ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Relationship between inflammatory biomarkers and depressive symptoms during late pregnancy and the early postpartum period: a longitudinal study

William Simpson,^{1,2} Meir Steiner,^{1,2,3,4} Marg Coote,² Benicio N. Frey^{1,2,3}

¹McMaster Integrated Neuroscience Discovery and Study (MiNDS), McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ²Women's Health Concerns Clinic, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ³Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neuroscience, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ⁴Institute for Medical Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Objective: Perinatal depressive symptoms often co-occur with other inflammatory morbidities of pregnancy. The goals of our study were 1) to examine whether changes in inflammatory markers from the third trimester of pregnancy to 12 weeks postpartum were associated with changes in depressive symptoms; 2) to examine whether third trimester inflammatory markers alone were predictive of postpartum depressive symptoms; and 3) to examine the relationship between inflammatory markers and depressive symptoms during the third trimester of pregnancy and at 12 weeks postpartum.

Methods: Thirty-three healthy pregnant women were recruited from the Women's Health Concerns Clinic at St. Joseph's Healthcare in Hamilton, Canada. The impact of depressive symptoms on the levels of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-10, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and C-reactive protein (CRP) at the third trimester of pregnancy, at 12 weeks postpartum, and across time was assessed using linear and mixed-model regression.

Results: Regression analysis revealed no significant association between depressive symptoms and any of the candidate biomarkers during pregnancy, at 12 weeks postpartum, or over time. Pregnancy depressive symptoms (p > 0.001), IL-6 (p = 0.025), and IL-10 (p = 0.006) were significant predictors of postpartum Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale (EPDS) score.

Conclusions: Our study supports previous reports from the literature showing no relationship between inflammatory biomarkers and depressive symptoms during late pregnancy, early postpartum, or across time. Our study is the first to observe an association between late pregnancy levels of IL-6 and IL-10 and postpartum depressive symptoms. Further studies with larger samples are required to confirm these findings.

Keywords: Perinatal; depression; cytokines; inflammation

Introduction

Between 7.4 and 12.8% of women suffer from depression during pregnancy, while 5.5 to 19.2% develop depression during the postpartum period.^{1,2} Recent research examining the biological basis of major depressive disorder (MDD) has focused on a potential inflammatory origin.³ Though it is generally accepted that this etiological pathway is applicable to only a sub-population of depressed patients,⁴ it may be particularly relevant for perinatal depression. The perinatal period involves a unique hormonal and immunological milieu, and perinatal depressive symptoms often co-occur with inflammatory morbidities of pregnancy, including preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and preterm birth.⁵ To date, many studies have examined this phenomenon by assessing differences in the levels cytokines and other inflammatory biomarkers during the perinatal period.

Correspondence: Benicio N. Frey, MD, MSc, PhD, Women's Health Concerns Clinic, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, 100 West 5th Street, Suite C124, L8N 3K7, Hamilton. E-mail: freybn@mcmaster.ca

Cross-sectional studies conducted during pregnancy have differed considerably regarding the trimester of pregnancy, measure of depression severity, and inflammatory markers investigated. Some studies show positive associations between prenatal depressive symptoms and interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), or C-reactive protein (CRP),6-8 while others show a negative association⁹ (IL-6 and TNF- α) or no association at all.10,11 Studies of inflammatory markers during the postpartum period have also produced mixed results. Two studies have shown a positive relationship between depressive symptoms and IL-6,^{12,13} and one has shown a negative association between depressive symptoms, interferon-gamma (IFN- γ), and IFN- γ /interleukin-10 (IL-10) ratio.¹³ One study has shown a positive relationship between depressive symptoms and transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β),¹⁴ while two others have failed to observe any association between postpartum depressive symptoms and markers of inflammation.15,16

Prospective studies examining depressive symptoms and inflammatory markers from pregnancy to postpartum are also inconclusive. Maes et al. have published three studies examining postpartum blues (symptoms of depression which occur between 24-48 hours after birth

Submitted Dec 24 2015, accepted Feb 18 2016.

and 2 weeks postpartum). These studies suggest some association between symptoms of depression and anxiety, diagnostic history of MDD, and levels of IL-6 and IL-1ra. However, these studies compared "depression reactors" to "nonreactors" – which the authors defined by ranking participants based on q25, median, and q75 values of residualized scores of two symptom severity scales.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ This unconventional analysis limits the generalizability of these results. A small study including 27 women with a history of mood or anxiety issues (and therefore at high risk for developing postpartum depression) by Scrandis et al. found a significant association between prenatal CRP levels and prenatal depressive symptoms. No relationship was observed between CRP and depressive symptoms at 5-6 weeks postpartum.²⁰

Together, these studies suggest a relationship between perinatal depressive symptoms and inflammatory status. The purpose of our longitudinal study was to explore this relationship during a period of high risk for developing perinatal depressive symptoms. The specific aims of our study were to: 1) examine whether changes in inflammatory markers from pregnancy to postpartum were associated with changes in depressive symptoms; 2) examine whether third trimester inflammatory markers were predictive of postpartum depressive symptoms; and 3) examine the relationship between inflammatory markers and depressive symptoms during the third trimester of pregnancy and at 3 months postpartum.

