



ARTICLE

Genetics and Genomics

Cancer incidence and spectrum among children with genetically confirmed Beckwith-Wiedemann spectrum in Germany: a retrospective cohort study

Sümeyye Cöktü¹, Claudia Spix², Melanie Kaiser², Jasmin Beygo³, Stephanie Kleinle⁴, Nadine Bachmann^{5,6}, Nicolai Kohlschmidt⁷, Dirk Prawitt⁸, Alf Beckmann⁹, Ruediger Klaes¹⁰, Claudia Nevinny-Stickel-Hinzpeter¹¹, Steffi Döhnert¹², Cornelia Kraus¹³, Gundula Kadgien¹⁴, Inga Vater¹⁵, Saskia Biskup¹⁶, Michael Kutsche¹⁷, Jürgen Kohlhase¹⁸, Thomas Eggermann¹⁹, Martin Zenker²⁰ and Christian P. Kratz¹

BACKGROUND: Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) is a cancer predisposition syndrome caused by defects on chromosome 11p15.5. The quantitative cancer risks in BWS patients depend on the underlying (epi)genotype but have not yet been assessed in a population-based manner.

METHODS: We identified a group of 321 individuals with a molecularly confirmed diagnosis of BWS and analysed the cancer incidence up to age 15 years and cancer spectrum by matching their data with the German Childhood Cancer Registry.

RESULTS: We observed 13 cases of cancer in the entire BWS cohort vs 0.4 expected. This corresponds to a 33-fold increased risk (standardised incidence ratio (SIR) = 32.6; 95% confidence interval = 17.3-55.7). The specific cancers included hepatoblastoma ($n = 6$); nephroblastoma ($n = 4$); astrocytoma ($n = 1$); neuroblastoma ($n = 1$) and adrenocortical carcinoma ($n = 1$). The cancer SIR was highest in patients with a paternal uniparental disomy of 11p15.5 (UPDpat). A high cancer risk remained when cases of cancer diagnosed prior to the BWS diagnosis were excluded.

CONCLUSIONS: This study confirms an increased cancer risk in children with BWS. Our findings suggest that the highest cancer risk is associated with UPDpat. We were unable to confirm an excessive cancer risk in patients with IC1 gain of methylation (IC1-GOM) and this finding requires further investigation.

British Journal of Cancer (2020) 123:619–623; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-020-0911-x>

BACKGROUND

Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome (BWS; MIM 130650) is a multi-system human imprinting disorder. Characteristic features are macrosomia, macroglossia, visceromegaly, abdominal wall defects, neonatal hypoglycaemia and an increased occurrence of embryonal tumours.¹ The prevalence is estimated to be 1:10,000 live births.² BWS is caused by genetic or epigenetic defects of the Imprinting Centers (IC) in the chromosome 11p15.5 region. The IC1 (*H19/IGF2:IG* DMR) regulates the expression of the *H19* and

IGF2 genes, while the expression of *CDKN1C*, *KCNQ10T1* and *KCNQ1* is under the control of IC2 (*KCNQ10T1:TSS* DMR).¹ The term Beckwith-Wiedemann spectrum (BWSp) describes “classical BWS without a molecular diagnosis and BWS-related phenotypes with an 11p15.5 molecular anomaly”.¹

The molecular BWSp subgroups are: (a) *KCNQ10T1:TSS* DMR (IC2) loss of methylation (IC2-LOM); (b) chromosome 11p15.5 paternal uniparental disomy (UPDpat); (c) *H19/IGF2:IG* DMR (IC1) gain of methylation (IC1-GOM); (d) pathoge-

