

Association between subcutaneous and intramuscular fat content in porcine ham and loin depending on age, breed and *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes transcript abundance

M. Tyra · K. Ropka-Molik · A. Terman ·
K. Piórkowska · M. Oczkiewicz · A. Bereta

Received: 16 July 2012 / Accepted: 19 November 2012 / Published online: 29 November 2012
© The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The objective of the present study was to analyze the level of intramuscular fat (IMF) in loin (*musculus longissimus dorsi*) and ham (*musculus semimembranosus*) and the level of subcutaneous fat in these cuts depending on breed, age and the expression level of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes. The results obtained showed that only the breed influenced on the level of both intramuscular and subcutaneous fat to the same extent ($P \leq 0.001$). The age of animals had an effect on fat content of the cuts ($P \leq 0.001$) and to a lower extent on the level of IMF in both muscles ($P \leq 0.05$). We confirmed highly significant effect of breed and age on the *LEPR* mRNA abundance—the expression of the this gene increased significantly ($P \leq 0.01$) with age and the highest expression was found for the Puławska breed in *m. longissimus dorsi* and for the Polish Landrace breed in *m. semimembranosus*. We observed the high correlations between the transcript level of the *LEPR* gene and the fat content of individual cuts ($P \leq 0.01$). The expression level of *FABP3* gene influenced the level of IMF ($P \leq 0.01$), but not the level of subcutaneous fat in loin and ham.

Keywords Subcutaneous fat · Intramuscular fat · *FABP3* · *LEPR* · Gene expression · Pig

Introduction

It is well known that intramuscular fat (IMF) plays an important role both in the sensory evaluation of meat and meat products and in the suitability of meat for technological processing. In addition, to taste properties such as increased juiciness and tenderness, proper IMF levels prevent the loss of water during heat treatment (grilling, roasting or cooking) of meat. The direction of selection, which has been observed in recent years, results from efforts to eliminate the consequences of long-term marginalization of fatness traits due to selection pressure for increased carcass meatiness. This caused a considerable increase in the growth rate of pigs while having a negative effect in the form of lower IMF levels. In the Polish population of pigs, the level of IMF began to decrease at an alarming rate for the most numerous breeds (Polish Landrace and Polish Large White) [1, 2]. According to Schwab et al. [3], it is a result of genetic changes that have taken place in the populations being improved and the resultant proportions between the rate of adipose tissue and IMF deposition. On the other hand, even among high-producing pigs there are breeds characterized by rewarding IMF levels, as exemplified by the Duroc breed. In Polish breeding, there is one local breed (Puławska) characterized by a high (>2 %) level of intramuscular [2].

Current efforts are directed to find the genetic basis of variation of IMF content within the analysed populations and breeds as well as differences in the level of this trait between the breeds. Some positive results were obtained by research concerning the significance of leptin receptor

M. Tyra · A. Bereta (✉)
Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, National
Research Institute of Animal Production, Balice, Poland
e-mail: anna.bereta@izoo.krakow.pl

K. Ropka-Molik · K. Piórkowska · M. Oczkiewicz
Laboratory of Genomics, National Research Institute of Animal
Production, Krakowska 1, 32-083 Balice, Poland

A. Terman
West Pomerian University of Technology, Piastów 17,
70-310 Szczecin, Poland

(*LEPR*) and fatty acid binding proteins (FABP) in lipid metabolism. The proteins of this group, including H-FABP (heart fatty acid binding protein), transport fatty acids from cell membranes to their β -oxidation sites in the mitochondria [4], and due to this function *FABP3* gene is proposed as a candidate gene responsible for IMF levels in pigs. The *LEPR* gene coding for the long-form leptin receptor (Ob-Rb) is also considered as a candidate gene for QTL affecting carcass fatness in pigs [5]. The highest *LEPR* mRNA expression was found in the hypothalamus area which is associated with the regulation of feed intake and glucose homeostasis by leptin [6]. Furthermore, Vincent et al. [7] showed that in pigs, *LEPR* polymorphism affected daily gain and fat deposition.

