

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbe



Original Research Article

COVID-19 detection on chest X-ray images using Homomorphic Transformation and VGG inspired deep convolutional neural network

Gerosh Shibu George^a, Pratyush Raj Mishra^a, Panav Sinha^a, Manas Ranjan Prusty^{b,*} 9

^a School of Computer Science and Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600127, India 10 ^b Centre for Cyber Physical Systems, School of Computer Science and Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 11 600127, India 12 13

14

36

2

7

8

5

6

ARTICLE INFO

31 Article history: 38 Received 29 July 2022 39 Received in revised form 30 1 November 2022 25 Accepted 18 November 2022 Available online xxxx 20 37 38 Keywords: COVID-19 Homomorphic Transformation Filter Deep CNN VGG ANOVA 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54

ABSTRACT

COVID-19 had caused the whole world to come to a standstill. The current detection methods are time consuming as well as costly. Using Chest X-rays (CXRs) is a solution to this problem, however, manual examination of CXRs is a cumbersome and difficult process needing specialization in the domain. Most of existing methods used for this application involve the usage of pretrained models such as VGG19, ResNet, DenseNet, Xception, and EfficeintNet which were trained on RGB image datasets. X-rays are fundamentally single channel images, hence using RGB trained model is not appropriate since it increases the operations by involving three channels instead of one. A way of using pretrained model for grayscale images is by replicating the one channel image data to three channel which introduces redundancy and another way is by altering the input layer of pretrained model to take in one channel image data, which comprises the weights in the forward layers that were trained on three channel images which weakens the use of pre-trained weights in a transfer learning approach. A novel approach for identification of COVID-19 using CXRs, Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization (CLAHE) along with Homomorphic Transformation Filter which is used to process the pixel data in images and extract features from the CXRs is suggested in this paper. These processed images are then provided as input to a VGG inspired deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model which takes one channel image data as input (grayscale images) to categorize CXRs into three class labels, namely, No-Findings, COVID-19, and Pneumonia. Evaluation of the suggested model is done with the help of two publicly available datasets; one to obtain COVID-19 and No-Finding images and the other to obtain Pneumonia CXRs. The dataset comprises 6750 images in total; 2250 images for each class. Results obtained show that the model has achieved 96.56% for multi-class classification and 98.06% accuracy for binary classification using 5-fold stratified cross validation (CV) method. This result is competitive and up to the

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: manas.iter144@gmail.com (M. Ranjan Prusty).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2022.11.003

^{0168-8227/© 2022} Nalecz Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

classification.

55 56 57

58

59

60

- 61
- Introduction 1. 62

The first case of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coron-63 avirus 2, abbreviated as SARS-CoV-2, was identified and 64 reported in December 2019, in the city of Wuhan, China [1]. 65 The World Health Organization (WHO) named this infection 66 caused by SARS-CoV-2 as coronavirus disease 2019, abbrevi-67 68 ated as COVID-19. COVID-19 is a highly contagious disease with various symptoms ranging from cough, fever, fatigue, 69 etc. The general population is highly vulnerable to infection 70 71 caused by this virus. Since the pandemic's outbreak and rapid spread, it has become clear that disease prognosis is heavily 72 influenced by multi-organ involvement [2]. Death was caused 73 74 by acute respiratory distress syndrome, heart failure [3], renal failure [4], liver damage [5], hyper-inflammatory shock [6], 75 76 and multi-organ failure [7]. Due to the limited number of test-77 ing facilities available and the disease's early stages' low prevalence of positive symptoms, the currently available RT-78 PCR method used for detection and identification of COVID-79 80 19, which stands for Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction, poses some drawbacks hence creating the need for 81 other alternatives and options. Some other methods of detec-82 83 tion include Computer Tomography (CT) scans and Chest X-84 rays (CXRs). These are important since a confirmed COVID-19 patient may or may not have a normal chest scan during 85 the initial stages of contracting the infection [8]. 86

CXR is a common, more affordable alternative to CT scans. 87 It also takes lesser time for generation which serves as an 88 added advantage to its utility. Recent technologies, particu-89 larly artificial intelligence (AI) tools, have been investigated 90 for tracking the transmission of the coronavirus, identifying 91 individuals at high risk of mortality, and diagnosing patients 92 with the condition (see Table 1). 93

Table 1 – Hyperparameter table of the suggested GrayVIC model.						
Hyperparameters	Values					
COVID-19 instances	2250					
Pneumonia instances	2250					
No-findings instances	2250					
Image resolution	64 imes 64 imes 1					
Learning rate	10 ⁻³					
Minimum LR	10 ⁻⁶					
Batch size	64					
Epochs	100					
Optimizer	Adaptive Moment					
Loss function	Categorical cross-entropy					

Artificial Intelligence has huge underlying potential in curbing the COVID-19 pandemic with the help of successful practical implementations using CXRs and CT scans [9]. The usage of pre-trained architectures like Deep Convolutional Neural Network (DCNN), viz. GoogleNet, NASNet, VGGNet, and DenseNet are used for the implementation of this application. In addition, the model achieves higher accuracy as image processing techniques improve.

of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

mark when compared with the performance shown by existing approaches for COVID-19

© 2022 Nalecz Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering of the Polish Academy

Sometimes, a trained expert in this field might miss some 102 attributes which confirm the infection, either due to higher 103 traffic of patients or fatigue, and might need quick detection 104 and identification. This is where a deep learning model can 105 be employed for better and faster interpretation for detection 106 of the infection. Almost all the related works have used a pre-107 trained CNN model that was trained on three channel (RGB) 108 images to obtain the weights which is not appropriate when 109 it comes to X-ray images as they are single channel (grays-110 cale) images. This paper's goal is to propose a novel architec-111 tural model inspired from VGG16 architecture to classify X-112 ray (grayscale) images according to the disease classes based 113 on both binary and multi-class classification. In order to train the model more efficiently, pre-processing combination techniques have been employed, specifically, CLAHE and Homomorphic Transformation. The classifier model is built from scratch hence it is entirely trained on the image dataset. To avoid the erratic fluctuation noticed in the validation accuracy during the training phase, ReduceLRonPlateau method has been used. The authors have ensured the robustness of model on the public dataset by following the stratified 5fold cross-validation methodology. All necessary performance metrics have been estimated for the comparison purposes and tabulated properly in the results section.

The motivations and contributions of the proposed work are discussed in Section 2. In Section 3, we discuss the existing methods and related works employed for identifying the infection caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus using Chest Xrays. The dataset used for the proposed work is elaborated upon in Section 4. Section 5 describes the approach used by us for COVID-19 detection using a deep learning Convolutional Neural Network model in detail. Section 6 and 7 explain and discuss the results obtained and conclude the paper respectively.

2. Motivation and contributions

The developed deep CNN model is inspired by VGG architec-137 ture. Customized CNN models with the help of transfer learn-138 ing architectures shows promising results when comes to 139 efficiency [10]. The customized CNN models have seen to 140 have better time complexity, faster learning rate [11]. The 141 model employs no pre-trained models' weights and provides 142

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX—XXX

promising output with very little training time compared to 143 other existing models. The proposed model takes CXRs as 144 input, making it cost effective since CT scans are unreason-145 able and may not be accessible at an individual level. Then 146 147 a random selection of these images is provided to the model which works on a single channel. The testing is done in two 148 ways; first, which comprises binary classification where only 149 150 two modes, COVID-19 and No-Findings, are taken into consideration. The second method involves the classification of 151 CXRs across three classes, namely, No-Findings, COVID-19, 152 153 and Pneumonia. The image processing techniques used, viz. CLAHE and Homomorphic Transformation filter help in 154 improvising its contrast and plummeting its dynamic range. 155 These image processing techniques incorporated into the 156 proposed model produce a more robust diagnosis for 157 COVID-19. The novel aspect of this work is the application 158 159 of Homomorphic Transformation Filter as an image processing approach on CXRs as well as the designing a VGG inspired 160 Deep CNN model from scratch that requires less training time 161 162 than models using the same range of datasets. The significant contributions made by this paper include the following: 163

- 1. CLAHE + Homomorphic Transformation Filter as image processing technique on CXRs.
- 1662. A novel VGG inspired deep CNN model consisting of 22 lay-
ers and the inputs fed to the model have the shape of
 $64 \times 64 \times 1.$
- 169 3. Different hyper parameter tuning methodologies are used
 170 to examine the potential of the proposed model for the
 171 task of multi as well as binary-class classification of CXRs.
- 4. Assessment of model's robustness with the help of 6750 images.