¹Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1, Hannover 30625, Germany; ²German Childhood Cancer Registry, Institute for Medical Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University Medical Center Mainz, Obere Zahlbacher Str. 69, Mainz 55131, Germany; ³Institut für Humangenetik, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, Essen 45147, Germany; ⁴MGZ, Medizinisch Genetisches Zentrum München, Bayerstr. 3-5, München 80335, Germany; ⁵Bioscientia Ingelheim, Konrad-Adenauer-Str.17, Ingelheim 55218, Germany; ⁶Limbach Genetics, Medizinische Genetik Mainz Prof. Bergmann & Kollegen, Mainz, Germany; ⁷Institut für Klinische Genetik und Tumorgenetik Bonn, Maximilianstraße 28d, Bonn 53111, Germany; ⁸Center for Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Medical Center, Langenbeckstr. 1, Mainz 55101, Germany; ⁹MVZ Dr. Eberhard & Partner Dortmund, Humangenetik, Brauhausstr.4, Dortmund 44137, Germany; ¹⁰SYNLAB MVZ Humangenetik Mannheim GmbH, Harrlachweg 1, Mannheim 68163, Germany; ¹¹SYNLAB MVZ Humane Genetik München, Lindwurmstr. 23, München 80337, Germany; ¹²Mitteldeutscher Praxisverbund Humangenetik - Überörtliche Gemeinschaftspraxis, Friedrichstr. 38/40, Dresden 01067, Germany; ¹³Humangenetisches Institut, Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Schwabachanlage 10, Erlangen 91054, Germany; ¹⁴MVZ für Humangenetik und Molekularpathologie, Robert-Koch-Str. 10, Rostock 18059, Germany; ¹⁵Institut für Humangenetik, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Arnold-Heller-Str. 3, Haus 10, Kiel 24105, Germany; ¹⁶Praxis für Humangenetik / CeGaT, Paul-Ehrlich-Str. 23, Tübingen 72076, Germany; ¹⁷Gemeinschaftspraxis für Humangenetik & Genetische Labore (Peters, Kleier, Preusse), Altonaer Str. 61-63, Hamburg 20357, Germany; ¹⁸Praxis für Humangenetik Freiburg, Heinrich-von-Stephan-Str. 5, Freiburg 79100, Germany; ¹⁹Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Pauwelsstr. 30, Aachen 52074, Germany and ²⁰Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Magdeburg, Leipziger Str. 44, Magdeburg 39120, Germany

Correspondence: Christian P. Kratz (kratz.christian@mh-hannover.de)

These author contributed equally: Thomas Eggermann, Martin Zenker, Christian P Kratz.

Received: 17 December 2019 Revised: 22 April 2020 Accepted: 6 May 2020

Published online: 26 May 2020

netic variants in *CDKN1C* and (e) deletions/duplications of 11p15.5.³

The previously reported cancer incidences in BWSp children range from 2.5 to 28.6%.^{4–6} Different authors described an (epi) genotype–phenotype correlation and reported that BWSp patients with IC1-GOM and UPDpat have the highest cancer risk.⁷ The most common cancers associated with BWSp are neuroblastoma and hepatoblastoma.⁴ More rare cancers described in children with BWSp include acute lymphoblastic leukaemia,^{8,9} adrenocortical carcinoma,¹⁰ hemangioma,¹¹ melanoma,^{9,12} neuroblastoma and ganglioneuroblastoma,⁹ pancreatoblastoma,¹³ pheochromocytoma,¹⁴ rhabdomyosarcoma¹⁵ and thyroid cancer.¹⁶ The published overall childhood cancer risk in the various subgroups are the following: IC2-LOM 2.6%, IC1-GOM 28.1% (mainly Wilms tumour), UPDpat 16% (mainly Wilms tumour and hepatoblastoma), *CDKN1C* pathogenic variant 6.9% (mainly neuroblastoma) (reviewed in¹). Substantially higher overall cancer risks were found in a recent cohort study (IC2-LOM: 4.4%; IC1-GOM: 51.6%; UPDpat: 29.7%).¹⁷