In pigs, the search for closer relationships between polymorphic forms of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes and the level of fatness, especially the level of IMF, was reported by the findings of Gerbens et al. [8, 9], Zhao et al. [10] and Li et al. [11]. Arnyasi et al. [12] showed that *FABP3* polymorphism may account for 30–35 % of IMF variation in the population studied. However, the results obtained about the effect of *FABP3* gene polymorphisms on IMF level are not consistent. Gerbens et al. [13] found a relationship between the level of IMF and *FABP3*: c.1489C>T and c.1811G>C mutations only for barrows. On the other hand, Schwab et al. [14] and Tyra and Ropka-Molik [15] reported that the *FABP3*:c.103C>T mutation effected on IMF content and backfat thickness. Urban et al. [16] showed that this mutation has no effect on the level of fatness. Such inconsistent results may exclude the causal nature of the analysed mutations. Therefore, it was appropriate to analyse the effect of the transcript level of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes on the level of fat traits in both the breed and age configurations.

A number of research confirm the lack of a common direction in accumulation of intramuscular and subcutaneous fat. The increase of subcutaneous fat of ham and loin, with age is probably due to physiological role of fat in the some processes such as reproduction, which is particularly manifest in gilts, i.e. in the sex investigated in our study. The role of IMF in the body is connected with the level of energy metabolism in the muscles, and it is necessary to find new sources of variation of these traits. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to analyse the level of IMF in loin (*musculus longissimus dorsi*) and ham (*musculus semimembranosus*) and the level of subcutaneous fat in these cuts depending on breed, age and the expression level of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes.

Materials and methods

We analyzed five pigs breeds: Duroc, Pietrain, Puławska (PUL), Polish Large White (PLW) and Polish Landrace

(PL), raised in Poland and exhibit different fatness level including IMF content. The pig breeds examined in our study were included in the national breeding program as a maternal component (PLW and PL breeds) and paternal component (Duroc and Pietrain breeds). The Puławska breed represents an additional group which constitutes the genetic reserve. Animals belonging to this breed are kept locally and characterized by very good meat quality parameters, especially a high level of IMF content. All breeds were represented by 36 gilts. Animals were kept at the Pig Performance Testing Station (SKURTCh) in Pawłowice under the same housing and feeding conditions. According to the day of slaughter, animals of each breed were divided into six age groups (six sows per group): 60-, 90-, 120-, 150-, 180- and 210-day-old pigs. Sows of each breed were related, had the same father (except the Puławska breed, which had three fathers), and their mothers were sisters.

After 24 h chilling at 4 °C, right half-carcasses were dissected. All half-carcass components were weighed. The loin and ham were subjected to detailed dissection into individual tissues (lean, bone, subcutaneous fat, intermuscular fat, skin) to estimate fat percentage in carcass and cuts. The content of IMF in *m. longissimus dorsi* and in *m. semimembranosus* was also estimated in the study. All samples were taken from the middle area of muscle section except the samples of *m. longissimus dorsi*, which were taken from behind the last rib. IMF content of lean was determined as crude fat in a Soxhlet apparatus by extraction with fat solvents (Soxtherm SOX 406, Gerhardt).

Tissue fragments were collected immediately after slaughter. Samples were stored at –80 °C. The total RNA was isolated using TRI-Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Poznan, Poland). The concentration and quantification of RNA was estimated by spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and by 2 % agarose gel electrophoresis. 1 μ g of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA at 37 °C using a High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Warsaw, Poland), according to manufacturer's protocol.

Relative quantification of the transcript level of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes was quantified on 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Relative Quantification for each gene was performed in multiplex reaction (in three repeats) with endogenous control—*GAPDH* gene. Primers and probes were described previously by Tyra et al. [17]. We ensured using controls without reverse transcriptase. Furthermore, the purity of RNA was checked by real-time PCR with “No-RT” control.

The real-time quantitative PCR analyses in a final volume of 25 μ L contained: 12.5 μ L TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix, 0.5 μ L of specific probes (250 nM final concentration), 0.5 μ L of primers (900 nM final concentration)

and 2.5 μ L of cDNA. The results were analyzed using Sequence Detection System 7500 software v. 2.0.1 (Applied Biosystems).