175 **3.** Related works

174

Currently, Deep Learning is adopted in the field of medical 176 imaging. This includes analysis of medical images, radiomics, 177 etc. Deep Learning is mainly used because of its prominent 178 179 and reliable results [12]. Since there is no restriction to the kind of data that can be used, deep learning is appropriate 180 181 to cater to diverse information and data, in order to make pre-182 dictions [13]. Due to the prolonged pandemic caused by COVID-19, it has become a necessity to come up with a tech-183 nology that uses deep learning concepts and techniques in 184 order to detect COVID-19 faster and with a higher degree of 185 accuracy since current testing methods are expensive and 186 time consuming. CXRs and CT scans can be helpful in achiev-187 ing this. Since CXRs are more affordable as compared to CT 188 scans, they are a better alternative. 189

190 Aslan, M. F. et al. worked on binary classification tech-191 niques and observed the DenseNet-SVM structure [14] to be the best one with an accuracy of about 96.29 %. They achieved 192 an average accuracy of 95.21 % by using a total of eight differ-193 ent SVM based CNN models. Alakus, T. B. et al. validated their 194 LSTM deep learning model using a 10-fold cross-validation 195 strategy [15]. An accuracy greater than 84 % was shown by 196 all the models involved in the study. The obtained results 197 included a recall of 99.42 %, an accuracy of 86.66 %, and an 198

AUC score of 0.625. The CNN-LSTM model provided the best results with an accuracy of 92.3 %, a recall of 93.68 %, and an AUC score of 0.90 using Holdout Validation.

A total of 4 architectures were studied by Ibrahim et al. For detecting and diagnosing disorders affecting the human lungs [16]. Detection was among three classes, namely, Pneumonia, Lung Cancer, and COVID-19. Out of all the models used for the study, the CNN + VGG19 model performed best yielding an accuracy of 98.05 %. An accuracy of 96.09 % was obtained using GRU + ResNet152V2.

A study to detect abnormalities from chest CT scan images of Pneumonia and COVID-19 patients [17] performed by Ni, Q., et al. aimed at comparing various deep learning models for the task. Results show that our results are superior compared to people who have the expertise in the identification and detection of lesions. According to Xu's study, the results achieved by their model had a specificity of 67 %, a sensitivity of 74 %, and a total accuracy of 73 %. This study revolved around observing inception-migration-learning models and their performance for the task of differentiating COVID-19 from other infections caused by pathogens such as bacteria, protozoa, viruses, etc.

In another study, Ibrahim, et al. analyzed deep neural network models and their performance using transfer learning for classification between three classes, viz., COVID-19 Pneumonia, Non-COVID-19 Viral Pneumonia, and Bacterial Pneumonia [18]. For multi-class classification, the model obtained 98.19% sensitivity, 95.78% specificity, and 94.43% accuracy. For binary classification among Healthy and Bacterial Pneumonia classes, the model obtained 91.49 % sensitivity, 100 % specificity, and 91.43 % accuracy. For binary classification between COVID-19 Pneumonia and Non-COVID-19 Viral Pneumonia, a testing accuracy of 99.62 % was achieved. Similarly, for classification between COVID-19 Pneumonia and Healthy CXRs, a testing accuracy of 99.16 % was achieved. Classification across Bacterial pneumonia, COVID-19 and Healthy yielded a testing accuracy of 94.00 % and 93.42 % for classification among four classes; Healthy, Bacterial Pneumonia, COVID-19, and non-COVID-19 Viral Pneumonia.

A new transfer learning pipeline consisting of DenseNet-121 and the ResNet-50 networks, called DenResCov-19 [19] was created by Mamalakis, M. et al. This was primarily created for the classification and detection of Pneumonia, COVID-19, Tuberculosis, or Normal using CXRs. The results achieved by the model for classification of Pneumonia, COVID-19, and Normal included an AUC score of 96.51 %, F1 score of 87.29 %, precision of 85.28 %, and an overall recall of 89.38 %.

Gouda et al. considered Deep Learning strategies to predict 248 COVID-19. The study proposed two DL approaches based on 249 ResNet-50 neural network using chest X-ray (CXR) images. 250 COVID-19 Image Data Collection (IDC) and CXR Images (Pneu-251 monia) were used as dataset for the following. The pre-252 processing was done using augmentation, normalization, 253 enhancement and resizing of the images. To carry out the 254 task, multiple runs of modified version of Resnet-50 was 255 made done to classify the images. The ResNet-50 feature 256 extraction is done by several convolutional and pooling lay-257

341

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX

258 ers. A fully connected and soft-max layer does the classification. The weight and bias values of convolutional and fully 259 commenced layers are tuned using the training algorithm. 260 This training algorithm includes many hyperparameters, 261 262 which helps to improve the performance of the ResNet-50 model [20]. In terms of performance, the values exceed 263 99.63 % in many metrics including, F1-score, accuracy, recall, 264 precision and AUC [21]. Mahesh Gour et al. designed a new 265 stacked CNN model for COVID-19 detection. The dataset 266 includes CT images and combination of three publicly avail-267 able X-ray images. They firstly used different sub -models 268 obtained from VGG-19 and Xception models during the train-269 ing. Then these were together stacked as softmax classifier. 270 To detect COVID-19 from radiological image data, a stacked 271 CNN model is proposed, combining the differences between 272 CNN sub-models. The sensitivity for Binary classification 273 and Multiclass classification was 98.31 % and 97.62 % respec-274 275 tively.^[22].

Mahesh Gour et al. developed an automated COVID19 276 277 detection model and was named Uncertainty-Aware Convolutional Neural Network Model (UA-CovNet). The model works 278 279 on the principles of EfficientNet-B3 to fine tune the X-ray 280 images and Monte Carlo dropouts for M passes to obtain the 281 posterior predictive distribution. The sensitivity of the Binary Classification and Multiclass Classification was 99.30 % and 282 283 98.15 % respectively. The G-mean of 99.16 % and 98.02 % was seen for both respectively. [23] Yiting Xie et al. believed work-284 ing on large medical image dataset is really difficult so they 285 carried out their work using ImageNet, a pre-trained model. 286 287 The pre-trained model can bring in inefficiencies while working on a single channel image. To counter this, they intro-288 duced Inception V3 model on ImageNet after the images 289 were transformed into grayscales. The performance was not 290 found waning, hence concluding that colors do not have crit-291 292 ical role to play. It was also seen that that grayscale ImageNet pre-trained models had better performance than the color 293 one while classifying diseases from CXRs. [24]. 294

In the 1960 s, a technique for image and signal processing 295 was devised by Thomas Stockham, Ronald W. Schafer, and 296 Alan V. Oppenheim. This technique involved a non-linear 297 298 mapping to a different domain where linear filters are applied 299 and then mapped back to the original domain [25]. The technique, called Homomorphic Transformation Filter can be 300 301 employed to enhance the images. It also increases contrast and homogenizes the brightness throughout the image. It 302 can also be used to remove noise from the image. If we take 303 logarithm of the image intensity, we can separate the compo-304 305 nents of the image linearly in the frequency domain, which are combined multiplicatively. Multiplicative noise includes 306 307 variations in illumination within the images and can be 308 reduced by applying filtering techniques in the logarithm domain. We can also equalize the low-frequency and high-309 310 frequency components of the image to make the illumination more even. This implies that in order to repress low frequen-311 cies and intensify high frequencies, high-pass filtering is used 312 in the log-intensity domain [26]. 313

