Long non-coding RNA-based risk scoring system predicts prognosis of alcohol-related hepatocellular carcinoma

YUE LUO^{1*}, JIAXIANG YE^{1*}, JIAZHANG WEI², JINYAN ZHANG¹ and YONGQIANG LI¹

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Affiliated Tumor Hospital of Guangxi Medical University; ²Department of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck, The People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Nanning, Guangxi 530021, P.R. China

Received December 18, 2019; Accepted April 22, 2020

DOI: 10.3892/mmr.2020.11179

Abstract. Increasing evidence suggests that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) serve a crucial role in predicting prognosis for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, prognostic performance may not be the same for alcohol-related HCC. The aim of the present study was to screen prognosis-associated IncRNAs and construct a risk scoring system for alcohol-related HCC. The expression profiles of lncRNAs in 113 patients with alcohol-related HCC and 224 with non-alcohol-related HCC were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database and screened for differentially expressed lncRNAs. Cox regression analysis was performed to identify prognosis-associated lncRNAs and select the optimal lncRNA model. A risk scoring system was established to calculate the risk score for each patient. The prognostic ability of this system was tested. Functional enrichment analysis was performed for genes that were highly associated with lncRNA expression. A total of 102 differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified between alcohol-related and non-alcohol-related HCC. Four IncRNAs (AC012640.1, AC013451.2, AC062004.1 and LINC02334) were used to construct the risk assessment model to predict overall survival (OS), and five lncRNAs (ERVH48-1, LINC02043, LINC01605, AC062004.1 and AL139385) were used to predict recurrence-free survival (RFS). Patients were assigned to high- or low-risk groups according to the risk score. OS in the high-risk group was significantly shorter than that of the low-risk group. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of risk scoring systems was >0.7. The risk score was an independent prognostic factor for alcohol-related HCC. Functional enrichment analysis

*Contributed equally

demonstrated that lncRNA-related genes found in this system were mainly involved in chemical carcinogenesis, drug metabolism, and the cell cycle. In conclusion, this study developed and validated a prognostic scoring system for alcohol-related HCC based on lncRNAs.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common malignancy and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death globally, and worldwide incidence increases by 3-4% per year (1,2). Hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, alcohol consumption, diabetes, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and smoking are known to be major risk factors for HCC (3,4). HCC is highly heterogeneous, and the pathogenesis is extremely complex. The progression of HCC involves multiple processes such as mutation and signaling pathway maladjustment, reflecting the interaction among multiple genes (5,6). Despite the development of various drugs and breakthroughs in diagnosis, the prognosis of HCC remains poor, with a 5-year survival rate of only 5% for patients with advanced HCC (7). Timely and effective assessment of prognosis is of great significance to guide the treatment. At present, there are no biomarkers that effectively predict the survival of patients with HCC, and thus, finding effective prognostic biomarkers for patients with HCC is crucial.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), non-coding transcripts >200 nucleotides, serve important cellular functions such as in chromatin modification as well as transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation (8,9). Increasing evidence demonstrates that aberrant expression of lncRNAs is associated with the occurrence and development of various human diseases, especially cancer (10-12). For example, the overexpression of IncRNA HOX Transcript Antisense Intergenic RNA (HOTAIR) was demonstrated to predict tumor recurrence after liver transplantation (13). There was also a significant association between HOTAIR expression and tumor progression in patients with HCC (14-16). Increased biallelic expression of H19 and IGF2 may participate in an epigenetic mechanism of HCC development and progression (17). The IncRNA GPC3-AS1 promotes HCC progression by epigenetic GPC3 activation (18). However, the role of lncRNAs in alcohol-related HCC remains unclear.

Correspondence to: Professor Yongqiang Li, Department of Medical Oncology, Affiliated Tumor Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, 71 Hedi Road, Nanning, Guangxi 530021, P.R. China E-mail: lyq702702@126.com

Key words: hepatocellular carcinoma, long noncoding RNA, risk scoring system, survival

Alcohol is a dose-related risk factor known to be associated with more than 200 diseases, including HCC (19,20). Heavy drinkers are at 3- to 10-fold higher risk of hepatocellular carcinoma than non-drinkers (2). In addition, the overall survival rate is lower for patients with alcohol-related HCC than for those with non-alcohol-related HCC, suggesting that there may be a link between alcohol and prognosis (21). Thus, the present study aimed to examine whether lncRNAs are differentially expressed in the presence of alcohol consumption that may be used as prognostic markers in HCC, and whether these differences might influence the risk of HCC recurrence or death. Using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), the present study developed a risk-scoring system based on lncRNA levels that may be valuable for predicting the prognosis of patients with alcohol-related HCC.

