al., "A refined-JinQi-JiangTang tablet ameliorates prediabetes by reducing insulin resistance and improving beta cell function in mice," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 675–685, 2014.