4. X-ray image dataset

The dataset that we used comprises 2250 images for each of 315 the three classes-COVID-19, Pneumonia, and No-Findings. 316 We use an equal number of images for each class to avoid 317 the problem of class imbalance. Two chest X-ray image data-318 sets are used to achieve our proposed work. The first public 319 dataset is used to extract COVID-19 and No-Findings images 320 and the other public database is used to obtain Pneumonia 321 images. The former database was created in conjunction with 322 medical doctors by researchers from the University of Dhaka, 323 Bangladesh, Qatar University in Doha, Qatar, and colleagues 324 from Malaysia and Pakistan. It makes use of images from 43 325 different publications as well as the COVID-19 Database of 326 the Italian Society of Medical and Interventional Radiology 327 (SIRM), the Novel Corona Virus 2019 Dataset created by Joseph 328 Paul Cohen, Lan Dao' and Paul Morrison's repository in 329 GitHub [27,28]. Kang Zhang, Daniel Kermany, and Michael 330 Goldbaum's "Labeled Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) 331 and Chest X-ray Images for Classification dataset" used 332 CXR images (anterior-posterior) chosen from retrospective 333 cohorts of paediatric patients from Guangzhou Women and 334 Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou, ranging from the age 335 one to five [29]. This dataset was used to obtain CXR images 336 for the class of Pneumonia. To maintain consistency through-337 out the data used by us, we have resized all of the images to 338 64×64 pixels for further processing. An image of each class 339 obtained from these datasets is shown in Fig. 1. 340

5. Proposed approach

The proposed approach comprises applying pre-processing 342 augmenting methods [30] to our CXR images, including resiz-343 ing our images to a standard size and applying CLAHE. For a 344 given input image, the algorithm of CLAHE creates non-345 overlapping contextual regions (also called sub-images, tiles 346 or blocks) and then applies the histogram equalization to 347 each contextual region, clips the original histogram to a 348 specific value and then redistributes the clipped pixels to 349 each gray level [31]. Then Homomorphic Transformation Fil-350 ter is applied to these processed images. These images are 351 randomly provided to the deep CNN model as input. Deep 352 convolutional neural networks have proven to yield better 353 accuracy when dealing with large volumes of dataset, and 354 many researchers tend to use them as de-facto standards 355 [32]. A typical architecture of CNN consists of multiple blocks 356 with three kinds of layers: convolution, pooling, and fully con-357 nected layers [33]. The architecture of our deep CNN model is 358 inspired by VGG model's architecture. Two schemes are 359 employed in order to test the model's performance. The first 360 scheme comprises binary classification consisting of two 361 classes, COVID-19 and No-Findings. The second scheme 362 involves the classification of CXR images across three classes, 363 namely, COVID-19, No Findings, and Pneumonia. 2250 images 364 have been considered for each class which means scheme 1 365 involves a total of 4500 images and scheme 2 consists of a 366



No-Findings

COVID-19

Pneumonia

Fig. 1 - CXR images from each class, i.e, No Findings, COVID-19, and Pneumonia.

total of 6750 images. The block diagram for the suggestedmodel is shown in Fig. 2.

369 5.1. Pre-processing:

Original images taken from both datasets had varied sizes. All of the images were converted into a standard size of 64×64 [34] and CLAHE was applied to them. By resizing the images, we can decrease the training time of our model and reduces the memory required for the training purpose. Good thing about having a small size image data is that lot of images 375 can be fed into the model for training without exhausting 376 the memory or increasing the training time. It is a good 377 trade-off between the amount of pixel data in one image 378 and count of images that can be used for training in a limited 379 computational environment. CLAHE helps reduce the noise 380 issue by applying a contrast amplification limiting technique 381 to each neighbouring pixel, which produces a transformation 382 function. To resize the image the resampling using pixel area 383 relation known as INTER_AREA function in OpenCV was used. 384



472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

6

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX

Most of recent research studies have used transformation 385 as a key technique for pre-processing of the image data. The 386 idea behind this is to use artifacts derived from a different 387 domain to ease the training process of the model. Typically, 388 the domain is related to either frequency or time. Many differ-389 ent transformation techniques have been used to create the 390 state-of-the-art pre-processing method. Homomorphic 391 392 Transformation Filter is one such filter belonging to frequency domain that uses Fourier Transformation. This transforma-393 tion filter has not been explored for image pre-processing in 394 combination with custom CNN for one channel image data 395 (grayscale image) with respect to detection of COVID19. In this 396 research, the combination of CLAHE and Homomorphic 397 Transformation is studied to understand its efficacy as pre-398 processing techniques. The pre-processing of the image data 399 happens in two stages after the preliminary processing like 400 resizing. First, CLAHE is applied and then Homomorphic 401 Transformation. 402

403 5.2. Homomorphic Transformation Filter:

In Homomorphic Transformation Filter, the original domain
is nonlinearly mapped to a different domain where linear filtering methods are applied, and then the original domain is
mapped back to. A grayscale image can be enhanced via
Homomorphic Transformation Filter by simultaneously
reducing the intensity range (illumination) and enhancing
the contrast (reflection).[35].

413
$$i(x,y) = l(x,y).r(x,y)$$
 (1)

The equation needs to be converted into the frequency domain in order to be used as a high pass filter. Calculations get more complex because this equation is not anymore, a product equation after the Fourier transformation. In order to help with this problem, natural logarithm is used.

$$ln(i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})) = ln(l(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})) + ln(r(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}))$$
(2)

Then, applying Fourier transformation

F(ln(i(x, y))) = F(ln(l(x, y))) + F(ln(r(x, y)))Or

$$I(u, v) = L(u, v) + R(u, v)$$

414

422

423 424

426

427 428

430

431

432

433

434 435

437

438

439

440

441 442

444

449

After that, a high-pass filter on the image is applied which increases the evenness of an image's illumination; the high frequency objects are augmented and the low frequency parts are suppressed.

$$FI(u, v) = HP(u, v).I(u, v)$$
(5)

here, HP = high-pass filter, FI = filtered image in frequency domain.

Then, by using the inverse Fourier transform, frequency domain is returned to spatial domain.

$$n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = in\mathbf{v}F(FI(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))$$
(6)

Lastly, to obtain the improved image, we apply the exponential function [35] to remove the log we used earlier.

$$newImage(x, y) = exp(n(x, y))$$
(7)

Fig. 3 shows an image from each class after Homomorphic450Transformation Filter is applied to the dataset.451

5.3. Grayscale + VGG inspired deep CNN architecture 452 (GrayVIC): 453

The Convolution Neural Network (CNN) adopted in the pro-454 posed work is inspired by VGG models. VGG refers to a typical 455 deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) design with 456 numerous layers, and it stands for Visual Geometry Group. 457 The 'depth' of a model refers to the number of layers used, 458 with VGG-16 or VGG-19 having 16 or 19 convolutional layers, 459 respectively[36]. In the research domain VGG models are 460 experimented a lot and have mainly been used for transfer 461 learning application, even for Covid-19 detection[37]. In data 462 science, VGG-16 is considered to be one of the most effective 463 classification network whereas VGG-19 is focused more clas-464 sifying samples effectively [38]. The architecture of a standard 465 VGG-16 model is shown in Fig. 4, which was used as a refer-466 ence to build the custom model architecture for this research. 467 Since the proposed model works particularly for grayscale 468 images and is based on VGG style architecture, our model is 469 termed as GrayVIC. 470

The proposed model consists of 22 layers (including hidden and dropout layers) and the inputs fed to the model have the shape of $64\times 64\times 1.$ A sequential model is used where a pattern of one convolutional layer goes after another convolutional layer and then finally a max a max pooling layer is adopted. This same setup is implemented another three times. We then have another convolutional layer followed by batch normalization and dropout. Convolution layers perform feature extraction by convolving the input image with a set of learned kernels. The layer typically consists of a combination of convolution operation and activation function [39]. 2D Global Average Pooling is utilized to flatten the output of previous layers. The mean value of all values over the whole (input width) × (input height) matrix for each of the input channels using a tensor of size (input width) \times (input height) \times (input channels) is calculated by using the 2D Global Average Pooling block.

