# **Research** Article

# Community Analysis of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Roots of *Poncirus trifoliata* and *Citrus reticulata* Based on SSU rDNA

## **Peng Wang<sup>1,2</sup> and Yin Wang<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Citrus Research, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Taizhou 318026, China <sup>2</sup> National Center for Citrus Variety Improvement, Zhejiang Branches, Taizhou 318026, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Peng Wang; peter\_wang81@163.com

Received 15 May 2014; Accepted 6 July 2014; Published 5 August 2014

Academic Editor: Qiang-Sheng Wu

Copyright © 2014 P. Wang and Y. Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Morphological observation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) species in rhizospheric soil could not accurately reflect the actual AMF colonizing status in roots, while molecular identification of indigenous AMF colonizing citrus rootstocks at present was rare in China. In our study, community of AMF colonizing trifoliate orange (*Poncirus trifoliata* L. Raf.) and red tangerine (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) were analyzed based on small subunit of ribosomal DNA genes. Morphological observation showed that arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) colonization, spore density, and hyphal length did not differ significantly between two rootstocks. Phylogenetic analysis showed that 173 screened AMF sequences clustered in at least 10 discrete groups (GLO1~GLO10), all belonging to the genus of *Glomus* Sensu Lato. Among them, GLO1 clade (clustering with uncultured Glomus) accounting for 54.43% clones was the most common in trifoliate orange roots, while GLO6 clade (clustering with *Glomus intraradices*) accounting for 35.00% clones was the most common in red tangerine roots. Although, Shannon-Wiener indices exhibited no notable differences between both rootstocks, relative proportions of observed clades analysis revealed that composition of AMF communities colonizing two rootstocks varied severely. The results indicated that native AMF species in citrus rhizosphere had diverse colonization potential between two different rootstocks in the present orchards.

### 1. Introduction

The majority of terrestrial plants have symbioses with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) which can benefit their host plants in several ways, enhancing mineral nutrients uptake, especially phosphate, improving water status, protecting from pathogens [1, 2], and facilitating rhizospheric soil structure formation and maintenance included [3, 4]. Thereby, AMF are perceived as one of the most important components of various ecosystems. It is well known that AMF have a broad host range, but some studies have revealed that each individual fungus is functionally distinct [5, 6]. Furthermore, AMF community with different species composition could induce different growth response in plants and play a potential role to determine ecosystem variability and productivity [7]. Thus, the native AMF species colonizing a given host plant in field should be clear at the community level.

The traditional method of AMF identification relies extensively on the morphological and developmental characteristics of fungal spore or hyphae; however, morphological methods have some flaws. The species of none or few sporebearing AMF are often neglected in field soil investigation, and spore morphotyping requires considerable experience [6, 8]. In addition, the common AMF species in soil have various degrees host's selectivity. Thus, this method cannot reflect the actual status of AMF species colonizing plant roots [9]. In last few decades, the molecular identification approaches based on the small subunit of ribosomal DNA (SSU rDNA) analysis offered considerable utility to identify distantly related species or groups of related AMF [10]. Recently, the method of amplifying a portion SSU rDNA of AMF targeted by improved primers AML1 and AML2 from whole root or spore DNA, then followed by cloning and sequencing, was used for AMF community research, and the

new primers with much better specificity could amply all published AMF sequences except those from *Archaeospora trappei* [11].

