Cellular FRET-Biosensors to Detect Membrane Targeting Inhibitors of N-Myristoylated Proteins

Arafath Kaja Najumudeen¹⁹, Monika Köhnke²⁹, Maja Šolman¹, Kirill Alexandrov², Daniel Abankwa^{1,2}

1 Turku Centre for Biotechnology, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland, 2 The University of Queensland, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

Abstract

Hundreds of eukaryotic signaling proteins require myristoylation to functionally associate with intracellular membranes. Nmyristoyl transferases (NMT) responsible for this modification are established drug targets in cancer and infectious diseases. Here we describe NANOMS (NANOclustering and Myristoylation Sensors), biosensors that exploit the FRET resulting from plasma membrane nanoclustering of myristoylated membrane targeting sequences of $G\alpha_{i2}$, Yes- or Src-kinases fused to fluorescent proteins. When expressed in mammalian cells, NANOMS report on loss of membrane anchorage due to chemical or genetic inhibition of myristoylation e.g. by blocking NMT and methionine-aminopeptidase (Met-AP). We used Yes-NANOMS to assess inhibitors of NMT and a cherry-picked compound library of putative Met-AP inhibitors. Thus we successfully confirmed the activity of DDD85646 and fumagillin in our cellular assay. The developed assay is unique in its ability to identify modulators of signaling protein nanoclustering, and is amenable to high throughput screening for chemical or genetic inhibitors of functional membrane anchorage of myristoylated proteins in mammalian cells.

Citation: Najumudeen AK, Köhnke M, Šolman M, Alexandrov K, Abankwa D (2013) Cellular FRET-Biosensors to Detect Membrane Targeting Inhibitors of N-Myristoylated Proteins. PLoS ONE 8(6): e66425. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066425

Editor: Matthew Bogyo, Stanford University, United States of America

Received December 14, 2012; Accepted May 8, 2013; Published June 18, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Najumudeen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported National Health and Medical Research Council grant 569652 (Australia), ARC grant DP1094080 (Australia) (www.nhmrc.gov. au//www.arc.gov.au/). Additional support was provided by the Academy of Finland fellowship grant (252381), the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, the Cancer Society of Finland and the Marie-Curie Reintegration Grant to DA (268271). AKN is grateful for support by the graduate school, National Doctoral Programme in Informational and Structural Biology (ISB) (http://web.abo.fi/isb/). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: daniel.abankwa@btk.fi (DA); k.alexandrov@uq.edu.au (KA)

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Covalent protein lipidation is an important protein modification in eukaryotic cells that enables the reversible association of hundreds of proteins with the membrane. Protein lipid transferases, i.e. prenyl-transferases, myristoyl- and palmitoyl-transferases attach lipid moieties in particular to signaling proteins [1]. Most of these transferases are well established drug targets in a number of diseases, most notably cancer [2–5]. They may be regarded as surrogate targets, as their protein substrates such as for instance Ras-superfamily proteins are very difficult to target directly.

Inhibition of lipid transferases renders their protein substrates cytoplasmic thereby dramatically reducing their biological activity as exemplified by the important oncoproteins Src- [6,7] and Ras [8,9]. It has been shown that $\sim 40\%$ of membrane associated Ras molecules are concentrated in 6–20 nm signaling packages, termed nanoclusters that contain 6–8 Ras molecules [10–12]. Nanoclustering is essential for Ras activity and disruption of clustering leads to a reduction in Ras activity and prevents its robust biological signaling [13]. These experimental data are supported by computational simulations, which suggest that lipid-anchors of Ras spontaneously organize into membrane nanocluster in mammalian cells [14,15]. Due to the high local protein density, nanoclustering can be detected by FRET, if the nanoclustered polypeptides are fused to FRET-fluorophores, such as mCFP and mCit [16–19].

While there are already numerous inhibitors for the Ras modifying farnesyltransferase and geranylgeranyltransferase in preclinical and clinical trials [20,5], there is a paucity of potent and specific inhibitors of other lipid transferases, including Nmyristoyltransferases (NMT). N-myristoylation is the co-translational and irreversible attachment of a myristoyl-group to an Nterminal glycine (typically in the consensus sequence MGXXXS/ T) via an amide linkage [21-23]. It involves N-terminal methionine cleavage by one of the two human methionine amino-peptidases (Met-AP 1 and 2), followed by NMT catalyzed transfer of myristate from myristoyl-CoA to the glycine on position two. Bioinformatic analysis suggests that 0.5% of the eukaryotic proteome is myristoylated making this one of the most frequent posttranslational protein modifications [24,25]. In vertebrates two N-myristoyltransferase homologues NMT 1 and 2 have been identified, but only limited information is available on their peptide substrate specificity [26-28]. Heterotrimieric G protein alpha-subunits of the Gi-subfamily are co-translationally myristoylated on their N-terminus and undergo cycles of re/depalmitoylation in cells that regulate their membrane localization [29,30]. Similarly, Src-family kinases are targeted to the plasma membrane by myristoylation in combination with palmitoylation or a polybasic stretch of amino acids at their N-terminus [1].

NMT1 (but not NMT2) knockdown was shown to inhibit tumor growth, which can be rationalized by the fact that NMT substrates include proto-oncogenic Src-family kinases [31,32]. This validates NMT as a direct target in cancer [32]. In addition, myristoylated small GTPases of the Arf-family and NMT itself have been confirmed as targets in human pathogenic parasitic diseases caused by *Trypanosoma brucei* and *Leishmania major* [24,33]. Finally, NMT is also a pharmacological target in viral and bacterial infections, as viruses and bacteria hijack the myristoylation machinery of the host cell [34].