The data were analyzed with the use of the GLM procedure (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA; v. 8.2, 2001). The final model was for general analyses:

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + d_i + g_j + f_k + (gf)_{jk} + e_{ijkl}$$

where y_{ijkl} $ijkl$ -th is the observation, μ the general mean, d_i the effect of i -th sire, g_j the effect of j -th breed, f_k the effect of k -th group of age, $(gf)_{jk}$ the interaction between breed and age, e_{ijkl} the random error.

If the interaction was significance it was include in the model. Differences between the means for individual breeds were tested at 5 and 1 % levels using Scheffe's multiple range test. Pearson's correlations between the level of gene expression in relevant muscles and fatness traits of carcass and cuts and IMF content were estimated using the COR procedure of SAS software.

Results and discussion

In present study we analyzed the significance of factors (age, breed and expression of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes) that may influence on the level of loin and ham fatness traits (Tables 1, 2). The analyzed parameters were the level of IMF in the loin (*m. longissimus dorsi*)— IMF_{LMD} and ham

(*m. semimembranosus*)— IMF_{SEMI} , and the level of subcutaneous fat in these cuts (SF_{LMD} , SF_{SEMI}). The mean content of IMF for all the test animals of different breeds and ages was below 2 % (1.95 % for *m. longissimus dorsi* and 1.76 % for *m. semimembranosus*). The level of subcutaneous fat in both carcass cuts was similar and approximated 17 %. The analysis that accounted for the effect of breed and age (Fig. 1; Table 3) showed that the level of IMF was significantly lower in *m. semimembranosus* compared to *m. longissimus dorsi*. No such differences were observed for the level of subcutaneous fat (Fig. 2; Table 4). Among the analysed factors, only the breed influenced on the level of both intramuscular and subcutaneous fat to the same extent ($P \leq 0.001$). The age of animals had an effect on fat content of the cuts ($P \leq 0.001$) and to a lower extent on the level of IMF in both muscles ($P \leq 0.05$).

An analysis of impact of breed factor on IMF content in the muscles showed that Polish Large White, Pietrain and Polish Landrace pigs were characterized by lower IMF levels compared to the Duroc and Puławska breeds. The analysed breeds can be divided into three groups according to increasing subcutaneous fat levels in ham: Polish Large White and Pietrain (first group); Duroc and Polish Landrace (second group); and Puławska, (third group). Furthermore, Puławska pigs were the only animals with the highest ham fatness exceeding 21 % and this breed differ significantly ($P \leq 0.01$) from the other in loin

Table 1 Characteristics of the loin and ham fatness levels for selected pig breeds

Fatness traits	Means	\pm S.E.	Minimum	Maximum	V	GLM significance		
						Breed	Age	Breed \times age
<i>IMF</i> _{LMD} (%)	1.95	<i>0.77</i>	0.29	4.06	39.5	***	***	***
<i>IMF</i> _{SEMI} (%)	1.76	<i>0.86</i>	0.22	5.21	49.2	***	*	*
<i>SF</i> _{LOIN} (%)	16.98	<i>7.41</i>	6.099	53.4	43.6	***	***	
<i>SF</i> _{HAM} (%)	16.79	<i>6.24</i>	6.30	38.8	37.2	***	***	

$n = 180$, IMF level of intramuscular fat in: LMD *m. longissimus dorsi*, SEMI *m. semimembranosus*, SF level of subcutaneous fat in loin and ham
* $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.001$, S.E. standard error (values in italics), values of means are bold

Table 2 Characteristics of the expression levels of *LEPR* and *FABP3* genes for selected pig breeds

Fatness traits	Means	\pm S.E.	Minimum	Maximum	V	GLM significance		
						Breed	Age	Breed \times age
Gene expression								
<i>LEPR</i> _{LMD}	82.0	<i>131</i>	0.17	657	159	***	***	***
<i>LEPR</i> _{SEMI}	37.2	<i>57.1</i>	1.02	443	153	***	***	***
<i>FABP3</i> _{LMD}	2.53	<i>1.52</i>	0.40	11.3	59.8	***		
<i>FABP3</i> _{SEMI}	3.96	<i>2.49</i>	0.67	14.1	63.1	***		

$n = 180$, LMD *m. longissimus dorsi*, SEMI *m. semimembranosus*, SF level of subcutaneous fat in loin and ham

