



Rapid Diagnostic Test to Detect and Discriminate Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis Virus (IHNV) Genogroups U and M to Aid Management of Pacific Northwest Salmonid Populations

William N. Batts ¹, Tony R. Capps ², Lisa M. Crosson ², Rachel L. Powers ¹, Rachel Breyta ³ and Maureen K. Purcell ^{1,*}

- ¹ U.S. Geological Survey, Western Fisheries Research Center, Seattle, WA 98115, USA; bbatts@usgs.gov (W.N.B.); rpowers@usgs.gov (R.L.P.)
- ² Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, WA 98501, USA; tony.capps@dfw.wa.gov (T.R.C.); lisa.crosson@dfw.wa.gov (L.M.C.)
- ³ School of Aquatic and Fisheries Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; rbjmax@uw.edu
- Correspondence: mpurcell@usgs.gov

Simple Summary: Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) can cause severe disease and mortality in salmon and trout. Two major groups of the virus are found in the North American Columbia River Basin and Washington Coast, designated U and M. The U and M groups pose different risks depending on the fish host species. Here, we report the development of a new diagnostic test that can both detect the presence of IHNV and rapidly discriminate between the U and M groups. The new assay proved sensitive and specific when applied to free ranging, returning adult Pacific salmon.

Abstract: Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) is an acute pathogen of salmonids in North America, Europe, and Asia that is phylogenetically classified into five major virus genogroups (U, M, L, E, and J). The geographic range of the U and M genogroup isolates overlap in the North American Columbia River Basin and Washington Coast region, where these genogroups pose different risks depending on the species of Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.). For certain management decisions, there is a need to both test for IHNV presence and rapidly determine the genogroup. Herein, we report the development and validation of a U/M multiplex reverse transcription, real-time PCR (RT-rPCR) assay targeting the IHNV nucleocapsid (N) protein gene. The new U/M RT-rPCR is a rapid, sensitive, and repeatable assay capable of specifically discriminating between North American U and M genogroup IHNV isolates. However, one M genogroup isolate obtained from commercially cultured Idaho rainbow trout (O. mykiss) showed reduced sensitivity with the RT-rPCR test, suggesting caution may be warranted before applying RT-rPCR as the sole surveillance test in areas associated with the Idaho trout industry. The new U/M assay had high diagnostic sensitivity (DSe > 94%) and specificity (DSp > 97%) in free-ranging adult Pacific salmon, when assessed relative to cell culture, the widely accepted reference standard, as well as the previously validated universal N RT-rPCR test. The high diagnostic performance of the new U/M assay indicates the test is suitable for surveillance, diagnosis, and confirmation of IHNV in Pacific salmon from the Pacific Northwest regions where the U and M genogroups overlap.

Keywords: infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus; IHNV; diagnostic accuracy; sensitivity; specificity; strain discrimination; genogroup; salmonid; Columbia River Basin

1. Introduction

Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV; *Novirhabdovirus salmonid*) is a highly lethal rhabdovirus of wild and cultured salmonid fishes [1,2]. Phylogenetic analysis has



Citation: Batts, W.N.; Capps, T.R.; Crosson, L.M.; Powers, R.L.; Breyta, R.; Purcell, M.K. Rapid Diagnostic Test to Detect and Discriminate Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis Virus (IHNV) Genogroups U and M to Aid Management of Pacific Northwest Salmonid Populations. *Animals* 2022, *12*, 1761. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ani12141761

Academic Editors: Mohamed Faisal and Jun Li

Received: 19 May 2022 Accepted: 7 July 2022 Published: 9 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). identified five major virus genogroups of IHNV worldwide, designated U, M, L, E, and J [3]. Genogroups U, M, and L are all present in western North America and correspond to the upper, middle, and lower portions of the IHNV geographic range [4]. The U genogroup ranges from Alaska through Oregon and inland throughout the Columbia River Basin (CRB) [5], the M genogroup is found throughout the CRB and sporadically on the Washington Coast [6], and the L is found in southern Oregon and California [7]. The European E genogroup IHNV strains are ancestrally derived from the M genogroup, and the Asian J genogroup ancestrally derived from the U genogroup [3]. The genus *Novirhabdovirus* is within the family *Rhabdoviridae* and includes IHNV, viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (*N. piscine*, VHSV), hirame rhabdovirus (*N. hirame*, HIRRV), and snakehead rhabdovirus (*N. snakehead*, SHRV) [3,8].

Given the severity of disease caused by IHNV in economically and ecologically significant salmonids, detection of the virus is reportable to the World Organization of Animal Health (OIE) and many state, tribal, and provincial North American natural resource agencies [9,10]. Within the Columbia and Snake Rivers, both U and M genogroups of IHNV are likely to occur in salmonid populations each year but not all watersheds have both U and M detections [11,12]. Strains belonging to the M genogroup of IHNV are highly lethal to rainbow trout and steelhead Oncorhynchus mykiss [13,14]. Strains belonging to the U genogroup are highly lethal to sockeye salmon O. nerka [13,15,16]. Genogroups of IHNV can be further divided into subgroups. In the CRB, the UC subgroup is commonly found in both Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha and steelhead and is less frequently associated with juvenile mortality, unlike the UP subgroup that primarily infects and causes juvenile disease in sockeye salmon outside this basin [17]. Four main subgroups exist within the M genogroup with the MD subgroup commonly infecting CRB steelhead and causing juvenile mortality [6,18]. Since UC and MD strains are common in the CRB but pose different risks to various species, managers often desire the ability to discriminate between these two strains. This has taken on a greater need with plans to re-establish salmonids in historical ranges where fish passage was blocked by dam operations [19]. Some blocked reaches have native trout species that have never been exposed to the more recently evolved M genogroup of IHNV [11]. Typically distinguishing between U and M strains requires DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis. A rapid test to discriminate between strains would provide fish health managers the ability to quickly ascertain the risk when moving salmonids between watersheds and/or to evaluate potential disease risks to host populations.

While immunological, histological, and conventional PCR tests for IHNV are recommended by the OIE for presumptive diagnosis of clinically affected fish and/or confirmatory diagnosis, only cell culture and a validated reverse transcriptase real-time PCR (RT-rPCR) are recommended for all purposes including IHNV surveillance of apparently healthy fish [20]. The OIE recommended test is a rapid, sensitive, and validated RT-rPCR test that targets a conserved region of the nucleocapsid (N) gene and allows universal detection of known strains (hereafter referred to as the N Uni RT-rPCR assay) [21,22]. While other RT-rPCR assays for IHNV have been reported previously [23–25], these are not currently recommended by the OIE. None of the previously developed RT-rPCR assays discriminate specific genogroups. The goal of our study was to develop and validate an RT-rPCR assay with high sensitivity to detect IHNV and high specificity to discriminate between the known North American U and M genogroup strains. To fit our purpose, the assay must be able to identify known U and M IHNV isolates, not cross-react with other viral species, and reliably detect viral loads typically found in infected salmon populations. Here, we report the development of the new U/M RT-rPCR assay, as well as the analytical and diagnostic validation of this new test in comparison to the OIE recommended cell culture (our reference standard) and N Uni RT-rPCR tests. The new U/M multiplex RT-rPCR assay provides a simple, fast, sensitive, and specific diagnostic tool that produces reliable results suitable for aiding management decisions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Assay Development

A thorough search of U and M IHNV sequences, as well as other *Novirhabdovirus* sequences (Supplementary Table S1), revealed only one region suitable for the locked nucleic acid (LNA) multiplex probe design to distinguish between U and M strains. Three nucleotide mismatches distinguished the U and M strains in the candidate locked nucleic acid (LNA) probe binding site (Table 1). The U/M RT-rPCR primer and probe sites were adjacent but slightly upstream of the N Uni RT-rPCR primer and probe sites [21]. For discrimination of U and M genogroup viruses within the same reaction, the U LNA probe was labeled with HEX 5' reporter dye and the M LNA probe was labeled with 6FAM 5' reporter dye; both probes had a 3' Iowa Black quencher (Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT), Inc., Coralville, IA, USA). An artificial positive control (APC) plasmid [21,26] and a gBlock gene fragment based on the same sequence as the APC were designed to encode the RT-rPCR target region (Supplementary Figure S1). The U/M assay artificial constructs, as well as the constructs previously developed for the N Uni assay [21], were used as assay positive controls and for the analytical validation stage.

