Correlation of Anti-Mullerian Hormone Level and Antral Follicle Count with Oocyte Number in A Fixed-Dose Controlled Ovarian Hyperstimulation of Patients of *In Vitro* Fertilization Program

Wiryawan Permadi, M.D., Ph.D.*, Mohammad Wahyu Ferdian, M.D., Dian Tjahyadi, M.D., Wulan Ardhana Iswari, M.D., Tono Djuwantono, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran - Dr Hasan Sadikin Hospital (RSHS),
Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract -

Background: This study was conducted to determine the correlation of anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) level and antral follicle count (AFC) with oocyte count in women who had received controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in an *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) program.

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively gathered the data of 42 patients who underwent IVF during 2005-2017 at Aster Clinic in Dr. Hasan Sadikin Hospital and Bandung Fertility Center Limijati Hospital, Indonesia. Details of the subjects such as identity, characteristics, history of illness, history of previous therapy, levels of ovarian reserve markers examined (AFC and AMH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) dose given, and number of oocytes produced were recorded.

Results: A significant positive correlation between AMH ($P \le 0.001$, r = 0.530), AFC ($P \le 0.001$, r = 0.687), and AMH-AFC combination ($P \le 0.001$, r = 0.652), and the number of oocytes was found at the FSH dose of 225 IU.

Conclusion: AFC and AMH are able to reliably predict ovarian response to FSH.

Keywords: Anti-Mullerian Hormone, In Vitro Fertilization, Ovarian Response

Citation: Permadi W, Wahyu Ferdian M, Tjahyadi D, Ardhana Iswari W, Djuwantono T. Correlation of anti-mullerian hormone level and antral follicle count with oocyte number in a fixed-dose controlled ovarian hyperstimulation of patients of in vitro fertilization program. Int J Fertil Steril. 2021; 15(1): 40-43. doi: 10.22074/ IJFS.2021.6238.

This open-access article has been published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 3.0 (CC BY-NC 3.0).

Introduction

Primary infertility affects 8-12% ofreproductive-age couples globally, and the proportion is estimated to vary from 4.5 to 30% across countries, with the highest percentages found in developing countries (1). In Indonesia, it was estimated that 12.3% of reproductive-age couples suffered from infertility, whereas another survey estimated a prevalence rate of 10-15% (2). As such, the demand for assisted reproductive technology, such as *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) has risen in recent years.

Adequate follicle growth, achievable through follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) administration in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation protocols, is crucial for the success of an IVF cycle (3, 4). However, the varieties inindividual characteristics and response to FSH stimulation among infertile patients havemade it difficult to generate a dose cut-off applicable for both low-responders and high-

responders while avoiding the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. FSH in an IVF cycle is therefore generally administered based on a fixed dose, and the standard dose used in our clinic was 225 IU. The two best known ovarian reserve markers to predict ovarian response to FSH are mean antral follicle count (AFC) and anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), although there is a lack of data to conclude which of the two markers served better to predict ovarian reserve (5, 6). AFC is the number of follicles measuring 2-10 mm in size from both ovaries. AMH is detected in the primordial follicle and achieves peak level in the small antral follicle. The AMH level indicates the number of growing follicles, and this level can be used to determine the prognosis of fertility. The number of oocytes obtained will be probably low if the predicted AMH level is low, whereas extreme ovarian response complications can be expected when the predicted AMH level is excessive. Currently available ovarian reserve markers,

Received: 17 February 2020, Accepted: 21 June 2020 *Corresponding Address: Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran–Dr. Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Jl. Pasteur No. 38, Bandung 40161, West Java, Indonesia Email: wiryawan permadi@yahoo.com



including the AMH and AFC, invariably still show varied results, especially in clinical practice where AMH and AFC level could be at odds with each other (5-7).

It has been previously suggested that ethnicity may influence ovarian reserve markers (8-10). Furthermore, ethnicity may influence the manner with which ovarian reserve markers interact with factors such as age and weight. Age is negatively correlated with AMH and AFC in Caucasian, African-American, Hispanic, and Asian women, however BMI was only negatively correlated with serum AMH level in Caucasian women (8). A cross-sectional study comparing Indian and Spanish women showed that AFC is declined in younger Indian women compared to Spanish women (11). To date, there are few studies that have examined ovarian reserve markers in Indonesian women, much less inthose who received controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in an IVF program. Therefore, it is necessary to study the association of AMH and AFC to obtain an optimal ovarian response in this population. This study was conducted to determine the correlation of AMH and AFC with the number of oocytes in women who hadreceived controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in an IVF program with an FSH dose of 225 IU, which is the most frequently used dose in Indonesian health facilities.