Currently only few inhibitors of membrane anchorage of myristoylated proteins are known. Inhibitors of Met-AP, such as pyridine-2-carboxylic acid derivatives are known to specifically block human Met-AP1 and prevent progression through G_2/M of the cell cycle [35]. Inhibitors of Met-AP2, such as fumagillin and derivatives, inhibit angiogenesis, while dual specificity bengamide A affects the cell cycle [36,37].

First generation NMT-inhibitors such as Tris dibenzylidenacetone dipalladium (Tris DBA) inhibited NMT1 with $IC_{50} = 1.0 \ \mu M$ *in vitro*, blocked MAPK and Akt signaling in cells, and demonstrated anti-tumor activity in a mouse melanoma model [38]. Despite this high medical relevance, only recently a first nanomolar inhibitor of *Trypanosoma brucei* NMT, the pathogen of sleeping sickness, was identified [39].

The myristoyl group is often complemented by palmitoylation for plasma membrane targeting [1]. Palmitoylation is carried out by the DHHC-family of palmitoyltransferases (PATs) [40,41]. The most commonly used inhibitor of protein palmitoylation is 2bromopalmitate [1,42]. However, this compound is active only at relatively high concentrations of 100 μ M as a broad-spectrum inhibitor that also affects myristoylation. Other identified lipidic inhibitors were shown to exhibit only low mM activity [43]. However, recent insight into the palmitoylation cycle of the cell has led to the development of promising inhibitors of acyl-protein thioesterase 1 (APT 1), which hydrolyzes the palmitoyl-ester bond [44,45].

Here we report the design and application of three FRETbiosensors that can detect membrane anchorage of N-myristoylated proteins in mammalian cells. These biosensors exploit nanoclustering-induced FRET making them therefore in addition uniquely suitable for the detection of novel nanocluster modulators. Such modulators may represent a novel class of pharmacological compounds that attenuate the action of membrane anchored signaling molecules. We demonstrate that these biosensors report on the inhibition of NMTs and Met-APs and can potentially be employed in cell-based high-throughput screening.

Results and Discussion

Design and Application of NANOclustering and Myristolyation Sensors (NANOMS)

In order to design biosensors that would detect functional membrane anchorage of myristoylated proteins in mammalian cells, we exploited the fact that fluorescently tagged myristoylated membrane anchors can display high FRET due to nanoclustering [16].

We constructed three myristoylation biosensors that exploit nanoclustering-FRET, by genetically fusing the N-terminal membrane targeting sequences of the heterotrimeric G protein subunit $G\alpha_{i2}$, Yes- or Src-kinases to the fluorescent proteins mCFP and mCit (**Figure 1**). We termed the resulting FRET-biosensors NANOMS (NANOclustering and Myristoylation Sensors).

On a two dimensional surface, such as a biological membrane, FRET depends on the donor-acceptor ratio and the density of the fluorophores [46]. We therefore analyzed the dependence of FRET on the acceptor expression level at constant donor-acceptor ratio of 1:1, using a flow cytometer with a previously established protocol [16,17,19]. This allowed us to monitor the full expression range of the biosensors in cells at high throughput (tens of cells per second). At high acceptor expression levels, we determined the FRET-parameter E_{max} (**Figure S1**), which reports on membrane nanoclustering and therefore also on functional membrane anchorage (a prerequisite for nanoclustering) [16,17,19] (**Figure 1**). As compared to imaging-based high-content data analysis, this parameter elegantly integrates the intricate subcellular distribution of the fluorescently tagged membrane-targeting motifs into a single, relevant FRET-parameter.

NANOMS Report on Chemical Inhibition of NMT in Mammalian Cells

BHK cells expressing Yes-NANOMS showed a very high E_{max} value of >0.4, indicating strong nanoclustering. When they were treated with 4 μ M of the potent NMT-inhibitor DDD85646 [39], the E_{max} value significantly decreased by >50% to ~0.2. FRET-imaging confirmed the loss of FRET on the plasma membrane, due to cytoplasmic redistribution of the biosensor (**Figure 2B**). The E_{max} -level found after DDD85646-treatment corresponded to the FRET level of a non-myristoylatable mutant of this biosensor, where the myristoylated glycine was converted into an alanine (**Figure 2A**).

The other biosensors showed a much lower E_{max} values of ~0.3 (Src-NANOMS) and ~0.2 (Gi2-NANOMS), which were also significantly reduced by DDD85646 treatment. However, FRET-levels were not quite as low as that of the G/A-myristoylation site mutated Src-biosensor, suggesting that the compound did not fully inhibit nanoclustering-FRET of the Src-biosensor with our treatment protocol (**Figure 2A**). Both Yes- and Src-NANOMS dose-dependently responded to inhibition of NMT-activity by DDD85646-treatment, with similar submicromolar IC₅₀ values of 0.75±0.15 μ M and 0.30±0.06 μ M, respectively (**Figure 2 C**).

We confirmed the response to NMT-inhibition in HEK293 EBNA cells, where we observed that the nanoclustering FRET parameter E_{max} of Yes- and Src-NANOMS was significantly reduced upon DDD85646-treatment (**Figure S2**).

Using Gi2-NANOMS in BHK cells, we demonstrated that the response to inhibitors of myristoylation was specific, as other lipid modification inhibitors such as a farnesyl transferase inhibitor (FTI) and a statin did not elicit a response (**Figure S3A**). Testing of weaker inhibitors of NMT, such as myristoleic acid and TDP (Tris (dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium), or halogenated-palmitates, as *bona fide* inhibitors of palmitoylation, (**Figures S2 B,C, S3 B–D**) correspondingly resulted in no or only small decreases of the E_{max} values of the biosensors in both BHK and HEK293 cell lines. Differences between observed cellular and the reported *in vitro* activity, may reflect limited bioavailability of these compounds.