Method

Participants and design

Participants were selected from a larger sample enrolled in a longitudinal study examining sleep quality, circadian rhythms, and depressive symptoms across the perinatal period. Women were recruited for the larger study from the Women's Health Concerns Clinic (WHCC) at St. Joseph's Healthcare in Hamilton. Ontario. Canada. between February 2013 and October 2014. The WHCC is an outpatient clinical specializing in mood disruptions during the perinatal period that receives referrals mostly from family doctors in the community, but also from obstetric and midwifery clinics. Women referred to the WHCC are often experiencing a mood issue or have a past history of perinatal mood disturbances (and are therefore at risk for subsequent mood disruptions). Women were also recruited through community advertising in midwifery and ultrasound clinics. Women were included if they were aged 18-45 years, in their third trimester of pregnancy (\geq 26 weeks' gestation), free of major medical comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, hypertension, or other inflammatory conditions), and if they were nonsmokers. This study was reviewed and approved by the Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board and all participants provided written informed consent.

The longitudinal study involved two study visits to the WHCC, one during the third trimester of pregnancy (≥ 26 weeks' gestation) and one 12 weeks postpartum, as the prevalence of perinatal depressive symptoms is greatest between these two time points.¹ During the first visit,

demographic information was collected and current and past psychiatric diagnoses were assessed. Women then completed a series of questionnaires examining current symptoms of depression, anxiety, and history of childhood trauma. A blood sample was also drawn. At 12 weeks postpartum, the women returned for a second study visit. On that occasion, they repeated the depression and anxiety symptom questionnaires from visit 1 and had another blood sample collected.

Data from participants who were free of psychotropic medication and provided blood samples at both time points were used for this study, resulting in a sample size of 33. Blood samples from these individuals were analyzed to determine levels of IL-6, IL-10, TNF- α , and CRP. With the exception of CRP, serum levels of these makers are known to follow a circadian rhythm,²¹ and while we attempted to collect blood samples at similar times at each visit, differences in availability made intra-individual variation in sampling time unavoidable. We therefore added time of blood sampling as a covariate to control for this variation in our analyses.

Clinical assessments

Psychiatric status was assessed using the MINI Neuropsychiatric Interview²² version 6.0. Current symptoms of depression were assessed using the Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale (EDPS). The EPDS has good specificity and is sensitive to changes in depressive symptoms over time.²³ To assess anxiety symptoms, participants completed the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (forms X and Y).²⁴ To account for the potential interaction between inflammatory factors and prior trauma,¹⁰ participants also completed the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ).²⁵

Biological samples and immunoassays

Blood was sampled from each participant between 8:15 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. For each participant, blood was drawn into a sterile 10 mL serum separator tube and left to clot at room temperature for 30 minutes. Samples were centrifuged at 20 °C for 15 minutes at 3,000 rpm. Serum was removed, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C. Commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, and CRP were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). The published limit of detection and intra/interassay coefficients of variation for these assays are as follows: IL-6, 0.7 pg/mL, < 7%; IL-10, 3.9 pg/mL, < 7.5%; TNF- α , 0.19 pg/mL, < 10.4%; CRP, 0.022 ng/mL, < 8.3%. On the day of the assay, single aliquots of serum were thawed. All samples were assayed in duplicate and all analyses were performed according to the manufactures' protocols by an experienced technician (MC) at St. Joseph's Healthcare Research Laboratory in Hamilton, ON, Canada.

Statistical analysis

Data points were classified as outliers if they were > 3 standard deviations from the mean. In total, two observations (6.1%) were excluded as outliers, resulting in a final

sample of 31. For each cytokine (IL-6, IL-10, TNF- α), sampling time and pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) were used as covariates. Because CRP levels are more stable and do not exhibit 24-hour variation, only prepregnancy BMI was used as a covariate. While we collected information regarding childhood trauma (CTQ) and anxiety symptoms (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [STAI]), we observed very low variability in these scores across the sample. Therefore, to conserve power and in accordance with our modest sample size, we chose not to include these variables in the analyses.

