



# The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

[www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid)



## Original article

# Susceptibility rates in Latin American nations: report from a regional resistance surveillance program (2011)

Ronald N. Jones<sup>a,\*</sup>, Manuel Guzman-Blanco<sup>b</sup>, Ana C. Gales<sup>c</sup>, Belisario Gallegos<sup>b</sup>, Aura Lucia Leal Castro<sup>d</sup>, Marines Dalla Valle Martino<sup>e</sup>, Silvio Vega<sup>f</sup>, Jeannete Zurita<sup>g</sup>, Mario Cepparulo<sup>h</sup>, Mariana Castanheira<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

<sup>b</sup> Centro Medico de Caracas and Policlinica San Luis, Caracas, Venezuela

<sup>c</sup> Hospital São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> GREBO/Hops. el Tunal, Bogotá, Colombia

<sup>e</sup> Hosp. Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>f</sup> Complejo Hosp. Metropolitano, Panamá City, Panamá

<sup>g</sup> Hosp. Vozandes, Quito, Ecuador

<sup>h</sup> Pfizer Inc., Brussels, Belgium

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 24 May 2013

Accepted 6 July 2013

Available online 10 October 2013

### Keywords:

Latin America

Surveillance

Resistance

ESBL

β-Lactamases

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To establish a resistance (R) surveillance program monitoring antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in Latin America (LATAM; Argentina [ARG], Brazil [BRA], Chile, Colombia [CBA], Costa Rica, Ecuador [ECU], Guatemala [GUA], Mexico [MEX], Panama [PAN], Peru, and Venezuela [VEN]).

**Methods:** In 2011, 4979 organisms were collected from 11 nations (20 laboratories) for susceptibility testing in a central laboratory design. Antimicrobials were tested by CLSI methods and results interpreted by CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints. Most common Gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* [SA, 921], other staphylococci [CoNS; 299], enterococci [218], *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [SPN; 182], β-haemolytic streptococci [115]) and Gram-negative (*E. coli* [EC; 644], *Klebsiella* spp. [KSP; 517], Enterobacters [272], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [PSA; 586], Acinetobacters [ACB; 494]) pathogens were analyzed against linezolid (LZD), vancomycin (VAN), tigecycline (TIG), colistin (COL), cefoperazone/sulbactam (C/S), and amikacin (AMK).

**Results:** MRSA rates varied from 29% (CBA, BRA) to 79% (Peru); but LZD (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 2 mg/L), TIG (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.12 mg/L) and VAN (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 mg/L) covered all strains. Enterococci showed a 14% VRE rate, highest in BRA and MEX; all inhibited by TIG and daptomycin, but not LZD (three non-susceptible with G2576T mutations or *cfr*). Penicillin-R among SPN and viridans streptococci was 51.6 and 41.1%, respectively. LZD overall R against Gram-positives was 0.3%. High ESBL rates were observed in EC (54–71%) and KSP (≥50%) from GUA, MEX and Peru, and six nations, respectively. Carbapenem-R in KSP was 9%, highest rates associated with

\* Corresponding author at: JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Kreek Ctr, Ste A, North Liberty, Iowa, 52317, USA.

E-mail address: [ronald-jones@jmilabs.com](mailto:ronald-jones@jmilabs.com) (R.N. Jones).

1413-8670 © 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2013.07.002>

KPC in BRA, CBA, ECU, PAN and VEN; also a NDM-1 in KSP from CBA. AMK, TIG, C/S and carbapenems were the broadest-spectrum agents tested against Enterobacteriaceae. Only COL inhibited >90% of PSA; COL and TIG ( $\leq 2$  mg/L) covered  $\geq 85\%$  of ACB.

Conclusions: LATAM nations demonstrated variable levels of antimicrobial R especially among Enterobacteriaceae ( $\beta$ -lactamase-mediated), PSA and ACB. MRSA (48%), VRE (14%) and multidrug-R SPN were also regional therapeutic challenges.

© 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND

## Introduction

Recent escalations of  $\beta$ -lactamase-mediated resistances (extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactams [ESBL], serine carbapenamases [KPCs], OXA-series Class D enzymes, and metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases [MBL]) worldwide has complicated antimicrobial therapy of important/common Gram-negative bacillary infections.<sup>1–4</sup> Already existing resistance challenges among Gram-positive cocci (methicillin-resistant staphylococci, vancomycin-resistant enterococci [VRE] and multidrug-resistant [MDR] pneumococci) further emphasize the needs for global, regional, national and local surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns to guide empiric therapy and direct or monitor interventions.<sup>5–7</sup> These resistant strains increase patient morbidity and mortality, as well as the cost of medical care delivery.<sup>4,7</sup>

Current surveillance programs, particularly at the global level,<sup>1–3</sup> have concentrated on larger economically developed nations where fiscal markets and supporting regulatory agencies (USA-FDA, EMA) would recognize the value, and have the resources to sustain monitoring. In contrast, surveillance data from countries outside the major markets having faced more limited support for drug resistance monitoring, drug patent protection, prescription drug law and antimicrobial stewardship programs are more limited.<sup>4</sup> Beginning in 2011, the Latin American (LATAM) surveillance programs (SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program and several others) administered by JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, Iowa, USA) were expanded to include sites within some countries having limited sampling support or not having significant reported statistics. This regional resistance surveillance program provides reference susceptibility test information in several areas of the world including 11 countries in LATAM including seven that are uncommonly sampled (Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Guatemala, Panama, Peru and Venezuela). Data from testing nearly 5000 clinical isolates in 2011 are presented here.