In summary, NANOMS respond specifically to potent inhibitors of myristoylation. The high E_{max} and dose-response characteristics of Yes-NANOMS suggest that it is well suited for monitoring myristoylation inhibition in cells.

NANOMS Report on siRNA-mediated NMT Knockdown

To further confirm that NANOMS report on NMT activity in mammalian cells, we knocked down human NMT1 and NMT2 in HEK293 cell lines and monitored the effect on the FRET of Yesand Gi2-NANOMS. In agreement with our chemical inhibition data, knockdown of NMT1 lead to a significant decrease in E_{max} for both biosensors (**Figure 3A, B**), while knockdown of NMT2 alone did not lead to any response. Consistent with the latter observation, co-knockdown of NMT1 and NMT2 in cells expressing Gi2-NANOMS did not augment the response as



В

Figure 1. Design and reporting principle of NANOMS. FRET-biosensor design of the three different NANOMS. (**A**) The myristoylated N-terminal membrane-targeting motifs of mouse $G\alpha_{i2}$ (residues 1–35), human Yes (1–17)- and human Src (1–16)-kinases were genetically fused to the N-terminus of fluorescent proteins mCFP or mCit. The sequence of the employed membrane-targeting motifs can be found in **Table S2**. (**B**) Intracellular processing involves cleavage of the N-terminal methionine (grey) by methionine amino-peptidase (Met-AP), NMT-mediated myristoylation on glycine 2 (yellow) and depending on the motif cysteine-palmitoylation (red). (**C**) Lipid modified reporters spontaneously organize into plasma membrane nanocluster. Tight packing of membrane targeted donor (mCFP)- and acceptor (mCit)-fluorophores (blue and yellow squares, respectively) in nanocluster leads to FRET. FRET can decrease due to loss of nanoclustering or cytoplasmic redistribution of the NANOMS after inhibitor treatment. As membrane anchorage is required for the functioning of myristoylated proteins, NANOMS report on functional membrane anchorage. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066425.g001

compared to NMT1-inhibition alone (**Figure 3B**). This indicates that NMT1 is the principal modifying enzyme for both Yes- and Gi2-NANOMS. Therefore our knockdown experiments confirmed that Yes- and Gi2-NANOMS specifically report on the NMT-activity in cells.

Screening of a Cherry-picked Chemical Library with Yes-NANOMS

Finally we explored whether Yes-NANOMS is suitable for screening of chemical compounds that would block its membrane attachment. Inhibitors of Met-APs have been successfully used to block membrane anchorage and activity of myristoylated proteins [36]. Fumagillin is a known inhibitor of human methionine aminopeptidase 2 (Met-AP2) that possesses anti-angiogenic activity [37]. Another new class of dual-specific Met-AP inhibitors are bengamides and derivatives, which efficiently block Src-activity and have significant antitumor activity *in vivo* [36,47,48]. We therefore collected compounds with chemical structures similar to fumagillin (oxygenated six-membered ring) or bengamide A (long chain fatty acids) and tested their activity on BHK cells expressing Yes-NANOMS (Figure 4A).

The assay had an excellent Z'-score of 0.60, when using the compound DDD85646 at 4 μ M as a positive control. Two homoserine lactones and reveromycin B appeared to reduce the E_{max} value. However, only fumagillin decreased the E_{max} value highly significantly which is in agreement with its inhibitory activity against Met-AP2 (Figure 4B).

In conclusion, Yes-NANOPS is suitable for screening of chemical compound libraries and should have similar potential also for genetic screening applications.

In summary, our cytometric assay merges the benefits of imaging-based high content screening and plate reader based cellular assays. The E_{max} value rapidly integrates essential features of the subcellular localization that is commonly obtained by cell imaging. On the other hand, the assay can be carried out at a rate comparable to that of conventional plate reader based assays.

Most importantly, our assay has the unique potential for the discovery of nanoclustering modulators of myristoylated proteins, which may provide a new approach for their pharmacological modulation. The importance of nanoclustering has been demonstrated for Ras signaling [17,49,50] and by analogy [51], we expect that inhibition of nanoclustering of myristoylated proteins will critically affect their signaling activity, too. Our previous data showed that heterotrimeric G protein alpha subunits from the $G\alpha_q$ and $G\alpha_{i/o}$ subfamily laterally segregate into distinct membrane nanodomains [16,24,33]. This may suggest that with the help of our FRET-biosensors inhibitors against specific nanoclusters can be developed.

Our assay is flexible and can be adapted to other cell lines, provided that they allow for sufficiently high expression of the biosensor to determine the E_{max} parameter. It is even conceivable to implement the biosensors in protozoan pathogens, e.g. in order to understand the mechanism of action of membrane organization disrupting compounds [34,52].

These features, the discovery of novel nanocluster inhibitors and the potential for a cellular high-throughput assay, clearly

A

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org



Figure 2. NANOMS report on chemical inhibition of NMT. (A) FRET-responses of Yes-, Src- and Gi2-NANOMS transfected BHK cells treated with 4 μ M of the specific NMT inhibitor DDD85646. The error bars denote the s.e.m (n = 5). Samples were statistically compared with the untreated control. See Methods for more information on statistical analysis. (**B**) Confocal sensitized acceptor FRET-imaging of Yes-NANOMS expressed in BHK cells. Cells were treated as indicated. Top row shows acceptor channel images, and bottom row FRET images. The look-up table shows the FRET-index FR, color coded with high FRET levels in black and yellow (value 1) indicating no FRET. Scale bar is representative for all images and corresponds to 10 μ m. (**C**) Dose-response curves of the effect of DDD85646 on the E_{max} values of Yes- and Src-NANOMS expressed in BHK cells (n = 6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066425.q002

distinguish our assay from existing formats. The standard assay for N-myristoylation is radioactive and albeit successful even in the high-throughput setting [38,39], not really optimal towards that goal. Only recently two complementary non-radioactive *in vitro* assays have been published. The first detects fluorometrically the released CoA-SH and is thus generally sensitive to hydrolyzing compounds in the screening context [39,53]. In the second assay a click-chemistry amenable myristate-analogue is utilized and detected by an ELISA-assay like procedure in both cellular and tissue samples [54].