Table 1. Primer and probe configuration for the U/M RT-rPCR assay. The "+" indicates location of locked nucleic acids (LNA) in probes, bold and underlined bases show three sites of discrimination between U and M genogroups.

Primer/Probe Name	Sequence (5'–3')				
IHNV U/M 739F	ACCAAGGCTATCTATGGGATCATTCTCAT				
IHNV U/M 817R	TGGCGCACAGTGCCTTG				
U Probe (785-795)	HEX-CC+A+C+CGCCGCT-IABkFQ/LNA				
M Probe (783-795)	6-FAM-AGCC+A+T+CGC+TGCC-IABkFQ/LNA				

2.2. RT-rPCR Reaction Conditions

Extracted RNA from cell culture grown IHNV or laboratory infected steelhead was used for initial testing of the U/M RT-rPCR assay. Culture of IHNV in EPC, harvesting of culture supernatant and RNA extraction was performed as described [21]. A juvenile steelhead (Dworshak National Fish Hatchery origin) was reared to 1.1 g in specific pathogen-free water, artificially exposed simultaneously to UC (strain DW10) and MD (strains QTS07) to generate a co-infected fish, as described by [27], sampled at 3 days post-exposure and RNA from whole fry was extracted [28]. High Capacity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used for RNA reverse transcription, as described by [21]. Assay parameters were as previously described for the N Uni RT-rPCR [21] as these conditions performed suitably for the new U/M RT-rPCR. Briefly, the ViiA-7 Real-Time PCR System or the QuantStudio 6 Flex Real Time PCR System (Life Technologies) were used with cycling conditions of 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. Each 12 µL total reaction contains: 7 µL of TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies, Inc.) with 900 nM forward primer, 900 nM reverse primer, 200 nM of IHNV U probe, 200 nM of IHNV M probe, and 5 µL of cDNA (1:5 diluted in water). Negative template controls and positive standards were included on each plate run.

2.3. Analytical Validation

To evaluate analytical specificity (ASp), the U/M and N Uni RT-rPCR assays were tested for cross-reactivity to five fish rhabdovirus RNA samples: VHSV (Genogroups Ia, IVa, and IVb), HIRRV, and SHRV (Table 2). A total of 58 IHNV isolates representing U (21), M (31), L (2), E (2), and J (2) genogroups were tested to compare IHNV detection using multiplex U/M assay to the validated N Uni RT-rPCR assay (Table 2). An increased number of U and M representatives from the CRB was tested to ensure the reliability of the RT-rPCR assays for this region.

Table 2. Evaluation of analytical specificity (ASp) of the U/M multiplex reverse transcriptase realtime PCR (RT-rPCR) assay compared to the N Universal (N Uni) RT-rPCR. RNA extracted from viral isolates, including infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV), hirame rhabdovirus (HIRRV), and snakehead rhabdovirus (SHRV). Bolded values with asterisk indicate a higher-than-expected C_T, suggesting reduced assay sensitivity for that strain. C_T: cycle threshold; bd: below detection. N uni: N-gene universal IHNV probe, U/M multi: multiplex IHNV probes detecting either the U or M genogroup.

