

Division of Pulmonary Inflammation
Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin
Berlin, Germany

and
German Center for Lung Research
Berlin, Germany

Wolfgang M. Kuebler, M.D.
German Center for Lung Research
Berlin, Germany

Institute of Physiology
Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin
Berlin, Germany

German Center for Cardiovascular Research
Berlin, Germany

Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science
St. Michael's Hospital
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

and
Department of Physiology
and
Department of Surgery
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-9787-5633 (M.W.); 0000-0003-4100-2961 (W.M.K.).

References

1. Slutsky AS, Ranieri VM. Ventilator-induced lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369:2126–2136.
2. Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A; Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342: 1301–1308.
3. Koh MW, Baldi RF, Soni S, Handslip R, Tan YY, O'Dea KP, et al. Secreted extracellular cyclophilin A is a novel mediator of ventilator-induced lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2021;204:421–430.
4. Handschumacher RE, Harding MW, Rice J, Drugge RJ, Speicher DW. Cyclophilin: a specific cytosolic binding protein for cyclosporin A. *Science* 1984;226:544–547.
5. Hoffmann H, Schiene-Fischer C. Functional aspects of extracellular cyclophilins. *Biol Chem* 2014;395:721–735.
6. Ji KY, Kim SM, Yee SM, Kim MJ, Ban YJ, Kim EM, et al. Cyclophilin A is an endogenous ligand for the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-2 (TREM2). *FASEB J* 2021;35:e21479.
7. Calfee CS, Delucchi K, Parsons PE, Thompson BT, Ware LB, Matthay MA; NHLBI ARDS Network. Subphenotypes in acute respiratory distress syndrome: latent class analysis of data from two randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014;2:611–620.
8. Famous KR, Delucchi K, Ware LB, Kangelaris KN, Liu KD, Thompson BT, et al.; ARDS Network. Acute respiratory distress syndrome subphenotypes respond differently to randomized fluid management strategy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017;195: 331–338.

Copyright © 2021 by the American Thoracic Society



Ⓜ Raising the Flag for Mast Cells as a Novel Target in Lymphangiomyomatosis

Lymphangiomyomatosis (LAM) is a rare, multiorgan disease, affecting primarily women during the childbearing years (1). Patients with LAM have proliferative, smooth-muscle–like cells within the lung and lymphatics, leading to both airway and lymphatic obstruction (2). An inherited form of LAM occurs in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), with prevalence estimated at 26–49% of women with TSC and increased incidence with aging (3). Sporadic LAM occurs more rarely with an incidence estimated at 3.5 per 1 million females in the United States (4). From a pulmonary standpoint, women with this disease can present incidentally or, more often, with symptoms including dyspnea, cough, chylous effusions, or pneumothoraces (1).

Based on the high incidence of LAM in patients with TSC, studies historically focused on the role of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway. Loss of TSC gene function

constitutively activates the mTOR signaling pathway, leading to cellular proliferation and survival in numerous disease states including LAM (5). mTOR activation is blocked by sirolimus, leading to a series of *in vitro* and preclinical *in vivo* studies in LAM that ultimately laid the framework for the landmark MILES (Multicenter International LAM Efficacy and Safety of Sirolimus) trial (6). This double-blind, placebo-controlled study of 89 women with LAM found that mTOR inhibition with sirolimus stabilized the decline in FEV₁ over a 1-year study (6). Although cessation of sirolimus in the 12-month follow up was associated with a resumed decline in lung function, the MILES trial was nevertheless transformative for the care of women with this rare disease, with efficacy and minimal side effects described out to 4 years of observational therapy (7, 8). However, it must be noted that inhibition of mTOR produces growth arrest, not apoptosis, in LAM cell cultures (9), rendering this treatment as transient and not fully curative (10–12). There is recurrence and/or growth of tumors noted upon cessation of therapy (6), and studies have proposed mechanisms by which TSC2-null cells develop resistance to mTOR inhibition over time (13). Therefore, despite the putative role of TSC2 in LAM, groups have wisely begun to investigate TSC2-independent pathways in disease pathogenesis (14–16) to increase the potential pipeline of therapies for this rare disease.