## Materials and methods

### Nations and organisms sampled

Eleven countries in LATAM (20 laboratory sampling sites having 93–503 organism samples/site) were sampled with a target of  $\geq 250$  isolates per nation. These institutions were generally tertiary-care hospitals. The compliance to protocol ranged from 190 [Venezuela, 95%] to >100% for the “developed” countries. The collected organisms were isolated consecutively

from various types of clinical infections (prevalence design) including bloodstream (18.8%), respiratory tract (20.1%), skin and skin structure (13.1%) as well as other or unspecified body sites. The countries (sites; sample size) were: Argentina (two; 498), Brazil (five; 1588), Chile (two; 467), Colombia (one; 208), Costa Rica (one; 193), Ecuador (one; 192), Guatemala (one; 201), Mexico (three, 1052), Panama (one; 196), Peru (one; 194) and Venezuela (two, 190); one isolate per patient per infectious episode, see Table 1. The organisms forwarded to the monitoring central laboratory (JMI Laboratories) were as follows: *S. aureus* (921), coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* species (CoNS; 299), enterococci (218; 92.2% *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium*), *S. pneumoniae* (182),  $\beta$ -haemolytic streptococci (115; 92.2% *S. pyogenes* or *S. agalactiae*), viridans group streptococci (90; more than eight species), *E. coli* (644; 37.3% ESBL phenotype), *Klebsiella* spp. (517; three species, 52.4% ESBL phenotype), *Enterobacter* spp. (272), *P. mirabilis* (74; 24.3% ESBL phenotype), other Enterobacteriaceae (292), *H. influenzae* (128; 29.7%  $\beta$ -lactamase-positive), *M. catarrhalis* (33), *P. aeruginosa* (586), and *Acinetobacter* spp. (494; 94.7% *A. baumannii*). A total of 4979 isolates were tested, 4865 or 97.7% of which are presented in Tables 2 and 3; the remaining organisms occurred in small numbers precluding a significant sample size per species, e.g. limited analytical power.

Organisms detected with resistances to key, available agents were tested by various molecular methods such as PCR amplification/sequencing, example ESBLs, MBLs, MDR Gram-negative bacilli or Gram-positive cocci.<sup>1,2</sup>

### Methods and antimicrobials tested

CLSI M07-A9 (2012) methods were applied using validated broth microdilution panels produced by ThermoFisher Scientific Inc., formerly TREK Diagnostics (Cleveland, Ohio, USA).<sup>8</sup> Interpretations of results utilized CLSI (M100-S23, 2013), USA-Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and EUCAST (2013) criteria;<sup>9–11</sup> and the results of quality control (QC) tests were dominantly (nearly 99.0%) within QC ranges (CLSI M100-S23) for six utilized control organisms.

The sponsor's (Pfizer Inc., New York, New York, USA) compounds included: linezolid, tigecycline, piperacillin/tazobactam, ampicillin/sulbactam, cefoperazone and cefoperazone/sulbactam. For studying Gram-negative bacilli, Gram-positive cocci, and fastidious respiratory tract species, numerous additional (15–25) drugs were also tested. ESBL patterns were defined for *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Proteus mirabilis* per CLSI (2013) criteria as a MIC of  $\geq 2$  mg/L for aztreonam or ceftriaxone or ceftazidime.<sup>9,10</sup> Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) were detected by a MIC at  $\geq 2$  mg/L for doripenem or imipenem or meropenem.<sup>9</sup>

**Table 1 – Summary of important emerging resistance profiles detected in 11 Latin American countries (20 medical centers; 2011); a 4979 isolate sample.**

Nation (no. sites/strains)	ESBL (%) <sup>a</sup>		CARB-R(%) <sup>a</sup>		VRE (%) <sup>a</sup>		MRSA (%) <sup>a</sup>	
	EC	KSP	KSP	COL and TIG-S	Rate	VanA	Rate	LZD -S
Argentina (two/498)	20	53	11–12	96 to 98	10	100	55	100
Brazil (five/1588)	18	50	17–18	93 to 99	27	89	29	100
Chile (two/467)	28	59	0	–	0	–	68	100
Colombia (one/208)	24	41	9–18	96 to 100	11	31	29	100
Costa Rica (one/193)	7	19	0	–	7	100	55	100
Ecuador (one/192)	20	40	5	100	0	–	31	100
Guatemala (one/201)	59	69	0	–	9	100	49	100
Mexico (three/1052)	71	56	0	–	26	100	48	100
Panama (one/196)	37	40	20	100	13	100	47	100
Peru (one/194)	54	70	0	–	16	100	79	100
Venezuela (two/190)	10	40	15	90 to 100	12	67	63	100
All (20/4979)	37	52	9	97	14	91	48	100

<sup>a</sup> EC, *E. coli*; KSP, *Klebsiella* spp.; TIG, tigecycline; COL, colistin; CARB-R, carbapenem-resistant; VRE, vancomycin-resistant enterococci, MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; LZD-S, linezolid-susceptible; S, susceptible.

## Results and discussion

### Antimicrobial profiles of 1825 Gram-positive pathogens (Tables 1 and 2)

*S. aureus* isolates (921, 47.8% MRSA overall) exhibited complete (100.0%) susceptibility to linezolid (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 1/2 mg/L), daptomycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.25/0.5 mg/L), tigecycline (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.06/0.12 mg/L) and vancomycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 1/1 mg/L). Rare (1.1%) resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) was observed (Table 2). Aminoglycoside (gentamicin) resistance was approximately 20.0% with higher rates documented in Peru (72.2%), Chile (30.0%), Argentina (30.7%) and Venezuela (30.6%).

CoNS samples (299; 83.9% methicillin-resistant) showed common co-resistances and only four agents with >90% susceptibility rates including linezolid, daptomycin, doxycycline, and vancomycin (94.3–100.0% susceptible). The rare occurrences of linezolid non-susceptibility (1.7%) occurred in Brazil (five strains [4.8%]; three species [*S. epidermidis*, three clonal isolates with a G2576 mutation; one *S. hominis* with a G2576, L3 at F1475 and M156 T, and L4 at 577 T mutations and one *S. lugdunensis* with a G2576 mutation]) with MIC values of 8–32 mg/L; and Mexico (two strains of *S. epidermidis* and *S. haemolyticus* having *cfr* ± L3 or L4 mutations) with MIC values at only 4 mg/L. Teicoplanin non-susceptible results (11.4% by EUCAST breakpoints) were found in Brazil (10 strains, 9.6%), Costa Rica (six strains, 42.9%), Mexico (eight strains, 8.9%), Panama (two strains, 15.4%), Peru (two strains, 14.3%), and Venezuela (five strains, 45.5%).