In conclusion, the assays described here have a unique potential for the discovery and validation of both chemical and biological modulators of functional membrane anchorage of myristoylated proteins in mammalian cells.

Methods

Plasmids and Molecular Cloning

pN_Src16_mCFP-N1, Plasmids pN_Src16_mCit-N1, pN_mutSrc16_mCFP-N1. pN_mutSrc16_mCit-N1, pN_Yes17_mCit-N1, pN_Yes17_mCFP-N1, pN_mut Yes17_mCit-N1 and pN_mutYes17_mCFP-N1 were cloned in a twostep PCR reaction where the specific N-terminal membranetargeting sequences were added to the N termini of mCit or mCFP from the vector pmCit-N1 or pmCFP-N1. In the case of plasmids pN_Src16_mCit-N1 and pN_Src16_mCFP-N1, 16 amino acids from N-terminus of Homo sapiens c-Src (NM 005417) were added using forward primer 5'-CCCAAGGATGCCAGC-CAGCGGCGCGCGCGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG-3' (Sigma Aldrich) in the first PCR reaction and forward primer 5'-CGCTAGCACCATGGGTAGCAACAAGAGCAAGCC-CAAGGATG-3' in the second PCR reaction. To construct plasmids pN_mutSrc16_mCit-N1 and pN_mutSrc16_mCFP-N1 in which the myristoylated glycine 2 was mutated to alanine, forward primer in the second PCR reaction was: 5'-CGCTAG-CACCATGGCTAGCAACAAGAGCAAGCCCAAGGATG-3'. plasmids the case of pN_Yes17_mCit-N1 In and pN_Yes17_mCFP-N1, 17 amino acids from the N-terminus of Yamaguchi sarcoma viral oncogene homolog 1 (NM 005433) were added using forward primer 5'- GAAAACAAAGTCCAGC-CATTAAATACAGAGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG-3' in the first PCR reaction and forward primer 5'-CGCTAGCACCA TGGGCTGCATTAAAAGTAAAGAAAACAAAAGTC-3' in the second PCR reaction. To construct plasmids pN_mutYes17_mCit-N1 and pN_mutYes17_mCFP-N1 where the myristoylation site, glycine 2, was mutated to alanine and palmitoylation site cysteine 3, was mutated to serine, forward primer in the second PCR reaction was: 5'- CGCTAGCACCATGGCCAG-CATTAAAAGTAAAGAAAAAAAAAGTC-3'. Reverse primer used in all PCR reactions was: 5'- CGCGGCCGCTTTACTTG-TACAGCTCGTCCATG-3'. PCR products were gel purified and subcloned into pCRII-Blunt-TOPO (Life Technologies Corporation) and from there they were subcloned into the BsrGI and NheI restriction sites of the pmCFP-C1 vector. The backbone of pmCit-N1 and pmCFP-N1 vectors is a pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) in which EGFP was replaced by mCit or mCFP between NheI and BsrGI restriction sites. The plasmids pN_Gi2.mCFP-N1 and pN_Gi2.mCit-N1 have been described previously [16]. Final constructs were verified by sequencing (GATC Biotech AG, Cologne, Germany).

Cell Culture

BHK 21 cells (Sigma-Aldrich) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with



Figure 3. NANOMS reports on RNAi-mediated depletion of NMT. (A) HEK293 EBNA cells transiently expressing Yes-NANOMS and (B) HEK293 cells transiently expressing Gi2-NANOMS were treated with NMT1 or NMT2 specific siRNAs or control siRNA. Knock-down efficiencies are shown in Figure S4. The characteristic E_{max} -value was determined on flow cytometric FRET data. The error bars denote the s.e.m (n = 4). Samples were statistically compared with the untreated control. See Methods for more on statistical analysis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066425.g003

10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, # 26140079), 100 U/ ml penicillin G and 100 U/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen, #15070-063). HEK293 cells (Sigma-Aldrich) were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% Glutamine (Invitrogen, # 25030-081), 1% non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen, # 11140050), 100 U/ ml penicillin G and 100 U/ml streptomycin. HEK293 EBNA cells were cultured adherent in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich, # D6171), containing 5% FBS, 100 U/ mL penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, # G7513). All cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2. Transfections were performed with jetPRIME (Polyplus transfection) according to the manufacturer's instructions in a 6-well plate. On the next day cells were transferred to a 96-well plate with a density of 5×10^4 cells per well. The compound stocks were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma Aldrich, #41641) and diluted in cell-culture medium for experiments to give a final DMSO concentration below 0.3%. All inhibitors (Table S3) were added to cultured cells 24 h after transfection and thereafter cultures were incubated for an additional 24 h.