Ⓜ This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgerm@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202104-0872ED on May 5, 2021

In this issue of the *Journal*, Babaei-Jadidi and colleagues (pp. 431–444) have focused on the tumor microenvironment and identified a critical role for the mast cell in LAM nodule proliferation, adding an important and already targetable mTOR-independent pathway to the LAM armamentarium (17). The authors beautifully lay out a translational investigation by first identifying increased tryptase positive mast cell presence in LAM nodules, correlating with disease progression. They later evaluate how LAM cell–fibroblast coculture chemokines alter mast cell recruitment in a three-dimensional spheroid model system and show that tryptase release from the mast cell further augments fibroblast proliferation and the resultant size of the LAM spheroid. Tryptase inhibition reduces the LAM spheroid growth in an mTOR-independent manner, but combination with sirolimus had synergistic effects. The mast cell stabilizer cromoglycate reduced tryptase activity in an *in vivo* murine LAM model and alone significantly decreased the lung tumor burden similar to sirolimus therapy.

Although the data above is quite promising, particularly the LAM spheroid work, one area of concern is the lack of protease effect as a result of mast cell coculture. In fact, in LAM cocultures, mast cells have an inhibitory effect on protease activity as measured by gel zymography and cathepsin K activity. Parenchymal lung damage in LAM is widely accepted to be protease dependent (18–20), and the lack of effect of mast cell stabilizers on protease activity may foreshadow limitations of targeting the mast cell in LAM disease progression. In addition, although LAM spheroid work suggests a synergistic effect of mast cell stabilization and mTOR inhibition in LAM, the authors did not perform *in vivo* dual therapy studies, which would have strengthened the foundation for future clinical trials in patients with LAM.

This work by the Johnson laboratory is an incredibly important contribution to the LAM research community. Not discussed within the manuscript but worth mentioning is the fact that many women with LAM are initially diagnosed with asthma based on pulmonary function testing that includes the hallmark bronchodilator reversibility that may in part be mast cell related. Even after LAM diagnosis, almost half of patients use bronchodilators regularly (21). Some of the positive effects of β -adrenergic agonists in LAM (16) may be additionally attributable to direct mast cell stabilizing effects (22). In chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, mast cells lining the blood vessels, not the airway smooth muscles, were associated with airway hyperresponsiveness (23).

In summary, Babaei-Jadidi and colleagues have identified a novel mTOR-independent pathway to be targeted in LAM. Many tumors of patients with LAM do not exhibit *TSC* mutations (24–26) and express the tuberin protein (27, 28), underscoring the need for the LAM research community to identify mTOR-independent pathologic mechanisms that can account for the timing of onset and growth of tumors in patients with *TSC*, LAM, and sporadic LAM. Broadening the net to include other pathways can only aid the patient population; some patients have progression of disease despite mTOR inhibitor therapy, other patients have therapy-limiting side effects, and, most importantly, in a population of women in their childbearing years, patients may need to stop sirolimus in the setting of pregnancy. Understanding these constraints on sirolimus therapy for women with LAM, identification of efficacious and already available pharmacotherapies will aid in therapy, whether it be in early disease, in mTOR-intolerant patients, or in conjunction with sirolimus in patients with severe or rapidly progressive disease. Future studies would be expected to address potential synergy of cromoglycate and sirolimus in animal models and, ultimately, in the patient population. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

Monica Goldklang, M.D.
Columbia University Irving Medical Center
New York, New York

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7019-3130 (M.G.).