Materials and methods

Patient selection and data collection. Profiles of lncRNA and mRNA expression in HCC patients were downloaded from the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Xena server (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/). Corresponding clinical information was obtained from TCGA (version 09-14-2017 for HCC). The patients in this dataset had histologically confirmed HCC. Patient data included a complete lncRNA expression profile, alcohol consumption status and survival data for determining OS and RFS. A total of 113 patients with HCC and alcohol consumption, and 224 without alcohol consumption were selected (Table I). This study complied with TCGA publication guidelines and policies (http://cancergenome.nih. gov/publications/publicationguidelines). No ethics approval was required for this study since data were obtained from TCGA.

Identification of lncRNAs differentially expressed between alcohol-related or non-alcohol-related HCC. After eliminating lncRNAs showing zero expression in >50% of all patients, the *edgeR* package in R (https://www.r-project.org/) was used to identify lncRNAs differentially expressed between patients with or without alcohol consumption (22). Differential expression was defined as log₂fold change (log₂FC) >1 and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05. Differentially expressed lncRNAs were then presented in cluster heat maps and volcano maps generated using the packages *gplots* and *heatmap* in R.

Construction of lncRNA-based risk scoring systems. Standardized expression of lncRNAs in multiple tissues of the same patient were averaged. Univariate Cox analysis was then performed to screen differentially expressed lncRNAs to determine their significant relationship with OS or RFS, with the threshold set at P=0.05. Selected lncRNAs were included in subsequent multivariate Cox regression by the backward stepwise method in order to identify the best model. The expression level of each lncRNA was multiplied by the corresponding regression coefficient β and linearly combined to generate a risk scoring system:

Risk score= $(\beta 1 \text{ x expression level of lncRNA1}) + (\beta 2 \text{ x expression level of lncRNA2}) + (\beta 3 \text{ x expression level of lncRNA3}) + (\beta n \text{ x expression level of lncRNA}n).$

This formula was used to calculate a risk score for each patient. The prognosis prediction performance of this risk score was assessed using time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves within three years (23). Patients with HCC were divided into a high- or a low-risk group according to the cut-off value of the median risk score, as demonstrated in non-cluster heat maps. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated and compared between high- and low-risk groups. All these analyses were conducted using R/Bioconductor (version 3.4.4, https://www.r-project.org/).

Prognostic performance of the risk scoring systems. To validate the prognostic performance of the risk scoring systems, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to determine whether the risk score was an independent factor for survival. This regression was performed in SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, Inc.), and a significance threshold of P=0.05 (two-sided).

Co-expression and functional enrichment analysis of related mRNAs. Pearson correlation was performed to screen for relationships between lncRNAs in the risk scoring systems and mRNAs based on data of 337 patients with HCC. Relationships were considered significant if the mRNA expression co-varied with that of lncRNAs with a two-sided absolute value of the Pearson correlation coefficient >0.30 and a z-test P<0.01. To obtain a deeper understanding of these mRNAs, enrichment analyses were performed using the Genomes pathway in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes by the package *clusterProfiler* in R (24). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

lncRNAs are differentially expressed in alcohol-related HCC or non-alcohol-related HCC. A total of 102 differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified, 47 (46.08%) of which were upregulated and 55 (53.92%) downregulated (Figs. 1 and 2); the first 20 up- and downregulated lncRNAs, together with the corresponding values for \log_2 FC, P and FDR are demonstrated in Table II.

Risk-scoring system based on lncRNA expression and OS. Univariate Cox analysis identified six lncRNAs that were significantly associated with OS: AC012640.1, AC013451.2, AC062004.1, LINC02334, AC090921.1 and LINC01605. The first four were independent prognostic indicators of OS based on multivariate Cox regression (Table III). The resulting risk scoring system was: Risk score= $(0.186 \times AC012640.1) + (0.363 \times AC013451.2) + (-0.243 \times AC062004.1) + (-0.275 \times LINC02334).$

In this scoring system, increased expression of AC012640.1 and AC013451.2 predicted worse OS (β >0), whereas increased expression of AC062004.1 and LINC02334 predicted better OS (β <0).