To examine changes in inflammatory markers and depressive symptoms over time, we applied a mixedmodel regression analysis, using each biomarker as the dependent variable. The use of each biomarker as the dependent variable (rather than EPDS score) has been used previously to assess the relationship between prenatal depressive symptoms and IL-6.⁶ The mixedmodel method of regression does not require adjustments for normality and homogeneity of variance. Main effects for each regression model were evaluated using Wald's chi-square test. To assess the explanatory power of each model, the difference in deviance between the test model and the null model (containing only the dependent variable and within subjects factor) was divided by the deviance of the null model (delta deviance). This results in a proportion that can be considered a pseudo adjusted R² value for mixed-model regression analyses.

To determine whether levels of each biomarker during pregnancy were predictive of postpartum depressive symptoms, we computed linear regressions using postpartum EPDS scores as the dependent variable. Postpartum EPDS scores were skewed and required a log transformation to meet regression assumptions. Pregnancy EPDS score was strongly correlated with postpartum EPDS (rho = 0.72), and was included as a covariate in each model. Goodness of fit comparisons between linear models were assessed using an *F*-test.

To assess the impact of depressive symptoms on levels of each biomarker at each time point, we computed linear regressions using the candidate biomarker as the dependent variable. For each model, regression assumptions were evaluated using a global validation procedure.²⁶ To meet regression assumptions, pregnancy values of IL-10, TNF- α , and CRP required a log transformation. Pregnancy values of IL-6 satisfied all regression assumptions. For postpartum regression models, values of IL-6, TNF- α , and CRP required log transformation. Postpartum IL-10 values satisfied all regression assumptions.

All analyses were completed using the statistical package R (version 3.1.3, http://R-project.org).

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Mean age was 30.7 ± 4.0 years, and mean duration of gestation was 30.1 ± 4.1 weeks. All participants were married (100%); most were working full time (80.6%), were multiparous (61.3%), and had college or university education (87.1%). Third trimester mean scores on the EPDS were 5.2 ± 4.1 . About one-third (35.5%) had

Table 1	Demographic	characteristics	of the stud	y sample
(n=31)				