Enterococci (218, either *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium*) had a VRE rate of 14.2–15.1% and 91.4–93.7% with a VAN-A pattern (Tables 1 and 2). Ten nations had documented VRE (range, 7.1% [Costa Rica] to 25.7–26.5% [Brazil and Mexico]), and the best tested agents (% susceptible) were linezolid (98.6%), daptomycin (100.0%), teicoplanin (86.2–86.7%) and vancomycin (84.9%). Linezolid non-susceptibility was detected in Brazil (2.9% prevalence overall; G2576 mutations in a clonal

*E. faecalis*) and in Panama City, Panama (13.3% prevalence; *cfr* clonal occurrences in *E. faecalis*).

*S. pneumoniae* (182) isolates from LATAM were dominantly penicillin-non-susceptible (51.6%; using CLSI non-meningitis breakpoints) with highest rates observed in Mexico (84.8%) and Venezuela (81.2%). Similarly, ceftriaxone non-susceptible rates were elevated (21.1–43.7%) in the same two nations. Poor coverage (low susceptible %) were noted for erythromycin (62.6%), tetracycline (63.7–64.8%) and TMP/SMX (45.1–48.4%). The best antimicrobials tested against pneumococci were levofloxacin, linezolid, tigecycline and vancomycin, each inhibiting all strains at published breakpoints (Table 2). For other streptococci, important resistance profiles observed were: (1) 13.9 and 56.5% non-susceptible for macrolides and tetracyclines in β-haemolytic streptococci, respectively, (2) ≥91.1% susceptible rates for all drugs except penicillin (58.9%, CLSI criteria), erythromycin (50.0%) and tetracycline (61.1%) in viridans group streptococci, and (3) rare daptomycin (1.1%) and fluoroquinolone non-susceptible (1.7–3.3%) rates were observed (Table 2).

### Antimicrobial profiles of Gram-negative bacilli are found in Tables 3 and 4

*E. coli* (644) had an ESBL-phenotype rate of 37.3%, see Table 4. The most active tested agents were amikacin (92.7% susceptible), cefoperazone/sulbactam (92.7%), meropenem (100.0%) and tigecycline (100.0%). The most active cephalosporin against *E. coli* was cefepime at 72.4% by CLSI breakpoints (Table 3). *Klebsiella* spp. (517) showed very elevated resistance rates (Table 3), with only four drugs inhibiting ≥80.0% of isolates (tigecycline [97.9%], colistin [96.5%], meropenem [90.3%] and amikacin [89.0%]). The ESBL phenotype rate was 52.4% (Table 4), and CRE were identified (no./percentage) in Argentina (6/10.7), Brazil (31/17.3), Colombia (4/18.2), Ecuador (2/10.0), Mexico (1/1.1), Panama (4/20.0) and Venezuela (3/15.0). The following carbapenemases were identified: KPC-2 (Brazil,<sup>3</sup> Ecuador,<sup>2</sup> Venezuela<sup>3</sup>), KPC-3 (Colombia,<sup>2</sup> Panama<sup>3</sup>) and NDM-1 (Colombia<sup>1</sup>). *P. mirabilis* (74) showed an ESBL-phenotype rate

**Table 2 – Activity of selected antimicrobial agents when tested against 1825 Gram-positive pathogens from Latin America nations (2011).**

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agents	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
<i>S. aureus</i> (921)					
Linezolid	1	2	0.25 to 2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.06	0.12	≤0.03 to 0.25	100.0/–	100.0/0.0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2	>64	≤0.5 to >64	52.2/47.8	52.2/47.8
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	52.2/47.8	52.2/47.8
Ceftriaxone	4	>8	1 to >8	52.2/47.8	52.2/47.8
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25 to >2	65.4/34.6	65.0/34.6
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	0.12 to 1	100.0/–	100.0/0.0
Doxycycline	0.12	0.5	≤0.06 to 8	98.6/0.0	95.7/2.1
Erythromycin	0.5	>16	≤0.12 to >16	51.6/46.9	52.0/47.4
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	80.5/18.9	79.9/20.1
Levofloxacin	0.25	>4	≤0.12 to >4	63.1/36.3	63.1/36.3
Meropenem	0.12	>8	≤0.06 to >8	52.2/47.8	52.2/47.8
Oxacillin	1	>2	≤0.25 to >2	52.2/47.8	52.2/47.8
Penicillin	>8	>8	≤0.06 to >8	7.5/92.5	7.5/92.5
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5 to >4	98.4/1.6	98.4/1.3
Vancomycin	1	1	0.5 to 2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
<i>CoNS</i> (299) <sup>c</sup>					
Linezolid	0.5	1	0.25 to >8	98.3/1.7	98.3/1.7
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.06	0.12	≤0.03 to 0.5	–/–	100.0/0.0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2	>64	≤0.5 to >64	16.1/83.9	16.1/83.9
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	2	>8	≤1 to >8	16.1/83.9	16.1/83.9
Ceftriaxone	>8	>8	0.5 to >8	16.1/83.9	16.1/83.9
Clindamycin	0.5	>2	≤0.25 to >2	50.2/48.5	48.5/49.8
Daptomycin	0.5	0.5	≤0.06 to 1	100.0/–	100.0/0.0
Doxycycline	0.5	2	≤0.06 to >8	94.3/2.0	87.6/8.7
Erythromycin	>16	>16	≤0.12 to >16	28.8/70.2	28.8/70.9
Gentamicin	8	>8	≤1 to >8	41.8/45.5	35.8/64.2
Levofloxacin	4	>4	≤0.12 to >4	41.5/54.8	41.5/54.8
Meropenem	2	>8	≤0.06 to >8	16.1/83.9	16.1/83.9
Oxacillin	>2	>2	≤0.25 to >2	16.1/83.9	16.1/83.9
Penicillin	8	>8	≤0.06 to >8	9.0/91.0	9.0/91.0
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	2	>4	≤0.5 to >4	50.2/49.8	50.2/27.1
Vancomycin	2	2	0.5 to 4	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
<i>Enterococci</i> (218) <sup>d</sup>					
Linezolid	1	2	0.5 to 8	98.6/0.5	99.5/0.5
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.06	0.06	≤0.03 to 0.25	100.0/–	100.0/0.0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	8	>64	≤0.5 to >64	75.2/–	75.2/–
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	75.2/–	75.2/24.8
Ampicillin	1	>8	≤0.25 to >8	75.2/24.8	73.4/24.8
Daptomycin	1	2	≤0.06 to 4	100.0/–	–/–
Doxycycline	8	>8	≤0.06 to >8	42.7/20.2	–/–
Erythromycin	>16	>16	≤0.12 to >16	10.1/66.1	–/–
Imipenem	1	>8	≤0.12 to >8	–/–	73.4/25.2
Levofloxacin	2	>4	0.5 to >4	54.6/40.8	–/–
Teicoplanin	≤2	>16	≤2 to >16	86.7/13.3	86.2/13.8
Vancomycin	1	>16	0.25 to >16	84.9/14.2	84.9/15.1
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>					
Penicillin-susceptible (88)					
Linezolid	1	1	0.25 to 1	100.0/–	100.0/0.0
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.03	0.06	≤0.03 to 0.06	100.0/–	–/–
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	≤1	≤1 to 4	98.9/0.0	–/–
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 1	100.0/0.0	97.7/0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Erythromycin	≤0.12	8	≤0.12 to 16	87.5/12.5	87.5/12.5
Levofloxacin	1	1	0.5 to >4	97.7/2.3	97.7/2.3
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 0.5	98.9/0.0	100.0/0.0