This is followed by a dense layer and dropout layer. This is 488 repeated once more successively. A dense layer with softmax 489 as the activation function serves as the final output layer. 490 Except the output layer, all convolutional and dense layers 491 use ReLU as the activation function. ReLU, which stands for 492 rectified linear activation function, is a non-linear or piece-493 wise linear function that, if the input is positive, outputs 494 the input directly; if not, outputs zero. Following the convolu-495 tion layers is the 2D Max pooling layer. The maximum value 496 for each input channel over an input window of the size spec-497 ified by pool size is used to down sample the input along its 498 spatial dimensions (height and width). Steps are taken along 499 each dimension to move the window. Max pooling layer esti-500 mates the max value of pixel according to filter dimension 501 mentioned in the layer definition. Pooling layer carries out 502 dimensionality reduction by down sampling the values of 503 neurons into a solitary value. Max pooling operation is per-504 formed here to combine the output of previous layer into a 505 single value [40]. 506

Please cite this article as: G. Shibu George, P. Raj Mishra, P. Sinha et al., COVID-19 detection on chest X-ray images using Homomorphic Transformation and VGG inspired deep convolutional neural network, biocybernetics and biomedical engineering, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2022.11.003

(3)

(4)

528

529

543







COVID-19







Before applying Global Average Pooling, we use a batch 507 normalization layer. The model is trained more quickly and 508 steadily using batch normalization. This provides some regu-509 larization and helps reduce generalization errors. The drop-510 out layer is applied to the suggested model after the batch 511 normalization layer and dense layers. The regularization is 512 done by the dropout layers which speedup the execution by 513 expelling the neurons whose contribution to the yield is not 514 so high [41]. It also helps us to avoid overfitting the model 515 by ignoring output of some neurons for the upcoming layer 516 [42]. The sum of all inputs is maintained by scaling up non-517 zero inputs by $\frac{1}{(1-rate)}$. 518

Depending upon the classification type, the number of nodes used in the final output layer is decided. Each of the neurons represents a different class. A softmax function is then used to evaluate this output using the following formula [42], [42], Here w_t represents the weight vector of the final layer's tth neuron which represents the output, and v is the fully connected layers' feature vector before it.

The architecture of the proposed GrayVIC model is shown 530 in Fig. 5. The suggested model is trained in four scenarios 531 where we use 50 epochs and 100 epochs with and without 532 ReduceLRonPlateau each. When a statistic stops improving, 533 ReduceLRonPlateau lowers the learning rate. Once learning 534 reaches a plateau, models frequently gain by decreasing the 535 learning rate by a factor of 2–10. This scheduler reads a met-536 rics quantity, and the learning rate is decreased if no progress 537 is made after a specified number of "patience" epochs. We 538 have used 0.000001 as our minimum learning rate. This serves 539 as the threshold for our learning rate, implying that our learn-540 ing rate would not reduce further after the minimum learning 541 rate is encountered. 542

5.4. Performance analysis

526

 $J(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{e^{\omega_t \cdot v}}{\sum_{i=1}^T e^{\omega_t \cdot v}}$

The metrics used in this study are recall, precision, F1-score 544 and accuracy to compare and analyze the performance of 545

Please cite this article as: G. Shibu George, P. Raj Mishra, P. Sinha et al., COVID-19 detection on chest X-ray images using Homomorphic Transformation and VGG inspired deep convolutional neural network, biocybernetics and biomedical engineering, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2022.11.003

(8)

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX



546 our GrayVIC model with other existing models [43]. All of these metrics are obtained from the confusion matrix. We 547 also use AUC score which is obtained from the ROC curve to 548 analyse our model. The likelihood that a random positive 549 example will be placed in front of a random negative example 550 is represented by AUC score. In order to determine the robust-551 ness of the model, we employ 5-fold stratified cross validation 552 (CV), which biases the large variations on the test data and 553 averages it on each fold [44] and with CV we also used holdout 554 validation [42] where the training and testing data is split 555 from the total data in a ratio of 4:1, maximizing the data to 556 shape the model [45]. This implies the training data consists 557 of 1800 images for each class and testing data consists of 558 450 images per class. For the validation set, 10 % of the train-559 ing data was used to monitor the performance of the model 560 561 while training. Stratified 5-fold cross validation will ensure the same class ratio throughout the 5 folds as the ratio in 562 the original dataset that is equal counts of each class labels, 563 this removes the tension of class imbalance problem during 564 565 the training.

We perform multi-class as well as binary classification techniques on our dataset. For binary classification, we classify images between COVID19 and No Findings. For multiclass classification, three classes i.e. No Findings, COVID-19, and Pneumonia are used.

Results and discussions

6.

571

The results of the proposed model are showcased in the fol-572 lowing section, with the CXR images transformed using 573 Homomorphic Transformation Filter. The model was com-574 piled based on two classification schemes - binary and 575 576 multi-class, and two validation schemes - holdout and 5-577 fold cross validation. 4 different combination pairs of the number of epochs and ReduceLRonPlateau were been tested 578 as part of hyper parameter tuning, apart from tweaking the 579 entire model architecture which has been built from scratch. 580 The public dataset used in this research contains an equal 581 count of images for each class which removes the class imbal-582 ance problem. The metrics considered for performance eval-583

uation for the proposed model is Accuracy (ACC), Recall or sensitivity (REC), Precision (PRE), F1 score and lastly AUC value. 586

587

619

620

621

6.1. Model performance

The training and validation accuracy and loss versus epoch 588 plots of the proposed deep CNN model are shown in Fig. 6. 589 In all the scenarios in which the model was trained, the nat-590 ure of training accuracy and loss curve were the same. It can 591 be noticed that the model is able to achieve 90 % accuracy 592 within the first 20-25 epochs of the training phase. The Redu-593 ceLRonPlateau technique helped in training which can been 594 seen evidently in the graph, it is observed that the validation 595 accuracy/loss fluctuations reduced in the later stages of the 596 training due to lowering of the learning rate by the algorithm 597 which helped in its convergence. The training loss went down 598 to 0.07 in the best fold of cross validation. The training was 599 done in a GPU environment. Total trainable parameter for 600 the proposed model is 2,684,650. 601

Table 2 portrays the performance of our proposed model 602 when used on multi-class classification on the basis of differ-603 ent combinations of number of epochs and usage of ReduceL-604 RonPlateau technique during the training phase. It is 605 observed that increasing the count of epochs from 50 to 100 606 is beneficial and the highest accuracy of 0.97 is achieved in 607 the holdout validation. To highlight the robustness of our 608 model and to check that the model is not overfitted, 5-fold 609 stratified cross validation results are used. It shows that the 610 best result is given when the model is trained for 100 epochs 611 with ReduceLRonPlateau. The highest accuracy achieved in 5-612 fold stratified cross validation is 0.97 and recall is 0.95 along 613 with an AUC value of 0.96. The standard deviation between 614 the fold's testing accuracies was 0.0121 with an average accu-615 racy of 0.95. The average time taken by the model to train on 616 the dataset consisting of 5400 images for 100 epochs is 8 min 617 20 s. 618

Table 3 depicts the results obtained from binary classification using our proposed model based on the same methods used for multi-class. As it can be observed, the binary classi-

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX



Fig. 6 - Training Accuracy and Training Loss of Proposed Model.