Confocal FRET-imaging

BHK 21 cells were grown on coverslips, transfected with FuGene6 (Roche), after 24 hours they were treated with compounds and 24 hours later fixed with 4% PFA (Sigma, #P6148) in PBS and mounted on microscopic slides using Mowiol 4-88 (Sigma, # 81381). A Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope with a $63 \times / 1.4$ oil DIC immersion objective was used to record 12 bit 512 \times 512 fluorescent images, using 200 μ m pinhole size and $0.09 \,\mu\text{m}$ pixel size in the frame mode with $8 \times$ averaging. Sensitized acceptor emission FRET images were acquired using the three-cube method, with the following settings of donor (ex 405 nm, 5% laser power, em 480-520 nm), acceptor (ex 514 nm, 2% laser power, em 535-590 nm) and FRET (ex 405 nm, 5% laser power, em 535-590 nm) channels. Lsm images were converted into Tiff using Fiji [55] and processed further to calculate the sensitized acceptor FRET-index FR [56] in a custom written procedure in IgorPro6 (Wavemetrics, Oregon), as described previously [17,57].

Chemical Screen

Chemical library screens were performed with BHK21 cells seeded in 6-well plates and transfected with Yes-NANOMS using jetPRIME (Polyplus transfection) following the manufacturer's instructions. 18 h after transfection cells were split to clear flat bottom 96 well plates with 5×10^4 cells per well with complete DMEM medium. After the cells have attached (usually 5-7 h), they were treated with compounds from the library. The compound stocks were prepared in 100% DMSO and stored at -20° C until use. Prior to use the compounds were brought to room temperature and were diluted to a final drug concentration of 10 µM/mL in the growth medium with a final DMSO concentration of under 0.3%. The cells were treated with the compounds for 24 h; afterwards cells were detached with 75 µL of 10 mM EDTA in PBS and fixed using an equal volume of 4% PFA (Sigma, # P6148) in PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature. The samples were stored at 4°C until analysed. The screen was performed with at least three independent biological repeats. Each 96-well plate was designed to have an internal DDD85646 dose response control.

Flow Cytometric FRET Analysis

The measurements were performed on a FACS LSRII (BD biosciences) equipped with a high throughput sampler, using the following filters for donor- (405 nm excitation, 450/50 nm emission filter), acceptor- (488 nm, excitation, 585/42 nm emission filter) and FRET-channel (488 nm excitation, 530/30 nm emission filter). The flow cytometer data were analyzed for FRET with a custom written procedure in IgorPro6 (Wavemetrics), as described [16,19]. In brief, doublet discrimination was implemented to measure signals of single cells. For normalized acceptor level calibration, cA, FITC beads (Bangs Laboratories) with a defined size and fluorescein content were used as described previously. A mCFP-mCit fusion protein was used to calibrate for the FRET efficiency and donor-acceptor ratio. Only cells with a donor mole-fraction, $x_{\rm D} = 0.5 \pm 0.1$ were analyzed. The $E_{\rm max}$ value was determined as described [17].



Anisomycin



Curvularin

Integracin A

В

А



Figure 4. Cherry-picked chemical compound library screen with Yes-NANOMS. (A) Chemical structures of chemical compounds that were included in the cherry-picked chemical library. (B) BHK21 cells were transfected with Yes-NANOMS and screened with shown chemical compounds at a final concentration of 10 μ M/mL. FRET-response of Yes-NANOMS to the chemical compounds is represented with E_{max} values. Block line indicates the average E_{max} and the error bars denote the s.e.m (n≥4). Samples were statistically compared with the untreated control. See Methods for more on statistical analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066425.g004

siRNA Knockdown Experiments and RT-PCR Quantification

HEK293 were seeded in a 12-well plate and siRNA transfection was performed on the next day with Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions with a final concentration of 40 nM siRNA in the medium. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection; RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis (CellSure, Bioline) were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. The real-time PCR reactions consisted of cDNA template (diluted 1:20), forward and reverse primers (200 nM final concentration), and Platinum SYBR Green qPCR Supermix-UDG (Invitrogen) in a total volume of 20 µL. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as normalization reference. Quantitative real time PCR was carried out in triplicate on indicated number of independent templates on a 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). For analysis of the Ct values the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method was applied. To test for contamination standard control PCR reactions were performed. For FACS analysis of the knockdown, DNA transfection of the biosensor constructs with FuGene6 was performed 24 h after siRNA transfection. The cells were analyzed for FRET on the flow cytometer as described above, 48 h after siRNA transfection. A table with employed siRNAs is given in **Table S1**.

Statistical Analysis

Significant differences between mean values of inhibitor treated samples and mean values of untreated samples were analyzed using two-tailed Student's t-tests in GraphPad Prism. Confidence p-levels are given above columns and in addition indicated by asterisks, with * denoting p<0.05, ** denoting p<0.01, and *** denoting p<0.001. The mean IC₅₀ values for inhibition were calculated from six independent experiments and data were analyzed in GraphPad Prism by nonlinear regression analysis on log (inhibitor) versus (normalized) response with a Hill Slope of -1.0 using the Marquardt method. Z' scores were calculated on the control data in the chemical screen from the following formula $Z' = 1 - (3 \cdot \sigma_{pos} + 3 \cdot \sigma_{neg})/(|\mu_{pos} - \mu_{neg}|)$, with $\sigma_{pos/neg}$: SDs of positive and negative controls, respectively, and $\mu_{pos/neg}$: averages of positive and negative controls, respectively [58].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Plots of processed cytometer FRET-data of Yes-NANOMS with treatment. Three sets of examples for the cytometer FRET-curves from the Yes-biosensor with different levels of DDD85646 mediated NMT inhibition are shown. BHK cells transiently overexpressing the biosensor were treated with increasing concentrations of DDD85646 as indicated. The data processing is done as described in methods. The plots show the dependence of the FRET-efficiency, E, on the accessible acceptor concentration at a constant donor-acceptor ratio of ~ 1:1. The characteristic E_{max} -value was determined by exponential fitting (red curve) of single cell data (black dots). (EPS)

Figure S2 Response to myristoylation inhibitors in HEK293 EBNA cells. FRET-responses of Yes- and Src-NANOMS transfected HEK293 EBNA cells treated with the specified concentrations of (\mathbf{A}) DDD85646, (\mathbf{B}) myristoleic acid (MA) and (\mathbf{C}) Tris (dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium (TDP). The Emaxvalue was determined on flow cytometric FRET data. The error bars denote the s.e.m. Samples were statistically compared with the untreated control. See Methods for more information on statistical analysis.



