

# The Non-Ancillary Nature of Trimethylsilylamide Substituents in Boranes and Borinium Cations

Christopher J. Major,<sup>[a]</sup> Zheng-Wang Qu,<sup>\*[b]</sup> Stefan Grimme,<sup>[b]</sup> and Douglas W. Stephan<sup>\*[a]</sup>

**Abstract:** The known boranes  $(R(Me_3Si)N)_2BF$   $(R=Me_3Si 1, tBu 2, C_6F_5 3, o-tol 4, Mes 5, Dipp 6) and borinium salts <math>(R(Me_3Si)N)_2B][B(C_6F_5)_4]$   $(R=Me_3Si 7, tBu 8)$  are prepared and fully characterized. Compound 7 is shown to react with phosphines to generate  $[R_3PSiMe_3]^+$  and  $[R_3PH]^+$  (R=Me, tBu). Efforts to generate related borinium cations via fluoride abstraction from  $(R(Me_3Si)N)_2BF$   $(R=C_6F_5 3, o-tol 4, Mes 5)$  gave complex mixtures suggesting multiple reaction path-

Since the 2005 review by Piers<sup>[1]</sup> on boron cations, threecoordinated borenium cations<sup>[1,2]</sup> have been exploited as electrophiles in aromatic and aliphatic borylations, borylations of arylsilanes, and hydroboration of alkenes,<sup>[3]</sup> chiral analogs have also been employed in enantioselective catalysis.<sup>[4]</sup> In addition, NHC- and triazolylium-borane derived borenium cations have been exploited in FLP-hydrogenation<sup>[5]</sup> as well as hydroborations<sup>[6]</sup> of imines and enamines. In contrast, twocoordinated borinium cations have garnered little attention. The classic 1982 work of Parry and coworkers<sup>[7]</sup> and Nöth et al.<sup>[8]</sup> reported the first examples of related diamido, alkyl/amido and aryl/amido-substituted borinium cations (Figure 1), along with the first crystallographic evidence of their formation. However, little was known about their reactivity apart from reactions with solvent,<sup>[9]</sup> halide sources,<sup>[9a,d]</sup> and Lewis bases.<sup>[9c,10]</sup> Subsequent studies described the generation of borinium cations in the gas phase and their reactions with alcohols and amides.<sup>[11]</sup> In 2002, we reported<sup>[12]</sup> an "extended" borinium cation  $[(tBu_3PN)_2B]^+$ (Figure 1). In a breakthrough work, Shoji et al. reported the first diaryl-borinium, [Mes<sub>2</sub>B]<sup>+</sup> in 2014 (Figure 1).<sup>[13]</sup> These authors explored the reactivity of this species with CO2, CS2 and alkyne.<sup>[14]</sup> In the latter case, an isolable divinylborinium cation

 [a] C. J. Major, Prof. Dr. D. W. Stephan Department of Chemistry University of Toronto
 80 St. George St, Toronto, ON, M5S3H6 (Canada) E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca

[b] Dr. Z.-W. Qu, Prof. Dr. S. Grimme Mulliken Center for Theoretical Chemistry University of Bonn Beringstr. 4, 53115 Bonn (Germany) E-mail: qu@thch.uni-bonn.de

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202200698
- © 2022 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ways. However for R=Dipp **6**, the species  $[(\mu-F)(SiMe_2N-(Dipp))_2BMe][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  was isolated as the major product, indicating methyl abstraction from silicon and F/Me exchange on boron. These observations together with state-of-the-art DFT mechanistic studies reveal that the trimethylsilyl-substituents do not behave as ancillary subsitutents but rather act as sources of proton, SiMe<sub>3</sub> and methyl groups.