Table 2 – Classification performance of proposed GrayVIC model for multiclass classification.											
MODES		MULTIC CLASSI	CLASS FICATION	1			MULTI CLASS	CLASS IFICATIOI	N		
		(Hold-or	ut cross-vo	alidation)			(5-fold	cross-vali	dation)		
EPOCHS	ReduceLROnPlateau	ACC	PRE	REC	F1	AUC	ACC	PRE	REC	F1	AUC
50	No	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.86	0.90	0.85	0.84	0.89
50	Yes	0.96	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.94
100	No	0.97	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.94
100	Yes	0.94	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.97	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.96

Table 3 – Classification performance of proposed GrayVIC model for binary classification.											
MODES:		BINARY CLASS CLASSIFICATION			BINARY CLASS CLASSIFICATION						
		(Hold-or	it cross-v	alidation)			(5-fold	cross-valid	dation)		
EPOCHS	ReduceLROnPlateau	ACC	PRE	REC	F1	AUC	ACC	PRE	REC	F1	AUC
50 50 100 100	No Yes No Yes	0.95 0.96 0.98 0.97	0.93 0.94 0.94 0.95	0.92 0.94 0.94 0.95	0.92 0.94 0.94 0.95	0.93 0.94 0.94 0.95	0.89 0.96 0.97 0.98	0.90 0.96 0.96 0.97	0.87 0.96 0.96 0.97	0.86 0.96 0.96 0.97	0.87 0.96 0.96 0.97

fication's overall performance exceeds that of multi-class classification task. However, this difference is not very large, unlike in existing research literature. This tells us the proposed model is effective enough for both kinds of classification tasks. The highest accuracy achieved for this task by 626 the proposed model in holdout validation is 0.98. The 5-fold 627 stratified cross validation results shows that the model when 628 629 trained for 100 epochs and employed with ReduceLRonPla-630 teau technique achieves the highest value across all metrics. The highest cross validation accuracy reached is 0.98 and 631 recall is 0.97 along with the AUC value of 0.97. The standard 632 deviation between the fold's testing accuracies was 0.014 with 633 an average accuracy of 0.96. The average training time taken 634 by the proposed model to learn from 3600 images for 100 635 epochs is 5 min and 6 s. 636

Fig. 7 displays the proposed model's confusion matrix 637 plots trained with 4 different combinations of hyper parame-638 ters. The results belong to the best fold of the 5-fold cross val-639 idation of each combination. It has been observed that when 640 the model is trained using ReduceLRonPlateau technique the 641 number of false predictions reduces for the best cases. The 642 ReduceLRonPlateau also reduces the standard deviation to 643 an average value of 0.0049. This helps us ensure that our pre-644 dictions are close to the average value and these predicted 645 values are spread in a very narrow range. 900 and 1350 images 646 have been used for testing for binary and multi-class classifi-647 cation schemes respectively which ensure that enough 648 instances of each class were used to check the robustness 649 of the model towards each class label. In all the scenarios, it 650 can be observed that the accuracy is above 0.96. 651



Confusion Matrix of Binary, epochs:100 | ReduceLRonPlateau: No



Confusion Matrix of Binary, epochs:100 | ReduceLRonPlateau: Yes



Confusion Matrix of Multi-class, epochs:50 | ReduceLRonPlateau: No



439

436

No-Findings



Confusion Matrix of Multi-class, epochs:50 | ReduceLRonPlateau: Yes

23

400

350 300

Confusion Matrix of Multi-class, epochs:100 | ReduceLRonPlateau: No









692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX-XXX

652 Fig. 8 represents ROC curves from two scenarios. The first 653 ROC curve depicts the values for holdout validation using 100 epochs without ReduceLRonPlateau. The second ROC curve 654 plots the curves for cross-validation using 100 epochs with 655 ReduceLRonPlateau. A plot that shows how well a classifica-656 tion model performs at every level of categorization is called 657 the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC curve). As 658 659 visible through the curves, we can see our model is capable of differentiating COVID-19 and the rest of the classes. The 660 values obtained for COVID vs Rest for the first and second 661 curve are 0.96 and 0.97 respectively. Since these values are 662 very close to 1, we can confirm that our model is reliable 663 and robust. The highest AUC score achieved by our model is 664 0.98 for multi-class classification scheme. 665

The proposed model's computational complexity is esti-666 mated as follows. When it comes to Deep Learning models, 667 computational complexity plays a critical role. Computational 668 Complexity increases exponentially with the number if net-669 work level grown [46]. Computational complexity is often 670 671 determined with the help of trainable parameters [47] from the model's architecture. As compared to common transfer 672 learning models, our model projected a better computational 673 674 result. An approximate total of 2.7 M trainable parameters 675 were required for the proposed model. This is significantly 676 less when compared to 62 M for AlexNet, 25 M for DenseNet, 677 23.6 M for InceptionV3, 8 M for CapsNet and 4 M for Google-678 Net, since only one channel image data is considered.

The model described in the study (as shown in Fig. 5) con-679 sists of Conv2D-Conv2D-MaxPool pattern layers which are 680 stacked four times. Batch Normalization layer was used to 681 ease the training of the model and Global Average Pooling 682 layer was used to condense the output of the convolution pro-683 cess to reduce the number of trainable parameters in the final 684 layers of the model. In the proposed architecture, Rectified 685 Linear Activation Unit (RELU) [48] was utilised as an activation 686 function after each convolution layer. The introduction of 687 max-pooling layers reduced computational complexity. 688

689 6.2. Model comparison with related works

The proposed work aims to create a VGG inspired CNN model that takes one channel image data as input for detecting and identifying COVID-19 using chest X-rays. All the datasets utilized are treated in accordance with Section 4's discussion. Hence, the datasets considered for comparative analysis would be different from the dataset considered for the model being proposed.

Most of the papers have used 5-fold cross validation or 10fold cross validation, and some of them have only done a hold-out validation, this needs to be considered while comparing the results. A precis for the comparative analysis is provided in Table 4. The comparison is done based on accuracy and recall along with the classification type.

In the first two studies the models described are the 703 COVID-Caps [49] which is capable of handling small datasets 704 and COVIDX-Net [50] which uses seven different architec-705 tures. They achieved accuracies of 95.70 % and 90 % respec-706 tively for the binary classification scheme. When worked on 707 multi-class classification, with a model ResNet50 combined 708 with SVM [51] attained an accuracy of 95.33%. This paper 709 advocated that support vector machine, abbreviated as SVM, 710 is quite reliable when compared to other transfer learning 711 models. In [52], the authors compare multiple models for 712 multi-class classification and among the suggested models, 713 COVID-Net performs best with an accuracy of 93.34 % which 714 were trained using hold-out validation. The authors of [53] 715 use transfer learning to extract important information from 716 X-ray images and studied its performance for multi-class 717 classification. They were able to obtain accuracies of 94.72 % 718 and 85 % respectively. A good accuracy of 96.87 % was noticed 719 in [54] using 2D curvelet transform-EfficientNet-B0. This 720 model implemented a blend comprising of chaotic swarm 721 algorithm and two dimensional curvelet transformation. 722

In [19], the authors have compared DenResCov-19 and 723 DenseNet-121 for X-ray images. Although the accuracies of 724 these models have not been mentioned, the recall of 725 DenResCov-19 is 96.51 % for multi-class classification. The 726 authors of [55] have compared various models for binary clas-727 sification out of which InceptionV3 produces an accuracy of 728 96.20 %. The authors of [56] have developed a FractalCovNet 729 architecture for segmentation of chest CT-scan images to 730 localize the lesion region and have trained it using transfer 731 learning for binary classification achieving an accuracy of 732 98 %. The CNN that was proposed in [57] was a VGG16 which 733





Ref. No.	Model	Classification Type	Accuracy	Sensitivity/ Recall	СV Туре
	Suggested Model	Binary	98.06 %	95.12 %	Hold out
		Binary	97.68 %	96.72 %	5-fold
		Multi-class	97.41 %	94.52 %	Hold out
		Multi-class	96.56 %	95.14 %	5-fold
[49]	COVID-Caps	Binary	95.70 %	90.00 %	Hold ou
50	COVIDX-Net	Binary	90.00 %	90.00 %	Hold ou
51	ResNet50 plus SVM	Multi-class	95.33 %	95.33 %	Hold out
52	ResNet-50	Multi-class	90.67 %	96.60 %	Hold out
	COVID-Net	Multi-class	93.34 %	93.30 %	Hold out
[53]	CNN models trained using Transfer Learning	Multi-class	94.72 %	98.66 %	10-Fold
[54]	EfficientNet-B0	Multi-class	95.24 %	93.61 %	Hold out
	EfficientNet-B0	Multi-class	96.87 %	95.68 %	Hold out
	2D curvelet transform				
19]	DenResCov-19	Multi-class	-	96.51 %	5-fold
	DenseNet-121	Multi-class	-	93.20 %	5-fold
[55]	InceptionV3	Binary	96.20 %	97.10 %	5-fold
	ResNet 50	Binary	96.10 %	91.80 %	5-fold
	Inception-ResNetV2	Binary	94.20 %	83.50 %	5-fold
[56]	FractalCovNet	Binary	98.0 %	94.0 %	-
57	Hyperparameter Optimization Based Diagnosis	Multi-class	88 %	97 %	-
58	Multi-task ViT	Multi-class	85.8 %	87.43 %	-
22	Stacked CNN Model	Binary	97.18 %	97.42 %	5-fold
23	UA-ConvNet	Binary	99.36 %	99.30 %	5-fold
	UA-ConvNet	Multi-class	97.67 %	98.15 %	5-fold
[59]	BRISK VGG-19	Multi-class	96.5 %	97.6 %	_
[60]	2D- Flexible analytical wavelet transform model (FAWT)	Binary	93.47 %	93.6 %	10-fold
61]	Deep Features and Correlation Features	Binary	97.87 %	97.87 %	5-fold
62]	TL-med Model	Binary	93.24 %	91.14 %	-
63]	Cascade VGGCOV19-NET	Binary	99.84 %	97.47 %	5-fold
	Cascade VGGCOV19-NET	Multi-class	97.16 %	_	5-fold
[64]	Inception_ResNet_V2	Binary	94.00 %	_	Hold out

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (XXXX) XXX—XXX

was optimized with five inception modules, 128 neurons in
the two fully connected layers, and a learning rate of 0.0027.
The proposed method achieved a sensitivity of 97 % for multiclass classification and accuracy it achieved was 88 %.