Materials and Methods

In this retrospectively study, the data were obtained from the medical records of patients who underwent the IVF program with the FSH dose of 225 IU at Aster Clinic, Hasan Sadikin Hospital, and Bandung Fertility Center Limijati Hospital, Indonesia. The sample size in this study was calculated by a sampling formula for unpaired analytic categorical study, set at α =0.5 and 1- β =90%. Proportion of the population (P₁ and P₂) were assumed to be 50 and 10%, respectively. The formula yielded a minimum of 26 samples. The inclusion criteria were patients who underwent the IVF program, aged ≤40 years, were given a constant exogenous FSH dose throughout the cycle, and whose medical record included complete patient characteristics, physical examination, AMH and AFC levels throughout the cycle. AMH and AFC measurements were done on the second or third day of the menstrual cycle and this was done consistently. The exclusion criteria were the presence of a history of ovarian surgery, polycystic ovary syndrome, endometriosis, ovarian cyst, orendocrine disease. We also recorded the patients' identity, characteristics, previous medical history, previous medical therapy, levels of ovarian reserve markers (AFC and AMH), and the number of oocytes produced (the oocyte numbers in this study represent numbers for all oocytes aspirated). In this study, we selected patients as a whole, which means that all patients underwent the same treatment regimen using a short protocol with recombinant FSH and human chorionic gonadotropin, and all sperm used had normal parameters.

Ethics approval

This study protocol was approved by Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Ethics Committee Review

Board (LB.04.01/ACS/TC/066/III/2018) and all study participants gave informed consent, patients consent to participate was written. All authors hereby declare that all patients have been examined in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

Numerical data arepresented as mean, SD, median and range. Data normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The subjects' characteristics were compared using an unpaired t test or a Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate. Correlation between the variables was assessed using Pearson or Spearman correlation test. A P≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS v24.0 (IBM Corporation, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis.

Results

Of 356 patients enrolled during 2005-2017, 42 patients met the study criteria. Mean age of the patients investigated in this study was 34.8 ± 2.8 years (range: 29-39 years, median: 35 years) and the body mass index (BMI) was 24.4 ± 4.1 kg/m² (range: 14.3-33.3 kg/m², median: 23.6 kg/m²). Baseline demographics of the study subjects are shown in Table 1. Normality test results showed that AFC and AMH-AFC levels were normally distributed, which were then analysed using Pearson correlation test, whereas AMH levels and oocyte amount were not normally distributed and analysed by Spearman correlation test.

Table 1: Baseline demographics and study subject characteristics

Variable	Statistical measure		
	$Mean \pm SD$	Median	Range
Age (Y)	34.8 ± 2.8	35	29-39
BMI (kg/m²)	24.4 ± 4.1	23.6	14.3-33.3
AMH (ng/ml)	3.34 ± 2.28	2.66	0.50-9.90
AFC	9.81 ± 3.99	10	0.50-9.90
Oocyte retrieved	7.4 ± 3.4	7	2-22
AMH-AFC	13.1 ± 5.6	12.3	2.5-27.9

BMI; Body mass index, AMH; Anti-mullerian hormone, and AFC; Antral follicle count.

The largest number of oocytes (4-15) was produced at a range of AMH of 1.2-4 ng/ml and AFC 4-15 (Table 2). There were 37 patients who produced 4-15 oocytes and they were classified as normo-responders. One patient was a hyper-responder due to the production of >15 oocytes; this excessive response was predicted as she had an AFC of >15. Furthermore, four patients produced <4 oocytes and they were classified as hypo-responders.

AMH levels were analysed using Spearman correlation test, AFC and AMH-AFC were analysed by Pearson correlation test. A significant positive correlation was found between AMH (r=0.530, P \leq 0.001), AFC (r=0.687, P \leq 0.001), and AMH-AFC combination (r=0.652, P \leq 0.001) and the number of oocytes. To reduce bias from

possible confounding by age, Spearman's correlation was used to determine the correlation between age and number of oocytes. There was an insignificant negative correlation between age and number of oocytes produced (P=0.129 and r=-0.179). Pearson's correlation test was used to determine the correlation between BMI and AMH, and between BMI and the number of oocytes retrieved; an insignificant positive correlation was found between the two variables (P=0.216, r=0.123, and P=0.452, and r=0.19, respectively).