Figure 1. Selected examples of borinium cations.

was obtained (Figure 1). Recently, Inoue described the first example of a silicon-substituted borinium cation  $[(HCNMe)_2C=NBSi(SiMe_3)_3]^+$  (Figure 1).<sup>[15]</sup>

In our own work,<sup>[16]</sup> we have probed the reactivity of the di(amido)borinium cation,  $[(iPr_2N)_2B][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  demonstrating the unusual hydroboration of benzophenone, benzonitrile, diphenyldiazomethane, and phenylacetylene occurs with oxidation of one of the amide ligands to imine. We also probed the reactions of the Shoji cation, [Mes<sub>2</sub>B]<sup>+</sup> with dihydrogen, silane, and borane uncovering routes to the unusual diboranium and triboron(8) cations, [B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>Mes<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> and [B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>Mes<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, respectively.<sup>[17]</sup> Most recently, we reported the reactivity of aryl-amidoborenium cation [MesBN'Pr<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> with isothiocyanate and carbodiimides which affords synthetic routes to nitrilium, monoamidinate borenium and bis-amidinate boronium salts. In the present study, we probe the synthesis and reactivity of silylamide substituted borinium cations. The results demonstrate that the silvl substituents are not innocent, acting as sources of silyl groups, proton and methyl fragments. The nature of this



reactivity is probed experimentally and via detailed DFT computations.

A series of silyl-aryl amides were reacted with (OEt<sub>2</sub>)BF<sub>3</sub>, affording the compounds (R(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)N)<sub>2</sub>BF (R=Me<sub>3</sub>Si 1, tBu 2, C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> 3, o-tol 4, Mes 5, Dipp 6) (Scheme 1) via a minor modification of the known literature protocol.[18] These compounds exhibited <sup>11</sup>B NMR signals at ca. 23–24 ppm, characteristic of threecoordinated boron centers and showed <sup>19</sup>F signals attributable to the BF fragment in the range from -85.5 to -107.7 ppm. Additionally, in the case of 3, resonances at -147.2, -160.8 -165.2 ppm arose from the C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> rings. Compounds **3** and **6** were characterized by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2) exhibiting the expected three-coordinated geometry at boron. The N-B-N angles in 3 and 6 were found to be 128.9(7)° and  $135.5(2)^{\circ}$ , respectively. The wider angle in **6** reflects the steric demands of the aryl substituents. The corresponding B-N distances average 1.418(3) Å and 1.424(5) Å, while the B-F distances are 1.362(9) Å and 1.377(3) Å, respectively. The significantly shorter B-F bond in 3 is consistent with the presence of the electron withdrawing  $C_6F_5$  rings. It is interesting to note the  $\pi$ -stacking of the aryl rings as this dictates that the SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups flank the B–F units.

The borinium cation salt  $[((Me_3Si)_2N)_2B][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  **7**, was prepared using the reaction of  $[Et_3Si(tol)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  with **1** in *o*difluorobenzene ultimately affording a white product in 43 % yield. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data for the cation were consistent with previously reported data, while the <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were consistent with the formulation of **7**. In addition, a crystallographic study confirmed the connectivity of



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1-8.



**Figure 2.** POV-ray depiction of the molecular structures (a) **3**, (b) **6**; All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. C: black, N: blue, Si: pale pink, B: yellow-green; F: pink.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202200698 (2 of 5)

this salt (Figure 3). The central boron of the cation is a linear and two-coordinated with B–N bond distances of 1.330(4) and 1.328(4) Å. The compound **7** is directly analogous to the [BBr<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salt originally reported by Kölle and Nöth in 1986.<sup>[19]</sup> The DFT-computed Wiberg bond index of 1.52 for this cation of **7** is consistent with some degree of B–N  $\pi$ -bonding.

In a similar fashion, the analogous reaction of  $[tBu(Me_3Si)N]_2BF$  afforded the species  $[(tBu(Me_3Si)N)_2B][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ 8. This product exhibited the expected <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra as well as the <sup>11</sup>B resonances at 34.6 and -16.6 ppm, corresponding to the anion and cation, respectively. Crystallographic data confirmed the connectivity (Figure 3). One of the amido-groups is disordered via interchange of the *t*Bu and Me<sub>3</sub>Si groups. Nonetheless, the other amide group is not disordered, revealing N–C and N–Si distances of 1.538(5) Å and 1.820(3) Å with two B–N distances of 1.324(6) Å and 1.346(6) Å, respectively.