HBNV U P Auker7 AK, USA 1977 18.2 16.5 bid U P BC/4514 BC 1992 11.48 13.1 bid U P BC/4514 BC 1992 11.48 13.1 bid U P BC/4514 BC 1992 11.48 13.1 bid U P RL9 Russia 2001 11.5 11.5 bid U P RL9 Russia 2001 11.5 11.5 bid U P CARD WA, USA 2012 17.5 11.5 bid U C RB5 600, USA 2005 17.4 16.4 bid U C LYD07 WA, USA 2008 12.5 14.4 U C LYD07 WA, USA 2015 17.4 16.4 bid U C LYD20 WA, USA 2016 12.5 <th>Viral Species</th> <th>Major Genogroup</th> <th>Sub-Group</th> <th>Isolate Name</th> <th>Geographic Area</th> <th>Year</th> <th>Mean C_T N Uni</th> <th>Mean C_T U Probe</th> <th>Mean C_T M Probe</th>	Viral Species	Major Genogroup	Sub-Group	Isolate Name	Geographic Area	Year	Mean C _T N Uni	Mean C _T U Probe	Mean C _T M Probe
U P G177 AK, USA 1977 17.0 13.9 bd U P BL04 WA, USA 1900 15.3 15.4 15.6 bd U P BL04 WA, USA 2000 15.3 15.6 bd U P BC303 BC, Canada 2002 17.5 15.9 bd U P BAM15 WA, USA 2015 17.5 13.5 bd U P BAM15 WA, USA 2005 17.5 13.5 bd U C R181 07.0 13.8 bd	IHNV	U	Р	Auke77	AK, USA	1977	18.2	16.5	bd
U P B6:6814 BC, Canada 1992 14.8 13.1 bd U P BR04 W0, USA 2010 15.3 15.6 bd U P R19 Rossia 2001 15.3 15.9 bd U P GKR12 W0, USA 2012 17.5 15.9 bd U P GKR12 W0, USA 2012 17.3 15.5 bd U C R181 000, USA 2005 17.5 15.5 bd U C R181 000, USA 2008 12.5 15.4 bd U C LYDO W0, USA 2009 16.3 15.4 bd U C LYDO W0, USA 2015 17.3 18.2 bd U C LYDO W0, USA 2015 17.3 18.2 bd U C LYDO W0, USA 2015 17.3 <td></td> <td>U</td> <td>Р</td> <td>GF77</td> <td>AK, USA</td> <td>1977</td> <td>17.0</td> <td>13.9</td> <td>bd</td>		U	Р	GF77	AK, USA	1977	17.0	13.9	bd
U P Bib24 WA, USA 1994 U.7.4 15.6 bd U P BC038 BCC anada 2002 17.5 15.9 bd U P BC038 BCC anada 2002 17.5 15.8 bd U P MM15 WA, USA 2015 17.5 15.6 bd U C R18 OK, USA 2005 15.4 bd bd U C R180 OK, USA 2005 15.5 bd <t< td=""><td></td><td>U</td><td>Р</td><td>BC4814</td><td>BC, Canada</td><td>1992</td><td>14.8</td><td>13.1</td><td>bd</td></t<>		U	Р	BC4814	BC, Canada	1992	14.8	13.1	bd
		U	Р	Blk94	WA, USA	1994	17.4	15.6	bd
		U	Р	RU1	Russia	2000	18.3	18.2	bd
U P BC203 BC, Canada 2002 125 159 bid U P MM12 WA, DSA 2015 173 136 bid U C BB OR, LSA 2015 165 165 bid U C BB OR, LSA 2005 174 164 bid U C LYF05 WA, DSA 2005 174 164 bid U C LYF09 UXSA 2009 163 154 bid U C LYF09 UXSA 2001 181 166 bid U C LYF019 WA, USA 2015 186 176 bid U C 174019 WA, USA 2015 186 176 bid U C 174019 WA, USA 1990 162 bid 177 M A WRAC 10, USA 2015 186 17		U	Р	RU9	Russia	2001	15.4	13.6	bd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	Р	BC203	BC, Canada	2002	17.5	15.9	bd
U P MM15 WA, USA 2015 17.3 13.5 bd U C 1876 WA, USA 2005 17.3 15.5 bd U C 1876 WA, USA 2005 17.4 16.4 bd U C 1876 WA, USA 2008 20.5 19.5 bd U C 17709 WA, USA 2009 18.5 17.5 bd U C 177010 ID, USA 2010 17.3 15.5 bd U C 177030 WA, USA 2010 17.3 15.4 bd U C 177030 WA, USA 2015 17.4 bd 17.1 M N L880 WA, USA 1980 17.4 bd 17.1 M A 2007 10 USA 1990 16.2 bd 16.0 M B 17.967 10 USA <		U	Р	CdR12	WA, USA	2012	17.0	13.9	bd
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		U	Р	MM15	WA, USA	2015	17.5	13.5	bd
U C RBS OR, USA 2005 16.5 15.5 bd U C LYRB WA, USA 2008 12.4 16.4 bd U C LSK09 WA, USA 2008 16.5 11.5 bd U C LX09 WA, USA 2000 18.1 16.6 bd U C LNFH10 WA, USA 2010 17.3 15.5 bd U C 17-020 WA, USA 2010 17.3 15.6 bd U C 17-020 WA, USA 2015 18.6 17.6 bd U C 17-031 WA, USA 2016 17.4 bd 17.7 M A WRAQC 10.USA 1982 11.3 bd 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0		U	С	RB1	OR, USA	1975	17.3	15.6	bd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	C	RB5	OR, USA	2005	16.5	15.5	bd
U C KSK08 WA, USA 2008 16.3 15.4 bd U C LYFH0 WA, USA 2009 16.3 17.5 bd U C LYFH10 WA, USA 2010 17.3 15.5 bd U C LYU10 ULSA 2015 18.6 17.6 bd U C 17/420 WA, USA 2015 18.6 17.6 bd U C 17/431 WA, USA 2015 18.6 17.6 bd U C 17/431 WA, USA 2015 18.6 17.6 bd M A WRAC 1928 21.1 bd 17.7 bd 16.2 bd 16.0 bd 17.7 M B 17.468 DUSA 1980 16.2 bd 16.0 16.2 bd 16.0 18.4 18.7 M C 17.073 ID, USA 1999		U	C	LYF05	WA, USA	2005	17.4	16.4	bd
U C LYP0 WA, USA 2009 16.3 15.4 bd U C LYP0 WA, USA 2010 18.3 17.5 bd U C LYP10 WA, USA 2010 17.3 15.5 bd U C LYP10 WA, USA 2015 17.6 18.6 bd U C LYP10 WA, USA 2016 25.2 24.3 bd U C LYP10 WA, USA 2016 25.2 24.3 bd M N LR80 WA, USA 1980 17.4 bd 17.1 M A WRAC ID, USA 1980 16.2 bd 16.0 M B 127.067 ID, USA 2018 21.6 bd 15.8 M C 17.073 ID, USA 1981 16.2 bd 15.8 M D KR208 ID, USA 1999 18.0 </td <td></td> <td>U</td> <td>C</td> <td>KSK08</td> <td>WA, USA</td> <td>2008</td> <td>20.5</td> <td>19.5</td> <td>bd</td>		U	C	KSK08	WA, USA	2008	20.5	19.5	bd
U C LICUP HJUSA 2009 HS.3 L/5 bd U C DN100 HJUSA 2010 HS.1 H5.6 hd U C DN100 HJUSA 2010 T23 H5.5 hd U C 17/031 WA,USA 2015 T23 H5 hd U C 17/031 WA,USA 2016 H5.2 22.3 Hd U A KAC TD,USA 1982 H1.1 Hd H7.7 M A WKAC TD,USA 1982 HS.1 Hd H6.0 H6.0 M B 17.067 HD,USA 1982 HS.1 Hd H5.75 M C 17.451 HD,USA 2008 21.6 Hd H5.75 M C 17.451 HD,USA 1991 H6.2 Hd H5.5 M D KK81 WJ,USA 1981 <t< td=""><td></td><td>U</td><td>C</td><td>LYF09</td><td>WA, USA</td><td>2009</td><td>16.3</td><td>15.4</td><td>bd</td></t<>		U	C	LYF09	WA, USA	2009	16.3	15.4	bd
U C LVH10 WA, USA 2010 18.1 16.0 bdd U C 17/420 WA, USA 2010 17.3 15.5 bdd U C 17/420 WA, USA 2015 18.6 17.6 bdd U Asia Shiu20 WA, USA 2016 25.2 24.3 bdd M Asia Shiu20 WA, USA 1982 21.3 bdd 17.7 M N WRAC WH040 1980 15.2 bd 16.0 M B 17.067 110 USA 200.4 bd 15.5 M B 17.4578 110 USA 2014 200.4* bd 15.5 M C 17.4751 110 USA 1991 16.2 bd 15.3 M D SK81 WA, USA 1995 16.7 bd 16.3 M D K689 ID, U		U	C	LYC09	ID, USA	2009	18.5	17.5	bd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	C	LNFHIU	WA, USA	2010	18.1	16.6	ba
U C 1/420 WA, USA 2015 11.8 11.7.6 18.2 bd U C 17/4019 WA, USA 2015 11.86 17.6 bd U Asia Shitz2 Japan 2016 25.2 24.3 bd N N L800 WA, USA 2016 25.2 24.3 bd N N L800 WA, USA 1980 17.4 bd 17.7 M B 17.407 110 USA 2080 15.2 bd 15.8 M B 17.407 110 USA 2014 20.0* bd 15.8 M C 17.4051 10 USA 1991 16.2 bd 15.5 M D SK81 WA, USA 1999 17.3 bd 16.3 M D K689 ID, USA 1999 16.7 bd 16.6 M D		U	C	DW10	ID, USA	2010	17.3	15.5	bd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	C	17-020	WA, USA	2015	17.8	18.2	ba
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	C	17-019	WA, USA	2015	18.6	17.6	ba
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	C.	17-031	WA, USA	2016	25.2	24.3	bd
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		U	Asia	5niz82	Japan	1982	21.3	19.6	ba
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		M	N	LK80	WA, USA	1980	17.4	ba	17.1
M B 212-030 ID, USA 2090 16.2 6d 16.0 M B H7067 ID, USA 2004 20.0* bd 15.8 M C C30 ID, USA 1991 16.2 bd 15.5 M C 17-0751 ID, USA 1999 18.0 bd 17.3 M C 17-0751 ID, USA 1981 14.4 bd 15.3 M D 5K81 WA, USA 1995 16.7 bd 16.3 M D KK89 ID, USA 1999 21.7 bd 16.6 M D 17-058 ID, USA 1999 21.7 bd 15.5 M D 1802 WA, USA 2002 16.3 bd 15.5 M D 1802 WA, USA 2002 16.5 bd 15.7 M D 1800 WA, USA 2006 15.7		M	A	WKAC	ID, USA	1982	18.1	ba	1/./
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		M	В	220-90	ID, USA	1990	16.2	ba	16.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		M	B	17-067	ID, USA	2008	21.6	bd 1-1	18.7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		M	В	Hg508	ID, USA	2014	20.0 *	bd 1-1	15.8
M C 17401 1D, USA 1999 18.0 bd 17.3 M D 5K81 WA, USA 1981 14.4 bd 13.6 M D 5K81 WA, USA 1989 17.3 bd 16.3 M D 5K94 WA, USA 1994 16.1 bd 15.3 M D 0.977 WA, USA 1997 16.1 bd 15.3 M D 0.997 WA, USA 1999 24.2* bd 17.3 M D 17.058 1D, USA 1999 24.2* bd 17.0 M D SK02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 17.0 M D SK04 WA, USA 2002 16.5 bd 14.9 M D Qb07 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 14.9 M D Qb07 WA,USA 2010 16.3		M	C	17.051	ID, USA	1991	16.2	DQ 1-1	15.5
M C 1/40/3 LD, USA 2012 15.8 Dd 280 M D SK81 WA, USA 1981 14.4 bd 13.6 M D KK89 ID, USA 1981 14.4 bd 15.3 M D KK89 ID, USA 1994 16.1 bd 15.3 M D Mer95 WA, USA 1997 16.1 bd 15.3 M D 174054 ID, USA 1999 24.2* bd 17.3 M D 174054 ID, USA 1999 24.7* bd 17.3 M D SK02 WA, USA 2002 16.3 bd 17.5 M D SK02 WA, USA 2004 15.1 bd 14.5 M D CW06 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 14.9 M D Qbt07 WA, USA 2010 16.3 <td></td> <td>M</td> <td>C</td> <td>17-051</td> <td>ID, USA</td> <td>1999</td> <td>18.0</td> <td>bd 1-1</td> <td>17.3</td>		M	C	17-051	ID, USA	1999	18.0	bd 1-1	17.3
M D 5K01 WA, USA 1961 14-4 00 153 M D K89 WA, USA 1994 16.1 bd 15.3 M D K894 WA, USA 1995 16.7 bd 15.6 M D Qts97 WA, USA 1997 16.1 bd 15.3 M D 17.054 ID, USA 1999 24.2 bd 17.3 M D SK02 WA, USA 2002 16.3 bd 15.5 M D SK02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 17.0 M D SK04 WA, USA 2004 15.1 bd 14.5 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 17.7 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2010 16.3		M		17-073 CV01	ID, USA	2012	19.8	DCI hd	28.0 *
M D KK89 ID, USA 1999 17.3 Dd 163 M D Mer95 WA, USA 1995 16.7 bd 16.6 M D Qts97 WA, USA 1997 16.1 bd 15.3 M D 17.054 ID, USA 1999 24.2* bd 17.3 M D 17.058 ID, USA 1999 21.7 bd 21.0 M D SK02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 17.0 M D SK02 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 14.5 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 15.2 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D 17.074 ID, USA 2013 18.7<		M	D	5K01 VV90	ID LICA	1901	14.4	bu	15.0
M D 3K94 WA, USA 1994 16.1 bd 15.3 M D Qts97 WA, USA 1997 16.1 bd 15.3 M D 17-058 ID, USA 1999 24.2* bd 17.3 M D 17-058 ID, USA 1999 24.2* bd 15.1 M D 17-058 ID, USA 2002 16.3 bd 15.5 M D Tan02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 17.0 M D CW06 WA, USA 2004 15.1 bd 14.5 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 17.7 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2010 16.2 bd 16.0 M D I7-075 ID, USA 2013 18.2 bd 16.5 M D 17-081 ID, USA 2014		M	D	KK09 SV04	ID, USA	1909	17.5	bu	10.3