Table 2 (Continued)

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agents	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
Penicillin <sup>e</sup>	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06	100.0/0.0	—/—
Tetracycline	0.5	>8	≤0.25 to >8	80.7/19.3	79.5/19.3
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	4	≤0.5 to >4	75.0/17.0	81.8/17.0
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	≤0.12 to 0.5	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Penicillin-intermediate (40)					
Linezolid	1	1	0.5 to 1	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.03	0.06	≤0.03 to 0.06	100.0/—	—/—
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	≤1	≤1 to 4	97.5/0.0	—/—
Ceftriaxone	0.25	0.5	≤0.06 to 1	100.0/0.0	97.5/0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25 to >2	75.0/25.0	75.0/25.0
Erythromycin	≤0.12	>16	≤0.12 to >16	55.0/45.0	55.0/45.0
Levofloxacin	1	1	0.5 to 1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.25	≤0.06 to 0.5	92.5/0.0	100.0/0.0
Penicillin <sup>e</sup>	0.25	1	0.12 to 1	100.0/0.0	—/—
Tetracycline	0.5	>8	≤0.25 to >8	72.5/25.0	70.0/27.5
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	2	>4	≤0.5 to >4	35.0/40.0	35.0/40.0
Vancomycin	0.25	0.5	0.25 to 0.5	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Penicillin-resistant (54)					
Linezolid	0.5	1	0.5 to 1	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.03	0.06	≤0.03 to 0.06	100.0/—	—/—
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	2	8	≤1 to 8	50.0/31.5	—/—
Ceftriaxone	1	2	0.5 to >8	50.0/1.9	5.6/1.9
Clindamycin	>2	>2	≤0.25 to >2	42.6/55.6	44.4/55.6
Erythromycin	>16	>16	≤0.12 to >16	27.8/72.2	27.8/72.2
Levofloxacin	1	1	0.5 to 1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Meropenem	0.5	1	0.25 to 1	5.6/40.7	100.0/0.0
Penicillin <sup>e</sup>	4	4	2 to 4	40.7/0.0	—/—
Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤0.25 to >8	33.3/66.7	33.3/66.7
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	3.7/90.7	3.7/90.7
Vancomycin	0.25	0.5	0.25 to 1	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
β-Haemolytic streptococci (115) <sup>f</sup>					
Linezolid	1	1	0.5 to 1	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.03	0.06	≤0.03 to 0.12	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	—/—	100.0/0.0
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	≤1	≤1	—/—	100.0/0.0
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06 to 0.25	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25 to >2	92.2/7.8	92.2/7.8
Daptomycin	0.12	0.25	≤0.06 to 0.5	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Erythromycin	≤0.12	4	≤0.12 to >16	86.1/13.9	86.1/13.9
Levofloxacin	0.5	1	0.25 to >4	98.3/0.9	93.9/1.7
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06	100.0/—	—/—
Penicillin	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 0.12	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤0.25 to >8	43.5/56.5	42.6/56.5
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5 to >4	—/—	99.1/0.9
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	0.25 to 1	100.0/—	100.0/0.0
Viridans gr. Streptococci (90) <sup>g</sup>					
Linezolid	1	1	0.25 to 2	100.0/—	—/—
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.03	0.06	≤0.03 to 0.25	100.0/—	—/—
Ceftriaxone	0.25	1	≤0.06 to 2	95.6/0.0	87.8/12.2
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25 to >2	91.1/7.8	92.2/7.8
Daptomycin	0.25	1	≤0.06 to 2	98.9/—	—/—
Erythromycin	≤0.12	4	≤0.12 to >16	50.0/50.0	—/—
Levofloxacin	1	2	0.25 to >4	96.7/2.2	—/—
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.25	≤0.06 to 2	97.8/—	100.0/0.0
Penicillin	0.12	1	≤0.06 to >8	58.9/3.3	82.2/3.3

**Table 2 (Continued)**

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agents	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
Tetracycline	0.5	>8	≤0.25 to >8	61.1/34.4	—/—
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	0.25 to 1	100.0/—	100.0/0.0

<sup>a</sup> Criteria as published by the CLSI and EUCAST<sup>9,10</sup>, β-lactam susceptibility should be directed by the oxacillin test results.

