



Potential Role of NEU1 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Study Based on Comprehensive Bioinformatical Analysis

Zhulin Wu¹, Li He², Lina Yang², Xuehong Fang³ and Lisheng Peng⁴*

¹The Fourth Clinical Medical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Shenzhen, China, ²Department of Oncology and Haematology, Shenzhen Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Shenzhen, China, ³Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang, China, ⁴Department of Science and Education, Shenzhen Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Shenzhen, China

Background: Aberrant expression of NEU1 has been identified in many malignancies. Nevertheless, the clinical significance of NEU1 in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has not been fully elucidated.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Michael Rossbach, Vela Diagnostics, Germany

Reviewed by:

Vinay Kumar Mittal, ThermoFisher Scientific, United States Hong Zou, Shihezi University, China

> *Correspondence: Lisheng Peng szlisheng_peng@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular Diagnostics and Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

> Received: 10 January 2021 Accepted: 05 August 2021 Published: 26 August 2021

Citation:

Wu Z, He L, Yang L, Fang X and Peng L (2021) Potential Role of NEU1 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Study Based on Comprehensive Bioinformatical Analysis. Front. Mol. Biosci. 8:651525. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.651525 **Methods:** In our study, multiple databases, including ONCOMINE, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC), Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE), Human Protein Atlas (HPA), Kaplan-Meier (KM) plotter, MethSurv, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA), and Metascape, etc., were utilized to investigate the expression, prognostic value, and function of NEU1 in HCC.

Results: ONCOMINE, GEO, and TCGA analyses revealed that NEU1 was more highly expressed in HCC compared to normal tissues. Additionally, the mRNA and protein expression levels of NEU1 were increased in liver cancer cell lines and HCC tissues, respectively. Moreover, a trend toward increased NEU1 expression with advanced stage or grade was found. Furthermore, higher mRNA expression of NEU1 was found to be remarkably correlated with worse survival in HCC patients, and multivariate Cox analysis indicated that high mRNA expression of NEU1 was an independent prognostic factor for poor prognosis of HCC patients. Also, 21 methylated CpGs were found to be significantly related to HCC prognosis. Besides, functional enrichment analyses indicated that high NEU1 expression group had lower levels of B cells, CD8⁺ T cells, NK cells, and T helper cells, etc. than the low NEU1 expression group, and NEU1 may regulate a variety of tumor-related proteins and pathways, including lysosome, spliceosome, mTOR signaling pathway and so on.

Conclusion: High expression level of NEU1 was positively correlated with unfavorable prognosis of HCC patients, which may be related to the regulation of cancer-associated pathways and the inhibition of immune function by NEU1. Thus, NEU1 could be used as a potential prognostic biomarker and target for HCC.

Keywords: liver cancer, data mining, NEU1, biomarker, prognosis

INTRODUCTION

According to recent data from GLOBOCAN, liver cancer is one of the most common malignancies with high mortality (Bray et al., 2018). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of liver cancer, accounting for the majority (about 70-90%) of cases (Schoenberg et al., 2018). In addition, the 5-year survival rates of HCC ranges from 3 to 28%. (Li et al., 2018). Most patients with HCC are at intermediate or advanced stages when diagnosed and are not suitable for surgical treatment (Wu et al., 2020a). In 2017, regorafenib and nivolumab were approved for HCC patients, and in 2018, lenvatinib was also approved for the treatment of unresectable HCC (Jindal et al., 2019). However, due to drug resistance and side effects, the overall therapeutic effect of these drugs is unsatisfactory (Jia et al., 2020). Therefore, effective markers for the early detection, diagnosis, and prognosis of HCC are urgently needed.

A recent report has suggested that neuraminidase-1 (Neu1) could be a novel therapeutic target for cancer treatment and is expected to become an intervention for multi-stage cancer development (Haxho et al., 2016). NEU1 gene is known to be a member of human sialidases (Bonten et al., 1996), which is located on chromosome 6p21.3 and accumulates the gangliosides and glycoproteins that can lead to cell necrosis and cytotoxicity (Ren et al., 2016). Previous research reported that the expression of NEU1 was overexpressed in NSCLC patients with mutant TP53 and was related to adverse clinical outcomes (Lv et al., 2020). Moreover, targeting NEU1 can affect the proliferation, apoptosis, and epithelialmesenchymal transition of breast cancer cells, and then changes the sialic acid level (Thulasiraman et al., 2019). Although it has been found that overexpression of NEU1 was correlated with HCC progression (Hou et al., 2016), the prognostic value and functions of NEU1 in HCC have not been intensively studied.

Based on previous findings, further analysis of NEU1 may help improve the diagnosis and prognosis of HCC. In this research, A comprehensive bioinformatical analysis was performed to assess the role of NEU1 in HCC.

METHODS

ONCOMINE Analysis of NEU1 Expression in HCC

In the present study, ONCOMINE (www.oncomine.org) (Rhodes et al., 2007) was utilized to analyze the difference of NEU1 expression between normal and HCC tissue samples. In ONCOMINE analysis, the screening criteria were set as follows: cancer type = Hepatocellular Carcinoma; gene = NEU1; data type = mRNA; analysis type = Cancer versus Normal Analysis; threshold values: *p*-value < 1E-4, fold change > 2, gene rank = top10%. Students'*t*-test was performed to detect the difference between the normal tissue group and the HCC group. Moreover, the meta-analysis of NEU1 expression data was also performed in ONCOMINE.

Validation of NEU1 Expression by GEO and TCGA Datasets

Publically available Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (Barrett et al., 2013) datasets GSE45436 (Wang et al., 2013), GSE62232 (Schulze et al., 2015), GSE76427 (Grinchuk et al., 2018), GSE101685, and GSE121248 (Wang et al., 2007) were used for NEU1 expression analysis. These gene expression data were downloaded from the bioinformatics array research tool (BART, http://igc1.salk.edu:3838/bart/) (Amaral et al., 2018). In addition, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) gene expression data were also analyzed, and the HCC dataset was obtained from TCGA data portal (https://tcga-data.nci. nih.gov/tcga/) (Weinstein et al., 2013). Data were visualized using R (version 4.0.3) packages "limma" and "beeswarm," and Wilcox test was used to analyze statistical significance.

Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia and Human Protein Atlas Analyses

NEU1 expression levels in various cancer cell lines were analyzed using Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) database (https:// portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle) (Ghandi et al., 2019). The CCLE includes information on 1,457 human cancer cell lines and 84,434 genes and contains data visualization. Furthermore, the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) database (https://www.proteinatlas.org) was used for identifying the expression level of NEU1 protein in HCC through immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining. Also, the IHC images were downloaded from HPA. The HPA is an online tool that contains IHC test results showing the distribution and expression of proteins in various human normal tissues and tumor tissues (Asplund et al., 2012).

Correlation Between NEU1 Expression and Clinical Factors in HCC

After completing the analyses of NEU1 protein and mRNA expression levels, correlations between NEU1 and clinicopathologic parameters were evaluated using TCGA HCC dataset. Prior to analysis, TCGA HCC patients whose clinical information (survival status, age, sex, T stage, pathologic stage, grade) was incomplete were excluded. NEU1 expression differences were analyzed by Mann-Whitney *U* test or Kruskal-Wallis test when appropriate, and data statistical analysis and result visualization were done with R software.

Independent Prognostic Analysis of NEU1 Expression

To assess the independent prognostic value of NEU1, univariate and multivariate Cox analyses were performed for both NEU1 and clinical data using TCGA HCC dataset. For external validation, the mRNA expression and clinical information of another HCC dataset were downloaded from International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) database (https://dcc.icgc.org/projects/LIRI-JP) to validate the independent prognostic value of NEU1. Clinical factors (age, sex, stage, and grade) of HCC patients in the TCGA cohort and the age, sex, and stage data of HCC patients in the ICGC cohort were extracted. By using univariate and multivariate Cox regression models, these clinical factors were analyzed in combination with the NEU1 expression. In our study, the principal outcome was overall survival (OS) defined as death from any cause, and hazard ratios (HR) with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed based on Cox regression models. Besides, factors with *p* < 0.1 in univariate Cox regression model were chosen for multivariate analysis, and the results were visualized using R package "ggplot2."

Prognostic Value of mRNA Expression and DNA Methylation of NEU1

Association between NEU1 expression and prognosis was also assessed by using the online software Kaplan-Meier (KM) plotter (Menyhárt et al., 2018; Nagy et al., 2018). The KM plotter could be used to analyze the effect of more than 54,000 genes on survival in various cancers and generate KM survival curves (http:// kmplot.com/analysis/). In survival analysis, patients with HCC from TCGA dataset were classified into two groups according to the optimal cutoff value of the expression of NEU1, and diseasespecific survival (DSS), progression-free survival (PFS), and OS were evaluated with log-rank p-value and HR with 95% CI. Subgroup analyses based on pathologic stage and T stage were also performed. In KM plotter, the number of HCC patients in T4 stage group or pathologic stage 4 group was too small to be further analyzed. Additionally, the different DNA methylated sites of NEU1 were determined, and the DNA methylation-based survival analyses were performed by using the TCGA dataset in MethSurv (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/methsurv/) (Modhukur et al., 2018) which is an online tool for methylation visualization.

Immune Regulating Roles of NEU1

Based on the TCGA dataset, single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) was utilized to compare the enrichment scores of immune cells and immune-related pathways between high and low expression subgroups based on the median expression level of NEU1 by using "GSVA," "GSEABase" packages R software (Hänzelmann et al., 2013). Besides, tumor mutation burden (TMB) which is computed as the total mutation incidences per million base pair is a reliable biomarker for predicting sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors (Liu et al., 2020), and we used Spearman's correlation analysis to analyze the association between NEU1 and TMB score. Data processing, statistical analysis, and data visualization were done with an online bioinformatics analysis tool from China (Assistant for Clinical Bioinformatics, https://www.aclbi.com/).

Functional Enrichment Analysis by Metascape Database

The method of functional analysis referred to previous research (Wu et al., 2020b). Before enrichment analysis, similar genes of NEU1 were acquired using the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA, http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/) (Tang et al., 2017). Functions of NUE1 and its similar genes were analyzed using Metascape database (http://metascape.org) which is a powerful online database for gene functional

annotation analysis and is updated monthly (Zhou et al., 2019). In Metascape, Gene Ontology (GO) analysis can determine the functions of NEU1 and its similar genes on the basis of three categories, containing molecular functions, cellular components, and biological processes, while Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis can identify the signaling pathways associated with NEU1 and its similar genes. Besides, Protein-protein interaction (PPI) and independent functional enrichment analyses of Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) components were conducted using Metascape. In this paper, p-value < 0.05 denotes differences that are statistically significant if not stated otherwise.

RESULTS

NEU1 is Distinctively Overexpressed in HCC

A total of 443 research results were analyzed in ONCOMINE, of which 17 research (high NEU1 expression in 15 research, and low NEU1 expression in two research) fulfilled the screening criteria mentioned above (Figure 1A). The result showed that there were four datasets with high expression of NEU1 in liver cancer, and these datasets comprised a total of 712 samples (386 HCC samples and 326 normal samples) (Chen et al., 2002; Wurmbach et al., 2007; Roessler et al., 2010). Furthermore, all the four datasets in ONCOMINE demonstrated that NEU1 mRNA expression was remarkably elevated in HCC than that in normal samples, and the data of sample size, fold change, and *p*-value corresponding to the four studies are also summarized in Figures 1B-E. Besides, a meta-analysis of the four datasets from ONCOMINE was performed, and the result revealed that NEU1 was expressed highly in HCC compared with the normal groups (*p*-value = 1.69E-9, **Figure 1F**).

