Review Article **To Live or to Die: Prosurvival Activity of PPAR**γ **in Cancers**

Y. Lynn Wang and Qi Miao

Molecular Hematopathology Laboratory, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10065, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Y. Lynn Wang, lyw2001@med.cornell.edu

Received 9 March 2008; Accepted 3 May 2008

Recommended by Dipak Panigrahy

The role of PPARy in tumorigenesis is controversial. In this article, we review and analyze literature from the past decade that highlights the potential proneoplastic activity of PPARy. We discuss the following five aspects of the nuclear hormone receptor and its agonists: (1) relative expression of PPARy in human tumor *versus* normal tissues; (2) receptor-dependent proneoplastic effects; (3) impact of PPARy and its agonists on tumors in animal models; (4) clinical trials of thiazolidinediones (TZDs) in human malignancies; (5) TZDs as chemopreventive agents in epidemiology studies. The focus is placed on the most relevant *in vivo* animal models and human data. *In vitro* cell line studies are included only when the effects are shown to be dependent on the PPARy receptor.

Copyright © 2008 Y. Lynn Wang and Q. Miao. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

PPAR γ is a nuclear hormone receptor that requires ligand binding for activation. In 1995, it was discovered that PPAR γ is the molecular target of thiazolidinediones (TZDs, [1]), a class of synthetic compounds that are effective for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. This discovery spurred great interest in these agents, as well as in the receptor. Besides its function as an insulin sensitizer in diabetes, PPAR γ was found to have a variety of roles in immunoregulation, atherosclerosis, angiogenesis, and tumorigenesis.

With regards to carcinogenesis, debate continues as to whether PPARy is pro- or antineoplastic, despite very active research over the past few years. At the cellular level, PPARy was found to be involved in cancer cell survival/apoptosis, proliferation, and differentiation. While the apoptotic functions of PPARy and its agonists are addressed by others in this special issue, we will conduct a critical review of the literature that suggests that PPARy has a prosurvival activity. The review is mainly focused on data derived from *in vivo* models and/or human studies. *In vitro* cell line-based studies are included only when the effects are shown to be dependent on the PPARy receptor.

One important lesson learned from the past several years of research is that effects observed with agonists of PPARy

are not necessarily intrinsic effects of the nuclear hormone receptor. In tumor cell survival, the proapoptotic activities of PPARy agonists in various tumors act through both receptordependent and receptor-independent mechanisms. When reviewing the literature, we advise that the readers carefully consider the following to distinguish drugs or TZDs versus receptor effects: (1) are high or low doses used in the studies? High or low doses should be defined with respect to EC_{50} of glitazones in the PPARy transactivation assays (Table 1) or plasma concentrations that can be reached in humans (Table 2). Effects observed with high concentrations may not be relevant due to toxicities of certain TZDs, such as hepatotoxicity of troglitazone and potential cardiotoxicity of rosiglitazone (see below). (2) Are multiple pharmacological agents used? If a pharmacological approach is the only one used, claims of a receptor-dependent effect require demonstration with agonists of different chemical structures, such as TZDs, tyrosine analogues, 15-Deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -PGJ₂ (15d-PGJ₂), and so forth. Beware that 15d-PGJ₂ possesses many PPARy-independent activities, including inhibition of the NF κ B pathway, that are known to have prosurvival and anti-inflammatory properties, as well as other effects [2–4]. (3) Are any antagonists included in the study? Do antagonists GW9662 or T0070907 block or reverse the observed effects? (4) Are there any experiments in the study utilizing a genetic

approach to confirm the pharmacological findings? Does the study involve cell lines or primary cells that contain or lack PPARy, preferably in the same genetic background? For those cell lines with endogenous PPARy, is the siRNA, shRNA or dominant negative form of PPARy used to reduce the levels of the receptor? Are specific effects of the receptor diminished by such reduction? For readers' convenience, these questions are summarized in Table 3.

2. EXPRESSION OF PPARy IN HUMAN TUMOR VERSUS NORMAL TISSUES

It is generally believed that expression of a gene in a particular tissue suggests that the activity of the encoded protein is required for certain cellular functions of that tissue. In so far as cancers are concerned, the general rule is that oncogenes are overexpressed due to dysregulation, and tumor suppressor genes are underexpressed or absent due to mutations or deletions. In order to clarify the roles of the PPAR γ receptor, it would be informative to review the expression levels of PPAR γ in tumors with respect to their normal tissue counterparts. In this article, expression data from tumor cell lines are not included.

A review of the current literature on human cancers showed that expression levels of PPARy mRNA and protein are generally higher in neoplastic tissues than their normal counterparts (summarized in Table 4). The most convincing data came from a large study of prostate cancer that included 156 patients with prostate cancer (PC), 15 with less aggressive prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), 20 with benign prostatic hyperplasia, and 12 normal prostate tissues. In this study, a high level of PPARy expression, by immunohistochemistry, is observed in PC and PIN cases in comparison to low or no expression in the benign hyperplasia and normal tissues. The results were confirmed at the mRNA level with RT-PCR on a few cases from each category of the malignant and benign conditions [13]. A large study of 126 renal cell carcinomas also showed significantly more extensive and intensive PPARy staining in tumor epithelium compared to the average staining levels seen in 20 normal tissues [14]. Similarly, in 22 patients with nonsmall cell lung carcinoma, higher levels of PPARy are expressed in tumor cells than in the surrounding normal tissue, as determined by immunohistochemical staining. In addition, higher expression levels in tumor cells are confirmed by Western blotting hybridization, using homogenized tissue samples [15]. In hepatocellular carcinoma, immunostaining also demonstrates that PPARy is overexpressed in all of 20 carcinoma tissues but not in normal hepatocytes [16]. For squamous cell carcinoma, 20 cases of primary tumor and six cases of lymph node metastasis were demonstrated to have increased PPARy protein expression compared to normal tongue tissue [17]. Infiltrating adenocarcinoma of the breast also expresses higher nuclear staining of PPARy compared to normal ductal epithelial cells by immunohistochemical analysis. However, only one of the three cases was shown [18]. For papillary thyroid carcinoma, six patients were studied to determine PPARy mRNA expression using reverse transcription PCR. The message was found in three of six

tumor tissues while the corresponding normal tissues do not express PPAR γ [19].

Follicular thyroid carcinoma, a less common histological subtype of thyroid cancer, is characterized by a chromosomal translocation t(2;3) that results in a fusion between paired box gene 8 on chromosome 2 and PPARy on chromosome 3 (PAX8-PPARy). The fusion protein was initially thought to function as a dominant-negative inhibitor of the wildtype PPARy protein [28]. However, a recent microarray study revealed that (1) PPARy transcript levels in all seven cases of PAX8-PPARy-containing follicular carcinomas are more than 10-fold higher than normal thyroid tissues, as determined by both microarray and quantitative RT-PCR analyses; (2) the expression profile of the fusion-positive follicular carcinomas shows induction of genes that are involved in fatty acid, amino acid, and glucose metabolic pathways. Interestingly, many of the upregulated genes are known transcriptional targets of the wild-type receptor, suggesting that the PAX8-PPARy fusion protein functions similarly to wild-type PPARy, rather than antagonizing its activity. (3) Using cell lines transfected with PPAR γ or the fusion protein, it is shown that expression of some genes, including angiogenic factors PGF and ANGPTL4, is specifically upregulated by the fusion protein, particularly in the absence of ligand, indicating that the fusion protein is constitutively active. Taken together, these experimental data suggest that the translocation enhances the function of PPARy in a way that contributes to the development or progression of follicular carcinoma of the thyroid [29].