Based on their risk scores, patients were classified as at low or high risk of poor OS using the median risk score as cutoff (Fig. 3A). Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrated that patients with high risk had significantly lower OS at 3 and 5 years

Table I. Clinicopathological characteristics of 337 patients with alcohol- or non-alcohol-related hepatocellular carcinoma.

	Patients (n=337)		
Clinicopathological characteristics	n	%	
Age, years			
≤60	162	48.07	
>60	175	51.93	
BMI			
<25	161	47.77	
≥25	150	44.51	
Not reported	26	7.72	
Race			
Non-Asian	186	55.19	
Asian	141	41.84	
Not reported	10	2.97	
Sex			
Female	107	31.75	
Male	230	68.25	
Hepatitis ^a			
No	189	56.08	
Yes	148	43.92	
Cirrhosis			
Non-cirrhosis	124	36.80	
Cirrhosis	74	21.96	
Not reported	139	41.25	
Alcohol consumption			
No	224	66.47	
Yes	113	33.53	
Histologic grade			
G1-2	209	62.02	
G3-4	123	36.50	
Not reported	5	1.418	
New tumor event			
No	167	49 55	
Yes	154	45.70	
Not reported	16	4.75	
Pathologic stage			
	231	68 55	
Stage III+IV	82	24.33	
Not reported	02 24	24.55 7.12	
Concer status	21	7.12	
Tumor free	181	53 71	
With tumor	142	42.14	
Not reported	14	4.15	
Family cancer history			
No	188	55 79	
Ves	108	32.05	
Not reported	41	12.05	
Pasidual tumor	71	12.17	
	201	80.22	
Non DO	201	07.32 0.21	
Not reported	29 7	0.01	
norreported	1	2.00	

Table I. Continued.

	Patients (n=337)		
Clinicopathological characteristics	n	%	
Vascular invasion			
Negative	188	55.79	
Positive	95	28.19	
Not reported	54	16.02	

^aHepatitis B or C. BMI, Body mass index; AFP, alpha fetoprotein.



Figure 1. Volcano map of the differentially expressed lncRNAs between alcohol-related and non-alcohol-related HCC. Red spots represent upregulated genes, and green spots represent downregulated genes. lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; FC, fold change; FDR, false discovery rate.

compared with that of patients with low risk (Fig. 4A). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for the risk scoring system was 0.721 (Fig. 5A).

The risk scoring system was used to predict OS of patients with different clinicodemographic characteristics. This is an important test of the scoring system because of the heterogeneity of HCC and the large number of factors that influence prognosis.

Univariate analysis identified risk score, family cancer history and vascular invasion as significantly associated with OS, but not age, body mass index (BMI), ethnicity, sex, hepatitis, cirrhosis, histological grade of cancer, new tumor event, pathology stage, cancer status or residual tumor. Multivariate Cox regression identified the following as independent predictors of poor OS: Risk score [hazard ratio (HR) 3.393, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.597-7.210] and vascular invasion (HR 2.146, 95% CI 0.903-5.104, Table V).

Risk-scoring system based on lncRNA expression and RFS. Univariate analysis identified 11 lncRNAs that were significantly correlated with RFS: ERVH48-1, LINC02043,



Figure 2. Heat map based on the differentially expressed lncRNA between alcohol-related and non-alcohol-related HCC. lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

LINC01605, AC062004.1, AL139385, AC007938.3, AC090921.1, AC025580.1, AC012640.1, C10orf91, and LINC01589. Multivariate analysis demonstrated the

first five to be independent prognostic indicators of RFS (Table IV). The resulting risk scoring system was: Risk score=(0.3529 x ERVH48-1) + (0.3499 x LINC02043) +