To verify NEU1 mRNA expression, five GEO datasets and the TCGA dataset of HCC were also analyzed, and the information about those GEO datasets is listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. As illustrated in **Figures 2A–F**, NEU1 mRNA was remarkably overexpressed in GSE45436, GSE62232, GSE76427, GSE101685, GSE121248, and TCGA datasets.

NEU1 Expression in HCC Cell Lines and NEU1 Protein Expression in HCC

The result of CCLE analysis revealed that mRNA expression level of NEU1 in cell lines of liver cancer listed the first highest among all tumor types (**Figure 3A**). By using HPA platform, representative images of the immunohistochemical staining of NEU1 in HCC tissue and normal liver tissue were obtained (Antibody HPA015634 in HPA database), and medium protein expression of NEU1 was determined in normal liver tissue, while high protein expression was identified in HCC tissue (**Figure 3B**).

Correlation Between Clinical Features and the Expression of NEU1

In order to identify correlations of NEU1 expression with clinical features (survival status, age, sex, T stage, pathologic stage,



NEU1 expression compared with normal liver tissues (F) A meta-analysis of NEU1 expression from four ONCOMINE datasets (p = 1.69E-9).

histologic grade), the clinical data in the TCGA database were analyzed (Figures 4A–F). In terms of OS events, NEU1 was overexpressed in dead samples compared to alive samples (Figure 4A). Differences in NEU1 expression between females and males were also significant (Figure 4B). As presented in Figures 4A,D–F trend toward increased NEU1 expression with advanced pathologic stage, T stage, or histologic grade was observed. Besides, there is no significant difference in NEU1 expression according to age (Figure 4B).

Association Between NEU1 and Prognosis in HCC Patients

After the relationship between NEU1 expression and OS event was confirmed, the correlation between NEU1 and prognosis was further explored. In univariate Cox analysis of the TCGA cohort, we found that advanced stages and high mRNA expression of NEU1 were correlated with shorter OS of patients with HCC (**Figure 5A**). Furthermore, multivariate Cox analysis indicated that high expression of NEU1 was independently correlated with significantly wore OS of patients with HCC (HR = 1.479, 95% CI = 1.009–2.168, p = 0.045, **Figure 5B**). Moreover, the univariate and multivariate Cox analyses of the ICGC cohort also revealed that high mRNA expression of NEU1 was related to worse OS (**Figures 5C,D**), and the expression of NEU1 was an independent prognostic factor for predicting OS in multivariate Cox regression analysis (HR = 2.295, 95% CI = 1.201–4.386, p = 0.012).

The prognostic value of NEU1 in HCC was also evaluated using KM plotter, and the results are shown in **Figures 6A–I**. The KM plot showed that high expression of NEU1 was related to the shorter OS in all HCC patients (HR = 1.57, p = 0.01; **Figure 6A**). However, the association between NUE1 expression and PFS in all HCC patients did not attain statistical significance (**Figure 6B**), and a similar result was obtained for DSS



FIGURE 2 | Expression of NEU1 in HCC and normal tissues (TCGA and GEO datasets) (A) GSE45436 dataset; (B) GSE62232 dataset; (C) GSE76427 dataset; (D) GSE101685 dataset; (E) GSE121248 dataset; (F) TCGA dataset.



(**Figure 6C**). Moreover, subgroup analysis demonstrated that high NEU1 expression was correlated with worse OS time in both T1 and stage 1 HCC patients [(HR = 2.88, p = 2e-04) and (HR = 2.83, p = 0.00046), respectively] (**Figures 6D,G**). No other subgroup analyses were statistically significant. Also, the DNA methylation level of NEU1 and prognostic values of CpGs were analyzed using MethSurv. As illustrated in **Figure 7A**, cg13351114 showed the highest DNA methylation. Moreover,

21 CpGs of NEU1 were related to the prognosis of patients with HCC (Table 1).

Analysis Result of Immune Regulating Roles of NEU1

As shown in Figure 7B, the result of Spearman's correlation analysis indicated the existence of a positive correlation between



FIGURE 4 [The relationship between the NEU1 expression and clinicopathologic parameters (TCGA dataset) (**A**) Relative expression of NEU1 in alive status or dead status (**B**) Relative expression of NEU1 in younger patients (≤ 60) or elder patients (> 60) (**C**) Relative expression of NEU1 in female or male (**D**) Relative expression of NEU1 in female or male (**D**) Relative expression of NEU1 in T stage 1, 2, 3, or 4 (**E**) Relative expression of NEU1 in pathologic stage I, II, III, or IV (**F**) Relative expression of NEU1 grade 1, 2, 3, or 4. ns represents $p \geq 0.05$; * represents p < 0.05, and ** represents p < 0.01.

Univariate analysis (TCGA)	HR (95% CI)	P value	Multivariate analysis (TCGA) HR (95% C	l) P value
Age (>=60 vs <60)	1.261(0.864-1.840)	0.229	Age (>=60 vs <60)	
Sex (Male vs Female)	0.824(0.560−1.214) HH	0.328	Sex (Male vs Female)	
Tumor grade (3/4 vs 1/2)	1.132(0.772-1.660)	0.525	Tumor grade (3/4 vs 1/2)	
Tumor stage (III/IV vs I/II)	2.479(1.700-3.620)	<0.001	Tumor stage (III/IV vs I/II) 2.321(1.581-3	.406)
NEU1 (High vs Low)	1.671(1.146-2.437)	→ 0.008	NEU1 (High vs Low) 1.479(1.009-2	.168) 0.045
	1 2	3	D	1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5
Univariate analysis (ICGC)	HR (95% CI)	P value	Multivariate analysis (ICGC) HR (95% C	l) P value
Age (>=60 vs <60)	0.820(0.404-1.665)	0.584	Age (>=60 vs <60)	
Sex (Male vs Female)	0.519(0.278-0.966)	0.039	Sex (Male vs Female) 0.387(0.203-0	.739) 🍽 0.004
Tumor stage (III/IV vs I/II)	2.382(1.303-4.356)	0.005	Tumor stage (III/IV vs I/II) 2.562(1.364-4	.812)
NEU1 (High vs Low)	2.463(1.298-4.674)	0.006	NEU1 (High vs Low) 2.295(1.201-4	.386)
	+ r	<u> </u>		

FIGURE 5 | Results of univariate and multivariate Cox analyses of clinical factors and NEU1 expression (A) Forest plot of univariate analysis in TCGA cohort (B) Forest plot of multivariate analysis in TCGA cohort (C) Forest plot of univariate analysis in ICGC cohort.



other T stages and pathologic stages.