Citrus is one of the most economically fruit crops in China indeed in the world. Trifoliate orange (*Poncirus trifoliata* L. Raf.) and red tangerine (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco), two widespread citrus rootstocks in hilly orchards, southern China, depend on AMF greatly to improve nutrition absorption and water status [12–14]. AMF have been proposed as a potent biofertilizer in organic agriculture in China. However, the description of the community of AMF in citrus field systems was rare in the last few years, particularly the molecular identification of indigenous AMF colonizing citrus rootstocks at present in China. Hence, in this field survey, the community of AMF colonizing trifoliate orange and red tangerine was studied by analyzing the SSU rDNA gene of AMF in hilly sod culture orchards.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description and Sampling. Our investigation was carried out in citrus specimen orchards located at hill slopes in Wuhan  $(29^{\circ}58'-31^{\circ}22'N, 113^{\circ}41'-115^{\circ}05'E)$ , Southern China. This area has a semitropical monsoon climate with annual sunlight of 1810–2100 h, frost-free period of about 211–272 days, mean precipitation of 1269 mm, and mean temperature of 15.8–17.5°C. In citrus orchards, the soil management practice of planting 2 rows of bahia grass (*Paspalum notatum* Flügge) between trees, mowing grass to control grass height, and mulching grass under trees has been applied continuously for 5 years. The biological organic fertilizers (7% N, 4% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 4% K<sub>2</sub>O, and 20% organic matter) were used in all orchards to preserve the basic soil fertility. The orchard soil was classified as yellow sandy clay soil (Acrisols in FAO Taxonomy).

Six plots where citrus trees (Satsuma Mandarin), respectively, were grafted on trifoliate orange (3 replicated plots, T1~ T3) or red tangerine (3 replicated plots, R1~R3) were selected in citrus orchards. Five healthy citrus trees were randomly sampled in each plot. We simultaneously collected fine roots  $(\Phi \leq 1 \text{ mm})$  and rhizospheric soils (about 1 kg) of one single tree from four directions (east, west, south and north) at a depth of 0-30 cm after removing upper covering within the dripping line of the tree canopy in April 2009. The roots were taken to the laboratory, carefully washed with tap water to remove soil, and then chopped into 1 cm long segments. One subsamples were fixed in FAA (formalin/acetic acid/ethanol, 13/5/200, v/v/v) solution for 24 h then stored at 4°C, and the other subsamples were immediately frozen using liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until molecular analysis. To evaluate AM fungal spores and hyphae, soil was air-dried for 2 weeks, carefully ground by hands, passed through a 2 mm mesh screen, and stored at 4°C until analysis.

2.2. Assessment of Main Soil Chemical Properties. Soil organic matter (OM) was measured by the procedure of  $K_2CrO_7$ - $H_2SO_4$  humid oxidation, alkali-hydrolysable N (AN) by the alkaline hydrolysis diffusion method [15]. Available P (AP) was extracted with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> following the Olsen method

[16] and determined with spectrophotometer (UV-2450, Shimadzu, Japan) by reacting with  $(NH_4)_2MoO_4$  using ascorbic acid as a reductant in the presence of antimony method of Murphy and Riley [17]. Available K (AK) was extracted with  $NH_4HCO_3$  + DTPA (diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid) and analyzed using an ICP-AE spectrometer. Soil pH was determined using a suspension of the soil sample in water at a ratio of 1:2.5 (w/v) with a Mettler Toledo 320 pH meter (Mettler-Toledo Ltd., Switzerland).

2.3. Assessment of AM Colonization, Spore Density, and Hyphal Length. Colonization of various AM fungal structures in citrus roots was examined according to Koske and Gemma [18] under a compound-light microscope (Olympus-BH-2, Tokyo, Japan). AM fungal colonization rate was estimated using the magnified intersection method [19]. The ratio of root length with total AM colonization (RLT), arbuscules (RLA), and vesicles (RLV) were quantified by examining 200 intersections per sample. Spores were extracted from soils using the wet sieving and sucrose gradient centrifugation technique with minor modification [20], and the total number was counted with the stereoscopic microscope (Tech-XTS-30, Beijing, China). Spore density (SD) was expressed as the number of spores and sporocarps per 100 g dry soil. Soil hyphal length (HL) was determined according to Bethlenfalvay and Ames [21], with the aid of an ocular micrometer under a compound-light microscope, and the lengths of hyphae per 1 g dry weight of soil were calculated.