References

1. Taveira-DaSilva AM, Steagall WK, Moss J. Lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Cancer Contr* 2006;13:276–285.
2. Ryu JH, Moss J, Beck GJ, Lee JC, Brown KK, Chapman JT, et al.; NHLBI LAM Registry Group. The NHLBI lymphangioleiomyomatosis registry: characteristics of 230 patients at enrollment. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173:105–111.
3. Cudziło CJ, Szczesniak RD, Brody AS, Rattan MS, Krueger DA, Bissler JJ, et al. Lymphangioleiomyomatosis screening in women with tuberous sclerosis. *Chest* 2013;144:578–585.
4. Harknett EC, Chang WY, Byrnes S, Johnson J, Lazor R, Cohen MM, et al. Use of variability in national and regional data to estimate the prevalence of lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *QJM* 2011;104:971–979.
5. Kristof AS. mTOR signaling in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Lymphat Res Biol* 2010;8:33–42.
6. McCormack FX, Inoue Y, Moss J, Singer LG, Strange C, Nakata K, et al.; National Institutes of Health Rare Lung Diseases Consortium; MILES Trial Group. Efficacy and safety of sirolimus in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1595–1606.
7. Hu S, Wu X, Xu W, Tian X, Yang Y, Wang ST, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of sirolimus therapy in patients with lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2019;14:206.
8. Takada T, Mikami A, Kitamura N, Seyama K, Inoue Y, Nagai K, et al. Efficacy and safety of long-term sirolimus therapy for Asian patients with lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2016;13:1912–1922.
9. Goncharova EA, Goncharov DA, Spaitis M, Noonan DJ, Talovskaya E, Eszterhas A, et al. Abnormal growth of smooth muscle-like cells in lymphangioleiomyomatosis: role for tumor suppressor *TSC2*. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2006;34:561–572.
10. Davies DM, de Vries PJ, Johnson SR, McCartney DL, Cox JA, Serra AL, et al. Sirolimus therapy for angiomyolipoma in tuberous sclerosis and sporadic lymphangioleiomyomatosis: a phase 2 trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011;17:4071–4081.
11. Barrera P, Simons SO, Luijk B, Wessels MJ, Heijdra YF. Efficacy of sirolimus therapy for chyloous effusions in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2013;10:408–409.
12. Yao J, Taveira-DaSilva AM, Jones AM, Julien-Williams P, Stylianou M, Moss J. Sustained effects of sirolimus on lung function and cystic lung lesions in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;190:1273–1282.
13. Valianou M, Filippidou N, Johnson DL, Vogel P, Zhang EY, Liu X, et al. Rapalog resistance is associated with mesenchymal-type changes in *Tsc2*-null cells. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:3015.
14. D'Armiento J, Shiomi T, Marks S, Geraghty P, Sankarasharma D, Chada K. Mesenchymal tumorigenesis driven by *TSC2* haploinsufficiency requires *HMG2* and is independent of mTOR pathway activation. *Cancer Res* 2016;76:844–854.
15. Chebib N, Archer F, Bobet-Erny A, Leroux C, Cottin V. Dysregulation of the endothelin pathway in lymphangioleiomyomatosis with no direct effect on cell proliferation and migration. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:14698.
16. Le K, Steagall WK, Stylianou M, Pacheco-Rodriguez G, Darling TN, Vaughan M, et al. Effect of beta-agonists on LAM progression and treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2018;115:E944–E953.
17. Babaei-Jadidi R, Dongre A, Miller S, Castellanos Uribe M, Stewart ID, Thompson ZM, et al. Mast-cell tryptase release contributes to disease progression in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2021;204:431–444.