However, the cancer risk in BWSp with various subtypes has not yet been quantified in a population-based manner. Therefore, we investigated the occurrence of childhood cancer among individuals from Germany with a genetically confirmed diagnosis of BWSp diagnosed between 2006 and 2018 by matching their data with the German Childhood Cancer Registry (GCCR).¹⁸

METHODS

We performed a systematic survey among molecular genetic laboratories in Germany that offer BWSp testing. Nineteen different institutions were identified, of which 17 provided their data. One communicated that they had no molecularly confirmed cases. Another one did not respond. Generally, genetic testing was performed by methylation-specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MS MLPA; Kit ME030, MRC Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and this assay includes both copy number and methylation analysis. Thus, duplications and UPD can be discriminated. A total of 321 individuals with molecularly confirmed BWSp were identified. We investigated the occurrence of childhood cancer in this cohort by matching their data with the GCCR.¹⁸ We also included an analysis of both benign and malignant tumours of the central nervous system (the GCCR only collects benign tumours from the CNS, but not from other regions). The study was approved by the ethical review committee at the Hannover Medical School (#3281-2016). The matching procedure has been described elsewhere.¹⁹ Briefly, molecularly defined cases of BWSp confirmed between 1 January 2006 and 31 December 2018 were identified at 17 main German laboratories that offer molecular genetic testing for BWSp. Using names and dates of birth, we matched laboratory-diagnosed cases of BWSp with the database of the GCCR (59,205 childhood cancer patients at cut-off date). As described previously, the GCCR registers ~97% of all German childhood malignancies diagnosed at an age of up to 15 years in Germany since 1980.¹⁸ All diagnoses defined in the International Classification of Childhood Cancer, Third edition are registered systematically.²⁰

The personal identifiers from individuals confirmed as having BWSp by the laboratories were encrypted using the same asymmetric key that is employed by GCCR. A matching procedure identified individuals with BWSp and childhood cancer, as described previously.^{19,21} We accumulated person-years of observation from birth to date of last follow-up, as described previously.^{19,21} Person-years of observation were left censored before 1 January 1980 and were observed through 31 December 2018, or right censored at cancer diagnosis, or their 15th birthday, or their death, if recorded, whichever occurred first. Vital status information in the laboratory data was incomplete; individuals without a specified status were assumed to be alive at the cut-off

by default. All cancer cases younger than 15 years from the registry with an encrypted name between 1980 and 2018 were included in the matching procedure. Comparisons are presented as standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) with an exact 95% confidence interval (CI), as described previously.^{19,21} Expected values were derived from the same subset of the GCCR data, which was used for the matching procedure.¹⁸ SIRs were compared as described elsewhere.²²

RESULTS

We identified 321 individuals with a molecularly confirmed diagnosis of BWSp whose childhood period overlapped with the GCCR activity. Laboratory tests were conducted between 2006 and 2018. The following epigenetic and genetic defects were identified in peripheral blood: IC2-LOM ($n = 208$), UPDpat ($n = 64$), IC1-GOM ($n = 31$), duplication/deletion ($n = 12$), pathogenic variant in *CDKN1C* ($n = 6$). This distribution is similar to the distribution known from other BWSp cohorts.^{5–7}

The 321 BWSp patients included in the final analytic data file contributed 2306.6 person-years of observation. Birth years ranged from 1978 to 2018. Age at genetic testing ranged from 0 to 38 years. The male-to-female ratio was 0.84. Thirteen patients with cancer, presenting between 1989 and 2018 and diagnosed with a BWSp-causing molecular defect between the years 2006 and 2018 were identified in the BWSp cohort (Table 1).

On the basis of all person-years and the age distribution of the studied population, 0.4 cases of childhood cancer, all sites combined, would be expected vs 13 observed, a 33-fold increase (SIR = 32.6; 95% CI = 17.3–55.7) (Table 2). Age at diagnosis ranged from 0 to 3.8 years. The childhood cancer risk in BWSp patients due to UPDpat was 153-fold increased (SIR = 152.5, 95% CI = 73.1–280.5), whereas patients with IC2-LOM had an 11-fold (SIR = 11.4, 95% CI = 2.3–33.2) increased risk. There were no cancers

Table 1. Description of the 13 individuals with BWSp who developed cancer.