*** $P < 0.001$, the expression levels are presented as a relative quantity (RQ), S.E. standard error (values in italics), values of means are bold

Fig. 1 Level of intramuscular fat (%) in different muscles and breeds of pigs (*LMD* *m. longissimus dorsi*; *SEMI* *m. semimembranosus*; *PLW* polish large white; *PL* polish landrace; *PUL* puławska; ** $P < 0.01$)




Table 3 Level of intramuscular fat in muscles in different age ranges of pigs

Muscle	Age (days)	<i>n</i>	Means	\pm SD		Minimum	Maximum	<i>V</i>
<i>IMF</i> _{LMD} (%)	60	30	1.70	0.98	<i>Bdgi</i>	0.41	3.81	57.7
	90	30	1.63	0.71	<i>ACfh</i>	0.29	4.06	43.5
	120	30	2.03	0.70	<i>fg</i>	0.83	3.48	34.7
	150	30	1.99	0.65	<i>ehi</i>	0.72	3.95	32.7
	180	30	2.26	0.64	<i>AB</i>	1.04	3.48	28.2
	210	30	2.11	0.76	<i>Cde</i>	1.08	3.88	36.3
<i>IMF</i> _{SEMI} (%)	60	30	1.85	0.81		0.50	4.30	44.1
	90	30	1.71	0.82		0.22	3.63	47.9
	120	30	1.51	0.69	<i>A</i>	0.51	3.28	46.4
	150	30	1.80	0.88		0.92	4.76	49.2
	180	30	2.06	1.17	<i>Ab</i>	0.78	5.21	56.7
	210	30	1.63	0.68	<i>b</i>	0.62	3.13	42.3

IMF level of intramuscular fat in: *LMD*, *m. longissimus dorsi*; *SEMI*, *m. semimembranosus*

Values with the same letters indicate significant differences between group of age (*A, B...* = $P \leq 0.01$; *a, b...* = $P \leq 0.05$)

SD standard deviation (values in italics), values of means are bold

subcutaneous fat (24 %; Fig. 2). These results are consistent with the observations of a larger group of animals (over 4,500 pigs of all breeds raised in Poland) made during the monitoring of the national population, and with the results of the annual monitoring of gilt performance tests [2]. These authors showed an advantage of Duroc and Puławska animals in IMF levels and the highest level of carcass fatness in the Puławska breed. The dominant role of the Duroc breed among other high-producing breeds is also confirmed by other reports [18–21].

The Figs. 1 and 2 present the levels of ham and loin fatness characteristic of the analysed breeds. This results shows that there is no close relationship between the fat content of cuts and the level of IMF in the meat. The lack of this association is clearly demonstrated by the results

obtained for Duroc and Puławska animals. These two breeds are similar in terms of IMF level but considerably different in the overall fat content of individual cuts and of the whole carcass [2]. Analogous observations were made by Suzuki et al. [22] and Cai et al. [23], who obtained low relationships between loin IMF content and overall carcass fatness ($r_G = -0.03$ and $r_G = -0.13$, respectively). This finding provides a possibility to carry out selection independently in both directions (increase of IMF content without concomitant increase in IMF).

The analysis of the effect of age on IMF content and level of ham and loin fatness, the results obtained showed that these two groups of traits are determined differently (Tables 3, 4). In the case of the fat content of cuts, both loin and ham fatness were found to increase as the animals

Fig. 2 Level of subcutaneous fat (%) in different carcass cuts (loin and ham) and breeds of pigs. *PLW* polish large white; *PL* polish landrace; *PUL* puławska; ** $P < 0.01$