<sup>b</sup> USA-FDA breakpoints were applied when available.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>c</sup> Includes: *Staphylococcus auricularis* (one strain), *S. capitis* (10 strains), *S. epidermidis* (118 strains), *S. equorum* (one strain), *S. haemolyticus* (48 strains), *S. hominis* (29 strains), *S. lugdunensis* (10 strains), *S. saprophyticus* (six strains), *S. warneri* (three strains), *S. xylosum* (three strains), and unspiciated coagulase-negative staphylococci (70 strains).

<sup>d</sup> Includes: *Enterococcus avium* (11 strains), *E. durans* (one strain), *E. faecalis* (142 strains), *E. faecium* (59 strains), *E. gallinarum* (four strains), and *E. hirae* (one strain).

<sup>e</sup> Criteria were those published by the CLSI<sup>9</sup> for ‘Penicillin parenteral (non-meningitis)’, as were the ceftriaxone breakpoints.

<sup>f</sup> Includes: *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* (three strains), Group A *Streptococcus* (44 strains), Group B *Streptococcus* (62 strains), Group C *Streptococcus* (one strain), Group F *Streptococcus* (one strain), and Group G *Streptococcus* (four strains).

<sup>g</sup> Includes: *Streptococcus anginosus* (five strains), *S. bovis* (one strain), *S. gallolyticus* (seven strains), *S. infantarius* (one strain), *S. mitis* (16 strains), *S. parasanguinis* (one strain), *S. salivarius* (two strains), *S. sanguinis* (two strains), unspiciated *Streptococcus* (one strain), and unspiciated viridans group streptococci (54 strains).

**Table 3 – Activity of selected antimicrobial agents when tested against 3040 isolates of Gram-negative pathogens from Latin American nations (2011).**

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agent	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
<b><i>E. coli</i> (644)</b>					
Ampicillin/sulbactam	16	>32	≤0.25 to >32	30.4/49.1	30.4/69.6
Cefoperazone	4	>32	≤0.25 to >32	59.6/38.2	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	2	16	≤0.25 to >32	92.7/2.3	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2	32	≤0.5 to >64	86.5/5.0	78.7/13.5
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.12	0.25	≤0.03 to 1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Amikacin	4	8	0.5 to >32	97.5/0.8	92.4/2.5
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	8	>8	≤1 to >8	58.4/41.6	58.4/41.6
Ampicillin	>8	>8	1 to >8	23.3/76.7	23.3/76.7
Cefepime	≤0.5	>16	≤0.5 to >16	72.4/23.9	64.8/30.4
Ceftazidime	0.25	32	0.03 to >32	69.6/27.2	65.5/30.4
Ceftriaxone	0.12	>8	≤0.06 to >8	62.9/37.1	62.9/37.1
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	72.4/27.0	70.7/27.6
Levofloxacin	4	>4	≤0.12 to >4	49.7/47.2	49.4/50.3
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 0.5	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤0.25 to >8	40.4/59.3	—/—
Tobramycin	1	>16	0.25 to >16	62.6/32.3	61.2/37.4
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	40.0/60.0	40.0/59.3
<b><i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (517)<sup>d</sup></b>					
Ampicillin/sulbactam	32	>32	1 to >32	40.6/53.6	40.6/59.4
Cefoperazone	>32	>32	≤0.25 to >32	47.2/51.1	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>d</sup>	4	>32	≤0.25 to >32	71.8/21.5	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	4	>64	≤0.5 to >64	66.2/25.1	59.0/33.8
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.25	1	≤0.03 to >4	97.9/0.2	95.0/2.1
Amikacin	2	32	0.5 to >32	89.0/6.0	82.8/11.0
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	8	>8	≤1 to >8	52.4/47.6	52.4/47.6
Cefepime	1	>16	≤0.5 to >16	62.3/32.9	51.8/42.4
Ceftazidime	1	>32	≤0.015 to >32	57.3/37.3	51.8/42.7
Ceftriaxone	8	>8	≤0.06 to >8	48.7/51.1	48.7/51.1
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	68.5/27.5	67.1/31.5
Levofloxacin	0.25	>4	≤0.12 to >4	68.7/30.0	67.1/31.3
Meropenem	≤0.06	1	≤0.06 to >8	90.3/7.9	92.1/5.6
Tetracycline	2	>8	≤0.25 to >8	62.3/35.2	—/—
Tobramycin	1	>16	≤0.12 to 16	57.6/37.1	56.9/42.4
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5 to >4	57.1/42.9	57.1/41.0
<b><i>P. mirabilis</i> (74)</b>					
Ampicillin/sulbactam	2	32	0.5 to 32	78.4/10.8	78.4/21.6

Table 3 (Continued)