2.4. DNA Extraction and Nested PCR. Total genomic DNA was extracted from roots according to the method proposed by Saito et al. [22]. The quality and quantity of DNA from root samples were checked on a 1.0% agarose gel and then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C, serving as templates for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Partial SSU rDNA gene fragments were amplified using nested PCR with the universal eukaryotic primers NS1 and NS4 [23] on a Bio-Rad PCR Thermal Cycler, Model S1000 (Bio-Rad, California, USA). The first PCR product was diluted 100 times with  $1 \times \text{TE}$  (1 M Tris-HCl, 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0) buffer and 2.5  $\mu$ L was used as template DNA in second round PCR reaction performed using the specific primers AML1 and AML2 [11].

2.5. Cloning and Sequencing. The second PCR products were cut out and DNA was extracted with a gel DNA Purification Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Takara, Dalian, China), cloned into pMD18-T Simple Vector (Takara, Dalian, China) following the manufacturer's protocol, and transformed into competent Escherichia coli DH-5 $\alpha$ . Plasmid clones were identified based on blue-white screening. Approximately 30 transformants were randomly selected for each sample of two rootstocks and stored in 20% glycerol at -20°C and bidirectional sequencing was performed by using vector primers M13 at Sangon Biological Engineering Technology and Service Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.6. Reconstruction of Phylogenetic Tree. The resulting sequences were edited using the BioEdit program (Version

| Sites | Soil properties     |                    |  |  |                   |
|-------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|-------------------|
|       | $OM (g kg^{-1})$    | AN $(mg kg^{-1})$  | AP (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | AK (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | pН                |
| T1    | 12.62 <sup>ab</sup> | 72.13 <sup>a</sup> | 14.23 <sup>a</sup>                     | 166.20 <sup>a</sup>                    | 5.84 <sup>a</sup> |
| T2    | 12.20 <sup>ab</sup> | 70.68ª             | 13.40 <sup>a</sup>                     | 165.89 <sup>a</sup>                    | 5.81 <sup>a</sup> |
| Т3    | 12.76 <sup>a</sup>  | 69.00 <sup>a</sup> | 14.70 <sup>a</sup>                     | 166.85 <sup>a</sup>                    | 5.78 <sup>a</sup> |
| R1    | 11.24 <sup>b</sup>  | 65.34 <sup>a</sup> | 14.83 <sup>a</sup>                     | 152.52 <sup>a</sup>                    | 5.82 <sup>a</sup> |
| R2    | 12.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 67.62 <sup>a</sup> | 13.43 <sup>a</sup>                     | 154.49 <sup>a</sup>                    | 5.80 <sup>a</sup> |
| R3    | 12.08 <sup>ab</sup> | 68.65 <sup>a</sup> | 15.17 <sup>a</sup>                     | 155.96 <sup>a</sup>                    | 5.78 <sup>a</sup> |

TABLE 1: Chemical properties of the experimental soils from trifoliate orange (T) and red tangerine (R) sampling plots in citrus orchards.

OM: organic matter, AN: alkali-hydrolysable N, AP: available P, and AK: available K.

Values in each column followed by the different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) according to LSD test.

7.0.9) and the obtained sequences similarities were determined using the BLAST sequence similarity search tool provided by GenBank. Before processing the sequences for phylogenetic analysis, representative sequences were targeted to define the divergent sequences from the same species by using the DOTUR program [24]. The distance matrix was determined by the DNAdist program in the PHYLIP package (Version 3.69), and then the rarefaction curves were created by using the DOTUR program. According to the results, the representative sequences and reference sequences obtained from GenBank were aligned by using the Clustal X (Version 1.83), and *Mortierella polycephala*, the species of Zygomycota, which is a sister group to Glomeromycota [25], was used as the out-group. The neighbour-joining analyses were performed for the aligned data sets by Clustal X with bootstrap analyses of 1,000 replications in PAUP (version 4.0b10). The neighbor-joining trees were displayed using TreeView.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Shannon-Wiener index (H') and relative proportion of each clade were used to characterize diversities between the communities of AMF colonizing two different rootstocks [26], using the formula:  $H' = -\Sigma p_i \ln p_i$ , where  $p_i$  is the frequency of the *i*th clade. All data were subjected to analysis of variance using SAS statistical software (Version 9.1). Means were compared by least significant differences (LSD) at the 0.05 level.