Figure S3 Response to weak myristoylation and palmitoylation inhibitors in BHK cells. (A) Control experiment showing that chemical inhibition with a farnesyl-transferase inhibitor or HMG-CoA inhibitor did not lead to a significant response. Gi2-NANOMS transfected BHK cells were treated with the specific farnesyltransferase inhibitor FTI277 (a CAAX-box peptidomimetic) or the statin compactin (5 µM). The effect on the characteristic E_{max}-value was determined by flow cytometric FRET analysis. (B) Yes- and Src-NANOMS transfected BHK21 cells did not show a significant response to other weak myristoylation inhibitors like Tris (dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium (TDP) (5 µg/mL) or myristoleic acid (MA) (0.2 mM). (C) FRET-responses of Gi2-NANOMS transfected BHK cells treated with indicated concentrations of myristoleic acid. A significant reduction of FRET is seen only at concentrations above 1 mM. For comparison, in a radioactive *in vitro* assay with human NMT the IC₅₀ of myristoleic acid was 0.85 μ M [1]. (**D**) FRET-responses of Gi2-NANOMS transfected BHK cells treated with 100 µM of the weak acylation inhibitors 2-bromopalmitate and 2-fluoropalmitate with 5 μ M compactin as a negative control. Of note, fatty acid derivatives are known to affect both palmitoylation and myristoylation [1-3]. We previously confirmed this by observing that myristoleic acid dose dependently decreased the E_{max} of our biosensor Ras-NANOPS [4]. Therefore, we cannot rule out that the observed response of Gi2-NANOMS to 2-fluoropalmitate reflects inhibition of NMTs. The characteristic E_{max}-value was determined on flow cytometric FRET data. The error bars denote the s.e.m. Samples were statistically compared with the untreated control. See Methods for more information on statistical analysis. (EPS)

Figure S4 Knock-down efficiencies of NMT1 and NMT2. (**A**, **B**) RT-PCR data of siRNA mediated NMT knockdown. The knockdown efficiencies of (**B**) NMT1 and (**C**) NMT 2 transcripts were determined by quantitative real-time PCR. HEK293 cells were treated with three different NMT1 or NMT2 siRNAs or control siRNA (final concentration 40 nM). The mRNA expression levels were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and are expressed relative to untreated control. Mean values and SEM of three repeats are given. Samples were statistically compared with siRNA control. See Methods for more information on statistical analysis.

(EPS)

 Table S1
 Sequences of siRNA oligonucleotides used in this study.

 (DOC)

Table S2Membrane-targeting peptide sequences used to designthe respective NANOMS in this study.

(DOC)

 Table S3
 Chemical compounds used in the study.

 (DOC)
 (DOC)

Acknowledgments

Imaging and flow cytometry was performed at the Cell Imaging Core, Turku Centre for Biotechnology. We thank Veronika Schreiber for technical help. We are grateful to Prof. Paul G. Wyatt for kindly providing us with the NMT inhibitor DDD85646. We thank Dr. Ernest Lacey from