Table 4 Level of loin and ham fatness in different age ranges of pigs

Muscle	Age (days)	<i>n</i>	Means	±SD		Minimum	Maximum	<i>V</i>
SF_{LOIN} (%)	60	30	12.4	2.95	<i>AEj</i>	7.50	18.8	23.8
	90	30	12.6	4.82	<i>BFk</i>	6.09	23.2	38.1
	120	30	14.3	4.51	<i>CGl</i>	7.58	26.1	31.5
	150	30	17.1	6.35	<i>DHjkl</i>	6.11	30.1	37.2
	180	30	21.7	6.87	<i>EFGHi</i>	10.7	41.1	31.6
	210	30	24.0	8.83	<i>ABCDi</i>	12.6	53.4	36.8
SF_{HAM} (%)	60	30	11.6	3.12	<i>AEIL</i>	6.30	17.3	26.9
	90	30	13.3	4.54	<i>BFJ</i>	7.21	24.3	34.1
	120	30	14.6	3.71	<i>CGKL</i>	7.33	23.4	25.4
	150	30	17.6	4.83	<i>DHIJK</i>	9.25	29.9	27.5
	180	30	21.1	6.03	<i>EFGH</i>	12.1	38.8	28.6
	210	30	22.7	6.04	<i>ABCD</i>	13.6	38.6	26.6

Values with the same letters indicate significant differences between group of age (A. B... = $P \leq 0.01$; a. b... = $P \leq 0.05$)

SF level of subcutaneous fat in loin and ham

SD standard deviation (values in italics), values of means are bold

grew older, and the differences between individual periods were mostly statistically significant ($P \leq 0.01$). On the other hand, the lack of a directional relationship between age of animals and IMF level was found for *m. semimembranosus*. According to Schwab et al. [3], the unfavorable changes in the form of decreased rate of IMF deposition are the result of selection for a number of economically important traits. The rate of IMF deposition considerably slowed down, especially in relation to the rate of muscle tissue deposition. However, reaching the optimum IMF content would increase the final body weight while increasing the level of subcutaneous fat, which would be economically unfavorable [24]. These results support our conclusion about the lack of a direct relationship between the level of intramuscular and subcutaneous fat.

Preliminary analysis performed for the expression level of *LEPR* and *FABP3* genes (Table 1) showed a highly significant effect of breed and age on the amount of transcript, but only for the *LEPR* gene. The expression level of the *LEPR* gene was higher in *m. longissimus dorsi* than in *m. semimembranosus*, which was also confirmed by other studies [17]. The analysis of impact of breed factor on the genes expression (Figs. 3, 4) showed a considerable advantage ($P \leq 0.01$) of the Duroc breed for the *FABP3* gene in muscles analysed. The highest amount of the *LEPR* mRNA was found for the Puławska breed in *m. longissimus dorsi* and for the Polish Landrace breed in *m. semimembranosus*. In both cases, breed configuration did not fully match the fat content of cuts and the level of IMF in both muscles analyzed. Meanwhile, the advancing age of the animals was paralleled by a significant ($P \leq 0.01$)

Fig. 3 Relative quantity (RQ) of LEPR transcript in different muscles and breeds of pigs. LMD *m. longissimus dorsi*; SEMI *m. semimembranosus*; PLW polish large white; PL polish landrace; PUL puławska; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$




Fig. 4 Relative quantity (RQ) of FABP3 transcript in different muscles and breeds of pigs. LMD *m. longissimus dorsi*; SEMI *m. semimembranosus*; PLW polish large white; PL polish landrace; PUL puławska; ** $P < 0.01$




Table 5 Level of *LEPR* gene expression in muscles in different age ranges of pigs

Gene _{Muscle}	Age (days)	<i>n</i>	Means	\pm SD		Minimum	Maximum	<i>V</i>
<i>LEPR</i> _{LMD}	60	30	8.79	6.83	<i>AFJ</i>	0.17	25.8	78.0
	90	30	14.7	21.5	<i>BGk</i>	0.68	115	145
	120	30	27.7	26.1	<i>CHI</i>	1.78	109	94.1
	150	30	76.1	73.2	<i>DIJkl</i>	3.51	293	96.1
	180	30	156	161	<i>EFGHI</i>	12.6	575	103
	210	30	223	195	<i>ABCDE</i>	3.31	657	87.7
<i>LEPR</i> _{SEMI}	60	30	16.6	19.5	<i>B</i>	1.67	68.5	118
	90	30	17.2	22.9	<i>C</i>	1.02	88.8	133
	120	30	24.4	20.5	<i>D</i>	2.02	68.9	84.3
	150	30	34.5	38.7	<i>E</i>	1.05	163	112
	180	30	42.2	51.9	<i>F</i>	2.99	215	123
	210	30	91.8	106	<i>ABCDEF</i>	7.22	443	115