To gain mechanistic insights, extensive dispersion-corrected DFT calculations were performed at the PW6B95-D3 + COSMO-RS//TPSS–D3/def2-TZVP + COSMO level in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution and the final free energies were reported in kcal/mol, at 298 K and 1 mol/L concentration<sup>[20]</sup> (Figure 4). The separated ions of [Et<sub>3</sub>Si-(tol)]<sup>+</sup> and [B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> in solution provide a low barrier to fluoride abstraction from 1 of 11.9 kcal/mol (via transition state



Figure 3. POV-ray depiction of the molecular the cations of (a) 7, (b) 8. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. C: black, N: blue, Si: pale pink, B: yellow-green.



**Figure 4.** DFT-computed free energy pathways (in kcal/mol, at 298 K and 1 M concentration) for the reaction of 1 with  $Et_3Si(toluene)^+$ . Crucial B, C, N, F and Si atoms are highlighted as pink, grey, blue, cyan and sky-blue balls in ball-and-stick model, with selected bond lengths (in Å) shown in red. The transition states are labelled with the prefix TS.

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



TS1<sup>+</sup>) providing the borinium cation of 7, toluene and Et<sub>3</sub>SiF in an overall exergonic process (-23.5 kcal/mol). Interestingly, methyl abstraction from 1 with [Et<sub>3</sub>Si(tol)]<sup>+</sup> (via TS2<sup>+</sup>) was computed to be nearly neutral in free energy over a low barrier of 12.6 kcal/mol affording the boryliminium cation A<sup>+</sup>, from which an intramolecular fluoride shift (via TS3<sup>+</sup>) is almost barrierless and -23.0 kcal/mol exergonic to form another borinium cation 7F<sup>+</sup>. While not directly observed experimentally, this alternative reaction pathway could account for the moderate yield of 7.

DFT calculations were also used to probe the stabilizing effect of hyperconjugation between the silyl groups and the cationic boron center in **7** and **8**. The free energies of the isodesmic reactions with NH<sub>3</sub> to give  $[(H_2N)_2B]^+$  and HN-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)(R) (R=SiMe<sub>3</sub> and tBu) were found to be 15.8 (4 SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups) and 12.8 (2 SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups) kcal/mol respectively. These results are consistent with about 3.9 and 2.4 kcal/mol smaller than a N–H bond for each NSiMe<sub>3</sub> and NtBu group, respectively.

Initial monitoring of the reaction of 7 with PMe<sub>3</sub> showed a broad <sup>11</sup>B peak at ca. 34.3 ppm while the <sup>31</sup>P resonance was observed as a broad signal at -49.2 ppm. These data suggest the coordination of phosphine to boron, generating the proposed species [((Me<sub>3</sub>Si)N)<sub>2</sub>BPMe<sub>3</sub>][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]. This view was supported by DFT computed <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>31</sup>P signals at 33.5 and -43.0 ppm, respectively. However, this species was not isolable, as it proved transient. Nonetheless, cooling to -30°C afforded an insoluble product 9 which was isolated as colourless crystals albeit in low yield. A crystallographic study confirmed the formulation of **9** as  $[Me_3PSiMe_3][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ . The metric parameters of the cation [Me<sub>3</sub>PSiMe<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> are identical to those reported for its triflate salt,<sup>[21]</sup> although it is noteworthy that the cation of **9** adopts an conformation in which the methyl groups on P and Si are staggered (Scheme 2). Also of interest, the <sup>31</sup>P shift of **9** is ca. 26 ppm downfield of the triflate salt,<sup>[21]</sup> consistent with the coordination of the triflate in solution. The formation of 9 results from the net loss of [SiMe<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> from 1 generating the byproduct [(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NB(NSiMe<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> 10 a species previously prepared by dehydrohalogenation of (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NBCl(NHSiMe<sub>3</sub>) with BuLi.<sup>[22]</sup> The observed <sup>11</sup>B resonance at 27.1 ppm is consistent with this



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 9–11. POV-ray depiction of the cation of 9, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. C: black, N: blue, Si: pale pink, P: orange.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202200698 (3 of 5)

formulation, and corroborated by a recent report,<sup>[23]</sup> although exhaustive efforts to isolate this by-product were unsuccessful.