References

- Resh MD (2006) Trafficking and signaling by fatty-acylated and prenylated proteins. Nat Chem Biol 2: 584–590. doi:10.1038/nchembio834.
- Sebti SM, Hamilton AD (2000) Farnesyltransferase and geranylgeranyltransferase I inhibitors and cancer therapy: lessons from mechanism and bench-tobedside translational studies. Oncogene 19: 6584–6593. doi:10.1038/ sj.onc.1204146.
- Gelb MH, Van Voorhis WC, Buckner FS, Yokoyama K, Eastman R, et al. (2003) Protein farnesyl and N-myristoyl transferases: piggy-back medicinal chemistry targets for the development of antitrypanosomatid and antimalarial therapeutics. Mol Biochem Parasitol 126: 155–163. doi:10.1016/S0166-6851(02)00282-7.
- Selvakumar P, Lakshmikuttyamma A, Shrivastav A, Das SB, Dimmock JR, et al. (2007) Potential role of N-myristoyltransferase in cancer. Prog Lipid Res 46: 1– 36. doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2006.05.02
- Blum R, Cox AD, Kloog Y (2008) Inhibitors of chronically active ras: potential for treatment of human malignancies. Recent patents on anti-cancer drug discovery 3: 31–47. doi:10.2174/157489208783478702.
- Cross FR, Garber EA, Pellman D, Hanafusa H (1984) A short sequence in the p60src N terminus is required for p60src myristylation and membrane association and for cell transformation. Mol Cell Biol 4: 1834–1842. doi:10.1128/MCB.4.9.1834.
- Kamps MP, Buss JE, Sefton BM (1985) Mutation of NH2-terminal glycine of p60src prevents both myristoylation and morphological transformation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82: 4625–4628.
- Willumsen BM, Christensen A, Hubbert NL, Papageorge AG, Lowy DR (1984) The p21 ras C-terminus is required for transformation and membrane association. Nature 310: 583–586. doi:10.1038/310583a0.
- Hancock JF, Magee AI, Childs JE, Marshall CJ (1989) All ras proteins are polyisoprenylated but only some are palmitoylated. Cell 57: 1167–1177. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90054-8.
- Abankwa D, Gorfe AA, Hancock JF (2007) Ras nanoclusters: molecular structure and assembly. Semin Cell Dev Biol 18: 599–607. doi:10.1016/ j.semcdb.2007.08.003.
- Prior IA, Harding A, Yan J, Sluimer J, Parton RG, et al. (2001) GTP-dependent segregation of H-ras from lipid rafts is required for biological activity. Nat Cell Biol 3: 368–375. doi:10.1038/35070050.
- Plowman SJ, Muncke C, Parton RG, Hancock JF (2005) H-ras, K-ras, and inner plasma membrane raft proteins operate in nanoclusters with differential dependence on the actin cytoskeleton. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 15500– 15505. doi:10.1073/pnas.0504114102.
- Tian T, Harding A, Inder K, Plowman S, Parton RG, et al. (2007) Plasma membrane nanoswitches generate high-fidelity Ras signal transduction. Nat Cell Biol 9: 905–914. doi:10.1038/ncb1615.
- Li Z, Janosi L, Gorfe AA (2012) Formation and Domain Partitioning of H-ras Peptide Nanoclusters: Effects of Peptide Concentration and Lipid Composition. J Am Chem Soc: 17;134(41):17278–85. doi:10.1021/ja307716z.
- Janosi L, Li Z, Hancock JF, Gorfe AA (2012) Organization, dynamics, and segregation of Ras nanoclusters in membrane domains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 8097–8102. doi:10.1073/pnas.1200773109.
- Abankwa D, Vogel H (2007) A FRET map of membrane anchors suggests distinct microdomains of heterotrimeric G proteins. J Cell Sci 120: 2953–2962. doi:10.1242/jcs.001404.
- Köhnke M, Schmitt S, Ariotti N, Piggott AM, Parton RG, et al. (2012) Design and Application of In Vivo FRET Biosensors to Identify Protein Prenylation and Nanoclustering Inhibitors. Chemistry & Biology 19: 866–874. doi:10.1016/ j.chembiol.2012.05.019.
- Goswami D, Gowrishankar K, Bilgrami S, Ghosh S, Raghupathy R, et al. (2008) Nanoclusters of GPI-anchored proteins are formed by cortical actin-driven activity. Cell 135: 1085–1097. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.11.032.
- Abankwa D, Hanzal-Bayer MF, Ariotti N, Plowman SJ, Gorfe AA, et al. (2008) A novel switch region regulates H-ras membrane orientation and signal output. EMBO J 27: 727–735. doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.10.
- Berndt N, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM (2011) Targeting protein prenylation for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 11: 775–791. doi:10.1038/nrc3151.
- Resh M (1999) Fatty acylation of proteins: new insights into membrane targeting of myristoylated and palmitoylated proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research 1451: 1–16. doi:10.1016/S0167-4889(99)00075-0.

Microbial Screening Technologies, Australia for providing compounds of the cherry-picked library.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AKN MK DA. Performed the experiments: AKN MK MS DA. Analyzed the data: AKN MK DA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MK MS KA DA. Wrote the paper: KA DA.

- Farazi TA, Waksman G, Gordon JI (2001) The biology and enzymology of protein N-myristoylation. J Biol Chem 276: 39501–39504. doi:10.1074/ jbc.R100042200.
- Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber B, Eisenhaber F (2002) N-terminal N-myristoylation of proteins: refinement of the sequence motif and its taxon-specific differences. J Mol Biol 317: 523–540. doi:10.1006/jmbi.2002.5425.
- Price HP, Menon MR, Panethymitaki C, Goulding D, McKean PG, et al. (2003) Myristoyl-CoA: protein N-myristoyltransferase, an essential enzyme and potential drug target in kinetoplastid parasites. J Biol Chem 278: 7206–7214. doi:10.1074/jbc.M211391200.
- Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber B, Eisenhaber F (2002) N-terminal N-myristoylation of proteins: prediction of substrate proteins from amino acid sequence. J Mol Biol 317: 541–557. doi:10.1006/jmbi.2002.5426.
- Yang SH, Shrivastav A, Kosinski C, Sharma RK, Chen M-H, et al. (2005) Nmyristoyltransferase 1 is essential in early mouse development. J Biol Chem 280: 18990–18995. doi:10.1074/jbc.M412917200.
- Giang DK, Cravatt BF (1998) A Second Mammalian N-Myristoyltransferase. J Biol Chem 273: 6595–6598. doi:10.1074/jbc.273.12.6595.
- Rocque WJ, McWherter CA, Wood DC, Gordon JI (1993) A comparative analysis of the kinetic mechanism and peptide substrate specificity of human and Saccharomyces cerevisiae myristoyl-CoA: protein N-myristoyltransferase. J Biol Chem 268: 9964–9971.
- Wedegaertner PB (1998) Lipid modifications and membrane targeting of G alpha. Biol Signals Recept 7: 125–135. doi: 10.1159/000014538.
- Rocks O, Peyker A, Kahms M, Verveer PJ, Koerner C, et al. (2005) An acylation cycle regulates localization and activity of palmitoylated Ras isoforms. Science 307: 1746–1752. doi:10.1126/science.1105654.
- Resh MD (1994) Myristylation and palmitylation of Src family members: the fats of the matter. Cell 76: 411–413. doi: 10.1016/0092–8674(94)90104-X.
- Ducker CE, Upson JJ, French KJ, Smith CD (2005) Two N-myristoyltransferase isozymes play unique roles in protein myristoylation, proliferation, and apoptosis. Mol Cancer Res 3: 463–476. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-05-0037.
- Price HP (2005) Functional analysis of TbARL1, an N-myristoylated Golgi protein essential for viability in bloodstream trypanosomes. J Cell Sci 118: 831– 841. doi:10.1242/jcs.01624.
- Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber F (2004) Myristoylation of viral and bacterial proteins. Trends Microbiol 12: 178–185. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2004.02.006.
- Hu X, Addlagatta A, Lu J, Matthews BW, Liu JO (2006) Elucidation of the function of type 1 human methionine aminopeptidase during cell cycle progression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103: 18148– 18153. doi:10.1073/pnas.0608389103.
- Hu X, Dang Y, Tenney K, Crews P, Tsai CW, et al. (2007) Regulation of c-Src Nonreceptor Tyrosine Kinase Activity by Bengamide A through Inhibition of Methionine Aminopeptidases. Chemistry & Biology 14: 764–774. doi:10.1016/ j.chembiol.2007.05.010.
- Griffith EC, Su Z, Turk BE, Chen S, Chang YH, et al. (1997) Methionine aminopeptidase (type 2) is the common target for angiogenesis inhibitors AGM-1470 and ovalicin. Chemistry & Biology 4: 461–471. doi:10.1016/S1074-5521(97)90198-8.
- Bhandarkar SS, Bromberg J, Carrillo C, Selvakumar P, Sharma RK, et al. (2008) Tris (dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium, a N-myristoyltransferase-1 inhibitor, is effective against melanoma growth in vitro and in vivo. Clin Cancer Res 14: 5743–5748. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0405.
- Frearson JA, Brand S, Mcelroy SP, Cleghorn LAT, Smid O, et al. (2010) Nmyristoyltransferase inhibitors as new leads to treat sleeping sickness. Nature 464: 728–732. doi:10.1038/nature08893.
- Linder ME, Deschenes RJ (2007) Palmitoylation: policing protein stability and traffic. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8: 74–84. doi: 10.1038/nrm2084.
- Fukata Y, Fukata M (2010) Protein palmitoylation in neuronal development and synaptic plasticity. Nat Rev Neurosci 11: 161–175. doi:10.1038/nrn2788.
- Webb Y, Hermida-Matsumoto L, Resh MD (2000) Inhibition of protein palmitoylation, raft localization, and T cell signaling by 2-bromopalmitate and polyunsaturated fatty acids. J Biol Chem 275: 261–270. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.275.1.261.
- Draper JM, Smith CD (2009) Palmitoyl acyltransferase assays and inhibitors (Review). Mol Membr Biol 26: 5–13. doi:10.1080/09687680802683839.
- Dekker FJ, Rocks O, Vartak N, Menninger S, Hedberg C, et al. (2010) Smallmolecule inhibition of APT1 affects Ras localization and signaling. Nat Chem Biol 6: 449–456. doi:10.1038/nchembio.362.