738 Multi-task ViT [58] was used for the multiclass which had an accuracy and recall of 85.8% and 87.43%. In [22], the 739 authors stacked CNN to create a model which gave the sensi-740 tivity score as 97.42 % and accuracy of 97.18. Uncertainty-741 aware convolution networks were developed in [23] paper 742 which performed well for binary class with an accuracy of 743 99.36 % and for multiclass it was 97.67 %. Other studies took 744 for comparison shows new variation in deep learning models 745 like the BRISK VGG-19 [59] with 96.5 % accuracy for multiclass 746 whereas for binary type classification there were FAWT model 747 [60], deep and correlation feature model [61]. TL-med model 748 [62] with an accuracy of 93.47 %, 97.8,93.24 % respectively. 749 The Cascade VGGCOV19-Net [63] gave a performance score 750 in terms of accuracy of 97.16 % for multiclass and 99.84 % 751 for binary classification task. 752

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test was done to evalu-753 ate the statistical significance of the results attained from the 754 proposed model. This test was used to infer whether there is 755 756 a significant difference in the performance of the proposed 757 model along with other related works. The null hypothesis 758 in ANOVA is that there is no difference in means of samples 759 considered for the test. For this test, metric of the top five performing models from the Table 4 is used to analyse the statis-760 tical significance of the proposed model, for both binary and 761 762 multiclass classification task. Tukey's honestly significant difference test (Tukey's HSD) was used to test differences among 763 sample means of proposed models with other related models 764 to estimate the significances. Fig. 9 shows the ANOVA test 765 result graph and Fig. 10 shows the Tukey HSD test result graph 766 for the comparison between the proposed model and the 767 other models. 'Group 6' in both graphs denotes the proposed 768 GrayVIC model and other groups denote the models used for 769 770 comparison purpose.

Table 5 shows the ANOVA results of binary classification task. From the table it is observed that the p value (0.2541)



is more than 0.05 which implies that there is no significant 773 difference in the classification results of the models used 774 for comparison with the proposed model. This means that 775 null hypothesis (H0) is accepted. Tukey HSD test was carried 776 out which showed that the proposed model's classification 777 result showed a no significant difference in means from the 778 top five performing model used for the statistical analysis 779 test. On a quantitative basis, the proposed model gave better 780 accuracy score than the three of the top five best performing 781 model from the comparison table. 782

Table 6 shows the ANOVA results of multiclass classification task. From the table it is observed that the p value (0.5335) is greater than 0.05 which implies the classification results of the models used for comparison have no significant difference, thus proving that null hypothesis (H0) is true. This means that the performance of the proposed model is at par with the top five performing models used for the comparison study. Tukey HSD test also pointed towards the same inference and showed no significant difference of the proposed model's classification result when compared with other top performing models used for the statistical test. The proposed model's performance was as good as other models with a better computational efficacy.

The suggested model has a shorter training time than the other models included in the comparison table since it includes fewer dense as well as convolutional layers. The advantages of the suggested model are:

- 1) The number of parameters for the proposed model is around 2.7 million which is less than VGG-16 and Mob-NetV2 architecture.
- 2) The training time for the model for both classification tasks is approximately 5 to 8 min.
- 3) The ReduceLRonPlateau technique restricts the fluctuations of validation accuracy during the training of the model.

This model can also be used for feature extraction since fully connected layers can be removed at the end. The objec-



Fig. 9 - ANOVA test result graph (a) binary classification and (b) multiclass classification.



Fig. 10 - Tukey HSD test result graph (a) binary classification and (b) multiclass classification.

Table 5 – Summary of ANOVA test for binary classification task.							
ANOVA Ta	ble						
Source	SS	DF	MS	F	Prob > F		
Columns Error Total	25.194 85.383 110.576	5 24 29	5.03875 3.55761	1.42	0.2541		

Table 6 – Summary of	ANOVA test for	r multiclass (classifica
tion task.			

ANOVA Tab	ole				
Source	SS	DF	MS	F	Prob > F
Columns Error Total	17.556 100.121 117.676	5 24 29	3.51113 4.1717	0.84	0.5335

811 tive of this proposed model was to make it work on grayscale 812 images, since it is specifically trained on them, it can be used 813 as feature extraction model that can obtain useful artefacts from grayscale images like X-rays in a more effective manner. 814 815 The main focus of the suggested model is to help the health care sector in reducing the burden on the medical staff by 816 providing a quick screening system to identify the critical 817 CXR images. The proposed model can also be used for CT 818 scans but it will not be as feasible as CXR images due to its 819 expensive nature and its availability only in large multina-820 tional hospitals. In addition to that, it is pointless to conduct 821 expensive CT scans for patients with mild symptoms of 822 asymptomatic nature [34]. In such cases, screening of 823 patients using CXR images will be a much more essential 824

and beneficial method of diagnosis. Additional medical atten-825tion can be provided to the patients who are identified by the826proposed model as COVID-19 positive. On the other hand,827negative cases can be restricted from RTPCR tests to avoid828wastage of medical kits. The proposed model can be coupled829with IoT and cloud applications to develop a patient monitor-830ing system to curb the spread of the virus.831

832

7. Conclusions

In this research work, a robust deep learning CNN model for 833 the medical image screening of Chest X-rays has been devel-834 oped using the Homomorphic Transformation Filter along 835 with the 3D-CNN model inspired by VGG architecture for 836 grayscale images. The custom dataset, which was produced 837 from two separate publicly accessible benchmarked datasets, 838 was used to test the model. This custom dataset contains 839 2250 images for each class (No Finding - Covid - Pneumonia). 840 Two schemes have been used for classification purposes -841 scheme 1 is a binary classification of COVID-19 from no find-842 ings, with a dataset consisting of 4500 images and scheme 2 is 843 a multi-class classification of differentiating COVID-19 from 844 viral pneumonia and no findings, with a dataset consisting 845 of 6750 images. The model had a total of 2.7 M trainable 846 parameters and was trained in a GPU environment. The 847 model has been trained using holdout validation and 5-fold 848 stratified cross validation on the dataset for both classifica-849 tion scheme. The CXRs has been first transformed using 850 Homomorphic Transformation and enhanced using CLAHE. 851 The deep CNN model is trained using this pre-processed out-852 put to learn the trainable weights which will enable it to 853 detect the COVID cases. The proposed model successfully 854 classified the COVID-19 cases from Viral-Pneumonia and 855 No-Findings, with precision, recall, F1 score, accuracy, and 856 AUC values of 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.97, 0.96 and 0.97, 0.97, 0.97, 857 0.98, 0.97 for multi-class classification and binary classifica-858

15

907

908

909

910

911

tion, respectively. Additionally, ReduceLRonPleateau tech-859 nique is used for curbing the fluctuations in validation accu-860 racy during the training of the model. This ensures that 861 weights are not updated drastically once it reaches near the 862 863 optimum in the final epochs. To understand the robustness of the proposed model, confusion matrix and ROC curve has 864 been estimated which shows that the model is reliable for 865 the task at hand. Since there are a smaller number of CNN 866 models that takes one channel image data as input, it is diffi-867 cult the estimate the full potential of this architecture. The 868 proposed model has been compared with the current best 869 approaches used in the research community. The compara-870 tive study shows that the proposed works better in all cases 871 and is also efficient due to the model's simple architecture 872 style. Furthermore, the model was tested using hypothesis 873 test to estimate its statistical significance for both binary 874 and multiclass classification task. The training time of our 875 model is around 8 min for multi-class classification scheme 876 which had a training data of approximately 5,000 images. 877 878 The model needs to be further tested for its generalization power on new CXRs of COVID-19 cases since a lot of new vari-879 ants are emerging which can be difficult to be identified by 880 881 the current model because it was trained on the current pub-882 licly available dataset which does not contain CXRs of latest 883 cases

⁸⁸⁴ CRediT authorship contribution statement

Gerosh Shibu George: Visualization, Investigation, Validation,
Writing – original draft. Pratyush Raj Mishra: Data curation,
Software, Writing – original draft. Panav Sinha: Data curation,
Software, Writing – original draft. Manas Ranjan Prusty: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Validation.