Upregulation of PPARy has been demonstrated during tumor progression. Mueller et al. have found significant PPARy staining in six cases of metastatic breast adenocarcinoma. In cell lines established from the primary and metastatic tumors of one of these patients, significantly higher amounts of PPARy transcript are shown in the cell line derived from the metastatic tumor [20]. In ovarian cancer, intensity and location of PPARy immunostaining were examined in 28 carcinoma cases along with 28 normal, benign or borderline cases. Twenty six of 28 carcinomas showed strongly positive PPARy staining compared to 2 weak-staining cases in the control group. Moreover, it is noted that PPARy staining was predominantly nuclear in grade 2 or 3 tumors, as compared to a predominantly cytoplasmic staining pattern in grade 1 tumors [21]. Similar findings were made in transitional cell carcinoma of urinary bladder. Whereas no significant PPARy immunoreactivity was observed in 20 normal tissues, elevated PPARy was found in 168 tumors. Furthermore, the intensity of staining increased as the histological grade increased from G1 to G3 and the tumor stage increased from early (pT1 or lower) to advanced (stage 2 or higher) [22].

A recent large study of 129 cases of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma convincingly showed by array-based gene profiling that expression of PPAR γ in the tumor cells is ~7 fold higher than that in the normal ductal epithelia. This finding was confirmed with immunohistochemical analysis of the tissue sections. Normal ductal epithelia showed insignificant staining for PPAR γ . An early lesion, intraepithelial neoplasia showed occasional PPAR γ expression whereas more than

Agonists	Constructs used for transactivation	EC ₅₀ (µM)	References	
Ciglitazone	mPPARy1 LBD ^(a) -GAL4 DBD ^(b)	3	[5]	
	Wild-type mPPARy1	0.4	[1]	
Pioglitzzone	Wild-type mPPARy2	0.4		
1 logittazofic	mPPARy1 ^(c) LBD-GAL4 DBD	0.55	[6]	
	hPPARy1 ^(d) LBD-GAL4 DBD	0.58		
	Wild-type mPPARy1	0.03	[1]	
Rosiglitazone	Wild-type mPPARy2	0.1		
Kosiginazone	mPPARy1 LBD-GAL4 DBD	0.076		
	hPPARy1 LBD-GAL4 DBD	0.043		
Troglitazone	mPPARy1 LBD-GAL4 DBD	0.78	[6]	
	hPPARy1 LBD-GAL4 DBD	0.55	[0]	
15d-PGJ ₂	Wild-type mPPARy1	3	[7]	
	mPPARy1 LBD-GAL4 DBD	2	[7]	

TABLE 1: EC₅₀ of common PPARy agonists in transactivation assays.

(a) LBD, ligand binding domain.

(b) DBD, DNA binding domain.

(c) mPPARy1, mouse PPARy1.

(d) hPPAR γ 1, human PPAR γ 1.

TABLE 2: Peak plasma concentrations of PPARy a	agonists.
--	-----------

Agonists	$\mathbf{C}_{\max}^{(a)}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{M}\right)$	References
Ciglitazone	$15 \sim 30^{(b)}$	[8]
Pioglitazone	0.2~2.5	[9]
Rosiglitazone	0.2~1.7	<i>Avandia</i> Prescribing Information ^(c)
Troglitazone	0.7~8.8	[10]
15d-PGI	Low nanomolar to	[11]
150-10)2	picomolar range ^(d)	[12]

 $(a)C_{max}$, the maximum or peak plasma concentration in human unless otherwise indicated.

(b)That in dog plasma.

(c)From http://us.gsk.com/products/assets/us_avandia.pdf.

(d)Physiological concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid, urine, and the interior of adipocytes.

TABLE 3: Points to be considered to discern drugs/TZDs versus receptor effects.

(1) Are high or low doses of drugs used in the studies with respect to their K_d values for PPARy, or plasma concentrations? (2) Are multiple pharmacological agents of different chemical classes used?

(3) Are any antagonists included in the study?

(4) Are any genetic approaches used to confirm the pharmacological findings?

70% of invasive pancreatic carcinoma demonstrated weak to strong expression. Statistical analysis indeed revealed that expression of PPAR γ correlates with high tumor stage and higher tumor histological grade. More strikingly, expression of PPAR γ in pancreatic cancer is shown, by multivariant survival analysis, to be a significant prognostic indicator for

In parallel to the above literature, levels of PPARy mRNA found in several well- or poorly-differentiated colorectal adenocarcinomas, were similar to normal tissues [24]. Another group also found that the PPARy immunostaining in well-, moderately-, or poorly-differentiated gastric adenocarcinomas is comparable to that in noncancerous tissue adjacent to the tumor [25]. In liposarcomas, PPARy transcript levels are similar to that of the adipose tissue [26]. In adrenal glands, there is, again, no significant difference in mRNA expression among cases of carcinoma, adenoma, and normal tissues [27]. Notably, at the time of composition of this manuscript, we have not yet found any reports stating that PPARy expression is downregulated or absent in human tumor *versus* normal tissues (Table 4).

shortened patient survival [23].

The next question is whether or not the PPARy expressed in tumor tissues is functional. Are ligands of PPARy present in the tumor tissues? A thorough and up to date literature search yielded few results. The English abstract of a study published in a foreign language stated that there was no significant difference in 15d-PGJ₂ concentration between gastric cancer tissues and controls [30]. An earlier study showed that 15d-PGJ₂ promotes the proliferation of HCA-7, a cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2)-containing colon cancer cell line at nanomolar concentrations. Further characterization by HPLC and mass spectrometry identified PGJ₂, a chemical precursor of 15d-PGJ₂ in the culture medium of HCA-7 cells [31]. COX-2 is a key enzyme in the biochemical pathway that leads to the formation of cyclopentenone prostaglandins including 15d-PGJ₂. Overexpression of COX-2 has been documented in many cancer types and contributes to tumor growth [32]. Overall, these few and somewhat circumstantial evidences suggest that 15d-PGJ₂ might be present in the tumor tissues.

Tumor versus normal tissue	No. of cases	References	
Overexpression			
Prostate cancer/prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia	156/15	[13]	
Renal cell carcinoma	126	[14]	
Nonsmall-cell lung carcinoma	22	[15]	
Hepatocellular carcinoma/lymph node metastasis	20/6	[16]	
Squamous cell carcinoma	20	[17]	
Metastatic breast adenocarcinoma	6	[20]	
Infiltrating ductal breast adenocarcinoma	3	[18]	
Papillary thyroid carcinoma	6 ^(a)	[19]	
Increased expression during tumor progression			
Breast adenocarcinoma	1 ^(b)	[20]	
Ovarian carcinoma	28 versus 28 ^(c)	[21]	
Urinary bladder carcinoma	100 versus 70 ^(d)	[22]	
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	45 versus 84 ^(e)	[23]	
Similar expression			
Colorectal adenocarcinoma	11	[24]	
Gastric adenocarcinoma	12	[25]	
Liposarcoma	13	[26]	
Adrenocortical tumors	32	[27]	

TABLE 4: PPARy expression in human tumor versus normal tissues.

(a) Of the six papillary carcinoma tissues, three expressed PPARy mRNA.

(b) The primary and metastatic breast cancer cell lines were derived from a single patient.

(c) Normal, benign, or borderline versus malignant tumors (grades 1, 2, and 3).

(d) Lower ($\leq pT1$) versus higher ($\geq pT2$) tumor stages.

(e) Lower (pT1 & pT2) versus higher (pT3 & pT4) tumor stages.

Does PPARy lose or gain abnormal functions through mutations other than PAX8-PPARy translocation? A large survey of human tumor samples and cancer cell lines does not support such a notion. The exon 3 and 5 mutations, once reported in sporadic colon cancers [33], were not present in nearly 400 cell lines and primary tumor samples including lung, breast, prostate, colon cancers, and leukemias [34].