Values with the same letters indicate significant differences between group of age (A. B... = $P \leq 0.01$; a. b ... = $P \leq 0.05$), the expression levels are presented as a relative quantity (RQ)

LMD *m. longissimus dorsi*; SEMI *m. semimembranosus*, SD standard deviation (values in italics), values of means are bold

Table 6 Correlations between expression levels of *LEPR* and *FABP3* genes in different tissues and some parameters of loin and ham fatness

Traits of fatness	Gene _(Muscle)			
	<i>FABP3</i> _(LMD)	<i>FABP3</i> _(SEMI)	<i>LEPR</i> _(LMD)	<i>LEPR</i> _(SEMI)
<i>IMF</i> _{LMD}	0.365**	–	0.096	–
<i>IMF</i> _{SEMI}	–	0.252**	–	0.039
<i>SF</i> _{LOIN}	0.052	–	0.628**	–
<i>SF</i> _{HAM}	–	0.120	–	0.553**

IMF level of intramuscular fat in; *LMD* *m. longissimus dorsi*; *SEMI* *m. semimembranosus*; *SF* level of subcutaneous fat in loin and ham

** $P < 0.01$

increase in the transcript level of the *LEPR* gene in both muscles analysed (Table 5). The increase of expression level of *LEPR* gene translated into an analogous increase in the level of subcutaneous fat in both cuts studied (Table 4). This trend of the effect is also confirmed by the high correlations between the transcript level of the *LEPR* gene and the fat content of individual cuts, i.e. $r_p = 0.628$ for loin fatness and $r_p = 0.553$ for ham fatness. The transcript abundance of the *LEPR* gene had no effect on the IMF content in both muscles studied. A different situation occurred for the *FABP3* gene (Table 6) whose expression level in the analysed muscles influenced the level of IMF, with correlation coefficients of $r_p = 0.365$ for *m. longissimus dorsi* and $r_p = 0.252$ for *m. semimembranosus*. No relationship was found between the amount of transcript of the *FABP3* gene and the level of subcutaneous fat in loin and ham, and there were no differences in the expression level resulting from age between the animals.

Li et al. [25] investigated differences in the transcript abundance of 90 genes associated with lipid metabolism between the Landrace and Taihu breeds during the first 5 months of life. The authors found statistically significant difference in the expression level of 25 genes including *FABP3* in adipose tissue. According to the same authors, the more intensive metabolism in the Landrace breed during this important stage of pig growth has an effect on the lower capacity to deposit IMF. The level of IMF in 5-month-old Landrace animals was 2.0 % compared to 4.4 % in the Taihu breed. Despite numerous reports about the high level of *FABP3* expression in the cardiac muscle and in skeletal muscles [26, 27], Li et al. [28] showed high amounts of *FABP3* mRNA also in the adipose tissue of adult pigs (about 30 % of the level found in skeletal muscles). The authors suggested to change the previously held view about the role of adipose tissue as a passive repository for energy to that of an important, active endocrine organ. This is all the more justified because of a large number of proteins and hormones secreted in white adipocytes [29]. Li et al. [11] estimated the expression

level of the *FABP3* gene in skeletal muscles and subcutaneous fat in two groups of pigs with low (1.27 %) and high (3.32 %) levels of IMF. According to the authors higher level of mRNA was in the muscles of animals with the higher IMF levels. Similarly to our research, Gardan et al. [30] found no differences in the *FABP3* mRNA level depending on the age of pigs (80 and 210 days). The factor that caused statistically significant differences in the transcript level of this gene was the type of fat in which the expression was studied, with higher expression observed in intramuscular compared to intermuscular fat. These results prove the complexity of the effect of carcass fatness parameters and of the not completely understood the role of *FABP3*, *LEPR* genes in this process. The complex nature of the lipogenesis process is evidenced by the fact that over 900 genes are candidate genes as QTL for fatness traits [31]. Such a large number of genes that determine fatness traits have prevented finding of one factor characterized by a large effect in this regard. However, research should be continued because beneficial changes may be obtained even with a small transfer of the genetic effect, aided by modern methods of breeding (genetic) value estimation, onto the whole population being improved.