2.8. Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers. The SSU gene sequences reported in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers JQ350740 to JQ350804. Only representative sequences were deposited.

#### 3. Results

3.1. Soil Chemical Properties. In our study, the content of soil OM ranged from 12.20 to 12.76  $g kg^{-1} dry$  soil, AN from 69.00 to 72.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, AP from 13.40 to 14.70 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, AK from 165.89 to 166.85 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and pH from 5.78 to 5.84 in trifoliate orange sampled plots; OM from 11.24 to 12.08 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil, AN from 65.34 to 68.65 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, AP from 13.43 to 15.17 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, AK from 152.52 to 155.96 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and pH from 5.78 to 5.82 in red tangerine sampled plots. The citrus

rhizospheric soil chemical property tested results showed no significant differences among different sampled plots from experimental orchards (Table 1).

3.2. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization, Spore Density, and Hyphal Length. In the present study, all citrus rootstocks surveyed were colonized by native AMF and formed typical AM structures including intra- and intercellular hyphae, arbuscules, and vesicles (Figure 1). Occasionally, intraradical spores were observed alone or together in the root tissues. The AMF hyphae in citrus roots were prevalent in all samples. The arbuscules were abundant and sometimes occurred in clusters; however, the vesicles were less observed in citrus roots.

The RLT of trifoliate orange roots ranged from 55.10% to 59.58%, RLA from 33.09% to 38.54%, and RLV from 3.40% to 4.23%. In trifoliate orange rhizospheric soils, SD ranged from 802 to 838 spores  $100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil and HL from 2.09 to 2.26 m  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil. The RLT of red tangerine roots ranged from 61.08% to 66.48%, RLA from 30.44% to 34.55%, and RLV from 3.43% to 4.07%. In red tangerine rhizospheric soils, SD ranged from 843 to 870 spores  $100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil and HL from 2.24 to 2.57 m  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil (Figure 2). Overall, except RLT, the functionality of AMF species prevalent within the roots and rhizosphere of two divergent rootstocks did not differ severely.

3.3. Molecular Phylogenetic Analysis. In our study, partial SSU rDNA of AMF colonizing trifoliate orange and red tangerine was successfully amplified by the primer pairs AML1 and AML2. Among total of 180 randomly clones, 173 (96.1%) products of the expected size ranging from 792 to 799 bp in length were sequenced. Additionally, only 7 non-Glomeromycota PCR products, having 793 bp, from the root samples of trifoliate orange were cloned and excluded from further analysis. The distance matrix result showed that 11 and 13 OTUs (Operation taxonomic unit, less than 97% sequence similarity) were contained in SSU rDNA clone libraries of AMF colonizing two rootstocks, respectively. The generated rarefaction curves showed the curves became flatter when the number of the clones rose to 60 (Figure 3).

A dataset containing 61 representative sequences and 22 reference sequences obtained from GenBank representing the *Glomus* (*G*.) Sensu Lato species was constructed. The