Patient	Sex	Age (months) at genetic testing	Epigenetic mutation	Neoplasm (age in months)
1	M	6	IC2-LOM	Astrocytoma (45)
2 ^a	F	0 ^b	UPDpat	Neuroblastoma & Ganglioneuroblastoma (0 ^b)
3 ^a	F	40	UPDpat	Hepatoblastoma (5)
4 ^a	M	14	UPDpat	Nephroblastoma (12)
5 ^a	F	143	UPDpat	Adrenocortical carcinoma (10)
6 ^a	M	63	UPDpat	Hepatoblastoma (3)
7	F	3	IC2-LOM	Hepatoblastoma (12)
8 ^a	F	6	IC2-LOM	Nephroblastoma (3)
9 ^a	M	349 (29 y)	UPDpat	Nephroblastoma (41)
10 ^a	M	277 (23 y)	UPDpat	Hepatoblastoma (10)
11	M	3	UPDpat	Hepatoblastoma (7)
12	F	7	UPDpat	Nephroblastoma (7)
13 ^a	M	1	UPDpat	Hepatoblastoma (0)

F female, M male, IC2-LOM IC2 loss of methylation, UPDpat paternal uniparental disomy of 11p15.5, y years.

^aExcluded cases for sensitivity analysis (see results): In these patients the positive cancer history may have prompted molecular testing for BWSp.

^bThe newborn had the tumour diagnosis a few days before the BWSp diagnosis.

Table 2. Molecular subgroup-dependent categorisation of BWSp patients identified in the 17 participating laboratories.

Molecular diagnosis	N	Cases of cancer ^a		PY	SIR, 95% CI	Cancer risk up to 15th birthday (%)
		Observed	Expected			
Sum	321	13	0.3991	2306.6	32.6 (17.3–55.7)	4.4
IC2-LOM	208	3	0.2639	1515.9	11.4 (2.3–33.2)	1.6
UPDpat	64	10	0.0656	361.4	152.5 (73.1–280.5)	17.6
IC1-GOM	31	0	0.0449	268.9	0.0 (0.0–82.2)	0.0
Dup/Del	12	0	0.0168	106.7	0.0 (0.0–219.9)	0.0
CDKN1C	6	0	0.0079	53.6	0.0 (0.0–465.7)	0.0

CI confidence interval, Dup duplication, Del deletion, IC imprinting center, IC1-GOM IC1 gain of methylation, IC2-LOM IC2 loss of methylation, PY person-years, SIR standardised incidence ratio, UPDpat paternal uniparental disomy of 11p15.5.

^aData from the German Childhood Cancer Registry (see Materials and Methods for details).

observed either among the 31 IC1-GOM patients (268.9 PY; 0.0449 cases expected), the 12 duplication/deletion patients or the six patients with a pathogenetic variant in *CDKN1C*. The cancer risk up to the 15th birthday was 4.4% in the entire cohort, 17.6% for patients with UPDpat and 1.6% for patients with IC2-LOM.

SIRs of selected cancers in individuals with BWSp by cancer type are given in Table 3. High SIRs were observed for hepatoblastoma (UPDpat: SIR = 3128.7, 95% CI = 1015.9–7301.2; IC2-LOM: SIR = 174.1, 95% CI = 4.4–970.1), nephroblastoma (UPDpat: SIR = 575.1, 95% CI = 118.6–1680.5; IC2-LOM: SIR = 51.5, 95% CI = 1.30–287.0) and adrenocortical carcinoma (UPDpat: SIR = 10639.9, 95% CI = 269.4–59281.7).