Age $30.7 (4.0)$ - Gestational/postpartum week $30.1 (4.1)$ $13.5 (r)$ Pre-pregnancy BMI $24.6 (5.3)$ - Sampling time $10.6 (2.0)$ $12.3 (r)$ EPDS $5.2 (4.1)$ $4.5 (5.3)$ STAI $31.8 (8.3)$ $32.8 (1)$ CTQ $30.0 (5.3)$ - IL-6 (pg/mL) $1.5 (1.2)$ $1.8 (1)$ IL-10 (pg/mL) $11.7 (2.9)$ $11.8 (2)$ TNF- α (pg/mL) $1.2 (0.9)$ $1.6 (3)$ CRP (ng/mL) $1.2 (3.7)$ Multiparous Primiparous $12 (38.7)$ Multiparous Marital status $Married/common law$ $31 (100)$ Work status $Full-time$ $25 (80.7)$ Maternity leave $3 (9.7)$ $Part-time$ Part-time $2 (6.4)$ $Student$ Less than high school $2 (6.4)$ High school $2 (6.4)$ College degree $11 (35.5)$	rtum
Gestational/postpartum week 30.1 (4.1) 13.5 (13.5 (13.5) Pre-pregnancy BMI 24.6 (5.3) $-$ Sampling time 10.6 (2.0) 12.3 (12.3 (12.3) EPDS 5.2 (4.1) 4.5 (5.5) STAI 31.8 (8.3) 32.8 (1.5) CTQ 30.0 (5.3) $-$ IL-6 (pg/mL) 1.5 (1.2) 1.8 (1.1) IL-10 (pg/mL) 11.7 (2.9) 11.8 (1.3) TNF- α (pg/mL) 1.2 (0.9) 1.6 (3.2) CRP (ng/mL) $12.38.7$) 32.2 (3.7) Multiparous 19 (61.3) Marrial status $Married/common law$ 31 (100) Work status $Full-time$ 25 (80.7) Maternity leave 3 (9.7) $Part-time$ 2 (6.4) Student 1 (3.2) Education $Less$ than high school 2 (6.4)	
Sampling time 10.6 (2.0) 12.3 (EPDS 5.2 (4.1) 4.5 (5 STAI 31.8 (8.3) 32.8 (1 CTQ 30.0 (5.3) - IL-6 (pg/mL) 1.5 (1.2) 1.8 (1 IL-10 (pg/mL) 11.7 (2.9) 11.8 (3 TNF-c (pg/mL) 1.2 (0.9) 1.6 (3 CRP (ng/mL) 1.2 (3.7) 106 (1.3) Marital status 19 (61.3) Married/common law 31 (100) Work status 5 (80.7) Full-time 25 (80.7) Maternity leave 3 (9.7) Part-time 2 (6.4) Student 1 (3.2) Education 2 (6.4) Less than high school 2 (6.4)	.9)
EPDS 5.2 (4.1) 4.5 (5 STAI 31.8 (8.3) 32.8 (1 CTQ 30.0 (5.3) - IL-6 (pg/mL) 1.5 (1.2) 1.8 (1 IL-10 (pg/mL) 11.7 (2.9) 11.8 (2.9) TNF- α (pg/mL) 1.2 (0.9) 1.6 (3 CRP (ng/mL) 4.8 (3.4) 3.2 (3 Primiparous 12 (38.7) Multiparous Marital status 19 (61.3) Married/common law 31 (100) Work status 5 (80.7) Full-time 25 (80.7) Maternity leave 3 (9.7) Part-time 2 (6.4) Student 1 (3.2) Education 2 (6.4) High school 2 (6.4)	,
STAI 31.8 (8.3) 32.8 (1 CTQ 30.0 (5.3) - IL-6 (pg/mL) 1.5 (1.2) 1.8 (1 IL-10 (pg/mL) 11.7 (2.9) 11.8 (2 TNF- α (pg/mL) 1.2 (0.9) 1.6 (3 CRP (ng/mL) 4.8 (3.4) 3.2 (3 Primiparous 12 (38.7) Multiparous 19 (61.3) Married/common law 31 (100) Work status 50 (80.7) Full-time 25 (80.7) Maternity leave 3 (9.7) Part-time 2 (6.4) Student 1 (3.2) Education 2 (6.4) High school 2 (6.4)	
CTQ $30.0 (5.3)$ - IL-6 (pg/mL) $1.5 (1.2)$ $1.8 (1)$ IL-10 (pg/mL) $11.7 (2.9)$ $11.8 (2.9)$ TNF- α (pg/mL) $1.2 (0.9)$ $1.6 (3)$ CRP (ng/mL) $4.8 (3.4)$ $3.2 (3)$ Primiparous $12 (38.7)$ Multiparous $19 (61.3)$ Married/common law $31 (100)$ Work status Full-time Full-time $25 (80.7)$ Maternity leave $3 (9.7)$ Part-time $2 (6.4)$ Student $1 (3.2)$ Education $2 (6.4)$ High school $2 (6.4)$.1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
TNF- α (pg/mL) 1.2 (0.9) 1.6 (3 CRP (ng/mL) 4.8 (3.4) 3.2 (3 Primiparous 12 (38.7) Multiparous 19 (61.3) Marital status 19 (61.3) Work status 100) Work status 25 (80.7) Maternity leave 3 (9.7) Part-time 2 (6.4) Student 1 (3.2) Education 2 (6.4) High school 2 (6.4)	
CRP (ng/mL)4.8 (3.4)3.2 (3Primiparous12 (38.7)Multiparous19 (61.3)Marital status19 (61.3)Married/common law31 (100)Work statusFull-timeFull-time25 (80.7)Maternity leave3 (9.7)Part-time2 (6.4)Student1 (3.2)EducationLess than high schoolLess than high school2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Primiparous12 (38.7)Multiparous19 (61.3)Marital status Married/common law31 (100)Work status Full-time25 (80.7) (80.7)Maternity leave3 (9.7) (9.7)Part-time2 (6.4) (1.32)Education Less than high school2 (6.4) (6.4)	
Multiparous19 (61.3)Marital status Married/common law31 (100)Work status Full-time25 (80.7) Maternity leaveAtternity leave3 (9.7) Part-timePart-time2 (6.4) StudentEducation Less than high school2 (6.4) 4 (6.4)	.2)
Marital status Married/common law31 (100)Work status Full-time25 (80.7) Maternity leaveA aternity leave3 (9.7) Part-timePart-time2 (6.4) StudentEducation Less than high school2 (6.4) 4 (6.4)	
Married/common law31 (100)Work status Full-time25 (80.7) (80.7) Part-timePart-time2 (6.4) (1 (3.2)Education Less than high school2 (6.4) (6.4) (6.4)	
Married/common law31 (100)Work status Full-time25 (80.7) (80.7) Part-timePart-time2 (6.4) (1 (3.2)Education Less than high school2 (6.4) (6.4) (6.4)	
Work statusFull-time25 (80.7)Maternity leave3 (9.7)Part-time2 (6.4)Student1 (3.2)Education2 (6.4)Less than high school2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Full-time25 (80.7)Maternity leave3 (9.7)Part-time2 (6.4)Student1 (3.2)Education2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Maternity leave3 (9.7)Part-time2 (6.4)Student1 (3.2)Education2 (6.4)Less than high school2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Part-time2 (6.4)Student1 (3.2)Education2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Student1 (3.2)EducationLess than high school2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Education Less than high school 2 (6.4) High school 2 (6.4)	
Less than high school2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
Less than high school2 (6.4)High school2 (6.4)	
High school 2 (6.4)	
University degree 16 (51.7)	
MDE status	
Current 0 (0.0)	
Past 11 (35.5)	
Never 20 (64.5)	

Data presented as mean (standard deviation) or n (%). Sampling time is represented as a decimal of the 24-hour clock (e.g., 00:00 = 0.0; 00:30 = 0.5; 24:00 = 24.0).