Conclusions

Both forms of fatness analysed in the present study, i.e. the level of subcutaneous fat and the level of IMF in both muscles (*m. semimembranosus* and *m. longissimus dorsi*) are probably determined by different genetic factors. This is evidenced by the correlations obtained between fatness traits and the expression level of *FABP3* and *LEPR* genes. At the same time, the level of subcutaneous fat does not directly determine the level of IMF. This finding is beneficial to breeders because it enables selection to be carried out independently in both directions (increasing IMF level and decreasing the level of subcutaneous fat). It has been confirmed that the age of the analysed animals has a large effect on the level of subcutaneous fat for both carcass cuts, with loin being characterized by a slightly higher rate of fat increase with age. This effect was not observed for IMF in *m. semimembranosus*, whereas in *m. longissimus dorsi* the effect of age was noticeable but when the observation period was longer, which is due to the decreasing rate of IMF deposition. It is concluded from the results obtained that despite the lack of direct relationships between these two forms of fat and with the present rate of their deposition in pig carcasses (especially their proportions) it is not possible for a pig population to produce carcasses characterized by a high level of IMF and a low level of subcutaneous fat. Therefore, it is important to find a factor that determines the rate of IMF deposition and apply it in the selection of pigs.

Acknowledgments The study was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Grant No. NN311 162937).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Tyra M, Orzechowska B (2006) Effect of age and growth rate on intramuscular FAT content on the longissimus dorsi muscle in Polish Landrace and Puławska pigs. *Anim Sci* 1:36–38
2. Tyra M, Żak G (2010) Characteristics of the Polish nucleus population of pigs in terms of intramuscular fat (IMF) content of m. longissimus dorsi. *Ann Anim Sci* 10(3):241–248
3. Schwab CR, Baas TJ, Stalder KJ, Mabry JW (2006) Effect of long-term selection for increased leanness on meat and eating quality traits in Duroc swine. *J Anim Sci* 84(6):1577–1583
4. Haunerlald NH, Spense F (2004) Fatty acid-binding proteins—insights from genetic manipulations. *Prog Lipid Res* 43:328–349
5. Świtoński M, Chmuryńska A, Mackowski M (2003) Searching for genes controlling fitness traits in pig—a review. *Anim Sci Pap Rep* 21(2):73–86
6. Elmquist JK et al (1999) From lesions to leptin: hypothalamic control of food intake and body weight. *Neuron* 22:221–232
7. Vincent AL, Wang L, Rothschild MF (1997) Rapid communication: a restriction fragment length polymorphism in the porcine leptin receptor (*LEPR*) gene. *J Anim Sci* 75:2287
8. Gerbens F, Rettenbergem G, Lenstra JA, Veerkamp JH, Te Pas MFW (1997) Characterization, chromosomal localization and genetic variation of porcine heart fatty acid-binding protein gene. *Mammal Genome* 8:328–332
9. Gerbens F, Van Erp AJM, Hrders FL, Verburg FJ, Meuwissen THE, Veerkamp JH, Te Pas MFW (1999) Effect of genetic variations of the heart fatty acid-binding protein gene on intramuscular fat and performance traits in pig. *J Anim Sci* 77:846–852
10. Zhao SM, Ren LJ, Chen L, Zhang X, Cheng ML, Li WZ, Zhang YY, Gao SZ (2009) Differential expression of lipid metabolism related genes in porcine muscle tissue leading to different intramuscular fat deposition. *Lipids* 44(11):1029–1037
11. Li X, Kim SW, Choi JS, Lee YM, Lee CK, Choi BH, Kim TH, Choi YI, Kim JJ, Kim KS (2010) Investigation of porcine *FABP3* and *LEPR* gene polymorphisms and mRNA expression for variation in intramuscular fat content. *Mol Biol Rep* 37(8):3931–3939
12. Arnyasi M, Grindflek E, Jávora A (2006) Investigation of two candidate genes for meat quality traits in a quantitative trait locus region on SSC6: the porcine short heterodimer partner and heart fatty acid binding protein genes. *J Anim Breed Genet* 123:198–203
