

www.bjcancer.com

Letter to the Editor

Reply: Selection of controls

SO Dalton*, M Düring1, L Ross1, K Carlsen1, PB Mortensen1, J Lynch1 and C Johansen1

Institute of Cancer Epidemiology, Danish Cancer Society, Strandboulevarden 49, Copenhagen, DK-2100, Denmark

British Journal of Cancer (2007) **97,** 1020. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603976 www.bjcancer.com Published online 18 September 2007 © 2007 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

We thank von Euler-Chelpin and Lynge for their interest and comments. However, we believe their comments result from some misunderstandings. First of all, our study was not a case-control study. Secondly, and more importantly, we did not aim to investigate breast cancer incidence by socioeconomic level and this could not have been estimated from our study. Our aim was to assess, given a breast cancer, the probability of having a high-risk vs a low-risk case at the time of diagnosis by socioeconomic position. This we investigated in a nation-wide population-based cohort of 28 765 women diagnosed with breast cancer in Denmark

between 1983 and 1999 using a wide range of socioeconomic factors such as educational level and income (Dalton et al, 2006).

In Denmark, as in most other Western countries, the overall incidence of breast cancer increases with higher socioeconomic position (Danø et al, 2003, 2004). We have shown that despite the higher levels of breast cancer incidence among more advantaged women (Danø et al, 2003), relatively more women with less advantaged socioeconomic position are being diagnosed with a high-risk breast cancer and as a consequence are more likely to have to go through more extensive treatment and probably suffer a worse prognosis.

REFERENCES

Dalton SO, During M, Ross L, Carlsen K, Mortensen PB, Lynch J, Johansen C (2006) The relation between socioeconomic and demographic factors and tumour stage in women diagnosed with breast cancer in Denmark, 1983–1999. *Br J Cancer* 95: 653–659

Danø H, Andersen O, Ewertz M, Petersen JH, Lynge E (2003) Socio-economic status and breast cancer in Denmark. *Int J Epidemiol* 32: 218–224 Danø H, Hansen KD, Jensen P, Petersen JH, Jacobsen R, Ewertz M, Lynge E (2004) Fertility pattern does not explain social gradient in breast cancer in Denmark. *Int J Cancer* 111: 451–456