

Received 14 August 2017
Accepted 22 August 2017

Edited by P. C. Healy, Griffith University,
Australia

Keywords: crystal structure; pyromellitic diimide; two-dimensional network; short S···S contact.

CCDC reference: 1570214

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

Crystal structure of 2,6-dibenzylpyrrolo[3,4-f]-isoindole-1,3,5,7(2H,6H)-tetrathione



Hansu Im,^a Hyunjin Park,^a Tae Ho Kim^a and Chang Hwa Woo^{b*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry (BK21 plus) and Research Institute of Natural Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Republic of Korea, and ^bDirector of Planning Center, Gyeongsang National University Academy and Industry Collaboration, 501 Jinjudaero, Jinjusi 52828, Republic of Korea. *Correspondence e-mail: woo@gnu.ac.kr

The title compound, $C_{24}H_{16}N_2S_4$, consists of a central pyromellitic diimide substituted with an S atom and terminal benzyl groups. The molecule lies on a crystallographic inversion centre so that the asymmetric unit contains half of the molecule. The molecule was prepared by thionation of *N,N'*-dibenzyl-pyromellitic diimide with Lawesson's reagent and has an S-shaped conformation similar to other compounds of this type. The phenyl groups are tilted by 72.69 (8) $^\circ$ with respect to the plane of the central arene ring. In the crystal, molecules are connected by C—H··· π interactions and weak short S···S contacts, forming supramolecular layers extending parallel to the *ab* plane. The crystal studied was found to be non-merohedrally twinned, with the minor component being 0.113 (3).

1. Chemical context

Recently, pyromellitic diimide derivatives have been spotlighted due to their use in energy-storage materials (Nalluri *et al.* 2016). They also show potential applications in photovoltaic devices (Kanosue *et al.*, 2016) and organic semiconductors (Zheng *et al.*, 2008). Not only pyromellitic diimide derivatives, but also pyromellitic diimides substituted with sulfur have potential applications in organic semiconductors (Yang *et al.*, 2015). We have reported copper(I) coordination polymers based on pyromellitic diimide derivatives (Park *et al.*, 2011), which showed colour change owing to intermolecular halogen– π interactions. In addition, we have found that reversible solvent exchange and crystal transformations were possible in the crystals (Kang *et al.*, 2015). In an extension of previous research, we have synthesized the pyromellitic diimide in which the O atoms are replaced with S atoms, by the reaction of *N,N'*-dibenzylpyromellitic diimide with Lawesson's reagent, and report its crystal structure here.



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the title compound consists of a central pyromellitic diimide substituted with S atoms and two terminal benzyl groups (Fig. 1). The molecule possesses a crystallographic inversion centre and thus the asymmetric unit

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$Cg1$ is the centroid of the C7–C12 ring.

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
C6–H6B \cdots S2	0.99	2.75	3.208 (3)	109
C6–H6B \cdots Cg1 ⁱ	0.99	2.66	3.498 (3)	142

Symmetry code: (i) $x, y + 1, z$.



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radius and yellow dashed lines represent intramolecular C–H \cdots S short contacts. Unlabelled atoms are generated by the symmetry operation ($-x + 2, -y + 1, -z$).

of the title compound is composed of half a molecule. The molecule exhibits an intramolecular C6–H6B \cdots S2 short contact (Table 1). In the molecule, the terminal phenyl groups point in opposite directions and their planes are tilted by $72.69 (8)^\circ$ with respect to the plane of the central arene ring, forming an elongated S-shaped molecule.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, C6–H6B \cdots Cg1ⁱ ($Cg1$ is the centroid of the C7–C12 ring) interactions between neighbouring molecules generate a one-dimensional loop chain (yellow dashed lines in Fig. 2, and Table 1). Moreover, adjacent molecules are connected by a weak short S1 \cdots S2 contact [3.5921 (10) \AA], resulting in the formation of a two-dimensional network (yellow and black dashed lines in Fig. 3).




Figure 2

Intermolecular C–H \cdots π interactions (yellow dashed lines) forming one-dimensional loop chains.