Taken together, several lines of evidence regarding PPARy expression suggest a positive contributive role of the receptor in the development, maintenance, or progression of human malignancies: (1) PPARy is overexpressed in the vast majority of cancers. (2) In several types of cancer, PPARy expression is further increased during tumor progression. (3) The oncogenic fusion PAX8-PPARy results in PPARy overexpression and upregulation of a similar profile of transcriptional targets as the wild-type protein. (4) Expression of PPARy in pancreatic cancer is associated with shorter survival.

3. RECEPTOR-DEPENDENT PRONEOPLASTIC EFFECTS OF PPARγ

Is there also cellular-level evidence suggesting that PPARy promotes tumors? Most studies, especially those employing high doses of TZDs, suggest that PPARy agonists have antitumor activities through inhibition of cell proliferation or induction of apoptosis or differentiation. However, receptorindependent pathways are involved in most of the cases (reviewed elsewhere in this special issue). Then what does the receptor by itself do in tumors?

Schaefer et al. showed that inhibition of PPARy induces apoptosis of hepatocellular carcinoma cells (HCCs) by preventing their adhesion to the extracellular matrix, suggesting that the activity of PPARy is required for HCC cells to adhere and survive [16]. In that study, those particular effects were shown to be receptor-dependent. Loss of cell adhesion requires almost complete loss of PPARy activity achieved by either PPARy-targeting siRNA or PPARy inhibitor T0070907. In addition, T0070907 causes cell death at concentrations far lower than those needed for PPARy agonists rosiglitazone and troglitazone. Together, the data suggest that PPARy functions to promote tumor cell adhesion and survival in HCC cells. In line with this notion, the promoter region of hepatocyte growth factor contains a functional PPAR response element (PPRE) that mediates its transcriptional upregulation by PPARy. The growth factor plays an essential role in liver growth during embryonic development, as well as in maintenance and renewal of cells in various organs including liver, lung, and kidney, in adulthood [35].

Our laboratory studied human anaplastic large T-cell lymphomas, a common form of large cell lymphoma in the pediatric population. We first demonstrated with immunohistochemical staining that PPARy is expressed in the malignant cells of the lymphoma tissues [36]. We then tested the effect of PPARy activation in cell lines established from patients with this lymphoma. A pair of cell lines,



FIGURE 1: Schematic diagram showing how PPARy increases cell survival in growth factor/nutrient-deprived cells. Growth factor/nutrient withdrawal induces ROS production. In the absence of PPARy activation, increased levels of ROS inhibit mitochondrial electron transport, leading to mitochondrial depolarization, caspase activation, and cell death. When PPARy is activated, the increase in ROS is attenuated by the receptor through transcriptional upregulation of cell type specific antioxidant factors, such as catalase, Cu/Zn-SOD (SOD1), Mn-SOD (SOD2), or UCP2. The transcriptional upregulation of these genes by PPARy may or may not be direct (shown to be direct in the diagram for simplicity).

Karpas 299 and SUP-M2 that, respectively, contain and lack endogenous PPARy were selected to address the receptordependency issue. Additionally, only low ligand concentrations were used, following initial dose titration, to minimize any off-target effects. Using this system, we have found that low doses of PPARy agonists do not affect cell survival under normal conditions. When cell death was induced by nutrient deprivation through serum withdrawal, activation of the receptor with low doses of rosiglitazone $(0.5-2 \,\mu\text{M})$ attenuated cell death, as compared to drug vehicle-treated cells. This result was reproducible with low doses of GW7845 $(0.5-2\,\mu\text{M})$ and 15d-PGJ₂ $(0.5-1\,\mu\text{M})$. The effect occurred only in PPARy-containing Karpas 299 cells but not in PPARy-lacking SUP-M2 cells. Moreover, reducing PPARy in Karpas 299 cells with siRNA diminished the prosurvival effect of the receptor. Furthermore, we showed that the prosurvival effect is mediated through PPARy-dependent cellular metabolic changes, including increased cellular ATP levels, stabilized mitochondrial membrane potential, and reduced reactive oxygen species (ROS) production that each favor cell survival. PPARy does so through coordinated regulation of the expression of ROS metabolic enzymes, including the p67 subunit of NADPH oxidase, uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2), and manganese superoxide dismutase (Mn-SOD) at both mRNA and protein levels that lead to ROS limitation. Lastly, we showed that stable transfection of PPARy into SUP-M2 cells not only improved cell survival,

but also suppressed ROS accumulation during serum starvation. These genetic manipulations have provided definitive evidence that PPARy promotes lymphoma cell survival under conditions of nutrient deprivation.

Our group has also made similar findings in a murine cellular model [37, 38]. FL5.12 is a murine lymphocytic cell line that requires interleukin-3 (IL-3) for survival and proliferation. This cell line has been extensively used to characterize tumor cell metabolism [39]. FL5.12 cells express little PPARy, but are killed by high concentrations of PPARy agonists, 15d-PGJ₂ (\geq 10 μ M) and ciglitazone (\geq 80 μ M). In an FL5.12 cell line stably-transfected with PPARy, low doses of PPARy agonist do not affect cell viability under normal conditions. However, when cells are induced to die by IL-3 withdrawal, low doses of ciglitazone $(10 \,\mu\text{M})$ and rosiglitazone $(0.05-2 \mu M)$ improved survival in only PPARycontaining cells. Improved cell survival is also accompanied by stabilized mitochondria and reduced ROS. Moreover, ATP production is required for PPARy to exert its prosurvival effect. In this system, expression of a different panel of ROS metabolic enzymes including catalase, and Cu/Zn-SOD are involved in reduction of the cellular levels of ROS. Functional PPRE sequences were shown to be present in the promoter regions of these two genes, suggesting that the upregulation of their expression could be directly regulated by PPARy [40–42]. Taken together, data from both human and murine cell line studies suggest that PPARy promotes

tumor cell survival under conditions of nutrient/growth factor deprivation, and that the effect is not limited to a particular system. The mechanism by which PPARy increases cell survival is diagrammed in Figure 1 (Also see below).

In support of the prosurvival activity of PPARy in T-cell malignancies, Ferreira-Silva et al. very recently showed that RNAi-mediated silencing of PPARy in Jurkat T-cells caused increased DNA fragmentation and apoptosis as well as G2/M cell cycle arrest, arguing that the receptor, proper, promotes the viability of the tumor cells [43].

In parallel to these findings in tumors, the prosurvival activity of PPARy has been well documented in certain nonneoplastic pathological conditions, especially ischemiareperfusion injury in nutrient-sensitive tissues such as brain, heart and kidney [44-51]. Irreversible damage that results from prolonged ischemia causes stroke, and myocardial and kidney infarction. At the cellular level, cell death occurs as a result of nutrient deprivation and inflammatory responses that involve the actions of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines and transcriptional factors. In addition, increased production of ROS plays an important role in causing damage to macromolecules and eventual cell death [52]. A recent study using a rat model of cerebral focal ischemia has shown that expression of PPARy mRNA and protein is upregulated in the areas adjacent to infarct caused by middle cerebral artery occlusion [46]. Administration of glitazones prior to, at the time of, or shortly after ischemia induction causes an increase in DNA binding of the receptor. This is accompanied by a decrease in the expression of a number of inflammatory genes, along with an increase in the expression of antioxidant enzymes including catalase and Cu/Zn-SOD [44-47]. Consequently, these changes lead to limited cell demise, which eventually results in significantly reduced infarct size. This process apparently works through a PPARy-dependent mechanism, as GW9662 can block these effects of TZDs in animals [47]. Another PPARy antagonist, T0070907, even increases the infarction size, both in the presence and absence of PPARy ligands [46].