BMI = body mass index; CRP = C-reactive protein; CTQ = Childhood Trauma Questionnaire; EPDS = Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale; IL-6 = interleukin-6; IL-10 = interleukin-10; MDE = major depressive episode; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor alpha.

experienced at least 1 major depressive episode in their lifetime, though none met current diagnostic criteria for a major depressive episode. EPDS scores did not differ significantly between pregnancy and postpartum visits. At the postpartum visit, two individuals (6.4%) met screening criteria for postpartum depression (EPDS \ge 13).

Changes in inflammatory status and depressive symptoms from third trimester to 12 weeks postpartum

Results of our mixed-model regression analyses are summarized in Table 2. Levels of IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α did not change significantly from third trimester to 12 week postpartum (all p > 0.05). CRP concentration decreased significantly over time (β = -1.58, 95%CI -2.71 to -0.46, p = 0.005). Higher pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with higher CRP concentration over time (β = 0.30, 95%CI 0.15-0.46, p < 0.001). EPDS score did not emerge as a significant predictor of any biomarker (all p > 0.05). Delta deviance values were low (0.2-6.0%), suggesting a small to negligible contribution of parameters of time, EPDS, sampling time,

Outcome/parameter	β	95%CI*	p-value	Delta deviance (%)
IL-6				
Time [†]	0.43		NS	1.8
EPDS	0.04		NS	
Sampling time	-0.07		NS	
BMI	0.00		NS	
IL-10				
Time [†]	0.04		NS	0.2
EPDS	-0.04		NS	
Sampling time	0.01		NS	
BMI	0.01		NS	
TNF-α				
Time [†]	0.49		NS	0.9
EPDS	-0.06		NS	
Sampling time	-0.05		NS	
BMI	0.06		NS	
CRP				
Time ^{†‡}	-1.58	-2.71 to -0.46	0.005	6.0
EPDS	0.01	-	NS	
Pre-pregnancy BMI [‡]	0.30	0.15 to 0.46	< 0.001	

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; BMI = body mass index; CRP = C-reactive protein; EPDS = Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale; IL-6 = interleukin-6; IL-10 = interleukin-10; NS = non-significant; TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor alpha.

* 95%CI values are reported only for significant effects.

[†]Time refers to the difference between visits (third trimester vs. 12 weeks postpartum).

[‡]Significant main effect for predictor/covariate (p < 0.05).

Model/covariate	β value	95%CI	Predictor p	Model F	Model p	Adjusted R ²
1						
IL-6*	-0.22	-0.42 to -0.03	0.025	17.02	< 0.001	0.52
Pregnancy EPDS*	0.16	0.10 to 0.22	< 0.001			
2						
IL-10*	-1.28	-2.17 to -0.39	0.006	19.78	< 0.001	0.56
Pregnancy EPDS*	0.14	0.08 to 0.19	< 0.001			
3						
TNF-α	-0.13		NS	12.12	< 0.001	0.42
Pregnancy EPDS*	0.14	0.08 to 0.20	< 0.001			
4						
CRP	-0.14		NS	12.81	< 0.001	0.44
Pregnancy EPDS*	0.14	0.08 to 0.20	< 0.001			

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; CRP = C-reactive protein; EPDS = Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale; IL-6 = interleukin-6;

IL-10 = interleukin-10; NS = non-significant; TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor alpha.

* Significant main effect for predictor/covariate (p < 0.05).

and pre-pregnancy BMI to the longitudinal trajectory of biomarker concentrations.

Pregnancy inflammatory markers and postpartum depressive symptoms

We examined whether third trimester inflammatory markers were predictive of depressive scores at 12 weeks postpartum. Results of these regression analyses are summarized in Table 3. Pregnancy EPDS ($\beta = 0.14-0.16, 95\%$ Cl 0.08-0.19, p < 0.001), IL-6 ($\beta = -0.22, 95\%$ Cl -0.42 to -0.03, p = 0.025), and IL-10 ($\beta = -1.28, 95\%$ Cl -2.17 to -0.39, p = 0.006) emerged as significant predictors of postpartum EPDS score. No relationship was observed between postpartum EPDS score and TNF- α or CRP (p > 0.05). Regression coefficients were negative for both IL-6 and IL-10, indicating that a lower level of both of these cytokines during the third trimester of pregnancy was predictive of a higher postpartum EPDS score. To assess the strength of these effects we compared each model to a model containing pregnancy EPDS alone. Addition of either IL-6 or IL-10 resulted in a model with greater predictive power than that of the model containing pregnancy EPDS alone (IL-6: *F* = 5.62, p = 0.025, Adj-R² + 0.08; IL-10: *F* = 8.61, p = 0.006, Adj-R² + 0.12).