DFT calculations also revealed that the coordination of PMe<sub>3</sub> to the borenium cation 7<sup>+</sup> is 6.8 kcal/mol endergonic, to give the kinetically accessible but thermodynamically unstable borenium cation [((Me<sub>3</sub>Si)N)<sub>2</sub>BPMe<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, **B**<sup>+</sup> (Figure 5), analogous to that proposed on the basis of experimental data. Alternatively, PMe<sub>3</sub> may abstract a silvlium cation  $[SiMe_3]^+$  from 7<sup>+</sup>, which is 4.6 kcal/mol endergonic over a low barrier of 8.9 kcal/ mol (via TS4<sup>+</sup>) to form the observed cation 9<sup>+</sup> and neutral (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NB=NSiMe<sub>3</sub>. Subsequent dimerization of the latter species is energetically favoured affording the product 10, making the overall reaction -12.7 kcal/mol exergonic. In contrast, borinium-assisted methyl deprotonation of the cation of 7 with PMe<sub>3</sub> is 1.3 kcal/mol endergonic over a sizable barrier of 24.0 kcal/mol (via TS5<sup>+</sup>), which is thus both kinetically and thermodynamically disfavored. The use of bulky tBu<sub>3</sub>P evidently increases the silylium abstraction barrier to 18.6 kcal/mol, while the methyl deprotonation channel becomes -5.0 kcal/mol exergonic though kinetically still less competitive. The corresponding reaction of 7 with tBu<sub>3</sub>P gave a complex mixture of products. The observation of <sup>31</sup>P resonances at 61.4 and 30.4 ppm (DFT: 53.2 and 21.0 ppm) were consistent with the formation of [tBu<sub>3</sub>PH]<sup>+</sup> and the previously reported cation  $[tBu_3PSiMe_3]^+$ , respectively. Crystals of  $[tBu_3PSiMe_3][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ 11 were isolated from the reaction albeit in low yield and the connectivity was confirmed by preliminary crystallographic data although disorder of the tert-butyl groups precluded publication. The formation of these two phosphonium cations suggests that the borinium cation acts as a source of both proton and SiMe<sub>3</sub> suggesting the formation of [(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NB(N-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)SiMe<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>] and 10, respectively. Again despite exhaustive efforts, these species could not be isolated, however these species were proposed based on computational data (Figure 5).

Efforts to extend reactivity studies to **3–6**, prompted the corresponding reactions with  $[Et_3Si(tol)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ . In the case of



**Figure 5.** DFT-computed free energy pathways for the reaction of cation  $7^+$  with PMe<sub>3</sub>. An additional deprotonation channel (in red) is favoured by the bulky base PtBu<sub>3</sub> (as shown in bracket) The transition states are labelled with the prefix TS.

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



3 and 4, NMR data suggested the formation of multiple products that were neither separable nor isolable. In the case of 5, a small amount of crystalline material was obtained and while the quality of the crystals was poor, preliminary X-ray data were consistent with its formulation as  $[(\mu-F)(SiMe_2N (Mes))_2BMe][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ . However, the corresponding reaction of 6 afforded a cleaner reaction, with the major product 12 exhibiting a <sup>11</sup>B resonances at 42.7 and –16.6 ppm. <sup>19</sup>F revealed a resonance at -107.1 ppm, while the <sup>1</sup>H spectrum shows resonances at -0.11, 0.80, 0.82, 1.20 and 1.31 ppm attributable to methyl groups in a 1: 2: 2: 4: 4 ratio. The precise structure of 12 was confirmed crystallographically to be  $[(\mu-F)(SiMe_2N (Dipp)_{2}BMe][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$  (Scheme 3). This species contains a threecoordinated boron bound to two amides and a methyl substituent (Figure 6). A fluorine atom bridges two silicon atoms each of which have two methyl groups, affording an overall cationic charge. The B-N bond distances are 1.448(4) Å and 1.460(4) Å while the B-C bond is 1.566(4)Å, with the N-B-N 117.5(2)°. The Si-N distances were 1.717(2) Å and 1.706(2) Å with Si-F distances of 1.742(2) Å and 1.772(2) Å and a Si'-F-Si angle of 129.61(9)°.