In light of both these findings and the overexpression of PPARy in many cancers, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the function of PPARy in cancer is to confer a survival advantage upon the malignant cells, allowing them to survive in an adverse environment. As a result of fast growth, the center of a three dimensional tumor mass is often deprived of oxygen, growth factors, glucose, and other nutrients due to excessive demand and insufficient vascularization. However, cancer cells possess remarkable tolerance and are able to survive despite the adverse conditions [53, 54]. Besides increasing angiogenesis, increasing PPARy might be another mechanism that allows tumor cells to enhance their survival under these unfavorable conditions (Figure 1).

4. IMPACT OF PPAR γ AND ITS AGONISTS ON ANIMAL TUMOR MODELS

Animal models were employed to examine the role of PPAR γ in tumors. These systems can be categorized by how the tumor models are generated and by how the dose/activity of PPAR γ is altered. With respect to the former, tumors

PPAR Research

can be generated with xenografts, carcinogens, or genetic manipulations. Watch for spontaneous tumor formation in certain PPARy genetic backgrounds has also been conducted. With respect to the dose/activity of PPARy, it can be altered using PPARy agonists including TZDs or GW7845, or genetic manipulations including hemizygosity or tissuespecific overexpression or deletion of PPARy. Results differ drastically between different model systems, even for the same types of cancer (Tables 5 and 6). This review focuses on models that are more relevant to human cancers. As such, animal studies involving TZD treatment of xenografted tumors are not discussed here.

4.1. Colon cancer

 $Apc^{+/Min}$ mice possess a nonsense mutation in one copy of the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene which truncates the protein at amino acid 850. Loss-of-function mutations in the APC gene are common in human familial adenomatous polyposis and can be found in sporadic colon cancers as well. Using this model, which is highly relevant to human colon cancers, one study showed an increase in tumor number and size, as well as worse histological grade in mice treated with troglitazone or rosiglitazone. This is associated with a rosiglitazone-induced increase in the β -catenin protein level in the colon tissues [55]. Another study [56], which also used Apc+/Min mice, reported an increase in the number of colon polyps in troglitazone-treated mice, but reported no significant difference in tumor size or histology, which may be related to the shorter TZD treatments used in this study (5 weeks as compared to 8 weeks in the first study). Similar findings were made in $Apc^{+/1638N}$: $Mlh1^{+/-}$ double mutant mice. In these mice, one copy of the APC gene is truncated at amino acid position 1638 and one of the two alleles of the DNA repair enzyme Mlh1 is absent. In the double mutant mice, troglitazone treatment significantly increased the number of mice that developed large intestine tumors [58]. In contrast to these reports, another study used $Apc^{+/1638N}$ mice crossed with hemizygous PPARy mice. Because homozygous deletion of PPARy is embryonic-lethal, studies examining the dose effect of the gene employed either a hemizygous Ppary^{+/-} mouse strain or a conditional knockout strategy. No differences in survival, number of colonic tumors or β -catenin expression levels were observed between mice of $Apc^{+/1638N}$: $Ppary^{+/-}$ and $Apc^{+/1638N}$: $Ppary^{+/+}$ littermates [57]. Therefore, in colon cancer induced by APC mutations, it appears that activation of PPARy by TZDs promotes tumor formation, while reduction of PPARy gene dosage has little effect on tumor formation.

In stark contrast to the *APC* genetic tumor models, carcinogen-generated colon cancer models seem to yield opposite results. In the study that evaluated PPARy haploinsufficiency in an $Apc^{+/1638N}$ background, the investigators also determined the effect of $Ppary^{+/-}$ in azoxymethane-mediated colon cancer. Compared to the $Ppary^{+/+}$ mice, a greater number of haploinsufficient mice developed tumors in the colon. The tumor-bearing $Ppary^{+/-}$ mice also had a greater number of tumors in them that led to significantly decreased survival. In another study, mice with

Cancer type	Tumor induction	PPAR γ activation (↑)/reduction (↓)	Tumor response	PPARy's effect	References
Colon	Apc ^{+/Min}	↑ Troglitazone, Rosiglitazone	Increased incidence and size of tumor	Promoting	[55, 56]
Colon	Apc ^{+/1683N}	↓ Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[57]
Colon	$Apc^{+/1683N}: Mlh1^{+/-}$	† Troglitazone	Increased tumor incidence	Promoting	[58]
Colon	Azoxymethane	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased tumor incidence, number, shortened survival	Suppressing	[57]
Colon	Azoxymethane	↑ Troglitazone, pioglitazone, or rosiglitazone	Decreased tumor incidence, number, and size	Suppressing	[59]
Colon	Spontaneous	↑ Troglitazone (5 weeks)	No response	No effect	[56]
Colon	Spontaneous	↑ Troglitazone (6 months)	Increased tumor incidence	Promoting	[58]
Mammary glands	Polyoma virus middle T antigen	↑ Tissue specific constitutive activation of PPARy	Promoted tumor development	Promoting	[60]
Mammary glands	Polyoma virus middle T antigen	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[60]
Mammary glands	MNU ^(a)	† GW7845	Decreased tumor incidence, number, and total weight	Suppressing	[61]
Mammary, ovarian, skin	DMBA ^(b)	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased tumor incidence and number, worse survival	Suppressing	[62]
Mammary glands	Spontaneous	↓ Tissue-specific PPARy deletion	No response	No effect	[63]
Mammary glands	Spontaneous	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[62]
Prostate	SV40 T antigen	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[64]
Thyroid	DN-TR $\beta^{(c)}$	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased metastases, shortened survival	Suppressing	[65]
Thyroid	DN-TRβ	↑ Rosiglitazone	Reduced tumor growth, delayed progression	Suppressing	[65]
Gastric	MNU	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased tumor incidence, shortened survival	Suppressing	[66]
Gastric	MNU	† Troglitazone	Decreased tumor incidence	Suppressing	[66]
Lung	Urethan	↑ Tissue-specific PPARy overexpression	Decreased tumor incidence	Suppressing	[67]

TABLE 5: PPARy and agonists in animal models (differentially shaded according to methods of tumor induction).

(a) MNU, *N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea.

(b) DMBA, 7,12-dimethylbenzanthracene.

(c) DN-TR β , dominant-negative mutant of thyroid hormone receptor β .

Un-shaded: Genetic tumor models

Light grey-shaded: Carcinogen-induced tumor models

Dark grey-shaded: Spontaneous tumor formation

azoxymethane-mediated colon cancer were treated with troglitazone, pioglitazone, or rosiglitazone. This resulted in reduced incidence, number, and size of colorectal tumor [59]. Taken together, these data suggest that PPARy suppress azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis.

What would happen in normal mice? Spontaneous colon tumor development was evaluated in normal mice administered with troglitazone [58]. All nine mice fed with troglitazone developed tumors in the large intestine, in contrast to none of the 10 mice in the control group. An earlier study did not find any tumors in 17 troglitazone-fed

normal mice, possibly due to the short duration of feeding (5 weeks in [56] versus 6 months in [58]).