Top 20 upregulated lncRNAs			Top 20 downregulated lncRNAs				
lncRNA	logFC	P-value	FDR	lncRNA	logFC	P-value	FDR
AC090921.1	5.26	1.35x10 ⁻⁵⁰	7.79x10 ⁻⁴⁷	ERVH48-1	-3.77	1.25x10 ⁻²¹	1.45x10 ⁻¹⁸
AL451074.6	3.15	2.17x10 ⁻³⁵	6.29x10 ⁻³²	AP000439.3	-4.73	3.49x10 ⁻¹⁷	2.89x10 ⁻¹⁴
AC007938.3	1.68	3.78x10 ⁻²²	7.29x10 ⁻¹⁹	AC139749.1	-3.34	5.15x10 ⁻¹⁷	3.72×10^{-14}
AC105446.1	2.58	6.40x10 ⁻²²	9.26x10 ⁻¹⁹	LINC00473	-4.00	1.51×10^{-16}	9.73x10 ⁻¹⁴
AC012640.1	1.94	2.45x10 ⁻¹⁸	2.36x10 ⁻¹⁵	LINC01480	-2.26	4.15x10 ⁻¹²	2.00x10-9
AC025627.1	1.26	6.31x10 ⁻¹³	3.65x10 ⁻¹⁰	AP000757.1	-1.61	2.31×10^{-10}	7.86x10 ⁻⁸
AL445483.1	1.73	1.36×10^{-12}	$7.14 \mathrm{x} 10^{-10}$	UCA1	-2.54	7.54x10 ⁻⁹	1.90x10 ⁻⁶
MYOSLID	1.59	1.35x10 ⁻¹¹	6.03x10 ⁻⁹	AC064807.2	-1.85	9.35x10 ⁻⁹	2.16x10-6
EMX2OS	1.63	5.63x10 ⁻¹¹	2.33x10 ⁻⁸	AL359853.1	-1.98	1.29x10 ⁻⁸	2.82x10 ⁻⁶
AC156455.1	1.18	1.15×10^{-10}	4.17x10 ⁻⁸	PLCE1-AS1	-2.03	2.86x10 ⁻⁸	5.71x10 ⁻⁶
AP003354.2	1.27	6.76x10 ⁻¹⁰	2.17x10 ⁻⁷	AC002398.2	-2.03	9.19x10 ⁻⁸	1.77x10 ⁻⁵
AC007220.1	1.14	1.70x10 ⁻⁹	5.18x10 ⁻⁷	AC079305.1	-1.12	2.12x10 ⁻⁷	3.71x10 ⁻⁵
U62317.1	1.63	1.93x10 ⁻⁹	5.58x10 ⁻⁷	AC007099.1	-2.10	4.35x10 ⁻⁷	6.80x10 ⁻⁵
LINC02043	1.22	2.25x10 ⁻⁹	6.19x10 ⁻⁷	LINC01229	-1.32	7.07x10 ⁻⁷	1.02×10^{-4}
AC068473.3	1.41	3.83x10 ⁻⁹	1.01×10^{-6}	AC098869.2	-1.26	7.52x10 ⁻⁷	1.06x10 ⁻⁴
AC069431.1	1.42	8.70x10 ⁻⁹	$2x10^{-6}$	LINC00624	-1.28	1.16x10 ⁻⁶	1.51x10 ⁻⁴
LINC01615	1.40	1.32x10 ⁻⁸	2.82x10 ⁻⁶	AC005674.1	-1.06	1.83x10 ⁻⁶	2.11x10 ⁻⁴
AC079779.2	1.50	2.35x10 ⁻⁸	4.86x10 ⁻⁶	AL161772.1	-1.24	2.26x10 ⁻⁶	2.52x10 ⁻⁴
AC062004.1	1.40	1.11×10^{-7}	2.07x10 ⁻⁵	BX640514.2	-1.79	2.93x10 ⁻⁶	3.08x10 ⁻⁴
AL391056.1	1.574	1.21x10 ⁻⁷	2.18x10 ⁻⁵	AC023154.1	-1.44	3.44x10 ⁻⁶	3.46x10 ⁻⁴

Table II. Differentially expressed lncRNAs in patients with alcohol-related or non-alcohol-related hepatocellular carcinoma.

lncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs; logFC, log2fold change; FDR, false discovery rate.

Table III. Four lncRNAs were correlated with overall survival in the best statistical model.

lncRNA	β	HR	Z	P-value
AC012640.1	0.186	1.205	1.71	0.088
AC062004.1	0.243	0.784	-1.98	0.047^{a}
LINC02334	-0.275	0.759	-2.23	0.026ª
AC013451.2	0.363	1.437	2.42	0.016ª

^aP<0.05. lncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs; HR, Hazard ratio.

Table IV. Five lncRNAs were correlated with recurrence-free survival in the best statistical model.