FIGURE 1: Intraradical spores (is), intercellular hyphae (ih), arbuscules (ar), and vesicles (ve) structures were observed in citrus roots. (a) Intraradical spores in citrus root, bar =  $100 \,\mu\text{m}$ ; (b) AM colonization citrus root, bar =  $300 \,\mu\text{m}$ ; (c) intercellular hyphae, arbuscules, and vesicles in citrus root, bar =  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$ ; (d) intercellular hyphae and vesicles in citrus root, bar =  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

phylogenetic tree exhibited that the obtained AM fungal SSU rDNA sequences were separated into at least 10 discrete sequence groups (Figure 4). Of AMF community colonizing trifoliate orange roots, the majority of clones (54.43%) fell into the GLO1 clade; however, none of the clones could cluster with morphospecies in this clade. While, the most clones (35.00%) of AMF community colonizing red tangerine roots fell into the GLO6 clade clustering with G. intraradices, G. fasciculatum, and G. irregulare. The AMF clones falling into GLO2, GLO7, and GLO8 clades only colonized trifoliate orange. Among them, GLO7 clustered with G. clarum and GLO8 with G. proliferum. The AMF clones falling into GLO4, GLO5, and GLO10 clades only colonized red tangerine. The AMF clones falling into the GLO1, GLO3, GLO6, and GLO9 clades did not only colonized trifoliate orange but also red tangerine. By statistics analysis, we could further find that the relative proportions of AMF clades colonizing both trifoliate orange and red tangerine varied greatly (Figure 5(a)). Nevertheless, the Shannon-Wiener index of the AMF communities colonizing two rootstocks showed no significant difference (Figure 5(b)).

#### 4. Discussion

Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis between modern plants and fungi is ubiquitous [2]. Wu et al. [27] reviewed that a total of 45 AMF species belonging to seven genera such as Acaulospora, Entrophospora, Gigaspora, Glomus, Pacispora, Sclerocystis, and Scutellospora were found in citrus rhizosphere. Species of genera such as Acaulospora, Gigaspora, and Glomus were dominantly observed in citrus rhizosphere. In our previous study, 18 AMF morphological species belonging to 5 families, for example, Acaulosporaceae (4 species), Claroideoglomeraceae (2 species), Gigasporaceae (1 species), Glomeraceae (9 species), and Pacisporaceae (2 species) were observed in trifoliate orange rhizospheric soils, and 18 AMF species belonging to 6 different families, for example, Acaulosporaceae (4 species), Archaeosporaceae (1 species), Claroideoglomeraceae (2 species), Gigasporaceae (2 species), Glomeraceae (8 species), and Pacisporaceae (1 species) in red tangerine rhizospheric soils [28, 29]. However, the present molecular data showed that native AMF colonizing two citrus rootstocks all belonged to the genus of Glomus Sensu Lato separated into 10 clades in our study. Some studies



FIGURE 2: AM colonization rates in citrus roots, spore density, and hyphal length in trifoliate orange (T) and red tangerine (R) rootstocks orchards. (a) The ratio of root length with arbuscules (RLA), vesicles (RLV), and total AM colonization (RLT); (b) spore density (SD) and hyphal length (HL). Bars with the different letters show significant differences at the 0.05 level.

also reported that AMF community identified by molecular approaches in roots all belonged to *Glomus* different from morphologically identified species community in rhizospheric soils [9, 30]. This may imply that some AMF species in citrus rhizosphere do not colonize citrus roots but grass roots in field orchards further indicate that AMF species in soil have various degrees host's selectivity. At morphological observation level, we found that the integrated mycorrhizal status with respect to colonization rate in citrus roots, spore density, and hyphal length in citrus rhizosphere showed no notable differences between the two rootstocks. This might be attributed to long-term application of same agricultural practices like sowing bahia grass in the all surveyed orchards.