- 45. Hedberg C, Dekker FJ, Rusch M, Renner S, Wetzel S, et al. (2011) Development of Highly Potent Inhibitors of the Ras-Targeting Human Acyl Protein Thioesterases Based on Substrate Similarity Design. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 50: 9832–9837 doi:10.1002/anie.201102965.
- Berney C, Danuser G (2003) FRET or no FRET: a quantitative comparison. Biophys J 84: 3992–4010. doi:10.1016/S0006–3495(03)75126-1.
- Thale Z, Kinder FR, Bair KW, Bontempo J, Czuchta AM, et al. (2001) Bengamides revisited: new structures and antitumor studies. J Org Chem 66: 1733–1741. doi: 10.1021/jo001380.
- Kinder FR, Versace RW, Bair KW, Bontempo JM, Cesarz D, et al. (2001) Synthesis and Antitumor Activity of Ester-Modified Analogues of Bengamide B. J Med Chem 44: 3692–3699. doi:10.1021/jm010188c.
- Paz A, Haklai R, Elad-Sfadia G, Ballan Ě, Kloog Y (2001) Galectin-1 binds oncogenic H-Ras to mediate Ras membrane anchorage and cell transformation. Oncogene 20: 7486–7493. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1204950.
- Belanis L, Plowman SJ, Rotblat B, Hancock JF, Kloog Y (2008) Galectin-1 is a novel structural component and a major regulator of h-ras nanoclusters. Mol Biol Cell 19: 1404–1414. doi:10.1091/mbc.E07-10-1053.
- Mugler A, Tostevin F, Wolde PRT (2013) Spatial partitioning improves the reliability of biochemical signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 15: 5927–5932. doi:10.1073/pnas.1218301110.
- 52. Harrington JM, Widener J, Stephens N, Johnson T, Francia M, et al. (2010) The plasma membrane of bloodstream-form African trypanosomes confers suscep-

tibility and specificity to killing by hydrophobic peptides. Journal of Biological Chemistry 285: 28659–28666. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.151886.

- Goncalves V, Brannigan JA, Thinon E, Olaleye TO, Serwa R, et al. (2011) A fluorescence-based assay for N-myristoyltransferase activity. Anal Biochem. 421: 342–344. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2011.10.013.
- Rampoldi F, Sandhoff R, Owen RW, Grone H-J, Porubsky S (2012) A new, robust, and nonradioactive approach for exploring N-myristoylation. J Lipid Res 53: 2459–2468. doi:10.1194/jlr.D026997.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, et al. (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Meth 9: 676– 682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019.
- Erickson M, Alseikhan B, Peterson B, Yue D (2001) Preassociation of calmodulin with voltage-gated Ca2+ channels revealed by FRET in single living cells. Neuron 31: 973–985. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00438-X.
- Sykes AM, Palstra N, Abankwa D, Hill JM, Skeldal S, et al. (2012) The effects of transmembrane sequence and dimerization on cleavage of the p75 neurotrophin receptor by γ-secretase. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 287: 43810–43824. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.382903.
- Zhang J, Chung T, Oldenburg K (1999) A Simple Statistical Parameter for Use in Evaluation and Validation of High Throughput Screening Assays. J Biomol Screen 4: 67–73. doi:10.1177/108705719900400206.