4.2. Mammary gland tumors

The mammary gland tumor is another relatively well-studied tumor in animals. Similar to colon carcinogenesis, data on PPARy's role in mammary gland carcinogensis suggest a wide range of effect depending on the tumor models (Tables 5 and 6). Some studies indicate no effect, while others suggest that it has a tumor promoting role, while others yet

Cancer type	Tumor induction	PPAR γ activation (↑)/reduction (↓)	Tumor response	PPARy's effect	References
Colon	APC ^{Min/+}	↑ Troglitazone, Rosiglitazone	Increased incidence and size of tumor	Promoting	[55, 56]
Colon	Apc ^{+/1638N}	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[57]
Colon	$Apc^{+/1638N}: Mlh1^{+/-}$	↑ Troglitazone	Increased tumor incidence	Promoting	[58]
Colon	Azoxymethane	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased tumor incidence, number, shortened survival	Suppressing	[57]
Colon	Azoxymethane	↑ Troglitazone, pioglitazone, or rosiglitazone	Decreased tumor incidence, number, and size	Suppressing	[59]
Colon	Spontaneous	↑ Troglitazone (5 weeks)	No response	No effect	[56]
Colon	Spontaneous	↑ Troglitazone (6 months)	Increased tumor incidence	Promoting	[58]
Mammary glands	Polyoma virus middle T antigen	† Tissue specific constitutive activation of PPARy	Promoted tumor development	Promoting	[60]
Mammary glands	Polyoma virus middle T antigen	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[60]
Mammary glands	MNU ^(a)	↑ GW7845	Decreased tumor incidence, number, and total weight	Suppressing	[61]
Mammary, ovarian, skin	DMBA ^(b)	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased tumor incidence and number, worse survival	Suppressing	[62]
Mammary glands	Spontaneous	↓ Tissue-specific PPARy deletion	No response	No effect	[63]
Mammary glands	Spontaneous	↓ Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[62]
Prostate	SV40 T antigen	↓ Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	No response	No effect	[64]
Thyroid	DN-TR $\beta^{(c)}$	↓ Pparγ ^{+/−}	Increased metastases, shortened survival	Suppressing	[65]
Thyroid	DN-TRβ	↑ Rosiglitazone	Reduced tumor growth, delayed progression	Suppressing	[65]
Gastric	MNU	\downarrow Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$	Increased tumor incidence, shortened survival	Suppressing	[66]
Gastric	MNU	† Troglitazone	Decreased tumor incidence	Suppressing	[66]
Lung	Urethan	↑ Tissue-specific PPARy1 overexpression	Decreased tumor incidence	Suppressing	[67]

TABLE 6: PPARy and agonists in animal models (differentially shaded according to methods of PPARy manipulation).

(a)MNU, N-methyl-N-nitrosourea. (b)DMBA, 7,12-dimethylbenzanthracene.

(c)DN-TR β , dominant-negative mutant of thyroid hormone receptor β .

Un-shaded: Activation of PPARy by pharmacological agonists

Light grey-shaded: Reduction of PPARy gene dosage

Dark grey-shaded: Tissue specific PPARy overexpression

suggest a tumor suppressing role. A murine genetic model supports a tumor-promoting role [60]. In this model, the mammary gland tumor is induced by mammary glandspecific expression of polyoma middle T antigen (MMTV-PyV). Mammary gland specific constitutive expression of PPARy (MMTV-VpPPARy) did not yield tumor development. However, when crossed with the MMTV-PyV mice, the double mutant progeny developed more mammary gland tumors sooner than MMTV-PyV mice. The increased tumor burden eventually led to shorter survival. Interestingly, hemizygosity of PPARy in the MMTV-PyV background

did not change the time course of tumor development. Exacerbation of tumor formation by PPARy was ascribed to increased Wnt- β catenin signaling as demonstrated by zebrafish developmental models.

In contrast to this genetic model, chemically induced mammary gland tumors were inhibited by PPARy agonists. Both TZDs and GW7845, a tyrosine analog, have been shown to exhibit antitumor effects. An early study using nitrosomethylurea (MNU) to induce mammary carcinogenesis showed that GW7845 reduced the incidence, number of tumors per animal, and average weight of tumor at autopsy

following a two-month administration of the drug to rats [61]. In 7,12-dimethylbenzanthracene (DMBA)-mediated mouse carcinogenesis model, the animals develop multiple types of tumor, including mammary ductal papilloma and adenocarcinoma. Incidence of mammary gland tumor was significantly higher in $Ppary^{+/-}$ mice than in $Ppary^{+/+}$ mice. The hemizygous mice also had increased number of tumors and a lower survival rate [62].

Spontaneous tumor formation was also examined in $Ppary^{+/-}$ mice. Dose reduction of PPARy does not make animals prone to increased carcinogenesis [62]. In concordance with this finding, the specific deletion of PPARy in mouse mammary epithelia failed to induce mammary tumors in 20 mice observed for 12 months [63].

4.3. Other cancers

In a murine prostate cancer model, generated using tissuespecific SV40 T antigen, reduced $Ppary^{+/-}$ had no effects on tumor incidence, latency, size, histopathology, or disease progression [64]. However, in a murine follicular thyroid cancer model containing a dominant-negative mutant form of thyroid hormone receptor β ($TR\beta^{PV/PV}$), loss of one *PPARy* allele led to increased weight of tumor-bearing thyroid gland, increased lung metastasis, and shortened survival. In addition, rosiglitazone treatment of $TR\beta^{PV/PV}$ mice reduced thyroid weight, and tumor progression [65], suggesting a tumor-suppressing role for PPARy. Lastly, in gastric carcinoma, induced with MNU, *PPARy* haploinsufficient mice had increased tumor incidence and shorter survival. Troglitazone treatment significantly reduced tumor incidence in mice with wild-type *PPARy* background [66].

In summary, results from animal studies regarding the role of PPARy are conflicting and difficult to assess. For the purpose of clarification, we attempted to analyze the published data according to the cancer types, tumor induction models, PPARy activation/reduction methods, and tumor characteristics (Tables 5 and 6). Our extensive analysis revealed no clear pattern. However, some trends have been noted: (1) in multiple types of carcinogeninduced tumor (Table 5, light grey shaded rows), PPARy seems to have a tumor-suppressing function. This appears to be independent of how PPARy is activated or reduced, whereas in genetic tumor models (Table 5, un-shaded rows), the receptor exhibited all possible different effects. As to spontaneous tumors (Table 5, dark grey shaded rows), longterm use of troglitazone increased tumor formation, whereas PPARy reduction had no effect; (2) a reduction of PPARy dose by itself (Table 6, light grey shaded rows) is insufficient to induce spontaneous tumor formation, but in existing tumors, it either exacerbates tumor formation or have no effect at all; (3) TZDs (Table 6, un-shaded rows), in most cases, inhibits tumor formation with a rare exception of $Apc^{+/Min}$ mice.

The activity of the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway might account for these seemingly discrepant results, as tumor models generated by APC mutation or polyoma middle T antigen all involve overly active Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. TZDs are shown to induce β -catenin in colon [55]. Paradoxically, reduction of PPAR γ (Ppar $\gamma^{+/-}$) also increases β -catenin expression in colon [57]. The appropriate activation of PPAR γ signaling might also be important. Ligand-independent constitutive activation of PPAR γ is involved in the development of mammary gland tumors [60] as well as in the action of PAX8-PPAR γ in follicular thyroid carcinoma [29].

5. CLINICAL TRIALS OF TZDs IN HUMAN MALIGNANCIES

As discussed above, TZDs have been shown in many preclinical studies to possess antitumor effects that have prompted several early-phase clinical studies to evaluate their efficacies in various types of cancers. In this review, we analyze these studies both in terms of clinical responses and biological responses, focusing on recently published studies that include more than 10 patients (Table 7).

A phase II clinical trial of rosiglitazone in 12 patients with liposarcoma was recently conducted. Eight of 12 patients were fully evaluated for up to 16 months. As to clinical response, all patients progressed while on treatment with a mean time-to-progression of 5.5 months. Histological appearance of repeated biopsy materials did not show any signs of tumor differentiation. In one of the 8 patients, PPARy and fatty acid binding protein (FABP) were induced after 12-week rosiglitazone therapy, but disease in this patient progressed similarly to the others [68]. Ten patients with thyroid cancers were treated with rosiglitazone. Among them, 4 had partial response, 2 had stable disease, and the remaining 4 progressed. No correlation was found between the clinical response and levels of PPARy mRNA and protein in these patients. PAX8-PPARy status was not assessed [69]. An early study evaluated efficacy of troglitazone in 25 patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. All 25 patients progressed with a median time-to-progression of 1.6 months and a median survival time of 3.9 months [70].