Table 2: Serum AMH and AFC according to ovarian stimulation response groups

Variable	Oocyte number			
	0-3	4-15	>15	
	n=4	n=37	n=1	
AMH	'			
<1.2 ng/mL	1	4	0	
1.2-4 ng/mL	3	20	1	
>4 ng/mL	0	13	0	
AFC				
<4	1	1	0	
4-15	3	33	0	
>15	0	3	1	

AMH; Anti-mullerian hormone and AFC; Antral follicle count.

Discussion

Our study showed that AMH, AFC, and AMH-AFC are significantly correlated with the number of oocytes produced. This is in agreement previous studies that investigated the relationship between AMH levels and the number of oocytes. Asada et a.1 (12) observed a positive correlation between AMH level and oocyte count among Japanese women. AMH can effectively predict ovarian responses and allow clinicians to avoid iatrogenic complications and choose optimal stimulation strategies (13). However, AMH levels showed variations when examined by different examination kits and among different populations. Although we found a relatively strong and significant correlation between AMH levels and the number of oocytes with the FSH dose of 225 IU in this study, these potentially confounding factors should be considered.

Fertility begins to decrease at the age of 30 years and further decreases significantly after the age of 35 years (14, 15), which made our subjects' age (34.8 \pm 2.8) a significant potential confounder inour study. In the correlational analysis, however, we did not observe a significant interaction between age and the number of oocytes produced.

How BMI influences ovarian reserve markers and the number of oocytes retrieved, is still unclear. In ameta-analysis, Moslehi et al. (16) concluded that AMH is significantly lower in obese women. On the other hand, a study of 402 women in Turkey, categorized based on ovarian reserve patterns (poor, <7 baseline AFC; adequate, ≥7 baseline AFC, and high ovarian reserve) and BMI group, revealed that serum AMH and FSH levels were similar across all categories (17). Another study of women receiving controlled ovarian stimulation for assisted reproductive technology reported that BMI did not negatively affect the number of oocytes retrieved (18). In our study, we did not find a significant correlation between BMI and AMH or BMI and oocyte count.

We observed relatively a strong positive correlation between AMH-AFC combination and the number of oocytes. In a sequential order, it can be observed that AFC correlates best with the number of oocytes, followed by AMH-AFC combination and AMH. The results of this study are in contrast to the result of a study conducted by Nelson et al. (19), which compared the predictive value of live births that indirectly represents the association between the number of oocytes and AMH, AFC, and AMH-AFC combination only with age, in the UK. The authors reported that AMH showed the best predictive value, followed by the combination of AMH-AFC and AFC only. In the present study, the strongest relationship was observed between the number of oocytes and AFC, and the results were not much different from those of the combination of AMH-AFC. This suggests that, in women without discordant ovarian marker, AFC may be a better choice compared to AMH in predicting ovarian reserve. This agrees with the results of Jayaprakasan et al. (20), who found that AFC predicts ovarian response better than AMH or a combination of AFC and AMH. This is further reinforced by the results of Liao et al. (21) whose study on 8269 women undergoing IVF/ intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment showed a strong association between AFC, number of oocytes retrieved, and clinical pregnancy rate. These data imply that in the absence of AMH examination, AFC may suffice, as it is well-correlated with the number of oocytes in clinical practice. AFC is easier, and relatively inexpensive, and offers almost immediate results.

In the present study, we found a relatively strong positive correlation between AFC and the number of oocytes at the FSH dose of 225 IU. The AFC measurement performed using ultrasound was effective, easy to use, safe, and non-invasive. Therefore, estimating the number of antral follicles can be used as a predictive test of

ovarian function, ovarian reserve, and ovarian response.

Conclusion

Significant positive correlations of AMH levels, AFC, 8. and AMH-AFC with the number of oocytes were found in this study. These correlations werestrong enough at 9. the FSH dose of 225 IU. AFC is a better ovarian reserve marker compared to AMH and the combination of AMH-AFC in predicting the number of oocytes. Existing data 10. on variations in infertility causes and longevity suggest that an analysis free of infertility including confounding variables and duration, would be preferable. Our study limitations could be overcome by multivariable analysis, but a larger sample size is needed.

Acknowledgements

There is no financial support and conflict of interest in 13. this study.

Authors' Contributions

W.P., M.W.F., D.T., T.D.; Examined, treated, observed and followed up the subject of this study. W.A.I.; Was ¹⁵. responsible for data analysis, drafting and critically reviewing the manuscript. All authors read and approved ¹⁶. the final manuscript.