IncRNA	β	HR	Z	P-value
ERVH48-1	0.3529	1.4232	2.59	0.0096ª
LINC02043	0.3499	1.4189	3.13	0.0017^{a}
AC062004.1	-0.3531	0.7025	-3.12	0.0018^{a}
LINC01605	0.1701	1.1855	2.19	0.0285ª
AL139385	-0.1924	0.8249	-2.23	0.0259ª

^aP<0.05. lncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs; HR, Hazard ratio

(0.1701 x LINC01605) + (-0.3531 x AC062004.1) + (-0.1924 x AL139385).

In this scoring system, increased expression of ERVH48-1, LINC02043, and LINC01605 predicted worse RFS (β >0), whereas increased expression of AC062004.1 and AL139385 predicted better RFS (β <0).

Patients were classified as at low or high risk of poor RFS (Fig. 3B). Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrated that patients with high risk had significantly lower RFS at 3 and 5 years compared with that of patients with low risk (Fig. 4B). AUC for the risk scoring system was 0.777 (Fig. 5B).

Univariate analysis identified that risk score and vascular invasion were significantly correlated with RFS, but not age, BMI, ethnicity, sex, hepatitis, cirrhosis, histology grade, new tumor event, pathology stage, cancer status, family cancer history or residual tumor. Multivariate analysis identified the independent predictors to be risk score (HR 2.895, 95% CI 1.491-5.621) and vascular invasion (HR 2.398, 95% CI 1.104-5.210, Table VI).

Functional analysis of co-expressed lncRNA and mRNAs. KEGG pathway analysis revealed that co-expressed lncRNA and mRNAs that correlated with OS were involved mainly in chemical carcinogenesis, cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism and retinol metabolism (Fig. 6A). Co-expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs that correlated with RFS were involved mainly in cell cycle and carbon metabolism (Fig. 6B).



Figure 3. Non-cluster risk heat map of long non-coding RNA-based risk scoring system for overall survival (A) or recurrence-free survival (B). The risk value gradually increases from left to right.



Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of overall survival (A) or recurrence-free survival (B) according to the risk cutoff point. Horizontal axis represents the survival period and the vertical axis represents the frequency.

Discussion

HCC is a major health problem worldwide with poor overall prognosis (25,26). Most patients with HCC are diagnosed at

advanced stages (III-IV) (27). Earlier diagnosis and more reliable prognosis, based on suitable biomarkers, are crucial for improving the management and therefore outcomes of patients with HCC. Accumulating evidence has suggested that



Figure 5. ROC curves analysis of the risk scoring system for overall survival (A) or recurrence-free survival (B). ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve.



Figure 6. KEGG pathway analyses. Top 10 pathways of mRNAs that co-expressed with lncRNAs in the risk scoring system for (A) overall survival or (B) recurrence-free survival. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA.

the abnormal expression of lncRNAs is associated with the recurrence, metastasis and prognosis of HCC (28-30). Since the prognosis in HCC may differ depending on whether it is alcohol-related or not, the present study developed a risk

scoring system based on lncRNA expression to evaluate the risk of poor OS or RFS in alcohol-related patients with HCC. The results of the present study may suggest good potential for lncRNAs to be prognostic biomarkers in alcohol-related HCC.

	Univariate Cox regression			Multivariate Cox regression		
Variables	P-value	HR	95% CI	P-value	HR	95% CI
Risk score (high/low)	0.003ª	4.949	1.735-14.117	0.001ª	3.393	1.597-7.210
Age (>60/≤60)	0.202	1.816	0.726-4.543			
BMI	0.060					
<25			Reference			
≥25		0.245	0.073-0.818			
Not reported		0.779	0.096-6.340			
Race	0.423					
Non-Asian	01120		Reference			
Asian		0.352	0.053-2.322			
Not reported		1.915	0.115-31.769			
Sex (Male/Female)	0.143	2.590	0.725-9.251			
Hepatitis B or C	0.542					
No	0.512		Reference			
Yes		1.489	0.415-5.346			
Cirrhosis	0.216					
Non cirrhosis	0.210		Reference			
Cirrhosis		3 183	0 524 10 324			
Vittiosis Not reported		0.572	0.524-19.524			
Not reported	0.504	0.372	0.1/8-1.845			
Histologic grade	0.586		ЪĆ			
G1-2		1.200	Reference			
63-4	0.10.6	1.326	0.481-3.649			
New tumor event	0.406					
No			Reference			
Yes		1.126	0.216-5.883			
Not reported		16.771	0.260-1080.40			
Pathologic stage	0.093					
Stage I+II			Reference			
Stage III+IV		2.857	0.935-8.730			
Not reported		3.173	0.740-13.617			
Cancer status	0.998					
Tumor free			Reference			
With tumor		1.052	0.196-5.635			
Not reported		0.000	0.000			
Family cancer history	0.031			0.126		
No			Reference			Reference
Yes		0.667	0.234-1.899		0.868	0.419-1.799
Not reported		0.076	0.011-0.528		0.206	0.045-0.953
Residual tumor	0.611					
R0			Reference			
Non-R0		0.469	0.105-2.092			
Not reported		0.000	0.000			
Vascular invasion		0.006ª		0.005ª		
Negative			Reference			Reference
Positive		3 019	0 798-11 416		2 146	0 903_5 104
Not reported		8 383	2 264-31 038		2.140	1 652-7 748
		0.202	2.207-31.030		5.511	1.052-1.140