AMF in the surveyed orchards exhibited diverse colonization potential between trifoliate orange and red tangerine in our study. It is well known that trifoliate orange tolerance is clearly different from red tangerine in response to water, nutrition, temperature stresses, and so on. Thus, this result was in accord with that AMF species colonization exhibited changes depending on functional interactions with their hosts [31, 32]. Composition of AMF changed heavily between habitat types and host species, which could result in notable differences in AMF alleviating various stresses [33, 34]. So, it was necessary to research the AMF community in order to identify the dominant species colonizing the given citrus rootstock under specific environment conditions. However,



FIGURE 3: Rarefaction curves using AM fungal SSU rDNA sequences from roots of trifoliate orange and red tangerine in orchards.

the AMF species diversity index indicated no significant differences between the two rootstocks in our study. This might be due to sowing the mycorrhizal plant of bahia grass as the sod between citrus trees in all experimental orchards. Some studies reported that increasing plant species richness was correlated with changes in AMF community composition, and mycorrhizal weeds like bahia grass maintained in orchards were favourable for AMF propagation and mycorrhizal symbiosis formation with citrus trees [35, 36].

In the present study, partial sequences were related to the G. intraradices, G. fasciculatum, and G. clarum which have a global distribution and comprise the common species of AMF in various ecosystems [37]. Indeed, numerous scientific experimental studies have documented that most agricultural crops benefited from their inoculations [38]. Our molecular data also showed that red tangerine was most colonized by these AMF species, and Nemec et al. [39] reported that G. fasciculatum was consistently associated with young citrus trees  $(0 \sim 30 \text{ yr})$ . This suggested that it was suitable to choose the G. intraradices, G. fasciculatum, or G. clarum as broad spectrum soil inoculants in citrus nursery. However, it should be mentioned that the corresponding morphospecies with the GLO1 clade is more desirable to be identified and isolated as AM inoculants in the future study due to their quite ability to establish symbiosis with the trifoliate orange in this study.

In the present study, the most of AM fungal sequences without corresponding morphospecies recorded in the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) indicated that the actual AMF diversity reflected by the traditional morphospecies was underestimated [40], and a considerable number of taxa are rarely reported in citrus orchards. Interestingly, it was found that no related AM fungal sequence type to GLO10 deposited in INSDC. The result indicated that GLO10 might be a type of novel *G* spp. or specific to these experimental citrus orchards.

#### **5. Conclusions**

Native AMF colonizing roots of trifoliate orange and red tangerine was first analyzed based on SSU rDNA gene in field orchards in China. Phylogenetic results showed that AMF



FIGURE 4: Neighbor-joining tree derived from the AML1-AML2 SSU rDNA sequences from AM fungi colonizing roots of trifoliate orange and red tangerine in orchards. The clone initial letter "Z" represents trifoliate orange and "H": red tangerine, respectively. The rest of the letters and numbers were clone coded representation. Others were reference sequences searched in GenBank. Bootstrap values were calculated from 1000 replications.

colonizing two rootstocks all belonged to the genus of *Glomus* Sensu Lato, and some native AMF could establish symbiosis with both rootstocks in the sod culture orchards. However, molecular evidence also revealed that native AMF showed various degrees host's selectivity between trifoliate orange and red tangerine in citrus orchards.



FIGURE 5: Bar plot showing the relative proportions (a) and Shannon-Wiener index (b) of the observed clades in the two AM fungal analyzed libraries of trifoliate orange and red tangerine. Bars with the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level.

### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31301737) and the Taizhou General Program of Agricultural Science and Technology (no. 121KY18).

#### References

- R. M. Augé, "Arbuscular mycorrhizae and soil/plant water relations," *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 373–381, 2004.
- [2] S. E. Smith and D. J. Read, *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, 2008.
- [3] M. C. Rillig, "Arbuscular mycorrhizae, glomalin, and soil aggregation," *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 355–363, 2004.
- [4] M. G. A. van der Heijden, R. Streitwolf-Engel, R. Riedl et al., "The mycorrhizal contribution to plant productivity, plant nutrition and soil structure in experimental grassland," *New Phytologist*, vol. 172, no. 4, pp. 739–752, 2006.
- [5] M. G. A. van der Heijden, T. Boller, A. Wiemken, and I. R. Sanders, "Different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species are potential determinants of plant community structure," *Ecology*, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 2082–2091, 1998.
- [6] T. Helgason, J. W. Merryweather, J. Denison, P. Wilson, J. P. W. Young, and A. H. Fitter, "Selectivity and functional diversity in arbuscular mycorrhizas of co-occurring fungi and plants from a temperate deciduous woodland," *Journal of Ecology*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 371–384, 2002.