Outcome/predictors and covariates	Rho	Predictor p	Model F	Model p	Adjusted R ²
EPDS	0.31	NS	1.95	NS	0.11
Sampling time	-0.45	NS			
Gestational week	-0.34	NS			
Pre-pregnancy BMI	0.02	NS			
IL-10					
EPDS	-0.07	NS	1.07	NS	< 0.10
Sampling time	-0.25	0.05			
Gestational week	-0.07	NS			
Pre-pregnancy BMI	-0.11	NS			
TNF-α					
EPDS	-0.19	NS	0.30	NS	0.00
Sampling time	0.12	NS			
Gestational week	0.07	NS			
Pre-pregnancy BMI	0.09	NS			
CRP					
EPDS	0.06	NS	4.10	0.03	0.17
Pre-pregnancy BMI*	0.48	0.009			

BMI = body mass index; CRP = C-reactive protein; EPDS = Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale; IL-6 = interleukin-6; IL-10 = interleukin-10; NS = non-significant; TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor alpha.

* Significant main effect for predictor/covariate ($p \le 0.05$).

Outcome/predictors and covariates	Rho	Predictor p	Model F	Model p	Adjusted R
IL-6					
EPDS	0.06	NS	0.83	NS	0.00
Sampling time	-0.19	NS			
Postpartum week	0.17	NS			
Pre-pregnancy BMI	-0.09	NS			
IL-10					
EPDS	-0.25	NS	0.60	NS	0.00
Sampling time	-0.07	NS			
Postpartum week	-0.13	NS			
Pre-pregnancy BMI	0.11	NS			
TNF-α					
EPDS	0.07	-	-	-	-
Sampling time	-0.03				
Postpartum week	0.10				
Pre-pregnancy BMI	0.19				
CRP					
EPDS	0.32	NS	4.43	0.02	0.19
Pre-pregnancy BMI*	0.44	0.009			

BMI = body mass index; CRP = C-reactive protein; EPDS = Edinburgh Perinatal Depression Scale; IL-6 = interleukin-6; IL-10 = interleukin-10; NS = non-significant; TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor alpha.

TNF-a model failed to meet regression assumptions despite multiple transformations.

* Significant main effect for predictor/covariate (p < 0.05).

Inflammatory markers during pregnancy and postpartum period

Regression analysis revealed no significant association between EPDS scores and any of the candidate biomarkers during pregnancy (Table 4). Despite sampling time being moderately correlated with IL-6, it was not a significant predictor following regression. Of note, prepregnancy BMI was also not correlated with IL-6 (rho = 0.02, p = 0.99). For IL-10, sampling time emerged as a significant predictor (p = 0.05). TNF- α levels were not correlated with sampling time or pre-pregnancy BMI (all p > 0.05). Pre-pregnancy BMI emerged as a significant predictor of CRP (p = 0.008). Overall only the model for CRP achieved statistical significance, explaining 17% of the variance in CRP concentration.

Regression models examining inflammatory markers during the postpartum period are summarized in Table 5. Sampling time and pre-pregnancy BMI were not correlated with IL-6, IL-10, or TNF- α (all p > 0.05).

Pre-pregnancy BMI remained a positive predictor of CRP (p = 0.009). Similar to pregnancy, no significant associations between EPDS scores and IL-6, IL-10, or CRP were observed. We were unable to examine the influence of EPDS scores on TNF- α as multiple data transformations did not result in an acceptable model which satisfied all regression assumptions. The low level of correlation between TNF- α and EPDS (rho = 0.07, p-value non-significant) suggests that there was likely no significant relationship between them.

Discussion

In our study, we did not observe a significant association between pregnancy to postpartum changes in IL-6, IL-10, TNF- α , or CRP concentrations and changes in depressive symptoms. We did however observe a significant association between pregnancy inflammatory markers (IL-6 and IL-10) and postpartum depressive symptoms. Like previous investigators, we also failed to detect a crosssectional association between any of our candidate biomarkers and depressive symptoms during the third trimester or at 12 weeks postpartum.