M D Marges WA, USA 1995 10.7 bd 10.0 M D QB97 WA, USA 1999 24.2* bd 17.3 M D 17-054 ID, USA 1999 24.2* bd 21.0 M D 17-058 ID, USA 1999 24.2* bd 21.0 M D SK02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 17.0 M D SK04 WA, USA 2004 15.1 bd 14.5 M D QB07 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 14.9 M D QB07 WA, USA 2009 19.6 bd 18.9 M D QB07 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D QB17-075 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2014 1		M	D	5K94 Mor05	WA, USA	1994	16.1	bd	13.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		M		Ote97	WA USA	1995	16.7	bd	15.3
M D 17 033 10, 034 1099 21.7 bd 21.0 M D 5K02 WA, USA 2002 16.3 bd 15.5 M D Tan02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 17.0 M D Tan02 WA, USA 2002 16.3 bd 14.5 M D SK04 WA, USA 2004 15.1 bd 14.5 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 14.9 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 15.2 M D Qts10 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-081 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 16.5 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2014 17.		M	D	17-054	ID LISA	1999	24.2 *	bd	17.3
M D FX00 HV, DX		M	D	17-054	ID, USA	1999	24.2	bd	21.0
M D Tan02 WA, USA 2002 16.5 bd 17.0 M D Tan02 WA, USA 2002 16.8 bd 14.5 M D SK04 WA, USA 2006 15.7 bd 14.9 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 17.7 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2009 19.6 bd 18.9 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D Qts10 WA, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2013 18.7 bd 18.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 16.5 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 17		M	D	SK02	WA LISA	2002	16.3	bd	15.5
M D KN02 KN12 KN12<		M	D	Tan02	WA LISA	2002	16.8	bd	17.0
M D CW06 WA, USA 2007 16.1 Dd 14.9 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 17.7 M D Qts07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 18.9 M D Qts10 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 18.9 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2013 18.7 bd 18.4 M D 17-081 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 16.5 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D 17-098 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 2015 17		M	D	SK04	WA USA	2002	15.0	bd	14.5
M D Qis07 WA, USA 2007 16.5 bd 17.7 M D DW09 ID, USA 2009 19.6 bd 18.9 M D Qts10 WA, USA 2009 19.6 bd 18.9 M D Qts10 WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2013 18.7 bd 18.4 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 16.5 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.7 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.1 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20		M	D	CW06	WA USA	2004	15.1	bd	14.9
M D Quoto Int, Grin Los Int Dot Int, Grin		M	D	Ots07	WA LISA	2007	16.5	bd	17.7
M D Otion WA, USA 2010 16.3 bd 15.2 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2013 18.7 bd 18.4 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 16.5 M D 17-081 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L I R0031 CA, USA 1987 <td< td=""><td></td><td>M</td><td>D</td><td>DW09</td><td>ID. USA</td><td>2009</td><td>19.6</td><td>bd</td><td>18.9</td></td<>		M	D	DW09	ID. USA	2009	19.6	bd	18.9
M D 12-074 ID, USA 2013 16.2 bd 16.0 M D 17-074 ID, USA 2013 18.7 bd 18.4 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 18.4 M D 17-081 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 14.6 M D Hg511 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-018 ID, USA 2015 20.4 bd 20.2 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L II France 1987 21.2		M	D	Ots10	WA LISA	2010	16.3	bd	15.2
M D 17-075 ID, USA 2013 18.7 bd 18.4 M D 17-075 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 16.5 M D Hg511 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D Hg511 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-098 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-098 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L I France 1987 21.2 bd <td></td> <td>M</td> <td>D</td> <td>17-074</td> <td>ID. USA</td> <td>2013</td> <td>16.2</td> <td>bd</td> <td>16.0</td>		M	D	17-074	ID. USA	2013	16.2	bd	16.0
M D 17-081 ID, USA 2014 17.5 bd 16-5 M D Hg511 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-098 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 I I C18 CA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I FR0031 CA, USA 2000 22.3 21.1 bd L I FR0031 CA, USA 2000 22.3 21.1 bd J - ChAb76 Japan 1994		M	D	17-075	ID, USA	2013	18.7	bd	18.4
M D Hg511 ID, USA 2014 15.5 bd 14.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-098 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 20.0 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 20.2 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 20.2 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 20.2 M D 17-023 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 20.2 IL I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L I FR0031 CA, USA 2000 22.3 21.1 bd J - Sch4b76 Japan 1994 <t< td=""><td></td><td>M</td><td>D</td><td>17-081</td><td>ID, USA</td><td>2014</td><td>17.5</td><td>bd</td><td>16.5</td></t<>		M	D	17-081	ID, USA	2014	17.5	bd	16.5
M D 17-087 ID, USA 2015 19.6 bd 18.6 M D 17-098 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 20.4 bd 20.2 M D 17-023 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 2000 22.3 21.1 bd L II FR0031 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - 55/94 Austria 1994 19.4 16.4 bd J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 1		M	D	Hg511	ID, USA	2014	15.5	bd	14.6
M D 17-08 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 20.2 bd 20.0 M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 20.4 bd 20.2 M D 17-023 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L II FR0031 CA, USA 2000 22.3 21.1 bd E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - S5/94 Austria 1994 19.4 bd 18.9 J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 19.0 14.7 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1		M	D	17-087	ID, USA	2015	19.6	bd	18.6
M D 17-100 ID, USA 2015 17.8 bd 17.5 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 20.4 bd 20.2 M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L D 17-013 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L II FR0031 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - S5/94 Austria 1994 19.4 bd 18.9 J - ChAb76 Japan 1976 18.0 16.4 bd VHSV J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 19.0 14.7 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denma		М	D	17-098	ID, USA	2015	20.2	bd	20.0
M D 17-014 WA, USA 2015 20.4 bd 20.2 M D 17-023 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L II FR0031 CA, USA 2000 22.3 21.1 bd E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - S5/94 Austria 1994 19.4 bd 18.9 J - ChAb76 Japan 1976 18.0 16.4 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd VHSV I A MX392B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd IV B M103 MI, USA		М	D	17-100	ID, USA	2015	17.8	bd	17.5
M D 17-023 WA, USA 2015 17.3 bd 17.1 L I C18 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd L II FR0031 CA, USA 1991 20.5 18.9 bd E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - 55/94 Austria 1994 19.4 bd 18.9 J - ChAb76 Japan 1976 18.0 16.4 bd J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 19.0 14.7 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd VHSV I A MKash WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd VHSV I A Makah WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd IV B Mi03 <t< td=""><td></td><td>М</td><td>D</td><td>17-014</td><td>WA. USA</td><td>2015</td><td>20.4</td><td>bd</td><td>20.2</td></t<>		М	D	17-014	WA. USA	2015	20.4	bd	20.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		М	D	17-023	WA, USA	2015	17.3	bd	17.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		L	Ι	C18	CA, USA	1991	20.5	18.9	bd
E - 32/87 France 1987 21.2 bd 20.9 E - 55/94 Austria 1994 19.4 bd 18.9 J - ChAb76 Japan 1976 18.0 16.4 bd VHSV J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 19.0 14.7 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd IV A Makah WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd IV B MI03 MI, USA 2003 bd bd bd HIRRV - - Thailand 1986 bd bd bd		L	II	FR0031	CA, USA	2000	22.3	21.1	bd
E - 55/94 Austria 1994 19.4 bd 18.9 J - ChAb76 Japan 1976 18.0 16.4 bd J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 19.0 14.7 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd IV A Makah WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd IV B MI03 MI, USA 2003 bd bd bd HIRRV - - Thailand 1986 bd bd bd		Е	-	32/87	France	1987	21.2	bd	20.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Е	-	55/94	Austria	1994	19.4	bd	18.9
J - RtUi02 Korea 2002 19.0 14.7 bd VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd IV A Makah WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd IV B MI03 MI, USA 2003 bd bd bd HIRRV - - 8401H Japan 1986 bd bd bd SHRV - - Thailand 1986 bd bd bd		J	-	ChAb76	Japan	1976	18.0	16.4	bd
VHSV I A DK3592B Denmark 1986 bd bd bd IV A Makah WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd IV A Makah WA, USA 1988 bd bd bd IV B MI03 MI, USA 2003 bd bd bd HIRRV - - 8401H Japan 1984 bd bd bd SHRV - - Thailand 1986 bd bd bd		Ī	-	RtUi02	Korea	2002	19.0	14.7	bd
IVAMakahWA, USA1988bdbdbdIVBMI03MI, USA2003bdbdbdHIRRV8401HJapan1984bdbdbdSHRVThailand1986bdbdbd	VHSV	Ĭ	А	DK3592B	Denmark	1986	bd	bd	bd
IVBMI03MI, USA2003bdbdbdHIRRV8401HJapan1984bdbdbdbdSHRVThailand1986bdbdbd		IV	А	Makah	WA, USA	1988	bd	bd	bd
HIRRV 8401H Japan 1984 bd bd bd SHRV Thailand 1986 bd bd bd		IV	В	MI03	MI, USA	2003	bd	bd	bd
SHRV Thailand 1986 bd bd bd	HIRRV	-	-	8401H	Japan	1984	bd	bd	bd
	SHRV	-	-	-	Thailand	1986	bd	bd	bd