To reduce selection bias, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by excluding the nine cases in whom the cancer diagnosis was made prior to the molecular BWSp (excluded cases are marked in Table 1). After exclusion of these cases, we calculated an unbiased 12-fold increased cancer risk (SIR = 12.3, 95% CI = 3.4–31.5) for all BWSp patients combined.

DISCUSSION

This is, to our knowledge, the first population-based study to quantify the cancer risk in children with BWSp with IC1-LOM, IC2-GOM, UPDpat, deletions/duplications and pathogenetic variants in *CDKN1C*. We observed a significant excess risk for all childhood cancers combined compared with the general population. The elevated overall cancer risk was primarily due to significant excesses of hepatoblastoma and nephroblastoma. Notably, we also observed rare cancers, such as astrocytoma and ganglioneuroblastoma. We found one previous case of ganglioneuroblastoma⁹ and another case of brain glioma²³ in the literature.

The chromosome 11p15.5 region that is altered in individuals with BWSp harbours several imprinted genes, among them *CDKN1C*, *IGF2* and *H19*, which are regulators of growth.²⁴ Therefore, the observed high cancer risk in individuals with BWSp who carry a constitutional or mosaic lesion in the 11p15.5 region is biologically plausible. Although a number of studies have shown a significant association between cancer risk and BWSp molecular subtype,⁶ a population-based epidemiologic study has not yet been conducted to address this topic quantitatively. This approach has the advantage of being less prone to ascertainment bias and allows for the calculation of SIRs. Thus, methodological differences in study design, including different ascertainment and follow-up criteria, prevent a direct comparison of these studies with ours. Brioude et al. studied 407 patients with BWSp including 257 patients with IC2-LOM, 81 with UPDpat, 35 with IC1-GOM and 34 individuals with a pathogenic variant in *CDKN1C*.⁶ Patients were either followed at the authors' centre or referred by other centres for suspected BWSp. A form was used to collect data at the time of

diagnosis and at follow-up. 8.6% of all patients developed tumours. The highest cancer incidence was observed among BWSp children with IC1-GOM (28.6%) and UPDpat (17.3%).

Maas et al. performed a literature review evaluating reported data from 1971 BWSp patients.⁵ 155/1923 (8%) of BWSp children developed tumours (IC2-LOM 2.6% with cancer, IC1-GOM 28%, UPDpat 16% and pathogenetic variants in *CDKN1C* 6.9%).

Cooper et al. studied additional 200 individuals with a confirmed molecular genetic diagnosis of BWSp.⁷ Cancer was more frequent in individuals with IC1-GOM or UPDpat (13%) than in those with a pathogenetic variant in *CDKN1C* or IC2-LOM (1%).

Duffy et al. described a cohort of 344 individuals with BWSp registered in the growth and genetic/epigenetic disorder registry at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. Two hundred and nineteen individuals were included in the subgroup tumour analysis. A tumour was diagnosed in 43/219 (19.6%) of individuals: IC2-LOM: 5/114 (4.4%), IC1-GOM: 16/31 (51.6%) and UPDpat: 22/74 (29.7%).¹⁷

In contrast to these published studies, we have collected molecularly confirmed cases of BWSp in a population-based manner and have calculated the relative cancer risks compared to population-based incidence rates in an effort to analyse the cancer risks employing a less biased method.

The overall cumulative childhood cancer incidence observed in this cohort are in agreement with the cancer incidences described in previous studies.^{5–7} We were able to demonstrate the elevated childhood cancer risk in individuals with BWSp compared to the population average risk, even when all patients with a cancer diagnosis prior to the BWSp diagnosis were excluded. In agreement with previous studies, we found a high cancer risk to be associated with UPDpat.¹ The risk in patients with UPDpat was significantly higher than the risk in IC2-LOM (Table 2). To our surprise, we observed no cases of cancer in BWSp children with IC1-GOM; however, this finding was not statistically significant. This molecular subgroup is believed to be associated with the highest cancer risk.¹ Larger cohorts of patients with IC1-GOM may be required to calculate the cancer risk more precisely. In agreement with previous reports, we observed a cumulative childhood cancer risk of <3% in BWSp children with IC2-LOM.^{1,5–7} Notably, even with small percentage risk this was still an 11-fold increased cancer risk with a wide CI. Although some molecular subgroups have a lower risk of cancer, parents who decline surveillance¹ should be aware that the absolute of cancer is still increased in these groups compared with the general population.