In breast cancer, data from two human trials have been published. An early trial on 22 women with refractory breast cancer showed no objective response to troglitazone in 18 of the 21 evaluable patients at 8 weeks after treatment. The therapy was terminated in 16 patients due to progression of their tumors. At 8 weeks, only three patients had stable disease. All patients were evaluated for serum tumor markers, CEA and CA27.29, which showed increased levels within 8 weeks of treatment. Expression of PPARy was not determined in the study [71]. A short-term pilot trial of rosiglitazone in 38 women with early stage breast cancer was conducted. Clinical response was not assessed in this shortterm (<6 week) study. Biological response, as assessed by Ki-67 staining on biopsy tissues before and after treatment, was not detected in treated patients, either. Decreased insulin levels and increased insulin sensitivity were noted in these patients, suggesting that the rosiglitazone did affect metabolism as expected [72].

An early phase II trial of troglitazone in 41 patients with metastatic prostate cancer showed a decrease in levels of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in 20% of patients enrolled

Cancer type	Phase	TZDs	No. of pts	Tumor response	References
Liposarcoma	II	Rosiglitazone	12	All patients progressed, no sign of differentiation by histology	[68]
Thyroid cancer	I, II	Rosiglitazone	10	4 pts with partial response, 2 with stable disease, and 4 with progressed disease	[69]
Metastatic colorectal cancer	I, II	Troglitazone	25	All patients progressed	[70]
Refractory breast cancer	II	Troglitazone	22	Most patients progressed with increased serum tumor markers	[71]
Early-stage breast cancer	II	Rosiglitazone	38	No reduction in Ki-67 staining on tissue biopsies	[72]
Metastatic prostate cancer	II	Troglitazone	41	Decrease or stabilization of PSA	[73]
Recurrent prostate cancer	III	Rosiglitazone	106	Similar to placebo in both PSADT and time-to-disease-progression	[74]

TABLE 7: Clinical trials of TZDs in cancer patients.

in the study. Prolonged stabilization of PSA was seen in 39% of patients [73]. However, these encouraging results were not reproduced in a large double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trial of rosiglitazone in 106 patients with recurrent prostate cancer [74]. The time-to-disease-progression was not significantly different between the rosiglitazone and placebo groups. Moreover, the PSA doubling time, a predictor of clinical recurrence, was also not prolonged by the treatment.

Taken together, TZDs appear to show little benefit, both in terms of clinical response and biological response, in treating various types of human cancers despite promising results from preclinical animal studies. It is worth noting that most of the studies use low doses of TZDs which are sufficient to activate PPARy and control diabetes. It remains possible that higher doses, even via receptor-independent pathways, would be beneficial for cancer patients. However, one should keep in mind that TZDs are not a class of drugs without dose-limiting toxicities. Troglitazone was withdrawn from the market by the FDA in 2002 due to liver toxicity. Most recently, increased cardiovascular risk has been associated with rosiglitazone in the diabetic patient population [75, 76] which has prompted the FDA to issue label warnings.

6. TZDs AS CHEMOPREVENTIVE AGENTS IN EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDIES

The clinical trials discussed above suggest that TZDs have questionable efficacy as chemotherapeutic agents in patients who already have cancers. Do they have the potential to act as chemopreventive agents? Recently, a large epidemiologic study, involving a population of 87,678 veteran men with diabetes, attempted to answer that question [77]. In this retrospective study, incidence of lung, prostate, and colon cancer in TZD users was compared to incidence in non-TZD users and risk of cancer development was analyzed. Only patients who obtained a cancer diagnosis after the date of TZD initiation were included. TZD usage significantly reduced risk of lung cancer by 33%. It also reduced risk of colon and prostate cancer, though without statistical significance. Interestingly, although the risk of prostate cancer is not significantly influenced by TZDs in the entire population, when examining distinct populations, TZDs are associated with an increased incidence of prostate cancer in both Caucasians and African Americans. These data suggest that the overall reduced risk is accounted for by the non-Caucasian, non-African Americans populations in the study. These data suggest that TZDs may be beneficial for reducing certain cancers in certain populations. Specific molecular abnormalities in specific cancers and the genetic background of different populations may account for these apparently different results.

Although this study was quite strong, we suggest the following for future investigations: (1) separate TZD-users into those using rosiglitazone and those using pioglitazone. In the cardiovascular risk studies, it was shown that rosiglitazone increases the risk while pioglitazone decreases the risk [78]. (2) Evaluate the impact of the duration of TZD exposure on risk of cancer development. (3) Determine the influence of TZDs on the behavior of existing cancers.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we reviewed literature on the roles of PPARy in cancer with an emphasis on those that suggest a proneoplastic function for the receptor. PPARy, unlike MYC, RAS, or p53, is neither a strong tumor promoter nor a tumor suppressor. However, it may function as a "conditional tumor promoter" or a "conditional tumor suppressor" that modulates the tumorigenic process depending upon cellular conditions, tumor types, or genetic background of an animal strain or human individuals. TZDs, as a class of pharmacological agent, may have receptor-independent antineoplastic effects, especially at doses higher than diabetic doses or after long-term use and accumulation. It remains possible that their antitumor activities would be enhanced when in combination with other drugs. Further investigation is needed to address that possibility. To help clarify the roles of PPARy in cancer, future large epidemiological studies of diabetic populations with concurrent cancers would be helpful. In addition, investigations relating PPARy activities to the clinical outcomes of cancer patients would also be informative.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to dedicate this article to the memory of Dr. Judah Folkman. They thank Jonathan S. Kui for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- J. M. Lehmann, L. B. Moore, T. A. Smith-Oliver, W. O. Wilkison, T. M. Willson, and S. A. Kliewer, "An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione is a high affinity ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* (PPARy)," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 270, no. 22, pp. 12953–12956, 1995.
- [2] A. Rossi, P. Kapahi, G. Natoli, et al., "Anti-inflammatory cyclopentenone prostaglandins are direct inhibitors of IκB kinase," *Nature*, vol. 403, no. 6765, pp. 103–108, 2000.
- [3] K. M. Fuenzalida, M. C. Aguilera, D. G. Piderit, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y is a novel target of the nerve growth factor signaling pathway in PC12 cells," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 10, pp. 9604– 9609, 2005.
- [4] C. Fionda, F. Nappi, M. Piccoli, L. Frati, A. Santoni, and M. Cippitelli, "15-deoxy-Δ^{12,14}-prostaglandin J₂ negatively regulates *rankl* gene expression in activated T lymphocytes: role of NF-κB and early growth response transcription factors," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 178, no. 7, pp. 4039–4050, 2007.
- [5] T. M. Willson, J. E. Cobb, D. J. Cowan, et al., "The structureactivity relationship between peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor *y* agonism and the antihyperglycemic activity of thiazolidinediones," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 665–668, 1996.
- [6] T. M. Willson, P. J. Brown, D. D. Sternbach, and B. R. Henke, "The PPARs: from orphan receptors to drug discovery," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 527–550, 2000.
- [7] S. A. Kliewer, J. M. Lenhard, T. M. Willson, I. Patel, D. C. Morris, and J. M. Lehmann, "A prostaglandin J₂ metabolite binds peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* and promotes adipocyte differentiation," *Cell*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 813– 819, 1995.
- [8] E. L. Harrington and S. R. Cox, "Determination of ciglitazone in dog plasma by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 483–487, 1985.
- [9] D. A. Eckland and M. Danhof, "Clinical pharmacokinetics of pioglitazone," *Experimental & Clinical Endocrinology and Diabetes*, vol. 108, supplement 2, pp. S234–S242, 2000.
- [10] M. A. Young, S. Lettis, and R. Eastmond, "Improvement in the gastrointestinal absorption of troglitazone when taken with, or shortly after, food," *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 31–35, 1998.
- [11] A. Mouihate, L. Boissé, and Q. J. Pittman, "A novel antipyretic action of 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J₂ in the rat brain," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1312–1318, 2004.
- [12] L. C. Bell-Parikh, T. Ide, J. A. Lawson, P. McNamara, M. Reilly, and G. A. FitzGerald, "Biosynthesis of 15-deoxy-Δ^{12,14}-PGJ₂ and the ligation of PPARy," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 112, no. 6, pp. 945–955, 2003.
- [13] Y. Segawa, R. Yoshimura, T. Hase, et al., "Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) in human prostate cancer," *Prostate*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 108–116, 2002.
- [14] K. Inoue, Y. Kawahito, Y. Tsubouchi, et al., "Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* in renal cell

carcinoma and growth inhibition by its agonists," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 287, no. 3, pp. 727–732, 2001.

