

1 **Mixed experiences with commercial calibrators and controls for COVID-19 drugs**

2

3 *Running title:* Calibrators and controls for COVID-19 drugs

4

5 Jens Martens-Lobenhoffer\*, Stefanie M. Bode-Böger

6

7 Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

8

9 \*Corresponding author: J. Martens-Lobenhoffer, Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Otto-  
10 von-Guericke University, Leipziger Str.44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany.

11 Tel.: +49-391-6713067

12 FAX: +49-391-6713062

13 E-mail: [jens.martens-lobenhoffer@med.ovgu.de](mailto:jens.martens-lobenhoffer@med.ovgu.de),

14 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3829-7992>, <http://www.ikp.ovgu.de>

15

16

17

18

19

20 *Words:* 912

21 *Tables:* 1

22 *Keywords:* COVID-19 drugs; Quality control samples; LC-MS/MS; HPLC-UV

23 **To the Editor:**

24

25 In the midst of the pandemic caused by the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, medical  
26 scientists and practitioners are scrambling to develop and test effective therapies  
27 against the corresponding disease (COVID-19). Two of the experimental therapies  
28 include the administration of the repurposed drugs hydroxychloroquine (Plaquenil®,  
29 Sanofi-Aventis, France) (1) and remdesivir (Veklury®, Gilead Sciences Inc., USA) (2).  
30 As severe cases of COVID-19 in intensive care units often develop complications like  
31 renal insufficiency (3), individually adjusted drug dosing may be difficult. To avoid under-  
32 or overdosing, therapeutical drug monitoring (TDM) of these agents is very desirable.  
33 With this in mind, in our laboratory we established validated methods for the  
34 quantification of hydroxychloroquine (HPCL-UV) as well as for remdesivir and its active  
35 metabolite GS-441524 (LC-MS/MS) in human plasma by applying previously published  
36 procedures (4, 5). In short, samples for hydroxychloroquine analysis were prepared by  
37 addition of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and 2.5 M perchloric acid as protein  
38 precipitation reagents. No internal standard was used, as no extraction losses were  
39 observed. Separation took place on a Zorbax SB-C18 150×2.1 mm column (Agilent  
40 Technologies, Germany) by gradient elution (starting at 95% 0.1% TFA and 5%  
41 methanol and evolving in 9 min to 50% 0.1% TFA and 50% methanol, flow rate 0.4  
42 mL/min) and detection at  $\lambda = 343$  nm. The retention time for hydroxychloroquine was 9.2  
43 min. No interferences from endogenous compounds or other COVID-19 drugs in the  
44 commercial samples (lopinavir, ritonavir, favipiravir, chloroquine, nafamostat,  
45 azithromycin) were observed. Sample preparation for remdesivir and GC-441524 was  
46 carried out by protein precipitation with methanol and addition of the internal standard  
47 maraviroc (as recommended by the manufacturer of the COVID-19 test kits). Analytes  
48 were separated by gradient elution (100% ammonium formate buffer pH 3.5, evolving in  
49 12 min to 30% buffer and 70% acetonitrile, flow rate 0.5 mL/min) on a ReproSil Pur C18-  
50 AQ 150×3 mm column (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) and positive ESI detection was  
51 used. The fragment ions  $m/z$  603→200 for remdesivir (qualifier ion:  $m/z$  603→402),  $m/z$   
52 292→147 for GS-441524 (qualifier ion:  $m/z$  292→265) and  $m/z$  520→117 for the internal  
53 standard were observed. The corresponding retention times were 14.0, 8.6 and 11.5

54 min. Extraction yields were 89.4%, 86.1% and 88.2% for remdesivir, GS-441524 and the  
55 internal standard, and matrix effects were 11.3%, -1.7% and -3.0%, respectively.  
56 Calibration functions in these methods were linear in ranges of 50 – 2000 ng/mL for  
57 hydroxychloroquine and 25 – 2500 ng/mL for remdesivir and GS-441524, respectively.  
58 We achieved precision and accuracy levels at the lower limit of quantification of 1.25%  
59 relative standard deviation and 5.39% deviation from the expected concentration for  
60 hydroxychloroquine. The corresponding values for remdesivir were 2.90% and -10.87%  
61 and for GS-441524 3.71% and 8.92%.

62 External quality control is an important tool to check the precision and accuracy of  
63 methods in TDM. Thus, we applied a recently marketed kit set for quality control and  
64 calibration of COVID-19 drugs, including hydroxychloroquine as well as remdesivir and  
65 GS-441524 (Chromsystems Instruments & Chemicals GmbH, Germany; article numbers  
66 #0268 (plasma control level I), #0269 (plasma control level II) and #92055 (multilevel  
67 plasma calibrator set)). These kits come with an application note recommending a  
68 ready-for-use LC-MS/MS procedure developed and marketed by Chromsystems, which  
69 applies internal standards used from their anti-HIV-drug kit (article number #92844). The  
70 commercial calibration and control samples were reconstituted and stored according to  
71 the manufacturer's instructions. Applied to our quantification methods, the test kits  
72 produced the concentration values summarized in Table 1.