Our study has several limitations. (1) The study is not powered to detect any increase (or decrease) in incidence of the more common childhood cancers. Combining data with other cohorts may be a strategy to reach this goal. (2) We were unable to ascertain cancers in patients after their 15th birthday, as the

Table 3. Standardised incidence ratios for specific cancers in patients with BWSp with UPDpat and IC2-LOM.

Molecular diagnosis	Cancer type	N	Cases of cancer ^a		PY	SIR, 95% CI
			Observed	Expected		
UPDpat	Hepatoblastoma	64	5	0.0016	361.4	3128.7 (1015.9–7301.2)
	Nephroblastoma		3	0.0052		575.1 (118.6–1680.5)
	Neuroblastoma ^b		1	0.0077		129.0 (3.3–719.0)
	ACC		1	0.0001		10639.9 (269.4–59281.7)
IC2-LOM	Astrocytoma	208	1	0.0254	1515.9	39.3 (1.0–219.7)
	Hepatoblastoma		1	0.0057		174.1 (4.4–970.1)
	Nephroblastoma		1	0.0194		51.5 (1.30–287.0)

ACC adrenocortical carcinoma, CI confidence interval, IC2-LOM IC2 loss of methylation, PY person-years, SIR standardised incidence ratio, UPDpat paternal uniparental disomy of 11p15.5.1.

^aData from the German Childhood Cancer Registry (see Materials and Methods for details).

^bThe patient had neuroblastoma and ganglioneuroblastoma.

case-identifying resource was a childhood cancer registry. Germany does not yet have an equivalent national cancer registry for adults. Thus, the risk of adolescent or adult-onset cancers in BWSp cannot be investigated here. Notably, new evidence does support an increase in cancer risk for BWSp beyond childhood.²⁵ (3) We did not have access to patient medical records, and thus could not determine each subject's age or date at clinical syndrome diagnosis in case this age differed from the molecular diagnosis. It is likely that, in some instances, the BWSp diagnosis was prompted by the development of a childhood cancer. Of note, in nine patients, the cancer was diagnosed before the molecular confirmation of BWSp (Table 1). If we exclude these nine cases from our analysis, the remaining cancer risk for all cancers among all BWSp individuals combined remains significantly elevated (SIR = 12.3, 95% CI = 3.4–31.5). Conversely, patients with BWSp are more likely to undergo cancer surveillance potentially leading to an overestimation of certain cancer diagnoses. (4) Vital status information in the laboratory data was incomplete; individuals without a specified status were assumed to be alive at the cut-off by default potentially leading to an underestimation of the cancer risk. (5) As a consequence of identifying susceptible individuals through genetic diagnostic labs, our analytic cohort excludes BWSp patients who have never undergone genetic testing (i.e. who were diagnosed clinically without molecular confirmation) as well as BWSp patients who had a clinical diagnosis but negative molecular testing. There is no way to evaluate the impact of this subgroup's absence on our analysis. Given the fact that the percentage of tested and untested individuals with BWSp is unknown, we are unable to calculate the BWSp incidence based on our data. (6) The lack of replicating previous results on an increased cancer risk for IC1-GOM might reflect the smaller number of Person-Years analysed in this group (5-fold less than for IC2-LOM). (7) One German laboratory with molecularly confirmed cases of BWSp did not participate in this research and it cannot be ruled out that some additional patients received their molecular tests in laboratories that we did not identify, because their diagnostic portfolio is not publicly available, or in laboratories from other countries. However, outsourcing of genetic testing is unusual in Germany, as health insurance companies routinely cover genetic testing only if done in a national lab.