NEU1 expression and TMB score (p = 0.002). To further investigate the relationship between NEU1 expression and immune status, we quantified the enrichment scores of different immune cell subsets, associated functions, or pathways with ssGSEA. In 16 types of immune cells, the scores of aDCs, B cells, CD8⁺ T cells, Neutrophils, pDCs, NK cells, T helper cells, Th1 cells, and TIL were significantly different between high and low NEU1 expression groups (*p*-value <0.05, **Figure 7C**). In 13 types of immune-associated pathways, the score of cytolytic activity, inflammation promoting, MHC class I, T cell co-stimulation, and Type I IFN response were remarkably different between high and low NEU1 expression groups (p < 0.05, **Figure 7D**).



FIGURE 7 | DNA methylation of NEU1 and immune regulating roles of NEU1 (TCGA dataset) (A) The DNA methylation clustered expression of NEU1. Blue to red color represents low to high expression, and different color side boxes denoted ethnicity, race, age, Body mass index (BMI), event, UCSC RefGene Group, and Relation to UCSC CpG island (B) Correlation analysis of NEU1 expression and TMB score. The density curve on the right indicates the distribution trend of the gene (C) The scores of 16 types of immune cells between high and low NEU1 expression groups (D) The scores of 13 types immune-associated pathways between high and low NEU1 expression groups. ns represents $p \ge 0.05$; *p < 0.05; *p < 0.05; *p < 0.01; **p < 0.001.

Functional Enrichment Analyses of NEU1 in HCC

Before conducting enrichment analyses, the top 100 similar genes of NEU1 were obtained from GEPIA (**Supplementary Table S2**). The functions of NEU1 and its similar genes were predicted using Metascape, and the thresholds of the Min Overlap, *p*-value, and Min Enrichment in the database were set to 3, 0.05, and 3, respectively.

The top seven KEGG pathways with a significant p < 0.05 are shown in **Figures 8A,B**, and these seven items included lysosome, protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, spliceosome, estrogen signaling pathway, hepatocellular carcinoma, dopaminergic synapse, and mTOR signaling pathway. As shown in **Figures 8C,D**, the enriched GO terms were categorized into three groups: biological process group (11 GO terms), molecular function group (7 GO terms), and cellular component group (2 GO terms). These genes were mainly enriched in vacuolar part, U2-type spliceosomal complex, regulation of protein stability, response to testosterone, ribonucleoprotein complex binding, and GTP binding, etc.

Furthermore, to further examine the association between these genes and HCC, a Metascape PPI enrichment analysis was conducted, and the results of PPI network and MCODE components are presented in **Figure 8E**. The two most important MCODE components were obtained from the PPI analysis. Following pathway and process enrichment analyses of

TABLE 1 | The prognostic values of CpGs in NEU1 (MethSurv database).

Gene-CpG	HR	p-value	Gene-CpG	HR	p-value
Island-TSS200-cg00255142	1.1	0.64	N_Shore-Body-cg13195955	0.696	0.046 ^a
Island-TSS200-cg13510327	0.96	0.82	N_Shore-Body-cg13351114	0.643	0.024 ^a
Island-TSS200-cg14119437	1.335	0.13	N_Shore-Body-cg13716619	0.861	0.41
Island-TSS200-cg16753409	1.03	0.86	N_Shore-Body-cg19070159	0.673	0.048 ^a
Island-5'UTR;1stExon-cg01427769	1.408	0.051	N_Shore-Body-cg22672830	0.547	0.002 ^a
Island-5'UTR;1stExon-cg02180498	0.53	1.119	N_Shore-Body-cg23641315	1.469	0.062
Island-5'UTR;1stExon-cg11401682	1.181	0.41	N_Shore-Body-cg23861803	0.638	0.014 ^a
Island-5'UTR;1stExon-cg14976276	1.122	0.57	N_Shore-Body-cg25094081	0.788	0.25
Island-5'UTR;1stExon-cg17012202	1.209	0.34	N_Shore-Body-cg26708476	0.644	0.031 ^a
lsland-5'UTR;1stExon-cg19036153	1.877	0.003 ^a	N_Shore-Body-cg26747317	0.586	0.006 ^a
lsland-5'UTR;1stExon-cg22578916	1.643	0.02 ^a	N_Shore-Body-cg27645345	0.775	0.2
Island-1stExon-cg13021409	1.584	0.024 ^a	N_Shelf-Body-cg03592411	1.388	0.12
Island-1stExon-cg13426138	1.584	0.36	N_Shelf-Body-cg03783555	1.344	0.093
Island-1stExon-cg17707984	0.95	0.77	N_Shelf-Body-cg04006397	1.11	0.63
Island-1stExon-cg25387565	1.75	0.008 ^a	N_Shelf-Body-cg06017364	0.887	0.49
Island-TSS1500-cg13071476	0.965	0.85	N_Shelf-Body-cg06264879	0.775	0.21
Island-TSS1500-cg24814784	1.271	0.21	N_Shelf-Body-cg10012878	1.423	0.069
Island-TSS1500-cg27315170	0.842	0.33	N_Shelf-Body-cg10054150	0.694	0.059
N_Shore-Body-cg00547425	0.863	0.47	N_Shelf-Body-cg10503736	0.854	0.43
N_Shore-Body-cg01620834	1.302	0.13	N_Shelf-Body-cg15065837	0.696	0.04 ^a
N_Shore-Body-cg02623971	1.673	0.015 ^a	N_Shelf-Body-cg16194451	1.085	0.64
N_Shore-Body-cg02776448	0.711	0.051	N_Shelf-Body-cg16918667	0.605	0.013 ^a
N_Shore-Body-cg03832040	0.606	0.005 ^a	N_Shelf-Body-cg18674618	1.36	0.082
N_Shore-Body-cg05550349	1.237	0.23	N_Shelf-Body-cg19913711	0.818	0.27
N_Shore-Body-cg07603815	1.243	0.24	N_Shelf-Body-cg22240802	0.784	0.23
N_Shore-Body-cg08586892	1.714	0.003 ^a	N_Shelf-Body-cg22999343	1.241	0.31
N_Shore-Body-cg09932747	0.639	0.018 ^a	N_Shelf-3'UTR-cg04219580	1.119	0.59
N_Shore-Body-cg10849498	0.656	0.019 ^a	N_Shelf-3'UTR-cg13634177	0.689	0.035 ^a
N_Shore-Body-cg12655753	1.473	0.031 ^a	N_Shelf-3'UTR-cg15551272	0.43	0.854
N_Shore-Body-cg13033814	0.757	0.16	N_Shelf-3'UTR-cg26710347	0.681	0.029 ^a