- [7] M. Moora, M. Öpik, R. Sen, and M. Zobel, "Native arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities differentially influence the seedling performance of rare and common *Pulsatilla* species," *Functional Ecology*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 554–562, 2004.
- [8] J. P. Clapp, A. Rodriguez, and J. C. Dodd, "Glomales rRNA gene diversity—all that glistens is not necessarily glomalean?" *Mycorrhiza*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 269–270, 2002.
- [9] T. Li, L. F. Li, T. Sha, H. B. Zhang, and Z. W. Zhao, "Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with two dominant xerophytes in a valley-type savanna, southwest China," *Applied Soil Ecology*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 61–66, 2010.
- [10] K. Saito, Y. Suyama, S. Sato, and K. Sugawara, "Defoliation effects on the community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi based on 18S rDNA sequences," *Mycorrhiza*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 363–373, 2004.
- [11] J. Lee, S. Lee, and J. P. W. Young, "Improved PCR primers for the detection and identification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi," *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 339–349, 2008.
- [12] W. W. Guo, Y. J. Cheng, and X. X. Deng, "Regeneration and molecular characterization of intergeneric somatic hybrids between *Citrus reticulata* and *Poncirus trifoliata*," *Plant Cell Reports*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 829–834, 2002.
- [13] M. Y. Wang, R. X. Xia, L. M. Hu, T. Dong, and Q. S. Wu, "Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alleviate iron deficient chlorosis in *Poncirus trifoliata* L. Raf under calcium bicarbonate stress," *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 776–780, 2007.
- [14] P. Wang, J.-H. Liu, R.-X. Xia, Q.-S. Wu, M.-Y. Wang, and T. Dong, "Arbuscular mycorrhizal development, glomalin-related soil protein (GRSP) content, and rhizospheric phosphatase activity in citrus orchards under different types of soil management," *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, vol. 174, no. 1, pp. 65–72, 2011.
- [15] A. H. Cornfield, "Ammonia released on treating soils with n sodium hydroxide as a possible means of predicting the nitrogen-supplying power of soils," *Nature*, vol. 187, no. 4733, pp. 260–261, 1960.