To evaluate PCR efficiency and analytical sensitivity (ASe), serial 10-fold dilutions of the APC plasmid DNA, gBlock DNA, and infected steelhead cDNA were subjected to the U/M and N Uni RT-rPCR assays. The quality of standard curves was evaluated by the

coefficient of determination (r^2), slope, and y-intercept. For infected steelhead, mean cycle threshold (C_T) values were converted to mean log_{10} IHNV copies per reaction as previously described [21].

Within-run repeatability of the U/M RT-rPCR and N Uni assay was estimated by performing five replicate wells (in the same run) of U (UP–BLK94) and M (MD–Qts-07) isolates representing three different viral load levels, higher (~22 CT), medium (~25 CT), and lower (~29 CT). To measure the between-run repeatability of the U/M assay, the three samples representing different viral load levels were tested in six separate runs on different days. Coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated as standard deviation/mean.

2.4. Diagnostic Validation

Sample collection, cell culture, and RT-rPCR testing was performed by trained personnel of the WDFW Fish Health program. Returning adult Chinook salmon (n = 50), sockeye salmon (n = 43), and steelhead (n = 9) were collected as part of WDFW's routine hatchery surveillance program from January 2019 to December 2020; these samples represent a random subset that were selected for paired analysis by cell culture and the U/M RT-rPCR. Kidney/spleen tissues were collected using established fish health inspection methods and freshly collected tissue was subjected to cell culture using the EPC cell line (www.atcc.org, accession #CRL-2872) (Accessed on 8 July 2022) [10]. Individual tissues from fish were pooled for all analyses; samples that did not contain 5 fish were excluded from our analysis for consistency purposes. Duplicate tissues samples were taken for molecular analysis and stored at -80 °C for <6 months until used. RNA was extracted with RNeasy Mini-Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions for tissue and RT-rPCR reactions were performed as described above. Samples from six WA facilities were included: Baker Lake (n = 30), Cedar River (n = 13), Cowlitz (n = 12), Kalama Falls (n = 12), Marblemount (n = 6), and Soos Creek (n = 29). The frequency of IHNV at these facilities has historically varied; for instance, adult sockeye salmon from Baker Lake and Cedar River are frequently infected with IHNV while Soos Creek Chinook salmon and steelhead do not have a history of IHNV. All fish pools were subjected to cell culture and the U/M RT-rPCR (N = 102), while only a subset of the pools was subjected to the N Uni RT-rPCR (N = 63). The criterion for considering an rRT-PCR test result positive was reproducible amplification in technical replicates with a mean C_T value < 37; mean $C_{\rm T}$ values > 37.0 but <40.0 were considered a suspect result; and no evidence of amplification was considered a test negative result. Viruses isolated by cell culture were identified by conventional PCR and DNA sequencing of the glycoprotein (G) gene using the OIE recommended procedure [20]. Diagnostic parameters were estimated using online tools available from Ausvet (https://epitools.ausvet.com.au; accessed on 13 May 2022). This publication adheres to the 'Standards for Reporting of Animal Diagnostic Accuracy Studies for Aquatic Species' (STRADAS-aquatic) [29] and all study data are publicly available [30].

3. Results

3.1. Assay Development and Fit for Purpose

An alignment of available genome sequences of U and M IHNV genogroups and related rhabdoviruses (Supplementary Table S1) identified a 79 nt region in the N gene ideal for designing primers and probes. The U/M RT-rPCR assay included a variable probe region bounded by conserved sites for primer amplification. Our goal was to develop a test that could discriminate between the U and M genogroups. As such, the sole criterion of probe selection was consistent differences between U and M sequences, regardless of what other IHNV genogroups (L, J, and E) might contain at the polymorphic sites. Initial testing of cell culture grown isolates of known IHNV genogroups indicated that the new multiplex U/M RT-rPCR assay detected and discriminated the U and M genogroups demonstrating a good fit for purpose. Optimization testing indicated that previously described conditions for the N Uni RT-rPCR were optimal. Both APC plasmid and gBlock

constructs performed suitably as controls, providing linear amplification over 7 orders of magnitude with coefficient of determination values (R^2) consistently >0.99 (Table 3).

Table 3. Analytical sensitivity (ASe) of the N Uni and U/M RT-rPCR assays determined by analyzing 10-fold serially diluted artificial positive control (APC) plasmid DNA, gBlock DNA, or cDNA from fish infected with infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). Cycle threshold (C_T) shown for duplicate technical replicates of each dilution (APC plasmid or gBlock) or quadruplicate technical replicates of each dilution (infected fish tissues). The limit of detection (LOD) indicates the dilution where all technical replicates detected amplification. E: PCR efficiency.

Template Source	N Uni			U/M Assay U Probe				U/M Assay M Probe	
	Slope	Ε	LOD	Slope	Ε	LOD	Slope	Ε	LOD
APC ¹	-3.51	92.7%	<10	-3.38	97.6%	<10	-3.36	98.4%	<10
gBlock ¹	-3.49	93.4%	<10	-3.45	94.9%	<10	-3.44	95.3%	<10
Fish ²	-3.50	93.1%	<20	-3.33	99.7%	<30	-3.29	101.4%	<10

¹ APC plasmid and gBlock standards showed linear amplification with both assays across a 7 log range; $R^2 > 0.99$. ² RNA from fish artificially co-infected with U/M strains was evaluated across a 5–6 log dilution range and both assays showed linear amplification; $R^2 > 0.96$.