13. Gerbens F, Verburg FJ, Van Moerkerk B, Engel B, Buis tW, Veerkamp JH, Te Pas MFW (2001) Association of heart and adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein gene expression with intramuscular fat content in pigs. *J Anim Sci* 79:347–354
14. Schwab CR, Mote BE, Du ZQ, Amoako R, Baas TJ, Rothschild MF (2009) An evaluation of four candidate genes for use in selection programmes aimed at increased intramuscular fat in Duroc swine. *J Anim Breed Genet* 126(3):228–236
15. Tyra M, Ropka-Molik K (2011) Effect of the *FABP3* and *LEPR* gene polymorphisms and expression levels on intramuscular fat (IMF) content and fat cover degree in pigs. *Livest Sci*. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2011.07.003
16. Urban T, Mikolášová R, Kuciel J, Ernst M, Ingr I (2002) A study of associations of the H-FABP genotypes with fat and meat production of pigs. *J Appl Genet* 43(4):505–509
17. Tyra M, Ropka-Molik K, Eckert R, Piórkowska K, Oczkiewicz M (2011) H-FABP and LEPR gene expression profile in skeletal muscles and liver during ontogenesis in various breeds of pigs. *Domest Anim Endocrinol* 40(3):147–154
18. Krasnowska G, Salejda A (2008) The selected quality properties of carcass fat of fatteners from various pig genetic line. *ŻYWNOSĆ Nauka Technologia Jakość* 2(57):95–105
19. Edwards DB, Tempelman RJ, Bates RO (2006) Evaluation of Duroc- vs. Pietrain-sired pigs for growth and composition. *J Anim Sci* 84(2):266–275
20. Peloso JV, Lopes PS, Gomide LA, Guimarães SE, Carneiro PL (2010) Carcass and ham quality characteristics of heavy pigs from different genetic groups intended for the production of dry-cured hams. *Meat Sci* 86(2):371–376
21. Candek-Potokar M, Monin G, Zlender B (2002) Pork quality, processing, and sensory characteristics of dry-cured hams as influenced by Duroc crossing and sex. *J Anim Sci* 80(4):988–996
22. Suzuki K, Irie M, Kadowaki H, Shibata T, Kumagai M, Nishida A (2005) Genetic parameter estimates of meat quality traits in Duroc pigs selected for average daily gain, longissimus muscle area, backfat thickness, and intramuscular fat content. *J Anim Sci* 83(9):2058–2065
23. Cai W, Casey DS, Dekkers JC (2008) Selection response and genetic parameters for residual feed intake in Yorkshire swine. *J Anim Sci* 86(2):287–298
24. Candek-Potokar M, Zlender B, Bonneau M (1998) Effects of breed and slaughter weight on longissimus muscle biochemical traits and sensory quality in pigs. *Ann Zoot* 47:3–16
25. Li M, Zhu L, Li X, Shuai S, Teng X, Xiao H, Li Q, Chen L, Guo Y, Wang J (2008) Expression profiling analysis for genes related to meat quality and carcass traits during postnatal development of backfat in two pig breeds. *Sci China C Life Sci* 51(8):718–733
26. Heuckeroth RO, Birkenmeier EH, Levin MS, Gordon JI (1987) Analysis of the tissue-specific expression, developmental regulation, and linkage relationships of a rodent gene encoding heart fatty acid binding protein. *J Biol Chem* 262:9709–9717
27. Ding ST, McNeel RL, Mersmann HJ (1999) Expression of porcine adipocyte transcripts: tissue distribution and differentiation in vitro and in vivo. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* 123(3):307–318
28. Li B, Zerby HN, Lee K (2007) Heart fatty acid protein is upregulated during porcine adipocyte development. *J Anim Sci* 85:1651–1659
29. Ailhaud G, Massiera F, Alessandri JM, Guesnet P (2007) Fatty acid composition as an early determinant of childhood obesity. *Genes Nutr* 2(1):39–40
30. Gardan D, Louveau I, Gondret F (2007) Adipocyte- and heart-type fatty acid binding proteins are both expressed in subcutaneous and intramuscular porcine (*Sus scrofa*) adipocytes. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* 148(1):14–19
31. Świtoński M, Stachowiak M, Cieslak J, Bartz M, Grzes M (2010) Genetics of fat tissue accumulation in pigs: a comparative approach. *J Appl Genet* 51(2):153–168