References

- Vander Borght M, Wyns C. Fertility and infertility: definition and epidemiology. Clin Biochem. 2018; 62: 2-10.
- Harzif AK, Santawi VPA, Wijaya S. Discrepancy in perception of infertility and attitude towards treatment options: indonesian urban and rural area. Reprod Health. 2019; 16(1): 126.
- Ou J, Xing W, Li Y, Xu Y, Zhou C. Short versus long gonadotropinreleasing hormone analogue suppression protocols in IVF/ICSI cycles in patients of various age ranges. PLoS One. 2015; 10(7): e0133887.
- Shrestha D, La X, Feng HL. Comparison of different stimulation protocols used in in vitro fertilization: a review. Ann Transl Med. 2015; 3(10): 137.
- Zhang Y, Xu Y, Xue Q, Shang J, Yang X, Shan X, et al. Discordance between antral follicle counts and anti-Müllerian hormone levels in women undergoing in vitro fertilization. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2019; 17(1): 51.
- 6. Klenov V, Jungheim E. AntiMullerian hormone (AMH) is a better

- predictor of response to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) than antral follicle count (AFC) in women with discordant markers of ovarian reserve. Fertil Steril. 2016; 106(3): e123-e124.
- Tal R, Seifer DB. Ovarian reserve testing: a user's guide. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2017; 217(2): 129-140.
- Moy V, Jindal S, Lieman H, Buyuk E. Obesity adversely affects serum anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) levels in Caucasian women. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2015; 32(9): 1305-1311.
- Vuong TNL, MT Vo MT, Ho MT. Predictive value of AMH, FSH and AFC for determining ovarian response in vietnamese women undergoing assisted reproductive technologies: a prospective study. JFIV Reprod Med Genet. 2015; 3: 151.
- Jayakumaran J, Silva C, Gangrade BK, Patel S. Ethnic differences in ovarian reserve and assisted reproductive technology outcomes. Fertil Steril. 2016; 106 Suppl 3: e368-e369.
- Iglesias C, Banker M, Mahajan N, Herrero L, Meseguer M, Garcia-Velasco JA. Ethnicity as a determinant of ovarian reserve: differences in ovarian aging between Spanish and Indian women. Fertil Steril. 2014; 102(1): 244-249.
- Asada Y, Morimoto Y, Nakaoka Y, Yamasaki T, Suehiro Y, Sugimoto H, et al. Age-specific serum anti-Müllerian hormone concentration in Japanese women and its usefulness as a predictor of the ovarian response. Reprod Med Biol. 2017; 16(4): 364-373.
- Broer SL, Dolleman M, Opmeer BC, Fauser BC, Mol BW, Broekmans FJ. AMH and AFC as predictors of excessive response in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation: a meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2011; 17(1): 46-54.
- de Kat A, Broekmans FJM. Female age and reproductive chances. In: Stoop D, editor. Preventing age related fertility loss. Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2018; 1-10.
 Fleming R, Seifer DB, Frattarelli JL, Ruman J. Assessing ovarian
- Fleming R, Seifer DB, Frattarelli JL, Ruman J. Assessing ovarian response: antral follicle count versus anti-Müllerian hormone. Reprod Biomed Online. 2015; 31(4): 486-496.
- Moslehi N, Shab-Bidar S, Ramezani Tehrani F, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Is ovarian reserve associated with body mass index and obesity in reproductive aged women? A meta-analysis. Menopause. 2018; 25(9): 1046-1055.
- Gorkem U, Kucukler FK, Togrul C, Gulen Ş. Obesity does not compromise ovarian reserve markers in infertile women. Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd. 2019; 79(1): 79-85.
- Beall SA, Levy G, Maguire M, Stegmann B, Payson M, Segars J. Body mass index (BMI) does not impact number of oocytes retrieved or oocyte maturation in women undergoing art. Fertil Steril. 2011; 96 Suppl 3: S81-S82.
- Nelson SM, Fleming R, Gaudoin M, Choi B, Santo-Domingo K, Yao M. Antimüllerian hormone levels and antral follicle count as prognostic indicators in a personalized prediction model of live birth. Fertil Steril. 2015; 104(2): 325-332.
- Jayaprakasan K, Campbell B, Hopkisson J, Johnson I, Raine-Fenning N. A prospective, comparative analysis of anti-Müllerian hormone, inhibin-B, and three-dimensional ultrasound determinants of ovarian reserve in the prediction of poor response to controlled ovarian stimulation. Fertil Steril. 2010; 93(3): 855-864.
- Liao S, Xiong J, Tu H, Hu C, Pan W, Geng Y, et al. Prediction of in vitro fertilization outcome at different antral follicle count thresholds combined with female age, female cause of infertility, and ovarian response in a prospective cohort of 8269 women. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98(41): e17470.