Table 2
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{S}_4$
M_r	460.63
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	173
a, b, c (\AA)	6.8571 (4), 4.7724 (3), 32.0010 (17)
β ($^\circ$)	95.916 (4)
V (\AA^3)	1041.65 (11)
Z	2
Radiation type	Mo $K\alpha$
μ (mm^{-1})	0.47
Crystal size (mm)	0.43 \times 0.34 \times 0.01
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2014)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.646, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections	1829, 1829, 1640
R_{int}	0.059
(sin θ/λ) _{max} (\AA^{-1})	0.595
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.038, 0.089, 1.05
No. of reflections	1829
No. of parameters	137
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ ($e \text{\AA}^{-3}$)	0.26, -0.23

Computer programs: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2014), *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014), *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015), *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2010), *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

4. Synthesis and crystallization

N,N'-Dibenzylpyromellitic diimide was synthesized by the reaction of pyromellitic dianhydride with 2-phenylethylamine according to the literature procedure of Im *et al.* (2017). To a stirred solution of *N,N'*-dibenzylpyromellitic diimide (0.25 g, 0.63 mmol) in anhydrous toluene (100 ml) was added Lawesson's reagent (2.00 g, 4.90 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred under reflux for 36 h. It was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated *in vacuo*, followed by purification by silica-gel flash column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2 –*n*-hexane, 1:3 *v/v*). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution of the title compound.




Figure 3

The packing diagram for the title compound, showing the two-dimensional network formed by C–H \cdots π interactions (yellow dashed lines) and weak short S \cdots S contacts (black dashed lines). H atoms not involved in intermolecular interactions have been omitted for clarity.

5. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.95 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for aromatic C—H groups, and C—H = 0.99 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for Csp^3 —H groups. Non-merohedral twinning was identified in the crystal (TwinRotMat within PLATON; Spek, 2009); the twin law is $-0.999\ 0\ 0.002,\ 0\ -1\ 0,\ 1\ 0\ 0.999$ and the final refined BASF parameter was determined to be 0.113 (3).

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Basic Science Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); grant No. 2015R1D1A4A01020317); Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (MOTIE, Korea), Industrial Human Resources and Skill Development Program (award No. N0001415, Display Expert Training Project for Advanced Display equipments and components engineer).

References

- Brandenburg, K. (2010). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2014). *APEX2, SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Im, H., Choi, M. Y., Moon, C. J. & Kim, T. H. (2017). *Acta Cryst. E* **73**, 838–841.
- Kang, G., Jeon, Y., Lee, K. Y., Kim, J. & Kim, T. H. (2015). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **15**, 5183–5187.
- Kanosue, K., Augulis, R., Peckus, D., Karpicz, R., Tamulevičius, T., Tamulevičius, S., Gulbinas, V. & Ando, S. (2016). *Macromolecules*, **49**, 1848–1857.
- Nalluri, S. K. M., Liu, Z. C., Wu, Y. L., Hermann, K. R., Samanta, A., Kim, D. J., Krzyaniak, M. D., Wasielewski, M. R. & Stoddart, J. F. (2016). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 5968–5977.
- Park, G., Yang, H., Kim, T. H. & Kim, J. (2011). *Inorg. Chem.* **50**, 961–968.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). *Acta Cryst. C* **71**, 3–8.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.
- Yang, T.-F., Huang, S.-H., Chiu, Y.-P., Chen, B.-H., Shih, Y.-W., Chang, Y.-C., Yao, J.-Y., Lee, Y.-J. & Kuo, M.-Y. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* **51**, 13772–13775.
- Zheng, Q., Huang, J., Sarjeant, A. & Katz, H. E. (2008). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 14410–14411.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2017). E73, 1379-1381 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017012154]

Crystal structure of 2,6-dibenzylpyrrolo[3,4-f]isoindole-1,3,5,7(2H,6H)-tetra-thione

Hansu Im, Hyunjin Park, Tae Ho Kim and Chang Hwa Woo

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2014); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2010); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

2,6-Dibenzylpyrrolo[3,4-f]isoindole-1,3,5,7(2H,6H)-tetra-thione

Crystal data

$C_{24}H_{16}N_2S_4$
 $M_r = 460.63$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 6.8571 (4)$ Å
 $b = 4.7724 (3)$ Å
 $c = 32.0010 (17)$ Å
 $\beta = 95.916 (4)^\circ$
 $V = 1041.65 (11)$ Å³
 $Z = 2$

$F(000) = 476$
 $D_x = 1.469 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 3915 reflections
 $\theta = 2.6\text{--}25.5^\circ$
 $\mu = 0.47 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 173 \text{ K}$
Plate, brown
 $0.43 \times 0.34 \times 0.01$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
 φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2014)
 $T_{\min} = 0.646$, $T_{\max} = 0.746$
1829 measured reflections