Table V Universite and multiversite	Cov regression or	nolygic for ove	roll curvivol
	COX regression at	11alysis 101 0ve	all sulvival.

 $^{a}P<0.05$. BMI, Body mass index; AFP, α fetoprotein; HR, Hazard ratio; CI, Confidence interval.

	Univariate Cox regression			Multivariate Cox regression		
Variables	P-value	HR	95% CI	P-value	HR	95% CI
Risk score (high/low)	0.009ª	4.883	1.485-16.051	0.002ª	2.895	1.491-5.621
Age (>60/≤60)	0.064	2.343	0.952-5.767			
BMI	0.255					
<25			Reference			
≥25		0.533	0.092-3.074			
Not reported		0.087	0.004-1.772			
Race	0.403					
Non-Asian			Reference			
Asian		4.064	0.514-32.105			
Not reported		1.065	0.034-33.341			
Sex (Male/Female)	0.680	1.523	0.207-11.220			
Henatitis B or C	0.210					
No	0.210		Reference			
Yes		3.102	0.528-18.223			
Cirrhosis	0 340					
Non-cirrhosis	0.540		Reference			
Cirrhosis		2 228	0 207 16 737			
Not reported		0.201	0.037 2.284			
Histologia and	0.480	0.291	0.037-2.204			
Histologic grade	0.489		Deference			
G1-2 G3 4		0 705	0 262 1 800			
N	0.860	0.705	0.202-1.099			
New tumor event	0.860		D			
NO		21(070	Reference			
Yes		316078	0.000-3.495x1066			
Pathologic stage	0.464					
Stage I+II			Reference			
Stage III+IV		1.896	0.638-5.632			
Not reported		0.997	0.127-7.803			
Cancer status	0.286					
Tumor free			Reference			
With tumor		2.632	0.323-21.431			
Not reported		11.747	0.399-345.797			
Family cancer history	0.242					
No			Reference			
Yes		0.726	0.147-3.588			
Not reported		0.129	0.010-1.703			
Residual tumor	0.749					
RO			Reference			
Non-R0		0.930	0.090-9.614			
Not reported		0.321	0.015-6.981			
Vascular invasion	0.030ª	0.521	0.015 0.501	0.001ª		
Negative	0.039		Reference	0.001	E	Peference
Positive		10 023	1 /08 71 276		ר ר גטצ	1 10/ 5 210
Not reported		2 000	0 260 /2 160		2.590 A 720	2 225 10 010
		5.990	0.307-43.109		4.132	2.233-10.019

Table VI. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for recurrence-free survival.

 ^{a}P <0.05. BMI, Body mass index; AFP, α fetoprotein; HR, Hazard ratio; CI, Confidence interval.

The results of the present study demonstrated that the risk-scoring system and vascular invasion were important independent predictors of prognosis in the sample of patients with HCC. The AUCs for OS and RFS risk scoring systems were high, suggesting good predictive power. Thus, an lncRNA-based risk scoring system may be used to estimate the risk scores of different alcohol-related patients with HCC, predict survival and determine treatment.