^arepresents p < 0.05.

each MCODE component independently, we found that biological function was mainly correlated with protein domain specific binding, regulation of cell cycle process, and GTP binding, etc.

DISCUSSION

HCC is one of the most refractory malignant tumors, and HCC patients often have a poor prognosis because of late diagnosis. Thus, novel potential diagnostic and prognostic markers are urgently needed. Previous research reported that sialidase NEU1 is expressed at different levels in normal tissues and is strongly deregulated in different cancer, which showed the potential application of NEU1 in tumor diagnosis and therapy (Forcella et al., 2018). However, there have been scant studies on the correlation between NEU1 expression and human HCC, and the role of NEU1 in HCC still requires further mining.

Our study first investigated the expression of NEU1 in HCC with the ONCOMINE, GEO, and TCGA datasets, and the findings showed that NEU1 is highly expressed in HCC tissues in comparison with normal tissues. Moreover, the enhanced expression of NEU1 was confirmed in liver cancer cell lines, and we also found that NEU1 protein expression was upregulated in HCC tissues compared with normal tissues (HPA). Previous studies from two different groups have implied

that NEU1 is upregulated in hepatitis B-associated HCC (Wang et al., 2009; Amaddeo et al., 2015), which supported our findings. Furthermore, we observed that the NEU1 expression was significantly related to the T stage, pathologic stages, and grades, and a trend toward increased NEU1 expression with advanced cancer stages or grades. Recently, it has been found that NEU1 promoted the proliferation and migration of HCC by activating intracellular signaling pathways (Kong et al., 2020), and siRNA-mediated silencing of NEU1 was related to the decrease of proliferation and migration of HCC cells (Hou et al., 2016). Also, it was observed that there was a discrepancy between male and female, and the underlying mechanism need further investigation. Besides, NEU1 was upregulated in dead samples compared to alive samples, so we further analyze the prognostic role of NEU1 to evaluate its clinical utility.

In survival analysis, the KM curve revealed a notably shorter OS for all HCC patients with high NEU1 levels than those with low NEU1 levels. A trend toward poor PFS or DSS was seen in patients with high NEU1 expression, but this did not reach statistical significance. In stratified analyses, we found that NUE1 increased predicted worse OS in T1 stage and pathologic stage 1 subgroups, and the expression of NEU1 showed no statistical significance for the OS of pathologic stage 2/3 or T2/3 HCC patients. More importantly, not only univariate but also multivariate analyses in both TCGA and ICGC cohorts showed that NEU1 was an independent prognostic factor for patients with





HCC. It has been reported that NEU1 could regulate epithelial mesenchymal transformation which is thought to be involved in the invasion and metastasis of cancer, leading to poor prognosis (Wang et al., 2015). Accumulating research on genome-wide DNA methylation strongly supported the importance of differences in methylation of CpG sites between HCC tissues and paracancerous tissues (Wang et al., 2020). By using the MethSurv database, a total of 60 methylated CpG sites of NEU1 were identified, and over one-third of CpG sites were presented with prognostic value in HCC patients. These findings revealed that NEU1 may have clinical utility as a prognostic marker for HCC.

Although the correlation was not high, it is found that the expression of NEU1 was positively associated with the TMB score. Previous studies have indicated TMB is significantly

correlated with the prognosis of HCC patients (Shrestha et al., 2018) and is a predictive biomarker related to immunotherapy responses in cancers (Xie et al., 2020). Thus, future research on TMB should also pay attention to the role of NEU1. Moreover, the ssGSEA analysis showed that high NEU1 expression group had lower levels of B cells, CD8⁺ T cells, neutrophils, NK cells, pDCs, T helper cells, Th1 cells, and TIL than the low NEU1 expression group. The levels of antitumor infiltrating immune cells were low, indicating that the immune function of HCC patients with high NEU1 expression is generally impaired. Immune dysfunction was observed in patients with malignant tumors, which is one of the reasons for tumor progression (Najima et al., 2016). Specifically, tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cell responses are thought to be associated with a good

prognosis of HCC patients (Hofmann et al., 2021), and a significant positive correlation has been reported between the total number of NK cells and a favorable prognosis of HCC patients (Sun et al., 2017). Based on these findings, the poor prognosis of HCC patients with high NEU1 expression may be caused by decreased levels of overall antitumor immunity.