890 Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

894 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the School of Computer Science and Engineering and Centre for Cyber Physical Systems, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai for giving the support and encouragement to proceed with the research and produce fruitful results.

900

- 901
 [1] Shi Y, Wang G, Cai X-P, Deng J-W, Zheng L, Zhu H-H, et al. An

 902
 overview of COVID-19. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2020;21:343–60.

 903
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B2000083</u>.
- [2] Zaim S, Chong JH, Sankaranarayanan V, Harky A. COVID-19
 and Multiorgan Response. Curr Probl Cardiol 2020;45
 (8):100618.

- [3] Bader F, Manla Y, Atallah B, Starling RC. Heart failure and COVID-19. Heart Fail Rev 2021;26:1–10. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10741-020-10008-2</u>.
- [4] Raza A, Estepa A, Chan V, Jafar MS. Acute Renal Failure in Critically Ill COVID-19 Patients With a Focus on the Role of Renal Replacement Therapy: A Review of What We Know So Far. Cureus 2020;12. <u>https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.8429</u>.
- [5] Feng G, Zheng KI, Yan Q-Q, Rios RS, Targher G, Byrne CD, et al. COVID-19 and Liver Dysfunction: Current Insights and Emergent Therapeutic Strategies. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2020;8 (1):1–7.
- [6] Riphagen S, Gomez X, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Wilkinson N, Theocharis P. Hyperinflammatory shock in children during COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet 2020;395:1607–8. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31094-1</u>.
- [7] Mokhtari T, Hassani F, Ghaffari N, Ebrahimi B, Yarahmadi A, Hassanzadeh G. COVID-19 and multiorgan failure: A narrative review on potential mechanisms. J Mol Histol 2020;51:613–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10735-020-09915-3</u>.
- [8] Yang W, Yan F. Patients with RT-PCR-confirmed COVID-19 and Normal Chest CT. Radiology 2020;295:E3–E. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1148/radiol.2020200702</u>.
- [9] Abdulkareem M, Petersen SE. The Promise of AI in Detection, Diagnosis, and Epidemiology for Combating COVID-19: Beyond the Hype. Front Artif Intell 2021;4. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.3389/frai.2021.652669</u> 652669.
- [10] Jain DK, Singh T, Saurabh P, Bisen D, Sahu N, Mishra J, et al. Deep Learning-Aided Automated Pneumonia Detection and Classification Using CXR Scans. Comput Intell Neurosci 2022;2022:e7474304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7474304</u>.
- [11] Singh T, Saurabh P, Bisen D, Kane L, Pathak M, Sinha GR. Ftl-CoV19: A Transfer Learning Approach to Detect COVID-19. Comput Intell Neurosci 2022;2022:e1953992. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2022/1953992</u>.
- [12] Suzuki K. Overview of deep learning in medical imaging. Radiol Phys Technol 2017;10:257–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12194-017-0406-5</u>.
- [13] Chen X-W, Lin X. Big Data Deep Learning: Challenges and Perspectives. IEEE Access 2014;2:514–25. <u>https://doi.org/</u> <u>10.1109/ACCESS.2014.2325029</u>.
- [14] Aslan MF, Sabanci K, Durdu A, Unlersen MF. COVID-19 diagnosis using state-of-the-art CNN architecture features and Bayesian Optimization. Comput Biol Med 2022;142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2022.105244</u>.
- [15] Alakus TB, Turkoglu I. Comparison of deep learning approaches to predict COVID-19 infection. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2020;140. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110120</u> 110120.
- [16] Ibrahim DM, Elshennawy NM, Sarhan AM. Deep-chest: Multiclassification deep learning model for diagnosing COVID-19, pneumonia, and lung cancer chest diseases. Comput Biol Med 2021;132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> j.compbiomed.2021.104348 104348.
- [17] Ni Q, Sun ZY, Qi Li, Chen W, Yang Yi, Wang Li, et al. A deep learning approach to characterize 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pneumonia in chest CT images. Eur Radiol 2020;30(12):6517–27.
- [18] Ibrahim AU, Ozsoz M, Serte S, Al-Turjman F, Yakoi PS. Pneumonia Classification Using Deep Learning from Chest Xray Images During COVID-19. Cogn Comput 2021. <u>https://doi. org/10.1007/s12559-020-09787-5</u>.
- [19] Mamalakis M, Swift AJ, Vorselaars B, Ray S, Weeks S, Ding W, et al. DenResCov-19: A deep transfer learning network for robust automatic classification of COVID-19, pneumonia, and tuberculosis from X-rays. Comput Med Imaging Graph 2021;94:102008.
- [20] Murugan R, Goel T, Mirjalili S, Chakrabartty DK. WOANet: Whale optimized deep neural network for the classification