In conclusion, this population-based study demonstrated an increased childhood cancer risk, especially hepatoblastoma and nephroblastoma, in children with BWSp. Our findings suggest that in children with BWSp the highest cancer risk is associated with UPDpat, whereas the risk in IC1-GOM requires further investigation. Our data are consistent with current surveillance guidelines.¹

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not applicable

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.P.K., T.E. and M.Z. contributed to the conception of the study design. S.C., C.P.K., T.E. and M.Z. contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data, and to the drafting and revision of the manuscript. C.S. and M.K. contributed to the matching procedure and statistical calculations. S.C. collected the data that was generated by J.B., S.K., N.B., N.K., D.P., A.B., R.K., C.N.S.H., S.D., C.K., G.K., I.V., S.B., M.K., J.K., T.E. and M.Z.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Ethics approval and consent to participate The study was approved by the ethical review committee at the Hannover Medical School (#3281-2016). A consent was not required due to the retrospective nature of the study and the fact that there was no way to identify any of the subjects. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent to publish All authors consent the material to publish.

Data availability Data are held within the Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology at Hannover Medical School and are available on application.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding information This work was supported by the Deutsche Kinderkrebstiftung (DKS2017.02) and the Schlag-Stiftung.

Note This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Brioude, F., Kalish, J. M., Mussa, A., Foster, A. C., Blik, J., Ferrero, G. B. et al. Expert consensus document: Clinical and molecular diagnosis, screening and management of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: an international consensus statement. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **14**, 229–249 (2018).
- Mussa, A., Russo, S., De Crescenzo, A., Chiesa, N., Molinatto, C., Selicorni, A. et al. Prevalence of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome in North West of Italy. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **161A**, 2481–2486 (2013).
- Eggermann, T., Perez de Nancraes, G., Maher, E. R., Temple, I. K., Tumer, Z., Monk, D. et al. Imprinting disorders: a group of congenital disorders with overlapping patterns of molecular changes affecting imprinted loci. *Clin. Epigenetics* **7**, 123 (2015).