- [15] V. G. Keshamouni, R. C. Reddy, D. A. Arenberg, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y activation inhibits tumor progression in non-small-cell lung cancer," *Oncogene*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 100–108, 2004.
- [16] K. L. Schaefer, K. Wada, H. Takahashi, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* inhibition prevents adhesion to the extracellular matrix and induces anoikis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 2251– 2259, 2005.
- [17] T. Masuda, K. Wada, A. Nakajima, et al., "Critical role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* on anoikis and invasion of squamous cell carcinoma," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 4012–4021, 2005.
- [18] E. Elstner, C. Müller, K. Koshizuka, et al., "Ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptory and retinoic acid receptor inhibit growth and induce apoptosis of human breast cancer cells in vitro and in BNX mice," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 95, no. 15, pp. 8806–8811, 1998.
- [19] K. Ohta, T. Endo, K. Haraguchi, J. M. Hershman, and T. Onaya, "Ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* inhibit growth and induce apoptosis of human papillary thyroid carcinoma cells," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 2170–2177, 2001.
- [20] E. Mueller, P. Sarraf, P. Tontonoz, et al., "Terminal differentiation of human breast cancer through PPARy," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 465–470, 1998.
- [21] G. Y. Zhang, N. Ahmed, C. Riley, et al., "Enhanced expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* in epithelial ovarian carcinoma," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 113–119, 2005.
- [22] R. Yoshimura, M. Matsuyama, Y. Segawa, et al., "Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) in human urinary bladder carcinoma and growth inhibition by its agonists," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 104, no. 5, pp. 597–602, 2003.
- [23] G. Kristiansen, J. Jacob, A.-C. Buckendahl, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ is highly expressed in pancreatic cancer and is associated with shorter overall survival times," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 12, no. 21, pp. 6444–6451, 2006.
- [24] P. Sarraf, E. Mueller, D. Jones, et al., "Differentiation and reversal of malignant changes in colon cancer through PPARy," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 1046–1052, 1998.
- [25] H. Sato, S. Ishihara, K. Kawashima, et al., "Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)y in gastric cancer and inhibitory effects of PPARy agonists," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 83, no. 10, pp. 1394–1400, 2000.
- [26] P. Tontonoz, S. Singer, B. M. Forman, et al., "Terminal differentiation of human liposarcoma cells induced by ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* and the retinoid X receptor," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 237– 241, 1997.
- [27] M. J. Betz, I. Shapiro, M. Fassnacht, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y agonists suppress adrenocortical tumor cell proliferation and induce differentiation," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 90, no. 7, pp. 3886–3896, 2005.

- [28] T. G. Kroll, P. Sarraf, L. Pecciarini, et al., "PAX8-PPARy1 fusion in oncogene human thyroid carcinoma," *Science*, vol. 289, no. 5483, pp. 1357–1360, 2000.
- [29] T. J. Giordano, A. Y. M. Au, R. Kuick, et al., "Delineation, functional validation, and bioinformatic evaluation of gene expression in thyroid follicular carcinomas with the *PAX8-PPARG* translocation," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 12, no. 7 I, pp. 1983–1993, 2006.
- [30] Y. W. Chang, H. L. Cho, J. Y. Jang, et al., "Role of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor (PPAR) in gastric cancer," *The Korean Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 291–298, 2004.
- [31] R. Chinery, R. J. Coffey, R. Graves-Deal, et al., "Prostaglandin J_2 and 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J_2 induce proliferation of cyclooxygenase-depleted colorectal cancer cells," *Cancer Research*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 2739–2746, 1999.
- [32] G. Gasparini, R. Longo, R. Sarmiento, and A. Morabito, "Inhibitors of cyclo-oxygenase 2: a new class of anticancer agents?" *The Lancet Oncology*, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 605–615, 2003.
- [33] P. Sarraf, E. Mueller, W. M. Smith, et al., "Loss-of-function mutations in PPARy associated with human colon cancer," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 799–804, 1999.
- [34] T. Ikezoe, C. W. Miller, S. Kawano, et al., "Mutational analysis of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* gene in human malignancies," *Cancer Research*, vol. 61, no. 13, pp. 5307–5310, 2001.
- [35] J.-G. Jiang, C. Johnson, and R. Zarnegar, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y-mediated transcriptional upregulation of the hepatocyte growth factor gene promoter via a novel composite *cis*-acting element," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 27, pp. 25049–25056, 2001.
- [36] C. Yang, S.-H. Jo, B. Csernus, et al., "Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* contributes to the survival of T lymphoma cells by affecting cellular metabolism," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 170, no. 2, pp. 722–732, 2007.
- [37] Y. Lynn Wang, K. A. Frauwirth, S. M. Rangwala, M. A. Lazar, and C. B. Thompson, "Thiazolidinedione activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* can enhance mitochondrial potential and promote cell survival," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 35, pp. 31781–31788, 2002.
- [38] S.-H. Jo, C. Yang, Q. Miao, et al., "Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor *y* promotes lymphocyte survival through its actions on cellular metabolic activities," *Journal of Immunol*ogy, vol. 177, no. 6, pp. 3737–3745, 2006.
- [39] D. R. Plas and C. B. Thompson, "Cell metabolism in the regulation of programmed cell death," *Trends in Endocrinology* and Metabolism, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 74–78, 2002.
- [40] G. D. Girnun, F. E. Domann, S. A. Moore, and M. E. C. Robbins, "Identification of a functional peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor response element in the rat catalase promoter," *Molecular Endocrinology*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 2793– 2801, 2002.
- [41] H. Y. Yoo, M. S. Chang, and H. M. Rho, "Induction of the rat Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase gene through the peroxisome proliferator-responsive element by arachidonic acid," *Gene*, vol. 234, no. 1, pp. 87–91, 1999.
- [42] J. Hwang, D. J. Kleinhenz, B. Lassègue, K. K. Griendling, S. Dikalov, and C. M. Hart, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y ligands regulate endothelial membrane superoxide production," *American Journal of Physiology*, vol. 288, no. 4, pp. C899–C905, 2005.
- [43] V. Ferreira-Silva, A. C. Rodrigues, T. D. C. Hirata, S. M. Hirabara, and R. Curi, "Effects of 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$

prostaglandin J₂ and ciglitazone on human cancer cell cycle progression and death: the role of PPARy," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 580, no. 1-2, pp. 80–86, 2008.