3.2. Analytical Validation

A panel of 21 U genogroup IHNV isolates were all correctly detected by the U probe without any cross-reaction by M probe and 31 M genogroup IHNV isolates were all correctly detected by the M probe without any cross-reaction by the U probe (Table 2). There was no detectable amplification for the most closely related fish rhabdovirus isolates VHSV, HIRRV, or SHRV (Table 2). The U/M assay performed similarly to the previously validated N Uni assay, with both tests showing 100% ASp. As expected from sequence similarity, the U probe also detected L and J genogroup IHNV and the M probe detected E genogroup IHNV.

The C_T for all IHNV isolates ranged from 14.4 to 25.2 by the previously validated N Uni assay, 13.1–24.3 for the U probe, and 13.6–28.0 for the M probe (Table 1). For the M IHNV genogroup, isolates Hg508 and 17-054 had a higher than expected C_T value for the N Uni assay, while isolate 17-073 had a higher than expected C_T value for the U/M assay. Although both assays still detected the isolates, the results suggested a reduced sensitivity for these three isolates. DNA sequencing revealed a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the N Uni probe site for Hg508, an SNP in the N Uni Rev primer site for 17-054, and an SNP in the U/M assay Rev primer for 17-073.

The ASe of the U/M and N Uni RT-rPCR assays was estimated by comparing dilution series of the APC plasmid, gBlock constructs, and co-infected fish tissue cDNA. Both assays showed similar slopes and good PCR efficiency (E) across all sample types, ranging from 92.7 to 101.4% (Table 3). For both the N Uni and U/M assays, the limit of detection (LOD) was <10 copies/reaction for the control constructs (APC and gBlock) and <30 copies/reaction for the infected fish tissue samples. The N Uni and U/M assays showed linear amplification across a large dynamic range (7 log range tested for the APC and gBlock standards; 5–6 log range tested for infected fish RNA).

The CV of three U and M IHNV cDNA samples with higher (~22 CT), medium (~25 CT), and lower (~29 CT) copy numbers tested by the N Uni and U/M assays with 4–5 technical replicates indicated high within-run repeatability (CVs ranging from 0.6 to 1.4% depending on sample copy number and assay). These three samples were tested across 6 independent runs for the U/M assay and showed high between-run repeatability (CV ranging from 1.1 to 2.0%).

3.3. Diagnostic Validation

Diagnostic performance of the U/M RT-rPCR for screening returning adult salmon populations was evaluated by comparing the U/M assay results to two established IHNV

diagnostic tests, cell culture, and N Uni RT-rPCR (Table 4). The U/M assay had high agreement with both cell culture (97.1%) and N Uni (95.2%), with the Kappa (K) statistic suggesting almost perfect agreement between tests. No matter the comparative test, the U/M RT-rPCR assay had high diagnostic sensitivity (DSe = 0.94) and diagnostic specificity (DSp > 0.97). The C_T values obtained from the U/M RT-rPCR ranged from 18.7 to 38.0 and N Uni RT-rPCR tests ranged from 18.9 to 39.2; the C_T values were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.99$). Among the 102 samples that were subjected to both cell culture and the U/M RT-rPCR, there were only three discrepant samples. Two of the discrepant samples tested positive by cell culture and negative by the U/M assay and one sample tested positive by U/M assay (36.7 C_T) but negative by cell culture. All viruses isolated as part of this dataset were U genogroup and 100% of the samples that tested positive by the U/M RT-rPCR assay were detected with only the U specific probe (USGS data release [30]). We also observed high DSe and DSp for the N Uni RT-rPCR assay, using cell culture as the reference standard, further validating the suitability of the N Uni RT-rPCR for surveillance of adult Pacific salmon.

Table 4. Diagnostic performance of the U/M and N Uni RT-rPCRs. The U/M assay was compared to two previously validated diagnostic tests for IHNV, viral isolation by culture in EPC cells (reference standard), and the N Uni RT-rPCR. The N Uni RT-rPCR was compared to only viral isolation. Samples were taken from Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's routine surveillance program for returning adult sockeye salmon, Chinook salmon, and steelhead. All samples represent 5 fish pools of kidney/spleen. A total of 102 pools were evaluated by both cell culture and the U/M RT-rPCR, while 63 pools were evaluated by both the U/M and N Uni RT-rPCRs.

Test under Evaluation	Comparative Test	DSe (95% CL)	DSp (95% CL)	Overall Agreement	K (+SE) ¹
U/M RT-rPCR	Cell culture	0.94 (0.80-0.99)	0.99 (0.92-1.00)	97.1%	0.93 (0.10)
	N Uni RT-rPCR	0.94 (0.80-0.99)	0.97 (0.82-1.00)	95.2%	0.90 (0.13)
N Uni RT-rPCR	Cell culture	0.97 (0.85–1.00)	0.97 (0.82–1.00)	96.8%	0.93 (0.13)

¹ K indicates the strength of agreement between two tests, with values of 0.81–0.99 as almost perfect and 1.00 as perfect (Smith 2006).

4. Discussion

The analytical sensitivity, specificity, and repeatability of the new U/M RT-rPCR was comparable to the OIE recommended N Uni RT-PCR assay [21]. Furthermore, the U/M assay had high diagnostic performance when used for surveillance of asymptomatic adult salmon and steelhead, when compared to either the reference standard cell culture or the N-Uni RT-rPCR. The U/M assay accurately discriminated between genogroups when applied to 52 diverse U and M virus isolates. This accuracy was corroborated with correct genogroup identification of 34 new U viruses isolated during the 2019–2020 adult salmon surveillance effort. While the U/M assay detected and correctly identified U/M isolates, it did not detect the closely related rhabdoviruses VHSV, HIRRV, and SHRV. As predicted based on the design of the U/M assay, the U probe also detected the L and J genogroups from California and Asia and the M probe detected the E genogroup viruses from Europe. However, there were no L, J, or E strain detections in the Pacific Northwest region, except for a rare detection of L strain on the southern Oregon coast. Thus, the new U/M RTrPCR assay achieved the intended purpose to both detect and discriminate between North American U and M IHNV genogroup strains. Given the high DSe (94%) and DSp (>95%), the assay appears suitable for surveillance, diagnosis, and confirmation of IHNV in Pacific Northwest regions where the U and M genogroups overlap.

Both the N Uni and U/M RT-rPCR assays target a conserved region of the N gene, while IHNV strain classification is based on sequencing of a diverse 303 nucleotide region of the G gene. The N gene is transcribed at higher levels than the G and other viral genes [31], making assays targeting the N gene potentially more sensitive to active infections. Previous RT-rPCR assays targeting the G gene [24,25] had difficulty detecting a wide range of IHNV

strains. In the present study, we noted an isolate of the MB subgroup (strain Hg508; genotype mG296-M; isolated in 2014) and an isolate of the MD subgroup (strain 17-054; mG107M; isolated in 1999) had an SNP in either the Rev primer or probe of the N Uni RT-rPCR. An isolate of the MC subgroup (strain 17-073; genotype mG352-M; 2012) had an SNP in U/M assay Rev primer. All three of these isolates were obtained from Idaho rainbow trout farms, an industry and region associated with unusually high rates of IHNV genetic diversity [32,33]. In Germany, reduced sensitivity has been reported for the N Uni RT-rPCR when detecting E genogroup isolates associated with the rainbow trout industry due to the presence of nucleotide substitutions in the probe region [34]. A redesigned probe, implemented as a one-step PCR protocol, showed improved sensitivity for a limited number of German E isolates of IHNV tested in the study. The diagnostic application of the redesigned Hoferer et al. 2019 RT-rPCR assay outside Germany is difficult to assess because the study only evaluated three older North American strains (SRCV LII-1966, WRAC MA-1982, and RB76 UP-1976). Additional work is needed to empirically assess the ASe and ASp of the redesigned assay in contemporary U, M, L, or J isolates, as well as E isolates from outside Germany. The findings of E and M genogroup IHNV variants with reduced RT-rPCR assay sensitivity associated with the German and Idaho rainbow trout industries may indicate a need for targeted and ongoing diagnostic validation of molecular IHNV tests in these farmed populations, as well as the continued paired use of cell culture when IHNV is suspected.