REFERENCES

16

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

- of COVID-19 from radiography images. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2021;41:1702-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2021.10.004. [21] Gouda W, Almurafeh M, Humayun M, Jhanjhi NZ. Detection of COVID-19 Based on Chest X-rays Using Deep Learning. Healthcare 2022;10:343. https://doi.org/10.3390/ healthcare10020343. [22] Gour M, Jain S. Automated COVID-19 detection from X-ray and CT images with stacked ensemble convolutional neural network. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2022;42:27-41. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bbe.2021.12.001. [23] Gour M, Jain S. Uncertainty-aware convolutional neural network for COVID-19 X-ray images classification. Comput Biol Med 2022;140. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.compbiomed.2021.105047 105047. [24] Xie Y, Richmond D. Pre-training on Grayscale ImageNet Improves Medical Image Classification. In: Leal-Taixé L, Roth S, editors. Comput. Vis. - ECCV 2018 Workshop, vol. 11134, Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019, p. 476-84. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11024-6 37. [25] Oppenheim A, Schafer R, Stockham T. Nonlinear filtering of multiplied and convolved signals. IEEE Trans Audio Electroacoustics 1968;16:437-66. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TAU.1968.1161990. [26] Madisetti VK, editor. The Digital Signal Processing Handbook: Digital Signal Processing Fundamentals. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2017. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420046076. [27] Chowdhury MEH, Rahman T, Khandakar A, Mazhar R, Kadir MA, Mahbub ZB, et al. Can AI help in screening Viral and COVID-19 pneumonia? IEEE Access 2020;8:132665-76. [28] Rahman T, Khandakar A, Qiblawey Y, Tahir A, Kiranyaz S, Abul Kashem SB, et al. Exploring the effect of image enhancement techniques on COVID-19 detection using chest X-ray images. Comput Biol Med 2021;132:104319. [29] Kermany D, Zhang K, Goldbaum M. Labeled Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) and Chest X-Ray Images for Classification 2018;2. https://doi.org/10.17632/rscbjbr9sj.2. [30] Joshi RC, Yadav S, Pathak VK, Malhotra HS, Khokhar HVS, Parihar A, et al. A deep learning-based COVID-19 automatic diagnostic framework using chest X-ray images. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2021;41(1):239-54. [31] Siracusano G, La Corte A, Gaeta M, Cicero G, Chiappini M, Finocchio G. Pipeline for Advanced Contrast Enhancement (PACE) of Chest X-ray in Evaluating COVID-19 Patients by Combining Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition and Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization (CLAHE). Sustainability 2020;12:8573. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/su12208573. [32] Victor Ikechukwu A, Murali S, Deepu R, Shivamurthy RC. ResNet-50 vs VGG-19 vs training from scratch: A comparative analysis of the segmentation and classification of Pneumonia from chest X-ray images. Glob Transit Proc 2021;2:375-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gltp.2021.08.027. [33] Bashar A, Latif G, Ben Brahim G, Mohammad N, Alghazo J. COVID-19 Pneumonia Detection Using Optimized Deep Learning Techniques. Diagn Basel Switz 2021;11:1972. https:// doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11111972. [34] Ozturk T, Talo M, Yildirim EA, Baloglu UB, Yildirim O, Rajendra AU. Automated detection of COVID-19 cases using deep neural networks with X-ray images. Comput Biol Med 2020;121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103792 103792. [35] Gonzalez RC, Woods RE. Digital image processing. New York, NY: Pearson; 2018. [36] Simonyan K, Zisserman A. Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition 2015. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1409.1556.
 - [37] Namani S, Akkapeddi L, Bantu S. Performance Analysis of VGG-19 Deep Learning Model for COVID-19 Detection, 2022. https://doi.org/10.23919/INDIACom54597.2022.9763177.
 - [38] Hamwi WA, Almustafa MM. Development and integration of VGG and dense transfer-learning systems supported with diverse lung images for discovery of the Coronavirus identity. Inform Med Unlocked 2022;32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. imu.2022.101004</u> 101004.
 - [39] Abraham B, Nair MS. Computer-aided detection of COVID-19 from X-ray images using multi-CNN and Bayesnet classifier. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2020;40:1436–45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2020.08.005</u>.
 - [40] Perumal V, Narayanan V, Rajasekar SJS. Prediction of COVID Criticality Score with Laboratory, Clinical and CT Images using Hybrid Regression Models. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 2021;209. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106336</u> 106336.
 - [41] Perumal V, Narayanan V, Rajasekar SJS. Detection of COVID-19 using CXR and CT images using Transfer Learning and Haralick features. Appl Intell Dordr Neth 2021;51:341–58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-020-01831-z</u>.
 - [42] Nandini GS, Kumar APS. K C. Dropout technique for image classification based on extreme learning machine. Glob Transit Proc 2021;2:111–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gltp.2021.01.015</u>.
 - [43] Prusty MR, Jayanthi T, Velusamy K. Weighted-SMOTE: A modification to SMOTE for event classification in sodium cooled fast reactors. Prog Nucl Energy 2017;100:355–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2017.07.015.
 - [44] Mishra NK, Singh P, Joshi SD. Automated detection of COVID-19 from CT scan using convolutional neural network. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2021;41:572–88. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbe.2021.04.006</u>.
 - [45] Baltazar LR, Manzanillo MG, Gaudillo J, Viray ED, Domingo M, Tiangco B, et al. Artificial intelligence on COVID-19 pneumonia detection using chest xray images. PLoS One 2021;16(10):e0257884.
 - [46] Fang L, Wang X. COVID-RDNet: A novel coronavirus pneumonia classification model using the mixed dataset by CT and X-rays images. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2022;42:977–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2022.07.009</u>.
 - [47] Sarv Ahrabi S, Scarpiniti M, Baccarelli E, Momenzadeh A. An Accuracy vs. Complexity Comparison of Deep Learning Architectures for the Detection of COVID-19 Disease. Computation 2021;9:3. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/computation9010003.
 - [48] Mk MV, Atalla S, Almuraqab N, Moonesar IA. Detection of COVID-19 Using Deep Learning Techniques and Cost Effectiveness Evaluation: A Survey. Front. Artif Intell 2022:5.
 - [49] Afshar P, Heidarian S, Naderkhani F, Oikonomou A, Plataniotis KN, Mohammadi A. COVID-CAPS: A capsule network-based framework for identification of COVID-19 cases from X-ray images. Pattern Recognit Lett 2020;138:638–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2020.09.010</u>.
 - [50] Hemdan EE-D, Shouman MA, Karar ME. COVIDX-Net: A Framework of Deep Learning Classifiers to Diagnose COVID-19 in X-Ray Images 2020. https://doi.org/10.48550/ arXiv.2003.11055.
 - [51] Sethy PK, Behera SK. Detection of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Based on Deep Features 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.20944/preprints202003.0300.v1.
 - [52] Wang L, Lin ZQ, Wong A. COVID-Net: a tailored deep convolutional neural network design for detection of COVID-19 cases from chest X-ray images. Sci Rep 2020;10:19549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76550-z</u>.
 - [53] Apostolopoulos ID, Mpesiana TA. Covid-19: automatic detection from X-ray images utilizing transfer learning with

ARTICLE IN PRESS

x x x

1108	convolutional neural networks. Phys Eng Sci Med
1109	2020;43:635-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13246-020-00865-4.
1110	54] Altan A, Karasu S. Recognition of COVID-19 disease from X-
1111	ray images by hybrid model consisting of 2D curvelet
1112	transform, chaotic salp swarm algorithm and deep learning
1113	technique. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2020;140. https://doi.org/
1114	<u>10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110071</u> 110071.
1115	55] Narin A, Kaya C, Pamuk Z. Automatic detection of
1116	coronavirus disease (COVID-19) using X-ray images and deep
1117	convolutional neural networks. Pattern Anal Appl
1118	2021;24:1207-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10044-021-00984-y.
1119	[56] Munusamy H, Muthukumar KJ, Gnanaprakasam S,
1120	Shanmugakani TR, Sekar A. FractalCovNet architecture for
1121	COVID-19 Chest X-ray image Classification and CT-scan
1122	image Segmentation. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2021;41:1025–38.
1123	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2021.06.011.
1124	57] Lacerda P, Barros B, Albuquerque C, Conci A. Hyperparameter
4405	Outining the COMP 10 Provide Diamonic Diamonic Provide

- 1124 [57] Lacerda P, Barros B, Albuquerque C, Conci A. Hyperparameter
 1125 Optimization for COVID-19 Pneumonia Diagnosis Based on
 1126 Chest CT. Sensors 2021;21:2174. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u>
 1127 <u>s21062174</u>.
- 1128 [58] Park S, Kim G, Oh Y, Seo JB, Lee SM, Kim JH, et al. Multi-task
 1129 vision transformer using low-level chest X-ray feature corpus
 1130 for COVID-19 diagnosis and severity quantification. Med
 1131 Image Anal 2022;75:102299.
- [59] Bhattacharyya A, Bhaik D, Kumar S, Thakur P, Sharma R,
 Pachori RB. A deep learning based approach for automatic

detection of COVID-19 cases using chest X-ray images. Biomed Signal Process Control 2022;71. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1016/j.bspc.2021.103182 103182.

- [60] Patel RK, Kashyap M. Automated diagnosis of COVID stages from lung CT images using statistical features in 2dimensional flexible analytic wavelet transform. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2022;42:829–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbe.2022.06.005</u>.
- [61] Kumar R, Arora R, Bansal V, Sahayasheela VJ, Buckchash H, Imran J, et al. Classification of COVID-19 from chest x-ray images using deep features and correlation coefficient. Multimed Tools Appl 2022;81(19):27631–55.
- [62] Meng J, Tan Z, Yu Y, Wang P, Liu S. TL-med: A Two-stage transfer learning recognition model for medical images of COVID-19. Biocybern Biomed Eng 2022;42:842–55. <u>https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bbe.2022.04.005</u>.
- [63] Karaci A. VGGCOV19-NET: automatic detection of COVID-19 cases from X-ray images using modified VGG19 CNN architecture and YOLO algorithm. Neural Comput Appl 2022;34:8253–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-022-06918-x</u>.
- [64] Gupta RK, Kunhare N, Pateriya RK, Pathik N. A Deep Neural Network for Detecting Coronavirus Disease Using Chest X-Ray Images: Int J Healthc Inf Syst Inform 2022;17:1–27. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJHISI.20220401.oa1.

17

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158