- [16] S. R. Olsen, C. V. Cole, F. S. Watanabe, and L. A. Dean, "Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate," *United States Department of Agricultural Circulation*, vol. 939, pp. 1–19, 1954.
- [17] J. Murphy and J. P. Riley, "A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters," *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 27, pp. 31–36, 1962.
- [18] R. E. Koske and J. N. Gemma, "A modified procedure for staining roots to detect VA mycorrhizas," *Mycological Research*, vol. 92, pp. 486–488, 1989.
- [19] T. P. McGonigle, M. H. Miller, D. G. Evans, G. L. Fairchild, and J. A. Swan, "A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi," *New Phytologist*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 495–501, 1990.
- [20] J. W. Gerdemann and T. H. Nicolson, "Spores of micorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting," *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, vol. 46, pp. 235–244, 1963.
- [21] G. J. Bethlenfalvay and R. N. Ames, "Comparison of two methods for quantifying extraradical mycelium of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi," *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 834–837, 1987.
- [22] K. Saito, A. Nishiwaki, and K. Sugawara, "DNA extraction from arbuscular mycorrhizal roots of *Miscanthus sinensis* Anderss. collected in the native grassland," *Grassland Science*, vol. 46, pp. 182–184, 2000.
- [23] D. van Tuinen, E. Jacquot, B. Zhao, A. Gollotte, and V. Gianinazzi-Pearson, "Characterization of root colonization profiles by a microcosm community of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi using 25S rDNA-targeted nested PCR," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 879–887, 1998.
- [24] P. D. Schloss and J. Handelsman, "Introducing DOTUR, a computer program for defining operational taxonomic units and estimating species richness," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 1501–1506, 2005.
- [25] A. Tehler, D. P. Little, and J. S. Farris, "The full-length phylogenetic tree from 1551 ribosomal sequences of chitinous fungi, Fungi," *Mycological Research*, vol. 107, no. 8, pp. 901–916, 2003.
- [26] I. F. Spellerberg and P. J. Fedor, "A tribute to Claude-Shannon (1916–2001) and a plea for more rigorous use of species richness, species diversity and the "Shannon-Wiener" index," *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 177–179, 2003.
- [27] Q. S. Wu, A. K. Srivastava, and Y. N. Zou, "AMF-induced tolerance to drought stress in citrus: a review," *Scientia Horticulturae*, vol. 164, pp. 77–87, 2013.
- [28] P. Wang, J. J. Zhang, B. Shu, and R. X. Xia, "Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with citrus orchards under different types of soil management, Southern China," *Plant, Soil and Environment*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 302–308, 2012.
- [29] P. Wang, B. Shu, Y. Wang, D. J. Zhang, J. F. Liu, and R. X. Xia, "Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in red tangerine (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) rootstock rhizospheric soils from hillside citrus orchards," *Pedobiologia*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 161–167, 2013.
- [30] M. Yamato and K. Iwase, "Community analysis of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a warm-temperate deciduous broadleaved forest and introduction of the fungal community into the seedlings of indigenous woody plants," *Mycoscience*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 334–342, 2005.
- [31] G. D. Bending, M. K. Turner, F. Rayns, M. Marx, and M. Wood, "Microbial and biochemical soil quality indicators and their

potential for differentiating areas under contrasting agricultural management regimes," *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 1785–1792, 2004.

- [32] S. Boonlue, W. Surapat, C. Pukahuta, P. Suwanarit, A. Suwanarit, and T. Morinaga, "Diversity and efficiency of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soils from organic chili (*Capsicum frutescens*) farms," *Mycoscience*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 10–16, 2012.
- [33] A. Heinemeyer, K. P. Ridgway, E. J. Edwards, D. G. Benham, J. P. W. Young, and A. H. Fitter, "Impact of soil warming and shading on colonization and community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in roots of a native grassland community," *Global Change Biology*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 52–64, 2004.
- [34] T. Wubet, M. Weiss, I. Kottke, D. Teketay, and F. Oberwinkler, "Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in *Prunus africana*, an endangered medicinal tree species in dry Afromontane forests of Ethiopia," *New Phytologist*, vol. 161, no. 2, pp. 517– 528, 2004.
- [35] R. L. Burrows and F. L. Pfleger, "Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi respond to increasing plant diversity," *Canadian Journal of Botany*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 120–130, 2002.
- [36] T. Ishii, A. Matsumura, S. Horii, H. Motosugi, and A. F. Cruz, "Network establishment of arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae in the rhizospheres between citrus rootstocks and *Paspalum notatum* or *Vulpia myuros* grown in sand substrate," *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 217–222, 2007.
- [37] M. Öpik, M. Moora, J. Liira, and M. Zobel, "Composition of root-colonizing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in different ecosystems around the globe," *Journal of Ecology*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 778–790, 2006.
- [38] I. M. Cardoso and T. W. Kuyper, "Mycorrhizas and tropical soil fertility," *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, vol. 116, no. 1-2, pp. 72–84, 2006.
- [39] S. Nemec, J. A. Menge, R. G. Platt, and E. L. V. Johnson, "Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with citrus in Florida and California and notes on their distribution and ecology," *Mycologia*, vol. 73, pp. 112–127, 1981.
- [40] R. Husband, E. A. Herre, S. L. Turner, R. Gallery, and J. P. W. Young, "Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and patterns of host association over time and space in a tropical forest," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 2669–2678, 2002.