- [44] S. Sundararajan, J. L. Gamboa, N. A. Victor, E. W. Wanderi, W. D. Lust, and G. E. Landreth, "Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y ligands reduce inflammation and infarction size in transient focal ischemia," *Neuroscience*, vol. 130, no. 3, pp. 685–696, 2005.
- [45] Y. Luo, W. Yin, A. P. Signore, et al., "Neuroprotection against focal ischemic brain injury by the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y agonist rosiglitazone," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 435–448, 2006.
- [46] N. A. Victor, E. W. Wanderi, J. Gamboa, et al., "Altered PPARy expression and activation after transient focal ischemia in rats," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1653–1663, 2006.
- [47] K. Tureyen, R. Kapadia, K. K. Bowen, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y agonists induce neuroprotection following transient focal ischemia in normotensive, normoglycemic as well as hypertensive and type-2 diabetic rodents," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 101, no. 1, pp. 41–56, 2007.
- [48] N. S. Wayman, Y. Hattori, M. C. McDonald, et al., "Ligands of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR-*γ* and PPAR-α) reduce myocardial infarct size," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1027–1040, 2002.
- [49] H. Ito, A. Nakano, M. Kinoshita, and A. Matsumori, "Pioglitazone, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y agonist, attenuates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in a rat model," *Laboratory Investigation*, vol. 83, no. 12, pp. 1715– 1721, 2003.
- [50] H.-R. Liu, L. Tao, E. Gao, et al., "Anti-apoptotic effects of rosiglitazone in hypercholesterolemic rabbits subjected to myocardial ischemia and reperfusion," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 135–144, 2004.
- [51] A. Sivarajah, P. K. Chatterjee, N. S. A. Patel, et al., "Agonists of peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor-y reduce renal ischemia/reperfusion injury," *American Journal of Nephrology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 267–276, 2003.
- [52] R. Kapadia, J.-H. Yi, and R. Vemuganti, "Mechanisms of anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective actions of PPAR-y agonists," *Frontiers in Bioscience*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1813–1826, 2008.
- [53] C. V. Dang and G. L. Semenza, "Oncogenic alterations of metabolism," *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 68–72, 1999.
- [54] K. Izuishi, K. Kato, T. Ogura, T. Kinoshita, and H. Esumi, "Remarkable tolerance of tumor cells to nutrient deprivation: possible new biochemical target for cancer therapy," *Cancer Research*, vol. 60, no. 21, pp. 6201–6207, 2000.
- [55] A.-M. Lefebvre, I. Chen, P. Desreumaux, et al., "Activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* promotes the development of colon tumors in C57BL/6J-APC^{Min/+} mice," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 1053–1057, 1998.
- [56] E. Saez, P. Tontonoz, M. C. Nelson, et al., "Activators of the nuclear receptor PPARy enhance colon polyp formation," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 1058–1061, 1998.
- [57] G. D. Girnun, W. M. Smith, S. Drori, et al., "APC-dependent suppression of colon carcinogenesis by PPARy," *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 99, no. 21, pp. 13771–13776, 2002.
- [58] K. Yang, K.-H. Fan, S. A. Lamprecht, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* agonist troglitazone induces colon tumors in normal C57BL/6J mice and enhances colonic

carcinogenesis in *Apc*^{1638N/+}*Mlh*1^{+/-} double mutant mice," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 495–499, 2005.

- [59] E. Osawa, A. Nakajima, K. Wada, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* ligands suppress colon carcinogenesis induced by azoxymethane in mice," *Gastroenterol*ogy, vol. 124, no. 2, pp. 361–367, 2003.
- [60] E. Saez, J. Rosenfeld, A. Livolsi, et al., "PPARy signaling exacerbates mammary gland tumor development," *Genes & Development*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 528–540, 2004.
- [61] N. Suh, Y. Wang, C. R. Williams, et al., "A new ligand for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y (PPARy), GW7845, inhibits rat mammary carcinogenesis," *Cancer Research*, vol. 59, no. 22, pp. 5671–5673, 1999.
- [62] C. J. Nicol, M. Yoon, J. M. Ward, et al., "PPARy influences susceptibility to DMBA-induced mammary, ovarian and skin carcinogenesis," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1747–1755, 2004.
- [63] Y. Cui, K. Miyoshi, E. Claudio, et al., "Loss of the peroxisome proliferation-activated receptor y (PPARy) does not affect mammary development and propensity for tumor formation but leads to reduced fertility," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 20, pp. 17830–17835, 2002.
- [64] E. Saez, P. Olson, and R. M. Evans, "Genetic deficiency in *Pparg* does not alter development of experimental prostate cancer," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 1265–1266, 2003.
- [65] Y. Kato, H. Ying, L. Zhao, et al., "PPARγ insufficiency promotes follicular thyroid carcinogenesis via activation of the nuclear factor-κB signaling pathway," *Oncogene*, vol. 25, no. 19, pp. 2736–2747, 2006.
- [66] J. Lu, K. Imamura, S. Nomura, et al., "Chemopreventive effect of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* on gastric carcinogenesis in mice," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 11, pp. 4769–4774, 2005.
- [67] Y. Bren-Mattison, A. M. Meyer, V. Van Putten, et al., "Antitumorigenic effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-*y* in non-small-cell lung cancer cells are mediated by suppression of cyclooxygenase-2 via inhibition of nuclear factor-κB," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 709– 717, 2008.
- [68] G. Debrock, V. Vanhentenrijk, R. Sciot, M. Debiec-Rychter, R. Oyen, and A. Van Oosterom, "A phase II trial with rosiglitazone in liposarcoma patients," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 89, no. 8, pp. 1409–1412, 2003.
- [69] E. Kebebew, M. Peng, E. Reiff, et al., "A phase II trial of rosiglitazone in patients with thyroglobulin-positive and radioiodine-negative differentiated thyroid cancer," *Surgery*, vol. 140, no. 6, pp. 960–967, 2006.
- [70] M. H. Kulke, G. D. Demetri, N. E. Sharpless, et al., "A phase II study of troglitazone, an activator of the PPARy receptor, in patients with chemotherapy-resistant metastatic colorectal cancer," *Cancer Journal*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 395–399, 2002.
- [71] H. J. Burstein, G. D. Demetri, E. Mueller, P. Sarraf, B. M. Spiegelman, and E. P. Winer, "Use of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) y ligand troglitazone as treatment for refractory breast cancer: a phase II study," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 391–397, 2003.
- [72] L. D. Yee, N. Williams, P. Wen, et al., "Pilot study of rosiglitazone therapy in women with breast cancer: effects of short-term therapy on tumor tissue and serum markers," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 246–252, 2007.
- [73] E. Mueller, M. Smith, P. Sarraf, et al., "Effects of ligand activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y in

human prostate cancer," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 97, no. 20, pp. 10990–10995, 2000.

- [74] M. R. Smith, J. Manola, D. S. Kaufman, et al., "Rosiglitazone versus placebo for men with prostate carcinoma and a rising serum prostate-specific antigen level after radical prostatectomy and/or radiation therapy," *Cancer*, vol. 101, no. 7, pp. 1569–1574, 2004.
- [75] S. E. Nissen and K. Wolski, "Effect of rosiglitazone on the risk of myocardial infarction and death from cardiovascular causes," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 356, no. 24, pp. 2457–2471, 2007.
- [76] P. D. Home, S. J. Pocock, H. Beck-Nielsen, et al., "Rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiovascular outcomes—an interim analysis," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 357, no. 1, pp. 28–38, 2007.
- [77] R. Govindarajan, L. Ratnasinghe, D. L. Simmons, et al., "Thiazolidinediones and the risk of lung, prostate, and colon cancer in patients with diabetes," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 1476–1481, 2007.
- [78] C. J. Rosen, "The rosiglitazone story—lessons from an FDA advisory committee meeting," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 357, no. 9, pp. 844–846, 2007.