To further reveal the function of NUE1 and its similar genes, functional enrichment analysis was performed and pathways involving lysosome, protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, spliceosome, estrogen signaling pathway, hepatocellular carcinoma, dopaminergic synapse, and mTOR signaling pathway were found in the KEGG analysis. It is reported that hyperactivated lysosomes caused cancer and induced metastasis or tumor relapse (Radisavljevic, 2019), and tumor microenvironment could cause the redistribution of lysosomes toward the cell periphery, which enhanced invasion and metastasis by exocytosis of lysosomal hydrolases (Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020). An experimental study demonstrated that NEU1 siRNA can significantly suppress proliferation, apoptosis, and invasion of tumor cells by targeting lysosomal membrane proteins (CLN3 and CLN5) (Ren et al., 2016). One recent study indicated that genomic mutation, such as somatic changes in the genes encoding components of the spliceosome, often occurred in human tumors (Palangat et al., 2019), and a meta-analysis has shown that many genes of spliceosome pathway were upregulated in HCC (Xu et al., 2015). Additionally, targeting the spliceosome could reduce the proliferation of cancer cells (van Alphen et al., 2009). Recently, it has been found that the existence of rapid estrogen signaling in HCC could promote tumor growth (You et al., 2018). Hyperactivation of mTOR could promote the cell growth and metabolism that lead to tumor progression (Tian et al., 2019). The PI3K/Akt/mTOR is an important signal pathway in HCC carcinogenesis and plays a core role in promoting tumor cell proliferation (Xing et al., 2020). Moreover, hyperactivated lysosome causes permanent activation of AKT, which then controls lysosome and directly regulates cancer development and metastasis (Radisavljevic, 2019). Besides, the GO and PPI analyses demonstrated that NEU1 and its similar genes were involved in various biological processes and molecular functions, and some of them (e.g., autophagy, ncRNA metabolic process, and regulation of cell cycle process) were correlated with tumorigenesis. These results revealed that NEU1 could promote proliferation, migration, and invasion of HCC by regulating various tumor-

REFERENCES

- Amaddeo, G., Cao, Q., Ladeiro, Y., Imbeaud, S., Nault, J.-C., Jaoui, D., et al. (2015). Integration of Tumour and Viral Genomic Characterisations in HBV-Related Hepatocellular Carcinomas. *Gut* 64 (5), 820–829. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306228
- Amaral, M. L., Erikson, G. A., and Shokhirev, M. N. (2018). BART: Bioinformatics Array Research Tool. *BMC Bioinformatics* 19 (1), 296. doi:10.1186/s12859-018-2308-x
- Asplund, A., Edqvist, P.-H. D., Schwenk, J. M., and Pontén, F. (2012). Antibodies for Profiling the Human Proteome-The Human Protein Atlas as a Resource for Cancer Research. *Proteomics* 12 (13), 2067–2077. doi:10.1002/pmic.201100504

associated proteins and pathways. However, there were some deficiencies in the present study. The findings of survival analyses were based on databases, and more validations are warranted to verify our findings. Also, our research lacked experimental verification.

In general, NEU1 was significantly overexpressed in HCC tissues compared with normal liver tissues, suggesting that NEU1 may be used as a potential biomarker for HCC. Moreover, high expression of NEU1 could be a predictor of poor prognosis in HCC, especially in early-stage patients, and NEU1 was also an independent risk factor for the prognosis of HCC. This may be related to the regulation of cancer-associated pathways and the inhibition of immune function by NEU1. Additionally, multiple DNA methylated sites of NEU1 could provide prognosis assessment for HCC patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LP and ZW participated in the design of the study. LH analyzed and interpreted the data. ZW and LY drafted the manuscript, and LP edited it critically. XF revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the ONCOMINE, GEO, TCGA, ICGC, BART, CCLE, HPA, Kaplan-Meier plotter, MethSurv, GEPIA, and Metascape databases for providing open access.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2021.651525/full#supplementary-material

- Ballabio, A., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2020). Lysosomes as Dynamic Regulators of Cell and Organismal Homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cel Biol* 21 (2), 101–118. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0185-4
- Barrett, T., Wilhite, S. E., Ledoux, P., Evangelista, C., Kim, I. F., Tomashevsky, M., et al. (2013). NCBI GEO: Archive for Functional Genomics Data Sets-Update. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D991–D995. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1193
- Bonten, E., van der Spoel, A., Fornerod, M., Grosveld, G., and d'Azzo, A. (1996). Characterization of Human Lysosomal Neuraminidase Defines the Molecular Basis of the Metabolic Storage Disorder Sialidosis. *Genes Develop.* 10 (24), 3156–3169. doi:10.1101/gad.10.24.3156
- Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., and Jemal, A. (2018). Global Cancer Statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and

Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA: A Cancer J. Clinicians 68 (6), 394-424. doi:10.3322/caac.21492