The evaluation of the new U/M assay was performed across two laboratories (USGS and WDFW). The assay performed well in both laboratories using RNA derived from both cell culture supernatant and kidney/spleen tissues. The assays were highly repeatable in both laboratories showing similarly low within and between run variability (<2.7% at low copy numbers; see data release [30]). However, both the U/M and N Uni RT-rPCR assays performed poorly when applied to RNA samples derived from ovarian fluid. Inclusion of an internal positive control (IPC) in the reaction indicated that the poor detection was not caused by PCR inhibition. Rather, the poor results were due to low RNA recovery using the RNeasy MiniKit tissue protocol. Pilot testing showed that improved RNA yield from ovarian fluid samples was obtained with TriReagent-LS or TriReagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA), Direct-zol RNA extraction kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), and QiaAmp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). We did not include the ovarian fluid sample results in the diagnostic validation phase of this study because the samples were subjected to several rounds of freezing and thawing. However, these issues emphasize the importance of optimizing the RNA extraction method for ovarian fluid. Our laboratories applied a two-step RT-rPCR protocol for both the N Uni and U/M assays in this study. However, the N Uni RT-rPCR had equivalent analytical performance when modified to a one-step protocol [22,30]. We did not fully validate the one-step protocol, but preliminary testing of the assay using a commercial one-step master mix (TaqMan Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix) yielded similar results as the two-step. Thus, the assay could be modified to a one-step protocol with an in-house comparability assessment, as described by the OIE [35].

The threshold value at which a diagnostic test result is considered positive or negative should be clearly defined [36]. The criteria we used herein to consider a sample positive was reproducible technical replicates and mean $C_T < 37$ (~50 copies/reaction), which was slightly greater than the reliable LOD (10–30 copies/reaction). Samples with non-reproducible replication or mean C_T values > 37–40 were considered suspect, while samples with no evidence of amplification by 40 cycles were considered negative. The 37 C_T cut-off was in a similar range to other published RT-rPCR studies [35,37] and was selected to reduce the potential of management actions based on false positive or equivocal results. Particularly since samples with C_T values > 37 may be difficult to confirm with secondary tests (e.g., cell culture or conventional RT-PCR [21]). Nonetheless, samples that yield a suspect test result can still be subjected to additional technical replicates or secondary conventional RT-PCR testing to clarify results. The U/M assay was designed for use in the Pacific Northwest where IHNV is widespread and the concerns of a false positive vs.

false negative result are more equally balanced. For certain purposes, a more conservative approach may be warranted (e.g., amplification at any C_T) when the concerns of a false negative test result outweigh the concerns for a false positive result.

Genogroups U and M IHNV co-circulate throughout the salmonid populations of the CRB and Washington Coast but the different genogroups may present different risks depending on the region and species. Cell culture isolation followed by conventional PCR/DNA sequencing can require several weeks to detect and identify the IHNV genogroup. In certain situations, there is a need for rapid discrimination of the U and M strains. For instance, the emergence of the M genogroup in Washington Coast steelhead populations from 2007 to 2011 was met with more aggressive management actions, which may have helped to prevent the establishment of this subgroup on the coast [6,38]. Our primary motivation for developing the U/M assay was to support Tribal efforts to restore anadromous salmon to their former ranges that have been historically blocked by hydropower operations [11]. These programs will require translocation of adult salmon to river reaches above dams, but some of these reaches may be naïve to the M genogroup. The M genogroup is hypothesized to have evolved from the U genogroup via a host jump and specialization in rainbow trout (ca. 1970s), becoming established in the CRB in the early 1980s [5]. As an example, fish passage in the Upper Columbia River has been blocked since construction of the Grand Coulee Dam in 1938 and reaches above the dam have naïve resident O. mykiss species that may be highly susceptible to the M genogroup. Thus, the new U/M assay can help mitigate risks of IHNV by serving as a useful tool to rapidly screen adults proposed for translocation. However, it should be noted that additional study is needed to fully estimate the diagnostic accuracy of the IHNV RT-rPCR assays when applied to non-lethally collected fin or gill tissues. Previous studies using cell culture indicate that fin tissue could be a useful non-lethal tissue for IHNV surveillance of adult salmonids [39].

5. Conclusions

The new U/M RT-rPCR is a rapid, sensitive, and specific method to both detect and discriminate between North American U and M genogroup IHNV. The U/M assay had high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity in free-ranging adult Pacific salmon as compared to cell culture and the validated N Uni RT-rPCR. The high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity indicates that the assay is suitable for surveillance, diagnosis, and confirmation of IHNV in Pacific Northwest regions where the U and M genogroups overlap. However, additional diagnostic validation may be warranted before applying any RT-rPCR test as the sole surveillance tool in regions associated with the Idaho rainbow trout industry due to increased frequency of IHNV genetic variants in this population.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani12141761/s1, Figure S1: Artificial positive control construct nucleotide sequence; Table S1: Genbank accession numbers for *Novirhabdovirus* nucleocapsid (N) sequence.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, W.N.B., R.LP., R.B. and M.K.P.; methodology, W.N.B., R.L.P., T.R.C., L.M.C. and M.K.P.; software, W.N.B., R.L.P., T.R.C., L.M.C. and M.K.P.; validation, W.N.B., R.L.P. and L.M.C.; formal analysis, W.N.B. and M.K.P.; investigation, W.N.B., R.L.P., T.R.C., L.M.C. and M.K.P.; resources, M.K.P. and T.R.C.; data curation, W.N.B., R.L.P. and M.K.P.; writing original draft preparation, W.N.B. and M.K.P.; writing—review and editing, W.N.B., R.L.P., T.R.C., L.M.C. and M.K.P.; visualization, W.N.B. and M.K.P.; supervision, M.K.P.; project administration, W.N.B. and M.K.P.; funding acquisition, M.K.P., T.R.C. and L.M.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the U.S. Geological Survey, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and USDA-NIFA AFRI grant number 2017-67015-26956.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and in vivo IHNV exposure studies were approved by the University of

Washington Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee (IACUC), protocol # 3042-12; approved 28 April 2017.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data and machine-readable metadata are publicly available from the USGS ScienceBase digital repository: https://doi.org/10.5066/P963M863; (accessed on 8 July 2022).