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agent	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
Cefoperazone	1	>32	≤0.25 to >32	74.3/20.3	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	1	4	≤0.25 to 16	100.0/0.0	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.5	1	≤0.5 to 2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	2	4	0.5 to >4	85.1/1.4	32.4/14.9
Amikacin	4	8	1 to >32	95.9/2.7	90.5/4.1
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	8	≤1 to >8	93.2/6.8	93.2/6.8
Ampicillin	2	>8	0.5 to >8	52.7/47.3	52.7/47.3
Cefepime	≤0.5	>16	≤0.5 to 16	81.1/17.6	75.7/20.3
Ceftazidime	0.06	2	0.03 to >32	94.6/5.4	87.8/5.4
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	>8	≤0.06 to >8	75.7/23.0	75.7/23.0
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	78.4/21.6	75.7/21.6
Imipenem	1	2	≤0.12 to 4	73.0/4.1	95.9/0.0
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	>4	≤0.12 to >4	73.0/23.0	67.6/27.0
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 0.12	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Tobramycin	1	16	0.5 to 16	77.0/12.2	73.0/23.0
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	47.3/52.7	47.3/51.4
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp. (272) <sup>e</sup>					
Cefoperazone	2	>32	≤0.25 to >32	59.9/34.9	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	1	32	≤0.25 to >32	84.9/6.3	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	4	>64	≤0.5 to >64	75.7/10.7	69.5/24.3
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.25	1	0.06 to 4	97.8/0.0	94.1/2.2
Amikacin	2	16	0.5 to >32	94.1/4.0	86.8/5.9
Cefepime	≤0.5	>16	≤0.5 to >16	84.6/12.1	70.2/21.0
Ceftazidime	0.5	>32	0.06 to >32	63.2/33.5	57.7/36.8
Ceftriaxone	0.5	>8	≤0.06 to >8	55.5/44.5	55.5/44.5
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	77.9/19.5	76.5/22.1
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	>4	≤0.12 to >4	80.9/16.5	79.4/19.1
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06 to >8	98.2/1.5	98.5/0.4
Tetracycline	2	>8	0.5 to >8	74.3/19.1	—/—
Tobramycin	0.5	>16	≤0.12 to >16	69.9/28.3	69.5/30.1
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5 to >4	71.0/29.0	71.0/28.3
<i>Indole-positive Proteus</i> spp. (94) <sup>f</sup>					
Cefoperazone	4	>32	≤0.25 to >32	71.3/21.3	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	2	8	≤0.25 to 32	98.9/0.0	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.5	4	≤0.5 to >64	98.9/1.1	97.9/1.1
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.5	2	0.25 to 4	94.7/0.0	89.4/5.3
Amikacin	2	8	0.5 to >32	94.7/4.3	92.6/5.3
Cefepime	≤0.5	16	≤0.5 to >16	89.4/4.3	80.9/13.8
Ceftazidime	0.25	16	0.03 to >32	80.9/13.8	70.2/19.1
Ceftriaxone	0.12	>8	≤0.06 to >8	68.1/25.5	68.1/25.5
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	68.1/27.7	61.7/31.9
Imipenem	2	2	0.25 to 4	35.1/6.4	93.6/0.0
Levofloxacin	1	>4	≤0.12 to >4	62.8/25.5	55.3/37.2
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06 to 1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Tobramycin	1	16	0.25 to >16	73.4/14.9	68.1/26.6
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	43.6/56.4	43.6/56.4
<i>Serratia</i> spp. (142) <sup>g</sup>					
Cefoperazone	2	>32	0.5 to >32	78.9/14.1	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	2	16	0.5 to >32	90.8/4.9	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2	32	≤0.5 to >64	89.4/7.0	85.9/10.6
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.5	1	0.25 to >4	95.8/0.7	90.1/4.2
Amikacin	2	16	0.5 to >32	90.8/5.6	85.9/9.2
Cefepime	≤0.5	4	≤0.5 to >16	92.3/7.0	84.5/9.2
Ceftazidime	0.12	16	0.06 to >32	84.5/13.4	81.0/15.5
Ceftriaxone	0.25	>8	≤0.06 to >8	75.4/23.2	75.4/23.2
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 to >8	85.2/13.4	83.1/14.8
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	4	≤0.12 to >4	88.7/7.7	83.1/11.3
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06 to 4	98.6/0.7	99.3/0.0
Tobramycin	4	>16	0.25 to >16	73.9/19.7	45.8/26.1
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5 to >4	84.5/15.5	84.5/12.7

Table 3 (Continued)

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agent	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
<b>Citrobacter spp. (56)<sup>b</sup></b>					
Cefoperazone	1	>32	≤0.25 to >32	69.6/25.0	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	0.5	16	≤0.25 to >32	91.1/7.1	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	4	64	1 to >64	80.4/5.4	76.8/19.6
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.25	0.5	0.06 to 2	100.0/0.0	96.4/0.0
Amikacin	2	32	0.5 to >32	89.3/5.4	83.9/10.7
Cefepime	≤0.5	16	≤0.5 to 16	87.5/10.7	76.8/16.1
Ceftazidime	0.5	>32	0.06 to >32	71.4/25.0	66.1/28.6
Ceftriaxone	0.25	>8	≤0.06 to >8	66.1/32.1	66.1/32.1
Gentamicin	≤1	4	≤1 to >8	91.1/8.9	83.9/8.9
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	1	≤0.12 to >4	92.9/5.4	92.9/7.1
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 4	98.2/1.8	98.2/0.0
Tetracycline	1	4	1 to >8	91.1/8.9	—/—
Tobramycin	1	16	0.25 to 16	80.4/19.6	78.6/19.6
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5 to >4	76.8/23.2	76.8/23.2
<b>H. influenzae (128)</b>					
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	100.0/0.0	—/—
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.25	0.5	0.06 to 1	86.7/-	—/—
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	2	≤1 to 2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Ampicillin	0.25	>8	≤0.12 to >8	70.3/28.9	70.3/29.7
Cefepime	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	100.0/-	100.0/0.0
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 0.5	100.0/-	99.2/0.8
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	≤0.12	≤0.12	100.0/-	100.0/0.0
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06 to 0.25	100.0/-	100.0/0.0
Tetracycline	0.5	0.5	≤0.12 to 16	98.4/1.6	98.4/1.6
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5 to >4	61.7/35.2	61.7/37.5
<b>M. catarrhalis (33)</b>					
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.06	0.06	0.03 to 0.06	—/—	—/—
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	≤1	≤1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Cefepime	1	2	≤0.5 to 4	—/—	100.0/0.0
Ceftriaxone	0.25	0.5	≤0.06 to 0.5	100.0/-	100.0/0.0
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	≤0.12	≤0.12 to 1	100.0/-	100.0/0.0
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06	—/—	100.0/0.0
Tetracycline	0.25	0.25	≤0.12 to 0.5	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
<b>P. aeruginosa (586)</b>					
Cefoperazone <sup>b</sup>	32	>32	0.5 to >32	49.3/39.4	—/—
Cefoperazone/sulbactam	16	>32	0.5 to >32	55.8/25.4	—/—
Piperacillin/tazobactam	16	>64	≤0.5 to >64	58.5/22.9	58.5/41.5
Amikacin	4	>32	≤0.25 to >32	75.4/20.5	71.3/24.6
Cefepime	8	16	≤0.5 to 16	63.8/25.9	63.8/36.2
Ceftazidime	4	>32	0.25 to >32	65.7/29.4	65.7/34.3
Colistin	1	2	≤0.25 to 4	99.5/0.0	99.5/0.5
Gentamicin	2	>8	≤1 to >8	67.4/29.4	67.4/32.6
Imipenem	2	>8	≤0.12 to >8	52.9/44.9	55.1/28.5
Levofloxacin	2	>4	≤0.12 to >4	56.8/38.2	47.8/43.2
Meropenem	2	>8	≤0.06 to >8	54.4/38.4	54.4/28.2
Tobramycin	0.5	16	≤0.12 to 16	70.1/29.0	70.1/29.9
<b>Acinetobacter spp. (494)<sup>i</sup></b>					
Cefoperazone/sulbactam	16	32	≤0.25 to >32	59.3/8.1	—/—
Tigecycline	1	4	≤0.03 to >4	—/—	—/—
Amikacin	>32	>32	0.5 to >32	25.3/67.6	23.1/74.7
Colistin	0.5	2	≤0.25 to >4	98.0/2.0	98.0/2.0
Doxycycline	1	>8	≤0.06 to >8	80.4/18.6	—/—
Gentamicin	>8	>8	≤1 to >8	29.2/58.9	29.2/70.9
Imipenem	>8	>8	≤0.12 to >8	22.9/75.7	22.5/75.7
Meropenem	>8	>8	≤0.06 to >8	23.1/75.5	21.9/75.5
Tetracycline	8	>8	0.5 to >8	27.3/43.3	—/—