- Chen, X., Cheung, S. T., So, S., Fan, S. T., Barry, C., Higgins, J., et al. (2002). Gene Expression Patterns in Human Liver Cancers. *MBoC* 13 (6), 1929–1939. doi:10.1091/mbc.02-02-0023
- Forcella, M., Mozzi, A., Stefanini, F. M., Riva, A., Epistolio, S., Molinari, F., et al. (2018). Deregulation of Sialidases in Human normal and Tumor Tissues. *Chm* 21 (3), 591–601. doi:10.3233/CBM-170548
- Ghandi, M., Huang, F. W., Jané-Valbuena, J., Kryukov, G. V., Lo, C. C., McDonald, E. R., 3rd, et al. (2019). Next-generation Characterization of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia. *Nature* 569 (7757), 503–508. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1186-3
- Grinchuk, O. V., Yenamandra, S. P., Iyer, R., Singh, M., Lee, H. K., Lim, K. H., et al. (2018). Tumor-adjacent Tissue Co-expression Profile Analysis Reveals Prooncogenic Ribosomal Gene Signature for Prognosis of Resectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Mol. Oncol.* 12 (1), 89–113. doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12153
- Hänzelmann, S., Castelo, R., and Guinney, J. (2013). GSVA: Gene Set Variation Analysis for Microarray and RNA-Seq Data. BMC Bioinformatics 14, 7. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-7
- Haxho, F., Neufeld, R. J., and Szewczuk, M. R. (2016). Neuraminidase-1: a Novel Therapeutic Target in Multistage Tumorigenesis. Oncotarget 7 (26), 40860–40881. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.8396
- Hofmann, M., Tauber, C., Hensel, N., and Thimme, R. (2021). CD8+ T Cell Responses during HCV Infection and HCC. Jcm 10 (5), 991. doi:10.3390/ jcm10050991
- Hou, G., Liu, G., Yang, Y., Li, Y., Yuan, S., Zhao, L., et al. (2016). Neuraminidase 1 (NEU1) Promotes Proliferation and Migration as a Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarker of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 7 (40), 64957–64966. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.11778
- Jia, J., Che, L., Cigliano, A., Wang, X., Peitta, G., Tao, J., et al. (2020). Pivotal Role of Fatty Acid Synthase in C-MYC Driven Hepatocarcinogenesis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (22), 8467. doi:10.3390/ijms21228467
- Jindal, A., Thadi, A., and Shailubhai, K. (2019). Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Etiology and Current and Future Drugs. J. Clin. Exp. Hepatol. 9 (2), 221–232. doi:10.1016/j.jceh.2019.01.004
- Kong, F., Li, N., Tu, T., Tao, Y., Bi, Y., Yuan, D., et al. (2020). Hepatitis B Virus Core Protein Promotes the Expression of Neuraminidase 1 to Facilitate Hepatocarcinogenesis. *Lab. Invest.* 100 (12), 1602–1617. doi:10.1038/s41374-020-0465-9
- Li, C.-W., Chiu, Y.-K., and Chen, B.-S. (2018). Investigating Pathogenic and Hepatocarcinogenic Mechanisms from Normal Liver to HCC by Constructing Genetic and Epigenetic Networks via Big Genetic and Epigenetic Data Mining and Genome-wide NGS Data Identification. *Dis. Markers* 2018, 1–22. doi:10.1155/2018/8635329
- Liu, J., Chen, Z., Zhao, P., and Li, W. (2020). Prognostic and Immune Regulating Roles of YIF1B in Pan-Cancer: a Potential Target for Both Survival and Therapy Response Evaluation. *Biosci. Rep.* 40 (7), BSR20201384. doi:10.1042/ BSR20201384
- Lv, T., Lv, H., Fei, J., Xie, Y., Lian, D., Hu, J., et al. (2020). p53-R273H Promotes Cancer Cell Migration via Upregulation of Neuraminidase-1. J. Cancer 11 (23), 6874–6882. doi:10.7150/jca.44718
- Menyhárt, O., Nagy, Á., and Győrffy, B. (2018). Determining Consistent Prognostic Biomarkers of Overall Survival and Vascular Invasion in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. R. Soc. Open Sci. 5 (12), 181006. doi:10.1098/ rsos.181006
- Modhukur, V., Iljasenko, T., Metsalu, T., Lokk, K., Laisk-Podar, T., and Vilo, J. (2018). MethSurv: a Web Tool to Perform Multivariable Survival Analysis Using DNA Methylation Data. *Epigenomics* 10 (3), 277–288. doi:10.2217/epi-2017-0118
- Nagy, Á., Lánczky, A., Menyhárt, O., and Győrffy, B. (2018). Validation of miRNA Prognostic Power in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Using Expression Data of Independent Datasets. Sci. Rep. 8 (1), 9227. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27521-y
- Najima, Y., Tomizawa-Murasawa, M., Saito, Y., Watanabe, T., Ono, R., Ochi, T., et al. (2016). Induction of WT1-specific Human CD8+ T Cells from Human HSCs in HLA Class I Tg NOD/SCID/IL2rgKO Mice. *Blood* 127 (6), 722–734. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-10-604777