Previous studies have identified lncRNAs as prognostic biomarkers for HCC using the TCGA database (31,32). To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to analyze alcohol-related HCC. The present study identified eight IncRNAs as potential prognostic biomarkers for alcohol-related HCC. Among them, LINC01605 has been demonstrated to be upregulated in bladder cancer tissues and may be associated with poor prognosis (33), whereas ERVH48-1 has been identified as a prognostic biomarker for tongue squamous cell carcinoma (34). The remaining potential biomarkers from the present study (AC012640.1, AC013451.2, AC062004.1, LINC02334, LINC02043, and AL139385) do not appear to have been analyzed in detail. The eight lncRNAs in this model appear to be involved in chemical carcinogenesis, metabolism and the cell cycle. Investigating these lncRNA-mediated pathways may provide new insights into the development of alcohol-related HCC.

There are some limitations in this study. First, HCC treatment types were not included in the multivariate Cox regression due to lack of data. Second, Cox analyses may be less accurate because some clinical data were missing for some patients. Third, the sample was relatively small, and as a result the present study could not divide the samples into training and test dataset for determining and validating the model. Thus the findings of the present study should be verified and extended in larger studies.

Despite these limitations, the results of the present study suggested that an lncRNA-based risk scoring system may predict the risk of poor prognosis in patients with alcohol-related HCC. Eight lncRNAs are independent clinicopathological variables for alcohol-related HCC.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This study was supported by the Guangxi Key Research and Development Program (grant. no. GuiKeAB16380215), Guangxi First-class Discipline Project for Basic Medicine Sciences (grant. no. GXFCDP-BMS-2018) and Guangxi Medical University Training Program for Distinguished Young Scholars.

Availability of data and material

The datasets used during the present study are included in this published article.

Authors' contributions

YUL. and JY designed and performed the research, analyzed and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. JW

collected and analyzed the data. YOL and JZ conceived the study, designed the methodology and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Villanueva A: Hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med 380: 1450-1462, 2019.
- Matsushita H and Takaki A: Alcohol and hepatocellular carcinoma. BMJ Open Gastroenterol 6: e000260, 2019.
- Petrick JL, Kelly SP, Altekruse SF, McGlynn KA and Rosenberg PS: Future of hepatocellular carcinoma incidence in the United States forecast through 2030. J Clin Oncol 34: 1787-1794, 2016.
- El-Serag HB and Rudolph KL: Hepatocellular carcinoma: Epidemiology and molecular carcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 132: 2557-2576, 2007.
- 5. Zucman-Rossi J, Villanueva A, Nault JC and Llovet JM: Genetic landscape and biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 149: 1226-1239 e1224, 2015.
- Levrero M and Zucman-Rossi J: Mechanisms of HBV-induced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 64 (1 Suppl): S84-S101, 2016.
- Louafi S, Boige V, Ducreux M, Bonyhay L, Mansourbakht T, de Baere T, Asnacios A, Hannoun L, Poynard T and Taïeb J: Gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin (GEMOX) in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): Results of a phase II study. Cancer 109: 1384-1390, 2007.
- Hung T and Chang HY: Long noncoding RNA in genome regulation: Prospects and mechanisms. RNA Biol 7: 582-585, 2010.
- He Y, Meng XM, Huang C, Wu BM, Zhang L, Lv XW and Li J: Long noncoding RNAs: Novel insights into hepatocelluar carcinoma. Cancer Lett 344: 20-27, 2014.
- Cheetham SW, Gruhl F, Mattick JS and Dinger ME: Long noncoding RNAs and the genetics of cancer. Br J Cancer 108: 2419-2425, 2013.
- Gibb EA, Brown CJ and Lam WL: The functional role of long non-coding RNA in human carcinomas. Mol Cancer 10: 38, 2011.
- Hauptman N and Glavac D: Long non-coding RNA in cancer. Int J Mol Sci 14: 4655-4669, 2013.
- Yang Z, Zhou L, Wu LM, Lai MC, Xie HY, Zhang F and Zheng SS: Overexpression of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR predicts tumor recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma patients following liver transplantation. Ann Surg Oncol 18: 1243-1250, 2011.
- Geng YJ, Xie SL, Li Q, Ma J and Wang GY: Large intervening non-coding RNA HOTAIR is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma progression. J Int Med Res 39: 2119-2128, 2011.
- Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ, Tsai MC, Hung T, Argani P, Rinn JL, *et al*: Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature 464: 1071-1076, 2010.
- 16. Yang L, Peng X, Li Y, Zhang X, Ma Y, Wu C, Fan Q, Wei S, Li H and Liu J: Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR promotes exosome secretion by regulating RAB35 and SNAP23 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol Cancer 18: 78, 2019.
- Kim KS and Lee YI: Biallelic expression of the HI9 and ZGF2 genes in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 119: 143-148, 1997.
- Zhu XT, Yuan JH, Zhu TT, Li YY and Cheng XY: Long noncoding RNA glypican 3 (GPC3) antisense transcript 1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression via epigenetically activating GPC3. FEBS J 283: 3739-3754, 2016.