**Table 3 (Continued)**

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agent	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
Tobramycin	16	16	0.25 to 16	47.8/51.6	47.8/52.2
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	22.1/77.9	22.1/75.3

<sup>a</sup> Criteria as published by the CLSI and EUCAST.<sup>9,10</sup>  
<sup>b</sup> Criteria as published by the CLSI for cefoperazone used for cefoperazone/sulbactam.<sup>9</sup>  
<sup>c</sup> USA-FDA breakpoints were applied when available.<sup>11</sup>  
<sup>d</sup> Includes: *Klebsiella oxytoca* (51 strains), *K. ozaenae* (two strains), *K. pneumoniae* (460 strains), and unspciated *Klebsiella* (four strains).  
<sup>e</sup> Includes: *Enterobacter aerogenes* (47 strains), *E. cloacae* (202 strains), *E. gergoviae* (two strains), and unspciated *Enterobacter* (21 strains).  
<sup>f</sup> Includes: *Morganella morgani* (72 strains), *Proteus vulgaris* (12 strains), *P. rettgeri* (five strains), *P. stuartii* (four strains), and unspciated *Providencia* (one strain).  
<sup>g</sup> Includes: *Serratia liquefaciens* (one strain), *S. marcescens* (131 strains), and unspciated *Serratia* (10 strains).  
<sup>h</sup> Includes: *Citrobacter amalonaticus* (two strains), *C. braakii* (two strains), *C. freundii* (39 strains), *C. koseri* (12 strains), and *C. sedlakii* (one strain).  
<sup>i</sup> Includes: *Acinetobacter baumannii* (468 strains), *A. haemolyticus* (two strains), *A. lwoffii* (11 strains), *A. ursingii* (four strains), and unspciated *Acinetobacter* (nine strains); only drugs with >20% susceptibility are listed, this includes ampicillin/sulbactam.

at 24.3% and several UTI-targeted antimicrobials (ampicillin and TMP/SMX) were only 47.3–52.7% effective in vitro.

Among other enteric bacilli, *Enterobacter* spp. showed a CRE rate at 2.9% with higher rates in Colombia and Venezuela (10.0–12.5%). Amikacin, cefoperazone/sulbactam, cefepime, carbapenems and tigecycline were quite active against these species, as were nearly all tested agents versus *H. influenzae* (128) and *M. catarrhalis* (33); see Table 3.

*P. aeruginosa* (586) were most susceptible to amikacin (75.4%), tobramycin (70.1%) and colistin (99.5%; Table 3). Carbapenem resistance was high due to endemic β-lactamase (SPM-1, usually in Brazil), but the most elevated rates were noted in Guatemala (75.8%), Peru (62.5–68.8%) and Ecuador (55.6%). The most active β-lactam was ceftazidime (65.7%, MIC<sub>50</sub> at 4 mg/L). *Acinetobacter* spp. (494, four species) were significantly inhibited (% susceptible) only by colistin (98.6%),

**Table 4 – Activity of 12 antimicrobial agents when tested against ESBL-phenotype *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolated in Latin American medical centers (511 strains cultured in 2011).**