- Palangat, M., Anastasakis, D. G., Fei, D. L., Lindblad, K. E., Bradley, R., Hourigan, C. S., et al. (2019). The Splicing Factor U2AF1 Contributes to Cancer Progression through a Noncanonical Role in Translation Regulation. *Genes Dev.* 33 (9-10), 482–497. doi:10.1101/gad.319590.118
- Radisavljevic, Z. (2019). Lysosome Activates AKT Inducing Cancer and Metastasis. J. Cel Biochem 120 (8), 12123–12127. doi:10.1002/jcb.28752
- Ren, L.-r., Zhang, L.-p., Huang, S.-y., Zhu, Y.-f., Li, W.-j., Fang, S.-y., et al. (2016). Effects of Sialidase NEU1 siRNA on Proliferation, Apoptosis, and Invasion in Human Ovarian Cancer. *Mol. Cel Biochem* 411 (1-2), 213–219. doi:10.1007/ s11010-015-2583-z
- Rhodes, D. R., Kalyana-Sundaram, S., Mahavisno, V., Varambally, R., Yu, J., Briggs,
 B. B., et al. (2007). Oncomine 3.0: Genes, Pathways, and Networks in a Collection of 18,000 Cancer Gene Expression Profiles. *Neoplasia* 9 (2), 166–180. doi:10.1593/neo.07112
- Roessler, S., Jia, H.-L., Budhu, A., Forgues, M., Ye, Q.-H., Lee, J.-S., et al. (2010). A Unique Metastasis Gene Signature Enables Prediction of Tumor Relapse in Early-Stage Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. *Cancer Res.* 70 (24), 10202–10212. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2607
- Schoenberg, M., Hao, J., Bucher, J., Miksch, R., Anger, H., Mayer, B., et al. (2018). Perivascular Tumor-Infiltrating Leukocyte Scoring for Prognosis of Resected Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. *Cancers* 10 (10), 389. doi:10.3390/ cancers10100389
- Schulze, K., Imbeaud, S., Letouzé, E., Alexandrov, L. B., Calderaro, J., Rebouissou, S., et al. (2015). Exome Sequencing of Hepatocellular Carcinomas Identifies New Mutational Signatures and Potential Therapeutic Targets. *Nat. Genet.* 47 (5), 505–511. doi:10.1038/ng.3252
- Shrestha, R., Prithviraj, P., Anaka, M., Bridle, K. R., Crawford, D. H. G., Dhungel, B., et al. (2018). Monitoring Immune Checkpoint Regulators as Predictive Biomarkers in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 8, 269. doi:10.3389/ fonc.2018.00269
- Sun, C., Xu, J., Huang, Q., Huang, M., Wen, H., Zhang, C., et al. (2017). High NKG2A Expression Contributes to NK Cell Exhaustion and Predicts a Poor Prognosis of Patients with Liver Cancer. *Oncoimmunology* 6 (1), e1264562. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2016.1264562
- Tang, Z., Li, C., Kang, B., Gao, G., Li, C., and Zhang, Z. (2017). GEPIA: a Web Server for Cancer and normal Gene Expression Profiling and Interactive Analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45 (W1), W98–W102. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx247
- Thulasiraman, P., Kerr, K., McAlister, K., Hardisty, S., Wistner, A., and McCullough, I. (2019). Neuraminidase 1 Regulates Proliferation, Apoptosis and the Expression of Cadherins in Mammary Carcinoma Cells. *Mol. Cel Biochem* 462 (1-2), 207–215. doi:10.1007/s11010-019-03623-7
- Tian, T., Li, X., and Zhang, J. (2019). mTOR Signaling in Cancer and mTOR Inhibitors in Solid Tumor Targeting Therapy. *Ijms* 20 (3), 755. doi:10.3390/ ijms20030755
- van Alphen, R. J., Wiemer, E. A. C., Burger, H., and Eskens, F. A. L. M. (2009). The Spliceosome as Target for Anticancer Treatment. *Br. J. Cancer* 100 (2), 228–232. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604801
- Wang, C.-H., Guo, Z.-Y., Chen, Z.-T., Zhi, X.-T., Li, D.-K., Dong, Z.-R., et al. (2015). TMPRSS4 Facilitates Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Is a Predictive Marker for Poor Prognosis of Patients after Curative Resection. Sci. Rep. 5, 12366. doi:10.1038/srep12366
- Wang, H.-W., Hsieh, T.-H., Huang, S.-Y., Chau, G.-Y., Tung, C.-Y., Su, C.-W., et al. (2013). Forfeited Hepatogenesis Program and Increased Embryonic Stem Cell Traits in Young Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) Comparing to Elderly HCC. BMC Genomics 14, 736. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-736
- Wang, S. M., Ooi, L. L. P. J., and Hui, K. M. (2007). Identification and Validation of a Novel Gene Signature Associated with the Recurrence of Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 13 (21), 6275–6283. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2236
- Wang, W., Peng, J. X., Yang, J. Q., and Yang, L. Y. (2009). Identification of Gene Expression Profiling in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Using cDNA Microarrays. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 54 (12), 2729–2735. doi:10.1007/s10620-008-0667-2
- Wang, X., Cheng, Y., Yan, L.-l., An, R., Wang, X.-y., and Wang, H.-y. (2020). Exploring DNA Methylation Profiles Altered in Cryptogenic Hepatocellular Carcinomas by High-Throughput Targeted DNA Methylation Sequencing: A Preliminary Study for Cryptogenic Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Ott* Vol. 13, 9901–9916. doi:10.2147/OTT.S267812

- Weinstein, J. N., Weinstein, J. N., Collisson, E. A., Mills, G. B., Shaw, K. R. M., Ozenberger, B. A., et al. (2013). The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer Analysis Project. *Nat. Genet.* 45 (10), 1113–1120. doi:10.1038/ng.2764
- Wu, Z., Yang, L., He, L., Wang, L., and Peng, L. (2020). Systematic Elucidation of the Potential Mechanisms of Core Chinese Materia Medicas in Treating Liver Cancer Based on Network Pharmacology. *Evidence-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2020, 1–13. doi:10.1155/2020/4763675
- Wu, Z., Zhang, Y., Chen, X., Tan, W., He, L., and Peng, L. (2020). Characterization of the Prognostic Values of the CXCR1-7 in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma (ccRCC) Microenvironment. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 601206. doi:10.3389/ fmolb.2020.601206
- Wurmbach, E., Chen, Y.-b., Khitrov, G., Zhang, W., Roayaie, S., Schwartz, M., et al. (2007). Genome-wide Molecular Profiles of HCV-Induced Dysplasia and Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Hepatology* 45 (4), 938–947. doi:10.1002/hep.21622
- Xie, F., Bai, Y., Yang, X., Long, J., Mao, J., Lin, J., et al. (2020). Comprehensive Analysis of Tumour Mutation burden and the Immune Microenvironment in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Int. Immunopharmacology* 89 (Pt), 107135. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107135
- Xing, J., Bhuria, V., Bui, K. C., Nguyen, M. L. T., Hu, Z., Hsieh, C.-J., et al. (2020). Haprolid Inhibits Tumor Growth of Hepatocellular Carcinoma through Rb/E2F and Akt/mTOR Inhibition. *Cancers* 12 (3), 615. doi:10.3390/cancers12030615
- Xu, W., Huang, H., Yu, L., and Cao, L. (2015). Meta-analysis of Gene Expression Profiles Indicates Genes in Spliceosome Pathway Are Up-Regulated in Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC). *Med. Oncol.* 32 (4), 96. doi:10.1007/ s12032-014-0425-6

- You, H., Meng, K., and Wang, Z.-Y. (2018). The ER-A36/EGFR Signaling Loop Promotes Growth of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells. *Steroids* 134, 78–87. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2018.02.007
- Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A. H., Tanaseichuk, O., et al. (2019). Metascape Provides a Biologist-Oriented Resource for the Analysis of Systems-Level Datasets. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 1523. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Wu, He, Yang, Fang and Peng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.