The formation of **12** is consistent with silylium abstraction of a methyl group from Si, and a fluoride for methyl from boron to silicon. In a very recent report by  $Chiu^{[25]}$  and coworkers, the transient generation of  $[MesBN(SiMe_3)_2]^+$  was observed to prompt methyl migration from Si to B. In that case, it gave the four-membered-ring species  $(Me_3Si)N(SMe_2)(\mu-Mes)BMe$ . To probe the present reaction further, DFT computations were performed. These revealed that selective fluoride-assisted methyl-abstraction from aryl-substituted **6** by  $[Et_3Si(tol)]^+$  is -8.9 kcal/mol exergonic over a low barrier of 8.3 kcal/mol (via **TS6**<sup>+</sup>) affording the intermediate cation **D**<sup>+</sup> with loss of  $Et_3SiMe$ 



Scheme 3. Synthesis of 12.



**Figure 6.** POV-ray depiction of the molecular structure of the cation of **12**; All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. C: black, N: blue, Si: pale pink, B: yellow-green; F: pink.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202200698 (4 of 5)

and toluene (Figure 7). Further a Si-to-B methyl-shift (via **TS7**<sup>+</sup>) and even faster ring-closing through B–N bond rotation of **E**<sup>+</sup> (via **TS8**<sup>+</sup>) is –12.0 kcal/mol exergonic over a higher barrier of 13.3 kcal/mol eventually affording the Si–F–Si bridged cation **12**<sup>+</sup>. In contrast, direct fluoride abstraction from **6** with [Et<sub>3</sub>Si-(tol)]<sup>+</sup> is –10.1 kcal/mol exergonic over a sizable barrier of 24.7 kcal/mol (via **TS6a**<sup>+</sup>, see Supporting Information) and is thus kinetically much less favorable, mainly due to enhanced steric hindrance and restrained B–N bond rotation of the bulky Dipp groups.

The above reactivity demonstrates that borinium cations are accessible via fluoride abstraction from 1 and 2. These species react with phosphines readily undergo competitive desilylation or silylmethyl deprotonation reactions. In contrast, efforts to generate related borinium cations from **3–5** gave complex mixtures, suggesting multiple reaction pathways. The isolation of the heterocyclic cation **12** from the corresponding reaction of **6** demonstrates methyl abstraction from silicon as an alternative reaction pathway. Generally, these observations demonstrate that the silylamides in borane and borinium precursors are not ancillary ligands acting as sources of SiMe<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup> and Me groups. We are continuing to probe the reactivity of two-coordinated boron cations, their Lewis acidity and potential use in catalysis.

#### Crystallographic details

Deposition Numbers 2125379 (for 1) 2125380 (for 12) 2125381 (for 2) 2125382 (for 6) 2125383 (for 9) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

## Acknowledgements

D.W.S. gratefully acknowledges NSERC of Canada for financial support and the award of Canada Research Chair. In addition, DWS thanks the Guggenheim foundation, the Canada Council for the Arts, the Royal Society of Chemistry and the American



**Figure 7.** DFT-computed free energy pathways for the reaction of **6** with  $[Et_3Si(toluene)]^+$  The transition states are labelled with the prefix TS.