1829 independent reflections
1640 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.059$
 $\theta_{\max} = 25.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 1.3^\circ$
 $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
 $k = -5 \rightarrow 5$
 $l = -6 \rightarrow 38$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.089$
 $S = 1.05$
1829 reflections
137 parameters
0 restraints

Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.037P)^2 + 0.6197P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refined as a 2-component twin

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
S1	0.50612 (10)	0.30438 (16)	0.05735 (2)	0.0259 (2)
S2	1.10897 (11)	0.99091 (17)	0.10558 (2)	0.0299 (2)
N1	0.7913 (3)	0.6607 (5)	0.08677 (6)	0.0192 (5)
C1	0.7132 (4)	0.4707 (6)	0.05665 (7)	0.0189 (6)
C2	0.9678 (4)	0.7718 (6)	0.07722 (7)	0.0199 (6)
C3	1.0046 (4)	0.6467 (6)	0.03656 (7)	0.0182 (6)
C4	0.8521 (4)	0.4592 (6)	0.02468 (7)	0.0184 (6)
C5	1.1572 (4)	0.6945 (6)	0.01231 (7)	0.0199 (6)
H5	1.2604	0.8225	0.0204	0.024*
C6	0.6888 (4)	0.7471 (6)	0.12291 (8)	0.0232 (6)
H6A	0.5503	0.7888	0.1130	0.028*
H6B	0.7493	0.9218	0.1348	0.028*
C7	0.6944 (4)	0.5277 (6)	0.15724 (8)	0.0235 (6)
C8	0.5208 (5)	0.4058 (6)	0.16745 (9)	0.0300 (7)
H8	0.3993	0.4576	0.1525	0.036*
C9	0.5252 (5)	0.2086 (7)	0.19950 (10)	0.0411 (9)
H9	0.4069	0.1252	0.2064	0.049*
C10	0.7023 (5)	0.1345 (7)	0.22125 (9)	0.0423 (9)
H10	0.7052	0.0004	0.2433	0.051*
C11	0.8741 (5)	0.2531 (7)	0.21127 (9)	0.0383 (8)
H11	0.9952	0.2000	0.2263	0.046*
C12	0.8711 (4)	0.4503 (6)	0.17935 (8)	0.0289 (7)
H12	0.9901	0.5326	0.1726	0.035*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.0227 (3)	0.0291 (4)	0.0261 (3)	-0.0078 (3)	0.0038 (3)	0.0008 (3)
S2	0.0311 (4)	0.0301 (5)	0.0291 (4)	-0.0100 (3)	0.0063 (3)	-0.0092 (3)
N1	0.0213 (11)	0.0168 (13)	0.0198 (10)	-0.0010 (10)	0.0041 (9)	0.0010 (9)
C1	0.0212 (13)	0.0160 (15)	0.0193 (12)	0.0008 (11)	0.0013 (10)	0.0051 (11)
C2	0.0235 (14)	0.0158 (16)	0.0210 (12)	0.0014 (12)	0.0046 (10)	0.0024 (11)
C3	0.0208 (13)	0.0153 (15)	0.0184 (12)	0.0035 (12)	0.0022 (10)	0.0026 (11)
C4	0.0196 (13)	0.0156 (16)	0.0202 (12)	-0.0001 (11)	0.0024 (10)	0.0046 (11)
C5	0.0208 (13)	0.0177 (16)	0.0209 (13)	-0.0005 (12)	0.0012 (10)	0.0021 (11)
C6	0.0279 (14)	0.0180 (17)	0.0249 (13)	0.0020 (12)	0.0089 (11)	-0.0020 (12)
C7	0.0359 (16)	0.0163 (16)	0.0197 (12)	-0.0004 (13)	0.0094 (11)	-0.0036 (11)
C8	0.0359 (16)	0.0259 (19)	0.0304 (15)	-0.0014 (14)	0.0145 (13)	-0.0022 (13)

C9	0.057 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.0427 (17)	-0.0066 (17)	0.0301 (16)	-0.0013 (15)
C10	0.070 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.0276 (16)	-0.0005 (18)	0.0169 (16)	0.0040 (14)
C11	0.053 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.0273 (15)	0.0034 (17)	0.0005 (14)	0.0030 (14)
C12	0.0365 (16)	0.0238 (18)	0.0272 (14)	-0.0037 (14)	0.0068 (12)	-0.0010 (13)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^{\circ}$)

