Steroids in leptospiral uveitis: Does the route of administration matter?

Sir,

Leptospirosis, an emergent urban disease,^[1] can cause significant morbidity even following successful systemic treatment. In up to 90%^[2] of patients, the causative leptospiral spirochete can persist in the anterior chamber of the eye^[3] resulting in uveitis.

Treatment of leptospiral uveitis primarily consists of steroid therapy^[4] - ocular as topical preparations, or posterior sub tenon (PST) steroid injections, and systemic steroid supplementation. We looked at the records of patients with leptospiral uveitis with a view to correlate the modality of steroid administration with clinical treatment outcomes, namely an improvement in visual acuity and decrease of inflammatory reaction in the affected eyes.

This study was conducted as an observational retrospective case series at Aravind Eye Hospital and Postgraduate Institute of Ophthalmology in Madurai, South India. Records of 75 consecutive patients confirmed serologically to have leptospirosis (107 affected eyes) who had presented to the Uvea Department from January 2005 to December 2008 with clinical signs characteristic of leptospiral uveitis,^[5] were studied. Vision had been recorded with Snellen's chart at each visit. Each grade of Snellen visual acuity was assigned a score based on the level of vision to enable the analysis. Better vision was assigned higher scores. Visual acuity was then categorized into 'good' for scores of 11 (corresponding to 6/24) and above and 'poor' for those with less than 11. Anterior chamber (AC) inflammatory reaction was graded from 0 to 4.

Treatment regimen was designated R1 to R3. Those with mild anterior disease had been prescribed 1% prednisolone acetate suspension and homatropine bromide 2% (R1), while those with more severe anterior uveitis, intermediate or limited posterior uveitis had been treated with PST injection 0.5 ml triamcinolone acetonide (R2) and tablet prednisolone 1 mg/kg body weight (R3) tapered over a few weeks. The usual treatment pattern started at R1. If treatment response wasn't adequate, the higher regimen was added to the current regimen. For example, if topical steroids alone (R1) were insufficient, periocular steroid was administered (R2) and so the therapy was now designated R1+R2. Patients who responded poorly despite maximum

steroid therapy were started on an immunosuppressive (Tab. methotrexate 2.5 to 10 mg per week) and the eyes of these patients were excluded from final analysis. Data was entered into Microsoft excel spread sheets and summary statistics done using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) version 16.0. The study was approved by the institutional review committee.

A total of 75 clinical records included 107 eyes of confirmed leptospiral uveitis. Fifty five (73.3%) were male patients, and the rest, female (26.6%). The mean age (SD) of presentation was 35.4 (12.2) years. The average period of follow-up (SD) was for a duration of 7.18 (6.81) months. Treatment duration ranged between 1 and 34 months. The intensity of the anterior chamber reaction recorded at first visit is shown in Table 1. There was no statistically significant difference in the improvement of visual acuity among the four groups. The various routes of administration of steroids with corresponding visual outcome are given in Table 2.

Eight percent of the patients (6 of 75) were also treated

Table 1: Anterior chamber reaction seen at
first visit with corresponding visual outcome
at final visit in the 95 eyes*

Grade of anterior chamber/vitreous reaction**	Total number (%)	Number of eyes with visual improvement
+1	26 (27.36)	26
+2	40 (42.10)	35
+3	18 (18.94)	17
+4	11 (11.57)	9

*The eyes of 6 patients (12 eyes) who exhibited AC reaction who had received systemic immunosuppressant therapy were excluded. **19 of the 107 eyes showed predominant vitreous reaction at presentation due to posterior uveitis.

Table 2: Visual outcome in the 95 eyes withleptospiral uveitis which received differentroutes of steroid therapy

Treatment modality	Number of patients with no decrease in final vision	Number of patients with decrease in final vision
Topical (R1)	19	3
PST [#] (R2)	1	0
Oral (R3)	5	0
R1+R2	16	1
R1+R3	22	1
R2+R3	7	1
R1+R2+R3	17\$	2
Total	87	8

^sActual number of eyes was 29. Six patients (12 eyes) who had in addition been given systemic immunosuppressant therapy were excluded. [#]PST-posterior sub tenon steroid injections

In our study, a decrease in inflammatory reaction was seen in all cases after treatment confirming that steroid therapy was indeed effective in controlling the inflammatory reaction. The visual scores of most eyes (91.57%) were in the 'good' category at the end of therapy. Our observations thus emphasize that, in general, visual outcome was good in leptospiral uveitis, as also affirmed by previous studies,^[1,5] and that it responded well to steroid therapy. However, no single route of administration or combination of routes seems to have an advantage over the other routes.

While we studied the records of 75 leptospira seropositive patients with approximately 6 months of follow-up, it is a retrospective case series, and hence, one is unable to compare which of the modalities of steroid therapy was more effective in terms of rapidity of inflammation control which would require an interventional double blinded study with large cohort. We are also unable to comment on the mean duration of steroid usage in each modality required to control intraocular inflammation as this would have required more subjects in each group for proper analysis. In conclusion, it may be stated that steroid therapy seems effective in controlling intraocular inflammation associated with leptospiral uveitis and securing a good visual outcome in these affected eyes regardless of the route of administration of the steroid.

Vaishnavi Batmanabane, Rathinam Sivakumar

Department of Ophthalmology, Aravind Eye Hospital and PG Institute of Ophthalmology, 1, Anna, Nagar, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Address for correspondence: Vaishnavi Batmanabane, No.7, Main road, Thiru Nagar, Moolakulam, Pondicherry – 605 010, India. E-mail: vaishb@gmail.com

REFERENCES

- Rathinam SR, Rathnam S, Selvaraj S, Dean D, Nozik RA, Namperumalsamy P. Uveitis associated with an epidemic outbreak of leptospirosis. Am J Ophthalmol 1997;124;71-9.
- Rathinam SR. Ocular manifestations of leptospirosis. J Postgrad Med 2005;51:189-94.
- Shukla D, Rathinam SR, Cunningham ET Jr. Leptospiral uveitis in the developing world. Int Ophthalmol Clin 2010 Spring;50:113-24.
- Rathinam SR, Cunningham ET Jr. Leptospirosis. In: Roy FH, Fraunfelder FW, Fraunfelder FT, editors. Current Ocular Therapy. 6th ed. London: Saunders Elsevier; 2008. P. 5-47.

 Chu KM, Rathinam R, Namperumalsamy P. Identification of Leptospira species in the pathogenesis of uveitis and determination of clinical ocular characteristics in south India. J Infect Dis 1998;177:1314-21.

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:		
	Website: www.jpharmacol.com	
	DOI: 10.4103/0976-500X.92520	