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



Chemical Society for the award of a 2020 fellowship, the 2021 Prize in Natural Science, a 2021 Centenary Prize and the 2022 F.A. Cotton award in Synthetic Inorganic Chemistry respectively. Z.W.Q and S.G are grateful to DFG (project Nr. 490737079) for financial support. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **Data Availability Statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

**Keywords:** borenium cation · boranes · borinium cation · reaction mechanism · silylium cation

- [1] W. E. Piers, S. C. Bourke, K. D. Conroy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5016–5036; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 5142–5163.
- [2] a) T. S. De Vries, S. Majumder, A. M. Sandelin, G. Q. Wang, E. Vedejs, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 688–691; b) P. Koelle, H. Nöth, Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 399– 418.
- [3] a) T. S. De Vries, A. Prokofjevs, J. N. Harvey, E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14679-14687; b) T. S. De Vries, E. Vedejs, Organometallics 2007, 26, 3079-3081; c) E. Vedejs, T. Nguyen, D. R. Powell, M. R. Schrimpf, Chem. Commun. 1996, 2721-2722; d) A. Prokofjevs, E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20056-20059; e) A. Prokofjevs, J. W. Kampf, E. Vedejs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2098-2101; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2146-2149; f) T. Matsumoto, F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics 2009, 28, 4252-4253; g) T. Matsumoto, F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics 2009, 28, 4898; h) C. W. Chiu, F. P. Gabbaï, Organometallics 2008, 27, 1657-1659; i) C. Bonnier, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, T. S. Sorensen, Chem. Commun. 2008, 4593-4595; j) W. E. Piers, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 52, 1-76; k) I. Ghesner, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, R. McDonald, Chem. Commun. 2005, 2480-2482; I) T. K. Wood, W. E. Piers, B. A. Keav, M. Parvez, Chem. Commun. 2009, 5147-5149; m) A. Solovyev, S. J. Geib, E. Lacote, D. P. Curran, Organometallics 2012, 31, 54-56; n) D. McArthur, C. P. Butts, D. M. Lindsay, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6650-6652; o) S. A. Solomon, A. Del Grosso, E. R. Clark, V. Bagutski, J. J. W. McDouall, M. J. Ingleson, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1908-1916; p) A. Del Grosso, P. J. Singleton, C. A. Muryn, M. J. Ingleson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2102-2106; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2150-2154; q) A. Del Grosso, M. D. Helm, S. A. Solomon, D. Caras-Quintero, M. J. Ingleson, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12459-12461; r) E. Tsurumaki, S. Hayashi, F. S. Tham, C. A. Reed, A. Osuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11956-11959; s) C. I. Someya, S. Inoue, C. Prasang, E. Irran, M. Driess, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6599-6601; t) H. B. Mansaray, A. D. L. Rowe, N. Phillips, J. Niemeyer, M. Kelly, D. A. Addy, J. I. Bates, S. Aldridge, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12295-12297; u) B. Inés, M. Patil, J. Carreras, R. Goddard, W. Thiel, M. Alcarazo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8400-8403; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 8550-8553; v) D. P. Curran, A. Solovyev, M. M. Brahmi, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, E. Lacote, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2150-2154 2011, 50, 10294-10317; w) D. Kaufmann, Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 901-905; x) A. Prokofievs, A. Boussonniere, L. Li, H. Bonin, E. Lacôte, D. P. Curran, E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 51, 5958-5961.
- [4] E. J. Corey, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2100–2117; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 2134–2151.
- [5] a) J. M. Farrell, J. A. Hatnean, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15728–15731; b) J. M. Farrell, R. T. Posaratnanathan, D. W. Stephan,

Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2010–2015; c) P. Eisenberger, B. P. Bestvater, E. C. Keske, C. M. Crudden, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2467–2471; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 2497–2501.