73 As can be seen, the measured values for hydroxychloroquine were near the expected  
74 values within less than 8% difference. Furthermore, all differences pointed in the same  
75 direction, implying a minor calibration offset between our in-house produced calibration  
76 samples (spiked drug-free human plasma based on hydroxychloroquine-sulfate, purity >  
77 98%, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and the commercial ones. On the other hand, for  
78 remdesivir and its active metabolite GS-441524 the differences were inhomogeneous,  
79 much larger, and clearly out of an acceptable range. These deviations from our in-house  
80 calibration (spiked drug-free human plasma based on remdesivir, purity > 99%,  
81 AdipoGen life sciences, USA and GS-441524, purity > 98%, Cayman Chemicals, USA)  
82 cannot be attributed to calibration offsets because of their inhomogeneity. In turn, it was  
83 not possible to construct linear calibration functions from the Chromsystems multilevel  
84 calibrator kit for remdisivir or GS-441524 or to reproduce the expected concentration

85 values of the Chromsystems control kit samples. This finding, together with the fact that  
86 our in-house calibration functions for these substances were linear, contributed to  
87 severe doubts about the quality and reproducibility of these kits. A stability problem in  
88 the commercial calibration and control samples can be ruled out as explanation for the  
89 obtained results. The manufacturer warrants a shelf life for nearly two years at 2-8 °C  
90 and stability for 1 month at -18 °C for the reconstituted samples. These conditions were  
91 met in our laboratory during this investigation. Furthermore, interferences from other  
92 substances in the commercial samples were not observed as they were  
93 chromatographically separated from the here investigated analytes.

94 The here evaluated calibrator- and control kits are useable for the external quality  
95 control of hydroxychloroquine but not for remdesivir and its active metabolite GS-  
96 441524. Generally, the kits are not applicable for use in TDM as they are described in  
97 the manufacturer's leaflet as "for performance evaluation only" and do not bear a CE-  
98 marking which in the European Union demonstrate conformity with the requirements  
99 regarding medical products. A limitation of this study is that there are no independent  
100 third party control samples for remdesivir or GS-441524 available. Thus, we are unable  
101 to prove that our analytical method reproduces the true concentrations of the here  
102 investigated control samples.

**Note:** *The Journal of Applied Laboratory Medicine* editorial office invited Chromsystems to submit a Reply to this letter but received no response.

**Author Contributions:** *All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 4 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; (c) final approval of the published article; and (d) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the article thus ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the article are appropriately investigated and resolved.*

**Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest:** *No authors declared any potential conflicts of interest.*

## References

1. Meo SA, Klonoff DC, Akram J. Efficacy of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of COVID-19. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2020;24:4539–47.
2. Hashemian SM, Farhadi T, Velayati AA. A Review on Remdesivir: A Possible Promising Agent for the Treatment of COVID-19. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2020;14:3215-22.
3. Bajwa H, Riaz Y, Ammar M, Farooq S, Yousaf A. The Dilemma of Renal Involvement in COVID-19: A Systematic Review. *Cureus* 2020;12:e8632.
4. Seffer M-T, Martens-Lobenhoffer J, Schmidt JJ, Eden G, Bode-Böger SM, Kielstein JT. Clearance of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine by the Seraph® 100 Microbind® Affinity blood filter - approved for the treatment of COVID-19 patients. *Ther Apher Dial* 2021;25:237-241.
5. Alvarez J-C, Moine P, Etting I, Annane D, Larabi IA. Quantification of plasma remdesivir and its metabolite GS-441524 using liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. Application to a Covid-19 treated patient. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2020;58:1461–8.

**Table 1:** Expected and measured values for COVID-19 drugs from the commercial control- and calibrator kits.

Sample	Hydroxychloroquine			Remdesivir			GS-441524		
	Expected value *** (ng/mL)	Measured value (ng/mL)	Difference (%)	Expected value *** (ng/mL)	Measured value (ng/mL)	Difference (%)	Expected value *** (ng/mL)	Measured value (ng/mL)	Difference (%)
Control Level I	463	500	8.0	727	916	26.0	35.3	74.1	109.8
Control Level II	943	976	3.5	2131	1463	-31.3	67.4	99.1	47.1
Calibrator 0	0	n.d.*	n.a.**	0	n.d.	n.a.	0	n.d.	n.a.
Calibrator 1	470	506	7.7	85.2	102	19.6	22.2	48.9	120.2
Calibrator 2	689	734	6.5	1282	2331	81.8	44.3	186.7	321.5
Calibrator 3	1154	1202	4.1	2729	2984	9.3	93.4	265.8	184.6

\* n.d. = not detected

\*\* n.a. = not applicable

\*\*\* Expected values taken from manufacturer's data sheet