S1—C1	1.629 (3)	C6—H6A	0.9900
S2—C2	1.635 (3)	C6—H6B	0.9900
N1—C2	1.384 (3)	C7—C12	1.388 (4)
N1—C1	1.390 (3)	C7—C8	1.394 (4)
N1—C6	1.473 (3)	C8—C9	1.390 (4)
C1—C4	1.469 (3)	C8—H8	0.9500
C2—C3	1.477 (3)	C9—C10	1.382 (5)
C3—C5	1.384 (4)	C9—H9	0.9500
C3—C4	1.399 (4)	C10—C11	1.374 (5)
C4—C5 ⁱ	1.388 (3)	C10—H10	0.9500
C5—C4 ⁱ	1.388 (3)	C11—C12	1.388 (4)
C5—H5	0.9500	C11—H11	0.9500
C6—C7	1.515 (4)	C12—H12	0.9500
C2—N1—C1	112.3 (2)	N1—C6—H6B	108.9
C2—N1—C6	124.4 (2)	C7—C6—H6B	108.9
C1—N1—C6	123.1 (2)	H6A—C6—H6B	107.7
N1—C1—C4	106.0 (2)	C12—C7—C8	119.4 (3)
N1—C1—S1	125.63 (19)	C12—C7—C6	120.6 (2)
C4—C1—S1	128.3 (2)	C8—C7—C6	120.0 (2)
N1—C2—C3	105.8 (2)	C9—C8—C7	120.1 (3)
N1—C2—S2	127.14 (19)	C9—C8—H8	120.0
C3—C2—S2	127.06 (19)	C7—C8—H8	120.0
C5—C3—C4	122.7 (2)	C10—C9—C8	119.7 (3)
C5—C3—C2	129.4 (2)	C10—C9—H9	120.1
C4—C3—C2	107.9 (2)	C8—C9—H9	120.1
C5 ⁱ —C4—C3	122.5 (2)	C11—C10—C9	120.5 (3)
C5 ⁱ —C4—C1	129.6 (2)	C11—C10—H10	119.8
C3—C4—C1	107.9 (2)	C9—C10—H10	119.8
C3—C5—C4 ⁱ	114.8 (2)	C10—C11—C12	120.2 (3)
C3—C5—H5	122.6	C10—C11—H11	119.9
C4 ⁱ —C5—H5	122.6	C12—C11—H11	119.9
N1—C6—C7	113.4 (2)	C11—C12—C7	120.1 (3)
N1—C6—H6A	108.9	C11—C12—H12	119.9
C7—C6—H6A	108.9	C7—C12—H12	119.9
C2—N1—C1—C4	-0.2 (3)	S1—C1—C4—C5 ⁱ	-0.3 (4)
C6—N1—C1—C4	175.6 (2)	N1—C1—C4—C3	-1.2 (3)
C2—N1—C1—S1	-178.89 (19)	S1—C1—C4—C3	177.4 (2)
C6—N1—C1—S1	-3.1 (3)	C4—C3—C5—C4 ⁱ	-0.3 (4)
C1—N1—C2—C3	1.5 (3)	C2—C3—C5—C4 ⁱ	-180.0 (2)

C6—N1—C2—C3	−174.3 (2)	C2—N1—C6—C7	−108.7 (3)
C1—N1—C2—S2	−177.3 (2)	C1—N1—C6—C7	76.0 (3)
C6—N1—C2—S2	7.0 (4)	N1—C6—C7—C12	64.7 (3)
N1—C2—C3—C5	177.5 (3)	N1—C6—C7—C8	−116.7 (3)
S2—C2—C3—C5	−3.8 (4)	C12—C7—C8—C9	0.0 (4)
N1—C2—C3—C4	−2.2 (3)	C6—C7—C8—C9	−178.7 (3)
S2—C2—C3—C4	176.5 (2)	C7—C8—C9—C10	0.1 (5)
C5—C3—C4—C5 ⁱ	0.3 (4)	C8—C9—C10—C11	−0.3 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C5 ⁱ	−180.0 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C12	0.4 (5)
C5—C3—C4—C1	−177.6 (2)	C10—C11—C12—C7	−0.3 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C1	2.1 (3)	C8—C7—C12—C11	0.1 (4)
N1—C1—C4—C5 ⁱ	−179.0 (3)	C6—C7—C12—C11	178.8 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2, -y+1, -z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , °)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
C6—H6B···S2	0.99	2.75	3.208 (3)	109
C6—H6B···Cg1 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.66	3.498 (3)	142

Symmetry code: (ii) $x, y+1, z$.