- [6] a) P. Eisenberger, A. M. Bailey, C. M. Crudden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17384–17387; b) P. Eisenberger, C. M. Crudden, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 4874–4887.
- [7] J. Higashi, A. D. Eastman, R. W. Parry, Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 716–720.
- [8] a) H. Nöth, R. Staudigl, H.-U. Wagner, *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 706–716;
  b) P. Kölle, H. Nöth, *Chem. Ber.* **1986**, *119*, 313–324; c) H. Nöth, B. Rasthofer, S. Webe, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1984**, *39*, 1058–1068; d) H. Nöth, S. Weber, *Chem. Ber.* **1985**, *118*, 2144–2146; e) H. Nöth, B. Rasthofe, *Chem. Ber.* **1986**, *119*, 2075–2079; f) P. Kölle, H. Nöth, *Chem. Ber.* **1986**, *119*, 3849–3855.
- [9] a) H. Nöth, R. Staudigl, Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 830–831; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1981, 20, 794–795; b) H. Nöth, R. Staudigl, H.-U. Wagner, Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 706–716; c) J. Higashi, A. D. Eastman, R. W. Parry, Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 716–720; d) H. Nöth, R. Staudig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1981, 20, 794–795; Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 830–831.
- [10] H. Nöth, B. Rasthofer, S. Weber, Z. Naturforsch. B 1984, 39, 1058-1068.
- [11] a) W. A. Tao, X. Zheng, R. G. Cooks, J. Mass Spectrom. 2000, 35, 1215–1221; b) J. C. Kotz, R. J. Vander Zanden, R. G. Cooks, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1970, 923–924; c) T. D. Ranatunga, H. I. Kenttamaa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8600–8604; d) T. D. Ranatunga, H. I. Kenttaemaa, Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 18–27; e) X. Zheng, W. A. Tao, R. G. Cooks, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2001, 12, 948–955.
- [12] S. Courtenay, J. Y. Mutus, R. W. Schurko, D. W. Stephan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 498–501; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 516–519.
- [13] Y. Shoji, N. Tanaka, K. Mikami, M. Uchiyama, T. Fukushima, Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 498–503.
- [14] a) Y. Shoji, N. Tanaka, D. Hashizume, T. Fukushima, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 13342–13345; b) N. Tanaka, Y. Shoji, D. Hashizume, M. Sugimoto, T. Fukushima, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5312–5316; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 5396–5400.
- [15] D. Franz, T. Szilvási, A. Pöthig, S. Inoue, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 11036– 11041.
- [16] C. J. Major, K. L. Bamford, Z.-W. Qu, D. W. Stephan, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 5155–5158.
- [17] K. L. Bamford, Z.-W. Qu, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 6180–6184.
- [18] A. V. Lis, B. A. Gostevskii, A. I. Albanov, N. O. Yarosh, V. I. Rakhlin, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2017, 87, 353–356.
- [19] P. Kölle, H. Nöth, Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 3849-3855.
- [20] a) TURBOMOLE, V 7.4, TURBOMOLE GmbH, Karlsruhe, 2019, See http:// www.turbomole.com; b) J. Tao, J. P. Perdew, V. N. Staroverov, G. E. Scuseria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 91, 146401; c) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104–154119; d) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, H. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456–1465; e) S. Grimme, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9955–9964; f) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297–3305; g) F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 1057–1065; h) A. Klamt, G. Schürmann, J. Chem. Soc.-Perkin Trans. 1993, 2, 799–805; i) F. Eckert, A. Klamt, AIChE J. 2002, 48, 369– 385; j) F. Eckert, A. Klamt, COSMOtherm, Version C3.0, Release 16.01, COSMOlogic GmbH & Co., Leverkusen, Germany, 2015; k) Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 5656–5667.
- [21] A. P. M. Robertson, S. S. Chitnis, S. Chhina, H. J. Cortes S, B. O. Patrick, H. A. Jenkins, N. Burford, *Can. J. Chem.* **2016**, *94*, 424–429.
- [22] R. L. Wells, A. L. Collins, Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7, 419-422.
- [23] A. Matler, M. Arrowsmith, F. Schorr, A. Hermann, A. Hofmann, C. Lenczyk, H. Braunschweig, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 2021, 4619–4631.
- [24] M. A. Dureen, C. C. Brown, D. W. Stephan, Organometallics 2010, 29, 6594–6607.
- [25] P.-H. Chen, C.-P. Hsu, H.-C. Tseng, Y.-H. Liu, C.-W. Chiu, Chem. Commun. 2021, 57, 13732–13735.

Manuscript received: March 4, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: March 9, 2022

Version of record online: April 1, 2022