- 19. Ganne-Carrie N and Nahon P: Hepatocellular carcinoma in the setting of alcohol-related liver disease. J Hepatol 70: 284-293, 2019
- 20. Pimpin L, Cortez-Pinto H, Negro F, Corbould E, Lazarus JV, Webber L and Sheron N; EASL HEPAHEALTH Steering Committee: Burden of liver disease in Europe: Epidemiology and analysis of risk factors to identify prevention policies. J Hepatol 69: 718-735, 2018.
- 21. Bucci L, Garuti F, Camelli V, Lenzi B, Farinati F, Giannini EG, Ciccarese F, Piscaglia F, Rapaccini GL, Di Marco M, et al: Comparison between alcohol- and hepatitis C virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma: Clinical presentation, treatment and outcome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 43: 385-399, 2016.
- 22. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ and Smyth GK: edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: 139-140, 2009.
- 23. Heagerty PJ, Lumley T and Pepe MS: Time-dependent ROC curves for censored survival data and a diagnostic marker. Biometrics 56: 337-344, 2000.
- 24. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y and He QY: clusterProfiler: An R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS 16: 284-287, 2012.
- 25. Budhu A, Forgues M, Ye QH, Jia HL, He P, Zanetti KA, Kammula US, Chen Y, Qin LX, Tang ZY and Wang XW: Prediction of venous metastases, recurrence, and prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma based on a unique immune response signature of the liver microenvironment. Cancer Cell 10: 99-111, 2006.
- 26. Lin Y, Liang R, Qiu Y, Lv Y, Zhang J, Qin G, Yuan C, Liu Z, Li Y, Zou D and Mao Y: Expression and gene regulation network of RBM8A in hepatocellular carcinoma based on data mining. Aging (Albany NY) 11: 423-447, 2019.
- 27. Wu Y, Zheng S, Yao J, Li M, Yang G, Zhang N, Zhang S and Zhong B: Decreased expression of protocadherin 20 is associated with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncotarget 8: 3018-3028, 2017.

- 28. Yang X, Xie X, Xiao YF, Xie R, Hu CJ, Tang B, Li BS and Yang SM: The emergence of long non-coding RNAs in the tumorigenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 360: 119-124, 2015.
- 29. Xiong H, Li B, He J, Zeng Y, Zhang Y and He F: lncRNA HULC promotes the growth of hepatocellular carcinoma cells via stabilizing COX-2 protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 490: 693-699. 2017.
- 30. Yuan JH, Yang F, Wang F, Ma JZ, Guo YJ, Tao QF, Liu F, Pan W, Wang TT, Zhou CC, et al: A long noncoding RNA activated by TGF- β promotes the invasion-metastasis cascade in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell 25: 666-681, 2014.
- 31. Zhang Z, Li J, He T, Ouyang Y, Huang Y, Liu Q, Wang P and Ding J: The competitive endogenous RNA regulatory network reveals potential prognostic biomarkers for overall survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Sci 110: 2905-2923, 2019.
- 32. Lin P, Wen DY, Li Q, He Y, Yang H and Chen G: Genome-wide analysis of prognostic lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs forming a competing endogenous RNA network in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Physiol Biochem 48: 1953-1967, 2018.
- Qin Z, Wang Y, Tang J, Zhang L, Li R, Xue J, Han P, Wang W, Qin C, Xing Q, et al: High LINC01605 expression predicts poor prognosis and promotes tumor progression via up-regulation of MMP9 in bladder cancer. Biosci Rep 38: BSR20180562, 2018.
- 34. Zhang S, Cao R, Li Q, Yao M, Chen Y and Zhou H: Comprehensive analysis of lncRNA-associated competing endogenous RNA network in tongue squamous cell carcinoma. PeerJ 7: e6397, 2019.



COSE This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.