During normal pregnancy there is a rise in both IL-6 and IL-10 levels from second to third trimester.^{27,28} IL-6 and IL-10 are both associated with type 2 helper cell (Th2) immune responses,²⁹ and successful healthy pregnancy is generally considered to be a Th2 dominant phenomenon.³⁰ Our finding linking decreases in third trimester IL-6 and IL-10 with postpartum depressive symptoms suggests that late pregnancy reductions in Th2 immunity may affect the severity of postpartum depressive symptoms. Another possibility is that our observed reductions in IL-6 and IL-10 were indicative of a shift toward Th1 immunity. Previous studies have shown that disruptions in Th1:Th2 ratio during pregnancy are associated with negative obstetric outcomes,31 though no study has examined how Th1:Th2 ratio may relate to perinatal depressive symptoms. It is important to note that other factors, particularly the diurnal variation in IL-6 and IL-10, may have influenced our findings. Larger prospective and longitudinal studies with control of sampling time and assessment of both Th1 (e.g., IFN-y) and Th2 cytokines are required to further examine this hypothesis.

The lack of cross-sectional association between inflammatory markers and depressive symptoms in the present sample replicates the findings of previous studies of these biomarkers during pregnancy^{10,11} and the postpartum period^{15,16} which use the EPDS to assess depressive symptoms. Our study is also consistent with the prospective study conducted by Scrandis et al., who found no association between pregnancy CRP levels and postpartum depressive symptoms.²⁰

Some limitations of our study are noteworthy. The mean level of depressive symptoms in our study population at both time points was in the subclinical range. The incidence of probable postpartum depression was also approximately half of what is observed in the general population (n = 2, 6.4 vs. 10-15%).¹ This lower level of depressive symptomatology may be due to our exclusion of women taking psychotropic medication. As such, we

may have self-selected for a healthier or more resilient study population. The high degree of social support (100% of the women were married), high level of education (> 85% post-secondary), and absence of any current major depressive episodes during pregnancy further supports this assumption. While this limits the generalizability of our results, our assessment of a physically and psychiatrically healthy, medication free perinatal population provides a unique opportunity to examine the role of these biomarkers in the development of perinatal depressive symptoms. A further limitation of our study was our use of a single postpartum time point (12 weeks). It is possible that other transient factors, such as delivery method, breastfeeding status or maternal infections at 12 weeks could have influenced our findings. It is also possible that the relationship between depressive symptoms and biomarkers of inflammation during the first few weeks postpartum, as hormonal and immune responses normalize, differs from that of other periods. Our results are also somewhat limited by our small sample size, as we were unable to assess the role of other important covariates, including diagnostic history, childhood trauma, and current anxiety symptoms. However, our sample size is similar to that of the only other perinatal study of similar design,²⁰ and our use of semistructured diagnostic interviews and the perinatal-specific EPDS strengthens our results.

In conclusion, our study is the first to assess the change in perinatal inflammatory status over time and examine its relationship to perinatal depressive symptoms. It is also the first study to observe an association between late pregnancy levels of IL-6 and IL-10 and postpartum depressive symptoms. Our findings support the absence of a relationship between inflammatory biomarkers and depressive symptoms during late pregnancy or early postpartum, as reported by others. The present exploratory and preliminary results indicate that controlled longitudinal studies with larger, more depressed study populations, assessing both Th1 and Th2 cytokines, are required to examine the causality of these associations.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this study was provided in part by a student scholarship from the Father Sean O'Sullivan Research Centre and St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

- Gavin NI, Gaynes BN, Lohr KN, Meltzer-Brody S, Gartlehner G, Swinson T. Perinatal depression: a systematic review of prevalence and incidence. Obstet Gynecol. 2005;106:1071-83.
- 2 Bennett HA, Einarson A, Taddio A, Koren G, Einarson TR. Prevalence of depression during pregnancy: systematic review. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;103:698-709.
- 3 Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Lawson MA, Kelley KW. Inflammationassociated depression: from serotonin to kynurenine. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2011;36:426-36.