Organism (no. tested)/antimicrobial agent	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S/%R	EUCAST <sup>a</sup> %S/%R
	50%	90%	Range		
<i>E. coli</i> (240)					
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	8	32	≤0.25 to >32	81.3/6.3	–/–
Piperacillin/tazobactam	8	64	≤0.5 to >64	72.5/8.3	52.9/27.5
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.12	0.25	0.06 to 1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Amikacin	8	16	1 to >32	93.8/2.1	82.1/6.3
Cefepime	16	16	≤0.5 to 16	25.8/64.2	8.8/81.3
Colistin	0.5	0.5	≤0.25 to 2	–/–	100.0/0.0
Gentamicin	>8	>8	≤1 to >8	46.3/52.9	43.8/53.8
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	≤0.12 to >4	16.3/81.3	16.3/83.8
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 to 0.5	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0
Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤0.25 to >8	20.8/79.2	–/–
Tobramycin	16	16	0.5 to 16	22.5/71.7	20.8/77.5
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	24.2/75.8	24.2/74.2
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (271) <sup>d</sup>					
Cefoperazone/sulbactam <sup>b</sup>	32	>32	≤0.25 to >32	46.5/41.0	–/–
Piperacillin/tazobactam	64	>64	1 to >64	38.0/46.9	25.8/62.0
Tigecycline <sup>c</sup>	0.25	1	≤0.03 to 4	97.0/0.0	92.3/3.0
Amikacin	4	>32	0.5 to >32	79.7/11.4	67.9/20.3
Cefepime	16	16	≤0.5 to 16	28.0/62.7	8.1/80.8
Colistin	0.5	1	≤0.25 to >4	–/–	93.4/6.6
Gentamicin	>8	>8	≤1 to >8	42.8/50.6	40.2/57.2
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	≤0.12 to >4	43.9/54.2	41.3/56.1
Meropenem	≤0.06	>8	≤0.06 to >8	81.6/15.1	84.9/10.7
Tetracycline	8	>8	≤0.25 to >8	46.5/49.1	–/–
Tobramycin	16	16	≤0.12 to 16	21.8/69.0	20.7/78.2
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	31.0/69.0	31.0/66.1

<sup>a</sup> Criteria as published by the CLSI and EUCAST.<sup>9,10</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Criteria as published by the CLSI for cefoperazone used for cefoperazone/sulbactam.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>c</sup> USA-FDA breakpoints were applied when available.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>d</sup> Includes: *Klebsiella oxytoca* (16 strains), *K. ozaenae* (one strain), *K. pneumoniae* (252 strains), and unspciated *Klebsiella* (two strains).

cefoperazone/sulbactam (59.3%), doxycycline (80.4%) and tigecycline (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 4 mg/L). All carbapenems, aminoglycosides and ampicillin/sulbactam showed susceptibility rates at <50%, many <20%; see Table 3.

Monitoring of nearly 5000 LATAM pathogens in 2011 documents increasing antimicrobial resistances among nearly all sampled species (Tables 1-3), confirming earlier reports.<sup>1,3,6</sup> Although methicillin resistance was elevated among staphylococci (47.8-83.9%), several agents (daptomycin, glycopeptides, linezolid and tigecycline) retained potent activity in LATAM like elsewhere in the world.<sup>4-7</sup> VRE appears to be expanding (14.2-15.1%, in 10 nations) as are non-susceptible rates for  $\beta$ -lactams in *S. pneumoniae*. In contrast, USA rates of VRE particularly among bacteremia isolates of *E. faecium* have escalated to more than 80%,<sup>12</sup> and ceftriaxone non-susceptible rates were at 12.5% in 2009 samples of pneumococci.<sup>13</sup> Rare linezolid-resistant (<1.0% overall) CoNS and enterococci were noted with *cfr* and target site mutations, as previously noted in Mexico.<sup>6</sup>

$\beta$ -Lactamase-mediated (ESBL, MBL [NDM-1], Class A and D carbapenamases) resistance in *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* spp., some other Enterobacteriaceae, and non-fermentative bacilli continues to evolve (Table 3) to levels of 37.3-52.4% and few drugs have  $\geq$ 90.0% level inhibition at published breakpoints.<sup>1-4,14</sup> This demands routine use of combination empiric therapies directed by surveillance programs and patient isolate tests for LATAM patients; and interventions will be required to control further resistance escalation in this geographic region.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgements

This study was sponsored by Pfizer. RNJ and M Castanheira are employees of JMI Laboratories, who were paid consultants to Pfizer in connection with the development of this manuscript. Co-authors have no other conflicts to disclose except for M Cepparulo who is an employee of Pfizer.

### REFERENCES

1. Castanheira M, Costello AJ, Deshpande LM, Jones RN. Expansion of clonal complex 258 KPC-2-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Latin American hospitals: report of the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2012;56:1668-9.
2. Castanheira M, Sader HS, Deshpande LM, Fritsche TR, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activities of tigecycline and other broad-spectrum antimicrobials tested against serine carbapenemase- and metallo-beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2008;52:570-3.
3. Gales AC, Castanheira M, Jones RN, Sader HS. Antimicrobial resistance among Gram-negative bacilli isolated from Latin America: results from SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (Latin America, 2008-2010). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2012;73:354-60.
4. Rice LB. Mechanisms of resistance and clinical relevance of resistance to beta-lactams, glycopeptides, and fluoroquinolones. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2012;87:198-208.
5. Gould IM. Clinical activity of anti-Gram-positive agents against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2011;66:iv17-21.
6. Mendes RE, Deshpande L, Rodriguez-Noriega E, Ross JE, Jones RN, Morfin-Otero R. First report of staphylococcal clinical isolates in Mexico with linezolid resistance caused by *cfr*: evidence of in vivo *cfr* mobilization. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2010;48:3041-3.
7. Woodford N, Livermore DM. Infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria: a review of the global challenge. *J Infect.* 2009;59:S4-16.
8. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M07-A9. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard. ninth edition Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI; 2012.
9. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M100-S23. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 23rd informational supplement. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI; 2013.
10. EUCAST. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 3.0; 2013. Available at <http://www.eucast.org/clinical.breakpoints/> [accessed 02.01.13].
11. Tygacil® Package Insert. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals; 2012. Available at [www.tygacil.com](http://www.tygacil.com) [accessed January 2013].
12. Arias CA, Mendes RE, Stilwell MG, Jones RN, Murray BE. Unmet needs and prospects for oritavancin in the management of vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infections. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;54:S8-233.
13. Jones RN, Sader HS, Moet GJ, Farrell DJ. Declining antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in the United States: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1998-2009). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2010;68:334-6.
14. Papp-Wallace KM, Endimiani A, Taracila MA, Bonomo RA. Carbapenems: past, present, and future. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2011;55:4943-60.