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Jed Varney DVM (WDFW, retired) for motivating the development of this new U/M assay that we affectionately call "JEDUM". The authors acknowledge the scientific input of Ken Warheit (WDFW) and Gael Kurath (USGS) during the conception phase of the project. Salmon used for preliminary analysis were generously provided by Dworshak National Fish Hatchery (Nez Perce Tribe and US Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Region). Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wolf, K. Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. In *Fish Viruses and Fish Viral Diseases;* Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, USA, 1988; pp. 83–114.
- 2. Bootland, L.M.; Leong, J.C. Infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus. In *Fish Diseases and Disorders*; Woo, P.T.K., Bruno, D.W., Eds.; CAB International: Cambridge, UK, 2009; Volume 3, pp. 66–109.
- 3. Kurath, G. Fish novirhabdoviruses. In *Rhabdoviruses: Molecular Taxonomy, Evolution, Genomics, Ecology, Host-Vector Interactions, Cytopathology and Control;* Dietzgen, R.G., Kuzmin, I.V., Eds.; Caister Academic Press: Norfolk, UK, 2012; pp. 89–116.
- 4. Kurath, G.; Garver, K.A.; Troyer, R.M.; Emmenegger, E.J.; Einer-Jensen, K.; Anderson, E.D. Phylogeography of infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus in North America. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2003**, *84*, 803–814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Garver, K.A.; Troyer, R.M.; Kurath, G. Two distinct phylogenetic clades of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus overlap within the Columbia River basin. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 2003, *55*, 187–203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Breyta, R.; Jones, A.; Stewart, B.; Brunson, R.; Thomas, J.; Kerwin, J.; Bertolini, J.; Mumford, S.; Patterson, C.; Kurath, G. Emergence of MD type infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in Washington State coastal steelhead trout. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 2013, 104, 179–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Kelley, G.O.; Bendorf, C.M.; Yun, S.C.; Kurath, G.; Hedrick, R.P. Genotypes and phylogeographical relationships of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in California, USA. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* **2007**, *77*, 29–40. [CrossRef]
- 8. Walker, P.J.; Freitas-Astúa, J.; Bejerman, N.; Blasdell, K.R.; Breyta, R.; Dietzgen, R.G.; Fooks, A.R.; Kondo, H.; Kurath, G.; Kuzmin, I.V.; et al. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Rhabdoviridae 2022. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2022**, *103*, 001689. [CrossRef]
- OIE. Chapter 10.6 Infectious Haematopoietic Necrosis Virus. OIE—Aquatic Animal Health Code. 2021. Available online: https://www.woah.org (accessed on 8 July 2022).
- 10. AFS. Suggested Procedures for the Detection and Identification of Certain Finfish and Shellfish Pathogens. 2020. Available online: https://units.fisheries.org/fhs/fish-health-section-blue-book-2020 (accessed on 8 July 2022).
- Hardiman, J.M.; Breyta, R.B.; Haskell, C.A.; Ostberg, C.O.; Hatten, J.R.; Connolly, P.J. Risk Assessment for the Reintroduction of Anadromous Salmonids Upstream of Chief Joseph and Grand Coulee Dams, Northeastern Washington; U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2017–1113; 2017; Volume 87. Available online: https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ofr20171113 (accessed on 7 July 2022).
- 12. Breyta, R.; Brito, I.; Ferguson, P.; Kurath, G.; Naish, K.A.; Purcell, M.K.; Wargo, A.R.; LaDeau, S. Transmission routes maintaining a viral pathogen of steelhead trout within a complex multi-host assemblage. *Ecol. Evol.* **2017**, *7*, 8187–8200. [CrossRef]
- 13. Garver, K.; Batts, W.; Kurath, G. Virulence comparisons of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus U and M genogroups in sockeye salmon and rainbow trout. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* **2006**, *18*, 232–243. [CrossRef]
- Peñaranda, M.M.D.; Purcell, M.K.; Kurath, G. Differential virulence mechanisms of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) include host entry and virus replication kinetics. J. Gen. Virol. 2009, 90, 2172–2182. [CrossRef]
- Purcell, M.K.; Garver, K.A.; Conway, C.M.; Elliott, D.G.; Kurath, G. Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus genogroup-specific virulence mechanisms in sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) from Redfish Lake Idaho. J. Fish Dis. 2009, 32, 619–631. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kurath, G.; Garver, K.A.; LaPatra, S.E.; Purcell, M.K. Resistance and protective immunity in Redfish Lake sockeye salmon exposed to M type infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* **2010**, *22*, 129–139. [CrossRef]
- 17. Black, A.; Breyta, R.; Bedford, T.; Kurath, G. Geography and host species shape the evolutionary dynamics of U genogroup infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. *Virus Evol.* **2016**, *2*, vew034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Breyta, R.; McKenney, D.; Tesfaye, T.; Ono, K.; Kurath, G. Increasing virulence, but not infectivity, associated with serially emergent virus strains of a fish rhabdovirus. *Virus Evol.* **2016**, 2, vev018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 19. Bellgraph, B.J.; Baldwin, C.; Garavelli, L.; Haque, Z.; Perkins, W.; Richmond, M.; Howell, M.; McLellan, J. Estimates of Chinook salmon spawning habitat in a blocked reach of the Columbia River upstream of Grand Coulee Dam. *Northwest Sci.* **2020**, *94*, 99–110. [CrossRef]
- OIE. Chapter 2.3.5 Infection with Infectious Haematopoietic Necrosis Virus. Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals. 2021. Available online: https://www.woah.org (accessed on 8 July 2022).
- Purcell, M.K.; Thompson, R.L.; Garver, K.A.; Hawley, L.M.; Batts, W.N.; Sprague, L.; Sampson, C.; Winton, J.R. Development and validation of a universal reverse-transcriptase real-time PCR for infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 2013, 106, 103–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Cuenca, A.; Vendramin, N.; Olesen, N.J. Analytical validation of one-step realtime RT-PCR for detection of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). *Bull. Eur. Assoc. Fish Pathol.* **2020**, *40*, 261–272.
- Overturf, K.; LaPatra, S.; Powell, M. Real-time PCR for the detection and quantitative analysis of IHNV in salmonids. J. Fish Dis. 2001, 24, 325–333. [CrossRef]
- Dhar, A.K.; Bowers, R.M.; Licon, K.S.; LaPatra, S.E. Detection and quantification of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by SYBR Green real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. *J. Virol. Methods* 2008, 147, 157–166. [CrossRef]
- Purcell, M.K.; Hart, S.A.; Kurath, G.; Winton, J.R. Strand-specific, real-time RT-PCR assays for quantification of genomic and positive-sense RNAs of the fish rhabdovirus infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. J. Virol. Methods 2006, 132, 18–24. [CrossRef]
- 26. Snow, M.; McKay, P.; Matejusova, I. Development of a widely applicable positive control strategy to support detection of infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV) using Taqman real-time PCR. *J. Fish Dis.* **2009**, *32*, 151–156. [CrossRef]
- 27. Troyer, R.M.; Garver, K.A.; Ranson, J.C.; Wargo, A.R.; Kurath, G. In vivo virus growth competition assays demonstrate equal fitness of fish rhabdovirus strains that co-circulate in aquaculture. *Virus Res* **2008**, *137*, 179–188. [CrossRef]
- Wargo, A.R.; Garver, K.A.; Kurath, G. Virulence correlates with fitness in vivo for two M group genotypes of Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). *Virology* 2010, 404, 51–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gardner, I.A.; Whittington, R.; Caraguel, C.; Hick, P.; Moody, N.; Corbeil, S.; Garver, K.A.; Olesen, N.J.; Berthe, F.; Purcell, M.K. Recommended reporting standards for test accuracy studies of infectious diseases of finfish, shellfish and crustaceans. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 2016, *118*, 91–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Batts, W.; Purcell, M.K.; Powers, R.L. Reverse transcriptase real time PCR testing to support validation of a new diagnostic assay to detect and discriminate the infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) U and M genogroups: U.S. Geological Survey Data Release. 2022. Available online: https://doi.org/10.5066/P963M863 (accessed on 8 July 2022).
- 31. Rose, J.; Schubert, M. Rhabdovirus genomes and their products. In *The Rhabdoviruses*; Wagner, R., Ed.; Plenum: New York, NY, USA, 1987; pp. 129–166.
- 32. Troyer, R.M.; LaPatra, S.E.; Kurath, G. Genetic analyses reveal unusually high diversity of infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus in rainbow trout aquaculture. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2000, *81*, 2823–2832. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Troyer, R.M.; Kurath, G. Molecular epidemiology of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus reveals complex virus traffic and evolution within southern Idaho aquaculture. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* **2003**, *55*, 175–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Hoferer, M.; Akimkin, V.; Skrypski, J.; Schütze, H.; Sting, R. Improvement of a diagnostic procedure in surveillance of the listed fish diseases IHN and VHS. *J. Fish Dis.* **2019**, *42*, 559–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- OIE. Principles and methods of validation of diagnostic assays for infectious diseases. In *Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals*; World Organization for Animal Health: Paris, France, 2019; pp. 1–18. Available online: https://www.woah.org (accessed on 8 July 2022).
- 36. Caraguel, C.; Stryhn, H.; Gagne, N.; Dohoo, I.; Hammell, K.L. Selection of a cutoff value for real-time polymerase chain reaction results to fit a diagnostic purpose: Analytical and epidemiological approaches. *J. Vet. Diagn. Investig.* **2011**, *23*, 2–15. [CrossRef]
- Elliott, D.G.; Applegate, L.J.; Murray, A.L.; Purcell, M.K.; McKibben, C.L. Bench-top validation testing of selected immunological and molecular *Renibacterium salmoninarum* diagnostic assays by comparison with quantitative bacteriological culture. *J. Fish Dis.* 2013, *36*, 779–809. [CrossRef]
- 38. Breyta, R.; Jones, A.; Kurath, G. Differential susceptibility in steelhead trout populations to an emergent MD strain of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 2014, 112, 17–28. [CrossRef]
- Burbank, D.R.; Fehringer, T.R.; Chiaramonte, L.V. Comparison of selected nonlethal samples from adult steelhead for detection of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus using cell culture. J. Aquat. Anim. Health 2017, 29, 67–73. [CrossRef]