196 W Simpson et al.

- 4 Raison CL, Miller AH. Is depression an inflammatory disorder? Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2011;13:467-75.
- 5 Osborne LM, Monk C. Perinatal depression--the fourth inflammatory morbidity of pregnancy?: Theory and literature review. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013;38:1929-52.
- 6 Christian LM, Franco A, Glaser R, Iams JD. Depressive symptoms are associated with elevated serum proinflammatory cytokines among pregnant women. Brain Behav Immun. 2009;23:750-4.
- 7 Cassidy-Bushrow AE, Peters RM, Johnson DA, Templin TN. Association of depressive symptoms with inflammatory biomarkers among pregnant African-American women. J Reprod Immunol. 2012;94: 202-9.
- 8 Azar R, Mercer D. Mild depressive symptoms are associated with elevated C-reactive protein and proinflammatory cytokine levels during early to midgestation: a prospective pilot study. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2012;22:385-9.
- 9 Shelton MM, Schminkey DL, Groer MW. Relationships among prenatal depression, plasma cortisol, and inflammatory cytokines. Biol Res Nurs. 2014;17:295-302.
- 10 Blackmore ER, Moynihan JA, Rubinow DR, Pressman EK, Gilchrist M, O'Connor TG. Psychiatric symptoms and proinflammatory cytokines in pregnancy. Psychosom Med. 2011;73:656-63.
- 11 Blackmore ER, Groth SW, Chen DG, Gilchrist MA, O'Connor TG, Moynihan JA. Depressive symptoms and proinflammatory cytokines across the perinatal period in African American women. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol. 2014;35:8-15.
- 12 Corwin EJ, Johnston N, Pugh L. Symptoms of postpartum depression associated with elevated levels of interleukin-1 beta during the first month postpartum. Biol Res Nurs. 2008;10:128-33.
- 13 Groer MW, Morgan K. Immune, health and endocrine characteristics of depressed postpartum mothers. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2007;32:133-9.
- 14 Kondo N, Suda Y, Nakao A, Oh-Oka K, Suzuki K, Ishimaru K, et al. Maternal psychosocial factors determining the concentrations of transforming growth factor-beta in breast milk. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2011;22:853-61.
- 15 Boufidou F, Lambrinoudaki I, Argeitis J, Zervas IM, Pliatsika P, Leonardou AA, et al. CSF and plasma cytokines at delivery and postpartum mood disturbances. J Affect Disord. 2009;115:287-92.
- 16 Skalkidou A, Sylvén SM, Papadopoulos FC, Olovsson M, Larsson A, Sundström-Poromaa I. Risk of postpartum depression in association with serum leptin and interleukin-6 levels at delivery: a nested casecontrol study within the UPPSAT cohort. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2009;34:1329-37.
- 17 Maes M, Ombelet W, Libbrecht II, Stevens K, Kenis G, De Jongh R, et al. Effects of pregnancy and delivery on serum concentrations of Clara Cell Protein (CC16), an endogenous anticytokine: lower serum

CC16 is related to postpartum depression. Psychiatry Res. 1999; 87:117-27.

- 18 Maes M, Lin AH, Ombelet W, Stevens K, Kenis G, De Jongh R, et al. Immune activation in the early puerperium is related to postpartum anxiety and depressive symptoms. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2000;25:121-37.
- 19 Maes M, Ombelet W, De Jongh R, Kenis G, Bosmans E. The inflammatory response following delivery is amplified in women who previously suffered from major depression, suggesting that major depression is accompanied by a sensitization of the inflammatory response system. J Affect Disord. 2001;63:85-92.
- 20 Scrandis DA, Langenberg P, Tonelli LH, Sheikh TM, Manogura AC, Alberico LA, et al. Prepartum depressive symptoms correlate positively with c-reactive protein levels and negatively with tryptophan levels: a preliminary report. Int J Child Health Hum Dev. 2008;1: 167-74.
- 21 Lange T, Dimitrov S, Born J. Effects of sleep and circadian rhythm on the human immune system. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2010;1193:48-59.
- 22 Sheehan DV, Lecrubier YH, Harnett-Sheehan K, Janavs J, Weiller E, Keskiner A, et al. Validity of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) according to the SCID-P and its reliability. Eur Psychiatry. 1997;12:232-41.
- 23 Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Br J Psychiatry. 1987;150:782-6.
- 24 Spielberger CD. State-trait anxiety inventory. Washington: John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2010.
- 25 Bernstein DP, Fink L, Handelsman L, Foote J, Lovejoy M, Wenzel K, et al. Initial reliability and validity of a new retrospective measure of child-abuse and neglect. Am J Psychiatry. 1994;151:1132-6.
- 26 Pena EA, Slate EH. Global validation of linear model assumptions. J Am Stat Assoc. 2006;101:341.
- 27 Thaxton JE, Sharma S. Interleukin-10: a multi-faceted agent of pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2010;63:482-91.
- 28 Opsjon SL, Wathen NC, Tingulstad S, Wiedswang G, Sundan A, Waage A, et al. Tumor necrosis factor, interleukin-1, and interleukin-6 in normal human pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1993;169: 397-404.
- 29 Mosmann TR, Sad S. The expanding universe of T-cell subsets: Th1, Th2 and more. Immunol Today. 1996;17:138-46.
- 30 Wegmann TG, Lin H, Guilbert L, Mosmann TR. Bi-directional cytokine interactions in the maternal-fetal relationship: is a successful pregnancy a Th2 phenomenon? Immunol Today. 1993;14:353-6.
- 31 Saito S, Sakai M, Sasaki Y, Tanebe K, Tsuda H, Michimata T. Quantitative analysis of peripheral blood Th0, Th1, Th2 and the Th1: Th2 cell ratio during normal human pregnancy and preeclampsia. Clin Exp Immunol. 1999;117:550-5.