4. Mussa, A., Molinatto, C., Baldassarre, G., Riberi, E., Russo, S., Larizza, L. et al. Cancer risk in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis outlining a novel (epi)genotype specific histotype targeted screening protocol. *J. Pediatr.* **176**, 142–149. e141 (2016).
5. Maas, S. M., Vansenne, F., Kadouch, D. J., Ibrahim, A., Bliet, J., Hopman, S. et al. Phenotype, cancer risk, and surveillance in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome depending on molecular genetic subgroups. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **170**, 2248–2260 (2016).
6. Brioude, F., Lacoste, A., Netchine, I., Vazquez, M. P., Auber, F., Audry, G. et al. Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: growth pattern and tumor risk according to molecular mechanism, and guidelines for tumor surveillance. *Horm. Res. Paediatr.* **80**, 457–465 (2013).
7. Cooper, W. N., Luharia, A., Evans, G. A., Raza, H., Haire, A. C., Grundy, R. et al. Molecular subtypes and phenotypic expression of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **13**, 1025–1032 (2005).
8. Abadie, C., Bernard, F., Netchine, I., Sanlaville, D., Roque, A., Rossignol, S. et al. Acute lymphocytic leukaemia in a child with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome harbouring a CDKN1C mutation. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* **53**, 400–403 (2010).
9. Brioude, F., Netchine, I., Praz, F., Le Jule, M., Calmel, C., Lacombe, D. et al. Mutations of the imprinted CDKN1C gene as a cause of the overgrowth Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: clinical spectrum and functional characterization. *Hum. Mutat.* **36**, 894–902 (2015).
10. Eltan, M., Arslan Ates, E., Cerit, K., Menevse, T. S., Kaygusuz, S. B., Eker, N. et al. Adrenocortical carcinoma in atypical Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome due to loss of methylation at imprinting control region 2. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* **67**, e28042 (2020).
11. Drut, R., Drut, R. M. & Toulouse, J. C. Hepatic hemangioendotheliomas, placental chorioangiomas, and dysmorphic kidneys in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Pediatr. Pathol.* **12**, 197–203 (1992).
12. Livingstone, E., Caliebe, A., Egberts, F., Proksch, E., Buiting, K., Schubert, C. et al. Malignant melanoma and Wiedemann-Beckwith syndrome in childhood. *Klin. Padiatr.* **222**, 388–390 (2010).
13. Lee, C. T., Tung, Y. C., Hwu, W. L., Shih, J. C., Lin, W. H., Wu, M. Z. et al. Mosaic paternal haploidy in a patient with pancreatoblastoma and Beckwith-Wiedemann spectrum. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **179**, 1878–1883 (2019).
14. Kalish, J. M., Conlin, L. K., Mostoufi-Moab, S., Wilkens, A. B., Mulchandani, S., Zelle, K. et al. Bilateral pheochromocytomas, hemihyperplasia, and subtle somatic mosaicism: the importance of detecting low-level uniparental disomy. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **161A**, 993–1001 (2013).
15. Piersigilli, F., Auriti, C., Mondini, V., Francalanci, P., Salvatori, G. & Danhaive, O. Decreased CDKN1C expression in congenital alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma associated with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Indian J. Pediatr.* **83**, 1476–1478 (2016).
16. Weksberg, R., Nishikawa, J., Caluseriu, O., Fei, Y. L., Shuman, C., Wei, C. et al. Tumor development in the Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome is associated with a variety of constitutional molecular 11p15 alterations including imprinting defects of KCNQ1OT1. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **10**, 2989–3000 (2001).
17. Duffy, K. A., Cielo, C. M., Cohen, J. L., Gonzalez-Gandolfi, C. X., Griff, J. R., Hathaway, E. R. et al. Characterization of the Beckwith-Wiedemann spectrum: diagnosis and management. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C. Semin. Med. Genet.* **181**, 693–708 (2019).
18. Kaatsch, P. German Childhood Cancer Registry and its favorable setting. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz* **47**, 437–443 (2004).
19. Hammer, G. P., Seidenbusch, M. C., Schneider, K., Regulla, D. F., Zeeb, H., Spix, C. et al. A cohort study of childhood cancer incidence after postnatal diagnostic X-ray exposure. *Radiat. Res.* **171**, 504–512 (2009).
20. Steliarova-Foucher, E., Stiller, C., Lacour, B. & Kaatsch, P. International Classification of Childhood Cancer, third edition. *Cancer* **103**, 1457–1467 (2005).
21. Kratz, C. P., Franke, L., Peters, H., Kohlschmidt, N., Kazmierczak, B., Finckh, U. et al. Cancer spectrum and frequency among children with Noonan, Costello, and cardio-facio-cutaneous syndromes. *Br. J. Cancer* **112**, 1392–1397 (2015).
22. Breslow, N. E. & Day, N. E. Statistical methods in cancer research. Volume II—The design and analysis of cohort studies. *IARC Sci. Publ.* **82**, 1–406. (1987).
23. Weinstein, J. M., Backonja, M., Houston, L. W., Gilbert, E. E., Finlay, J. L., Duff, T. A. et al. Optic glioma associated with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Pediatr. Neurol.* **2**, 308–310 (1986).
24. Shuman, C., Beckwith, J. B. & Weksberg, R. in *GeneReviews*(®) (eds. M. P. Adam et al.) University of Washington, Seattle (1993).
25. Gazzin, A., Carli, D., Sirchia, F., Molinatto, C., Cardaropoli, S., Palumbo, G. et al. Phenotype evolution and health issues of adults with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **179**, 1691–1702 (2019).