18. Hayashi T, Fleming MV, Stetler-Stevenson WG, Liotta LA, Moss J, Ferrans VJ, *et al.* Immunohistochemical study of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and their tissue inhibitors (TIMPs) in pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM). *Hum Pathol* 1997;28:1071–1078.
19. Nascimento ECTD, Baldi BG, Mariani AW, Annoni R, Kairalla RA, Pimenta SP, *et al.* Immunohistological features related to functional impairment in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Respir Res* 2018;19:83.
20. Atochina-Vasserman EN, Guo CJ, Abramova E, Golden TN, Sims M, James ML, *et al.* Surfactant dysfunction and lung inflammation in the female mouse model of lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2015;53:96–104.
21. Taveira-DaSilva AM, Steagall WK, Rabel A, Hathaway O, Harari S, Cassandro R, *et al.* Reversible airflow obstruction in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Chest* 2009;136:1596–1603.
22. Barnes PJ. Effect of beta-agonists on inflammatory cells. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999;104:S10–S17.
23. Soltani A, Ewe YP, Lim ZS, Sohal SS, Reid D, Weston S, *et al.* Mast cells in COPD airways: relationship to bronchodilator responsiveness and angiogenesis. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:1361–1367.
24. Niida Y, Stemmer-Rachamimov AO, Logrip M, Tapon D, Perez R, Kwiatkowski DJ, *et al.* Survey of somatic mutations in tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) hamartomas suggests different genetic mechanisms for pathogenesis of TSC lesions. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001; 69:493–503.
25. Niida Y, Lawrence-Smith N, Banwell A, Hammer E, Lewis J, Beauchamp RL, *et al.* Analysis of both TSC1 and TSC2 for germline mutations in 126 unrelated patients with tuberous sclerosis. *Hum Mutat* 1999;14: 412–422.
26. Beauchamp RL, Banwell A, McNamara P, Jacobsen M, Higgins E, Northrup H, *et al.* Exon scanning of the entire TSC2 gene for germline mutations in 40 unrelated patients with tuberous sclerosis. *Hum Mutat* 1998;12:408–416.
27. Badri KR, Gao L, Hyjek E, Schuger N, Schuger L, Qin W, *et al.* Exonic mutations of TSC2/TSC1 are common but not seen in all sporadic pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 187:663–665.
28. Johnson SR, Clelland CA, Ronan J, Tattersfield AE, Knox AJ. The TSC-2 product tuberlin is expressed in lymphangioleiomyomatosis and angiomyolipoma. *Histopathology* 2002;40:458–463.

Copyright © 2021 by the American Thoracic Society



Electronic Health Records and Machine Learning for Early Detection of Lung Cancer and Other Conditions Thinking about the Path Ahead

In this issue of the *Journal*, Gould and colleagues (pp. 445–453) describe an innovative use of machine learning with stored patient electronic health record (EHR) data to develop a risk model assessing the short-term risk of non-small-cell lung cancer (1). The study authors identified two primary applications of the approach in clinical practice, namely providing patients and providers with a tool to assist in personalized decision-making and identifying persons for outreach and for potential eligibility for lung cancer screening with low-dose computed tomography (LDCT).

The model developed by the study authors, denoted by “MES,” used demographic information, smoking history, clinical data, and laboratory data that were available in EHRs in their health maintenance organization’s (HMO’s) data warehouse. The MES model showed better prediction of lung cancer diagnosis within 3–12 months than the current standard LDCT eligibility criteria (which are based only on age, pack-years, and years since quitting) as well as better prediction than a well-known risk model based on detailed smoking history and demographics (the PLCOm2012 model) (1, 2).

Uptake of LDCT screening following the initial B recommendation of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) in 2013 has been slow and limited, with currently (before coronavirus disease [COVID-19]) only an estimated 5–10% of eligible individuals

undergoing LDCT screening (3). Therefore, there is a critical need to use strategies to substantially increase this rate. Although with shared decision-making not all eligible individuals will choose to be screened, a rate of 50% or higher is desirable and potentially attainable.

Around half of patients in this HMO had missing data on pack-years, meaning that final determination of USPSTF eligibility could not be made based on EHRs alone (1). Use of the MES model could help identify, among those with missing data, those patients more likely to meet the USPSTF criteria. In addition, by helping estimate risk, it could assist with shared decision-making and potentially encourage individuals to choose to be screened.

The USPSTF recently updated their lung cancer screening recommendation, increasing the eligible pool by lowering the age and minimum pack-year requirements (4, 5) Their recommendation states that there was insufficient evidence to “assess whether or not risk prediction model-based screening would improve outcomes” (5). An argument against using current risk models (e.g., PLCOm2012) is that they incorporate age as a risk factor and thus skew eligible individuals toward older individuals. Although older people are at a higher risk of lung cancer, they also represent fewer potential life-years saved by screening, so using risk-based criteria may increase the number of lives saved but not necessarily the years of life saved (5). In the MES model, age was one of the top 10 most informative features, suggesting that this same issue applies (1).

For HMOs, which do not rely on fee-for-service reimbursement from the U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services or private insurers, there is some leeway in deciding whom to screen for lung cancer. Accordingly, they could use either standard risk models such as PLCOm2012 or models developed from EHRs—such as MES—to broaden their eligibility criteria for screening. However, most healthcare

Ⓐ This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgerm@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202104-1009ED on June 7, 2021